Contribution of HONO to the atmospheric oxidation capacity in an industrial zone in the Yangtze River Delta region of China
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Key points:

- High levels of HONO, with an average of 1.32 ± 0.92 ppbv, were observed near one of the largest industrial zone in the YRD region of China.
- HONO photolysis and alkene ozonolyses contributed the most of OH production and hence the atmospheric oxidation capacity.
- High HONO concurred with high loading of PM$_{2.5}$, indicating potential synergetic effects.
- Heterogeneous formation mechanisms were the most important daytime HONO sources and were further enhanced by sunlight.

Abstract

A suite of instruments were deployed to simultaneously measure nitrous acid (HONO), nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$ = NO + NO$_2$), carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O$_3$), volatile organic compounds (VOCs, including formaldehyde (HCHO)) and meteorological parameters near a typical industrial zone in Nanjing of the Yangtze River Delta region, China. High levels of HONO were detected using a wet chemistry-based method. HONO ranged from 0.03-7.04 ppbv with an average of 1.32 ± 0.92 ppbv. Elevated daytime HONO was frequently observed with a minimum of several hundreds of pptv on average, which cannot be explained by the homogeneous OH + NO reaction ($P_{OH-NO}$) alone, especially during periods with high loadings of particulate matters (PM$_{2.5}$). The HONO chemistry and its impact on atmospheric oxidation capacity in the study area were further investigated using a MCM-box model. The results show that the average hydroxyl radical (OH) production rate was dominated by the photolysis of HONO ($7.13 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$), followed by ozonolysis of alkenes ($3.94 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$), photolysis of O$_3$ ($2.46 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) and photolysis of HCHO ($1.60 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$), especially within the plumes originated from the industrial zone. The observed similarity between HONO/NO$_2$ and HONO in diurnal profiles strongly suggests that HONO in the study area was likely originated from NO$_2$ heterogeneous reactions. The
average nighttime NO₂ to HONO conversion rate was determined to be ~0.9% hr⁻¹. Good correlation between nocturnal HONO/NO₂ and the products of particle surface area density (S/V) and relative humidity (RH), S/VRH, supports the heterogeneous NO₂/H₂O reaction mechanism. The other HONO source, designated as P_unknonw, was about twice as much as P_OH:NO on average and displayed a diurnal profile with an evidently photo-enhanced feature, i.e., photosensitized reactions of NO₂ may be an important daytime HONO source. Nevertheless, our results suggest that daytime HONO formation was mostly due to the light-induced conversion of NO₂ on aerosol surfaces but heterogeneous NO₂ reactions on ground surface dominated nocturnal HONO production. Concurred elevated HONO and PM₂.₅ levels strongly indicate that high HONO may increase the atmospheric oxidation capacity and further promote the formation of secondary aerosols, which may in turn synergistically boost NO₂/HONO conversion by providing more heterogeneous reaction sites.

1 introduction

Nitrous acid (HONO) plays an important role in tropospheric photochemistry because its fast photolysis contributes to the formation of hydroxyl (OH) radical, which is an essential atmospheric oxidant that initiates the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOC) to form organic peroxy radicals (RO₂) and hydroperoxy radical (HO₂). In the present of nitrogen oxides (NOₓ = NO + NO₂), these free radicals are the fundamental driving force of photochemical reaction cycles that lead to the formation of ground-level ozone (O₃) and secondary organic aerosols (SOA) (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1999; Xue et al., 2016). Besides HONO photolysis (R1), the major known OH radical initiation sources include photolysis of O₃ (R2 and R3) and formaldehyde (HCHO) (R4 to R8), and ozonolysis of alkenes (R9) (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1999). Nevertheless, many field studies have demonstrated that HONO may strongly affect atmospheric oxidation capacity in various environments (Bernard et al., 2016; Elshorbany et al., 2009; Elshorbany et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2002). In early studies, HONO was believed to be only important as NOₓ reservoir during nighttime, when HONO can accumulate in the atmosphere and give
a boost of photochemistry in the following early morning (Platt et al., 1980). However, recent field studies have demonstrated that high concentrations of HONO are often present in the relatively polluted urban areas during the day. Because of high levels of HONO, the photolysis of HONO becomes an important OH source not only in the early morning but also throughout the day and can contribute up to 30-90% of OH radical during daytime (Acker et al., 2006; Hendrick et al., 2014; Kleffmann et al., 2005; Neftel et al., 1996; Spataro et al., 2013; Su et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2002).

Despite the significance of HONO in daytime photochemistry, the sources and formation mechanisms of HONO, especially during daytime, are still uncertain. Traditionally, the reaction between NO and OH was thought to be the most important homogeneous source for HONO (Perner and Platt, 1979):

\[ \text{OH} + \text{NO} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{HONO} \]  
(R10)

However, reaction R10 alone cannot sustain the high HONO level observed during daytime in many studies, in which the observed HONO levels were often an order of magnitude greater than the modeled HONO with only homogeneous HONO source (R10) included in the model (Ren et al., 2010; Tang et al., 2015). Nevertheless, higher than expected OH observed in several studies (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009) may explain partially observed higher
than model predicted HONO levels. It has been suggested that HONO may be emitted directly by incomplete combustion processes, such as vehicle exhaust (Kirchstetter et al., 1996; Kurtenbach et al., 2001; Liang et al., 2017; Nakashima and Kajii, 2017; Trinh et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2015) and biomass burning (Müller et al., 2016; Neuman et al., 2016; Nie et al., 2015; Rondon and Sanhueza, 1989). However, such strong but sporadic point sources could not account for the widely observed daytime HONO in the polluted areas (Elshorbany et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2017). Recently, many other HONO formation pathways have been proposed. Su et al. (2011) pointed out that HONO can be released from soil nitrite, which was formed through biological nitrification and denitrification processes. Recent studies demonstrated that the pH and organic content of soil could influence the HONO emission rate (Scharko et al., 2017; Sörgel et al., 2015). In addition, vertical profiles of HONO measurements indicated that HONO was very likely originated from the ground surface (Kleffmann et al., 2003; VandenBoer et al., 2013; Wong et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2013). However, the presence of in-situ HONO sources in the air masses aloft cannot be ruled out (Wong et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2009).

Several heterogeneous processes have been drawn substantial attention and are proposed as the major HONO sources, including: (1) heterogeneous conversion of NO\textsubscript{2} on wet surface (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003), which could be an important nocturnal HONO source; (2) NO\textsubscript{2} heterogeneous reaction with fresh soot particles (Ammann et al., 1998; Gerecke et al., 1998; Han et al., 2017a; Monge et al., 2010) and semi-volatile organic compound emitted from diesel exhausts (George et al., 2005; Gutzwiller et al., 2002), which could be an important process because it is 1 to 2 orders of magnitude faster than the typically proposed heterogeneous reaction of 2NO\textsubscript{2} + H\textsubscript{2}O; (3) photosensitized reaction of NO\textsubscript{2} on surfaces of mineral dust (Ndour et al., 2008), humic acid (Han et al., 2017b; Wall and Harris, 2016), and ground surface (i.e., certain reactions such as NO\textsubscript{2} + humic acids on ground surfaces) (Wong et al., 2012), which has been considered as an important daytime HONO source (Lee et al., 2016); (4) photolysis of adsorbed nitric acid (HNO\textsubscript{3}) and nitrate (NO\textsubscript{3}−) (Ye et al., 2016; Ye et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2011; Ziemba et al., 2010); (5) VOC-mediated conversion of HNO\textsubscript{3} into HONO.
Since the first atmospheric HONO measurement by Nash (1974) and the first use of long path differential UV absorption technique (LP-DOAS) to measure atmospheric HONO (Perner and Platt, 1979), various measurement techniques for HONO have been developed, such as spectroscopic techniques, wet chemistry-based techniques, and chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS). Besides DOAS technique, other spectroscopic techniques such as the cavity ring-down spectroscopy (Rairoux et al., 2002), the incoherent broadband cavity-enhanced absorption spectroscopy (IBBCEAS) (Gherman et al., 2008), and the cavity-enhanced absorption spectrometer (CEAS) (Scharko et al., 2017) were applied in the HONO measurements. Wet chemistry techniques have the advantages of higher sensitivity and lower detection limit, including long path absorption photometer (LOPAP) (Heland et al., 2001; Kleffmann et al., 2003; Kleffmann et al., 2005; Kleffmann et al., 2006; Kleffmann and Wiesen, 2008; Vecera and Dasgupta, 1991), AIM-IC analysis system and wet-rotating-denuder (WRD) method (Makkonen et al., 2012). Very recently, CIMS techniques have been developed for fast on-line HONO measurements (Bernard et al., 2016; Pinto et al., 2014; Ren et al., 2010).

Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region is the largest industrial zone in China and is experiencing ever increasing air pollution events, characterized with high ozone (O₃) and fine particulate matters (PM₂.₅) concentrations (Ding et al., 2013). Despite of the great efforts in reducing sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and NOₓ emissions from industrial activities, high level of NOₓ along with ammonia/amines have been observed near an industrial park (Zheng et al., 2015b). In addition, high levels of HCHO have been frequently observed near industrial zones in China (Ma et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2015b), providing an extra radical source. HONO concentrations calculated using a photostationary state (PSS) approach that included homogeneous sources were found much less HONO than the measured values during daytime (Kleffmann et al., 2005; Michoud et al., 2014). Lee et al. (2016) conducted a detailed analysis of HONO budget and proposed that the missing daytime HONO source was related to NOₓ and sunlight. A four-season measurement campaign was carried out in an urban site of Beijing and the results showed
monthly averaged HONO concentrations between 1.05 and 2.27 ppbv with pronounced seasonal profile (Wang et al., 2017). In a recent study, Nie et al. (2015) revealed the influence of biomass burning on HONO formation at a suburban site of Nanjing and demonstrated that the contribution of heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ to HONO formation. However, so far, no comprehensive study on the oxidizing capability, i.e., the major contributors of OH radicals, has ever been conducted in the industrial zone of YRD region.

In this work, we have performed HONO measurements using a custom-built wet chemistry-based method at an industrial site in December 2015 in Nanjing, China. In addition, HCHO, O$_3$, photolysis frequencies, and other trace gases and meteorological parameters were also measured. The contributions of HONO along with other OH sources to OH budget were investigated using a box model based on Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM). The mechanisms of possible daytime HONO formation and the consequent impacts on air pollutants formation were explored.

2 Experimental and Model Description

2.1 HONO Measurement

The field measurements were carried out from 1 to 31 December 2015 on the campus of Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology (NUIST) in Nanjing, China. More details about the observation site have been provided in our previous work (Ma et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2015b). Briefly, the site is located to the west of clusters of steel mills and petrochemical refinery facilities and is about 15 km to the north of the downtown Nanjing. All instruments were placed inside an air-conditioned trailer. In this study, a custom-built wet chemistry-based HONO instrument was utilized for HONO measurements, which was originally developed by Ren et al. (2010). Figure 1 is the schematics of the HONO instrument, consisting of two sample collection glass coils connected
successively, a 10-port injection valve (Valco Instruments Co. Inc.), a 1-m long liquid waveguide capillary cell (LWCC, World Precision Instruments), and a mini spectrometer (Ocean optics, USB4000).

To minimize the sampling artifacts, the sampling coils were set up about 3.5 m above the ground (1.5 m above the trailer rooftop) and no inlet was used. Ambient air was pulled through the coils by a vacuum pump at 1 L min$^{-1}$, which was controlled by a mass flow controller (MKS, model M100B). In the first coil, HONO along with some interfering species in the air sample were separated from the gas phase and transformed into nitrite solution by a 1.0 mmol L$^{-1}$ phosphate buffer scrubbing solution. Potential interfering species (e.g., NO$_2$) would also interact with scrubbing solution in the second coil in a similar way as in the first coil. The nitrite solutions from the two coils were then respectively mixed with sulfanilamide/N-(1-naphthyl) ethylene-diamine (SA/NED) reagents in Teflon derivatization tubing and nitrite was converted via the two reactions (SR1 and SR2, see the SI for details) (Huang et al., 2002). The aqueous sample was injected into the LWCC and the produced azo dye was quantified by its absorption at 560 nm with a mini USB spectrometer. The difference between the absorbance signals of the two coils was treated as the actual HONO signal. The HONO mixing ratio in ambient air was calculated using Eq. (1):

$$[\text{HONO}]_{\text{ppv}} = \frac{C_l F_l R T}{P_g} \times 10^{12} \quad (1)$$

where, $C_l$ is nitrite concentration (mol L$^{-1}$) in the scrubbing solution, $F_l$ is the liquid flow rate (mL min$^{-1}$) of the scrubbing solution, $F_g$ is the sampling air flow rate (L min$^{-1}$), $R$ is the ideal gas constant (8.314 m$^3$ Pa K$^{-1}$mol$^{-1}$), and $T$ and $P$ are the ambient temperature (294 K) and atmospheric pressure (101325 Pa), respectively, under which the mass flow controller (MFC) that was used to control the sample flow rate was calibrated (Ren et al., 2010). The HONO instrument was calibrated every four days using sodium nitrite standard solutions. According to the calibration curve, HONO mixing ratio in ambient air can be quantified. The detection limit of the HONO instrument was about 3 pptv with a time resolution of 2 min. The measurement accuracy was about ±15% at a 95% confidence level (Ren et al., 2010).
2.2 Other measurements

As the observation site was part of a national standard meteorology observatory facility, meteorology parameters, including wind direction, wind speed, ambient temperature, pressure and RH were continuously measured. Trace gases, CO (Thermo Scientific, Model 48i), O₃ (Thermo Scientific, Model 49i), SO₂ (Thermo Scientific, Model 43i) and NOₓ (Thermo Scientific, Model 17i) were also measured at the observation site. The Thermo Scientific 17i is designed as an ammonia (NH₃) analyzer. It basically consists of a typical NOₓ analyzer and an external high temperature (700°C) NH₃ converter, which is disabled and bypassed in this work. Therefore, it was used as a typical NOₓ analyzer. It is well known that a NOₓ-NO₃ analyzer with a molybdenum-based converter can convert portion of NOₓ (=NOₓ-NO₃) to NO, which can then be detected as NO₂ causing an interference in NO₂ measurement (Villena et al., 2012). However, an aircraft study conducted in the eastern US in the winter 2015 found that within 6 hours of transport time, NOₓ account for more than 90% of NOₓ in an urban outflow (Salmon et al., 2018). A sensitivity analysis showed that by decreasing the NO₂ level of 10% (an upper limit assuming all NOₓ were converted into NO with an efficiency of 100%), the modeled HONO decreased only by 5.3%, indicating that the possible small interference in NO₂ measurement did not impact significantly on the modeled HONO results. The details about the operation and calibrations of these instruments were described in previous work (Zheng et al., 2015b). PM₂.₅ was observed by an online PM₂.₅ measuring instrument (METONE, BAM-1020) with a time resolution of 1 hour. Aerosol surface area density was calculated using data from an WPS (wide particle spectrometer, MSP model 1000XP) with a time resolution of 5 min. HCHO was measured with the DNPH method from 19 to 30 December 2015 and the sampling time was 2 hours during the campaign. Detailed operation procedures about the DNPH method in this study can refer to our previous work (Ma et al., 2016). Photolysis frequencies (J values), including J(O¹D), J(NO₂), J(HONO), J(H₂O₂), J(HCHO), and J(NO₃), were calculated based on measurements by an ultra-fast charged coupled device (CCD) detector spectrometer (Meteorology Consult Gmbh, Germany). The acquisition time for J values was 1 min. Other photolysis frequencies (such as carbonyls...
with more than two carbons) used in the model were calculated by Eq. (2) (Jenkin et al., 1997):

\[ J_i = L_i \cos(\chi) M_i \exp(-N_i \sec(\chi)) \]  

(2)

where \( \chi \) is the solar zenith angle; \( L_i, M_i, \) and \( N_i \) are photolysis parameters and are taken from (Jenkin et al., 1997), for clear sky conditions. The calculated photolysis frequencies were then scaled by the measured \( J(\text{NO}_2) \) for cloudiness correction.

Volatile organic compounds (VOC) measurements were conducted using a commercial gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (AMA, GC5000). Sixty VOC species including \( \text{C}_2-\text{C}_{12} \) hydrocarbons were detected with a time resolution of 1 hr. Ten of the most reactive alkenes were used in the ozonolysis reaction in the box model simulations.

2.3 Box Model

To evaluate the effect of HONO on daytime atmospheric oxidation capacity, a chemical box model with the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCMv3.2) (Jenkin et al., 2012) was applied to calculate the concentrations of \( \text{OH}, \text{HO}_2 \) radicals and their production and loss rates using the FACSIMILE software package (UES Software Inc.). Kinetic rate coefficients were taken from the MCM website (http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/). In this study, the model simulation was constrained with hourly averaged measurement results, including HONO, \( \text{O}_3, \text{NO}, \text{NO}_2, \text{CO}, \text{SO}_2, \text{HCHO}, \text{VOC}, \) as well as water vapor, temperature, pressure, and photolysis frequencies.

Monte Carlo sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess the model performance. In each Monte Carlo simulation, the input variables of the model, including HONO, \( \text{O}_3, \text{NO}, \text{NO}_2, \text{CO}, \text{SO}_2, \text{HCHO}, \text{VOCs}, \) reaction rate constants, and photolysis frequencies, were independently set to vary within \( \pm10\% \) of the mean value of individual variable with a normal probability distribution.
3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Data Overview

Figure 2 shows the time series of NO, NO$_2$, O$_3$, PM$_{2.5}$, HONO, HCHO, J(HONO), and meteorological parameters, including wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and RH. During the entire campaign period, the wind speed ranged from 0.1 to 8.1 m s$^{-1}$ with an average of 1.7 m s$^{-1}$. The temperature varied between -4.1 °C and 16.1 °C with an average of 6.1 °C; RH varied between 17 % and 96 % with an average of 68 %.

During the entire measurement period, the HONO mixing ratios ranged from 0.03 ppbv to 7.04 ppbv with a mean value of 1.32 ± 0.92 ppbv. Table 1 lists recent HONO observations conducted in China. Our result was comparable to HONO observed in Xinken (Su et al., 2008) and Beijing (Spataro et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2017) but higher than Xianghe, Beijing (Hendrick et al., 2014), Jinan (Wang et al., 2015a), Hong Kong (Xu et al., 2015) and Shanghai (Wang et al., 2013). Clearly, the general trend of HONO was closely following that of NO$_2$, which is the dominant precursor of HONO. More markedly, building up of HONO frequently proceeded the accumulations of PM$_{2.5}$, e.g., on the 7th and from the 21st - 22nd of December 2015, indicating that HONO may promote the formation of secondary aerosol by contributing to OH production, which will be further analyzed in details in the following sections. The campaign averaged diurnal variations of HONO, NO$_2$, HONO/NO$_2$ ratio and aerosol S/V are showed in Fig. 3. HONO started to accumulate after sunset and reached its daily maxima of ~2.0 ppbv at 08:00 local time (LT). Later the day, the HONO mixing ratio decreased rapidly due to its fast photolysis and increase of the planetary boundary layer (PBL) height. Evidently, daytime HONO was sustained at a relatively high level. The minimum of ~0.6 ppbv was observed around 16:00 LT. The mixing ratio of NO$_2$ varied from 9.5 ppbv to 48.7 ppbv with an average of 23.9 ± 7.5 ppbv and a maximum of 27.7 ± 8.8 ppbv. NO, O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ mixing ratios were in the range of 2.7 ppbv - 124.9 ppbv, 3 ppbv - 39 ppbv and 15 µg m$^{-3}$ - 345 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively. Meanwhile, the HONO-to-NO$_2$ ratios ranged from 0.02 to 0.07, with an average of 0.05 ± 0.03. The observed similarity between
the diurnal profile of HONO/NO$_2$ ratio and that of HONO suggested that HONO was likely originated from NO$_2$

heterogeneous reactions.

3.2 OH Simulation

Although atmosphere oxidation capacity is determined by the levels of all major oxidants in the atmosphere (e.g., OH, O$_3$, and NO$_3$), OH radical is the primary oxidant in the atmosphere and series of reactions initiated by OH radical can lead to the formation of other major secondary oxidants, such as O$_3$ and NO$_3$. Fully understanding the budget of OH radical especially the sources of OH radical is of paramount importance for the purpose of controlling the atmosphere oxidation capacity and hence to establish effective air pollution mitigation strategies.

**In-situ** measurement of OH radical is often limited by the availability of suitable measurement techniques, which are often suffered from large amount of unresolved uncertainties (Tanner and Eisele, 1995) and the observation often disagree with the modeling results to a large extent. Nevertheless, theoretically some critical parameters to govern the OH radical budget in the atmosphere are difficult to measure directly, such as the formation rates of OH. Accordingly, a box model is often utilized to simulate these highly reactive species to investigate their photochemistry.

In order to assess the relative contributions of potential OH sources in this study, we have utilized a box model based on the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCMv3.2) (Jenkin et al., 2012) to simulate the OH concentration and the OH formation rates from various sources. The model simulation was constrained by the measurement results, including HONO, O$_3$, NO, NO$_2$, CO, SO$_2$, VOCs, as well as water vapor, temperature, pressure, and photolysis frequencies. Since HCHO measurement was only available from 19 to 30 December, simulated HCHO was used for the entire campaign period. We found that the ratio between simulated to measured HCHO was 1.4 with a correlation coefficient of R$^2 = 0.6$. Therefore, we applied a factor of 1.4 to the simulated HCHO in the model to better represent the HCHO concentration in the atmosphere.
The simulated OH time series during the campaign period is shown in Fig. 4. Because the simulation is constrained by the observations, only within periods when all data are available simulation were conducted. Simulated OH concentration was in the range of $1.06 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ - $5.26 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$, similar to the concentration observed in London (Emmerson et al., 2007), but lower than that measured in New York City ($3 \times 10^6$ - $3.3 \times 10^7$) (Ren et al., 2003) and Guangzhou ($1.5 \times 10^7$ - $2.6 \times 10^7$) (Lu et al., 2012).

It should be noted that the absolute values of the simulated OH may differ from the actual ambient concentration. However, the general trend of OH evidently followed the solar radiation intensity, indicating its photochemical production origin. Clearly, the diurnal variation of OH profile is more complicated than that of photolysis rates because OH production can be affected not only by photochemical processes, but also by both primary emissions (e.g., HONO and HCHO) and other non-photochemical related heterogenous processes, such as HONO production on various surfaces and ozonolysis of alkenes. These processes will be further discussed in the following sections.

### 3.3 OH Formation Rates

\[
P_{OH}(HONO)_{net} = J(HONO)[HONO] - k_{OH+NO}[NO][OH] \tag{3}
\]

\[
P_{OH}(O_3) = 2 J(O^1D)[O_3] \theta_{OH} \tag{4}
\]

\[
P_{OH}(HCHO) = 2 J(HCHO)[HCHO] \tag{5}
\]

\[
P_{OH}(H_2O_2) = 2 J(H_2O_2)[H_2O_2] \tag{6}
\]

\[
P_{OH}(O_3 + alkenes) = \sum k_{alkene(i)+O3}[alkene(i)][OH]Y_{OH,i} \tag{7}
\]

Previous field studies have demonstrated that HONO photolysis can contribute substantially to the OH production during daytime (Elshorbany et al., 2009; Hendrick et al., 2014; Kleffmann et al., 2005; Su et al., 2008).

In this study, we evaluated the OH formation rates from the photolysis of HONO (Eq. 3), ozone (Eq. 4), formaldehyde (Eq. 5) and hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$) (Eq. 6), as well as ozonolysis of alkenes (Eq. 7). The second
term in Eq. 3 is to account for the loss of OH due to the HONO formation from OH + NO, where the OH concentration was simulated using the box model, so that the net OH formation from the photolysis of HONO is considered. $J$ values are the photolysis frequencies of the corresponding species and $\phi_{OH}$ is the fraction of O(1D) reacts with H$_2$O instead of being quenched by nitrogen (N$_2$) or oxygen (O$_2$). The OH production by the photolysis of formaldehyde was calculated assuming that HO$_2$ formed from reaction R4 was immediately converted into OH by reaction R8 due to high NO levels in this polluted environment. In Eq. 7, $Y_{OH,i}$ is the yield of OH from gas-phase reaction of O$_3$ and alkene(i) and $k_{alkene(i)+O_3}$ is the reaction rate constant for the reaction of O$_3$ with alkene(i).

The rate constants of the ozonolysis reactions and the corresponding OH yields used in this work are listed in Table 2. Since H$_2$O$_2$ was not measured during this campaign, H$_2$O$_2$ was estimated from literature values, i.e., 0.5 ppbv -5 ppbv (Guo et al., 2014; Hua et al., 2008; Ren et al., 2009) and a constant of 3 ppbv H$_2$O$_2$ was used in this work.

The calculated campaign averaged OH production rates from the photolysis of HONO, O$_3$, HCHO and H$_2$O$_2$ along with ozonolysis of alkenes were $7.13 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, $2.46 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, $1.60 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, $2.39 \times 10^5$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ and $3.94 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively, which were comparable with the literature values (Alicke et al., 2002; Chan et al., 2017; Su et al., 2008). As shown in Fig. 5, the contribution of HONO photolysis to OH production varied from 23.6% to 63.3% with a mean value of 44.8%.

The ozonolysis of ten highly reactive alkenes (listed in Table 2) by ozone was the second largest contributor to OH radical and the contribution varied from 16.1% to 60.9% with a mean of 30.3%. The contribution of ozone photolysis was in the range of 1.3% to 24.7% with a mean of 14.9%. The contribution of HCHO photolysis varied between 0.9% and 12.5% with a mean of 8.1%, and the contribution of H$_2$O$_2$ photolysis was negligible with an average contribution of 1.9%. The contributions from different OH sources in this study was similar to those found in two wintertime studies. In a study conducted in New York City in winter 2004, it was found that 48% of the net HO$_x$ production was from the HONO photolysis, 36% from the ozonolysis of alkenes, only 6% from the HCHO photolysis, and 1% from the O$_3$ photolysis (Ren et al., 2006). In another study conducted in London in winter 2000,
62% of the OH production was found from the ozonolysis of alkenes, 35% from the HONO photolysis, only 6% from the HCHO photolysis, and <1% from the O$_3$ photolysis (Heard et al., 2004).

The striking features of the Fig. 5 is that HONO photolysis and ozonolysis of alkenes contributed more than 70% of the OH production rate on average. In the early morning, HONO photolysis was the dominant source of OH and may boost the photochemistry right after sunrise. As O$_3$ accumulated, alkene ozonolysis and O$_3$ photolysis became more and more important. The higher percentage of the HONO photolysis in this study is most likely because of the higher concentrations of HONO observed in the study area and its sources will be further investigated in the following sections.

### 3.4 Industrial Plumes

Industrial emissions are responsible for a large portion of the haze formation in China. With the implementations of more and more strict mitigation strategies, primary emissions have been reduced substantially in China. However, the observation site was located just ~5 km from the Nanjing industry park, one of the largest industrial zones in the YRD region, which is populated with various heavy industry facilities, including steel mills, power generation stations, and petrochemical refineries. Despite the great effort to reduce primary industrial emissions from these facilities, industrial plumes have often been detected at the site, carrying fair amounts of NH$_3$, NO$_x$, SO$_2$, and VOCs (Ma et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2015a). To investigate the effects of industrial emissions on local and regional air quality and particularly the role of HONO on the transformation of primary emissions into secondary air pollutants, we have paid special attention into the air masses originated from the industrial zone.

Figure 6 depicts the contribution fractions of OH production rates from HONO photolysis, alkene ozonolysis, O$_3$ photolysis, HCHO photolysis, and H$_2$O$_2$ photolysis during two industrial plume events. The wind rose plots in Fig. 6 indicate that the origin of these air masses were all from the nearby industry zone. Unlike that depicted in Fig. 5, during the two industry pollution events HONO photolysis along with ozonolysis of alkenes dominated the OH production.
production throughout the day. This was most likely due to the high concentrations of NO\textsubscript{x} and VOC within the industrial plumes. More interestingly, the average PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations during the two events were 139 and 239 $\mu$g m\textsuperscript{-3}, respectively. Evidently, HONO photolysis and ozonolysis may even play a more important role in OH production during severe haze events. Although ambient OH concentrations during these events may not be high (see Fig. 4a), the high levels of HONO can boost active photochemical oxidation and thus promote the formation of PM\textsubscript{2.5}, which in turn provides additional reaction surface for more HONO production. To further test this hypothesis, we have investigated the HONO budgets in much details in the next section.

3.5 HONO Sources

3.5.1 Primary HONO Emissions

Previous studies have demonstrated that HONO can be emitted directly from vehicle exhaust (Kirchstetter et al., 1996; Kurtenbach et al., 2001). To evaluate the potential impact of primary emissions on HONO concentration in this work, we have calculated the contribution of primary HONO using reported HONO emission ratios, a typical value of 0.8% (Kurtenbach et al., 2001) was adopted to represent the mixed Chinese vehicle fleet and accordingly the fresh emitted HONO was found accounting for a maximum of 26% of the total HONO within the freshly emitted plumes without consideration of dilution after they were emitted. Nevertheless, the NO/NO\textsubscript{x} ratio measured in this work was relatively low, with an average of 0.25±0.06, much less than that of freshly emitted exhausts (> 0.9) obtained from tunnel experiments (Kurtenbach et al., 2001), indicating that the air masses sampled in this work had been considerably aged and mixed with other air masses, and hence primary HONO (if there was any) had been diluted substantially (less than a few per cents) before reaching the observation site. In addition, our sampling site is located nearby the industrial zone, and the high concentration of NO\textsubscript{x} was mainly originated from the industrial activities, so the influence of traffic source on HONO was expected to be negligible.
3.5.2 HONO Conversion Rate

The HONO conversion rate \( k_{\text{het}} \) (hr\(^{-1}\)) is an important parameter to compare HONO formation under various NO\(_2\) levels (Xu et al., 2015). In this work, we calculate the HONO conversion rate using the Eq. (8) (Alicke et al., 2003):

\[
k_{\text{het}} = \frac{[\text{HONO}]_{t_2} - [\text{HONO}]_{t_1}}{(t_2 - t_1)[\text{NO}_2]}
\]

where \([\text{HONO}]_{t_1}\) and \([\text{HONO}]_{t_2}\) are the HONO concentrations at two different times, \(t_1\) and \(t_2\), respectively, \([\text{NO}_2]\) is the average NO\(_2\) concentration between time \(t_1\) and \(t_2\). Note Eq. (8) is a simplified demonstration to calculate the reaction rate coefficient of the heterogeneous conversion from NO\(_2\) to HONO at night, which can be dependent on different pollution levels. In this study, the averaged \(k_{\text{het}}\) was determined to be \(~0.9\%\) hr\(^{-1}\), which was comparable to the results in the urban sites of Xi’an (0.91% hr\(^{-1}\)) (Huang et al., 2017) and Shanghai (0.7% hr\(^{-1}\)), China (Wang et al., 2013), but much less than some other observations, such as Back Garden, Guangdong, China (2.4% hr\(^{-1}\)) (Li et al., 2012), Xinken, Guangdong, China (1.6% hr\(^{-1}\)) (Li et al., 2012) and Rossfeld, Rhine Valley, France (2.2% hr\(^{-1}\)) (Acker and Möller, 2007). Nevertheless, the high level of NO\(_x\) observed in this work may still lead to high level of HONO through various mechanisms.

3.5.3 Heterogeneous Conversion of NO\(_2\)

Previous studies have suggested that heterogeneous conversion of NO\(_2\) on wet surfaces could be an important nocturnal HONO source (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2017). However, it appears that the proposed reaction mechanism \(2\text{NO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \) was limited by the uptake of NO\(_2\) on the wet surfaces (on the order of \(10^{-6}\)) and thus was too slow to account for the observed NO\(_2\) to HONO conversion ratio (Kleffmann et al., 1998). Instead, the reaction between NO\(_2\) and adsorbed semi-volatile organic compounds on soot or aerosol surfaces has been suggested to be one or two magnitudes faster than the aforementioned reaction (George et al., 2005; Gutzwiller et al., 2002) even though the actual reaction mechanism is still under active research. Figure 7 shows the correlation...
analyses for several individual days between HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} and RH, S/V, and the product of RH S/V. For instance, on 25 December 2015, HONO correlated with RH (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.63) and S/V (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.70) to some extent. However, the correlations between HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} and the products of RH S/V (R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.77) was substantially improved, which was consistent with the participation of water in heterogeneous HONO formation during nighttime, particularly on the aerosol surfaces. These results were generally in line with the results of Stutz et al. (2004). It also should be noted that during nighttime as ambient temperature decreased, PBL also decreased, causing the ground surface to air volume ratio to increase, which may also contribute to higher NO\textsubscript{2} to HONO conversion efficiency (Stutz et al., 2004). However, as shown in Fig. 7, HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} correlated with S/V to some extent and the correlation increased with the product of RH and S/V. Therefore, even though the contribution of HONO formation on the ground surface was present, the aerosol surface was certainly involved in the HONO formation process. The impact of RH on the heterogeneous formation of HONO was further investigated. Figure 8 shows the relationship between HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratio and RH at night. The linearity of the bin points clearly displays the linear correlations between HONO conversion ratio and RH. Following the method introduced by Stutz et al. (2004), we plotted the top-5 values of HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratio (representing steady state conditions) in each 10\% RH interval. The conversion efficiency of NO\textsubscript{2} to HONO correlates very well with RH (R\textsuperscript{2}=0.96), strongly indicating the dependence of HONO formation on RH. Similar phenomenon was also observed at an urban site (Qin et al., 2009) and a rural site (Li et al., 2012) in Guangzhou, China.

### 3.5.4 Daytime HONO Budget

High concentrations of daytime HONO were frequently observed during the campaign period especially within industrial plumes. If we assume HONO was in photostationary state involving only gas-phase homogeneous HONO production and photolysis loss, the calculated daytime HONO concentration would be 8.1 \times 10\textsuperscript{9} molecules cm\textsuperscript{-3}, only 24.5\% of the observed mean HONO concentration during daytime. Since the gas phase reaction between
OH and NO (i.e., $P_{OH+NO}$) alone was unable to explain the observed high HONO concentrations, daytime HONO budget was further examined in details. Here we designate the unexplained HONO source as $P_{unknown}$. The timely variation of measured HONO concentration can be expressed by the following equation (Wang et al., 2017):

$$\frac{\partial [HONO]}{\partial t} = (P_{OH+NO} + P_{unknown}) - (L_{OH+HONO} + L_{photolysis} + L_{deposition})$$

(9)

Thus, $P_{unknown}$ can be calculated as:

$$P_{unknown} = \frac{\partial [HONO]}{\partial t} + L_{OH+HONO} + L_{photolysis} + L_{deposition} - P_{OH+NO}$$

(10)

where $\partial [HONO]/\partial t$ represents the variation of observed HONO concentrations; $L_{photolysis}$ represents the loss rate of HONO by photolysis and $J_{HONO}$ is the measured photolysis frequency of HONO; $P_{NO+OH}$ and $L_{OH+HONO}$ are the gas-phase formation and loss rates of HONO, respectively; $k_{OH+NO}$ and $k_{OH+HONO}$ are the corresponding reaction rate constants; $L_{deposition}$ is the dry deposition rate of HONO; $v_{HONO}$ represents deposition velocity of HONO and $H$ is the mixing height. For $v_{HONO}$, a value of 0.48 cm s$^{-1}$ was adopted (Lee et al., 2016) and the observed mixing height varied from 73 m to 600 m diurnally. A sensitivity analysis with and without the HONO deposition shows that the modeled HONO concentration with HONO deposition loss is 3.5% lower than that without HONO deposition during daytime, indicating that the dry deposition of HONO plays a minor role in HONO losses. The impact of HONO direct emissions was not considered at daytime, since this term is expected to be several orders of magnitude smaller than $L_{photolysis}$ (Su et al., 2008). Daytime OH concentration was not measured in this work but was simulated by the MCM box model.

Figure 9 shows the average diurnal variation of each individual term in Eq. (10). Compared with $L_{photolysis}$, the gas-phase reaction between OH and HONO and HONO dry deposition contributed very little to the HONO sink during daytime. However, $P_{OH+NO}$ and $P_{unknown}$ both contributed significantly to the HONO production and displayed
a completely distinct diurnal pattern. Homogeneous reaction between OH and NO reached a maximum of 1.06 ppbv hr$^{-1}$ in the early morning (09:00 LT) due to high concentrations of NO in the morning. The unknown source reached a maximum of 1.46 ppbv hr$^{-1}$ around noontime with an average of 0.97 ppbv hr$^{-1}$, which was about twice as much as averaged $P_{\text{OH-NO}}$. The diurnal profile of $P_{\text{unknown}}$ showed a strong photo-enhanced feature, which is consistent with that observed by Michoud et al. (2014) in wintertime Europe.

3.5.5 Photo-Enhanced Conversion of NO$_2$

The nature of the unknown source was explored by correlation analyses between $P_{\text{unknown}}$ and other HONO production related parameters (see Table 3). $P_{\text{unknown}}$ does not correlate well with RH, NO$_2$, S/V, and $J_{\text{NO2}}$ with the correlation coefficients ($R^2$) of 0.070, 0.094, 0.107, and 0.098 respectively. The correlation increased only slightly when heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ (NO$_2$×RH, $R^2$ = 0.161) was taken into consideration. It appeared that the unknown HONO sources cannot be well explained by the heterogeneous reactions on wet surfaces alone. Previous studies have suggested that light intensity could be an important parameter influencing the heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ to HONO (Han et al., 2017b; Lee et al., 2016). The photo-enhanced HONO source during the daytime has also been identified in different environments ranging from remote (Villena et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2002) to urban conditions (Lee et al., 2016). When photo-enhancement was also considered ($J_{\text{NO2}}$×NO$_2$×RH, $R^2$ = 0.496), a significantly better correlation was achieved (Table 3). This suggests that the photosensitized reaction of NO$_2$ on wet surfaces may be an important source of HONO during daytime. Thus, the improvement in the correlation between HONO and other parameters indicates that photochemistry might indeed play an important role in daytime HONO formation (George et al., 2005; Stemmler et al., 2006). Since the correlation coefficient between $P_{\text{unknown}}$ and $J_{\text{NO2}}$×NO$_2$×RH is comparable with the value between $P_{\text{unknown}}$ and $J_{\text{NO2}}$×NO$_2$×S/V×RH ($R^2$ =0.487), either ground or aerosol surfaces can be the dominant reaction site for photosensitized conversion of NO$_2$. 
3.5.6 Model Simulation of HONO

The relative contributions of potential HONO sources were assessed by a box model based on the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCMv3.2) (Jenkin et al., 2012). In addition to the homogeneous reaction of NO with OH, four sources of HONO were included, i.e., heterogeneous HONO formation from NO\(_2\) reaction on aerosol surface and ground surface and light-induced conversion of NO\(_2\) on aerosols and ground surface. Dry deposition of HONO was also considered and a deposition velocity of 0.48 cm s\(^{-1}\) was used here (Lee et al., 2016).

Most laboratory studies suggest that the heterogeneous reaction on surface leading to HONO is proportional to the first order of NO\(_2\) (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1999), therefore the HONO formation can be represented by the following reactions (Li et al., 2010):

\[
\text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HONO}, \quad (11)
\]

\[
\text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HONO}, \quad (12)
\]

where \(k_a\) and \(k_g\) are the first-order rate constants for aerosol and ground surface reactions, respectively. For the heterogeneous reaction on aerosols, the first order rate constant was estimated as:

\[
k_a = \frac{\gamma_{\text{NO}_2, \text{aerosol}} S/V}{4} \quad (13)
\]

where \(\bar{v}\) is the root mean square (RMS) velocity of NO\(_2\), S/V is the aerosol surface area-to-volume ratio and \(\gamma_{\text{NO}_2, \text{aerosol}}\) is the reactive uptake coefficient on the aerosol surface, with a value of 1\(\times\)10\(^{-6}\) under dark conditions (Aumont et al., 2003; Li et al., 2010). Under sunlight, however, significant enhancement of NO\(_2\) conversion to HONO has been found for various types of aerosol surfaces, such as humic acid and similar organic materials (Stemmler et al., 2007), soot (Monge et al., 2010), and mineral dusts (Ndour et al., 2008). To account for the photoenhancement, a higher value of uptake coefficient (2\(\times\)10\(^{-5}\)) was used for solar radiation less than 400 W m\(^{-2}\) and an uptake coefficient scaled by (light intensity)/400 for solar radiation larger than 400 W m\(^{-2}\) as suggested by Li et al. (2010). Accordingly, in this work the photoenhanced uptake coefficient was taken as 2\(\times\)10\(^{-5}\) around the
morning hours (~9 AM) and was scaled by the measured photolysis rate of \( \text{NO}_2 \), i.e., \( \frac{J_{\text{NO}_2}}{2 \times 10^{-3}} \) for \( J_{\text{NO}_2} \) higher than \( 2 \times 10^{-3} \) (the value of \( J_{\text{NO}_2} \) at ~9AM).

\[ k_g = \frac{V_{d,\text{NO}_2}}{2H} \]  

\[ V_{d,\text{NO}_2} = \frac{\gamma_{\text{NO}_2,\text{ground}}}{4.2} \]  

Equation (14) was used to denote the heterogeneous reactions on the ground surfaces, where \( V_{d,\text{NO}_2} \) represents deposition velocity of \( \text{NO}_2 \); \( H \) is the PBL height; and \( \gamma_{\text{NO}_2,\text{ground}} \) is the reactive uptake coefficient on the ground.

Here we assume an \( \text{NO}_2 \) reactive uptake coefficient of \( 1 \times 10^{-5} \) (Trick, 2004) in the dark on ground surfaces with a yield of 50% and increase it to \( 2 \times 10^{-5} \) in the daytime, given that the photosensitized reactivity of \( \text{NO}_2 \) on the ground surface is the same as on the aerosol surface. The observed boundary layer height varied from 73 m to 600 m diurnally. The same scale factor (\( \frac{J_{\text{NO}_2}}{2 \times 10^{-3}} \)) was also applied to the daytime ground surface reactions.

Figure 10a shows the averaged diurnal profiles of the measured HONO concentration and the simulated HONO concentrations from different sources. In general, the box model can capture the observed HONO trend with very similar magnitude of concentration, with a modeled-to-observed HONO ratio of 1.16 during the day and 1.40 at night. In early morning, ground surface appeared to play an important role in HONO heterogeneous production while the PBL was still relatively shallow. However, after ~9:00, despite of the swift developing of PBL, fine particle loading started increasing substantially (as shown in Fig. 3), indicating strong secondary formation. Meanwhile, HONO production on aerosol surfaces also increased moderately. We found that higher daytime values were mostly due to the light-induced conversion of \( \text{NO}_2 \) on aerosol surfaces in addition to the homogeneous reaction of \( \text{NO} \) with OH. While at night, heterogeneous HONO production on ground surface dominated nocturnal HONO sources and the nighttime aerosol surfaces only contributed slightly to the total nighttime HONO. The box model tended to under-predict HONO during daytime, which also led to an ~1-hr delay in the peaking time of the simulated HONO. The most likely reason for these disagreements is due to the fact that heterogeneous conversion of \( \text{NO}_2 \) on various surfaces is too complicated to be fully represented by a single scaling parameter in a linear form.
Nevertheless, the general agreement between observation and simulation in this work demonstrated that photo-induced NO$_2$ conversion on aerosol surfaces was the most important HONO source in the study area during daytime.

A Monte Carlo sensitivity analysis was also conducted to assess the model simulation uncertainty of HONO concentration. For each of the 24 hours, 100 independent runs were performed. The Monte Carlo sensitivity analysis show that the model uncertainty of HONO ranged from ±15% to ±37%. The sensitivity analysis reinforced the conclusions that the proposed heterogeneous sources can generally capture the observed HONO trend.

To investigate the interaction between HONO chemistry and secondary aerosol formations within industrial plumes, we have simulated HONO within the two industrial plume events (see Fig. 6). The results are shown in Fig. 10b. Clearly, HONO was much higher within the industrial plumes comparing to the campaign average (Fig. 10a). In addition, aerosol surfaces were the most important HONO source during daytime (7:00 AM - 5:00 PM), especially in the afternoon. Within the industrial plumes, aerosol surfaces contributed around 45% of the observed daytime HONO and only about 11% of total HONO was from the ground surfaces. The fact that ground surfaces were less important during daytime than nighttime was most likely due to the much higher daytime PBL, causing substantial dilution of HONO formed on the ground surfaces. Meanwhile, secondary particulate matters were rapidly produced within the PBL, providing additional heterogeneous reaction sites for HONO formation as a strong OH source to further promote atmospheric oxidative capacity. It should be noted that the reactive uptake of NO$_2$ on various surfaces can be highly variable with the type of surfaces. The value used here ($\sim 2 \times 10^{-5}$) is toward the lower end of values reported in the literatures, which is likely the reason that the simulated HONO is generally less than the observations within industrial plumes. The heterogeneous NO$_2$ uptake kinetics and HONO yields of real atmospheric substrates are still under active study and may be different compared to the artificial surfaces studied in the laboratory setting. Nevertheless, enhanced photosensitized conversion of NO$_2$ on aerosol surfaces is demonstrated here as a major HONO source in the plumes influenced by industrial emissions.
4 Conclusions

Nitrous acid was measured with a custom-built wet-chemistry based HONO analyzer, together with other atmospheric OH precursors (O₃ and HCHO) at a suburb site of Nanjing in December 2015. The mixing ratios of HONO varied from 0.03 ppbv to 7.04 ppbv with an average of 1.32 ± 0.92 ppbv. Daytime HONO was sustained at a relatively high concentration, with a minimum diurnal hourly average of ~0.6 ppbv observed around 16:00 LT. A MCM-box model was used to investigate the HONO chemistry and its impact on atmospheric oxidation capacity in the study area. The results show that the average OH production rates from the photolysis of HONO, ozonolysis of alkenes, photolysis of O₃, HCHO, and H₂O₂ were 7.13×10⁶ molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹, 3.94×10⁶ molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹, 2.46×10⁶ molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹, 1.60×10⁶ molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹ and 2.39×10⁵ molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹, respectively. The box model results show that the average total OH production rate was 1.54×10⁷ molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹ during daytime, on average about 45% from the photolysis of HONO, 30% from ozonolysis of alkenes, 15% from the photolysis of O₃, 8% form the photolysis of HCHO and 2% from the photolysis of H₂O₂.

Elevated daytime HONO evidently played an important role in sustaining the atmospheric oxidative capability in the study area, which cannot be explained by the typical OH+NO homogeneous formation mechanism. The observed similarity between the diurnal profiles of HONO/NO₂ ratio and HONO strongly suggests that HONO was most likely originated from NO₂ heterogeneous reactions. In this study, the averaged NO₂ to HONO conversion rate was determined to be ~0.9% hr⁻¹. Good correlation between nocturnal HONO/NO₂ and the products of S/V·RH supports the heterogeneous NO₂/H₂O reaction mechanism.

To fully assess the HONO chemistry in the study area, an MCM box model was developed to examine HONO budget. In general, the box model can capture the observed HONO trend with a modeled-to-observed HONO ratio of 1.16 during the day and 1.40 at night. The model suggests that higher daytime levels of HONO were mainly produced by the light-induced conversion of NO₂ on aerosol surfaces (except early morning), while the...
heterogeneous HONO production on ground surface dominated nocturnal HONO sources. The box model tends to
over-predict HONO at night. The most possible reason for these discrepancies is due to the fact that heterogeneous
conversion of NO₂ on various surfaces was too complicated to be fully represented by a single scaling parameter
in a linear form. Nevertheless, the general agreement between observation and simulation in this work reiterated
that photo-induced NO₂ conversion on ground and aerosol surfaces was the most important HONO source in the
study area. In the industrial plume case study, it was demonstrated that heterogeneous photosensitized conversion
of NO₂ on aerosol surfaces was particularly intensified, when rapid growth of secondary particulate matters was
simultaneously observed. Our results indicate that the heterogeneous photosensitized conversion of NO₂ on aerosol
surfaces becomes the largest HONO source throughout the daytime, which in turn can enhance OH production,
increase the oxidative capacity of atmosphere, and further strengthen the formation of SOA during the daytime in
this environment.
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Table 1. Overview on HONO measurements performed in Nanjing and other cities in China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>HONO (ppbv)*</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beijing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep. - Oct. 2015 (autumn)</td>
<td>2.27 ± 1.82</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan. 2016 (winter)</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apr. - May 2016 (spring)</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jun. - Jul. 2016 (summer)</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Xi’an</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul. - Aug. 2015 (summer)</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.97</td>
<td>Huang et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jinan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov. 2013 - Jan. 2014 (winter)</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2015a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nanjing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apr. - Jun. 2012 (spring)</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.79</td>
<td>Nie et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Xianghe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 2010 - Dec 2012</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.16*</td>
<td>Hendrick et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beijing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan. - Feb. 2007(winter)</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.73</td>
<td>Spataro et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guangzhou</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul. 2006 (summer)</td>
<td>0.71–8.43 (2.8)**</td>
<td>Qin et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Xinken</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct. - Nov. 2004 (autumn)</td>
<td>0.4–3.8 (1.2)**</td>
<td>Li et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nanjing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 2015 (winter)</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.92</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Campaign averaged; **Yearly average; "Only range and mean values are reported
Table 2. Ozonolysis reaction rate constants and OH formation yields of the volatile organic compounds (VOC) used in the calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>k(298K) × 10^{-18} (cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹)a</th>
<th>OH yield</th>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>k(298K) × 10^{-18} (cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹)a</th>
<th>OH yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethene</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.13b</td>
<td>trans-2-Pentene</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.47c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.34b</td>
<td>cis-2-Pentene</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-2-Butene</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.59b</td>
<td>1-Pentene</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.37b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-2-Butene</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.37b</td>
<td>Isoprene</td>
<td>12.8c</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.03c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Butene</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>0.41b</td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.07c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: Atkinson and Arey (2003); b: Rickard et al. (1999); c: Alicke et al. (2002)
Table 3. Linear correlation coefficients (Pearson correlation, $R^2$) of the unknown source to HONO production-related parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient ($R^2$)</th>
<th>Various Combinations of</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient ($R^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RH</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>$J(\text{NO}_2) \cdot \text{S/V}$</td>
<td>0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NO}_2$</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>$J(\text{NO}_2) \cdot \text{NO}_2$</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/V</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>$J(\text{NO}_2) \cdot \text{RH}$</td>
<td>0.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J(\text{NO}_2)$</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>$J(\text{NO}_2) \cdot \text{NO}_2 \cdot \text{RH}$</td>
<td>0.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NO}_2 \cdot \text{S/V}$</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>$J(\text{NO}_2) \cdot \text{NO}_2 \cdot \text{S/V}$</td>
<td>0.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NO}_2 \cdot \text{RH}$</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>$\text{NO}_2 \cdot \text{RH} \cdot \text{S/V}$</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH $\cdot$ S/V</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>$J(\text{NO}_2) \cdot \text{NO}_2 \cdot \text{S/V} \cdot \text{RH}$</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Schematics of the custom-built wet chemistry-based HONO instrument.
Figure 2. Time series of meteorological parameters, including HONO photolysis frequency (J(HONO)), relative humidity (RH), ambient temperature, wind speed and wind direction, as well as mixing ratios of measured HONO, HCHO, NO, NO$_2$, O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ during the observation period.
Figure 3. Average diurnal profiles of HONO, NO$_2$, HONO/NO$_2$ and S/V. Error bars represent the standard deviations in hourly bins.
Figure 4. Time series of simulated OH (panel a) and observed photolysis rates ($J$(HONO) and $J$(O\textsuperscript{1}D)) (panel b). The gaps in the OH time series were the time periods when some observation data were not available.
Figure 5. Campaign averaged diurnal variations of contribution fractions of OH production rates from HONO photolysis (brown), alkene ozonolysis (red), O$_3$ photolysis (blue), HCHO photolysis (green), and H$_2$O$_2$ photolysis (purple).
Figure 6. The same plots as Fig. 5 during two industrial plume events on the 7th (upper panel) and from the 21st-22nd (lower panel) of December. The corresponding rose plots indicate the origin of these plumes, i.e., the industry park to the east of the observation site.
Figure 7. Nighttime correlations between HONO/NO$_2$ and RH, S/V and the product of S/V·RH.
Figure 8. Correlation between HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} and relative humidity (RH) at night. The open gray circles are 30-min averages. The red circles represent the averages of the top-5 maxima of HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratios in 10% RH bins. Error bars represent standard deviations of the top-5 HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratios in 10% RH bins. The black line is linear fit of the red circles for HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} with RH.
Figure 9. Averaged production and loss rates of daytime HONO and J(NO₂) during the measurement period. The black line shows the photolysis rate of NO₂.
Figure 10. a) Averaged diurnal profiles of the measured HONO and the modeled HONO from different sources. Error bars on the black line represent standard deviations of HONO measurements in hourly bins. Stacked areas show contributions of different HONO sources to the modeled HONO concentration; b) The same plot as panel a, except that only the two industrial plume events (the 7th and from the 21st to 22nd) were considered in the model.