The determination of highly time resolved and source separated black carbon emission rates using radon as a tracer of atmospheric dynamics (Supplement)
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1. Source apportionment of BC: Estimation of the site specific AAE_TR and AAE_BB:

A histogram of absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) derived by Eq. 1 from AE33 measurements for both measurement locations is shown on Fig. S1. For direct comparison, only the time period with available measurements at both locations simultaneously was considered and covers the period from February to May 2017. Winter AAE median values of 1.36 and 1.60 and spring median values of 1.22 and 1.36 are characteristic for Ljubljana (LJ) and Ajdovščina (AJ) location, respectively. Lower AAE values measured in LJ correspond well to the urban nature of LJ measurement site, where stronger influence of traffic on BC concentrations is expected.

Figure S1: Absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) frequency distributions of 10-minute averages for Ajdovščina (AJ) and Ljubljana (LJ) for the period from February – May 2017 (winter: February, March; spring: April, May).
The same AAE_TR – AAE_BB pair of 1.0 and 2.0 was chosen for both measurement locations, based on the evaluation of AAE distribution (Fig. S1). Source apportioned BC concentration (Sandradewi et al., 2008; Zotter et al., 2017) diurnal variation is shown on Fig. S2 for two limiting AAE_BB values: 1.7 and 2.0 and fixed AAE_TR = 1.0. The AAE pair of 1.0 and 1.7 results in BC_TR and BC_BB concentrations presented by blue line, whereas the AAE pair of 1.0 and 2.0 results are presented by red line. Shaded area shows the range of source apportioned concentration between the limiting AAE_BB values.

The lower limit of 1.7 for AAE_BB is apparently not suitable for AJ location, since overall AAE distribution of aerosol mixture in winter frequently exceeds 2.0 (Fig. S1). The source specific AAE values used for source apportionment are representative for the location and type of combustion, they can be interpreted as “average” values at the specific receptor site. The choice of AAE_BB needs to fall just below the maximum values seen at this site (a case of exclusive contribution of biomass burning, allowing still some variation of “real” AAE_BB, which may vary with time to a certain degree, depending on the primary emissions of combustion and the formation of light absorbing secondary organic aerosol (Kumar et al., 2018)). Moreover, an increase of BC_BB causes simultaneous decrease of BC_TR.

This effect can be clearly observed in the Ajdovščina winter diurnal profile after 21:00, when BC_TR unrealistically drops to almost zero (Fig. S2c). On the other hand, AAE_BB = 2 results in reasonable diurnal variation of source apportioned BC. In winter, BC_TR and BC_BB concentrations start to increase around 5:00 and exhibit the morning peak between 7:00 and 8:00, when BC is dominated by traffic sources. After daytime dilution in the rising PBL, both BC_TR and BC_BB start to increase between 16:00 and 17:00 due to decreased mixing in the PBL. BC_TR exhibits the afternoon peak around 19:00, whereas BC_BB further increases until 21:00.

AAE distribution at LJ location is clearly shifted to lower values, as compared to AJ location, which can be assigned to stronger contribution of traffic sources. However, by considering only the AAE distribution and the diurnal variation of source apportioned BC, without any other independent measurements, it is not possible to define a reliable source specific AAE pair used for source apportionment. Therefore, a suitable AAE pair for source apportionment was evaluated also by re-evaluation of subsequently modelled BC emission rate (discussed in Section 3.4). Average BC biomass burning fraction resulting from source apportionment using two different values of AAE_BB (1.7 or 2.0) is presented in Table S1.
Figure S2: Diurnal variation (local time: CET/CEST) of contribution of traffic ($BC_{TR}$) and biomass burning ($BC_{BB}$) to total $BC$ concentration in winter (January – February) and spring (March – April) period for Ljubljana (LJ) and Ajdovščina (AJ) measurement site, by considering different pairs of absorption Ångström exponents (AAE). AAE$_{TR}$ was fixed to 1.0, AAE$_{BB}$ was set to 1.7 (blue line) and 2 (red line). The shaded area represents a range of $BC_{TR}$ (grey) and $BC_{BB}$ (yellow) concentrations calculated between both extreme values of AAE$_{BB}$. Diurnal variation is derived from 1-minute data by considering median of concentration for specific hour.

Table S1: Average BC biomass burning fraction (BB%) based on source apportionment using fixed AAE$_{TR}$ = 1.0 and limit values of 1.7 and 2.0 for AAE$_{BB}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement location</th>
<th>Winter BB% (AAE$_{BB}$ = 1.7)</th>
<th>Winter BB% (AAE$_{BB}$ = 2.0)</th>
<th>Spring BB% (AAE$_{BB}$ = 1.7)</th>
<th>Spring BB% (AAE$_{BB}$ = 2.0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LJ</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AJ</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. BC vertical profile measurements by ultralight aircraft over Ljubljana

Black carbon vertical profiles (Figure S3) were measured in the Ljubljana basin using an ultralight airplane (Aerospool Dynamic WT9; see GLWF, 2019). The air was sampled using an isokinetic inlet and a modified version of the Aethalometer AE33 with 1 second time resolution (Drinovec et al., 2015). The location of the inlet prevented self-pollution from the airplane exhaust and the inlet was designed to be iso-kinetic at the airplane airspeed. The inlet is a conical diffusor, mounted on the holder of the Pitot tube under the wing, and designed for airspeed 240 km/h. The plane followed the helical path between 400 m and 1100 m ASL (100 – 800 m AGL). The BC concentration was used as a parameter quantifying the influence of ground sources on the primary air pollution in the mixing layer and the mixing layer height was estimated from BC vertical profiles. The measured data was fitted using a Boltzmann function:

\[ y = \frac{A_1 - A_2}{1 + e^{(x-x_0)/A_2}} + A_2, \]

where \(x_0 \) represents the mixing layer height (MLH). Comparison of MLH determined by plane measurements and Rn-model are presented in Table S2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date &amp; time (UTC)</th>
<th>BC vertical profile MLH (m a.s.l) / (m a.g.l.)</th>
<th>Radon model MLH (m a.g.l.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16/02/2017 15:03</td>
<td>712 ± 3 / 412 ± 3</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/03/2017 7:40</td>
<td>481 ± 1 / 181 ± 1</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/03/2017 7:10</td>
<td>460 ± 2 / 160 ± 2</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/05/2019 5:10</td>
<td>490 ± 3 / 190 ± 3</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S3: Black carbon vertical profiles above Ljubljana at different conditions of atmospheric stability.
3. Local wind conditions

Figure S4: Time series of hourly and daily average wind speeds (ws) for Ljubljana (a) and Ajdovščina (Vipava valley) (b). Dashed blue line represent daily average wind speed at 80th percentile of all data distribution. Days, when daily average ws exceeds the limit value of 2 m s\(^{-1}\) and 2.7 m s\(^{-1}\) for Ljubljana and Ajdovščina, respectively, are not considered in the analyses.

Figure S5: Diurnal variation of wind speed (ws) for normal and strong wind conditions for Ljubljana (a) and Vipava valley (b), grouped by season, and corresponding wind roses for Ljubljana (c) and Vipava valley (d).
4. Smoothing of Rn concentration \( (C_{Rn}) \) measurements

![Graph showing linear regression and FFT filter]

Fig. S6: a) Linear regression between measured \( C_{Rn} \) and smoothed \( C_{Rn} \) data for Ljubljana. b) FFT filter with cut-off frequency of 0.25 h\(^{-1}\) was applied to raw data.

5. Sensitivity analyses of spatial decay constant

Sensitivity analyses of modelled \( E_{TR} \) for different \( \gamma_{TR} \) values were performed based on comparison with measured traffic density at representative street section, which connects two of Ljubljana arteries leading from the ring to the city center (Figure S7). Traffic during working days in Ljubljana is characterized by two significant peaks, morning peak between 7:00 and 9:00 and afternoon peak between 15:00 and 17:00. The fraction of freight vehicles is higher in morning hours, whereas mainly car traffic is characteristic for afternoon hours. After 17:00 traffic density decreases towards midnight and is the lowest between midnight and 4:00. Sunday diurnal pattern significantly differs from working days by about 50% smaller traffic density and the missing morning peak.

Linear regression (presented as \( R^2 \)) between normalized traffic density (normalized by mean) diurnal profile and normalized \( E_{TR} \) diurnal profile (normalized by median values) for different choice of spatial decay constant \( (\gamma_{TR}) \) is presented on Figure S8 and S9 b. The strength of correlation is the highest for \( \gamma_{TR} \) selection between \( 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^{-1} \) and \( 7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^{-1} \) (points marked with “B”, “C” and “D” on Figure S9 b). As shown by diurnal evolution (in terms of median \( E_{TR} \)) on Figure S9 c, the reason for weaker correlation with traffic density for point “G” is the overestimation of \( E_{TR} \) in the afternoon hours, which is caused by stronger wind speeds in afternoon hours. Decreasing \( \gamma_{TR} \) from “D” to “B” would cause 23% lower median \( E_{TR} \) calculated for the afternoon peak emissions at 15:00, where the highest model uncertainty is expected. On the other hand, increasing \( \gamma_{TR} \) from “D” to “G” would result in 36% higher \( E_{TR} \) for the same time period.
Figure S7: Mean traffic density (and standard deviation) for working days (a) and Sundays (b) for diesel cars, freight vehicles and buses. The ratio between gasoline and diesel cars in Slovenia is 55 (gasoline):45(diesel) (Si-Stat, 2019).

Figure S8: Comparison between diurnal profiles of traffic density and modelled $E_{TR}$ in Ljubljana. Normalized mean hourly values are presented for traffic density, whereas $E_{TR}$ values are presented in terms of normalized median, 25th and 75th quantile (a). Linear regression of points that are presented on the diurnal plot results in $R^2=0.75$. 
Figure S9: Dependence of modelled $E_{\text{TR}}$ on the choice of horizontal advection term. a) Spatial decrease of BC concentration from the source by different $\gamma$. Labels are explained in the table. b) Dependence of $R^2$ for correlation between normalized diurnal profile of traffic density and normalized diurnal profile (in terms of median hourly values) of modelled $E_{\text{TR}}$ for Ljubljana. c) Diurnal profile of modelled $E_{\text{TR}}$ for selected cases of $\gamma_{\text{TR}}$ for Ljubljana: line – median, shaded area – 25th to 75th quantile.
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