Shipborne measurements of ClNO$_2$ in the Mediterranean Sea and around the Arabian Peninsula during summer
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Abstract. Shipborne measurements of nitryl chloride (ClNO$_2$), hydrogen chloride (HCl) and sulphur dioxide (SO$_2$) were made during the AQABA (Air Quality and climate change in the Arabian BAsin) ship campaign in summer 2017. The dataset includes measurements over the Mediterranean Sea, the Suez Canal, the Red Sea, the Gulf of Aden, the Arabian Sea, the Gulf of Oman and the Arabian Gulf (also known as Persian Gulf) with observed ClNO$_2$ mixing ratios ranging from the limit of detection to $\approx 600$ pptv. We examined the regional variability in the generation of ClNO$_2$ via the uptake of dinitrogen pentoxide (N$_2$O$_5$) to Cl-containing aerosol and its importance for Cl-atom generation in a marine boundary layer under the (variable) influence of emissions from shipping and oil industry. The yield of ClNO$_2$ formation per NO$_3$ radical generated was generally low (median of $\approx 1$–5 \% depending on the region), mainly as a result of gas-phase loss of NO$_3$ dominating over heterogeneous loss of N$_2$O$_5$, the latter being disfavoured by the high temperatures found throughout the campaign. The contributions of ClNO$_2$ photolysis and OH-induced HCl oxidation to Cl-radical formation were derived and their relative contributions over the diel cycle compared. The results indicate that over the northern Red Sea, the Gulf of Suez and the Gulf of Oman the formation of Cl-atoms will enhance the oxidation rates of some VOCs, especially in the early morning.

1 Introduction

The AQABA (Air Quality and climate change in the Arabian BAsin) campaign was designed to study air quality and climate in a region (Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East) that is likely to be heavily impacted by future climate change with increasing frequency and intensity of droughts, heatwaves and associated Aeolian dust and pollution emissions (Lelieveld et al., 2012). As the Arabian Gulf already suffers from some of the most polluted air on Earth with O$_3$ levels regularly greater than 100 ppbv (Lelieveld et al., 2009), one aspect of the campaign was to investigate the factors that contribute to high levels
of air pollution in the region. This includes the impact of reactive chlorine chemistry resulting from the interactions of pollutant emissions from ships and petrochemical activity with sea-salt, under conditions influenced by intense photochemistry and high temperatures during summer.

The heterogeneous uptake of gaseous N₂O₅ to the aerosol phase represents an important atmospheric sink for NO₃ (NO + NO₂) via conversion to nitric acid (HNO₃), which is efficiently removed from the boundary layer via deposition (Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1990; Dentener and Crutzen, 1993; Macintyre and Evans, 2010). In the presence of aerosol chloride, nitryl chloride (ClNO₂) can also be formed along with HNO₃ (NO₃⁻) as shown in Reaction (R1) (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 1989; Behnke et al., 1997). ClNO₂ has a lifetime of more than 30 hours in the nocturnal marine boundary layer (Osthoff et al., 2008) but is rapidly photolysed after sunrise (Reaction R2), releasing nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and chlorine atoms.

\[ \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + (\text{H}_2\text{O} \text{ or Cl}) \rightarrow (2-f) \text{NO}_3^- + f\text{ClNO}_2, \quad 0 \leq f \leq 1 \]  
(R1)

\[ \text{ClNO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{Cl} + \text{NO}_2 \]  
(R2)

The formation of ClNO₂ can have a significant impact on regional NO₃ cycling and radical chemistry especially in the polluted coastal and marine boundary layer (Simon et al., 2009; Riedel et al., 2014; Sarwar et al., 2014). The Cl-atoms formed in Reaction (R2) can enhance oxidation rates of several volatile organic compounds (VOCs) especially during early morning hours (Phillips et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2012a; Young et al., 2012) thus contributing to photochemical ozone production (Simon et al., 2009; Riedel et al., 2014; Sarwar et al., 2014; Faxon et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2019).

The chemical processes involved in the formation of ClNO₂ are complex and, as outlined in Fig. 1, involve the sequential oxidation of NO₃ to N₂O₅ via NO₃ (Reactions R3–R5). During the day NO₃ is rapidly photolysed via Reaction (R6) or reacts with nitrogen oxide (NO) via Reaction (R7) so that N₂O₅ formation is suppressed. The heterogeneous reaction of N₂O₅ with particles is thus, to a good approximation, limited to the night-time. The equilibrium between NO₃ and N₂O₅ (Reactions R4 and R5) is strongly temperature-dependent, with N₂O₅ formation favoured by high NO₂ mixing ratios and low temperatures. NO₃ can also react with volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (R8) forming e.g. alkyl nitrates, which also reduces the rate of formation of N₂O₅.

\[ \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3 + \text{O}_2 \]  
(R3)

\[ \text{NO}_3 + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \]  
(R4)

\[ \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{NO}_3 + \text{NO}_2 + \text{M} \]  
(R5)

\[ \text{NO}_3 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}_2 \]  
(R6a)

\[ \text{NO}_3 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O} \]  
(R6b)

\[ \text{NO}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow 2\text{ NO}_2 \]  
(R7)

\[ \text{NO}_3 + \text{VOCs} \rightarrow \text{products} \]  
(R8)

The N₂O₅ loss rate via heterogeneous uptake to particles is described by Eq. (1) where \( \bar{c} \) is the average molecular velocity of N₂O₅, \( A \) is the particle surface area concentration and \( \gamma \) is the uptake coefficient.

\[ \frac{d[N_2O_5]}{dt} = -0.25 \bar{c} \gamma A [N_2O_5] \]  
(1)
The uptake coefficient, \( \gamma \), has been characterised in several laboratory investigations (see Bertram and Thornton (2009); Chang et al. (2011); Ammann et al. (2013) for summaries) and in numerous field studies where it has been found to be highly variable (between \( 5 \times 10^{-4} \) and 0.11) and dependent on temperature, relative humidity (RH) and aerosol composition (Brown et al., 2006; Bertram et al., 2009; Brown et al., 2009; Riedel et al., 2012b; Wagner et al., 2013; Morgan et al., 2015; Brown et al., 2016; Phillips et al., 2016). A value of \( \approx 0.03 \) has been derived from measurements in the polluted marine environment (Aldener et al., 2006).

The ClNO\(_2\) yield, \( f \), which controls the relative formation rates of NO\(_3^-\) and ClNO\(_2\) in Reaction (R1), is determined by the [Cl\(^-\)] to [H\(_2\)O] ratio in the aerosol phase (Behnke et al., 1997; Bertram and Thornton, 2009; Ammann et al., 2013), and can vary between zero to unity (Thornton et al., 2010; Wagner et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2013; Phillips et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; McDuffie et al., 2018b). In Fig. 1 we introduce the ClNO\(_2\) production efficiency \( \varepsilon \), which is the yield of ClNO\(_2\) per NO\(_3^-\) molecule formed in Reaction (R3) and will be discussed in detail in Sect. 3.2.

The established method to measure atmospheric ClNO\(_2\) mixing ratios from a few tens of pptv (part per trillion by volume) to several ppbv (parts per billion by volume) is Chemical Ionisation Mass Spectrometry (CIMS) using iodide ions to generate I-ClNO\(_2^-\) which can be detected at a mass-to-charge ratio \( (m/z) \) of 208 and 210 (McNeill et al., 2006). The first measurement highlighting the importance of ClNO\(_2\) in the polluted marine boundary layer was performed by Osthoff et al. (2008) who detected mixing ratios exceeding 1 ppbv along the coast of Houston, Texas, originating from ship-plumes and urban and industrial NO\(_x\) sources. This was the starting point for numerous measurements of ClNO\(_2\) in various locations around the globe with an initial focus on coastal areas in the United States (U.S.), e.g. the Los Angeles Basin in California (Riedel et al., 2012a; Wagner et al., 2012; Young et al., 2012). Other studies included coastal sites in Canada (Osthoff et al., 2018) and coastal / urban sites in the United Kingdom (Bannan et al., 2015; Bannan et al., 2017; Priestley et al., 2018; Sommariva et al., 2018). Whereas ClNO\(_2\) was initially believed to play a significant role only in areas with marine influence (Behnke et al., 1997; Keene et al., 1999), mid-continental measurements in the U.S. (Thornton et al., 2010; Riedel et al., 2013; Faxon et al., 2015) revealed the importance of anthropogenic sources (e.g. industrial combustion, cooling towers, natural gas extraction and suspension of road salt) and sea salt chloride transported inland. Further studies reported significant mixing ratios of ClNO\(_2\) at a semi-rural site in continental Germany (Phillips et al., 2012; Phillips et al., 2016) and at a mid-continental urban site in Canada (Mielke et al., 2011; Mielke et al., 2016). Observations at continental sites could be reproduced by a global model (Wang et al., 2019) when considering the transport of HCl (aq) which had been initially formed in the gas-phase through acid displacement in coastal regions. More recently, ClNO\(_2\) at the > 1 ppbv level has been observed in the heavily industrialised North China Plain (Tham et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017; Tham et al., 2018), with even larger mixing ratios measured in Beijing (Le Breton et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018) and Hong Kong (Wang et al., 2016).

The great variability seen in ClNO\(_2\) mixing ratios in different locations reflects regional variability in its efficiency of production, which, as described above involves a complex set of chemical reactions, both in the gas- and particle phase and which will vary over time and space. Most measurements of ClNO\(_2\) to date have been measurements at single locations, though some data from mobile platforms such as aircraft (Mielke et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2018; McDuffie et al., 2018a;
McDuffie et al., 2018b) and ships (Kercher et al., 2009; Riedel et al., 2012a) are available. With respect to understanding the formation and role of ClNO\(_2\), much of the atmospheric boundary layer remains unexplored. Here we present shipborne measurements of ClNO\(_2\) in the marine boundary layer of the Mediterranean Sea and around the Arabian Peninsula, including the Red Sea and the Arabian Gulf. With a ship track from southern France to Kuwait we provide a unique marine ClNO\(_2\) dataset with a large spatial coverage. This allows us to investigate the ClNO\(_2\) production efficiency \(\varepsilon\) and its regional impact under various atmospheric conditions ranging from polluted marine and coastal environment to low-NO\(_x\) conditions in chemically aged air masses.

2 Methods

2.1 AQABA campaign

The measurements presented in this study were performed during the AQABA campaign which took place along the sea route between southern France and Kuwait in summer 2017. Five air-conditioned measurement containers with a variety of gas-phase and aerosol instrumentation were set up on-board the research vessel *Kommander Iona* which departed from Southern France on 24 June 2017 and passed various regions including the Mediterranean Sea, the Suez Canal, the Red Sea, the Gulf of Aden, the Arabian Sea, the Gulf of Oman and the Arabian Gulf (see Fig. 2), reaching its destination Kuwait on 31 July 2017 (first leg) and covering a latitude/longitude span of 12–43 °N and 6–60 °E. After a short break in Kuwait the ship returned via the same route to southern France, arriving on 2 September 2017 (second leg). The trace-gases described in this paper were sampled from the centre of a common, high volume-flow inlet (10 m\(^3\) min\(^{-1}\), 0.15 m in diameter, 0.2 s residence time) made of stainless steel, which was located on a measurement container at the front of the ship at a height of approximately 5.5 m above the foredeck. Depending on the wind direction relative to the movement of the vessel, measurements were occasionally impacted by emissions from the stack of our own ship. Especially on the first leg, the relative wind direction was frequently from behind where the chimney was located. All datasets were filtered prior to analysis for periods where the measurements were contaminated by stack emissions to avoid a potential bias in the results. The filter is based on short-term variation in NO and SO\(_2\) signals and relative wind direction and reduces the useful data coverage to 58 % on the first leg and 95 % on the second leg.

2.2 Measurement of ClNO\(_2\), HCl and SO\(_2\)

Nitryl chloride (ClNO\(_2\)), hydrogen chloride (HCl) and sulphur dioxide (SO\(_2\)) were detected with a Chemical Ionisation Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer (CI-QMS) using an electrical, radio-frequency (RF) discharge ion-source. The instrument and the ion-molecule-reactions involved in the detection of the above-mentioned trace gases are described in detail by Eger et al. (2019). Briefly, ClNO\(_2\) was monitored as I·ClNO\(_2\)\(^-\) at a mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of 208 and 210 subsequent to the reaction of ClNO\(_2\) with I\(^-\) (McNeill et al., 2006; Osthoff et al., 2008; Thornton et al., 2010). I·ClNO\(_2\)\(^-\) is more specific than
ICl (m/z 162 and 164) and has a lower background signal, providing a sensitivity of 0.61 Hz pptv⁻¹ per 10⁶ Hz of I⁺ at m/z 208 (and 0.20 Hz pptv⁻¹ at m/z 210), a limit of detection (LOD) (2σ, 5 min) of 12 pptv and a total measurement uncertainty of 30 % ± 6 pptv. We chose the signal at m/z 208 for its higher signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio to calculate the ClNO₂ mixing ratios reported. For the whole campaign dataset, the ratio between m/z 208 and m/z 210 was 3.08 (R² = 0.96, see Fig. S1) which is very close to the expected value of 3.13 derived from the natural abundance of the ³⁵Cl and ³⁷Cl isotopes, indicating no significant interferences at either of the two m/z.

HCl was observed as I(CN)Cl⁻ (m/z 188 and 190) (Eger et al., 2019) with a sensitivity of 0.17 Hz pptv⁻¹ per 10⁶ Hz of I⁺ at m/z 188 (and 0.05 Hz pptv⁻¹ at m/z 190), a detection limit of 98 pptv and a total measurement uncertainty of 20 % ± 72 pptv. As m/z 190 suffers from known interferences (e.g. I⁻HNO₃⁻) and has a lower S/N ratio, we used m/z 188 to calculate the HCl mixing ratios reported. SO₂ was detected as ISO₃⁻ (m/z 207) with a sensitivity of 0.10 Hz pptv⁻¹ per 10⁶ Hz of I⁺, a detection limit of 38 pptv and a total uncertainty of 20 % ± 23 pptv.

A flow of 2.5 slm (standard litres per minute) was drawn into the CI-QMS instrument via a ≈ 3 m long 6.35 mm (OD) PFA tubing while a 20 cm section of the inlet line in front of the IMR (ion molecule reactor) was heated to 200 °C to enable detection of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) which is not reported here. The IMR region was held at a pressure of (18.00 ± 0.05) mbar by a dry vacuum scroll pump. The background signal was determined by periodically bypassing ambient air through a scrubber filled with steel wool where the trace gases of interest are efficiently destroyed at the hot surfaces (120 °C). To avoid condensation of water in the inlet lines in the containers, the pressure in the sampling line was reduced to ≈ 700–800 mbar with a bypass flow of ≈ 5 slm and by including an additional ≈ 50 cm long (coiled) piece of 3.18 mm (OD) PFA tubing. A 2 µm pore size membrane filter (Pall Teflo) was placed between high volume-flow inlet and CI-QMS sampling line to remove particles and was exchanged regularly to avoid accumulation of particulate matter. No indication for ClNO₂ formation via N₂O₅ reactions on salty surfaces in the inlet line was observed during AQABA, i.e. whenever we changed the particle filter or the inlet line, no change in signal was observed. Further the ClNO₂-to-N₂O₅ ratio was highly variable during AQABA (range of 0.35–59 with a median of 3.2) and ClNO₂ was occasionally measured in periods where no N₂O₅ was present.

ClNO₂ was calibrated twice during the campaign by simultaneously sampling a source of ClNO₂ via the CI-QMS and by a thermal dissociation cavity ring-down spectrometer (Sobanski et al., 2016). ClNO₂ was generated by passing Cl₂ over NaNO₂ as described previously (Thaler et al., 2011; Eger et al., 2019). HCl was calibrated four times throughout the campaign by adding a small flow over a permeation source to the main flow and monitoring the CI-QMS signal at m/z 188 and 190. SO₂ calibrations were performed seven times during the AQABA campaign by addition of a known flow of SO₂ from a gas cylinder (1 ppmv in synthetic air, Air Liquide). In contrast to ClNO₂ and HCl, correction of the SO₂ signal for its relative humidity (RH) dependence was necessary, which we derived from calibrations during AQABA where the RH was actively varied between 1 and 80 %.

The CI-QMS was operated in selected ion monitoring mode measuring mainly ClNO₂, HCl, SO₂, PAN and peracetic / acetic acid with a temporal resolution of approximately 15 s for each molecule. Changes in sensitivity were captured by
permanently monitoring the primary ion signal (I and its water cluster) during ambient measurements and a background signal was recorded every 100 minutes. For further analysis, all data sets were averaged to 5 min temporal resolution. Our ClNO₂, HCl and SO₂ datasets provide about 12,500 data points distributed over 61.4 days with interruptions due to background determinations, calibrations, filter and gas bottle changes and instrument power-down at the harbours of Jeddah and Kuwait. For periods where the ship was in motion, the data coverage for all three trace gases was about 80 %.

2.3 Other trace gases

O₃ was measured by a commercial ozone monitor (2B Technologies, Model 202) based on optical absorption at 254 nm with a detection limit of 3 ppbv (10 s) and a total uncertainty of 2 % ± 1 ppbv. Mixing ratios of NOₓ and NO₂ (NOₓ = NO + reactive nitrogen trace gases + particulate nitrate) were monitored via Thermal Dissociation Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy (TD-CRDS) using a modified version of the instrument described by Thieser et al. (2016). The difference between the NOₓ and the NO₂ signal is referred to as NO₃, which includes organic nitrates (peroxycetyl nitrates and alkyl nitrates), NO₃, N₂O₅, ClNO₂, HNO₃ and particulate nitrate. In contrast to Thieser et al. (2016), the TD-unit was operated at 850 °C to ensure detection of HNO₃ and nitrate in the particle phase. The detection limits for NOₓ and NO₂ were 80 and 160 pptv, respectively, with total uncertainties of 9 % ± 30 pptv. NO₂ was calculated from measured NOₓ and NO₂ with a detection limit of 160 pptv and a total uncertainty of 13 % ± 42 pptv. NO₂ (LOD = 52 pptv (1 s), total uncertainty = 7 %) and N₂O₅ (LOD = 6 pptv (1 s), total uncertainty = 15 %) were measured by a five-channel TD-CRDS described by Sobanski et al. (2016). NO and NO₂ were measured by a chemiluminescence detector (CLD 790 SR, ECO Physics, Duernten, Switzerland) (Fontijn et al., 1970; Li et al., 2015). The LOD (5 s) was 21 pptv for NO and 52 pptv for NO₂ and the total uncertainty 6 % respectively 7 %. The NO₂ data was in good agreement with the CRDS dataset (R² = 0.95) with a mean deviation of 6 %.

The hydroxyl radical (OH) was measured using a Laser-Induced-Fluorescence method (Martinez et al., 2010; Novelli et al., 2014).

2.4 Meteorological parameter and actinic flux

Photolysis rates (Jₒ(1D), JₐClNO₂ and JₐNO₃) were calculated from wavelength resolved actinic flux measured by a spectral radiometer (Metcon GmbH; Meusel et al. (2016)) located close to the common trace-gas inlet. Cross sections and quantum yields were taken from Burkholder et al. (2015). J-values were not corrected for upwelling UV radiation and are estimated to have an overall uncertainty of ≈ 10 %. A commercial NEPTUNE weather-station (Sterela) monitored various parameters such as temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and direction, speed of the vessel and GPS position.

2.5 Aerosols

An Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (Aerodyne HR-ToF-AMS, DeCarlo et al. (2006)) measured PM₁ non-refractory aerosol composition (30 s time resolution), including sulphate, nitrate, ammonium, chloride and total organics with an overall uncertainty of 35 %. An Optical Particle Spectrometer (OPC, Grimm model 1.109) measured the size distribution from 250
nm to 32 µm (6 s time resolution) with a total uncertainty of 25 %. A Fast Mobility Particle Spectrometer (FMPS, TSI model 3091) provided particle size distributions from 5.6 nm up to 560 nm (1 s time resolution). The particle surface area concentrations for PM$_1$ and PM$_{10}$ were calculated from the OPC and FMPS datasets, the overall uncertainty of these variables is estimated to be 30 %. The inlet for the aerosol instrumentation was located at the top of a measurement container at a distance of $\approx$ 5 m to the common, trace-gas inlet described above. In order to avoid condensation in inlet lines, aerosol samples were passed through a drying system which reduced ambient relative humidity to an average value of $\approx$ 40 % in the measurement container. We calculated the ambient PM$_1$ particle surface area concentration ($A$) from the measured surface area concentration using a hygroscopic growth factor (on average 1.32 ± 0.24) based on ambient RH and aerosol composition. The calculation of the growth-factor is described in the supplementary information (Fig. S2–S5). The water soluble fraction of total suspended particles (TSP) was monitored with hourly resolution using a Monitor for AeRosols and Gases in Ambient Air, MARGA (Metrohm Applikon Model S2), sampling at a distance of $\approx$ 5 m from the common gas-phase inlet. In this work only results from Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ measurements (TSP), with detection limits equal to 0.05 and 0.01 µg m$^{-3}$, will be used.

3 Results and discussion

In the following, we use only data (5 min averages) which were free from contamination by the ship’s own exhaust (see Sect. 2.1).

3.1 Overview of measurement regions and ClNO$_2$ mixing ratios observed

Figure 2 illustrates the ship’s track during AQABA, divided into seven regions demarked by dashed black lines: The Mediterranean Sea, the Suez Canal including the Gulf of Suez, the Red Sea, the Gulf of Aden, the Arabian Sea, the Gulf of Oman and the Arabian Gulf. On the first leg, the CI-QMS measurements started south of Crete; on the second leg measurements terminated close to Sicily after two months of almost continuous measurement. Maximum ClNO$_2$ mixing ratios observed during each night ranged from the limit of detection to 586 pptv (see Fig. S6 for details). Figure 2 shows 1-hour averaged ClNO$_2$ mixing ratios along the ship track during (a) first and (b) second leg. Text boxes indicate the median night-time mixing ratios of O$_3$, HCl, NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ for the different regions where data from the first and second leg datasets have been combined. The night-time mean, median and range of the mixing ratios of these trace gases (and also of NO$_2$, temperature, relative humidity, NO$_3$ production rate and PM$_1$ particle surface area concentration) are listed in Table 1; a time-series of measured ClNO$_2$, HCl, SO$_2$ and O$_3$ is provided in Fig. S7 of the supplementary information. The predominant air-mass origin for each night was derived from 48 h back-trajectories calculated with HYSPLIT (Stein et al., 2015; Rolph et al., 2017) and is illustrated for both legs in Fig. S8 of the supplementary information. While Fig. 2 provides an overview of the measurements during both legs, Fig. 3a and 3b highlight 9-day periods indicating features that characterised the
transition from one region to the next. Based on these three figures, we will discuss observed ClNO₂ mixing ratios and related parameters for the seven regions defined above.

Over the Mediterranean Sea, during periods when the CIMS was operational, we encountered mainly aged air masses which had passed over Italy, Greece or Turkey (Fig. S8) characterised by relatively high O₃ levels but low NO₂-to-NO₃ ratios. As illustrated in Fig. 1 the formation of ClNO₂ is initiated by NOₓ production which will depend on O₃ levels and availability of NO₂. For the Mediterranean Sea the low NO₂ mixing ratios resulted in a weak NO₃ production term (Table 1) and low ClNO₂ mixing ratios. The only exceptions are two nights south of Sicily on the second leg where ClNO₂ mixing ratios up to 439 pptv were observed, which coincided with an increase in NO₂ originating from industrial sources on the mainland (Sicily and Italy). There are no previous measurements of ClNO₂ over the Mediterranean Sea but our data can be compared to the output of a regional model (Li et al., 2019) which predicts monthly average ClNO₂ mixing ratios up to 100 pptv in the south-eastern Mediterranean Sea and around Sicily, in broad agreement with our observations.

The Suez Canal and the Gulf of Suez were impacted by fresh emissions from ships, industry and urban centres with high NO₂, SO₂, HCl and ClNO₂ mixing ratios. On the night 22–23 August 2017 we measured the highest ClNO₂ mixing ratio of the whole campaign (586 pptv) due to exceptionally high NO₂ levels and NO₃ production rates.

Over the Red Sea, O₃ levels were elevated with the highest NO₃ production rates (up to 0.7 pptv s⁻¹) observed when approaching the Gulf of Suez with NOₓ transported south from the region around the Suez Canal, the city of Cairo and the Sinai Peninsula (see back-trajectories in Fig. S8). ClNO₂ mixing ratios exceeded 200 pptv on most of the nights (with a maximum of 480 pptv) whereby elevated PM₁ particle surface area concentration and HCl mixing ratios indicated increased heterogeneous uptake and chloride availability.

Over the Gulf of Aden, with air mainly originating from Somalia, O₃ levels were close to 25 ppbv and NO₂ mixing ratios regularly exceeding 5 ppbv resulted in a NO₃ production rate up to ≈ 0.2 pptv s⁻¹. However, the PM₁ particle surface area concentration remained low and ClNO₂ was detected only occasionally (maximum of 379 pptv).

Over the Arabian Sea we experienced strong winds from the south with 48 h back-trajectories touching the coast of Somalia. ClNO₂ was generally below the detection limit (maximum 56 pptv) and NO₂, HCl and PM₁ particle surface area concentration were very low. Missing local sources of NOₓ and low O₃ mixing ratios resulted in a weak NO₃ production term, partially responsible for the lack of ClNO₂. Low mixing ratios of ClNO₂ were occasionally detected that originated from single ships or point sources on the mainland.

Upon entering the Gulf of Oman, which marks the transition between remote marine environment and increased emissions from petrochemical industry and shipping lanes, NO₃ production rates increased significantly due to higher NOₓ and O₃ levels. ClNO₂ mixing ratios exceeded 200 pptv during two consecutive nights with a maximum value in this region of 376 pptv.

The Arabian Gulf was characterised by very high ozone levels (sometimes exceeding 150 ppbv) and SO₂ mixing ratios that generally exceeded 5 ppbv. For the first leg (sailing into the Arabian Gulf), the air-mass passed over Kuwait whereas for the second leg (sailing out of the Arabian Gulf) it mainly passed over Iran. In the Gulf region, which was heavily polluted by
emissions from shipping and petrochemical industry, we also observed the highest HCl and PM$_1$ particle surface area concentration throughout the whole campaign. However, despite high NO$_3$ production rates ($\approx 0.4$ pptv s$^{-1}$) due to NO$_x$ emissions from oil and gas refineries as well as emissions from shipping and urban areas, we only observed relatively low ClNO$_2$ mixing ratios with a maximum value of 126 pptv close to Kuwait.

Consistent with Osthoff et al. (2008) we find significant amounts of nocturnal ClNO$_2$ in aged ship-plumes that could be identified by a defined peak-shape (Fig. S9) and covariance of NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ indicative of upwind point sources. As SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ are co-emitted from the combustion of ship fuel, it is not surprising that they show a co-variance. The consequence of the co-emission of NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ is that ClNO$_2$ is generally observed in the presence of both whereby high ClNO$_2$ mixing ratios were associated with aged ship-plumes.

Figure 4 shows diel profiles of nitril chloride for the Red Sea and the Gulf of Oman together with the photolysis rate constant $J_{\text{ClNO}_2}$ illustrating that mixing ratios generally decreased at sunrise with a ClNO$_2$ lifetime of a few hours. Diel ClNO$_2$ profiles for other regions (see Fig. S10 of the supplementary information) look generally similar but with a varying maximum mixing ratio. Over the Red Sea ClNO$_2$ was often observed in plumes, whereas mixing ratios over the Gulf of Oman increased continuously after sunset indicating that we sampled a more homogeneously polluted air mass in which ClNO$_2$ accumulated over the course of the night. The median mixing ratio in the afternoon was still around 10 pptv, which we attribute to an HCl interference at m/z 208 and 210 described by Eger et al. (2019) rather than to the presence of ClNO$_2$ during the day when its production rate is close to zero and its lifetime is short due to photolysis. The magnitude of the HCl interference at the m/z used to monitor ClNO$_2$ was derived during HCl calibrations on-board the ship and found to be 0.006 Hz (pptv of HCl)$^{-1}$ which is about 1 % of the ClNO$_2$ count rate of 0.61 Hz (pptv of ClNO$_2$)$^{-1}$ at 10$^6$ Hz of I. However, during ambient air measurements the interfering signal was variable with a campaign average of (0.008 ± 0.005) Hz pptv$^{-1}$, which implies that a correction based on the HCl signal alone is not sufficient. The variable offset at the ClNO$_2$ mass contributes to the total measurement uncertainty and can be significant when analysing data close to the detection limit. Although on occasions several hundred pptv of ClNO$_2$ were observed, below we show that the ClNO$_2$ production efficiency was generally low. Reasons for this are examined in the following sections.

### 3.2 ClNO$_2$ yield per NO$_3$ molecule formed

We define the ClNO$_2$ production efficiency ($\varepsilon$) during AQABA as the number of ClNO$_2$ molecules generated per NO$_3$ molecule formed from the reaction of NO$_2$ with O$_3$ (Reaction R3). The instantaneous production rate of NO$_3$ is given by $k_1[\text{NO}_2][\text{O}_3]$ and the total number of NO$_3$ molecules formed over the course of the night is derived using a rate coefficient of $k_1 = 1.4 \times 10^{-13}$ exp (-2470/T) cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (IUPAC, 2019) and integrating the NO$_3$ production term from the beginning of the night ($t_0$) to the time of the measurement ($t$) according to Eq. (2). In this calculation we assume that [NO$_2$] changes over time but [O$_3$] stays constant in good approximation.

$$[\text{NO}_3]_{\text{int}} = \int_{t_0}^{t} k_1[\text{O}_3][\text{NO}_2](t) \, dt \tag{2}$$
In order to account for the pre-sunset production of NO3 at high solar zenith angles where N2O5 could already be detected, \( t_0 \) was defined as the point in time at which \( J_{NO3} \) was below 0.017 s\(^{-1}\) (about 10 % of maximum value during day). This was typically 30-50 minutes prior to sunset. All data points before sunset were however excluded from the analysis due to the increased uncertainty in the reaction time. The NO2 mixing ratio at the beginning of the night, \([NO_2]_0\), was derived from the measured NO2 mixing ratio at time \( t \) via Eq. (3) by assuming that NO2 had been consumed by reaction with O3 but the O3 mixing ratio did not change significantly. Consequently, the amount of NO3 produced along the air mass trajectory is equal to the difference between calculated \([NO_2]_0\) and measured \([NO_2]\) (\( t \)).

\[
[NO_2](t) = [NO_2]_0 e^{-k_3[O_3]t}
\]  

(3)

The ClNO2 production efficiency \( \varepsilon \) can be determined by inserting the integrated NO3 production over the course of the night and the measured ClNO2 mixing ratio (assuming no losses) into Eq. (4).

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{[ClNO_2]}{[NO_3]_{int}}
\]  

(4)

To account for fresh emissions of NO (e.g. by passing ships), the reaction time \( t' \) was calculated from Eq. (5) according to McDuffie et al. (2018a):

\[
t' = (k_1[O_3]s) \ln \left( \frac{[NO_2]}{[NO_2]_0} \right)
\]  

(5)

where \( s \) represents the number of NO2 molecules required to make NO3 and is 1 when NO3 reacts directly with VOCs and 2 when NO3 reacts with NO2 to form N2O5, which subsequently hydrolyses to HNO3. As discussed later, the direct NO3 losses are dominant throughout the campaign compared to the heterogeneous N2O5 production, so to a good approximation, \( s \approx 1 \). As discussed by McDuffie et al. (2018a) inherent to the use of this expression is the assumption that NOx is conserved during the night; any losses of NO3 (e.g. via deposition of HNO3) lead to an underestimation of the true reaction time. As the calculated, night-time air mass age depends on the ratio between \([NO_2]\) and \([NO_2]_0\), the calculation breaks down whenever a fresh NO emission (e.g. from a nearby ship) is injected into an air mass and unreacted NO is still present. To avoid this, we only analyse ClNO2 data when NO is below the detection limit. In addition, we only consider data points where the calculated age of the air mass is equal to or exceeds the time elapsed since sunset as these air masses are unlikely to have been impacted by recent emissions. As the loss of NOx via deposition will result in an air mass age that is shorter than the true one, we relax the criterion for equality of reaction times by also including calculated air mass ages that are up to 25 % shorter (i.e. \( t' \geq 0.75 (t - t_0) \)). The reduced dataset provides 1742 data points with a median value of \( \varepsilon = 2.7 \% \) for the whole campaign. The data reduction is described in more detail in the supplement (all the data shown in the manuscript corresponds to the application of method C), where the sensitivity of \( \varepsilon \) to these limitations and additional constraints is discussed. Here, we emphasise that even when limiting the dataset to ClNO2 mixing ratios exceeding 100 pptv (see Table S1), the ClNO2 production efficiency still remains relatively low (\( \varepsilon = 6.4 \% \), Fig. S11). Although the median values would be
modified (Fig. S12), the relative differences between the regions and especially the low ε observed over the Arabian Gulf persist.

3.3 Temporal and regional variability in ε

To compare the efficiency of ClNO₂ formation in different regions, a median value for ε was derived for each individual night with the results illustrated in Fig. 5 in which the size of the circles (first leg) and stars (second leg) scales with the median NO₃ production rate (for a more detailed plot with 1-hour averaged data points see Fig. S13). Despite high NO₃ production rates (high O₃ and NO₂ levels), the lowest values of ε were observed over the Arabian Gulf, whereas elevated values of ε were found e.g. over the Arabian Sea, where NO₃ production was lowest.

Fig. 6 displays box-plots of ε for each region, calculated from between 41 and 546 data points per region. The median ClNO₂ production efficiency ε displays large night-to-night variability and interregional variability with the highest value found over the Gulf of Aden and the Arabian Sea (median = 4.7 %) and the lowest value found over the Arabian Gulf (median = 0.8 %). Median values of ε (in %) derived for the Mediterranean Sea, the Suez Canal, the Red Sea and the Gulf of Oman were 2.9, 2.7, 2.1 and 2.0. In the following, we examine the factors that cause the generally low efficiency in ClNO₂ production and also the regional variability in ε.

3.4 Factors influencing the ClNO₂ production efficiency

The uptake of N₂O₅ to aerosol particles can proceed via hydrolysis to HNO₃ as well as formation of ClNO₂, with yield f (see Fig. 1). Assuming no night-time losses, the concentration of ClNO₂ is given by Eq. (6). The overall ClNO₂ production efficiency ε as derived in Sect. 3.2 is dependent on f and on the relative rates of direct NO₃ loss (kₐ₄, Eq. 7) and indirect NO₃ loss (kₐ₄, Eq. 8) where A is the particle surface area concentration, ĉ is the mean molecular velocity of N₂O₅ ((24400 ± 160) cm s⁻¹ during AQABA) and \( K_{\text{eq}} = \frac{[\text{NO}_2]}{[\text{NO}_3]} = 2.8 \times 10^{-27} (T/300)^{0.6} \exp(11000/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \) (IUPAC, 2019) is the temperature-dependent equilibrium constant (Reactions R4 and R5). During night-time, \( k_{\text{dir}} \) is determined by the NO₃ reactivity towards VOCs and NO, \( k_{\text{het}} \) by the rate of heterogeneous uptake of N₂O₅ to aerosol particles. In the following we calculate f and γ from our measurements, compare the values with the literature and quantify the contributions of \( k_{\text{het}} \) and \( k_{\text{dir}} \) to the overall NO₃ loss rate.

\[
[\text{ClNO}_2] = [\text{NO}_3] \times \varepsilon = [\text{NO}_3] \times \left( \frac{k_{\text{het}}}{k_{\text{het}} + k_{\text{dir}}} \right) \times f \tag{6}
\]

\[
k_{\text{dir}} = \sum_i (k_{\text{VOC}})_i \times [\text{VOC}]_i + k_{\text{NO+NO}_3} \times [\text{NO}] \tag{7}
\]

\[
k_{\text{het}} = \gamma \left( \frac{A\varepsilon}{4} \right) K_{\text{eq}} \times [\text{NO}_2] \tag{8}
\]
If no particulate chloride is available, \( f \) is zero and two \( \text{NO}_3^- \) ions are produced according to Reaction (R1) whereas if the particulate chloride concentration is large, \( f \) approaches unity and one \( \text{NO}_3^- \) anion plus one ClNO2 molecule are formed. As particulate nitrate (\( \text{NO}_3^- \)) can leave the particle as HNO3 we can write:

\[
f = 2 \left( \frac{p[\text{NO}_3^-]+p[\text{HNO}_3]}{p[\text{ClNO}_2]} + 1 \right)^{-1}
\]  

(9)

where \( p \) signifies a production rate. Equation (9) assumes that \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \) uptake is the sole mechanism for the night-time production of HNO3. In principal, as demonstrated by Phillips et al. (2016), \( f \) can be derived from field data using measured production rates (or concentrations) of inorganic nitrate (\( \text{NO}_3^- + \text{HNO}_3 \)) and ClNO2 according to Eq. (9). For AQABA, we derived the mixing ratio of total inorganic nitrate from measurements of NOx (corrected for ClNO2 and \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \)) assuming that, for this marine environment the contribution of organic nitrate in both gas- and particle phases is small compared to inorganic nitrate. \( f \) could be derived using Eq. (9) whenever there was a significant correlation (over a period of several hours) between ClNO2 and inorganic nitrate, as illustrated in Fig. S14 for data obtained in the Gulf of Oman (25–26 July 2017) for which \( f = 0.60 \pm 0.04 \). The spatially and temporally variable sources of pollution during AQABA meant that requirement of a homogeneous fetch over periods of hours was rarely fulfilled and only a handful of values for \( f \) could be derived this way. Further values derived are 0.42 \( \pm \) 0.06 (Red Sea, 15 July 2017), 0.84 \( \pm \) 0.09 (Gulf of Oman, 24–25 July 2017) and 0.65 \( \pm \) 0.05 (Mediterranean Sea, 29 August 2017.), indicating that the values of \( f \) were generally large whenever ClNO2 was observed.

\( f \) can also be calculated (Eq. 10) if the relative concentrations of particulate chloride and water are known (Behnke et al., 1997; Bertram and Thornton, 2009):

\[
f = \left( \frac{k_{\text{Cl}}([\text{Cl}^-])}{k_{\text{Cl}}([\text{Cl}^-]) + k_{\text{H2O}}[\text{H}_2\text{O}]} \right) = \left( 1 + \frac{[\text{H}_2\text{O}]}{k_{\text{Cl}}[\text{Cl}^-] / k_{\text{H2O}}} \right)^{-1}
\]  

(10)

where \( \left( \frac{k_{\text{Cl}}}{k_{\text{H2O}}} \right) = 450 \) is the ratio of rate constants (Ammann et al., 2013) for reaction of \( \text{NO}_2^+ \) (formed along with \( \text{NO}_3^- \) upon dissociation of \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \) in the aqueous-phase) with either Cl\( ^- \) or H\( _2 \)O. The aerosol liquid water content \([\text{H}_2\text{O}]\) and chloride ion concentration \([\text{Cl}^-]\) were calculated using the E-AIM model (http://www.aim.env.uea.ac.uk/aim/model4/model4a.php) (Clegg et al., 1998; Friese and Ebel, 2010) using the ambient temperature and relative humidity along with PM\( _1 \) nitrate, sulphate, ammonium and chloride mass concentrations (\( \mu \text{g m}^{-3} \)) as reported by the AMS. From the median aerosol composition (PM\( _1 \)) in the seven different regions we calculated median values of \( f \), which are shown in Table 2. The values of \( f \) obtained via Eq. (10) were variable between regions, with medians of 0.53 in the Mediterranean Sea, 0.90 in the Suez Canal, 0.86 in the Red Sea, 0.76 in the Gulf of Aden, 0.87 in the Indian Ocean, 0.50 in the Gulf of Oman and 0.17 in the Arabian Gulf. To put these numbers in context, a value of \( f = 0.9 \) corresponds to a \( \approx 1.1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \) Cl\( ^- \) solution. MARGA measurements of Cl\( ^- \) and Na\( ^+ \) (total suspended particles, TSP) also indicated sea salt concentrations up to 20 \( \mu \text{g m}^{-3} \) in the coarse mode over the Arabian Sea. A comparison of the [Cl\( ^- \)] (TSP) from the MARGA (which detects NaCl as well as
NH₄Cl) with the (largely) non-refractory [Cl⁻] reported by the AMS reveals a strong co-variance. The AMS concentrations (PM₁₀) were on average ≈ 1% of those reported by the MARGA (TSP) with the correlation between them indicating that the AMS chloride (PM₁) is mainly due to sea-salt rather than NH₄Cl. If we assume that ≈ 10% of the sea salt mass (TSP) is associated with the fine mode (PM₁) as previously derived (Sommariva et al., 2018), we can use the MARGA (TSP) [Cl⁻] to estimate that the true PM₁ [Cl⁻] would be about an order of magnitude higher than measured by the AMS. Under the assumption that this is true, f is > 0.67 in all seven regions implying that a lack of Cl⁻ is not the reason for low values of ε, as may be expected for a marine environment.

Previous derivations of f in a marine environment (Texas coast) yield values between 0.1 and 0.65 (Osthoff et al., 2008) whereas even larger values (up to 0.9) have been reported for inland sites impacted by anthropogenic emissions (Young et al., 2013) or by long-range transport of sea-salt (0.035 < f < 1) (Phillips et al., 2016). A median value of 0.138 (0.003 < f < 1) was derived for airborne measurements in a coastal region during winter (McDuffie et al., 2018b).

The uptake coefficient, γ, can be estimated using the parameterisation in Eq. (11) (Bertram and Thornton, 2009):

\[
γ = B \times k \times \left(1 - \left( \alpha \times \frac{[H_2O(l)]}{[NO_3^-]} \right) + 1 + \left( b \times \frac{[Cl^-]}{[NO_3^-]} \right)^{-1} \right) \tag{11}
\]

where \(B = 3.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}, k = 1.15 \times 10^6 - 1.15 \times 10^6 \exp(-0.13 [H_2O(l)]) \text{ s}^{-1}\) is the rate constant for the reaction N₂O₅(aq) + H₂O(l), \(a = 0.06\) denotes the ratio of rate constants for reactions H₂NO₃⁺(aq) + H₂O(l) and H₂NO₃⁺(aq) + NO₃⁻(aq) and \(b = 29\) denotes the ratio of rate constants for reactions H₂NO₃⁺(aq) + Cl⁻ and H₂NO₃⁺(aq) + NO₃⁻(aq). Using AMS data for [NO₃⁻] and [Cl⁻] we derive \(γ = 0.033 \pm 0.003\) where the standard deviation encompasses the weak inter-regional variation (see Table 2). This value is consistent with \(γ = 0.03 \pm 0.02\) reported by Aldener et al. (2006) for a polluted marine environment. The large values of \(γ\) reflect the low PM₁ particulate nitrate concentrations observed during AQABA where the high temperatures favour the partitioning of particulate nitrate and HNO₃(g) to the gas-phase. A suppression of \(γ\) through the presence of organics in the particle phase has been reported (Bertram et al., 2009), though the low (generally < 2) organic-to-sulphate ratio in particles observed during AQABA suggest that this is not likely to be important for the present analysis.

The campaign averaged, fractional contribution of coarse mode particles (PM₁₀ - PM₁) to the total particle surface area concentration (\(A\)) was only (14 ± 14) % so that the uncertainty incurred when using the PM₁ particle surface area concentration to derive the heterogeneous NO₃ loss rate (\(k_{het}\), Table 2) from Eq. (8) is negligible. However, during two periods (of 2–5 days duration) when the ship was sailing through the Gulf of Aden / Arabian Sea / Southern Red Sea and air masses originated from the deserts of Eritrea, Djibouti and Ethiopia, the contribution from the coarse mode particles to the aerosol surface area increased to about 60 % mainly due to dust (and sea-salt). The relative contribution of dust and sea-salt to the coarse mode was estimated using MARGA measurements of Ca²⁺ and Na⁺ in TSP. The dust loading was calculated by assuming that the dust aerosols of Saharan origin consist of 10 % calcium (Molinaroli et al., 1993). Freshly generated dust particles do not contain chloride and the uptake of N₂O₅ to them (\(γ = 0.02 \pm 0.01\) for Saharan dust, Tang et al. (2012)) does
not result in ClNO₂ formation \((f = 0)\) but contributes to \(k_{\text{het}}\) through the additional aerosol surface area, thus lowering the ClNO₂ production efficiency. In contrast, the uptake of N₂O₅ to coarse mode sea-salt particles has a ClNO₂ yield \(f\) close to unity (Ammann et al., 2013), and can therefore enhance formation of ClNO₂. Throughout the whole campaign, the contribution of dust to the uptake of N₂O₅ to coarse mode particles was much larger than that of sea-salt (on average \((13 \pm 10)\%\) with a range of 0–40%). A close examination of the periods (14–19 July and 15–17 August 2017) strongly influenced by dust particles did not reveal any (anti)correlation between elevated dust concentration and ClNO₂ mixing ratios. This is also reflected by the relatively high median value of \(\varepsilon\) over the Gulf of Aden where the highest concentrations of coarse mode particles were observed.

Using a campaign average of \(\varepsilon = 2.7\%\) and a maximum value of \(f = 1\) we can show that, with 97.3\%, the direct (gas-phase) loss of NO₃ \((k_{\text{dir}})\) is much more important than indirect losses via N₂O₅ uptake \((k_{\text{het}})\), which contribute the remaining 2.7\%. Assuming a very conservative estimate of \(f = 0.5\) would still result in a contribution of 94.6 respectively 5.4\%. To put this into context, over the Gulf of Oman we calculated a median value of \(k_{\text{het}} = 6.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}\) (Table 2) which would result (assuming \(\varepsilon = 2.0\%\) and \(f = 0.5\)) in a direct NO₃ loss term towards VOCs of \(k_{\text{dir}} = 1.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}\). The relatively small contribution of the heterogeneous loss term is readily explained by high mean night-time temperatures of 25–35 °C during AQABA, which favour the existence of NO₃ rather than N₂O₅. To illustrate this, we calculate that a nocturnal temperature of 20 °C (rather than 30 °C) would increase the contribution of \(k_{\text{het}}\) to 21% of the total NO₃ loss and lead to 3 times higher ClNO₂ mixing ratios assuming that the rate constants for reaction between NO₃ and reactive trace gases are not strongly temperature-dependent. A further reduction in temperature to 10 °C would lead to equality in \(k_{\text{het}}\) and \(k_{\text{dir}}\) and result in a factor of seven more ClNO₂ than observed.

The direct (gas-phase) loss rate of NO₃ can also be calculated from Eq. (7) if the concentrations of all VOCs contributing to its reactivity are known. However, for a large fraction of each night NO₃ was below the detection limit (ca. 5 pptv) despite a high production rate (large mixing ratios of NO₂ and O₃). A steady-state analysis of NO₃ production and loss indicated a high total reactivity which could not be attributed to measured trace gases \((k_{\text{dir}})\) or heterogeneous losses of N₂O₅ \((k_{\text{het}})\). A detailed analysis of the NO₃ lifetime and the role of VOCs is beyond the scope of the present manuscript and will be described in detail in a separate publication.

### 3.5 Comparison with literature

In the following, we compare the generally low values of \(\varepsilon\) derived during AQABA with previous determinations. Mielke et al. (2013) report a yield of ClNO₂ relative to the total amount of NO₃ formed at night of 0.7% to 62% with a median of 12% in the polluted coastal boundary layer in Pasadena, California. In contrast, ClNO₂ production efficiencies derived for the urban boundary layer of Calgary, Canada (Mielke et al., 2016), were significantly lower, ranging from 0.1% to 4.5% (10th and 90th percentiles, median 1.0%). Osthoff et al. (2018) report very low efficiencies with a median of 0.17% and a maximum of 5.4% for the Lower Fraser Valley of British Columbia, Canada, potentially due to a lack of available aerosol
chloride. For AQABA we derive a region-dependent median efficiency of 1–5 % with a campaign median of 2.8 %, despite similar conditions to Mielke et al. (2013), i.e. mostly polluted marine environment. The difference can be attributed to exceptionally high nocturnal temperatures during AQABA with a median of 25–35 °C for different regions, shifting the equilibrium from N₂O₅ towards NO₃ and favouring direct NO₃ losses. For comparison, daily minimum temperatures during the study reported by Mielke et al. (2013) were 10–20 °C (Ryerson et al., 2013) whereas the lowest temperature measured during the whole AQABA cruise was 22 °C. Based on the ClNO₂ dataset reported by Phillips et al. (2012) for continental Germany, where ClNO₂ mixing ratios up to 800 pptv were reported, we calculate values of ε that range from 0.4 % to 12.3 % (10th and 90th percentiles) with a median of 2.6 %. On nights where ClNO₂ mixing ratios above 100 pptv were observed, range and median increase to 5.0–24.1 % and 10.6 % respectively. Compared to AQABA the yield per NO₃ molecule formed on nights where ClNO₂ was present at levels > 100 pptv is about a factor of 2 higher for this dataset, again most likely a result of the lower nocturnal temperatures.

3.6 Cl atom generation from ClNO₂ and HCl

In this section we assess the role of two gas-phase chlorine reservoirs, ClNO₂ and HCl as sources of Cl atoms during AQABA. Other potential Cl sources (e.g. Cl₂ photolysis) are not considered here as we do not have experimental data to quantify their impact. Compared to the complex route to ClNO₂ formation described above (Fig. 1), the formation of HCl in the polluted marine environment can be traced back to its displacement from sea-salt particles by stronger acids, such as H₂SO₄ and HNO₃ (Keene et al., 1999). The high emission rates of NOₓ and SO₂ by ship traffic resulted in enhanced concentrations of both NO₂ and SO₂ (see Fig. S9) during parts of the AQABA campaign. Both NO₂ and SO₂ are oxidised via OH to form HNO₃ and H₂SO₄, both of which can be taken up by sea-salt containing aerosol releasing HCl. The release of HCl through acid displacement leads to a deficit in particulate Cl⁻ concentrations which can be expressed in terms of a chloride depletion factor (Eq. 12) where [Na⁺] and [Cl⁻] represent the concentrations in mol m⁻³ and 1.174 is the molar ratio of Cl⁻ to Na⁺ found in sea-water (Zhuang et al., 1999).

\[
\text{Cl depletion [%]} = 100 \times \frac{(1.174 \times [\text{Na}^+]-[\text{Cl}^-])}{1.174 \times [\text{Na}^+]} \quad (12)
\]

In Fig. 7 we present a time series (Gulf of Oman / Arabian Gulf) in which significant differences in particulate Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentrations coincide with high mixing ratios of NO₂, SO₂ and HCl (chloride depletion up to 90 %) indicating efficient HCl acid displacement by HNO₃ and H₂SO₄ in this region.

The instantaneous production rate of Cl atoms from the photolysis of ClNO₂ (\(p\text{Cl}_{\text{ClNO}_2}\)) is given by the photolysis rate constant for ClNO₂ (\(J_{\text{ClNO}_2}\)) and its concentration (Eq. 13) whereas the instantaneous Cl production rate from HCl (\(p\text{Cl}_{\text{HCl}}\)) requires knowledge of the OH concentration (Eq. 14) and the rate coefficient for reaction between OH and HCl (\(k_{\text{OH-HCl}} = 1.7 \times 10^{-12} \exp(-230/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) (Atkinson et al., 2007; IUPAC, 2019)).

\[
p\text{Cl}_{\text{ClNO}_2} = J_{\text{ClNO}_2} [\text{ClNO}_2] \quad (13)
\]

\[
p\text{Cl}_{\text{HCl}} = k_{\text{OH-HCl}} [\text{OH}] [\text{HCl}] \quad (14)
\]
\[ p_{\text{Cl}_2\text{HCl}} = k_{\text{OH} + \text{HCl}} [\text{OH}][\text{HCl}] \] 

(14)

In the following analysis, we focus on two consecutive nights in the Gulf of Oman region (Fig. 8) where we observed a monotonous increase of ClNO\(_2\) mixing ratios up to \(\approx 300\) pptv during the second half of the night followed by a decrease over a 4 hour period starting at sunrise (upper panel). The corresponding Cl production rate from ClNO\(_2\) photolysis reaches a maximum of \(0.8 \times 10^6\) molecule cm\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) on the first night and \(0.7 \times 10^6\) molecule cm\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) on the second night.

To place this in context, we also make a rough estimate (lower limit) to the rate of OH radical production (\(p_{\text{OH}}\)) from the photolysis of O\(_3\) in the presence of H\(_2\)O (Eq. 15).

\[ p_{\text{OH}} = \frac{j_{\text{O}} [\text{O}_3] \times k_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{k_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} [\text{H}_2\text{O}] + k_{\text{N}_2} [\text{N}_2] + k_{\text{O}_2} [\text{O}_2]} \] 

(15)

where \(j_{\text{O}}\) is the photolysis rate constant for O\(_3\), \(k_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 2.4 \times 10^{-11}\) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), \(k_{\text{N}_2} = 2.15 \times 10^{-11}\) exp(110/T) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) and \(k_{\text{O}_2} = 3.2 \times 10^{-11}\) exp(67/T) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) (IUPAC, 2019) refer to reactions of O(\(^1\)D) with H\(_2\)O, N\(_2\) and O\(_2\), respectively. As we do not consider other OH production channels (e.g. photolysis of HONO or HO\(_2\) + NO), which can be of importance under more polluted conditions, \(p_{\text{OH}}\) represents a lower limit of \(p_{\text{OH}}\). Although the maximum, midday OH production rates from O\(_3\) photolysis (\(\approx 1 \times 10^7\) OH molecule cm\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\)) are about an order of magnitude higher than Cl atom production rates, during the first \(\approx 2\) hours after sunrise, \(p_{\text{Cl}_{\text{ClNO}_2}}\) and \(p_{\text{OH}}\) are roughly equal for this particular case-study.

In order to examine the regional dependence of Cl formation we calculated the ClNO\(_2\) production rate from the regional median values of \(\epsilon\), the NO\(_3\) production rate and the length of the night as described previously. As ClNO\(_2\) is completely photolysed to Cl during daytime, the total Cl-production from ClNO\(_2\) photolysis (\(\Sigma_{\text{Cl}_{\text{ClNO}_2}}\)) is equal to the median amount of ClNO\(_2\) formed during the night. The total Cl-production from HCl + OH (\(\Sigma_{\text{Cl}_{\text{HCl}}}\)) was calculated by integrating the production rate \(p_{\text{Cl}_{\text{HCl}}}\) (based on [HCl] and [OH] measurements, Eq. 14) over the median diurnal profile. To calculate the contribution of O\(_3\) photolysis to the OH production (\(\Sigma_{\text{OH}}\)), we integrated \(p_{\text{OH}}\) (calculated via Eq. 15) over the median diel profile. In Table 3 we summarise the average, total daytime production of OH and Cl in the seven regions. The median radical production over one diurnal cycle calculated for the Suez region is exemplified in Fig. 9, whereby we assume an initial 363 pptv of ClNO\(_2\) at sunrise (Table 3), which is subsequently photolysed according to \(J_{\text{ClNO}_2}\). Considering the entire campaign, we conclude that Cl-atom formation via ClNO\(_2\) photolysis and OH-initiated HCl oxidation are of similar magnitude, though their relative contributions show large regional variability. For example, Cl formation from OH + HCl (\(\Sigma_{\text{Cl}_{\text{HCl}}}\)) is a factor \(\approx 10\) more important than ClNO\(_2\) photolysis (\(\Sigma_{\text{Cl}_{\text{ClNO}_2}}\)) over the Mediterranean Sea where the NO\(_3\) (and thus ClNO\(_2\)) production rate was low owing to low NO\(_x\) levels and where the OH concentrations were highest. This is consistent with Li et al. (2019) who indicate the importance of HCl over the eastern Mediterranean Sea for which monthly average mixing ratios of 0.5–1.5 ppbv were predicted by a regional model. \(\Sigma_{\text{Cl}_{\text{HCl}}}\) is also a factor \(\approx 2\) higher than \(\Sigma_{\text{Cl}_{\text{ClNO}_2}}\) over the Arabian Gulf where the ClNO\(_2\) production efficiency, \(\epsilon\), was low. In all other regions \(\Sigma_{\text{Cl}_{\text{ClNO}_2}}\) was about equal to
\( \Sigma \text{Cl}_{\text{HCl}} \) or higher by factors between \( \approx 1 \) and 4. This in in broad agreement with Riedel et al. (2012a) who report roughly equal importance of ClNO2 and HCl as chlorine atom sources in the polluted marine boundary layer of the Los Angeles region. They also report a maximum midday Cl production rate from ClNO2 photolysis of 0.6 \( \times \) 10^6 molecule cm\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\), which is similar to the production rates we obtained over the Gulf of Oman (Fig. 8).

5 When comparing the total number of chlorine atoms generated during the day (\( \Sigma \text{Cl}_{\text{total}} = \Sigma \text{Cl}_{\text{ClNO2}} + \Sigma \text{Cl}_{\text{HCl}} \)) with the total number of OH formed from O\(_3\) photolysis (\( \Sigma \text{OH}_{\text{O3}} \)) over the same period, we find the expected domination of OH for all regions. The largest contribution of Cl to the total radical production (4 \%) was observed over the Suez Canal where the Cl production was highest (about 32 \% from HCl and 68 \% from ClNO2). The lowest ratio of Cl-to-OH production was observed over the Arabian Sea, reflecting the low levels of NO\(_3\) and very low rates of NO\(_3\) generation. Although only (at maximum) \( \approx 4 \% \) of the radicals generated are Cl atoms, they react more rapidly than OH with some classes of hydrocarbons, especially saturated hydrocarbons and small oxygenates. For example, the relative, room temperature rate coefficients (\( k_{\text{Cl}} / k_{\text{OH}} \)) are 16, 61, 127 and 242 for reaction with CH\(_4\), CH\(_3\)OH, C\(_3\)H\(_8\) and C\(_2\)H\(_6\), respectively (Atkinson et al., 2004; IUPAC, 2019). The impact of chlorine atoms is thus mainly seen in the oxidation rates of unsaturated hydrocarbons, the relative concentrations of which may be modified according to the relative abundance of OH and Cl and the relative reaction rate constants. For the AQABA campaign, evidence for such effects has been reported by Bourtsoukidis et al. (2019), and for the global scale Wang et al. (2019) conclude that oxidation by Cl atoms accounts for 1.0 \% of methane loss with larger impacts on ethane (20 \%), propane (14 \%), and methanol (4 \%).

4 Summary and conclusion

The AQABA campaign, which took place in summer 2017 along the sea route from southern France to Kuwait provided the first ClNO2 measurements in the marine boundary layer of the Mediterranean Sea, the Suez Canal, the Red Sea, the Gulf of Aden, the Arabian Sea, the Gulf of Oman and the Arabian Gulf. Along the ship track we observed a large variability in ClNO2 mixing ratios with nocturnal maxima ranging from below the detection limit over the Indian Ocean to a few hundred pptv over the Gulf of Oman, the northern part of the Red Sea, the Gulf of Suez and the Mediterranean Sea close to Sicily / Italy with a campaign maximum of \( \approx 600 \) pptv observed over the Gulf of Suez.

25 The overall ClNO2 production efficiency, i.e. the yield of ClNO2 per NO\(_3\) molecule formed in the reaction of NO\(_2\) with O\(_3\), was generally low (median of 2.7 \% for the whole campaign) and highly variable within individual nights and between different regions with values (in percent) of 2.9, 2.7, 2.1, 4.7, 4.7, 2.0, and 0.8 over the Mediterranean Sea, the Suez Canal, the Red Sea, the Gulf of Aden, the Arabian Sea, the Gulf of Oman and the Arabian Gulf, respectively. The relatively low ClNO2 production efficiency compared to previous measurements in the polluted marine boundary layer or at continental sites was attributed to high nocturnal temperatures during AQABA (25–35 °C), which significantly shifted the equilibrium between NO\(_3\) and N\(_2\)O\(_5\) towards NO\(_3\) and lowered the importance of N\(_2\)O\(_5\) uptake to particles relative to direct NO\(_3\) losses. The low ClNO2 production efficiency in the Arabian Gulf (< 1 \%) results from a combination of high temperatures,
enhanced NO$_3$ reactivity and lowered chloride availability. The photolysis of ClNO$_2$ was found to represent an important source of chlorine radicals in the early morning in areas where efficient night-time production was observed, and was augmented (and sometimes exceeded) by Cl atoms formation from the reaction of OH with HCl, especially in areas where ppbv levels of HCl were observed such as the Mediterranean Sea or the Arabian Gulf. Although the amount of Cl atoms generated were found to be a factor 25 to 300 less than the amount of OH molecules generated from O$_3$ photolysis, the high rate coefficients ratio for Cl compared to OH reactions towards some hydrocarbons imply that Cl may enhance hydrocarbon oxidation, especially in the early morning.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter(^1)</th>
<th>Med. Sea(^2)</th>
<th>Suez</th>
<th>Red Sea</th>
<th>Aden</th>
<th>Arab. Sea</th>
<th>Oman</th>
<th>Arab. Gulf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CINO_{2} [pptv]</strong></td>
<td>N = 751</td>
<td>N = 376</td>
<td>N = 1247</td>
<td>N = 451</td>
<td>N = 918</td>
<td>N = 475</td>
<td>N = 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± STD(^1)</td>
<td>20 ± 39</td>
<td>75 ± 101</td>
<td>47 ± 55</td>
<td>41 ± 56</td>
<td>7 ± 8</td>
<td>67 ± 71</td>
<td>21 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCl [ppbv]</strong></td>
<td>N = 749</td>
<td>N = 375</td>
<td>N = 1245</td>
<td>N = 449</td>
<td>N = 918</td>
<td>N = 473</td>
<td>N = 498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± STD</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.52</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.63</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.70</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>LOD</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>LOD–3.09</td>
<td>LOD–3.54</td>
<td>LOD–2.58</td>
<td>LOD–1.98</td>
<td>LOD–0.38</td>
<td>LOD–3.23</td>
<td>LOD–4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SO_{2} [ppbv]</strong></td>
<td>N = 751</td>
<td>N = 376</td>
<td>N = 1247</td>
<td>N = 451</td>
<td>N = 918</td>
<td>N = 475</td>
<td>N = 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± STD</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.65</td>
<td>2.84 ± 3.87</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.77</td>
<td>1.26 ± 2.89</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.65</td>
<td>2.38 ± 2.26</td>
<td>3.71 ± 2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>0.06–7.24</td>
<td>0.06–34.98</td>
<td>0.04–9.75</td>
<td>LOD–30.34</td>
<td>LOD–12.33</td>
<td>0.12–12.34</td>
<td>0.80–14.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O_{3} [ppbv]</strong></td>
<td>N = 751</td>
<td>N = 376</td>
<td>N = 1247</td>
<td>N = 451</td>
<td>N = 800</td>
<td>N = 475</td>
<td>N = 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± STD</td>
<td>61.6 ± 10.2</td>
<td>45.4 ± 16.1</td>
<td>58.1 ± 13.8</td>
<td>28.0 ± 10.9</td>
<td>24.4 ± 3.4</td>
<td>36.0 ± 16.4</td>
<td>83.9 ± 36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>69.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>37.8–82.8</td>
<td>1.78–88.1</td>
<td>10.6–90.3</td>
<td>3.1–55.3</td>
<td>1.3–29.6</td>
<td>10.2–83.0</td>
<td>24.2–163.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO_{2} [ppbv]</strong></td>
<td>N = 547</td>
<td>N = 288</td>
<td>N = 1217</td>
<td>N = 449</td>
<td>N = 898</td>
<td>N = 470</td>
<td>N = 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± STD</td>
<td>0.80 ± 1.57</td>
<td>7.37 ± 7.56</td>
<td>1.97 ± 3.50</td>
<td>2.94 ± 4.11</td>
<td>0.63 ± 1.57</td>
<td>4.57 ± 3.93</td>
<td>1.59 ± 2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>0.01–14.45</td>
<td>0.24–44.03</td>
<td>0.01–56.81</td>
<td>0.07–25.87</td>
<td>0.01–20.88</td>
<td>0.12–30.38</td>
<td>0.16–23.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pNO_{3} [pptv s(^{-1})]</strong></td>
<td>N = 547</td>
<td>N = 288</td>
<td>N = 1138</td>
<td>N = 449</td>
<td>N = 783</td>
<td>N = 470</td>
<td>N = 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± STD</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>0.00–0.82</td>
<td>0.01–1.01</td>
<td>0.00–1.23</td>
<td>0.00–0.25</td>
<td>0.00–0.18</td>
<td>0.00–0.91</td>
<td>0.01–1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T [°C]</strong></td>
<td>N = 751</td>
<td>N = 376</td>
<td>N = 1247</td>
<td>N = 451</td>
<td>N = 918</td>
<td>N = 475</td>
<td>N = 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± STD</td>
<td>26.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>27.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>31.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>31.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>25.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>32.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>34.4 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>25.5–29.1</td>
<td>21.6–31.7</td>
<td>27.7–34.1</td>
<td>29.3–35.6</td>
<td>23.5–31.2</td>
<td>29.6–35.8</td>
<td>33.2–36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RH [%]</strong></td>
<td>N = 751</td>
<td>N = 376</td>
<td>N = 1247</td>
<td>N = 451</td>
<td>N = 918</td>
<td>N = 475</td>
<td>N = 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± STD</td>
<td>79.0 ± 5.6</td>
<td>72.4 ± 9.1</td>
<td>73.2 ± 6.3</td>
<td>74.6 ± 10.2</td>
<td>88.8 ± 2.9</td>
<td>79.8 ± 8.9</td>
<td>76.5 ± 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>63.2–89.3</td>
<td>40.6–93.2</td>
<td>58.7–88.4</td>
<td>27.2–90.0</td>
<td>79.3–94.7</td>
<td>51.9–95.1</td>
<td>53.3–91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A [μm^{2} cm^{-3}]</strong></td>
<td>N = 690</td>
<td>N = 373</td>
<td>N = 1101</td>
<td>N = 440</td>
<td>N = 899</td>
<td>N = 222</td>
<td>N = 393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± STD</td>
<td>202 ± 61</td>
<td>240 ± 200</td>
<td>243 ± 62</td>
<td>111 ± 64</td>
<td>81 ± 62</td>
<td>363 ± 317</td>
<td>1010 ± 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>median</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Regional variability in ClNO₂ production efficiency ($\epsilon$), ClNO₂ yield ($f$), N₂O₅ uptake coefficient ($\gamma$) and heterogeneous NO₃ loss rate ($k_{het}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>$\epsilon$ [%]</th>
<th>$f$ a</th>
<th>$\gamma$ b</th>
<th>$k_{het}$ [10⁻⁵ s⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Med. Sea</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suez</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Sea</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Aden</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab. Sea</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Oman</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab. Gulf</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: aCalculated from Eq. (10); bCalculated from Eq. (11).

Table 3: Regional variability in $\epsilon$, pNO₃ and OH and Cl radical production integrated over one diel cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>$\epsilon$ [%]</th>
<th>pNO₃ [pptv s⁻¹]</th>
<th>$\Sigma$ClClNO₂ [pptv]</th>
<th>$\Sigma$ClHCl [pptv]</th>
<th>$\Sigma$OH₃ [pptv]</th>
<th>$\Sigma$Cl_total/$\Sigma$OH₃ [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Med. Sea</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>12 364</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suez</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>13 216</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Sea</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>12 411</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Aden</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5 608</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab. Sea</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 639</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Oman</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>12 649</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab. Gulf</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10 985</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: $\Sigma$ClClNO₂ and $\Sigma$ClHCl are the integrated formation of Cl atoms from ClNO₂ and HCl respectively. $\Sigma$OH₃ is the integrated formation of OH from O₃ photolysis. $\Sigma$Cl_total = $\Sigma$ClClNO₂ + $\Sigma$ClHCl.
Figure 1: Simplified scheme of chemical reactions and parameters involved in the formation of ClNO₂. The ClNO₂ production efficiency per NO₃ formed is denoted as $\varepsilon$. The uptake coefficient is represented by $\gamma$ and the ClNO₂ yield (per N₂O₅ taken up) by $f$. 

$\text{NO}_2 \xrightarrow{O_3} \text{NO}_3 \xleftrightarrow{\text{VOCs}} \text{NO}_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma \cdot f} \text{ClNO}_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma \cdot (1-f)} \text{NO}_3^-$

$\varepsilon$
Figure 2: Map of nocturnal ClNO₂ mixing ratios (1-hour averages) for (a) first and (b) second leg, together with (median) nighttime mixing ratios of O₃, HCl, NO₂ and SO₂ for different regions (data from both legs combined) demarked by the dashed lines.
Figure 3: Time series of ClNO$_2$ and trace gases related to its production (NO$_2$ and O$_3$) as well as the NO$_3$ production rate, $p$(NO$_3$), ClNO$_2$ photolysis rate ($J_{\text{ClNO}_2}$), PM$_1$ particle surface area concentration ($A$) and HCl mixing ratio in different regions (separated by the dashed lines) for 9-day periods in the (a) first and (b) second leg.
Figure 4: Diurnal profiles of CINO₂ and its photolysis rate constant, \(J_{CINO₂}\), for (a) the Red Sea and (b) the Gulf of Oman. The solid lines represent the median values, the shaded areas correspond to the 10th and 90th percentiles.
Figure 5: Median night-time values of $\varepsilon$ (the ClNO$_2$ yield per NO$_3$ molecule formed) calculated via Eq. (4). The size of the symbols represents (logarithmic scale) the median NO$_3$ production rate, $p$(NO$_3$), ranging from 0.001 pptv s$^{-1}$ in the Arabian Sea to 1.2 pptv s$^{-1}$ in the Suez Canal. Different regions are separated by the dashed black lines.
Figure 6: Box plots of $\varepsilon$ (ClNO$_2$ production efficiency) for different regions, based on all corresponding individual night-time values calculated from Eq. (4).
Figure 7: Co-variance between mixing ratios of SO₂, NO₂ and HCl and particulate chloride depletion (calculated from Eq. 12) illustrated by the difference in Cl⁻ and Na⁺ (PM₁) measured. Chloride depletion of up to 90 % indicates effective acid displacement of HCl by HNO₃ and H₂SO₄ in this region.
Figure 8: Time series of ClNO₂ mixing ratios, $J_{\text{ClNO}_2}$ photolysis rates and production of Cl-radicals from ClNO₂ photolysis ($p_{\text{ClNO}_2}$) and OH-radicals from O₃ photolysis in the presence of H₂O ($p_{\text{OH}_3}$) for two consecutive nights in the Gulf of Oman.
Figure 9: Production of Cl-atoms (from ClNO$_2$ photolysis and HCl + OH) and OH-radicals (from O$_3$ photolysis) over one diurnal cycle in the Suez Canal / Gulf of Suez.