Local and synoptic meteorological influences on daily variability of summertime surface ozone in eastern China
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Abstract

Ozone pollution in China is influenced by meteorological processes on multiple scales. Using multiple linear regression and weather classification, we statistically assess the impacts of local and synoptic meteorology on daily variability of surface ozone in eastern China in summer during 2013-2018. In this period, summertime surface ozone in eastern China (110°-130°E, 20°-42°N) is among the highest in the world with regional means of 73.1 and 114.7 µg m⁻³, respectively, in daily mean and daily maximum 8-hour average. By developing a multiple linear regression (MLR) model driven by local and synoptic weather factors, we establish a quantitative linkage between the daily mean ozone concentrations and meteorology in the study region. The meteorology described by the MLR can explain ~43% of the daily variability in summertime surface ozone across eastern China. Among local meteorological factors, relative humidity is the most influential variable in the center and south of eastern China including the Yangtze River Delta and the Pearl River Delta regions, while temperature is the most influential variable in the north covering the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region. To further examine the synoptic influence of weather conditions explicitly, six predominant synoptic weather patterns (SWPs) over
eastern China in summer are objectively identified using the self-organizing map clustering technique. The six SWPs are formed under the integral influence of the East Asian summer monsoon, the western Pacific subtropical high, the Meiyu front, and the typhoon activities. On regional mean, two SWPs bring about positive ozone anomalies (1.1 µg m$^{-3}$ or 1.7% and 2.7 µg m$^{-3}$ or 4.6%, respectively), when eastern China is under a weak cyclone system or under the prevailing southerly wind. The impact of SWPs on the daily variability of surface ozone varies largely within eastern China. The maximum impact can reach ±8 µg m$^{-3}$ or ±16% of the daily mean in some areas. A combination of the regression and the clustering approaches suggests a strong performance of the MLR in predicting the sensitivity of surface ozone in eastern China to the variation of synoptic weather. Our assessment highlights the important role of meteorology in modulating ozone pollution over China.

1 Introduction

Surface ozone is a major air pollutant detrimental to human health (Jerrett et al., 2009) and vegetation growth (Yue et al., 2017). Ozone exposures are estimated to be associated with over 0.2 million premature deaths globally in one year (Cohen et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2018). The dominant source of surface ozone is the photochemical oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and carbon monoxide (CO) in the presence of nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) (Monks et al., 2015). In the recent decades, China has been suffering from severe ozone pollution, causing a worldwide concern (Verstraeten et al., 2015). High ozone concentrations exceeding China national air quality standard (200 and 160 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively, for hourly and 8-hourly maximum values) occur frequently in major Chinese cities in the three most developed regions, the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region (T. Wang et al., 2006a; G. Li et al., 2017), the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) (Shu et al., 2016, 2019), and the Pearl River Delta (PRD) (Y. Wang et al., 2017; H. Wang et al., 2018). An increasing trend of 1-3% per year in surface ozone since 2000 is observed at urban and regional background sites in the three city clusters (Y. Wang et al., 2012;
Zhang et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2017) and at a global baseline station in western China (Xu et al., 2016).

Surface ozone concentrations in China largely depend on emissions and meteorology (Han et al., 2018a, 2019; Lu et al., 2019a). Anthropogenic and natural emissions from both native and foreign sources provide precursors for the formation of high ozone levels in China (Ni et al., 2018; Han et al., 2019), while meteorology can influence surface ozone variations from instantaneous to decadal scale through its modulation of various chemical and physical processes (T. Wang et al., 2017). On a decadal scale, both observations (Zhou et al., 2013) and simulations (S. Li et al., 2018) show that surface ozone in southern China correlates positively to the strength of the East Asian summer monsoon (EASM).

The daily variation of surface ozone in China is sensitive to synoptic weather systems, as illustrated by studies for BTH (Zhang et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2015), YRD (Shu et al., 2016, 2019), PRD (Zhang et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2015), and other regions of China (Tan et al., 2018). Frontal systems can drive the transboundary transport of ozone in northern China (Ding et al., 2015; Dufour et al., 2015). Downdrafts in the periphery circulation of a typhoon system can strongly enhance surface ozone before the typhoon landing in eastern or southern China (Jiang et al., 2015; Shu et al., 2016). Zhao and Wang (2017) suggested that a stronger western Pacific subtropical high (WPSH) can lead to lower surface ozone concentration over southern China and higher one over northern China in summer. Moreover, surface ozone concentrations also vary with mesoscale weather systems in hours (Hu et al., 2018), such as the mountain-valley circulation (T. Wang et al., 2006b) and the land-sea breezes (H. Wang et al., 2018). Despite these discussed mechanisms on how weather systems influence ozone concentrations in China, there is a lack of quantitative assessments on the influences of these weather systems on ozone pollution.
Weather systems on different scales bring about different changes in local meteorological variables, which, in turn, impact chemistry and physical processes that modulate surface ozone concentrations. However, the relative importance of local meteorological factors to surface ozone in different regions of China are still unclear. Previous studies suggested the importance of temperature, relative humidity, and winds to surface ozone in different regions (Lou et al., 2015; Pu et al., 2017; Zhan et al., 2018). The key influential meteorological factors vary from region to region (Gong et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019). In general, high ozone episodes commonly appear under weak wind, high temperature, low humidity, and clear conditions (Bloomfield et al., 1996; Zanis et al., 2000, 2011; Ordóñez et al., 2005). These weather conditions can enhance stagnation and production of ozone (Camalier et al., 2007; Shen et al., 2017a). Variations of these local meteorological variables depend on the dominant weather systems (Davis et al., 1998; Han et al., 2018b; Leung et al., 2018).

To have a comprehensive and quantitative understanding of how weather influences ozone pollution in China is the primary motivation of this study, in which, we aim to quantify the impacts of meteorology, specifically the dominant synoptic weather systems and the key local meteorological variables, on daily variations of surface ozone in eastern China, including three representative megacity clusters, BTH, YRD, and PRD. Surface ozone in China was not regularly and systematically monitored until 2012 when real-time hourly ozone data were available online from China Ministry of Ecology and Environment (MEE) (http://www.mee.gov.cn/) (T. Wang et al., 2017).

In this study, the ground ozone observations from MEE covering 2013-2018 period are used. First, we characterize the seasonal variations of surface ozone in eastern China and the interannual changes during 2013-2018 in summer (June-August), which is the season of interest in this study. Second, we
search for a linkage between the daily variation of surface ozone and the local and synoptic meteorological factors statistically and develop a multiple linear regression (MLR) model based on the linkage. Third, we examine the sensitivity of daily surface ozone to the variation in synoptic weather systems. Considering the complexity of the synoptic meteorology in eastern China (Ding et al., 2017; Han et al., 2018b), we employ an objective clustering technique, the self-organizing map (SOM), to identify the predominant synoptic weather patterns (SWPs). In the following sections, we introduce the data and methods in section 2. The seasonal and interannual variations of surface ozone in eastern China are characterized in section 3. Section 4 illustrates the linkage between ozone variability and meteorology on both local and synoptic scales, while section 5 describes sensitivity of surface ozone to various typical SWPs over the entire eastern China. Finally, we discuss our results and draw conclusions in section 6.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Surface ozone observations and meteorological data

Hourly surface ozone measurements from the MEE observation network averaged over the stations in each city were used in the study. The measurements were downloaded from http://beijingair.sinaapp.com/, which were previously archived at http://pm25.in, a mirror of data from the official MEE publishing platform (http://106.37.208.233:20035/). The network covers 63 cities in eastern China (110-130°E, 20-42°N) in 2013, increasing to 118 in 2014 and 185 during 2015-2018. Locations of the 185 cities are shown in Figure 1, including 13, 26, and 9 cities, respectively, in BTH, YRD, and PRD. The unit of ozone concentrations in the original records and in this study is ‘µg m⁻³’, with a conversion factor of 1 µg m⁻³ = 0.47 ppbv at 273 K and 1013.25 hPa.

The National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Final (FNL) Operational global analysis
data during the same period were acquired from https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds083.2/. The data are available on 1° × 1° latitude grids every 6 hours at the surface and at 26 layers from 1000 to 10 hPa. We made daily averaged pollution and meteorological data in summer from 2013 to 2018. The ozone-weather relationship is examined using the daily mean data, unless stated otherwise.

Using an inverse-distance weighting (Tai et al., 2010), we interpolated the pollution measurements from the cities onto the FNL grid (1° latitude and × 1° longitude) to produce continuous gridded data. Ozone at each FNL grid was calculated with a weighted average of the concentration in the cities within a search distance \(d_{\text{max}}\) from that grid, following the equation:

\[
    z_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (1/d_{i,j})^k z_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (1/d_{i,j})^k}
\]  

(1)

where \(z_j\) is the calculated ozone at grid \(j\), \(z_i\) is the observed ozone in city \(i\), \(d_{i,j}\) is the distance between city \(i\) and the center of grid \(j\), \(n_j\) is the number of the cities within \(d_{\text{max}}\) from grid \(j\) \((d_{i,j}\leq d_{\text{max}})\), \(k\) is a parameter measuring the influence of distance on the target grid. We used 2 for \(k\), and 1-degree distance in latitude-longitude grid for \(d_{\text{max}}\) in the interpolation. The generated gridded ozone data cover most of the mainland in eastern China (Figure 1c). The measurements interpolated to the grids were used in this study, unless stated otherwise.

2.2 Development of a prediction model of surface ozone

MLR is an effective and widely-used way to describe the relationship between meteorology and air quality and thus to help prediction of air quality (Shen et al., 2015; Otero et al., 2016; K. Li et al., 2019).

MLR establishes a linear function between a scalar response and the explanatory variables. In this study, we applied a stepwise MLR to quantitatively correlate daily surface ozone and meteorology in summer. Considering the combined effect of meteorology at various scales, we used both local meteorological variables and synoptic circulation factors as predictors following Shen et al. (2017b), who showed that,
comparing with regression models only considering local meteorology, adding the synoptic factors in a MLR can significantly improve the model performance. The MLR takes the following form:

$$\hat{Y} = b + \sum_{i=1}^{K_1} \alpha_i X_i + \sum_{j=1}^{K_2} \beta_j S_j$$

where $\hat{Y}$ is the predicted value of surface ozone, $b$ is the intercept term, $X_i$ is the local meteorological variables with a total number of $K_1$, $S_j$ is the synoptic meteorological factors with a total number of $K_2$, and $\alpha_i$ and $\beta_j$ are the regression coefficients. We used 10 local meteorological variables ($K_1=10$), including relative humidity at 2 m (RH2m), cloud fraction (CF), temperature at 2 m (T2m), planetary boundary layer height (PBLH), zonal wind at 850 hPa (U850), meridional wind at 850 hPa (V850), vertical wind at 850 hPa (W850), wind speed at 850 hPa (WS850), geopotential height at 850 hPa (HGT850), and sea level pressure (SLP), all of which were identified significantly (p<0.05) correlated to the daily variations of surface ozone in part of eastern China, as shown in Figure 2. Cloud fraction retrievals at 1°×1° grids were from the spaceborne Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) instrument (AIRS3STD daily product, https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/). The other 9 local meteorology were from FNL data (section 2.1). We computed the anomalies of meteorological variables and ozone on a given day by taking the difference between the value of a given meteorological variable (or ozone) on that day and the mean value of the meteorological variable (or ozone) in that month. Thus, all the data were detrended and the influences of meteorology on the ozone variability on longer time scales (trends, and annual and seasonal variations) were generally removed. Any anomaly of a variable (or ozone) divided by its corresponding monthly mean is referred as relative anomaly of that variable (or ozone) with a unit of %.

For $S_j$ in equation (2), we also identified two synoptic factors through the singular value decomposition (SVD) of the spatial correlations between surface ozone and local meteorological variables in eastern China (Shen et al., 2017b). The SVD approach effectively extracted representative
signals from the spatial distribution of the correlation coefficients. The extracted information was then used to characterize the spatial patterns of the meteorological variables on a synoptic scale by inverting SVD. For each of the FNL grids in eastern China, we constructed the synoptic circulation factors as follows. First, we calculated the correlation coefficients between daily mean surface ozone at a given grid and each of the 10 meteorological variables at all the grids in eastern China in summer during 2013-2018. For example, the correlations for the grid of Nanjing are shown in Figure S1, which indicates that surface ozone in Nanjing is correlated to the meteorology in the surrounding regions. We made a matrix $A$ that consists of the correlation coefficients for that grid with elements of 21 (numbers of grids in longitude) × 23 (numbers of grids in latitude) × 10 (numbers of the local meteorological variables). Second, to fit the decomposition, we aligned the dimension of longitude-latitude into one column and reshaped matrix $A$ into a 483 (longitude × latitude) × 10 two-dimensional matrix $F$. The SVD decomposed $F$ used the equation:

$$F = ULV^T$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $U$ is 483×10 matrix, $L$ is a 10×10 diagonal matrix with non-negative numbers on the diagonal, $V$ is also a 10×10 matrix. The columns of the three transformations together characterize SVD modes, with 10 modes in total. Each column of $U$ represents the spatial weights of the SVD mode and each column of $V$ represents the variable weights in the SVD mode. The spatial and variable weights of the first two SVD modes for the Nanjing grid are shown in Figure S2. The pattern of the spatial weight of the first SVD mode for the Nanjing grid (Figure S2a) is similar to the pattern of the correlations between surface ozone and relative humidity (Figure S1a) and cloud fraction (Figure S1b). The first SVD mode is more correlated to relative humidity and cloud fraction than other variables (Figure S2b). Therefore, the first SVD mode for the Nanjing grid is related to chemical processes of ozone. In contrast, the second SVD mode for the Nanjing grid is more related to transport than chemical processes (Figure S2d). Third, we assigned the anomalies of the daily mean values of the 10 local meteorological
variables in eastern China to a 552 (days in summer of 2013-2018) × 21 (longitude) × 23 (latitude) × 10 (meteorology) four-dimensional matrix \( M \). At each grid, we normalized the time series of each variable to zero mean and unit standard deviation. Then, the magnitude of each SVD mode for every day \( t \) was calculated by inverting SVD:

\[
S_{k,t} = U_k^T M_t V_k \quad (4)
\]

where \( U_k \) and \( V_k \) are the \( k \)th columns of \( U \) and \( V \), respectively. \( S_{k,t} \) is a scalar depicting the magnitude of the \( k \)th SVD mode. \( S_{k,t} \) refers to a newly produced meteorological field that represents the influence of synoptic meteorology on ozone variability. We implemented the procedure at every grid in eastern China. The first two SVD modes can generally explain 55-85% of the total variance. They can respectively reflect the dynamical or thermal characteristics of synoptic meteorology (Shen et al., 2017b). Therefore, we applied the primary two SVD modes in the MLR (\( K_2 = 2 \)).

We used the leave-one-out cross validation to avoid overfitting of the MLR for each grid. Data during the study period (summer over 2013-2018) included 552-day observations. Each time, one observation in the time series was reserved as the test set and the remaining ones were used as the training set. The process was repeated until all observations had been predicted. Every observation was to be a test set once and a training set 551 times.

We measured the relative importance of each of the meteorological variables to ozone by its relative contributions to the total explained variance of the MLR. The weight of each predictor (\( w_i \)) was calculated from the normalized MLR coefficient (\( z_k \)):

\[
w_i = \frac{z_k^2}{\sum_{k=1}^{l} z_k^2} \quad (5)
\]

where \( z_k \) is:
and the number of all the predictors is 12, including 10 local and 2 synoptic meteorological factors (section 2.2). $c_k$ is the regression coefficient, referring to $\alpha_i$ or $\beta_j$ in equation (2). $s_k$ is the standard deviation of a predictor, i.e., $X_i$ or $S_j$ in equation (2). $s_y$ is the standard deviation of the observed daily surface ozone.

2.3 Classification of the synoptic weather patterns

Weather classification is a well-established tool to characterize atmospheric processes on multiple scales and further to study air pollution-weather relationship (Han et al., 2018b). The methods for weather classification can be generally categorized into three groups: subjective, mixed, and objective, depending on the automatic degree during the classification process (Huth et al., 2008). The methods can also be categorized in more detail according to the basic features of each classification algorithm (Philipp et al., 2014). Depending on the study domain and research objectives, different meteorological variables including geopotential height, mean sea level pressure, and zonal and meridional winds are used for the classification.

SOM, an artificial neural network method with unsupervised learning (Kohonen, 1990; Michaelides et al., 2007), is widely used in cluster analysis in atmospheric sciences (Jiang et al., 2017; Liao et al., 2018; Stauffer et al., 2018) because of its superiorities over other algorithms (Liu et al., 2006; Jensen et al., 2012). SOM performs a nonlinear projection from the input data space to a two-dimensional array of nodes objectively. Each node is representative of the input data. SOM allows missing values in the input data and can effectively visualize the relationships between different output nodes (Hewitson and Crane, 2002).
The FNL geopotential height fields (section 2.1) at 850 hPa can well capture the synoptic circulation variations over eastern China (Han et al., 2018b). In this study, we used geopotential height at 850 hPa in 2013-2018 as the input for SOM. Each of the SOM output nodes corresponds to a cluster of SWPs. Finally, we identified six predominant SWPs over eastern China in summer. All days in summer of 2013-2018 were included in the clustering results.

3 Seasonal and interannual variations of surface ozone in eastern China

Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively, show the seasonal and interannual variations of the regional mean surface ozone concentrations in eastern China and the three subregions (BTH, YRD, and PRD) during 2013-2018. Among \( n \) cities with air quality monitoring in a given region, if ozone levels exceed the national air quality standard in \( m \) cities, we defined the ratio of \( m \) to \( n \) as the regional exceedance probability of ozone (Figure 3c). Higher regional exceedance probability implies ozone pollution over wider surface areas in that region. Primary pollutant (Figure 3d) is defined in the Air Quality Index (AQI) system, in which, AQI for an individual air pollutant is calculated based on the concentrations of that pollutant. When the individual AQI of a pollutant on a day is both above 50 and the largest among all the pollutants, that pollutant is defined as the primary pollutant on that day.

On regional average, the seasonality of daily mean ozone is similar to that of daily maximum 8-hour average (MDA8) ozone in eastern China, as well as in the three subregions (BTH, YRD, and PRD) (Figures 3a and 3b). In BTH, both daily mean and MDA8 have a unimodal seasonal pattern and peak in June, being 99.5 and 158.4 µg m\(^{-3}\), respectively. The extremely high ozone in June leads to a simultaneous seasonal maximum in both probability of the regional exceedance (46.9% of the cities with ozone measurements in BTH) and primary pollutant (68.7% of the days in June) (Figures 3c and 3d). The seasonal peak of surface ozone in BTH mainly results from enhanced photochemistry due to
stronger solar radiation and lower humidity (Hou et al., 2014). Surface ozone over YRD reaches a
seasonal maximum in May (82.6 and 127.7 µg m⁻³, respectively, for daily mean and MDA8 ozone),
earlier than that over BTH. While the seasonal peak over PRD occurs the latest in October (71.5 and
118.1 µg m⁻³, respectively, for daily mean and MDA8 ozone). Although temperature is higher in
summer than in the other seasons, the EASM brings more cloudy weather, stronger convection, and
clearer air from the oceans, weakening the production and accumulation of surface ozone over YRD and
PRD (Hou et al., 2015; S. Li et al., 2018). The pre-monsoon and post-monsoon peak of surface ozone
were also found in YRD and PRD, respectively (He et al., 2008; T. Wang et al., 2009).

On regional and seasonal averages, daily mean and MDA8 ozone over eastern China in summer are
73.1 and 114.7 µg m⁻³, respectively. Among the three subregions, summertime surface ozone is highest
in BTH (88.3 and 143.7 µg m⁻³, respectively, for daily mean and MDA8 ozone), second highest in YRD
(72.9 and 114.7 µg m⁻³), and lowest in PRD (51.0 and 91.9 µg m⁻³) (Figures 3a and 3b). These regional
differences among the three subregions appear similar to these in the Ozone Monitoring Instrument
(OMI) tropospheric column ozone (Figure 1). The regional exceedance probability of ozone over
eastern China reaches 17.7% in summer, accompanied with a high percentage (45.6%) of ozone being
the primary pollutant. Among the three subregions, BTH has the highest regional exceedance
probability of ozone (35.1%) and probability of ozone being the primary pollutant (55.8%).

A rapid increasing trend in summertime surface ozone over China after 2012 was observed in recent
studies (Lu et al., 2018; Silver et al., 2018; Shen et al., 2019a; K. Li et al., 2019). We examine the
regional mean trends over eastern China in daily, daytime (7:00-18:00), and nighttime (19:00-6:00)
means (Figure 4). Significant summer increasing trends (p<0.05) of approximately 3-6 µg m⁻³ or 4-8%
per year are found over eastern China, BTH, and YRD during 2013-2018, while the increasing trend
over PRD during the period is insignificant (p>0.05). Silver et al. (2018) found the annual mean MDA8 ozone increased significantly (p<0.05) at ~50% of the over 1000 stations across China from 2015 to 2017, with a median rate of 4.6 µg m⁻³ year⁻¹. The increasing trend over eastern China was also captured by the OMI satellite records of tropospheric ozone, reported by Shen et al. (2019a). The absolute increasing trend (in µg m⁻³) in daytime is higher than that in nighttime, whereas the relative increasing trend (in %) in daytime is lower than that in nighttime (Figures 4e-4h vs. Figures 4i-4l). The increasing ozone trend over China may result from both meteorology and anthropogenic emissions. During 2013-2017, the anthropogenic emissions of NOₓ in China declined (Zheng et al., 2018) but the anthropogenic emissions of VOCs changed little (Zheng et al., 2018; Shen et al., 2019b). K. Li et al. (2019) suggested the ~40% decrease of fine particulate matter (PM₂.₅) is the primary reason for the increasing trend of surface ozone in summer during 2013-2017, as the aerosol sink of hydroperoxy radicals was weakened and thus ozone production was enhanced. Figure 4b demonstrates a strong increase in summertime surface ozone over BTH from 2016 to 2017, which is probably related to the hot extremes in 2017 (Herring et al., 2019). The sudden decline in summertime surface ozone over PRD from 2016 to 2017 (Figure 4d) is likely associated with the extremely heavy precipitation in 2017 (Herring et al., 2019).

4 Meteorological drivers for summertime surface ozone in eastern China

Meteorological factors can individually or integrally modulate surface ozone concentration through their impacts on relevant chemical, dynamical, and thermal processes in the atmosphere. Figure 2 shows a simple way to examine the overall effect of each of the meteorological variables statistically by correlating surface ozone with a selected set of local meteorological variables during 2013-2018 summer. Among all the meteorological variables, relative humidity shows the highest correlation with surface ozone in eastern China on regional mean (r=-0.39). Relative humidity can influence ozone
through various processes. Atmospheric water vapor can directly influence ozone concentrations by HO\textsubscript{x} (HO\textsubscript{x}=OH+H+peroxy radicals) chemistry in complicated ways (Zanis et al., 2002; Jacob et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2019b). Moreover, a higher relative humidity is usually associated with more fractions of clouds, which can slow the photochemical production of surface ozone. Higher relative humidity may also somewhat be linked with larger atmospheric instability, favoring the dispersion of surface ozone (Camalier et al., 2007). The correlation map of cloud fraction is similar to that of relative humidity (Figures 2a and 2b). The correlation of temperature with ozone is higher in the north than in the south over eastern China (Figure 2c), which is similar to the pattern found in the eastern United States (Camalier et al., 2007; Shen et al., 2016). Meridional wind at 850 hPa is correlated to surface ozone positively in the north but negatively in the most areas of the south (Figure 2f). In summer, the south-westerly monsoon wind prevails over eastern China (Figure S3). Higher meridional wind brings clean and humid marine air to the south, while it transports ozone and its precursors from the south to the north. All the meteorological variables are not independent with each other. Overall, the meteorological variables that are related to photochemistry processes (relative humidity, cloud fraction, and temperature) have more significant correlation than transport-related variables (zonal, meridional, and vertical winds and wind speed) (Figure 2), implying greater effects of chemical process than physical transport. S. Li et al. (2018) also suggested the chemical process is the uppermost factor controlling surface ozone levels over eastern China in summer.

Combining the effects of different meteorological variables, we applied the MLR (section 2.2) using predictors of both local and synoptic factors to simulate summertime daily surface ozone in eastern China. The MLR was evaluated using the leave-one-out cross validation to avoid overfitting. The MLR performs strongly as it can explain 14-65% variations in the observed surface ozone concentrations, yielding a regional mean coefficient of determination (R\textsuperscript{2}) of 43% (Figure 5a). The mean absolute error
(MAE) and the root mean square error (RMSE) of regional mean ozone anomalies in eastern China between observations and predictions by the MLR are 12.0 and 7.1 µg m\(^{-3}\), respectively (Figure 6a). Geographically, the model performs better in the south (R\(^2\)=0.51 in YRD and R\(^2\)=0.49 in PRD) than in the north (R\(^2\)=0.42 in BTH) (Figure 5a). Compared with the simulation that only considers the local meteorological variables in the MLR, the model performance is overall improved in eastern China when both local and synoptic meteorological factors are considered (Figures 5a vs. 5b). Shen et al. (2017b) found that, compared with a MLR that describes monthly PM\(_{2.5}\) in the United States only using local meteorological factors, the inclusion of synoptic meteorological factors in the MLR increases R\(^2\) from 34% to 43%. We also conducted the stepwise MLR using local and synoptic meteorology without detrending the input data. The results show that meteorology can explain 18% of the increasing trend in the regional mean of summertime surface ozone over eastern China from 2013 to 2018, and the explained variance is 16%, 41%, and 44% for BTH, YRD, and PRD, respectively (Figure S4).

We applied the MLR to identify the dominant meteorological drivers for ozone variability (section 2.2). Among the local meteorology, relative humidity is dominant over ~51% areas of eastern China, mainly in the central and the southern regions including YRD and PRD (Figure 5c), although on a city scale in PRD, Zhao et al. (2016) suggested that sea level pressure is the most significant variable for MDA8 ozone in Hong Kong. Air temperature is the most important local meteorological variable in ~17% areas of eastern China, specifically in the north including BTH (Figure 5c). The importance of temperature to surface ozone over BTH was also suggested by Chen et al. (2019). Previous studies found that temperature and relative humidity showed pronounced impact on ozone in the north and south of the eastern United States, respectively (Camalier et al., 2007; Porter et al., 2015). The difference of the most influential variables between the south and north in eastern China is similar to that in the eastern United States. In Europe, Otero et al. (2016) suggested that temperature is the most
important local meteorological driver over a major part of Europe. On regional average, the second most important meteorological variable for the daily surface ozone variation in eastern China, BTH, YRD, and PRD is temperature, relative humidity, sea level pressure, and meridional wind at 850 hPa, respectively (Figure 6).

5 Synoptic impacts on summertime surface ozone in eastern China

In the last section, we have shown that both local and synoptic meteorological factors are important to surface ozone variations in eastern China. The synoptic factors used there were extracted via an inversing SVD process and do not stand for specific weather systems. In this section, we further invest how different synoptic weather systems influence surface ozone in eastern China by looking into the typical SWPs. Atmospheric circulations over eastern China in summer are largely regulated by the evolution of the components of EASM, for instance, the WPSH, the subtropical westerly jet, the Meiyu front, and the Southwest Vortex (Ding and Chan, 2005). Among these systems, the WPSH can largely modulate the seasonal migration of the rain belt over eastern China. Typhoon is also an influential weather system, especially on the southeast coastal regions. The main features of the synoptic circulations over eastern China during 2013-2018 can be represented by six predominant SWPs (Figures 7-12), which were identified by an objective approach, SOM (section 2.3). The occurrence frequency of these SWPs is shown in Figures 7-12. We name the six SWPs by their dominant weather systems or prevailing wind, including Pattern 1 featured southwesterly wind (P1 or PSW), Pattern 2 featured Southerly wind (P2 or PS), Pattern 3 featured Northeast Cold Vortex (P3 or PNECV), Pattern 4 featured a weak cyclone (P4 or PWC), Pattern 5 featured strong WPSH (P5 or PSWPSH), and Pattern 6 featured typhoon systems (P6 or PTC) (Table 1).

To compare the differences of meteorological conditions among the six SWPs, we calculated the
daily EASM index (EASMI) and WPSH index (WPSHI) representing the strength of EASM and WPSH respectively. The two indexes were normalized to zero mean and unit standard deviation. The averaged anomalies of the normalized indexes under each SWP are shown in Figures 7-12 and Table 1. The EASMI is a shear vorticity index defined as the difference of the regional mean zonal wind at 850 hPa between 5-15°N, 90-130°E and 22.5-32.5°N, 110-140°E in B. Wang and Fan (1999) recommended by B. Wang et al. (2008). The WPSHI is defined by the accumulative enhancement of geopotential height above the WPSH characteristic isoline (5880 gpm at 500 hPa) averaged over the area north to 10°N. The WPSHI is adopted by the National Climate Center in China (https://cmdp.ncc-cma.net) in the monitoring and diagnosis of the atmospheric circulation. Using the WPSHI, Zhao and Wang (2017) found a significant correlation between the WPSH and the first empirical orthogonal function (EOF) pattern of surface ozone in China. Moreover, we used the averaged anomalies of the meteorological variables in a SWP to describe that SWP. We used the averaged ozone anomaly (in µg m⁻³) (Figures 7-12) and the averaged relative ozone anomaly (the ozone anomaly divided by the monthly ozone mean, in %) (Table 1 and Figure S5) under a SWP to assess the influence of that SWP on ozone (Han et al., 2018b). Furthermore, a common index for air stagnation (Horton et al., 2012) is used to assess the impact of air stagnation on surface ozone. For each FNL grid, when the daily average wind speed at 10 m, daily average wind speed at 500 hPa, and the daily total precipitation on a day are respectively less than 3.2 m s⁻¹, 13 m s⁻¹, and 1 mm, the day is considered as a stagnant day at that grid. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Climate Prediction Center (CPC) precipitation data (https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.cpc.globalprecip.html) were used in the calculation of the air stagnation index.

The characteristics of the six SWPs and their impacts on surface ozone are briefly summarized in Table 1. PSW (P1) is the most common circulation pattern occurring in 25% days of summer during
2013-2019 (Figure 7b). Characterized with weak EASM conditions, PSW is dominated by an anomalous anticyclone located in the southeast of eastern China (Figure 7e). In PSW, the enhanced meridional wind brings clear marine air to the south of eastern China (Figure 7j), where the meridional wind is significantly correlated to surface ozone (Figure 2f). The enhanced zonal wind from the anomalous anticyclonic circulation (Figure 7e) increases the ozone export from the south of eastern China (Yang et al., 2014). The negative anomalies of temperature (Figure 7g), and positive anomalies of relative humidity (Figure 7f) and cloud fraction (Figure 7h) in the south are all unfavorable for photochemical processes. In consequence, PSW reduces ozone levels in the south (Figure 7c) by enhancing the dispersion and suppressing the production of ozone. Negative anomalies of -1.5 (-2.4%) and -6.6 µg m\(^{-3}\) (-13%) in the regional mean ozone are observed over YRD and PRD, respectively (Figure 7c and Table 1). In contrast, the lower cloud fraction (Figure 7h) and higher temperature (Figure 7g) in the north stimulate ozone production. Surface ozone over BTH increases by 3.4 µg m\(^{-3}\) (3.6%) from the regional mean in PSW (Figure 7c and Table 1).

PS (P2) is the second frequent SWP (Figure 8b), characterized with strong EASM and weak WPSH (Figure 8a). Under PS, the FNL meteorological data show frequent stagnation events (Figure 8l), low humidity (Figure 8f), and low cloud fraction (Figure 8h) over most eastern China. In contrast to PSW, the zonal wind has negative anomalies (Figure 8e) in PS, reducing ozone export from the south of eastern China. Overall, an increase of 1.1 µg m\(^{-3}\) (1.7%) in the regional mean ozone concentrations is resulted in eastern China under PS (Figure 8c and Table 1).

PNECV (P3) is a typical pattern for Meiyu, an important climate phenomenon over the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River from early June to mid-July (Figure 9a). PNECV is characterized by persistent rainfall (Ding and Chan, 2005). Under a combined effect of the Northeast Cold Vortex and the
WPSH, Meiyu front forms and maintains over YRD (He et al., 2007). Meiyu in PNECV increases relative humidity (Figure 9f) and decreases air stagnation (Figure 9l) over YRD. Consequently, PNECV reduces surface ozone concentrations by 1.3 µg m\(^{-3}\) (1.7%) over YRD (Figure 9c and Table 1). Meantime, more sunny days with high temperature (Figure 9g) and low moisture (Figure 9f) occur in the north to YRD, affected by the northwesterly and downward airflows from the Northeast Cold Vortex (Figure 9a). As a result, positive ozone anomalies are observed in the regions north of YRD (Figure 9c).

PWC (P4) features the weakest WPSH, when a weak extratropical cyclone locates over the east of the mainland China (Figure 10a). The extratropical cyclone is probably formed by an eastward movement of the Southwest Vortex or a transition from a typhoon. Pushed by the cyclone, the WPSH retreats (Y. Li et al., 2018). The weak pressure gradient over the mainland of eastern China (Figure 10a) in PWC results in more stable weather conditions. The anomalies of the meteorological variables in PWC show opposite spatial patterns to those in PSW (Figure 7 vs Figure 10). With the favorable meteorological conditions except temperature, PWC enhances ozone over the south. PWC statistically increased regional mean ozone by 5.2 µg m\(^{-3}\) (7.5%) over YRD and 6.7 µg m\(^{-3}\) (11.8%) over PRD (Figure 10c and Table 1). Mean negative ozone anomalies of -4.8 µg m\(^{-3}\) (-5.1%) are observed over BTH in PWC (Figure 10c and Table 1).

PSWPSH (P5) occurs in late summer (Figure 11b), when Meiyu breaks in the Yangtze River and the rain belt shifts to North China (Ding and Chan, 2005). In PSWPSH, the WPSH is the strongest and extends westward mostly (Figure 11a). Thus, relative humidity is lower than the seasonal mean over YRD and higher than the seasonal mean over BTH (Figure 11f). Meantime, stable weather conditions occur more frequently over YRD (Figure 11l). Therefore, ozone accumulates over YRD in PSWPSH with a regional mean enhancement of 1.8 µg m\(^{-3}\) (2.5%) (Figure 11c and Table 1). Surface ozone
decreases by 0.8 (1.4%) and 5.0 µg m\(^{-3}\) (8.9%), respectively, over BTH and PRD under this SWP (Figure 11c and Table 1).

PTC (P6) is a typical typhoon weather that is over the southeast coast of the mainland China (Figure 12a). Forced by a typhoon system, the WPSH in PTC migrates further north than under the other SWPs. The typhoon brings clear and moist marine air to coastal areas in eastern China, reducing surface ozone by 6.8 µg m\(^{-3}\) (9.2%) over YRD (Figure 12c and Table 1). Shu et al. (2017) identified that SWPs like PTC can lead to clean PM\(_{2.5}\) episodes in YRD. However, the cyclonic circulation enhances ozone transport from the central part of eastern China to the downwind regions in the south including PRD. The collective effect of higher temperature, lower humidity, and heavier downdrafts, PTC increases surface ozone in PRD by 7.9 µg m\(^{-3}\) (15.5%) (Figure 12c and Table 1). Lam et al. (2018) found ozone increases by 16.8 µg m\(^{-3}\) at urban stations in Hong Kong of PRD, when the synoptic circulation controlling PRD is featured typhoon in the vicinity of Taiwan, similar to PTC. They also suggested that this SWP is associated with the interannual variations of ozone pollution in Hong Kong.

We further compared the SWPs analysis with that from the MLR discussed in section 4. We evaluate the performance of the MLR under the six SWPs based on the predicted (Figures 7d, 8d, 9d, 10d, 12d, and 13d) and observed (Figures 7c, 8c, 9c, 10c, 11c, and 12c) ozone anomalies. The comparison shows that the ozone anomalies predicted by the MLR have spatial variations and magnitudes similar to those in the observations under each of the SWPs. The MAE of averaged ozone anomalies under each of the SWPs ranges 1.0-2.2 µg m\(^{-3}\), and the RMSE ranges 1.4-2.8 µg m\(^{-3}\) (Table S1). The MLR can well capture the ozone anomalies under the six predominant SWPs (Figures 7-12). For example, the negative ozone anomaly over PRD under PSW featured weak EASM (Figures 7c vs. 7d), the negative ozone anomaly over YRD under PNECV featured Meiyu (Figures 9c vs. 9d), and the positive ozone anomaly
over PRD under PTC featured typhoon (Figures 12c vs. 12d). Since the MLR only considers the meteorological influence on surface ozone, the consistency between the regression and the clustering results suggests that the mean observed ozone anomalies under a SWP can adequately reflect the response of daily ozone variation to meteorology. The noise of day-to-day variations of chemistry and emissions in the surface ozone data can be largely removed by long-term average of ozone anomalies under a SWP from the big data set of surface ozone (Han et al., 2018b).

In addition, we applied the MLR to reveal the most important local meteorological factor for daily ozone variability under each of the six SWPs (Figure S6). The MLR was conducted under each of the SWPs with the same procedures in the full summer. The most important meteorological variable for ozone over some areas in eastern China may vary with the prevailing SWP (Figure S6). The dominant driver in PRD is meridional wind at 850 hPa under PSW, PS, and PSWPSH, demonstrating the significant influences of marine air inflow. Controlled by the typhoon system, the most important factor over some coastal areas is zonal wind at 850 hPa under PTC.

6 Summary

Meteorology can influence surface ozone variability on different time scales, from long-term trends to sub-daily variations. Based on surface ozone observations during 2013-2018 from MEE, we characterized the seasonal and interannual variations of surface ozone in eastern China. The measurements show that surface ozone pollution in the study region is severest in summer and the severity is in a rapid increasing trend during the study period. We then focused on the meteorological influence on the daily variability of summertime surface ozone in eastern China. We took daily anomalies of meteorological and ozone values to remove the variabilities on scales longer than daily variations in these datasets. We estimated the local and synoptic meteorological impacts on daily
variability of surface ozone using a MLR and a SOM clustering technique. The MLR is driven by local meteorological variables and synoptic weather factors identified by the SVD analysis.

The MLR suggests that on regional average, meteorology can explain 43% variations in the summertime daily surface ozone in eastern China, with an explained variance of up to 65% over some locations (Figure 5a). The regression model shows that meteorology contributes to 18% of the increasing trend in the regional mean of summertime surface ozone over eastern China from 2013 to 2018. Exploiting the MLR, we also identified the key meteorological variables that are mostly responsible for daily variations of summertime surface ozone in eastern China during 2013-2018. Among the local meteorological variables, relative humidity is the foremost over most areas in the center and south of eastern China including YRD and PRD, while temperature is the foremost in the north including BTH (Figure 5c).

We assessed the impacts of the dominant synoptic weather systems on surface ozone using cluster analysis. Employing the SOM, the summer synoptic circulations over eastern China during 2013-2018 were objectively classified into six predominant SWPs (Figures 7-12). The six SWPs control the variations of the key meteorological variables and thus impact the transport and production of ozone regionally. Among the six SWPs, the SWP (PS) featured southerly wind, strong EASM and weak WPSH (Figure 8), and the SWP (PWC) featured a weak extratropical cyclone and the weakest WPSH (Figure 10) tend to increase the regional mean surface ozone in eastern China. In contrast, the other four SWPs (namely, PSW, PNECV, PSWPSH, and PTC) tend to reduce regional mean surface ozone in eastern China (Figures 7, 9, 11, and 12). As the predominant meteorological controlling variables of surface ozone vary greatly in space (Figures 2 and 5), strong differences are found in surface ozone concentrations under every SWP between northern and southern parts of eastern China or between
eastern and western parts of eastern China (Figures 7-12). Under the dominant SWP, daily mean surface ozone in some areas of eastern China can increase or decrease maximally by 8 µg m\(^{-3}\) or 16% of the mean (Table 1).

This study provides some new insights on the relationship between meteorology and air pollution, by untangling the complex response of surface ozone to different SWPs and local meteorological variables. The most significant meteorological variables for surface ozone in eastern China were identified regionally, which was rarely investigated by previous studies (Gong et al., 2018; Zhan et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019). Extending from previous studies, we quantified ozone anomalies in eastern China resulting from the prominent synoptic weather systems such as the WPSH (Shu et al., 2016; Zhao and Wang, 2017), the extratropical cyclones (Zhang et al., 2013; Liao et al., 2017), the Meiyu front, and typhoon (Jiang et al., 2015; Lam et al., 2018). These systems are important drivers for variations of air pollutants over eastern China (Ding et al., 2017). The mean ozone anomalies under a SWP in six years over 2013-2018 were used to represent the ozone sensitivity to that SWP. This method can remove the seasonal differences in the pollutant concentrations and the frequency of SWPs (Han et al., 2018b). No consideration of seasonal differences in pollutant concentrations and meteorology can lead to biases in addressing daily variations of a pollutant (e.g. Zhang et al., 2013, 2016; Liao et al., 2017).

In this study, the developed MLR and cluster techniques can well describe the meteorological impacts on the surface ozone variation in eastern China. Both regression and clustering analyses show strong performance, so they can be effective tools for air quality forecast. Here, we emphasize the importance of synoptic meteorology to the daily variations of surface ozone. The constructed synoptic factors by the SVD analysis can be a useful predictor for forecasting such daily variations. As ozone responses nonlinearly to variations in meteorology, emissions, and chemistry (Wu et al., 2009), the developed
MLR cannot fully predict daily ozone variations yet. Therefore, the nonlinearity issue need to be addressed in the future. Future work can also be conducted on the sensitivity of the diurnal ozone variation to meteorology and on the impact of climate change on future surface ozone levels regionally and globally (Shen et al., 2017b).
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Table 1. Characteristics of the six predominant SWPs. Ozone anomalies observed in each SWP are shown in regional mean ± two times of the standard error of the mean. Anomalies of normalized EASMI and WPSHI in each SWP are shown to respectively represent the strength of EASM and WPSH. A higher EASMI (WPSHI) indicates a stronger EASM (WPSH).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWPs (Frequency)</th>
<th>Meteorological Conditions</th>
<th>Ozone Anomaly (µg m⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Typical features</td>
<td>EASMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1: PSW (25.0%)</td>
<td>Southwesterly wind</td>
<td>-0.7 (-2.9%±0.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2: PS (20.8%)</td>
<td>Southerly wind 0.6 -0.4</td>
<td>1.1±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3: PNECV (16.1%)</td>
<td>Northeast Cold vortex and Meiyu -0.9 -0.9</td>
<td>-1.1±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4: PWC (15.4%)</td>
<td>Weak cyclone 0.3 -2.0</td>
<td>2.7±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5: PSWPSH (13.2%)</td>
<td>Strong WPSH 0 2.2</td>
<td>-0.4±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6: PTC (9.4%)</td>
<td>Typhoon 1.6 0.1</td>
<td>-0.7±0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. (a) Distribution of the cities (dots) with air quality monitoring stations in eastern China (EC, 110-130°E, 20-42°N, the boxed area) and the summer mean tropospheric column ozone (color shades, in Dobson units, DU) from the OMI satellite measurements during 2013-2017. Summertime mean surface ozone (in µg m⁻³) over eastern China during 2013-2018 shown (b) by city and (c) by grid (see section 2.1). The red dots in (a) indicate the cities in BTH, YRD, and PRD. The three boxed areas in (c) indicate BTH (114-120°E, 36-42°N), YRD (117-123°E, 28-34°N), and PRD (112-116°E, 21-25°N). The unfilled grids in (c) are due to the lack of monitoring stations nearby. The OMI tropospheric column ozone monthly data at 1° latitude by 1.25° longitude were obtained from NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (https://acd-ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data_services/cloud_slice/).
Figure 2. Correlation coefficients ($r$) between daily surface ozone and each of the ten meteorological variables in summer during 2013-2018. The black dot in a grid indicates that the $r$ in that grid is significant ($p<0.05$). The regional mean $r$ is shown in the bottom right corner of each panel in purple if the $r$ is significant ($p<0.05$) and in grey if the $r$ is insignificant. The abbreviations are for relative humidity at 2 m (RH2m), cloud fraction (CF), temperature at 2 m (T2m), planetary boundary layer height (PBLH), zonal wind at 850 hPa (U850), meridional wind at 850 hPa (V850), vertical wind at 850 hPa (W850), wind speed at 850 hPa (WS850), geopotential height at 850 hPa (HGT850), and sea level pressure (SLP).
Figure 3. Seasonal variations of (a) daily mean surface ozone concentrations, (b) daily maximum 8-hour average surface ozone concentrations, (c) the regional exceedance probability of ozone, and (d) the probability of ozone being the primary pollutant. The values are regional means over eastern China (EC) and the three subregions (BTH, YRD, and PRD) over 2014-2017. The values were calculated from the observations in the corresponding cities. The pink shading area in each panel indicates the range of the ±50% the standard deviation of the corresponding variable for eastern China. The vertical shading over summer shows the season of interest in this study. The means of the corresponding variables for eastern China and the three subregions in summer are shown in the top left corner of each panel.
Figure 4. Interannual variations of regional daily mean (1\textsuperscript{st} row), daytime mean (2\textsuperscript{nd} row), and nighttime mean (3\textsuperscript{rd} row) surface ozone concentrations over eastern China and the three subregions in summer from 2013 to 2018. The values were calculated from the observations in the corresponding cities. The error bar indicates two times of the standard deviation. The red numbers are the increasing trends of the regional mean ozone in summer (in $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ per year and in $\%$ per year), as well as the corresponding significant level.
Figure 5. Cross-validated coefficient of determination ($R^2$) between the observed and predicted daily surface ozone in summer during 2013-2018 for the MLR (a) with both local and synoptic meteorological factors and (b) with only local meteorological factors. (c) The most important variables among the local meteorology for ozone in the MLR. The boxed areas indicate BTH, YRD, and PRD, respectively, in the north, center, and south of the study domain. The regional mean $R^2$ in (a) and (b) and meteorological variable in (c) are shown in the bottom right corner of each panel.
Figure 6. Comparison of daily surface ozone anomalies in summer between the predictions using MLR and observations averaged over eastern China and the three subregions. The linearly fitted line in blue is shown in comparison with a 1:1 line in black. Cross-validated coefficient of determination ($R^2$), mean absolute error (MAE), root mean squared error (RMSE), and the three most dominant meteorological variables in the MLR for ozone in each region are shown inset.
Figure 7. PSW (P1). The mean (a) geopotential height at 850 hPa (HGT850), (b) occurrence frequency of this weather pattern, (c) observed daily surface ozone anomaly, and (d) predicted daily surface ozone anomaly using MLR. Anomalies of (e) HGT850, (f) relative humidity at 2 m (RH2m), (g) temperature at 2 m (T2m), (h) cloud fraction (CF), (i) planetary boundary layer height (PBLH), (j) meridional wind at 850 hPa (V850), (k) wind speed at 850 hPa (WS850) and (l) air stagnation (AS) under this SWP in summer during 2013-2018. Anomalies of normalized EASMI and WPSHI shown in (a) respectively represent the strength of EASM and WPSH. The red numbers in (b) are the occurrences of this SWP (in the number of days and in %). The purple dots in the boxed area in (a) indicate the cities in BTH, YRD, and PRD, respectively, in the north, center, and south of study domain: eastern China. The three boxed
areas in (c) and (d) indicate BTH, YRD, and PRD accordingly. The regional mean anomalies of observed and predicted ozone (±two times of the standard error of the mean) are shown in the bottom right corners of (c) and (d), respectively.
Figure 8. The same as Figure 7, but for PS (P2).
Figure 9. The same as Figure 7, but for PNECV (P3).
Figure 10. The same as Figure 7, but for PWC (P4).
Figure 11. The same as Figure 7, but for PSWPSH (P5).
Figure 12. The same as Figure 7, but for PTC (P6).