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Abstract. Methane (CH₄) is the second most important directly emitted greenhouse gas, the atmospheric concentration of which is influenced by human activities. In this study, numerical simulations with the chemistry-climate model (CCM) EMAC are performed aiming to assess possible consequences of significantly enhanced CH₄ concentrations in the Earth’s atmosphere for the climate.

We analyze experiments with 2xCH₄ and 5xCH₄ present day (2010) mixing ratio and its quasi-instantaneous chemical impact on the atmosphere. The massive increase in CH₄ strongly influences the tropospheric chemistry by reducing the hydroxyl radical (OH) abundance and thereby extending the CH₄ lifetime as well as the residence time of other chemical substances. The region above the tropopause is impacted by a substantial rise in stratospheric water vapor (SWV). The stratospheric ozone (O₃) column increases overall, but SWV induced stratospheric cooling also leads to a enhanced ozone depletion in the Antarctic lower stratosphere. Regional patterns of ozone change are affected by modification of stratospheric dynamics, i.e. increased tropical up-welling and stronger meridional transport towards the polar regions. We calculate the net radiative impact (RI) of the 2xCH₄ experiment to be 0.69 W/m² and for the 5xCH₄ experiment to be 1.79 W/m². A substantial part of the RI is contributed by chemically induced O₃ and SWV changes, in line with previous radiative forcing estimates.

To our knowledge this is the first numerical study using a CCM with respect to two/fivefold CH₄ concentrations and it is therefore an overdue analysis as it emphasizes the impact of possible strong future CH₄ emissions on atmospheric chemistry and its feedback on climate.

1 Introduction

Methane (CH₄) is a potent greenhouse gas (GHG), subject to strong anthropogenic emissions that contribute substantially to global warming. It is not just by itself a radiatively active gas but is chemically active as well, strongly influencing the chemical composition of the atmosphere. Beyond that its sources are prone to temperature and it is generally expected that climate change (i.e. surface warming) will lead to enhanced CH₄ emissions, accelerating the temperature rise. For instance additional CH₄ emissions are expected from wetlands due to climate-driven changes (Gedney et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2017). Moreover, a large quantity of CH₄ is stored as methane hydrate not only in permafrost soil but also in the sea floor. Permafrost soil stores about a hundredfold of the current CH₄ burden in the atmosphere and oceanic methane hydrates
store even a thousandfold (IPCC, 2013). Current estimates indicate that GHG emissions from thawing permafrost soils could represent a major terrestrial biogeochemical feedback to climate change over the coming decades (Comyn-Platt et al., 2018).

At the same time, it is under debate whether a possible strong release of CH$_4$ from thawing permafrost in the Arctic region could potentially force an abrupt climate change (as discussed by O’Connor et al., 2010). At present, the release of methane hydrate from reservoirs is highly uncertain as well as the magnitude of future natural and anthropogenic emissions of methane. Increasing surface temperatures cause enhanced CH$_4$ emissions from thawing permafrost soils to the atmosphere, but the amount is currently poorly constrained (Hayes et al., 2014; Schaefer et al., 2014; Koven et al., 2015; Schuur et al., 2015). For instance, Dean et al. (2018) stated that there is basically no significant increase of Arctic methane emissions at the moment, though it may increase towards the end of the 21st century.

Nevertheless, permafrost thaw could potentially release trapped CH$_4$ and transform frozen soil to wetland areas, which would then add to Arctic CH$_4$ emissions. Moreover, ongoing heating of the Arctic sea surface temperature (SST) will also enhance future CH$_4$ production in the ocean, and a reduction of sea ice concentration (SIC) may increase the direct transfer of CH$_4$ from the ocean to the atmosphere. In particular, enhanced SST can increase the production of CH$_4$ as permafrost underlying the continental shelf begins to thaw (Miller et al., 2018). How a changing climate will impact future CH$_4$ emissions remains a topic of debate in atmospheric science, since emissions from the most climate sensitive CH$_4$ sources, i.e. wetlands, are difficult to quantify precisely.

Although there remain important knowledge gaps about the magnitude of CH$_4$ emissions, it is important to improve our understanding of how strongly future CH$_4$ emissions may impact our atmosphere and the environment. About 90% of the emitted CH$_4$ is removed in the troposphere. A change in tropospheric CH$_4$ concentration affects the oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere, modifies ozone in the troposphere and influences the CH$_4$ lifetime itself (e.g. Saunois et al., 2016; Frank, 2018; Holmes, 2018). Additionally, it also affects the stratosphere. For example, enhanced CH$_4$ emissions will lead to increased abundance of stratospheric water vapor (SWV) and due to this strongly influence stratospheric ozone (O$_3$) (Stenke and Grewe, 2005; Revell et al., 2016).

To assess the direct and indirect effects of strongly enhanced CH$_4$ emissions on atmospheric composition and Earth climate, numerical model studies are able to support investigations such as to identify potential signatures impacting climate change. So far only a limited amount of numerical studies are available concerning the impact of very strong CH$_4$ emissions. Exemplary, the effect of twofold CH$_4$ was investigated in a 1D radiative-convective climate model by Owens et al. (1982) and by MacKay and Khalil (1991). Shang et al. (2015) used a Chemical Transport model (CTM) but doubled CH$_4$ emission over China only. Other CTM studies have focused on recent changes and fluctuations of the atmospheric CH$_4$ concentration (e.g. Dalsøren et al., 2016) or have tried to explain CH$_4$ trends, which is a challenge because of important uncertainties in the global CH$_4$ budget, i.e. the balance of surface sources and atmospheric and surface sinks (Saunois et al., 2016). Furthermore, CTMs are limited in assessing climate change related issues, because they do not include the feedback between chemistry and dynamics. Smith et al. (2018) investigated the fast radiative feedbacks (adjustments) in a model-intercomparison using simulations with 3×CH$_4$ without considering the chemical feedback effects. This investigation includes only physical components of the atmosphere, like direct cloud, water vapor and temperature adjustments, and the total radiative adjustment resulted in a value near zero.
This example even more motivates an assessment of simulations that include chemically driven atmospheric adjustments to
increases of CH$_4$.

To our knowledge studies using data derived from chemistry-climate model (CCM) simulations including extreme CH$_4$
emissions (i.e. beyond current and near-future amounts) are not available so far. A CCM is an atmospheric global circulation
model that is interactively coupled to a detailed chemistry module. In contrast to CTMs, in CCMs the simulated concentrations
of the radiatively active gases are used for the calculations of net heating rates. Changes in the abundance of these gases due
to chemistry and advection influence heating rates and, consequently, variables describing atmospheric dynamics. This creates
a dynamical-chemical coupling in which the chemistry influences the dynamics and vice versa. Since CH$_4$ influences other
trace gases due to its oxidation products as well as the removal of hydroxyl radical (OH), a comprehensive chemistry module
is necessary. In simulations with doubled carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), in contrast, the feedback on climate and chemistry is induced
only by its radiative impact. Apart from accounting for the direct radiative impact of CH$_4$ the use of a CCM is strongly desired,
since the atmospheric CH$_4$ chemical feedback is a key process for understanding the variations in atmospheric CH$_4$ and its
effects on other chemical constituents of the atmosphere (Holmes, 2018).

The present work is the first study investigating atmospheric effects due to extreme CH$_4$ emissions with such a CCM. Ide-
alized simulations of significantly enhanced CH$_4$ concentrations are performed, i.e. twofold (2xCH$_4$) and fivefold (5xCH$_4$)
enhanced CH$_4$ concentrations compared to present day condition, allowing to assess possible future consequences for at-
mospheric composition considering chemical feedback processes. In a first step, we conducted CCM simulations without
interactive ocean coupling, i.e. the surface conditions regarding SST and SIC are prescribed (suppressed surface temperature
feedback). Equivalent to the work of Smith et al. (2018), the results can be interpreted as rapid adjustments to a sudden CH$_4$
enhancement before the ocean reacts to the perturbation, which would occur on a by far larger time scale.

In this study we will use the ECHAM/MESSy Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC) CCM (Jöckel et al., 2016) assessing the
range of atmospheric responses by abrupt increases of CH$_4$ concentrations. A short description of EMAC is given in Section 2
as well as an explanation of the simulation strategy. In Section 3 the reference simulation representing near-present day condi-
tion is briefly evaluated with observations (Subsect. 3.1) and a discussion of the impact of twofold and fivefold increased CH$_4$
concentrations in respective scenario simulations is presented in Subsect. 3.2. In the final Section 4 we draw some conclusions
from our investigation and give a brief outline for follow-up investigations.

2 Description of the model and simulation strategy

We use the EMAC model in the version 2.52 (Jöckel et al., 2010) and operate it at a resolution of T42L90MA corresponding
to a quadratic Gaussian grid of approx. 2.8° x 2.8° in latitude and longitude with 90 levels up to 0.01 hPa. More details on the
Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy) can be found in Jöckel et al. (2016).

We conducted one reference simulation (REF) and two sensitivity simulations (S2 and S5) as 20-year time slice simulations
representing in general year 2010 conditions. Monthly SST and SIC are thereby repeatedly prescribed, representing a climato-
logical annual cycle of the years 2000–2009 based on global analyses of Rayner et al. (2003). An at least 10-year long spin-up
period preceeding each simulation (likewise time slice) ensures quasi steady state conditions, but has been neglected in the
evaluation. The spin-up started with initial conditions using a restart file representing the year 2010 of a reference simulation
with so called specified dynamics (SD) of the Earth System Chemistry integrated Modelling (ESCiMo) project (Jöckel et al.,
2016). To reduce the length of the spin up of the sensitivity simulations we started those using twofold and fivefold CH$_4$
mixing
ratios compared to the initialization of the reference, respectively.

The lower boundary condition of CH$_4$ in the reference simulation, i.e. the CH$_4$ surface mixing ratio, is prescribed by New-
tonian relaxation (i.e. nudged) following a zonal mean estimate from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administra-
tion/Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA/ESRL) based on observations of CH$_4$ surface mixing ratios. These observ-
ations are provided by the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE; http://agage.eas.gatech.edu) and Na-
tional Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration/Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA/ESRL; http://www.esrl.noaa.gov).
The mean surface mixing ratio is about 1.8 parts per million volume (ppmv). The two sensitivity simulations (S2 and S5) are
carried out nudged at the surface to a twofold CH$_4$ surface mixing ratio (compared to the present day reference) of about
3.6 ppmv and a fivefold CH$_4$ surface mixing ratio of about 9.0 ppmv, respectively. Although this does not correspond to an
equivalent increase in the surface fluxes, it scales the surface mixing ratio directly to the intended value, as has been done in
similar studies (see Kirner et al., 2015; Forster et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2018). To put the chosen scaling factors in perspective,
a surface mixing ratio of 3.6 ppmv (similar to the doubling above) will be reached according to the Representative Concentra-
tion Pathways (RCP) 8.5 scenario towards the end of the 21$^{st}$ century (Riahi et al., 2007). The RCP 8.5 is the so called baseline
climate change scenario, which does not employ any climate mitigation target (Riahi et al., 2011). Other prescribed conditions
(SST, SIC, CO$_2$ etc.) of the sensitivity simulations S2 and S5 are identical to REF. Particularly, all other GHG concentrations,
as well as online simulated trace gas emissions, represent 2010 conditions.

In the following, changes and feedbacks are assessed by comparing the reference simulation REF with S2 and S5, with focus
on changes in the simulated chemically and radiatively active trace gases. To quantify the associated radiative impact (RI), the
EMAC option for multiple radiation calls is used in submodel RAD (Dietmüller et al., 2016) in a separate additional simulation,
which allows to estimate individual components of the total radiative impact. This simulation is run for one year (plus one year
spin-up) and uses climatological 20 year means of the species of interest (namely CH$_4$, O$_3$ and SWV) from the corresponding
reference or sensitivity simulation (REF, S2 and S5). The results are indicated hereafter by the associated simulations name and
an asterisk (i.e. REF*, S2* and S5*). RAD performs multiple radiation calls with different input within one time step. Only
the first call is used for providing the radiative heating feedback to the basemodel, while the other calls produce “perturbed”
radiative fluxes and stratospheric temperature changes that are used diagnostically for calculating a stratospheric temperature
adjusted RI (Stuber et al., 2001; Dietmüller et al., 2016). In our set-up the first call receives the reference mixing ratios of the
chemical species while the other calls receive climatological means derived from the sensitivity simulations, replacing either
all component species combined or each of the three species individually.
3 Discussion of results

3.1 Evaluation of the reference simulation

The set-up of the reference simulation represents near-present atmospheric conditions of 2010. To ensure that this simulation is sufficiently realistic, the simulation results of CH$_4$ mixing ratio in the troposphere and the stratosphere are compared to data derived from atmospheric observations indicated below. These observations are independent to the data sets used for the lower boundary condition, to ensure an objective evaluation.

For a detailed assessment of the performance of EMAC in general and how EMAC compares to observations (e.g. regarding temperature and ozone) we refer to Jöckel et al. (2016). This publication also includes an evaluation of transient simulations regarding CH$_4$ in the Upper Troposphere and Lower Stratosphere (UTLS), using measurements of the Civil Aircraft for the Regular Investigation of the atmosphere Based on an Instrument Container (CARIBIC) project. That evaluation indicated a good CH$_4$ representation with relative differences of less than 5%.

In general, observed surface mixing ratios of CH$_4$ indicate a north-south gradient with larger CH$_4$ mixing ratios in the Northern Hemisphere (NH) mostly due to large wetland regions and anthropogenic sources on the northern continents. This north-south gradient is by design (nudging to zonal mean surface mixing ratios based on observations) apparent in our performed simulation. The simulated CH$_4$ gradient of the REF simulation is compared to observations from a ship cruise of the research vessel Polarstern (Klappenbach et al., 2015, see Supplement Fig. S1). The simulation results reproduce the observed north-south gradient of the ship cruise qualitatively well, although an offset of about 0.055 ppmv exists. Note that the observations on the Polarstern were conducted in 2014, while the simulation represents 2010 conditions. Global CH$_4$ surface mixing ratios have risen between 2010 and 2014 by about 0.030 ppmv, which explains some of the offset.

Additionally, the average vertical CH$_4$ profile of the REF simulation is evaluated using balloon borne measurements from Röckmann et al. (2011). The CH$_4$ mixing ratio in the troposphere is approximately constant as a result of well-mixed tropospheric conditions. Above the tropopause the mixing ratio of CH$_4$ decreases with altitude. This vertical gradient apparent in the balloon borne observations is reasonably reproduced in REF (see Supplement Fig. S2).

Furthermore, a general comparison of the zonal mean of CH$_4$ mixing ratio from REF above the tropopause is done with observations from the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) instrument mounted on the ENVISAT satellite (Fischer et al., 2008). The zonally averaged CH$_4$ MIPAS climatology (2002–2012) from Plieninger (2017) corresponds qualitatively and quantitatively to our simulation results in Figure 1a. The REF simulation represents the observed CH$_4$ mixing ratios in the stratosphere and mesosphere and also shows the apparent double bulge in the upper stratosphere with slightly higher values in the NH.

Overall, the agreement of the reference simulation results with observations is suitable for the purpose of our intended study. In the next section we compare the reference simulation with the two sensitivity simulations, to present the general impact of strongly enhanced CH$_4$ concentrations.
Figure 1. Annual zonal mean of absolute CH$_4$ mixing ratios (in parts per billion volume (ppbv)) of reference (REF) (a), S2 (b) and S5 (c). Note the different color scales.

Figure 2. CH$_4$ lifetime calculated according to equation 1 versus the corresponding scaling factor applied to the reference lower boundary condition in the respective simulation: REF (1.0), S2 (2.0), S5 (5.0).

3.2 Impact of twofold and fivefold increased CH$_4$ concentrations

In this sub-section we investigate the impact of twofold and fivefold surface CH$_4$ mixing ratio on the chemical composition of the atmosphere and analyze the new chemical equilibrium after a sufficient spin up.

Since SST and SIC are prescribed, the larger part of the feedback on tropospheric temperature is suppressed in the present simulations. Therefore, in this study we are only considering rapidly evolving chemical feedback effects including respective radiative adjustments and temperature adjustments in the stratosphere.

The oxidation capacity of the atmosphere is often measured in terms of the CH$_4$ lifetime (Karlsdóttir and Isaksen, 2000; Dentener et al., 2003; Naik et al., 2013; Voulgarakis et al., 2013). In this study we calculate the tropospheric CH$_4$ lifetime
Figure 3. Difference between the annual zonal mean CH$_4$ of the simulations S2 relative to the twofold and S5 relative to the fivefold annual zonal mean of the reference in (%).

according to Jöckel et al. (2006) as

$$
\tau_{CH_4} = \frac{\sum_{b \in B} M_{CH_4} \cdot \sum_{b \in B} k_{CH_4 + \cdot OH(T) \cdot c_{air}(T, p, q) \cdot OH \cdot M_{CH_4}}}{\sum_{b \in B} k_{CH_4 + \cdot OH(T) \cdot c_{air}(T, p, q) \cdot x_{OH} \cdot m_{CH_4}}} ,
$$

with $M_{CH_4}$ being the mass of methane in kg, $T$ the temperature, $p$ the pressure and $q$ the specific humidity, all depending on time and the specific box $b \in B$, with $B$ being the set of all considered grid boxes, e.g. all boxes which lie below the tropopause. $k_{CH_4 + \cdot OH(T)}$ is the reaction coefficient of the reaction CH$_4$ + OH $\rightarrow$ products in [cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$]. $c_{air}(T, p, q)$ is the concentration of air in [molec. cm$^{-3}$] and $OH \cdot x_{OH}$ the mole fraction of OH in one mole of the chemical tracer per one mole of air (mol mol$^{-1}$)$_{dry\ air}$.

Our calculations yield a nearly linear increase of tropospheric CH$_4$ lifetime with respect to the CH$_4$ scaling in the sensitivity simulations (see Fig. 2). It is known that the tropospheric CH$_4$ lifetime is anti-correlated with OH concentration (Montzka et al., 2011). The strongly enhanced CH$_4$ mixing ratios reduce the atmospheric OH mixing ratio, which leads to a longer (tropospheric) CH$_4$ lifetime. It is not sure that the quasi-linear behavior will hold for even larger or smaller scaling factors, since the chemistry determining the OH abundance is highly non-linear. There are also not enough data points (sensitivity simulations) for a definite proposition on strictly linear dependence. Nevertheless, we assume that these results give evidence that in the troposphere CH$_4$ and OH for the given range are almost linearly anti-correlated. The simulations clearly show that increasing CH$_4$ emissions increase the residence time of CH$_4$ in the atmosphere and therefore its global warming potential (GWP).
Next, we investigate the impact of CH$_4$ concentration increases on changes in CH$_4$ depletion, thus analyzing possible non-linearities in the chemical cycles. For this purpose we compare both sensitivity simulation results with the reference CH$_4$ mixing ratio multiplied by 2 and 5, respectively, as is shown in Fig. 3. This approach makes it possible to see where CH$_4$ is impacted by non-linear processes, i.e., where the twofolding (fivefolding) at the surface does not lead to an equal increase in the upper layers in the steady state.

In both sensitivity simulations, the troposphere is largely controlled by the nudging at the lower boundary due to turbulent mixing. In this area the differences of the sensitivity simulations and the scaled reference simulation are near-zero (though slightly positive). Larger CH$_4$ mixing ratios reduce its most important sink reactant in the troposphere, namely OH, which leads to a reduction of the CH$_4$ depletion compared to the reference.

The n-fold methane concentrations at the surface do not generate a corresponding n-fold methane concentration throughout the upper stratosphere. In the sensitivity simulation with twofold (fivefold) CH$_4$, lower CH$_4$ values of about 5% (10%) are found between 50 and 1 hPa compared to the corresponding n-folded reference. Identically prescribed SST in all three model simulations determines to a large extent the forcing of atmospheric dynamics and also constrains to a large part the stratosphere (see Garny, 2010). Therefore, modified atmospheric circulation patterns are unlikely the cause of these changes in stratospheric CH$_4$ mixing ratios.

An explanation for the relatively strong relative depletion in CH$_4$ in the upper stratosphere could be the change of the reaction rates for the CH$_4$ decomposition via OH and chlorine (Cl), which are both temperature dependent. However, since the stratosphere cools in the sensitivity simulations (as will be discussed below) this also cannot explain the simulated reduced CH$_4$ content in the stratosphere. Nevertheless, the deviation of a linear signal in stratospheric CH$_4$ mixing ratio gives evidence that more CH$_4$ in the upper stratosphere is destroyed due to secondary feedbacks caused by changes in the chemical composition of the stratosphere (particular O$_3$, SWV and OH) and will be discussed in the paragraphs below.

The OH concentration in the atmosphere is determined by its precursors, which are water vapour (H$_2$O) and O$_3$, the photolysis rate of O$_3$, as well as by its sinks, which are mostly CH$_4$ and carbon monoxide (CO). The decline of OH in the troposphere by $20 - 30\%$ in the S2 simulation compared to REF (see Fig. 4) is caused, as stated above, by the increased sink via CH$_4$. In the stratosphere, however, OH increases by about $30\%$ in the twofold CH$_4$ case (S2) and by $60 - 80\%$ in the fivefold CH$_4$ case (S5, see Fig. 4). The mixing ratio of OH increases especially in the upper stratosphere at higher latitudes and fits to the decline in CH$_4$ in the same regions (see Fig. 3). However, increases in CH$_4$ mixing ratios influence the abundance of OH precursors, namely H$_2$O and O$_3$ via direct and secondary chemical effects and thereby feedback on the production of the CH$_4$ associated sink OH.

Oxidation of CH$_4$ in the stratosphere produces additional H$_2$O and is therefore an important source for SWV (Hein et al., 2001; Rohs et al., 2006; Frank et al., 2018). The enhanced CH$_4$ mixing ratios in the stratosphere cause a steady increase of SWV with height in both sensitivity simulations as indicated by Fig. 5. In the twofold CH$_4$ case (S2) the amount of H$_2$O is enhanced by up to $50\%$ in the middle and higher stratosphere, in the fivefold experiment (S5) the SWV increases by more than $250\%$. The additional H$_2$O leads to increasing OH in the upper stratosphere and lower mesosphere (Fig. 4).
Figure 4. Comparison of the relative changes (%) in annual zonal mean OH mixing ratio of the sensitivity simulations S2 and S5 (two- and fivefold CH₄, respectively) compared to the reference REF. Non-stippled areas are significant on a 95% confidence level according to a two sided Welch’s test.

Figure 5. Comparison of the relative changes (%) in annual zonal mean H₂O mixing ratio of the sensitivity simulations S2 and S5 (two- and fivefold CH₄, respectively) compared to the reference REF. Non-stippled areas are significant on a 95% confidence level according to a two sided Welch’s test.

The chemical changes indicated above influence the atmospheric temperature. However, since the SST is prescribed, the temperature response is largely suppressed in the troposphere. Confirmation is given in Fig. 6, where only a small change in
Figure 6. Comparison of the absolute changes (K) in annual zonal mean temperature of the sensitivity simulations S2 and S5 (two- and fivefold CH$_4$, respectively) compared to the reference REF. Non-stippled areas are significant on a 95% confidence level according to a two sided Welch’s test.

Tropospheric temperature is detected in both sensitivity simulations (S2 & S5). The stratosphere, however, shows larger changes in temperature. It can adjust to the perturbation since its temperature is mostly controlled by local radiative heating from trace gases and changing dynamics.

Around the tropopause there is a slight warming in the twofold CH$_4$ case (S2), which is reaching values of up to +3 K in the fivefold case (S5, see Fig. 6). Elsewhere in the stratosphere, however, the higher abundance of CH$_4$ induces a stratospheric cooling in our simulations. The twofold CH$_4$ mixing ratios in S2 lead to a stratospheric cooling of about −1 to −2 K and a mesospheric cooling of up to −5 K. The results of the simulation with fivefold CH$_4$ mixing ratios indicate a cooling of about −3 K in the stratosphere and more than −10 K in the mesosphere. As will be discussed in detail later in this section, these temperature changes are induced by the radiative cooling from increasing CH$_4$ and H$_2$O in the stratosphere and mesosphere, but in particular by the chemically induced O$_3$ decrease and its associated radiative effect. However, this is clearly a matter of two-way interaction, as the cooling also impacts on chemical reaction rates affecting OH and O$_3$ (see below).

As evident from Fig. 7, the strongly enhanced CH$_4$ concentrations prescribed in our sensitivity simulations have an impact the vertical profile of O$_3$. Concentrations of O$_3$ increase between 50 and 5 hPa (see Fig. 7) and decrease above. There is also a decrease between 50 hPa and 20 hPa in the tropics and between 100 hPa and 50 hPa at the southern pole.

The pattern of ozone reduction in the lowermost tropical stratosphere is typical for an enhanced tropical up-welling, which transports ozone depleted air from the upper troposphere to the lower stratosphere (Deckert and Dameris, 2008; Dietmüller et al., 2014). Although the main factor for such a strengthening, namely the SST, is prescribed, the increase in the GHG CH$_4$ alone can also lead to an enhanced tropical up-welling (Garny et al., 2011). A similar pattern in stratospheric ozone changes
due to CH$_4$ increases (i.e. increase between 2000 and 2040–2049 according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) A1B greenhouse gas scenario) has been shown by Kirner et al. (2015). Nonetheless, we expect the impact on the tropospheric up-welling to intensify further in simulations, where the SSTs are allowed to adjust to the CH$_4$ radiative forcing.

In the middle stratosphere the O$_3$ production/depletion is influenced by the increased SWV and the corresponding stratospheric cooling, which restrains the reaction rates of O$_3$ depleting catalytic cycles (Portmann and Solomon, 2007; Braesicke et al., 2013). Furthermore, excited oxygen (O($^1$D)) is depleted by increased abundances of H$_2$O, which reduce the sink of O$_3$ and eventually lead to increased O$_3$ abundances. Above 2 hPa, increases in OH facilitate O$_3$ destruction in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere (Kirner et al., 2015). Beyond that, there are inter-hemispheric differences in O$_3$ mixing ratios in the polar regions (increased O$_3$ at the polar middle stratosphere at about 30 hPa) that are an indication for a strengthening of the meridional transport towards the poles in the extreme case of 5xCH$_4$ (see Supplement Fig. S4). In southern winter (Supplement Fig. S4 upper right) this transport is suppressed by the polar vortex and is forming a corona of increased O$_3$ mixing ratios outside the polar vortex. The stratospheric cooling also leads to enhanced forming of polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) during the southern hemispheric winter and therefore to enhanced O$_3$ depletion in the southern lower stratosphere (see Supplement Fig. S3 c and S4 c), as discussed in, e.g., Dameris (2010). The severity of the depletion does barely increase from S2 to S5, which may be explained by a saturation effect reached with respect of additional PSCs. The same effect can be noticed in the total O$_3$ column. Overall the total column of O$_3$ (see Supplement Fig. S5) increases due to the rise of CH$_4$ in the atmosphere, except in the Southern Hemisphere (SH) polar region, where S2 and S5 show about the same depletion in total O$_3$ column.

**Figure 7.** Comparison of the relative changes (%) in annual zonal mean O$_3$ mixing ratio of the sensitivity simulations S2 and S5 (two- and fivefold CH$_4$, respectively) compared to the reference REF. Non-stippled areas are significant on a 95% confidence level according to a two sided Welch’s test.
Table 1. An estimation of separate RI in [W/m$^2$] of the changes in the chemical species CH$_4$, SWV and O$_3$. Values are calculated using the RAD submodel (Dietmüller et al., 2016) in a separate simulation using 20 years climatologies of the individual species. Solely values of the totals are directly calculated from the presented simulations R1, S2 and S5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>CH$_4$</th>
<th>SWV</th>
<th>O$_3$</th>
<th>chemical RI</th>
<th>physical RI$^1$</th>
<th>total RI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S2* (2xCH$_4$)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5* (5xCH$_4$)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ total RI − chem. RI.

Overall, the twofold CH$_4$ mixing ratio with respect to 2010 is found to induce a radiative impact (RI) of about 0.69 Watt per square meter (W/m$^2$) in the twofold case (S2) and a RI of about 1.79 W/m$^2$ in the fivefold case (S5) (see Table 1). The RI is calculated by the difference of the sum of long-wave and short-wave radiation at the top of the atmosphere between the reference and the respective sensitivity simulation. As the simulations are performed with prescribed SST, this net RI has the character of an effective radiative forcing (ERF), as pointed out by Forster et al. (2016). It includes the RI of CH$_4$ itself, as well as rapid adjustments from physical and chemical processes. The chemical processes specifically include changes in SWV and O$_3$ and have been quantified by estimating their individual RIs (including stratospheric temperature adjustments) with the EMAC submodel RAD (Dietmüller et al., 2016) in a separate simulation resulting in estimates corresponding to the reference simulation REF* and the sensitivity simulations S2* and S5* (see Section 2 for a detailed explanation of this simulation).

The twofolding and fivefolding CH$_4$ enhancements in the sensitivity simulations S2 and S5 correspond to a net increase of surface CH$_4$ of 1800 ppbv and 7200 ppbv, respectively. For example, the increase of the surface CH$_4$ mixing ratio from 722 ppbv (pre-industrial) to 1803 ppbv (2011) has led to a ERF of 0.48 W/m$^2$±0.1 W/m$^2$ (IPCC, 2013, Chap. 8, Tab. 8.2), which corresponds to a net increase of surface CH$_4$ of about 1100 ppbv. The net increase of twofold CH$_4$ mixing ratios (S2) is larger than the increase since pre-industrial times. Thus, at first glance, the net RI calculated in this study seems consistent with the value in the IPCC AR5 and previous estimates from other models for a tripled CH$_4$ concentration (assumed +3534 ppbv) (Forster et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2018), which are for example for HadGEM2 1 W/m$^2$ and for CESM1 1.4 W/m$^2$.

However, those previous estimates do not account for contributions from O$_3$ and stratospheric H$_2$O changes (see also Smith et al., 2018). Only by looking at the direct RI simulations of individual species a clearer picture emerges (see Table 1). The individual RIs of the chemical variations is once more reasonable compared with similar calculations of the IPCC (Chap. 8, Fig. 8.17, derived from Shindell et al., 2009; Stevenson et al., 2013). We detect comparably low values for the RI of CH$_4$, a feature which has been reported before for the ECHAM5 radiation module (Lohmann et al., 2010). Part (but only part) of the underestimation can be attributed to a near zero shortwave absorption contribution that is known from radiation schemes used in other climate models (Etminan et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2018). As a residuum of the sum of chemically induced RI (Table 1) and the ERF, we estimate a pure physical RI of 0.03 W/m$^2$ (S2*, 2xCH$_4$) and -0.03 W/m$^2$ (S5*, 5xCH$_4$), respectively, i.e., small contributions that compare very well to the results of Smith et al. (2018).
The separation of the individual RI in the main contributing species allows to further identify the individual contribution to temperature adjustments in the stratosphere (see Fig. 8). The stratosphere cools in S2 by about $-1$ to $-2$ K mostly due to SWV (up to $-1.4$ K). This cooling is amplified by the increased CH$_4$ and tropospheric O$_3$, but reduced by the radiative heating of increased stratospheric O$_3$ in the altitude domain between roughly 20 hPa and 1 hPa. This results in a quadrupole structure of the total temperature change pattern (warming in the troposphere with maximum around the tropopause level, cooling in the lower/middle stratosphere, less cooling in the upper stratosphere and again stronger cooling in the mesosphere, see Fig. 8 and Supplement Fig. S6). Only a minor contribution to the stratospheric temperature change is made by tropospheric H$_2$O, as to be expected. The difference between the pure RI of all trace gas changes (Figs. 8 a and Supplement Fig. S6 a) and the net stratospheric temperature change (Fig. 6) is small, indicating a dominating role of radiative effects over induced dynamical changes in forcing the temperature response in S2 and S5.

4 Conclusions

The present study summarizes the quasi-instantaneous chemical adjustments of the atmosphere in response to a very strong increase in atmospheric CH$_4$. We emphasize that the applied doubling of present day CH$_4$ surface mixing ratios is not unrealistic as it is even part of the RCP 8.5 scenario. Considering further feedbacks with still uncertain quantitative consequences, it is indeed possible that the presented changes in the atmospheric chemistry will be faced by upcoming generations.

For the range of CH$_4$ concentrations covered in this study we find that the CH$_4$ lifetime increases quasi-linearly with enhanced surface mixing ratios. This is ascribed to a strong reduction of OH, which is the main sink of CH$_4$ in the troposphere. We conclude that the strong reduction of OH will also influence other radiatively active, air quality relevant, and ozone depleting substances in the troposphere. The radical OH is the most important atmospheric detergent and its reduction will enhance the residence time of these substances as well as of CH$_4$, and thereby increase the global radiative burden.

Additionally, induced by CH$_4$ oxidation, SWV will increase substantially by up to 50 %, when CH$_4$ is doubled and more than 250 %, when CH$_4$ is increased by a factor of five. This leads to a stratospheric cooling of several degrees, which in turn influences stratospheric chemistry and (to a smaller degree) dynamics. In particular it will lead to an increase in total O$_3$ column (see Supplement Fig. S5) nearly over the whole globe. Only in the Antarctic spring it causes a strengthening of the ozone depletion. We also detect an O$_3$ reduction in the lowermost tropical stratosphere, typical for an enhanced tropical up-welling, which indicates small dynamical variations due to the strong increase of CH$_4$, although more intense dynamical influences are suppressed by the predefinded SST.

The rapid radiative adjustments of O$_3$ and SWV are both positive and thus increase the radiative forcing directly induced by CH$_4$, consistent with Fig. 8.17 of IPCC (2013). However, the direct CH$_4$ radiative impact is considerably low biased in the simulations, apparently through a systematic error in the radiation module. This bias remains masked if only the effective radiative forcing of the twofold and fivefold CH$_4$ simulations is considered. Individual radiative impact estimates also help interpreting the net stratospheric temperature change in the CH$_4$ increase simulations. It reveals that the main part of the overall temperature pattern is controlled by cooling from stratospheric H$_2$O.
Figure 8. Stratospheric adjusted temperature based on chemical changes in simulation S2* (2xCH4) in (a) CH4, H2O and O3 combined, (b) CH4, (c) H2O, (d) tropospheric H2O only, (e) stratospheric H2O only, (f) O3, (g) tropospheric O3 only, (h) stratospheric O3 only. Note the different color bars in panels (a), (b), (d), and (g).

Since the SSTs are prescribed in the present simulations, tropospheric temperatures and atmospheric dynamics do not represent the situation after adaption of the ocean. This also prohibits the calculation of climate sensitivity parameters. In a future study similar CCM simulations with a mixed layer ocean will be carried out and the contribution of feedbacks associated with SST changes will be investigated accordingly.
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