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Section S1. Particle characterization

Commercial TiO$_2$ powders (Degussa P25) were employed in this work. Based on the X-ray diffraction (XRD, S4Explorer, Bruker, Germany) analysis, the sample approximately comprises 25% rutile and 75% anatase (Figure S1a). Since the uptake capacity is influenced by particle size (Zhang et al., 2016), prepared particles were passed through a 200-mesh sieve before experiments to make the size uniform.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Nova NanoSem 450, FEI, Japan) image shows the morphology of TiO$_2$ particles with an average particle size of 12.05±3.46 nm (Figure S1b, c). Additionally, the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area ($S_{BET}$) of the TiO$_2$ is measured to be 55.83±0.35 m$^2$·g$^{-1}$ (TriStarII3020, Micromeritics Instrument Co., USA).

**Figure S1.** (a) XRD pattern for the prepared TiO$_2$ particles. (b) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image and (c) particle size distribution of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. 175 particles were counted to calculate the average size. The distribution is well fitted by a Gaussian function with $R^2$ of 0.966.
Section S2. Experimental setup

In-situ diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) is helpful in discussing the species formed on particles. The schematic diagram of the in-situ setup is present in Figure S2. TiO$_2$ particles were placed in a ceramic sample cup (0.35 mm depth, 5 mm i.d.). Mass flow controllers (Beijing Sevenstar electronics Co., LTD) were used to adjust the fluxes of reactant gases to the desired flow rate, concentration and relative humidity (RH). A temperature controller was connected to the DRIFTS chamber (Praying Mantis Kit, Harrick) to control the reaction temperature (298 K).

![Figure S2. Schematic diagram of experimental setup. The DRIFTS chamber is linked with other parts through Teflon tube. MFC: mass flow controller.](image)

![Figure S3. Spectrum of the Xenon lamp light.](image)
Section S3. Pretreatment for in-suit DRIFTS test

Before the introduction of H$_2$O into the DRIFTS chamber, the TiO$_2$ sample was pretreated in a stream of high-pure air (200 ml·min$^{-1}$) for 60 min to remove the adsorbed water and impurities from the surfaces. A background was recorded before the pretreatment, and then a series of spectra were collected every five minutes.

Negative peaks located at 3700 and 1640 cm$^{-1}$, as well as the broad bands between 3580-2950 cm$^{-1}$ and centered at 2182 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to the vibrations of O-H groups. In detail, the peaks at 1640 and 2182 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned to liquid water (Al-Abadleh and Grassian, 2003; Ma et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2017), whereas the others are characteristics of diverse surface hydroxyl groups (Deiana et al., 2010; Kong et al., 2014; Nanayakkara et al., 2014). Carbonate species formed during sample preparations significantly decreased, as evident by the successive bands around 1500 cm$^{-1}$ (Chen et al., 2007; Mino et al., 2014). All the peaks declined over time and then gradually became stable after 30 min. The spectra of all the mixtures are roughly the same. Therefore, 60 min pretreatment was employed in each experiment.

![Figure S4. DRIFTS spectra of TiO$_2$ particles during pretreatment process.](image-url)
Figure S5. Moisture absorption on TiO$_2$ particles before NO$_2$ introduction.
Section S4. Uptake coefficient estimation

In the estimation of the uptake coefficients, both BET surface area ($A_{\text{BET}}$) and geometric surface area ($A_{\text{geo}}$) are adopted as the reactive surface area ($A_s$). If the reaction probability is high, the reactants would have no time to diffuse into the sample and the $A_s$ thus be the geometric surface area of the sample cup ($A_{\text{geo}}$). On the contrary, $A_{\text{BET}}$, calculated based on $S_{\text{BET}}$ and particle mass ($A_{\text{BET}} = S_{\text{BET}} \times \text{mass}$), would more appropriately represent $A_s$ when the reaction probability is low and the reactants may have enough time to diffuse into the entire sample. Hence, $\gamma$-values estimated via $A_{\text{BET}}$ and $A_{\text{geo}}$ (denoted as $\gamma_{\text{BET}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{geo}}$, respectively) are mentioned simultaneously to represent the lower and upper limits of $\gamma$-values varying with reaction probabilities between the reactants and particles.

Table S1. Parameters for uptake coefficient estimations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (unit)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate formation rate: $d[\text{NO}_3^-]/dt$ (ion s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>According to reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle reactive surface area: $A_s$ (m$^2$)</td>
<td>$A_{\text{BET}}$ (m$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_{\text{geo}}$ (m$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactant concentration: $[\text{NO}_3]$ (molecule m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>$3.773 \times 10^{20}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity of molecule: $v_{\text{NO}_3}$</td>
<td>Gas constant: $R$ (J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature: $T$ (Kelvin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molar mass: $M_{\text{NO}_3}$ (kg mol$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\pi$: $\pi$ (dimensionless)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S6. Calibration plot with amount of NO$_3^-$ versus corresponding integrated areas for nitrate products.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Light intensity (mW·cm⁻²)</th>
<th>10th</th>
<th>25th</th>
<th>50th</th>
<th>75th</th>
<th>90th</th>
<th>10th</th>
<th>25th</th>
<th>50th</th>
<th>75th</th>
<th>90th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.93E-12</td>
<td>4.55E-12</td>
<td>5.25E-12</td>
<td>5.97E-12</td>
<td>6.66E-12</td>
<td>1.56E-07</td>
<td>1.80E-07</td>
<td>2.07E-07</td>
<td>2.37E-07</td>
<td>2.62E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.92E-12</td>
<td>8.81E-12</td>
<td>9.82E-12</td>
<td>1.09E-11</td>
<td>1.20E-11</td>
<td>3.15E-07</td>
<td>3.48E-07</td>
<td>3.89E-07</td>
<td>4.31E-07</td>
<td>4.72E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.56E-11</td>
<td>2.99E-11</td>
<td>3.46E-11</td>
<td>3.98E-11</td>
<td>4.45E-11</td>
<td>1.01E-06</td>
<td>1.18E-06</td>
<td>1.37E-06</td>
<td>1.57E-06</td>
<td>1.74E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>9.17E-11</td>
<td>9.73E-11</td>
<td>1.03E-10</td>
<td>1.10E-10</td>
<td>1.16E-10</td>
<td>3.65E-06</td>
<td>3.86E-06</td>
<td>4.09E-06</td>
<td>4.32E-06</td>
<td>4.55E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.07E-10</td>
<td>1.14E-10</td>
<td>1.21E-10</td>
<td>1.28E-10</td>
<td>1.35E-10</td>
<td>4.28E-06</td>
<td>4.51E-06</td>
<td>4.77E-06</td>
<td>5.05E-06</td>
<td>5.29E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>1.19E-10</td>
<td>1.31E-10</td>
<td>1.44E-10</td>
<td>1.58E-10</td>
<td>1.71E-10</td>
<td>4.73E-06</td>
<td>5.18E-06</td>
<td>5.70E-06</td>
<td>6.25E-06</td>
<td>6.71E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>1.67E-10</td>
<td>1.84E-10</td>
<td>2.04E-10</td>
<td>2.25E-10</td>
<td>2.44E-10</td>
<td>6.60E-06</td>
<td>7.29E-06</td>
<td>8.06E-06</td>
<td>8.85E-06</td>
<td>9.57E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>2.04E-10</td>
<td>2.23E-10</td>
<td>2.46E-10</td>
<td>2.69E-10</td>
<td>2.92E-10</td>
<td>8.07E-06</td>
<td>8.83E-06</td>
<td>9.71E-06</td>
<td>1.06E-05</td>
<td>1.14E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128.1</td>
<td>2.37E-10</td>
<td>2.51E-10</td>
<td>2.67E-10</td>
<td>2.83E-10</td>
<td>2.98E-10</td>
<td>9.42E-06</td>
<td>9.96E-06</td>
<td>1.06E-05</td>
<td>1.11E-05</td>
<td>1.17E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>2.40E-10</td>
<td>2.61E-10</td>
<td>2.83E-10</td>
<td>3.09E-10</td>
<td>3.32E-10</td>
<td>9.51E-06</td>
<td>1.03E-05</td>
<td>1.12E-05</td>
<td>1.22E-05</td>
<td>1.30E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this study, nitrate formation rate under certain light intensity is related to NO₂ concentration, number of active sites on particle surfaces, and O₂ concentration, which is shown by equation S1 based on the law of mass action.

\[
\frac{d[NO_3^-]}{dt} = k[NO_2]^m[Particle]^n[O_2]^p \tag{Eq. S1}
\]

Where \([NO_3^-]\) is the concentration of products, \([NO_2]\) is the concentration of NO₂, \([Particle]\) is the amount of active sites on particle surfaces, \([O_2]\) is the concentration of O₂, m, n, p are the reaction orders of NO₂, active site and O₂, respectively. Oxygen is sufficient for the oxidation processes. No saturation effects on the formation of nitrate products were observed, and thus the \([Particle]\) is approximately constant. Hence, the product formation rate is largely relative to NO₂ concentration. As reported previously, the reaction order here is determined by double-logarithmic plots of the formation rates versus the NO₂ concentrations based on equation S2 (Kong et al., 2014; Li et al., 2010; Shang et al., 2010).

\[
\ln(\frac{d[NO_3^-]}{dt}) = m\ln[NO_2] + n\ln[Particle] + p\ln[O_2] + \ln k \tag{Eq. S2}
\]

The reaction orders are 0.90, 0.91, and 1.26 under 14.1, 73.8, and 160 mW/cm², respectively, indicating the reaction order of 1 for NO₂ concentration. Therefore, the photoinduced heterogeneous uptake of NO₂ on TiO₂ particles can be viewed as pseudo-first-order reaction.

**Figure S7.** Bilogarithmic plots of the product formation rates versus corresponding NO₂ concentrations under (a) 14.1, (b) 73.8, and (c) 160 mW/cm².
Section S5. Field observations

The sampling was performed on the rooftop (~20 m above the ground level to avoid airflow obstruction) of a teaching building (31°20'N, 121°30'E) in Fudan Campus (Shanghai, China) in the late summer and early autumn of 2018 (Figure S8). The sampling site is located in one of the new urban districts of Shanghai, China. Around the sampling site are teaching buildings, residential buildings, and vegetation. A traffic artery is located about 100 m east to the site. The weather situation during sampling was mostly sunny or cloudy, without significant precipitation. Additionally, size distributions of nitrate and nitrite ions collected during diverse periods are summarized in Figure S9.

![Figure S8](image)

**Figure S8.** Location of the sampling site in Fudan Campus, Shanghai, China.

![Figure S9](image)

**Figure S9.** Lognormal size distribution of nitrate and nitrite ions in atmospheric particulates collected during (a) nighttime, (b) daytime, and (c) whole day. The mass fractions of nitrate (blue) and nitrite (red) have been calculated for diverse particle modes.
Section S6. Product observations

As summarized in Table S3, the DRIFTS spectra recorded under different conditions are rich in various characteristic peaks, which indicate various surface products.

In order to carefully discuss the reaction pathways, we calculated the proportions of various nitrate/nitrite products under different conditions (Figure 10).

Table S3. Main absorption bands observed on TiO$_2$ particles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber/cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1195, 1440</td>
<td>Monodentate nitrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1308</td>
<td>Bidentate nitrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1312, 1553</td>
<td>Monodentate nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1276, 1573</td>
<td>Bidentate nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1602</td>
<td>Bridging nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1347, 1412</td>
<td>Water-solvated nitrate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S10. Contributions of diverse nitrogen compounds to the total products as a function of reaction time under (a) dark condition and (b) illumination (I=98.5 mW/cm$^2$).
Section S7. Detailed reactions in photocatalytic process

Apart from the reactions referred in the manuscript (R.1-7), some additional reactions are listed below according to some previous studies (Park et al., 2017; Shang et al., 2017).

\[ NO_2(g) \rightleftharpoons NO_2(ads) \quad R. \ (S1) \]
\[ 2NO_2(ads) + 2M - OH \rightarrow M - NO_3(ads) + M - NO_2(ads) + H_2O \quad R. \ (S2) \]
\[ 2NO_2(ads) + H_2O \rightarrow HNO_3 + HNO_2 \quad R. \ (S3) \]
\[ HNO_3 \rightleftharpoons NO_3^{(free)} + H^+ \quad R. \ (S4) \]
\[ HNO_2 \rightleftharpoons NO_2^{(free)} + H^+ \quad R. \ (S5) \]
\[ TiO_2 + hv(\lambda \leq 390 nm) \rightarrow h^+ + e^- \quad R. \ (S6) \]
\[ h^+ + H_2O \rightarrow OH^- + H^+ \quad R. \ (S7) \]
\[ e^- + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^- \quad R. \ (S8) \]
\[ e^- + O_2 + H^+ \rightarrow HO_2 \quad R. \ (S9) \]
\[ 2h^+ + 2H_2O \rightarrow H_2O_2 + 2H^+ \quad R. \ (S10) \]
\[ 2O_2^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2 \quad R. \ (S11) \]
\[ HO_2 + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + OH^- \quad R. \ (S12) \]
\[ H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow 2OH^- \quad R. \ (S13) \]
\[ HONO + hv \rightarrow NO + OH^- \quad R. \ (S14) \]
Section S8. Photoinduced nitrite oxidation

‘TiO$_2$-nitrite’ mixture was prepared with a 10 % mass fraction of NaNO$_3$. The mixture was then used as model particles for DRIFTS test under dark condition and illumination (98.5 mW/cm$^2$) in a flow of 100 ml/min high-pure air (RH≈40%) for 60 min. Nitrate products are easily observed after illumination reaction, while was not apparent after dark process, highlighting the photocatalytic oxidation of nitrite intermediates to nitrate products. Hence, nitrate products on particles may stem from nitrite oxidation by photoinduced active species (R.5) besides the oxidation by NO$_2$ and other nitrite species (R.3, 4).

**Figure S11.** DRIFTS spectra for nitrate products formed on TiO$_2$-NaNO$_2$ mixture after 60 min exposure to humid high-pure air (RH≈40%) under dark and light condition (I=98.5 mW/cm$^2$).
Section S9. Raman detection

The nitrate products were detected by a confocal Raman spectrometer (Jobin Yvon Horiba Gr, France) after dark reaction and illumination reaction (98.5 mW·cm$^{-2}$) in DRIFTS chamber. The Raman scattering was excited by an external-cavity diode (532 nm) and coupled with a 50× microscope objective (Olympus, 0.90 Numerical Aperture). The backscattering signals were acquired by a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera. Each spectrum ranging from 100 to 4000 cm$^{-1}$ was obtained with quartic repeated scans and a total exposure time of 60 s. Thirty point scans were carried out for each sample, and the average results were used to reveal the general situation.

![Raman spectra](image)

**Figure S12.** Raman spectra for particles after illumination reaction (I=98.5 Mw/cm$^2$, red) and dark reaction (purple).
Section S10. Sensitivity analysis

The results of sensitivity analysis is shown in Figure S9 with slope and $f$ contribute most to the total variance of $\gamma_{\text{BET}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{geo}}$, followed by $S_{\text{BET}}$ and $m$ for $\gamma_{\text{BET}}$, and $A_{\text{geo}}$ for $\gamma_{\text{geo}}$. Detailed contributions are present in Tables S3.

![Figure S13. Sensitivity analysis on (a) $\gamma_{\text{BET}}$ and (b) $\gamma_{\text{geo}}$.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table S4. Results of sensitivity analysis.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>$\gamma_{\text{BET}}$</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{BET}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slope</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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