Evidence from IASI of a speeding up in stratospheric O$_3$ recovery in the Southern Hemisphere contrasting with a decline in the Northern Hemisphere
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Abstract

In this paper, we present the global fingerprint of recent changes in the mid-upper stratospheric (MUSt; >25 hPa) ozone (O$_3$) in comparison with the lower stratospheric (LSt, 150-25 hPa) O$_3$ derived from the first 10 years of the IASI/Metop-A satellite measurements (January 2008 – December 2017). The IASI instrument provides vertically-resolved O$_3$ profiles with very high spatial and temporal (twice daily) samplings, allowing to monitor O$_3$ changes in these two regions of the stratosphere. By applying multivariate regression models with adapted geophysical proxies on daily mean O$_3$ time series, we discriminate anthropogenic trends from various modes of natural variability, such as the El Niño/Southern Oscillation – ENSO. The representativeness of the O$_3$ response to its natural drivers is first examined. One important finding relies on a pronounced contrast between a positive LSt O$_3$ response to ENSO in the extra-tropics and a negative one in the tropics, with a delay of 3 months, which supports a stratospheric pathway for the ENSO influence on lower stratospheric and tropospheric O$_3$. In terms of trends, we find an unequivocal O$_3$ recovery from the available period of measurements in winter/spring at mid-high latitudes for the two stratospheric layers sounded by IASI (>~35°N/S in the MUSt and >~45°S in the LSt) as well as in the total columns at southern latitudes (>~45°S) where the increase reaches its maximum. These results confirm the effectiveness of the Montreal protocol and its amendments, and represent the first detection of a significant recovery of O$_3$ concurrently in the lower, in the mid-upper stratosphere and in the total column from one single satellite dataset. A significant decline in O$_3$ at northern mid-latitudes in the LSt is also detected, especially in winter/spring of the northern hemisphere. Given counteracting trends in LSt and MUST at these latitudes, the decline is not categorical in total O$_3$. When freezing the regression coefficients determined for each natural driver over the whole IASI period but adjusting a trend, we calculate a significant speeding up in the O$_3$ response to the decline of O$_3$ depleting substances (ODS) in the total column, in the LSt and, in a lesser extent, in the MUST at high southern latitudes over the year. A significant acceleration of the O$_3$ decline at northern mid-latitudes in the LSt and in the total column is also highlighted over the last years. That, specifically, needs urgent investigation for identifying its exact origin and apprehending its impact on climate change.
1 Introduction

Ozone is a key radiatively active gas of the Earth atmosphere, in both the troposphere and the stratosphere. While in the troposphere, \( \text{O}_3 \) acts as a strong pollutant and an important greenhouse gas, in the stratosphere, and more particularly in the middle-low stratosphere, it forms a protective layer for life on Earth against harmful solar radiation. In the 1980s, the scientific community motivated decision-makers for regulating the use of CFCs, after the unexpected discovery of the Antarctic ozone hole (Chubachi, 1984; Farman et al., 1985) induced by continued use of chlorofluorocarbons (CFC’s; Molina and Rowland, 1974; Crutzen, 1974); These latter are at the origin of the massive destruction of \( \text{O}_3 \) following heterogeneous reactions on the surface of polar stratospheric clouds (Solomon, 1999). The world’s nations reacted to that human-caused worldwide problem by ratifying the International Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer in 1985 and the Montreal Protocol in 1987 with its later amendments, which forced the progressive banning of these ozone depleting substances (ODS) in industrial applications by early 1990s with a total phase-out of the most harmful CFCs by the year 2000.

Ozone is very sensitive to changes in (photo-)chemistry, therefore a recovery from \( \text{O}_3 \) depletion is expected in response to the Montreal Protocol and its amendments, but with a delayed period due to the long residence time of halocarbons in the atmosphere (Hofmann et al., 1997; Dhomse et al., 2006; WMO, 2007; 2011). The decline in CFCs was only initiated about 10 years after their phasing out (Anderson et al., 2000; Newman et al., 2006; Solomon et al., 2006; Mäder et al., 2010; WMO, 2011; 2014). The early signs of ozone response to that decline were confirmed in several studies that reported first a slowdown in stratospheric ozone depletion (e.g. Newchurch et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2008), followed by a leveling off of upper stratospheric (e.g. WMO, 2007) and total \( \text{O}_3 \) (e.g. WMO, 2011; Shepherd et al., 2014) depletion since the 2000’s. A significant onset of recovery was identified later for upper stratospheric \( \text{O}_3 \) (e.g. WMO, 2014; 2018; Harris et al., 2015). Only a few studies have shown evidence for increasing total column \( \text{O}_3 \) in polar region during springtime (e.g. Salby et al., 2011; Kuttippurath et al., 2013; Shepherd et al., 2014; Solomon et al., 2016). No reliable estimates of long-term trend in total \( \text{O}_3 \) columns (TOC) at global scale have been reported yet, likely because of counteracting trends in the different vertical atmospheric layers. Ball et al. (2018) have found that a continuing \( \text{O}_3 \) decline prevails in the low stratosphere since 1998, leading to a slower increase in total \( \text{O}_3 \) than expected from the effective equivalent stratospheric chlorine (EESC) decrease. However, the reported decline is not reproduced by the state-of-the-art models and its exact reasons are still unknown (Ball et al., 2018). Galytska et al. (2019) recently suggested that the decline is dynamically controlled by variations in the tropical upwelling.
Although recent papers based on observational datasets and statistical approaches agree that we currently progress towards an emergence into ozone recovery (e.g. Pawson et al., 2014; Harris et al., 2015; Steinbrecht et al., 2017; Sofieva et al., 2017; Ball et al., 2018; Weber et al., 2018), trend magnitude and trend significance over the whole stratosphere substantially differ from one study to another and, consequently, they are still subject to controversy (Keeble et al., 2018). A clear identification of the onset of O$_3$ recovery is very sensitive due to concurrent sources of O$_3$ fluctuations (e.g. Reinsel et al., 2005; WMO, 2007, 2011). They include: changes in solar ultraviolet irradiance, in atmospheric circulation patterns such as the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO; Baldwin et al., 2001) and the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO; e.g. Randel et al., 2009), in temperature, in ODS emissions and volcanic eruptions (e.g. Mt Pinatubo in 1991 and Calbuco in 2015) with their feedbacks on stratospheric temperature and dynamics (e.g. Jonsson et al., 2004). Furthermore, the differences in vertical/spatial resolution and in retrieval methodologies (inducing biases), possible instrumental degradations (inducing drifts), and use of merged datasets into composites, likely explain part of the trend divergence between various studies. Merging may be performed on deseasonalized anomalies, which offers the advantage of removing instrumental biases between the individual data records (Sofieva et al., 2017) but large differences remain in anomaly values between the independent datasets, as well as large instrumental drifts and drift uncertainty estimates that prevent deriving statistically accurate trends (Harris et al., 2015; Hubert et al., 2016).

In this context, there is a pressing need for long-duration, high-density and homogenized O$_3$ profile dataset to assess significant O$_3$ changes in different parts of the stratosphere and their contributions to the total O$_3$.

In this paper, we exploit the high frequency (daily) and spatial coverage of the IASI satellite dataset over the first decade of the mission (January 2008 – December 2017) to determine global patterns of reliable trends in the stratospheric O$_3$ records, separately in the Mid-Upper Stratosphere (MUSt) and the Lower Stratosphere (LSt). This study is built on previous analysis of stratospheric O$_3$ trends from IASI, estimated on latitudinal averages over a shorter period (2008-2013) (Wespes et al., 2016). A multivariate linear regression (MLR) model (annual and seasonal formulations) that is similar to that previously used for tropospheric O$_3$ studies from IASI (Wespes et al., 2017; 2018), but adapted here for the stratosphere with appropriate drivers, is applied on gridded daily mean O$_3$ time series in the MUSt and the LSt. The MLR model is evaluated in terms of its performance and of its ability to capture the observed variability in Section 2, in terms of representativeness of O$_3$ drivers in Section 3 and in terms of adjusted trends in Section 4. The minimum numbers of years of IASI measurements that is required to indeed detect the adjusted trends from MLR in the two layers is also estimated in Section 4 that ends with an evaluation of the trends detectable in polar winter and spring and with an evaluation of a speeding up in the O$_3$ changes.
2 Dataset and methodology

2.1 IASI O_3 data

The Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) is a nadir-viewing Fourier transform spectrometer designed to measure the thermal infrared emission of the Earth-atmosphere system between 645 and 2760 cm\(^{-1}\). Measurements are taken from the polar sun-synchronous orbiting meteorological Metop series of satellites, every 50 km along the track of the satellite at nadir and over a swath of 2200 km across track. With more than 14 orbits a day and a field of view of four simultaneous footprints of 12 km at nadir, IASI provides global coverage of the Earth twice a day at about 09:30 AM and PM mean local solar time.

The Metop program consists of a series of three identical satellites successively launched to ensure homogenous measurements covering more than 15 years. Metop-A and –B have been successively launched in October 2006 and September 2012. The third and last satellite was launched in November 2018 onboard Metop-C. In addition to its exceptional spatio-temporal coverage, IASI also provides good spectral resolution and low radiometric noise, which allows the measurement of a series of gas-phase species and aerosols globally (e.g. Clerbaux et al., 2009; Hilton et al., 2012; Clarisse et al., 2018).

For this study, we use the O_3 profiles retrieved by the Fast Optimal Retrievals on Layers for IASI (FORLI-O_3; version 20151001) near-real time processing chains set up at ULB (See Hurtmans et al, 2012 for a description of the retrieval parameters and the FORLI performances). The FORLI algorithm relies on a fast radiative transfer and a retrieval methodology based on the Optimal Estimation Method (Rodgers, 2000) that requires a priori information (a priori profile and associated variance-covariance matrix). The FORLI-O_3 a priori consists of one single profile and one covariance matrix built from the global Logan/Labow/McPeters climatology (McPeters et al., 2007). The profiles are retrieved on a uniform 1 km vertical grid on 41 layers from surface to 40 km with an extra layer from 40 km to the top of the atmosphere considered at 60 km. Previous characterization of the FORLI-O_3 profiles (Wespes et al., 2016) have demonstrated a good vertical sensitivity of IASI to the O_3 measurement with up to 4 independent levels of information on the vertical profile in the troposphere and the stratosphere (MUST; LST; upper troposphere-lower stratosphere – UTLS – 300-150 hPa; middle-low troposphere – MLT – below 300 hPa).

The two stratospheric layers that show distinctive patterns of O_3 distributions over the IASI decade (Fig.1a) are characterized by high sensitivity (DOFS > 0.85; Fig.1b) and low total retrieval errors (<5%; see Hurtmans et al., 2012; Wespes et al., 2016). The decorrelation between the MUST and the LST is further evidenced in Fig.1d that shows low correlation coefficients (< 0.4) between the mean absolute deseasonalized anomalies (as calculated in Wespes et al., 2017) in the two layers (Fig. 1c). Note that the highest correlation coefficients over the Antarctic (~0.4) are due to the smaller vertical sensitivity of the IASI measurements over cold surface (Clerbaux...
et al., 2009). The latest validation exercises for the FORLI-O₃ product have demonstrated a high degree of precision with excellent consistency between the measurements taken from the two IASI instruments on Metop-A and -B, as well as a good degree of accuracy with biases lower than 20% in the stratospheric layers (Boynard et al., 2018; Keppens et al. 2017). Thanks to these good IASI-FORLI performances, large-scale dynamical modes of O₃ variations and long-term O₃ changes can be differentiated in the four retrieved layers (Wespes et al., 2016). The recent validations have, however, reported a drift in the MUST FORLI-O₃ time series from comparison with O₃ sondes in the northern hemisphere (N.H.) (~3.53±3.09 DU.decade⁻¹ on average over 2008–2016; Boynard et al., 2018) that was suggested to result from a pronounced discontinuity (“jump”) rather than from a progressive change. Further comparisons with CTM simulations from the Belgian Assimilation System for Chemical Observations (BASCOE; Huijnen et al., 2016; Chabrillat et al., 2018) confirm this jump that occurred on 15 September 2010 over all latitudes (see Fig. S1 of the supplementary materials). The discontinuity is suspected to result from updates in level-2 temperature data from Eumetsat that are used as inputs into FORLI (see Hurtmans et al., 2012). The apparent drift reported by Boynard et al. (2018) results from the jump and contrasts with a progressive “instrumental” drift. This is verified by the absence of drift in the O₃ time series after the jump (non-significant drift of -0.38±2.24 DU.decade⁻¹ on average over October 2010 – May 2017; adapted from Boynard et al., 2018). This is in line with the excellent stability of the IASI Level-1 radiances over the full IASI period (Buffet et al., 2016). From the IASI-BASCOE comparisons, the amplitude of the jump has been estimated as lower than 2.0 DU in the 55°S–55°N latitude band and 4.0 DU in the 55°–90° latitude band of each hemisphere. The effect of the jump on the calculation of significant trends derived in Section 4 is found small enough to explain the trend, therefore, this estimated jump is not taken into account in the MLR. The jump values will be, however, considered in the discussion of the O₃ trends (Section 4).

Finally, the present study only uses the daytime measurements (defined with a solar zenith angle to the sun < 83°) from the IASI-A (aboard Metop-A) instrument that fully covers the first decade of the IASI mission. The daytime measurements are characterized by a higher vertical sensitivity (e.g. Clerbaux et al., 2009). Quality flags developed in previous IASI studies (e.g. Boynard et al., 2018) were applied a posteriori to exclude data with a poor spectral fit, with less reliability or with cloud contamination.

2.2 Multivariate regression model

In order to unambiguously discriminate anthropogenic trends in O₃ levels from the various modes of natural variability (illustrated globally in Fig.1c as deseasonalized anomalies), we have applied to the daily MUST and LST O₃ time series, a MLR model that is similar to that previously developed for tropospheric O₃ studies from IASI (see Eq. 1 and 2 in Wespes et al., 2017; 2018) but here adapted to fit the stratospheric variations. In addition to harmonic terms that represent...
the 1-yr and 6-month variations, the MLR model includes the anthropogenic O3 response through a linear trend (LT) term and a set of explanatory variables (commonly called “proxies”) to parameterize the geophysical processes influencing the abundance of O3 in the stratosphere. The MLR uses an iterative stepwise backward elimination approach to retain, at the end of the iterations, the most relevant proxies (with a 95% confidence level) explaining the O3 variations (e.g. Mäder et al., 2007). Table 1 lists the selected proxies, their sources and their temporal resolutions. The proxies describe the influence of the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO; visible from the deseasonalized anomaly maps in Fig.1c with a typical band-like pattern around the Equator) at 10 hPa and 30 hPa, of the North Atlantic and the Antarctic Oscillations (NAO and AAO), of the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO), of the volcanic aerosols (AERO) injected into the stratosphere, of the strength of the Brewer-Dobson circulation (BDC) with the Eliassen-Palm flux (EPF), of the polar O3 loss driven by the volume of polar stratospheric clouds (VPSC), of the tropopause height variation with the geopotential height (GEO) and of mixing of tropospheric and stratospheric air masses with the potential vorticity (PV). The main proxies in terms of their influence on O3 are illustrated in Fig. 2 over the period of the IASI mission. The construction of the EPF, VPSC and AERO proxies, which are specifically used in this study, is explained hereafter, while the description of the other proxies can be found in previous IASI studies (Wespes et al., 2016; 2017).

The EPF proxy consists of the normalized upward component of the EP flux crossing 100 hPa and spatially averaged over the 45°-75° latitude band for each hemisphere. The fluxes are calculated from the NCEP/NCAR 2.5°x2.5° gridded daily reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996) over the IASI decade. The VPSC proxy is based on the potential volume of PSCs given by the volume of air below the formation temperature of nitric acid trihydrate (NAT) over 60°-90° north and south and calculated from the ERA-Interim reanalysis and from the MLS climatology of nitric acid (I. Wohltmann, private communication; Wohltmann et al., 2007; and references therein). The PSC volume is multiplied by the EESC to account for the changes in the amount of inorganic stratospheric chlorine that activates the polar ozone loss. The O3 build-up and the polar O3 loss are highly correlated with wintertime accumulated EP flux and PSC volume, respectively (Fusco and Salby, 1999; Randel et al., 2002; Fioletov and Shepherd, 2003 and Rex et al., 2004). These cumulative EP flux and PSC effects on O3 levels are taken into account by integrating the EPF and VPSC proxies over time with a specific exponential decay time according to the formalism of Brunner et al. (2006; see Eq. 4). We set the relaxation time scale to 3 months everywhere, except during the wintertime build-up phase of O3 in the extratropics (from October to March in the N.H. and from April to September in the southern hemisphere - S.H.) when it is set to 12 months. For EPF, it accounts for the slower relaxation time of extratropical O3 in winter due to its longer photochemical lifetime. For VPSC, the 12-month relaxation time accounts for a stronger effect of stratospheric chlorine on spring O3 levels: the maximum of the accumulated VPSC (Fig. 2) coincides with the maximum extent of O3 hole that develops during springtime and that lasts until November. Note that correlations between VPSC and EPF are possible since
the same method is used to build these cumulative proxies. VPSC and EPF are also dynamically anti-correlated to some extent since a strong BDC is connected with warm polar stratospheric temperatures and, hence, reduced PSC volume (e.g. Wohltmann et al., 2007).

The AERO proxy is derived from aerosol optical depth (AOD) of sulfuric acid only. That proxy consists of latitudinally averaged (22.5°N-90°N – AERO-N, 22.5°S-90°S – AERO-S and 22.5°S-22.5°N – AERO-Eq) extinction coefficients at 12 µm calculated from merged aerosol datasets (SAGE, SAM, CALIPSO, OSIRIS, 2D-model-simulation and Photometer; Thomason et al., 2018) and vertically integrated over the two IASI stratospheric O₃ columns (AERO-MUSt and AERO-LSt). Fig.2 shows the AERO proxies (AERO-N, AERO-S and AERO-Eq) corresponding to the AOD over the whole stratosphere (150-2 hPa), while Fig.3 represents the latitudinal distribution of the volcanic sulfuric acid extinction coefficients integrated over the whole stratosphere (top panel) and, separately, over the MUSt (middle panel) and the LSt (bottom panel) from 2005 to 2017. The AOD distributions indicate the need for considering one specific AERO proxy for each latitudinal band (AERO-N, AERO-S and AERO-Eq) and for each vertical layer (AERO-MUSt and AERO-LSt). Note that, as an alternative proxy to AERO, the surface area density of ambient aerosol, that represents the aerosol surface available for chemical reactions, has been tested, giving similar results.

Note also that, similarly to what has already been found for tropospheric O₃ from IASI (Wespes et al., 2016), several time-lags for ENSO (1-, 3- and 5-month lags; namely, ENSO-lag1, ENSO-lag3 and ENSO-lag5) are also included in the MLR model to account for a possible delay in the O₃ response to ENSO at high latitudes.

Finally, autocorrelation in the noise residual ԑ(t) (see Eq. 1 in Wespes et al., 2016) is accounted for in the MLR analysis with time lag of one day to yield the correct estimated standard errors for the regression coefficients. They are estimated from the covariance matrix of the regression coefficients and corrected at the end of the iterative process by the autocorrelation of the noise residual. The regression coefficients are considered significant if they fall in the 95% confidence level (defined by 2σ level). In the seasonal MLR, the main proxies (x_jX_{norm,j}; with x_j, the regression coefficient and X_{norm,j} the normalized proxy) are replaced by four explanatory variables (x_{spr}X_{norm,spr} + x_{sum}X_{norm,sum} + x_{fall}X_{norm,fall} + x_{winter}X_{norm,winter}) for each grid cell (see Section 2.2 in Wespes et al., 2017). Hence, the seasonal MLR adjusts 4 coefficients (instead of one in the annual MLR) to account for the seasonal O₃ response to changes in the proxy. If that method avoids to over-constrain the adjustment by the year-round proxies and, hence, reduces the systematic errors, the smaller daily data points covered by the seasonal proxies translate to a lower significance of these proxies. This is particularly true for EPF and VPSC that compensate each other by construction. As a consequence, the annual MLR is performed first in this study.
and, then, complemented with the seasonal one when it is found helpful for further interpreting the observations.

Figure 4 shows the latitudinal distributions of the $O_3$ columns in the two stratospheric layers over the IASI decade (first panels in Fig. 4a and b), as well as those simulated by the annual MLR regression model (second panels) along with the regression residuals (third panels). The root mean square error (RMSE) of the regression residual and the contribution of the MLR model into the IASI $O_3$ variations (calculated as $\frac{\sigma(O_{3\text{fit model}}(t))}{\sigma(O_3(t))}$, where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation relative to the regression model and to the IASI time series; bottom panels) are also represented (bottom panels). The results indicate that the model reproduces ~25-85% and ~35-95% of the daily $O_3$ variations captured by IASI in the MUSt and the LSt, respectively, and that the residual errors are generally lower than 10% everywhere for the two layers, except for the spring $O_3$ hole region in the LSt. The RMSE relative to the IASI $O_3$ time series are lower than 20 DU and 15 DU at global scale in the LSt and the MUSt, respectively, except around the S.H. polar vortex in the LSt (~30 DU). On a seasonal basis (figure not shown), the results are only slightly improved: the model explains from ~35-90% and ~45-95% of the annual variations and the RMSE are lower than ~12 DU and ~23 DU everywhere, in the MUSt and the LSt, respectively. These results verify that the MLR models (annual and seasonal) reproduce well the time evolution of $O_3$ over the IASI decade in the two stratospheric layers and, hence, that they can be used to identify and quantify the main $O_3$ drivers in these two layers (see Section 3).

The MLR model has also been tested on nighttime FORLI-$O_3$ measurements only and simultaneously with daytime measurements, but this resulted in a lower quality fit, especially in the MUSt over the polar regions. This is due to the smaller vertical sensitivity of IASI during nighttime measurements, especially over cold surface, which causes larger correlations between stratospheric and tropospheric layers (e.g. 40-60% at high northern latitudes versus ~10-20% for daytime measurements based on deseasonalized anomalies) and, hence, which mixes counteracted processes from these two layers. For this reason, only the results for the MLR performed on daytime measurements are presented and discussed in this paper.

3 Drivers of $O_3$ natural variations

Ascribing a recovery in stratospheric $O_3$ to a decline in stratospheric halogen species requires first identifying and quantifying natural cycles that may produce trend-like segments in the $O_3$ time series, in order to prevent any misinterpretation of those segments as signs of $O_3$ recovery. The MLR analysis performed in Section 2.2 that was found to give a good representation of the MUSt and LSt $O_3$ records shows distinctive relevant patterns for the individual proxies retained in the regression procedure, as represented in Fig. 5. The fitted drivers are characterized by
significant regional differences in their regression coefficients with regions of in-phase relation (positive coefficients) or out-of-phase relation (negative coefficients) with respect to the IASI stratospheric O₃ anomalies. The areas of significant drivers (in the 95% confidence limit) are surrounded by non-significant cells when accounting for the autocorrelation in the noise residual. Figures 6a and b respectively represent the latitudinal distribution of the fitted regression coefficients for the proxies showing latitudinal variation only in the O₃ response (namely, QBO, EPF, VPSC, AERO and ENSO) and of the contribution of these drivers into the O₃ variability (calculated as the product of the 2σ variability of each proxy by its corresponding fitted coefficient, i.e. the 2σ variability of the adjusted signal of the proxies). The 2σ O₃ variability in the IASI measurements and in the fitted MLR model are also represented (black and grey lines, respectively). Figure 7 displays the same results as Fig. 6b but for the austral spring and winter periods only (using the seasonal MLR).

The PV and GEO proxies are generally minor components (not shown here) with relative contributions smaller than 10% and large standard errors (>80%), except in the tropics where the contribution for GEO reaches 40% in the LSt due to the tropopause height variation. Each other adjusted proxy (QBO, SF, EPF, VPSC, AERO, ENSO, NAO and AAO) is an important contributor to the O₃ variations, depending on the layer, region, and season as described next:

1. QBO - The QBO at 10hPa and 30hPa are important contributors around the Equator for the two stratospheric layers. It shows up a typical band-like pattern of high positive coefficients confined equatorward of ~15°N/S where the QBO is known to be a dominant dynamical modulation force associated with strong convective anomalies (e.g. Randel and Wu, 1996; Tian et al., 2006; Witte et al., 2008). In that latitude band, QBO10 and QBO30 explain up to ~8 DU and ~5 DU, respectively, of the MUSt and LSt yearly O₃ variations (see Fig. 5 and 6b; i.e. relative contributions up to ~50% and ~40% for QBO10/30 in MUSt and LSt O₃, respectively). The QBO is also influencing O₃ variations poleward of 60°N/S with a weaker correlation between O₃ and equatorial wind anomalies as well as in the sub-tropics with an out-of-phase transition. That pole-to-pole QBO influence results from the QBO-modulation of extra-tropical waves and its interaction with the BDC (e.g. Fusco and Salby, 1999). A pronounced seasonal dependence is observed in the out-of-phase sub-tropical O₃ anomalies in the MUSt, with the highest amplitude oscillating between the hemispheres in their respective winter (~5 DU of O₃ variations explained by QBO10/30 at ~20°S during JJA and at ~20°N during DJF; see Fig. 7b for the JJA period in the MUStn the DJF period is not shown), which is in agreement with Randel and Wu (1996). The amplitude of the QBO signal is found to be stronger for QBO30 than for QBO10 in the LSt, which is in good agreement with studies from other instruments for the total O₃ (e.g. Baldwin et al., 2001; Steinbrecht et al., 2006; Frossard et al., 2013; Coldewey-Egbers et al., 2014) and from IASI in the troposphere (Wespes et al., 2017). The smaller amplitude of O₃ response to QBO10 in the LSt
compared to the MUSSt is again in agreement with previous studies that reported changes in phase of the QBO10 response as a function of altitude with a positive response in the upper stratosphere and destructive interference in the mid-low stratosphere (Chipperfield et al., 1994; Brunner et al., 2006).

2. SF - In the MUSSt layer, the solar cycle O$_3$ response is one of the strongest contributors and explains globally between ~2 and 15 DU of in-phase O$_3$ variations (i.e. higher O$_3$ records during maximum solar irradiance) with the largest amplitude over the highest latitude regions (see Fig. 5; relative contribution up to ~20%). The solar influence in LSt is more complex with regions of in-phase and out-of-phase O$_3$ variations. The impact of solar variability on stratospheric O$_3$ abundance is due to a combination of processes: a modification in the O$_3$ production rates in the upper stratosphere induced by changes in spectral solar irradiance (e.g. Brasseur et al., 1993), the transport of solar proton event-produced NO$_x$ from the mesosphere down to the mid-low stratosphere where it decreases active chlorine and bromine and, hence, O$_3$ destruction (e.g. Jackman et al., 2000; Hood and Soukharev, 2006; and references therein) and its impact on the lower stratospheric dynamics including the QBO (e.g. Hood et al., 1997; Zerefos et al., 1997; Kodera and Kuroda, 2002; Hood and Soukharev, 2003, Soukharev and Hood, 2006). As for the QBO, the strong SF dependence at polar latitudes in the LSt with zonal asymmetry in the O$_3$ response reflects the influence of the polar vortex strength and of stratospheric warmings, and are in good agreement with previous results (e.g. Hood et al., 1997; Zerefos et al., 1997; Labitzke and van Loon, 1999; Steinbrecht et al., 2003; Coldewey-Egbers et al., 2014). It is also worth noting that because only one solar cycle is covered, the QBO and SF effects could not be completely separated because they have a strong interaction (McCormack et al., 2007).

3. EPF - The vertical component of the planetary wave Eliassen-Palm flux entering the lower stratosphere corresponds to the divergence of the wave momentum that drives the meridional residual Brewer-Dobson circulation. In agreement with previous studies (e.g. Fusco and Salby, 1999; Randel et al., 2002; Brunner et al., 2006), fluctuations in the BDC are shown to cause changes on stratospheric O$_3$ distribution observed from IASI: EPF largely positively contributes to the LSt O$_3$ variations at high latitudes of both hemispheres where O$_3$ is accumulated because of its long chemical lifetime, with amplitude ranging between ~20 and 100 DU (see Fig. 5 and 6; i.e. relative contribution of ~35-150%). The influence of the EPF decreases at lower latitudes where a stronger circulation induces more O$_3$ transported from the tropics to middle-high latitudes and, hence, a decrease in O$_3$ levels particularly below 20 km (Brunner et al., 2006). The influence of EP fluxes in the Arctic is the smallest in summer (see Fig. 7; ~35 DU vs ~70 DU in fall; the two other seasons are not shown) due to the later O$_3$ build-up in polar vortices. In the S.H., because of the deployment of the O$_3$ hole, the EP influence is...
smaller than in the N.H. and the seasonal variations are less marked. In the MUSs, the O$_3$
response attributed to variations in EPF is positive in both hemispheres, with a much
lower amplitude than in the LSt (up to \(-20\text{ to \(-35\) DU)}. The region of out-of-phase relation
with negative EPF coefficients over the high southern latitudes (Fig. 5b) is likely
attributable to the influence of VPSC that has correlations with EPF by construction (see
Section 2.2).

4. VPSC - Identically to EPF, VPSC is shown to mainly contribute to O$_3$ variations in LSt
over the polar regions (~55 DU or 40\% in the N.H. vs ~60 DU or 85\% in the S.H. on a
longitudinal average; see Fig. 6b) but with an opposite phase (Fig. 5 and 6a). The
amplitude of the O$_3$ response to VPSC reaches its maximum over the southern latitudes
during the spring (~60 DU; see Fig. 7a for the austral spring period), which is consistent
with the role of PSCs on the polar O$_3$ depletion when there is sufficient sunlight. The
strong VPSC influence found at high northern latitudes in fall (Fig. 7a) are likely due to
compensation effects with EPF as pointed out above. Note also that the VPSC
contribution into MUSs reflects the larger correlation between the two stratospheric
layers over the southern polar region (Section 2.1, Fig. 1d).

5. AERO - Five important volcanic eruptions with stratospheric impact occurred during the
and Calbuco in 2015; see Fig.3). The two major eruptions of the last decades, El Chichon
(1982) and Mt. Pinatubo (1991), which have injected sulfur gases into the stratosphere,
have been shown to enhance PSCs particle abundances (~15-25 km altitude), to remove
NO$_x$ (through reaction with the surface of the sulfuric aerosol to form nitric acid) and,
therefore, to make the ozone layer more sensitive to active chlorine (e.g. Hofmann et al.,
1989; Hofmann et al., 1993; Portmann et al., 1996; Solomon et al., 2016). Besides this
chemical effect, the volcanic aerosols also warm the stratosphere at lower latitudes
through scattering and absorption of solar radiation, which further induces indirect
dynamical effects (Dhomse et al., 2015; Revell et al., 2017). Even though the recent
eruptions have been of smaller magnitude than El Chichon and Mt. Pinatubo, they
produced sulphur ejection through the tropopause into the stratosphere (see Section 2.2,
Fig. 2 and Fig. 3), as seen with AOD reaching 5x10$^{-4}$ over the stratosphere (150-2 hPa),
especially following the eruptions of Nabro (13.3°N, 41.6°E), Sinabung (3.1°N, 98.3°E)
and Calbuco (41.3°S, 72.6°W). In the LSt, the regression supports an enhanced O$_3$
derpletion over the Antarctic in presence of sulfur gases with a significantly negative
annual O$_3$ response reaching ~25 DU (i.e. relative contribution of ~20\% into O$_3$ variation;
see Fig. 5b). On the contrary, enhanced O$_3$ levels in response to sulfuric acid are found in
the MUSs with a maximum impact of up to 10 DU (i.e. relative contribution of ~20\% into
the O$_3$ variation; see Fig. 5a) over the Antarctic. The change in phase in the O$_3$ response
to AERO between the LSt (~15-25 km) and the MUSs (~25-40 km) over the Antarctic, as
well as between polar and lower latitudes in the LS t (see Fig.5 and 6a), agree well with
the heterogeneous reactions on sulfuric aerosol surface which reduce the concentration of
NOx to form nitric acid, leading to enhanced O3 levels above 25 km but leading to
decreased O3 levels due to chlorine activation below 25 km (e.g. Solomon et al., 1996).
On a seasonal basis, the depletion due to the presence of sulfur gases reaches ~30 DU on
a longitudinal average, over the S.H. polar region during the austral spring (see Fig.7a)
highlighting the link between volcanic gases converted to sulfate aerosols and
heterogeneous polar halogen chemistry.

6. NAO – The NAO is an important mode of global climate variability, particularly in
northern winter. It describes large-scale anomalies in sea level pressure systems between
the sub-tropical Atlantic (Azores; high pressure system) and sub-polar (Iceland; low
pressure system) regions (Hurrell, 1995). It disturbs the location and intensity of the
North Atlantic jet stream that separates these two regions depending on the phase of
NAO. The positive (negative) phase of the NAO corresponds to larger (weaker) pressure
difference between the two regions leading to stronger westerlies (easterlies) across the
mid-latitudes (Barnston and Livezey, 1987). The two pressure system regions are clearly
identified in the stratospheric O3 response to NAO, particularly in the LSt, with positive
regression coefficients above the Labrador-Greenland region and negative coefficients
above the Euro-Atlantic region (Fig. 5b). Above these two sectors, the positive phase
induces, respectively, an increase and a decrease in LSt O3 levels. The negative phase is
characterized by the opposite behaviour. That NAO pattern is in line with previous
studies (Rieder et al., 2013) and was also observed from IASI in tropospheric O3 (Wespes
et al., 2017). The magnitude of annual LSt O3 changes attributed to NAO variations
reaches ~20 DU over the in-phase Labrador region (i.e. contribution of 25% relative to
the O3 variations), while a much lower contribution is found for the MUS t (~4 DU or
~10%). The NAO coefficient in the LSt also shows that the influence of the NAO
extends further into northern Asia in case of prolonged NAO phases. The NAO has also
been shown to influence the propagation of waves into the stratosphere, hence, the BDC
and the strength of the polar vortex in the N.H. mid-winter (Thompson and Wallace,
2000; Schnadt and Dameris, 2003; Rind et al., 2005). That connection between the NAO
and the BDC might explain the negative anomaly in the O3 response to EPF in the LSt
over northern Asia which matches the region of negative response to the NAO.

7. AAO - The extra-tropical circulation of the S.H. is driven by the Antarctic oscillation that
is characterized by geopotential height anomalies south of 20°S, with high anomalies of
one sign centered in the polar region and weaker anomalies of the opposing sign north of
55°S (Thompson and Wallace, 2000). This corresponds well to the two band-like regions
of opposite signs found for the regression coefficients of adjusted AAO in the LSt
(negative coefficients centered in Antarctica and positive coefficient north of ~40°S; see
Fig. 5b). Similarly to the N.H. mode, the strength of the residual mean circulation and of
the polar vortex in the S.H. are modulated by the AAO through the atmospheric wave
activity (Thompson and Wallace, 2000; Thompson and Salomon, 2001). During the
positive (negative) phase of the AAO, the BDC is weaker (stronger) leading to less
(more) O$_3$ transported from the tropics into the southern polar region, and the polar
vortex is stronger (weaker) leading to more (less) O$_3$ depletion inside. This likely
explains both the positive AAO coefficients in the region north of ~40°S (contribution <
~5 DU or ~10%) and the negative coefficients around and over the Antarctic
(contribution reaching ~10 DU or ~15%; exception is found with positive coefficients
over the western Antarctic). The dependence of O$_3$ variations to the AAO in the MUSt is
lower than ~7 DU (or ~15%).

8. ENSO - Besides the NAO and the AAO, the El Nino southern oscillation is another
dominant mode of global climate variability. This coupled ocean-atmosphere
phenomenon is governed by sea surface temperature (SST) differences between high
tropical and low extra-tropical Pacific regions (Harrison and Larkin, 1998). Domeisen et
al. (2019) have recently reviewed the possible mechanisms connecting the ENSO to the
stratosphere in the tropics and the extratropics of both hemispheres. The ozone response
to ENSO is represented in Fig. 5 only for the ENSO-lag3 proxy which is found to be the
main ENSO proxy contributing to the observed O$_3$ variations. While in the troposphere,
previous works have shown that the ENSO influence mainly results in a high contrast of
the regression coefficients between western Pacific/Indonesia/North Australia and
central/eastern Pacific regions caused by reduced rainfalls and enhanced O$_3$ precursor
emissions above western Pacific (called “chemical effect”) (e.g. e.g. Oman et al., 2013;
Valks et al., 2014; Ziemke et al., 2015; Wespes et al., 2016; and references therein), the
LSt O$_3$ response to ENSO is shown here to translate into a strong tropical-extratropical
gradient in the regression coefficients with a negative response in the tropics and a
positive response at higher latitudes (~5 DU and ~10 DU, respectively, on longitudinal
averages; see Fig. 6a). In the MUSt, ENSO is globally a smaller out-of-phase driver of O$_3$
variations (response of ~5 DU). The decrease in LSt O$_3$ during the warm ENSO phase in
the tropics (characterized by a negative ENSO lag-3 coefficient reaching 7 DU (or 35%),
respectively, in the LSt; see Fig. 5) is consistent with the ENSO-modulated upwelling via
deep convection in the tropical lower stratosphere and, hence, increased BD circulation
(e.g. Oman et al., 2009). The in-phase accumulation of LSt O$_3$ in the extra-tropics
(contribution reaching 15 DU or 20%; see Fig. 5) is also consistent with enhanced extra-
tropical planetary waves that propagate into the stratosphere during the warm ENSO
phase, resulting in sudden stratospheric warmings and, hence, in enhanced BDC and
weaker polar vortices (e.g. Brönnimann et al., 2004; Manzini et al., 2006; Cagnazzo et
al., 2009). The very pronounced link between stratospheric O$_3$ and the ENSO related
dynamical pathways with a time lag of about 3 months is one key finding of the present
work. Indeed, if the influence of ENSO on stratospheric O\textsubscript{3} measurements has been reported in earlier studies (Randel and Cobb, 1994; Brönnimann et al., 2004; Randel et al., 2009; Randel and Thompson, 2011; Oman et al., 2013; Manatsa and Mukwada, 2017; Tweedy et al., 2018), it is the first time that a delayed stratospheric O\textsubscript{3} response is investigated in MLR studies. A 4- to 6-month time lag in O\textsubscript{3} response to ENSO has similarly been identified from IASI in the troposphere (Wespes et al., 2017), where it was explained not only by a tropospheric pathway but also by a specific stratospheric pathway similar to that modulating stratospheric O\textsubscript{3} but with further impact downward onto tropospheric circulation (Butler et al., 2014; Domeisen et al., 2019). Furthermore, the 3-month lag identified in the LSt O\textsubscript{3} response is fully consistent with the modelling work of Cagnazzo et al. (2009) that reports a warming of the polar vortex in February-March following a strong ENSO event (peak activity in November-December) associated with positive O\textsubscript{3} ENSO anomaly reaching ~10 DU in the Arctic and negative anomaly of ~6-7 DU in the Tropics. We find that the tropical-extra-tropical gradient in O\textsubscript{3} response to ENSO-lag3 is indeed much stronger in spring with contributions of ~20-30 DU (see Fig. 7a for the austral spring period vs winter).

Overall, although the annual MLR model underestimates the O\textsubscript{3} variability at high latitudes (>50°N/S) by up to 5 DU, particularly in the MUST (see Fig. 6b), we conclude that it gives a good overall representation of the sources of O\textsubscript{3} variability in the two stratospheric layers sounded by IASI. This is particularly true for the spring period (see Fig. 7) which was studied in several earlier works to reveal the onset of Antarctic total O\textsubscript{3} recovery (Salby et al., 2011; Kuttipurath et al., 2013; Shepherd et al., 2014; Solomon et al., 2016; Weber et al., 2018), despite the large O\textsubscript{3} variability due to the hole formation during that period (~80 DU). It is also interesting to see from Fig. 7 that the broad O\textsubscript{3} depletion over Antarctica in the LSt is attributed by the MLR to VPSC (up to 60 DU of explained O\textsubscript{3} variability on a latitudinal average). Following these promising results, we further analyze below the O\textsubscript{3} variability in response to anthropogenic perturbations, assumed in the MLR model by the linear trend term, with a focus over the polar regions.

4 Trend analysis

4.1 10-year trend detection in stratospheric layers

The distributions of the linear trend estimated by the annual regression are represented in Fig. 8a for the MUS\textsubscript{t} and the LSt (left and right panels). In agreement with the early signs of O\textsubscript{3} recovery reported for the extra-tropical mid- and upper stratosphere above ~25-10 hPa (>25-30 km; Pawson et al., 2014; Harris et al., 2015; Steinbrecht et al., 2017; Sofieva et al., 2017; Ball et al., 2018), the MUS\textsubscript{t} shows significant positive trends larger than 1 DU/yr poleward of ~35°N/S. The corresponding decadal trends (>10 DU/dec) are much larger than the discontinuity of ~2-4
DU encountered in the MUSt record on 15 September 2010 and discussed in section 2.1. The tropical MUSt also shows positive trends but they are weaker (<0.8 DU/yr) or not significant. The largest increase is observed in polar O$_3$ with amplitudes reaching ~2.5 DU/yr. The mid-latitudes also show significant O$_3$ enhancement which can be attributed to airmass mixing after the disruption of the polar vortex (Knudsen and Grooss, 2000; Fioletov and Shepherd, 2005; Dhomse, 2006; Nair et al., 2015).

As in the MUSt, the LSt is characterized in the southern polar latitudes by significantly positive and large trends (between ~ [1.0] and [2.5] DU/yr). In the mid-latitudes, the lower stratospheric trends are significantly negative, i.e. opposite to those obtained in the MUSt. This highlights the independence between the two O$_3$ layers sounded by IASI in the stratosphere. Poleward of 25°N the negative LSt trends range between ~ [0.5] and [2.0] DU/yr. Negative trends in lower stratospheric O$_3$ have already been reported in extra-polar regions from other space-based measurements (Kyrölä et al., 2013; Gebhardt et al., 2014; Sioris et al., 2014; Harris et al., 2015; Nair et al., 2015; Vigouroux et al., 2015; Wespès et al., 2016; Steinbrecht et al., 2017; Ball et al., 2018) and may be due to changes in stratospheric dynamics at the decadal timescale (Galytska et al., 2019). These previous studies, which were characterized by large uncertainties or resulted from composite-data merging techniques, are confirmed here using a single dataset. The negative trends which are observed at lower stratospheric middle latitudes are difficult to explain with chemistry-climate models (Ball et al., 2018). It is also worth noting that the significant MUSt and LSt O$_3$ trends are of the same order as those previously estimated from IASI over a shorter period (from 2008 to 2013) and latitudinal averages (see Wespès et al., 2016). This suggests that the trends are not very sensitive to the natural variability in the IASI time series, hence, supporting the significance of the O$_3$ trends presented here.

The sensitivity of IASI O$_3$ to the estimated trend from MLR is further verified in Fig. 8b that represents the global distributions of relative differences in the $RMSE$ of the regression residuals obtained with and without a linear trend term included in the MLR model ($([RMSE_{w/o\_LT} - RMSE_{with\_LT}]/RMSE_{with\_LT} \times 100$; in %). An increase of 1.0-4.0% and 0.5-2.0% in the $RMSE$ is indeed observed for both the MUSt and the LSt, respectively, in regions of significant trend contribution (Fig. 8a), when the trend is excluded. This demonstrates the significance of the trend in improving the performance of the regression. Another statistical method that can be used for evaluating the possibility to infer, from the IASI time period, the significant positive or negative trends in the MUSt and the LSt, respectively, consists in determining the expected year when these specified trends would be detectable from the available measurements (with a probability of 90%) by taking into account the variance ($\sigma^2$) and the autocorrelation ($\Phi$) of the noise residual according to the formalism of Tiao et al. (1990) and Weatherhead et al. (1998). It represents a more drastic and conservative method than the standard MLR. The results are displayed in Fig. 8c for an assumed specified trend of [1.5] DU/yr, which corresponds to a
medium amplitude of trends derived here above from the MLR over the mid-polar regions (Fig. 8a). In the MUSt, we find that ~2-3 additional years of IASI measurements would be required to unequivocally detect a positive trend of |1.5| DU/yr (with probability 0.90) over high latitudes (detectable from ~2020-2022 ± 6-12 months) whereas it should already be detectable over the mid- and lower latitudes (from ~2015 ± 3-6 months). In the LSt, additional ~7 years (± 1-2 years) of IASI measurement would be required to categorically identify the probable decline derived from the MLR in northern mid-latitudes, and even more to measure the enhancement in the southern polar latitudes. The longest required measurement period over the high latitudes is explained by the largest noise residual (i.e. largest $\sigma_r$) in the IASI data (see Fig.4 a and b). Note that a larger specified trend amplitude would obviously require a shorter period of IASI measurement. Only ~2 additional years would be required to detect a specified trend of |2.5| DU/yr which characterizes the LSt at mid-high latitudes.

4.2 Stratospheric contributions to total O$_3$ trend

The effect on total O$_3$ of the counteracting trends in the northern mid-latitudes and of the constructive trends in the southern polar latitudes trends derived in the two stratospheric layers sounded by IASI is now investigated.

Figure 9 represents the global distributions of the contribution of the MUSt and the LSt into the total O$_3$ columns (Fig.9a; in %), of the adjusted trends for the total O$_3$ (Fig. 9b in DU/yr) and of the estimated year for a |15| DU per decade trend detection with a probability of 90% (Fig. 9c).

While no significant change or slightly positive trends in total O$_3$ after the inflection point in 1997 have been reported on an annual basis (e.g; Weber et al., 2018), Fig. 9b shows clear significant changes: negative trend at northern mid-latitudes (up to ~2.0 DU/yr north of 30°N) and positive trend over the southern polar region (up to ~3.0 DU/yr south of 40°S). Although counteracting trends between lower and upper stratospheric O$_3$ have been pointed out in the recent study of Ball et al. (2018) to explain the non-significant recovery in total O$_3$, we find from IASI a dominance of the LSt decline that translates to negative trends over some regions of the N.H. in TOC (Fig. 9b). This is explained by the contributions of 45-55% from the LSt to the total column, vs ~30-40% from the MUSt (Fig. 9a) in the mid- and polar regions over the whole year. In addition, the significant positive trends over the high southern latitudes in both the MUSt and the LSt explains the largest total O$_3$ enhancement in polar region. Note that most previous ozone trends studies, including Ball et al. (2018), excluded the polar regions due to limited latitude coverage of some instruments merged in the data composites.

While the annual MLR shows a significant dominance of LSt trends over MUSt trends in the northern mid-latitudes and significant constructive trends in the southern latitudes, total O$_3$ trends are not ascribed with complete confidence according to the formalism of Tiao et al. (1990)
and Weatherhead et al. (1998) discussed in Section 4.1. The detectability of a specified trend of $|1.5|$ DU/yr (Fig. 9c), which corresponds to the medium trend derived from MLR in mid-high latitudes of both hemispheres (Fig. 9b), would need several years of additional measurements to be unequivocal from IASI on an annual basis (from ~2022-2024 over the mid-latitudes and from ~2035 over the polar regions). The highest trend amplitude of $|2.5|$ DU/yr derived from the MLR would be observable from ~2020-2025 (figure not shown).

The use of the annual MLR could translate to large systematic uncertainties on trends (implying large $\epsilon$), which induces a longer measurement period required to yield significant trends. These uncertainties could be reduced on a seasonal basis, by attributing different weights to the seasons, which would help in the categorical detection of a specified trend. This is investigated in the subsection below by focusing on the winter and the spring periods.

4.3 Trends in polar spring

The reports on early signs of total O$_3$ recovery (Salby et al., 2011; Kuttippurath et al., 2013; Shepherd et al., 2014; Solomon et al., 2016; Kuttippurath and Nair, 2017; Weber et al., 2018) have all focused on the Antarctic region during spring, when the ozone hole area is at its maximum extent, i.e. the LSt O$_3$ levels at minimum values. Here we investigate the respective contributions of the LSt and the MUSt to the TOC recovery over the South Pole, looking also at the JJA period because the minima in O$_3$ levels in the MUSt over Antarctica occur later in summer (down to ~80 DU; see Fig 4a). Figures 10 and 11, respectively, show the S.H. and the N.H. distribution of the estimated trends from seasonal MLR (left panels) and of the corresponding year required for a significant detection of $|30|$ DU increase per decade (right panels) during their respective winter (JJA and DJF; Fig. 10a and 11a) and spring (SON and MAM; Fig. 10b and 11b) for the total, MUSt and LSt O$_3$ (top, middle and bottom panels, respectively). Fig. 10 a and b clearly show significant positive trends over Antarctica and the southernmost latitudes of the Atlantic and Indian oceans, with amplitudes ranging between ~1-5 DU/yr over latitudes south of ~35-40°S in total, MUSt and LSt O$_3$ (~3.9±1.7 DU/yr, ~2.7±1.0 DU/yr, ~3.3±2.6 DU/yr and ~4.4±1.9 DU/yr, ~1.6±0.6 DU/yr, ~3.4±1.4 DU/yr, on spatial averages, respectively over JJA and SON, for the three O$_3$ columns). These trends are much larger than the amplitude of the discontinuity in the MUSt time series (section 2.1) and than the annual ones estimated in Sections 4.1 (see Fig.8 for the MUSt and the LSt) and 4.2 (see Fig.9 for TOC) over the whole year. In MUSt, significant positive trends are observed during each season over the mid- and polar latitudes of both hemispheres (Fig. 10 and 11 for the winter and spring periods; the other seasons are not shown here) but more particularly in winter and in spring where the increase reaches a maximum of ~ 4 DU/yr. In the LSt, the distributions are more complex: the trends are significantly negative in the mid-latitudes of both hemispheres, especially in winter, and in spring of the N.H., while in spring of the S.H., some mid-latitude
regions also show near-zero or even positive trends. The southern polar region shows high significant positive trends in winter/spring (see Fig.10). For the total $O_3$ at mid-high latitudes, given the mostly counteracting trends detected in the LSt and in the MUSt and the dominance of the LSt over the MUSt (~45-55% from the LSt vs ~30-40% from the MUSt into total $O_3$ over the whole year; except over the Antarctica in spring as discussed above), these latitudes are governed by negative trends with the highest decline in spring of the N.H. High significant increases are detected over polar regions in winter/spring of both hemispheres but more particularly in the S.H. where the LSt and MUSt trends are both of positive sign.

The substantial winter/spring positive trends observed in MUSt, LSt and total $O_3$ levels at high latitudes of the S.H. (and of the N.H. for the MUSt) are furthermore demonstrated to be detectable from the available IASI measurement period (see Fig. 10, right panels: an assumed increase of $|3|$ DU/yr is detectable from 2016 ± 6 months and from 2018 ± 1 year in the MUSt and the LSt, respectively). The positive trend of $-4$ DU/yr measured in polar total $O_3$ in winter/spring would be observable from ~2018-2020 ± 1-2 year and the decline of $-|3|$ DU/yr in winter/spring of the N.H. in LSt would be detectable from ~2018-2020 ± 9 months (not shown here). Note that the unrealistic negative trends found above the Pacific at highest latitudes (see Fig. 10) correspond to the regions with longest required measurement period for trend detection and, hence, point to poor regression residuals. About $-50\%$ and $-35\%$ of the springtime MUSt and LSt $O_3$ variations, respectively, are due to anthropogenic factors (estimated by VPSC×EESC proxy and linear trend in MLR models). This suggests that $O_3$ changes especially in the LSt are mainly governed by dynamics, which contributes to a later trend-detection year in comparison with the MUSt (Fig. 10 and 11) and which may hinder the $O_3$ recovery process.

Overall, the large positive trends estimated concurrently in LSt, MUSt and total $O_3$ over the Antarctic region in winter/spring likely reflect the healing of the ozone layer with a decrease of polar ozone depletion (Salomon et al., 2016) and, hence, demonstrate the efficiency of the Montreal protocol. To the best of our knowledge, these results represent the first detection of a significant recovery in the stratospheric and the total $O_3$ columns over the Antarctic from one single satellite dataset.

### 4.4 Speeding up in $O_3$ changes

Positive trends in total $O_3$ have already been determined earlier by Solomon et al. (2016) and by Weber et al. (2018) over Antarctica during September over earlier periods (~2.5±1.5DU/yr over 2000-2014 and 8.2±6.2%/dec over 2000-2016, respectively). The larger trends derived from the IASI records (Fig.10b; ~3.9±1.7 DU/yr on average) suggest that the $O_3$ response could be speeding up due to the accelerating decline of $O_3$ depleting substances (ODS) resulting from the Montreal Protocol. This has been investigated here by estimating the change in trend in MUSt, LSt and total $O_3$ over the IASI mission. Knowing that the length of the measurement period is an
important criterion for reducing systematic errors in the trend coefficient determination (i.e. the specific length of natural mode cycles should be covered to avoid any possible compensation effect between the covariates), the ozone response to each natural driver is taken from their adjustment over the whole IASI period (2008-2017; Section 3, Fig.5) and kept fixed. The linear trend term only is adjusted over variable measurement periods that all end in December 2017, by using a single linear iteratively reweighted least squares regression. The results are displayed in Fig. 12 for total, MUSt and LSt $O_3$ trends and their associated uncertainty (in the 95% confidence level) estimated from an annual regression. Note that the results are only shown for periods starting before 2015 as too short periods induce too large standard errors. In the LSt, a clear speeding up in the southern polar $O_3$ recovery is observed with amplitude ranging from $\sim 1.5 \pm 0.3$ DU/yr over 2008-2017 to $\sim 6.5 \pm 3.5$ DU/yr over 2015-2017 on latitudinal averages. Similarly, a speeding of the $O_3$ decline at northern mid-latitudes is found with values ranging between $\sim 0.7 \pm 0.2$ DU/yr over 2008-2017 and $\sim 2.5 \pm 1.5$ DU/yr over 2015-2017. In the MUSt, a weaker increase is observed over the year around $\sim 60^\circ$ latitude of the S.H. (from $\sim 1.0 \pm 0.1$ DU/yr over 2008-2017 to $\sim 3.5 \pm 2.0$ DU/yr over 2015-2017). Given the positive acceleration in both LSt and MUSt $O_3$ in the S.H., this is where the total $O_3$ record is characterized by the largest significant recovery (from $\sim 1.5 \pm 0.3$ DU/yr over 2008-2017 to $\sim 8.5 \pm 3.5$ DU/yr over 2015-2017).

Surprisingly, the speeding up in the $O_3$ decline in the N.H. is more pronounced in the total $O_3$ (from $\sim 1.0 \pm 0.2$ DU/yr over 2008-2017 to $\sim 3.5 \pm 1.5$ DU/yr over 2015-2017) compared to the LSt, despite the opposite trend in MUSt $O_3$. This could reflect the $O_3$ decline observed in the northern latitudes in the troposphere ($\sim 0.5$ DU/yr over 2008-2016; cfr Wespes et al., 2018) which is included in the total column.

Overall, the larger annual trend amplitudes derived over the last few years of total, MUSt and LSt $O_3$ measurements, compared with those derived from the whole studied period (Sections 4.1 and 4.2) and from earlier studies translate to trends that are categorically detectable over the covered period. This demonstrates that we progress towards a significant emergence and speeding up of $O_3$ recovery process in the stratosphere over the whole year.

5 Summary and conclusion

In this study, we have analysed the changes in stratospheric $O_3$ levels sounded by IASI-A by examining the global pictures of natural and anthropogenic sources of $O_3$ changes independently in the lower (150-25 hPa) and in the mid-upper stratosphere (<25 hPa). We have exploited to that end a multi-linear regression model that has been specifically developed for the analysis of stratospheric processes by including a series of drivers known to have a causal relationship to natural stratospheric $O_3$ variations, namely SF, QBO-10, QBO-30, NAO, AAO, ENSO, AERO, EPF and VPSC. We have first verified the representativeness of the $O_3$ response to each of these natural drivers and found for most of them characteristic patterns that are in line with the current knowledge of their dynamical influence on $O_3$ variations. One of the most important finding...
related to the O₃ driver analysis relied on the detection of a very clear time lag of 3 months in the O₃ response to ENSO in the LSt, with a pronounced contrast between an in-phase response in the extra-tropics and an out-of-phase response in the tropics, which is consistent with the ENSO-modulated dynamic. The 3-month lag observed in the lower stratosphere is also coherent with the 4- to 6-months lag detected from a previous study in the troposphere (Wespes et al., 2017) and further supports the stratospheric pathway suggested in Butler et al. (2014) to explain an ENSO influence over a long distance. The representativeness of the influence of the O₃ drivers was also confirmed on a seasonal basis (e.g. high ENSO-lag3 effect in spring, strong VPSC and AERO influences during the austral spring ...). These results have verified the performance of the regression models (annual and seasonal) to properly discriminate between natural and anthropogenic drivers of O₃ changes. The anthropogenic influence has been evaluated with the linear trend adjustment in the MLR. The main results are summarized as follows:

(i) A highly probable (within 95%) recovery process is derived from the annual MLR at high southern latitudes in the two stratospheric layers and, therefore, in the total column. It is also derived at high northern latitudes in the MUS. However, the effectiveness of the Montreal Protocol needs a longer period of IASI measurements for being unequivocally assured. Only ~2-3 additional years of IASI measurements are required in the MUS.

(ii) A likely O₃ decline (within 95%) is measured in the lower stratosphere at mid-latitudes, specifically, of the N.H., but it would require an additional ~7 years of IASI measurements to be categorically confirmed. Given the large contribution from the LSt to the total column (~45-50% from LSt vs ~35% from the MUS into TOCs), the decline is also calculated in total O₃ with ~4-6 years of additional measurements for being unequivocal.

(iii) A significant O₃ recovery is categorically found in the two stratospheric layers (>~35°N/S in the MUS and >~45°S in the LSt) as well as in the total column (>~45°S) during the winter/spring period, which confirms previous studies that showed healing in the Antarctic O₃ hole with a decrease of its areal extent. These results verify the efficiency of the Montreal protocol with the banning of ODS, through the stratosphere and in the total column, from only one single satellite dataset for the first time.

(iv) The decline observed in LSt O₃ at northern mid-latitudes is unequivocal over the available IASI measurements in winter/spring of the N.H. The exact reasons for that decline are still unknown but O₃ changes in the LSt are estimated to be mainly attributable to dynamics and it likely perturbs the healing of LSt and total O₃ in the N.H.
(v) A significant speeding up (within 95%) in that decline is measured in LSt and total O$_3$
over the last 10 years (from $-0.7\pm0.2$ DU/yr over 2008-2017 to $-2.5\pm1.5$ DU/yr
over 2015-2017 in LSt O$_3$ on latitudinal averages). It is of particular urgency to
understand its causes for apprehending its possible impact on the O$_3$ layer and on
future climate changes.

(vi) A clear and significant speeding up (within 95%) in stratospheric and total O$_3$ recovery
is measured at southern latitudes (e.g. from $-1.5\pm0.3$ DU/yr over 2008-2017 to
$-6.5\pm3.5$ DU/yr over 2015-2017 in the LSt) and translate to trend values that are
categorically detectable on an annual basis. It demonstrates that we are currently
progressing towards a substantial emergence in O$_3$ healing in the stratosphere over the
whole year in the S.H.

Additional years of IASI measurements that will be provided by the operational IASI-C (2018)
on flight and the upcoming IASI-Next Generation (IASI-NG) instrument onboard the Metop
Second Generation (Metop-SG) series of satellites would be of particular interest to confirm and
monitor, in a near future and over a longer period, the speeding up in the O$_3$ healing of the S.H.
as well as in the LSt O$_3$ decline measured at mid-latitudes of the N.H. IASI-NG/Metop-SG is
expected to extent the data record much further in the future (Clerbaux and Crevoisier, 2013;
Crevoisier et al., 2014).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proxy</th>
<th>Description (resolution)</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QBO$^{10}$</td>
<td>Quasi-Biennial Oscillation index at 10hPa and 30hPa (monthly)</td>
<td>Free University of Berlin: <a href="http://www.geo.fu-berlin.de/en/met/ag/strat/produkte/qbo/">www.geo.fu-berlin.de/en/met/ag/strat/produkte/qbo/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QBO$^{30}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPF</td>
<td>Vertical component of Eliassen-Palm flux crossing 100 hPa, averaged over 45°-75° for each hemisphere and accumulated over the 3 or 12 last months (see text for more details) (daily)</td>
<td>Calculated at ULB from the NCEP/NCAR gridded reanalysis: <a href="https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.html">https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AERO</td>
<td>Stratospheric volcanic aerosols; Vertically integrated sulfuric acid extinction coefficient at 12 µm over 150-25 hPa and 25-2hPa, averaged over the tropics and the extra-tropics north and south (see text for more details) (monthly)</td>
<td>Extinction coefficients processed at the Institute for Atmosphere and Climate (ETH Zurich, Switzerland; Thomason et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPSC</td>
<td>Volume of Polar Stratospheric Clouds for the N.H. and the S.H. multiplied by the equivalent effective stratospheric chlorine (EESC) and accumulated over the 3 or 12 last months (see text for details) (daily)</td>
<td>Processed at the Alfred Wagner Institute (AWI, Postdam, Germany; Ingo Wohltmann, private communication)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>Geopotential height at 200 hPa (daily)</td>
<td><a href="http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily/?levtype=pl">http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily/?levtype=pl</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Potential vorticity at 200 hPa (daily)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Global distribution of (a) daily O$_3$ columns (in Dobson Units - DU), (b) associated DOFS, (c) absolute deseasonalized anomalies (in %) averaged over January 2008 – December 2017 in the MUSt (Mid-Upper Stratosphere: >25 hPa; left panels) and in the LSt (Lower Stratosphere: 150-25hPa; right panels). (d) shows the correlation coefficients between the daily O$_3$ deseasonalized anomalies in the MUSt and in the LSt. Note that the scales are different between MUSt and LSt.
Fig. 2. Normalized proxies as a function of time for the period covering January 2008 to December 2017 for (a) the F10.7 cm solar radio flux (SF) and the equatorial winds at 10 hPa (QBO10) and 30 hPa (QBO30), respectively, (b) the upward components of the EP flux crossing 100 hPa accumulated over time and averaged over the 45°-75° latitude band for each hemisphere (EPF-N and EPF-S), (c) the extinction coefficients at 12 µm vertically integrated over the stratospheric O$_3$ column (from 150-2hPa) and averaged over the extra-tropics north and south (22.5°-90°N/S; AERO-N and AERO-S) and over the tropics (22.5°S-22.5°N; AERO-EQ) (the main volcanic eruptions are indicated), (d) the volume of polar stratospheric clouds multiplied by the equivalent effective stratospheric chlorine (EESC) and accumulated over time for the north and south hemispheres (VPSC-N and VPSC-S) and (e) the El Niño Southern (ENSO), North Atlantic (NAO) and Antarctic (AAO) oscillations.
Fig. 3: Latitudinal distribution of volcanic sulfuric acid extinction coefficient at 12 µm integrated over the stratosphere (top panel), over the middle stratosphere (middle panel) and the lower stratosphere (bottom panel) as a function of time from 2005 to 2017. The dataset consists of monthly mean aerosol data merged from SAGE, SAM, CALIPSO, OSIRIS, 2D-model-simulation and Photometer (processed at NASA Langley Research Center, USA and ETH Zurich, Switzerland).
Fig. 4: Latitudinal distribution of (a) MUST O$_3$ column and (b) LSt O$_3$ columns as a function of time observed from IASI (in DU; top panels), simulated by the annual regression model (in DU, second panels) and of the regression residuals (in DU; third panels). Global distribution of RMSE of the regression residual (in DU) and fraction of the variation in IASI data explained by the regression model calculated as $100 \times \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{O}_3^{\text{Fitted model}}(t)}}{\sigma_{\text{O}_3(t)}} \right)^2$ (in %; fourth panels).
Fig. 5: Global distribution of the annual regression coefficient estimates (in DU) for the main O$_3$ drivers in (a) MUSt and in (b) LSSt: QBO10, QBO30, SF, EPF, VPSC, AERO, NAO, AAO and ENSO (ENSO-lag3 for both LSSt and MUSt). Grey areas and crosses refer to non-significant grid cells in the 95% confidence limit. Note that the scales differ among the drivers.
Fig. 6: Latitudinal distributions (a) of fitting regression coefficients for various O$_3$ drivers (QBO10, QBO30, EPF, VPSC, AERO, AAO and ENSO-lag3; in DU) and (b) of 2σ O$_3$ variability due to variations in those drivers (in DU) from the annual MLR in MUS$t$ and LSt (left and right panels respectively). Vertical bars correspond (a) to the uncertainty of fitting coefficients at the 2σ level and (b) to the corresponding error contribution into O$_3$ variation. Note that the scales are different.
Fig. 7: Same as Fig. 6b but for (a) the austral winter and (b) the austral spring periods (JJA and SON, respectively) from the seasonal MLR. Note that the scales are different.
Fig. 8: Global distribution (a) of the estimated annual trends (in DU/yr; grey areas and crosses refer to non-significant grid cells in the 95% confidence limit), (b) of the IASI sensitivity to trend calculated as the differences between the RMSE of the annual MLR fits with and without linear trend term \([ (RMSE_{w/o\_LT} - RMSE_{with\_LT})/RMSE_{with\_LT} \times 100 ] \) (in %), (c) of the estimated year for a significant detection (with a probability of 90%) of a given trend of |1.5| DU/yr starting in January 2008 in MUSSt and LSt O3 columns (left and right panels, respectively). Note that the scales of panels (b) are different for the two layers.
Fig. 9: Global distribution of (a) the contribution (in %) of MUS and LS into the total O$_3$ (left and right panels respectively) averaged over January 2008 – December 2017, (b) fitted trends in total O$_3$ (in DU/yr; the grey areas and crosses refer to the non-significant grid cells in the 95% confidence limit) and (c) estimated year for the detection of a significant trend in total O$_3$ (with a probability of 90%) for a given trend of $|1.5|$ DU/yr starting on January 2008.
Fig. 10: Hemispheric distribution (a) in austral winter (JJA) and (b) in austral spring (SON) of the estimated trends in total, MUSt and LSt O₃ columns (left panels: top, middle and bottom, respectively; in DU/yr; the grey areas and crosses refer to the non-significant grid cells in the 95% confidence limits) and of the corresponding estimated year for a significant trend detection (with a probability of 90%) of a given trend of $|3|\text{DU/yr}$ starting at January 2008 (right panels: top, middle and bottom, respectively).
**Fig. 11:** Same as Fig. 10 but (a) for the winter (DJF) and (b) for the spring (MAM) of the northern Hemisphere.
Fig. 12: Evolution of estimated linear trend (DU/yr) and associated uncertainty (DU/yr; in the 95% confidence level) in (a) total, (b) MUSt and (c) LSt O₃ columns, as a function of the covered IASI measurement period ending in December 2017, with all natural contributions estimated from the whole IASI period (2008-2017). Note that the scales are different between the columns.
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