Shipborne observations reveal contrasting Arctic marine, Arctic terrestrial and Pacific marine aerosol properties
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Abstract

There are few shipborne observations addressing the factors influencing the relationships of the formation and growth of aerosol particles with cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) in remote marine environments. In this study, the physical properties of aerosol particles throughout the Arctic Ocean and Pacific Ocean were measured aboard the Korean ice breaker R/V Araon during the summer of 2017 for 25 days. A number of New Particle Formation (NPF) events and growth were frequently observed in both Arctic terrestrial and Arctic marine air masses. By striking contrast, NPF events were not detected in Pacific marine air masses. Three major aerosol categories are therefore discussed: (1) Arctic marine (aerosol number concentration CN₂₅: 413 ± 442 cm⁻³), (2) Arctic terrestrial (CN₂₅: 1622 ± 1450 cm⁻³) and (3) Pacific marine (CN₂₅: 397 ± 185 cm⁻³), following air mass back trajectory analysis. A major conclusion of this study is that not only that the Arctic Ocean is a major source of secondary aerosol formation relative to the Pacific Ocean; but also that open ocean sympagic and terrestrial influenced coastal ecosystems both contribute to shape aerosol size distributions. We suggest that terrestrial ecosystems - including river outflows and tundra - strongly affects aerosol emissions in the Arctic coastal areas, possibly more than anthropogenic Arctic emissions. The increased river discharge, tundra emissions and melting sea ice should be considered in future Arctic atmospheric composition and climate simulations. The average CCN concentrations at a supersaturation ratios of 0.4% were 35 ± 40 cm⁻³, 71 ± 47 cm⁻³, and 204 ± 87 cm⁻³ for Arctic marine, Arctic terrestrial, and Pacific marine aerosol
categories, respectively. Our results aim to help to evaluate how anthropogenic and natural atmospheric sources and processes affect the aerosol composition and cloud properties.

1. Introduction

The climate change experienced in the Arctic is more rapid than that occurring at mid-latitudes in a phenomenon known as Arctic amplification (ACIA, 2005). In the warming Arctic, the extent and thickness of sea-ice have dramatically decreased over the past few decades (Stroeve et al., 2012). It has been estimated that the Arctic may seasonally become sea ice-free Arctic in the next 30 years (Wang and Overland, 2009). Aerosol particles in the atmosphere are a major driver of the Arctic climate (IPCC, 2013), as they directly affect the climate through scattering and absorbing solar radiation (Stier et al., 2007), and indirectly by modifying the formation, properties, and lifetimes of clouds (Twomey, 1974). These direct and indirect effects are the leading uncertainty in current climate predictions. New particle formation (NPF), a predominant source of atmospheric particles, occurs through the formation of nanometer-sized molecular clusters (<~1 nm) (i.e., nucleation) and their subsequent growth into aerosol particles of a few nanometers (~1 – 10 nm) and larger (~>10 nm) (Kulmala et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2012). NPF can significantly increase the number of aerosol particles in the atmosphere. During summer, the Arctic is more isolated from anthropogenic influences (Arctic Haze) and experiences comparatively pristine background aerosol conditions (Heintzenberg et al., 2015; Law and Stohl, 2007). As the number concentrations of particles in the Arctic during summer are very low (of an order of ~10^2 cm^-3) (Merikanto et al., 2009), the physicochemical properties of aerosol particles in the Arctic atmosphere is highly sensitive to NPF.

NPF events have been frequently observed within a wide range of environmental conditions at various Arctic locations, such as Zeppelin (Tunved et al., 2013; Croft et al., 2016; Heintzenberg et al., 2017), Tiksi (Asmi et al., 2016), Alert (Croft et al., 2016), Station Nord (Nguyen et al., 2016), and Barrow (Kolesar et al., 2017), and from limited ship-based observations (Chang et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2015; Heintzenberg et al., 2015). The formation and growth of particles in the Arctic atmosphere are
strongly influenced by marine, coastal, marginal ice, and/or anthropogenic sources. Oceanic dimethyl sulfide (DMS) and other volatile organic precursors (such as isoprene, monoterpenes, and amines) play important roles in the formation and growth of new particles in the Arctic (Leaitch et al., 2013; Willis et al., 2016; Park et al., 2017; Abbatt et al., 2019; Mungall et al., 2016). In addition, iodine oxides significantly contribute to NPF in marine and coastal Arctic environments owing to emissions from marine microalgae at low tide or snowpack photochemistry in ice-covered regions (Allan et al., 2015; O’Dowd et al., 2002; Raso et al., 2017). Biogenic gaseous precursors released by the melting Arctic sea-ice margins have also been associated with NPF (Dall’Osto et al., 2017; Willis et al., 2018). Recent studies in Alaska have indicated that the formation and growth of particles are influenced by emissions from oil and gas extraction activities in Prudhoe Bay (Gunsch et al., 2017; Kolesar et al., 2017). Although several observations have been made in the Arctic under different environmental conditions, there are few detailed size distribution analyses of particle formation and growth events within the Arctic marine environment.

Several studies have attempted to investigate the impacts of NPF on the concentrations of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) (Willis et al., 2016; Rose et al., 2017). Model-based studies have predicted that a large fraction of CCN (up to 78% of CCN at 0.2 % supersaturation) in the global atmosphere results from atmospheric NPF and growth (Merikanto et al., 2009; Westervelt et al., 2014; Spracklen et al., 2008). Field observations have also observed substantial increases in the concentrations of CCN due to atmospheric nucleation in various environments (Pierce et al., 2012; Kalivitis et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2019). Several examples of increases in the CCN concentrations after a few hours from the beginning of NPF events were presented by Kim et al (2019) at King Sejong Station in Antarctic Peninsula, by Pierce et al. (2012) in a forested mountain valley in western Canada, by Kalivitis et al. (2015) at an eastern Mediterranean atmosphere in Grete, Greece, by Willis et al. (2016) in Arctic aircraft campaign in Nunavut, Canada, and by Rose et al. (2017) at the highest atmospheric observatory on Chacaltaya, Bolivia. However, due to the infrequency of aerosol measurements collected onboard ice breakers, very few studies have measured the simultaneous aerosol size distribution and CCN concentrations over the
In this study, the physical characteristics of aerosol particles over the Arctic and Pacific Oceans were investigated between August 26 and September 24, 2017, using aerosol particle monitoring instruments installed on the Korean ice breaker R/V Araon. Data of the aerosol size distribution, the concentrations of the total aerosol number (CN), black carbon (BC), and CCN were continuously collected using various aerosol instruments. The main aims of this study were to (1) investigate the frequency and characteristics of NPF and particle growth over the Arctic and Pacific Oceans, (2) determine the major sources that are associated with NPF based on backward air mass trajectory analysis, and (3) explore the potential contribution of NPF to the CCN concentrations in the remote marine environment.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Study area and ship tracks

Ambient atmospheric aerosol measurements were collected over the Arctic and Pacific Oceans onboard the ice breaker R/V Araon, operated by the Korea Polar Research Institute (KOPRI), Korea. The ship’s track is presented in Fig. 1. The cruises covered two main areas: the Arctic Ocean (including both Beaufort and Chukchi Seas) and the remote Northwest Pacific Ocean. The ship departed from Barrow, USA, on August 28, 2017, crossed the Beaufort (August 29-September 13, 2017) and Chukchi Seas (September 15, 2017), and reached Nome, USA, on September 16, 2017. The Beaufort Sea extends across the northern coasts of Alaska and the Northwest Territories of Canada. After completing the Arctic survey, the ship departed from Nome, USA, on September 18, 2017, crossed the Bering Sea, Sea of Okhotsk, and East Sea, and reached Busan, Korea, on September 28, 2017.

2.2. Atmospheric aerosol measurements

The aerosol sampling inlet was placed on the front deck of the ship (13 m above sea level), ahead of the ship’s engines to avoid any influences from the emissions of the ship’s exhaust. In addition, kitchen ventilation systems were connected by a plastic cylindrical pipe (~15 m length) and moved back on the
deck (far away from the sampling inlet) to minimize the potential effects of cooking emissions on the atmospheric measurements during the sampling periods. Aerosols were sampled through a stainless steel tube (inner diameter of 1/4 in, and length of ~1 m), which was connected to the various instruments by electrically conductive tubing to minimize particle losses in the sampling line.

The physical properties of the aerosols were measured with various aerosol instruments, including two condensation particle counters (TSI 3776 CPC and TSI 3772 CPC), two scanning mobility particle sizers (SMPS), an optical particle sizer (OPS), an aethalometer, and a cloud condensation nuclei counter (CCNC). The TSI 3776 CPC and TSI 3772 CPC measured the total number concentrations of particles larger than 2.5 and 10 nm every 1 sec, respectively. The aerosol sample flow rates of TSI 3776 CPC and TSI 3776 CPC were 1.5 and 1.0 lpm, respectively. The number size distributions of the particles were measured using the nano SMPS every 3 min (Differential mobility analyzer (DMA): TSI 3085, CPC: TSI 3776), covering a size range of 3 to 80 nm, and the standard SMPS (DMA: TSI 3081, CPC: TSI 3772) every 3 min, covering a size range of 10 to 300 nm. The aerosol and sheath flow rates of the nano-SMPS were 1.5 and 15 lpm, respectively; and those of the standard SMPS were 1.0 and 10 lpm, respectively. An OPS (TSI 3330) was used to determine the size distribution of particles in a range of 100 nm to 10 μm with a sample flow rate of 1.0 lpm. The BC concentration was measured using an aethalometer (AE22, Magee Scientific Co., USA) to assess the influence of anthropogenic sources (such as local pollution and ship emissions). The instrument uses the absorption of light at a wavelength of 880 nm by the ambient aerosols collected on a quartz filter tape to determine the BC concentration. The flow rate through a sharp-cut 2.5 μm cyclone (BGI, Inc., USA) was set to 5 lpm and the integration time was 5 min. The Droplet Measurement Technologies CCN counter (DMT CCN-100) was operated to measure the CCN number concentrations. The total flow rate in the CCN counter was 0.5 lpm, and the counter was operated at five different supersaturation ratios (SS) (0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1.0 %) every 30 min. The sample and sheath flow rates of the CCN counter were 0.05 and 0.45 lpm, respectively.

2.3. Identification of ship exhaust
To obtain a data set that reflects background aerosol loading, measurement data affected by the exhaust emissions of the ship’s engine should be excluded prior to further data analysis. For this, aerosol data were filtered based on the BC concentration, wind direction, wind speed, and total particle number concentration. The data with the following properties were discarded: (1) BC concentrations exceeding 100 ng m$^{-3}$, (2) relative wind direction against the ship’s heading between 110° and 260°, as this originates directly from the ship’s exhaust, (3) relative wind speed lower than 2 m sec$^{-1}$ as air masses under a calm environment could become contaminated due to local turbulence, and (4) the total particle number concentrations were particularly high (spike) and varied dramatically in a short time.

Ship plumes were clearly observed in the data collected during the campaign. Typically, the ship exhaust differs from the NPF events as the enhanced number concentration during the NPF events lasted for at least an hour with a low BC concentration (Ehn et al., 2010).

2.4. Backward air mass trajectory and satellite observations

The backward air mass trajectories were analyzed using version 4 of the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/) to examine their relationships with the physical characteristics of aerosol particles. The 2-day air mass back trajectories (48 h) were determined at hourly intervals from the ship’s position at an arrival height of 50 m to estimate the transport history of the air masses arriving at the observation site (Park et al., 2018). The potential origins of the aerosols were divided into three categories based on the retention time of the 2-day back trajectories over the three major domains: Arctic Ocean (including the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas, and sea-ice region), Pacific Ocean (including the Bering Sea and Sea of Okhotsk) and land (including Alaska and the eastern part of Siberia) (Fig. 1). The phytoplankton biomass was obtained by calculating the chlorophyll-$a$ concentration from the level-3 product of Aqua Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer at a 4 km resolution (Fig. S1). Geographical information over the ocean, land and sea-ice was obtained from the sea-ice index, which was provided by the National snow and Ice Data Center (NSIDC) (Fig. S2). Note that the sea-ice extent was defined as the area having an ice
concentration of ≥ 15% (Pang et al., 2018). Air masses that intensively passed over the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas and sea-ice region were categorized as Arctic Ocean originated air masses (i.e., > 50% retention over the ocean > 65°N and sea-ice region). Air masses that intensively passed over Northern Alaska and the eastern Siberia were potentially affected by the Arctic tundra and categorized as land originated air masses (i.e., > 50% retention over the land domain). Finally, air masses that traveled through the Bering Sea and Sea of Okhotsk were categorized as air masses originated from Pacific Ocean domain (i.e., > 50% retention over the ocean domain < 65°N).

2.5. Oceanic measurements

To examine the influence of oceanic conditions on NPF and growth, seawater samples were collected from sea surface at a depth of ~ 1 m by Niskin bottles. The sampling locations and methods have been described previously in more detailed (Park et al., 2019). In brief, concentrations of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were measured with a Shimadzu TOC-V high-temperature combustion total organic carbon analyzer. To identify the source and composition of DOC in surface seawater, three-dimensional excitation-emission matrixes (EEMs) were scanned using a fluorescence spectrometer (Varian, USA). The excitation wavelength range was between 250 and 500 nm, and emission between 280 and 600 nm.

In this study, the four major fluorescent components were classified into 4 groups; terrestrial humic substances peak (A) (EX: 260 nm, EM: 380–460 nm), the terrestrial fulvic substances peak (C) (EX: 350 nm, EM: 420–480 nm), the marine fulvic substances peak (M) (EX: 312 nm, EM: 380–420 nm), and the proteinaceous peak (T) (EX: 275 nm, EM: 340 nm) (Coble, 2007).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Overall particle number concentrations

Fig. 2a presents a time series of the 1 hour average total particle number concentration (CN) measured using TSI 3776 CPC and TSI 3772 CPC throughout the sampling periods. The number concentration of particles larger than 2.5 nm (CN_{2.5}) or 10 nm (CN_{10}) in the Arctic and Pacific marine
environments had a range of approximately three orders of magnitude ($\sim 10^1 - 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$). In most cases, the CN$_{2.5}$ and CN$_{10}$ concentrations were less than $\sim 2000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, with averages of 505 $\pm$ 280 and 492 $\pm$ 264 cm$^{-3}$, respectively, which were in agreement with those reported in previous studies conducted at other Arctic stations (Asmi et al., 2016; Burkart et al., 2017; Freud et al., 2017) and remote marine regions (O’Dowd et al., 2014; Sellegri et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2019; Jang et al., 2019; Yum et al., 1998; Hudson and Yum, 2002). For example, four years of observational data from the Arctic Climate Observatory in Tiksi, Russia, showed that the monthly median CN concentration ranged from $\sim 184 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in November to $\sim 724 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in July (Asmi et al., 2016). Furthermore, Sellegri et al. (2006) reported CN concentrations under clean marine sector conditions at Mace Head of a few hundreds of cm$^{-3}$ (e.g., $\sim 200 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in January and $\sim 450 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in June). Elevated CN$_{2.5}$ and CN$_{10}$ concentrations were concentrated over the period from September 13 to 20, when the ship sailed over Chukchi and Bering Seas. During this period, CN$_{2.5}$ and CN$_{10}$ concentrations exceeding $\sim 2000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ were frequently observed. The peak concentrations of aerosol particles were notable, as the CN$_{2.5}$ and CN$_{10}$ concentrations exceeded $\sim 6016$ and $\sim 5750 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, respectively.

To elucidate further details of the variations in CN$_{2.5}$ and CN$_{10}$, the particle size distributions measured with the nano SMPS, standard SMPS, and OPS were divided into four size groups: nucleation (3–20 nm), Aitken (20–100 nm), accumulation (100–300 nm), and coarse (> 300 nm from OPS), as shown in Fig. 2b–e. The average number concentrations of the nucleation-mode ($N_{\text{NUC}}$), Aitken-mode ($N_{\text{AIT}}$), accumulation-mode ($N_{\text{ACC}}$), and coarse-mode ($N_{\text{OPS}}$) particles were $169 \pm 142, 201 \pm 131, 40 \pm 17, and 4 \pm 2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, respectively. The temporal variations in $N_{\text{NUC}}$ and $N_{\text{AIT}}$ exhibited a distinct pattern, compared to that of $N_{\text{ACC}}$ and $N_{\text{OPS}}$. Overall, $N_{\text{NUC}}$ and $N_{\text{AIT}}$ concentrations larger than $\sim 1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ were observed from September 13 to 20 (e.g. the ship sailed over Chukchi and Bering Seas), whereas relatively high concentrations of $N_{\text{ACC}}$ and $N_{\text{OPS}}$ were observed from September 21 to 23 (e.g., the ship sailed over Sea of Okhotsk). As shown in Fig. 2b, sudden bursts of nucleation-mode particles occurred frequently, as indicated by a sudden increase in the $N_{\text{NUC}}$ concentration rising from tens to several thousands of cm$^{-3}$. Whenever the CN$_{2.5}$ concentration exceeded $\sim 2000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the $N_{\text{NUC}}$ concentration
exceeded ~600 cm$^{-3}$ (except for the results observed in the evening of September 18). In addition, the CN$_{2.5}$ concentration was strongly correlated with the N$_\text{NUC}$ concentration ($r^2 = 0.69$) (Fig. S3), suggesting that the high CN concentration was mainly derived from nucleation-mode particles. Instances of elevated N$_\text{NUC}$ occurred along the northern coast of Alaska (September 13 – 14, 2017), throughout the Chukchi Sea (September 15, 2017), near the Nome and Eastern Siberia (September 16 – 18, 2017), and throughout the Bering Sea (September 19 – 20, 2017). During the cruises, the satellite-derived chlorophyll-α concentration data indicated strong biological activity over the Chukchi and Bering Seas, as shown in Fig. S1. Thus, the high occurrence of nucleation-mode particles may be related to multiple processes that influence the formation of secondary aerosols (e.g., oceanic biological activities, regional anthropogenic emissions on land (Alaska or eastern Siberia), and terrestrial sources in the tundra ecosystems of Alaska).

3.2. Case studies

As mentioned in Section 3.1, significant increases in N$_\text{NUC}$ were frequently observed during the cruise (Fig. 2 b). Typically, N$_\text{NUC}$ is used to indicate the presence of newly formed particles produced by gas-to-particle conversion (i.e., secondary aerosol formation) (Asmi et al., 2016; Burkart et al., 2017). Here, an NPF event was defined as a sharp increase in the N$_\text{NUC}$ with elevated CN$_{2.5}$ that lasted for at least one hour. Fig. 3 presents contour plots of the size distributions measured using nano SMPS and standard SMPS. This strong NPF and growth event occurred over the Chukchi and Bering Seas, which border the western and northern sides of Alaska, suggesting that there may be a substantial source of precursors in this region. Bursts of the smallest particles at the lowest detectable sizes (~2.5 nm) were not observed, however, we hypothesize that, during the NPF event, particle formation occurred elsewhere and that subsequent horizontal extension caused the particles to reach the sampling site. Previously, NPF events have been identified on the regional scale in several locations around the world (Kerminen et al., 2018; Németh and Salma, 2014; Vana et al., 2004; Väätänen et al., 2013). For instances, Németh and Salma (2014) found that a nucleating air mass in regional NPF events may originate
horizontally as far as several hundreds of kilometers (~400 or 700 km) away from the sampling site. In this section, case studies are discussed, including (i) marine Arctic NPF event, (ii) terrestrial Arctic NPF event, and (iii) pacific marine aerosol categories. During these temporal periods, the influences of the origins and pathways of air masses on the characteristics of particle formation and growth were investigated.

3.2.1. Open ocean marine Arctic NPF event case study

The marine Arctic NPF event was observed on September 3, 2017, and time series plots of the particle size distribution and air mass origins are presented in Fig. 4. \( N_{\text{NUC}} \) increased from 77 cm\(^{-3}\) to 757 cm\(^{-3}\), while \( N_{\text{AIT}} \) varied little. The elevated number concentration of nucleation-mode particles lasted for over five hours and then disappeared. Geometric mean diameter (GMD) varied from 14.6 to 18.2 nm with an average of 16.3 nm, indicating that particle growth hardly occurred. During the day, air masses traveled over the Arctic Ocean (explicitly, 47.6, 0 and 0.4 h over the Arctic Ocean, Pacific Ocean and land domain, respectively), and have been categorized as Arctic Ocean originated air masses.

As shown in Fig. S1, the satellite-derived chlorophyll-\( a \) concentration indicated a relatively high level of biological activity in the ocean during the time period focused upon in this study. It was noteworthy that the monthly mean chlorophyll concentration in the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas (2.24 ± 3.44 mg m\(^{-3}\); 65°N–74°N and 170°E–120°W) was approximately 3-fold greater than that estimated in the Pacific Ocean including the Bering Sea and the Sea of Okhotsk (0.83 ± 1.30 mg m\(^{-3}\); 40°N–65°N and 145°E–168°W) (Fig. S1). Moreover, the marginal ice zone is commonly associated with intense algae blooms during the melting season, therefore, significant emissions of biogenic trace gases such as DMS have been detected in the sea-ice edge (Levasseur, 2013;Oziel et al., 2017). Accordingly, as our measurements were collected over the Arctic Ocean onboard the ice breaker, marine biogenic sources could be considered as an important factor inducing NPF events.

Fig. 4d shows Solar Zenith Angle (SZA) data that can be used as a proxy for solar energy reaching the ground surface. We found that the NPF event occurred when the sun was below the horizon (i.e.,
Arctic nighttime nucleation). Typically, nucleation trends to take place preferably with high solar irradiation during the daytime (Kulmala et al., 2004). In several locations, however, also nighttime nucleation has been observed at Tumbarumba in Australian (Suni et al., 2008), at Värriö measurement station in Finnish Lapland (Vehkamäki et al., 2004), and at a subarctic site in northern Sweden (~14 km east of Abisko) (Svenningsson et al., 2008). The possible explanation for nighttime events is that the actual formation and growth occurred even during daylight, but very slow growth in the Arctic and marine atmosphere allowed to detect the particles (~ 8 nm) only after sunset (Vehkamäki et al., 2004). Suni et al. (2008) reported that 32% of strong nighttime nucleation events (2.5 times as frequent as daytime nucleation event) were appeared in the presence of a very efficient ion source such as the strong radon efflux from the Tumbarumba soil. Due to their rarity, the major mechanisms for nocturnal aerosol production are still unclear and require more study.

3.2.2. Open ocean terrestrial Arctic NPF event case study

The terrestrial Arctic NPF event was observed during September 13–14 2017. As shown in Fig. 5, significant strong NPF events occurred frequently during this period. The number concentration of total particles increased considerably, as a CN_{2.5} value exceeding ~6016 cm^{-3} was observed during this event. In addition, the average concentrations of N_{NUC} and N_{AIT} during the terrestrial Arctic NPF were 931 ± 222 and 1127 ± 380 cm^{-3}, respectively. This indicates that high CN_{2.5} concentration mainly contributed by nucleation and Aitken-mode particles (45 and 54% of the size distribution for nucleation-mode and Aitken-mode particles, respectively). GMD increased from 13.9 to 33.3 nm, indicating that the nucleation-mode particles subsequently increased in size. The formation and growth of aerosol particles were observed during the daytime (Fig. 5d), suggesting that photochemistry is involved. During this period, air masses heavily influenced by northern Alaska. The average retention times of the 2-day back trajectories arriving at the ship position over the northern Alaska, Arctic Ocean and Pacific Ocean were 40.8, 7.2 and 0 h, respectively (Fig. 5e). It can be seen that the photochemical reactions of precursor gases (e.g., volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as isoprene, monoterpenes, and sesquiterpenes)
emitted by terrestrial ecosystems in Alaska were associated with new particle formation and growth (Schollert et al., 2014; TAPE et al., 2006; Kolesar et al., 2017; Ström et al., 2003).

3.2.3. Pacific marine aerosol case study

A typical aerosol scenario for Pacific marine air masses was observed on September 21–22, 2017, when the air masses passed over mainly the Pacific Ocean (including the Bering Sea and Sea of Okhotsk) (explicitly, 0, 47.9 and 0.1 h over the Arctic Ocean, Pacific Ocean and land domain, respectively) (Fig. 1a). As shown in Fig. 6, the aerosol number concentrations exhibited a bimodal size distribution, peaking at size ranges of 30–80 nm (Aitken mode) and 100–300 nm (accumulation mode), respectively. In contrast, the concentrations of nucleation-mode particles were very low. For example, the concentration of \(N_{\text{NUC}}\) ranged from 1 to 38 cm\(^{-3}\) with an average of 8 ± 4 cm\(^{-3}\). We also observed \(CN_{2.5}\) values at the background level of ~460 ± 70 cm\(^{-3}\), which are consistent with the measurements collected at a coastal Antarctic station during summer (~600 cm\(^{-3}\)) (Kim et al., 2017) and from flight-based measurements over the Arctic Ocean (~300 cm\(^{-3}\)) (Burkart et al., 2017).

3.3. Overview of aerosol properties according to different air mass back trajectories

Air masses comprising marine Pacific along with marine and terrestrial Arctic air masses were encountered during the campaign. In the section 3.2, two case studies of NPF events (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5) were found in the Arctic atmosphere. As stressed in Willis et al., (2018), NPF and growth is frequently observed in the boundary layer in the both Arctic open ocean and coastal regions. These events seem to occur more frequently than lower-latitude marine boundary layers (Quinn and Bates, 2011); there are multiple reasons including summer 24-h high solar radiation, low condensation sink, low temperature and low mixing of surface emissions, as recently reviewed in Abbatt et al. (2019). Our study also confirmed that any NPF was not detected during the Pacific transect.

In this section, we present an overall meteorological air mass summary of the open ocean field study, categorizing it into three synoptic period types: Pacific marine, Arctic marine and Arctic terrestrial.
These classifications do not represent specific air mass back trajectories analysis, but they can mainly represent air masses that have been travelled over these three distinct geographical regions (section 2.4). Average size distributions for the three selected periods in the different air masses are shown in Fig. 7.

In addition, a summary of total number concentrations of particles for these periods is included in Table 1.

- **Arctic Marine.** A trimodal distribution was seen at 18 ± 3 nm, 53 ± 6 nm and 150 ± 6 nm. The first mode is due to NPF arriving from open pack sea ice and open ocean Arctic regions, as discussed in Section 3.2.1 where a case study is presented. The Aitken mode (~53 nm) is remarkably similar to the Pacific Ocean aerosol size distribution and to previous studies detected in the Arctic regions (Tunved et al., 2013; Freud et al., 2017; Dall'Osto et al., 2019). The largest mode at ~150 nm may be due to a combination of primary and secondary aerosol components.

- **Arctic terrestrial.** A bimodal distribution is seen with two main modes at 24 ± 3 nm and 151 ± 3 nm, respectively. The nucleation and Aitken modes are much higher than the accumulation mode, suggesting that NPF governs the aerosol processes in this coastal region at this time of the year.

- **Pacific marine.** The Pacific Ocean aerosol size distributions showed a trimodal size distribution at 56 ± 3 nm, 130 ± 3 nm and 220 ± 6 nm. The lowest peak at ~56 nm (i.e., Aitken mode) is likely a combination of primary and secondary marine aerosol components, whereas the largest peak at ~220 nm might be caused by cloud processing and aged aerosols. The mode at ~130 nm could originate from primary sea spray aerosols in the Pacific atmosphere (Quinn et al., 2015). When the distribution is fitted with log-normal modes, the inter-modal minimum is calculated to be ~120 nm - often known as Hoppel minimum as a signature of cloud processing (Hoppel et al., 1994) - although, it is difficult to draw a firm conclusion due to the overlap with the third mode at ~130 nm.

This study shows that aerosol originating from higher and lower marine latitudes – although both
being treated as marine air masses - have very different features, as pointed out in several previous studies (Dall'Osto et al., 2010; Frossard et al., 2014). A key conclusion of this study is that we also need to separate different bioregions in the Arctic, especially given the current results showing very different aerosol size distributions in the Arctic study areas (Fig. 7; Arctic marine and Arctic terrestrial). The reasons for the much higher aerosol concentrations near the coast of Alaska relative to the open ocean sympagic and pelagic regions may be multiple. We discuss at least two major sources may contribute to the high aerosol concentrations recorded.

The first source of aerosols in the terrestrial Arctic air masses may be due to anthropogenic sources. Due to sea ice retreat and better technologies, the Arctic is now easily accessible to human activities, including oil and gas extraction (Law and Stohl, 2007; Peters et al., 2011). These Arctic oil fields can emit the large amounts of aerosols, and with on-going Arctic development, such local combustion emissions may increase in the future, possibly affecting local air quality (Gunsch et al., 2017; Schmale et al., 2018a). In fact, some NPF events were reported within the North Slope of Alaska (e.g., Prudhoe Bay oil fields) during August and September 2016 at Oliktok Point Alaska. This observation was suggested to be linked with oil fields emissions (Kolesar et al., 2017). However, our measurements were conducted in the open ocean, quite far from any land oil field local emissions. BC data were collected as shown in Fig. 8; they revealed very high standard deviations due to high detection limit of the instrument used relative to the concentrations detected. However, no remarkable differences can be seen, all pointing to pristine clean marine air masses with BC values of approximately $20 \pm 10$ ng m$^{-3}$. The two Arctic categories (Marine and Terrestrial) shows similar BC values, whereas higher values can be seen for the Pacific marine aerosol category, probably due to contamination from nearby Asian high pollutant sources.

The second source of aerosol in the terrestrial Arctic air masses may be due to terrestrial natural sources. We believe that this may be a much more probable reason. The Arctic Ocean is submerged under areas of relatively shallow water known as a shelf sea for ~50% of its area. It is a relatively small ocean, characterized by pronounced riverine influence and a complex hydrography. Up to 11% of the
entire global river discharge ends up in the Arctic Ocean (Shiklomanov et al., 2000), which is only 1% of the global ocean volume. The discharge of freshwater is increasing (Peterson et al., 2002), impacting coastal salinity and carbon cycle. Indeed, this continental runoff is a major source of freshwater, nutrients and terrigenous material to the Arctic Ocean (Benner et al., 2005; Fichot et al., 2013; Massicotte et al., 2017). The warming climate in the region is causing permafrost degradation, alterations to regional hydrology and shifting amounts and composition of dissolved organic matter (DOM) transported by streams and rivers (Mann et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017). Overall, there is a considerable spatial and temporal heterogeneity in the distribution of the DOC in the Arctic, owing to strong biological and physicochemical processes. It is important to remember that sea ice formation and melting also affects the concentrations and distributions of DOC, although its impact is still difficult to resolve (Fichot et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2012).

In a recent paper (Park et al., 2019), we suggested that the large amount of freshwater from river runoff may have a substantial impact on primary aerosol production mechanisms, possibly affecting the cloud radiative forcing. We showed that the Artic riverine organic matter can be directly emitted from surface seawater into the atmosphere via bubble bursting (Park et al., 2019). The high amount of DOC populating the sea-surface microlayer (SML) in the Arctic waters - including UV absorbing humic substances - can also produce VOCs (Ciuraru et al., 2015; Fu et al., 2015), which are known precursors of secondary organic aerosols. Recently, Mungall et al. (2017) reported that the marine microlayer in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago is a source of oxidized VOCs (OVOCs), which could be an important source of biogenic secondary organic aerosol (Croft et al., 2019). Previous studies also reported fluorescent water-soluble organic aerosols in the High Arctic atmosphere (Fu et al., 2015). It is worth noting that terrestrial VOCs from tundra and lakes at elevated concentrations were reported (Potosnak et al., 2013; Lindwall et al., 2016; Steinke et al., 2018).

Fig. 9 shows DOC concentrations from water samples taken in the areas where the NPF marine and terrestrial case studies (Section 3.2.1 and 3.2.2) were detected. It is clear that as much as twice higher concentrations are seen for the coastal marine areas, relative to the open ocean marine regions. The
origin of this organic matter can be obtained by the FDOM analysis. Fig. 9 (bottom) shows specific peaks attributed to different chemical features. The ratio of terrestrial humic substances (peak A) was 3.5 for the terrestrial/marine samples. By striking contrast, marine fulvic substances (peak M) and proteinaceous (peak T) had a ratio of 0.45 and 0.27, respectively, showing two very distinct chemical compounds. This suggests that coastal oceanic water enriched in river organic material as well as fresh water tundra and lake may be a source of VOC (both from biotic and abiotic emission processes) that may be responsible for the high secondary aerosols detected near these areas.

3.4. Impact on CCN number concentrations

Fig. 10a illustrates the CCN concentrations for the three selected periods under different supersaturation conditions. For a given SS of 0.4%, CCN concentrations for Arctic marine, Arctic terrestrial and Pacific marine air masses were $35 \pm 40 \, \text{cm}^3$, $71 \pm 47 \, \text{cm}^3$, and $204 \pm 87 \, \text{cm}^3$, respectively. Higher concentrations of CCN were observed when the air mass originated from the Pacific marine for a SS of 0.2%–1.0%. This may have occurred due to the differences in the CCN sources between the Arctic and Pacific Oceans. It was noted that that accumulation and coarse-mode particles, which are predominant over the Pacific Ocean (Fig. 7), can easily act as CCN. Our results agreed well with values reported in previous studies that measured CCN at a ground-based Arctic station (Jung et al., 2018), but was slightly higher than those measured from high-Arctic expeditions (Leck et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2011; Mauritsen et al., 2011). For example, Jung et al. (2018) reported seasonal variations in the CCN concentration over seven years (2007–2013) at the Zeppelin station, and found that the monthly mean CCN concentrations ranged from $17 \, \text{cm}^3$ in October 2007 to $198 \, \text{cm}^3$ in March 2008 at a SS value of 0.4%. However, Mauritsen et al. (2011) observed CCN concentrations lower than $\sim 100 \, \text{cm}^3$ at five different supersaturations ($SS = 0.10\%, 0.15\%, 0.20\%, 0.41\%, \text{and} 0.73\%$), with median values ranging from 15 to $50 \, \text{cm}^3$, in four High Arctic expeditions during the Arctic Summer Cloud Ocean Study. Such values were also in line with the long term measurement at an Arctic station in Barrow, which indicated that the median CCN concentrations at 0.2% SS was smaller than
We also compared CCN activity and critical diameter for the three selected periods, as shown in Fig. 10b and c. The CCN activity is defined as the ratio of the number concentration of particles that activated to become CCN at a given supersaturation to the total number concentration of particles larger than 2.5 nm (CN$_{2.5}$). The CCN activity followed a similar pattern as the CCN concentration. Furthermore, the critical diameter ($D_c$) was estimated using the measured aerosol size distribution, CN$_{2.5}$, and CCN concentrations with a time resolution of 1 h, as described by Furutani et al., (2014). The $D_c$ at a SS of 0.4% was found to be 103 ± 43 nm, 83 ± 18 nm, and 136 ± 67 nm for Arctic marine, Arctic terrestrial, and Pacific marine periods, respectively. These values are comparable to previous studies obtained in the Arctic and subarctic regions. For instance, Jaatinen et al. (2014) reported that the $D_c$ value of 98 ± 16 nm (SS = 0.4%) from the subarctic area of Finland (Pallas-Sodankylä Global Atmospheric Watch station). Anttila et al. (2012) also showed that a $D_c$ value was in the range of 90 to 120 nm at a SS of 0.4% during the same filed campaign as reported in Jaatinen et al. (2014). For a maximum SS between 0.18 and 0.26%, $D_c$ varied between 110 and 140 nm at the same measurement sites.

4. Summary and conclusions

This study presents the physical properties of aerosol particles measured aboard the R/V Araon ice-breaker during 2017 throughout the Arctic and Pacific Oceans. The CN$_{2.5}$ value commonly ranged between 13 and 2,000 cm$^{-3}$ with an average of 505 ± 280 cm$^{-3}$. An elevated CN$_{2.5}$ concentration reaching ~6,016 cm$^{-3}$ was observed from 13 September to 20 September. The temporal variations in the CN$_{2.5}$ concentration followed a similar pattern to those of $N_{NUA}$ and $N_{AIT}$. We also found that the CN$_{2.5}$ concentration was strongly correlated with $N_{NUA}$ ($r^2 = 0.69$), suggesting that CN was mainly derived from nucleation-mode particles.

NPF events caused by gas-to-particle conversion frequently occurred over the Arctic Ocean. Overall, two major NPF sources (i.e., Arctic marine and Arctic terrestrial) were identified based on the
backward air mass trajectory analysis. NPF events were associated with Arctic marine air masses, indicating the impact of marine biogenic emissions from the Arctic Ocean. Strong NPF events with particle growth were associated with Arctic terrestrial air masses, which may be due to the biogenic precursor gases emitted by terrestrial ecosystems including river discharge and Alaskan tundra in the Arctic coastal areas. In contrast, relatively larger particles with broad Aitken and accumulation-mode peaks were observed over the Pacific Ocean. Our study confirmed that any NPF was not detected during the Pacific transect. We also compared the average CCN concentrations for each of the cases. Our data showed that the impact of aerosols on CCN concentrations (SS = 0.4%) was significant: 35 ± 40 cm$^{-3}$, 71 ± 47 cm$^{-3}$, and 204 ± 87 cm$^{-3}$ for Arctic marine, Arctic terrestrial, and Pacific marine periods, respectively. Our interpreted data showed that river outflows and tundra strongly influence Arctic aerosol properties. Further detailed measurements of the chemical characteristics of marine aerosols are required to provide more direct evidence for the contribution of biogenic precursors to the NPF and CCN in the remote Arctic atmosphere.

Arctic areas are currently experiencing drastic climate change, with air temperatures increasing at twice the rate of the global average. This warming is causing clear changes, such as the increases in biogenic emissions from tundra vegetation and changes in vegetation cover (Faubert et al., 2010; Peñuelas and Staudt, 2010; Potosnak et al., 2013; Lindwall et al., 2016). Lindwall et al. (2016) observed a 280% increase in VOC emissions relative to the ambient level in response to a 4 °C increase in the summer temperature of the Subarctic. Increases in VOC emissions from river discharge and tundra vegetation in the Arctic are critical factors that induce NPF and particle growth events, which may impact the CCN concentrations during the Arctic summer.
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Table 1. A summary of total number concentrations of particles measured with TSI 3776 CPC, TSI 3772 CPC, Standard SMPS, and nano SMPS for the three selected periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Periods</th>
<th>Pacific Ocean</th>
<th>Arctic Marine</th>
<th>Arctic Terrestrial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CN$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>397 ± 185 cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>413 ± 442 cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1622 ± 1450 cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN$_{10}$</td>
<td>384 ± 86 cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>414 ± 452 cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1396 ± 1279 cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN$_{2.5-10}$</td>
<td>35 ± 195 cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>62 ± 130 cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>263 ± 318 cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_{\text{Standard SMPS}}$</td>
<td>224 ± 83 cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>204 ± 215 cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>739 ± 819 cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_{\text{nano SMPS}}$</td>
<td>117 ± 234 cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>159 ± 194 cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>749 ± 864 cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Ship tracks across (a) the Arctic (8/28/2017−9/18/2017) and Pacific Oceans (9/18/2017−9/25/2017) and (b) zoom into the dotted black square region in Fig. 1a. A dotted red line including star symbols represents ship tracks during the entire cruise. The star symbols represent the daily ship location at midnight. Light blue, blue and brown lines denote the 2-day air mass trajectories categorized into three main domains such as Arctic Ocean, Pacific Ocean, and land, respectively.
Figure 2. Time series of the 1 hour average (a) total aerosol (CN$_{2.5}$ and CN$_{10}$), (b) nucleation-mode (3 – 20 nm) ($N_{\text{NUC}}$), (c) Aitken-mode (20 – 100 nm) ($N_{\text{AIT}}$), (d) accumulation-mode (100 – 300 nm) ($N_{\text{ACC}}$), and (e) coarse-mode (> 300 nm from OPS) ($N_{\text{OPS}}$) number concentrations. The CN$_{2.5}$ and CN$_{10}$ represent total number concentration of particles larger than 2.5 and 10 nm, respectively.
Figure 3. Contour plots of the size distributions measured using (a) standard and (b) nano SMPS and (c) the residence time of air masses that passed over the Arctic Ocean, Pacific Ocean, and land throughout the sampling periods.
Figure 4. Example of a case-I event observed on 3 September 2017. From top to bottom, the parameters are: (a) the total number concentration of particles smaller than 2.5 nm, nucleation-mode particles, and Aitken-mode particles; (b) a time series of the standard SMPS size distribution and GMD; (c) a time series of the nano SMPS size; (d) Solar Zenith Angle; (e) the residence time of air masses that passed over the ocean, land, and sea-ice areas.
Figure 5. Example of a case II event that was observed on September 13−14, 2017. From top to bottom, the parameters are: (a) the total number concentration of particles smaller than 2.5 nm, nucleation-mode particles, and Aitken-mode particles; (b) a time series of the standard SMPS size distribution and GMD; (c) a time series of the nano SMPS size; (d) Solar Zenith Angle; (e) the residence time of air masses that passed over the ocean, land, and sea-ice areas.
Figure 6. Example of a case III event that was observed on September 21–22 2017. From top to bottom, the parameters are: (a) the total number concentration of particles smaller than 2.5 nm, nucleation-mode particles, and Aitken-mode particles; (b) a time series of the standard SMPS size distribution and GMD; (c) a time series of the nano SMPS size; (d) Solar Zenith Angle; (e) the residence time of air masses that passed over the ocean, land, and sea-ice areas.
Figure 7. Average size distributions of aerosol particles for Arctic marine, Arctic terrestrial and Pacific marine air masses.
Figure 8. Average mass concentrations of black carbon for each air mass.
Figure 9. Average DOC concentrations for surface seawater samples collected during this cruise, simultaneously during the atmospheric measurements herein reported. Peak A, M, and T represent terrestrial-humic substances, marine-fulvic substances, and protein, respectively.
Figure 10. Comparisons of (a) CCN number concentrations, (b) CCN activity, and (c) critical diameter for Arctic marine, Arctic terrestrial and Pacific marine air masses under different supersaturation conditions. The error bars represent a standard deviation.