Open fires in Greenland: an unusual event and its impact on the albedo of the Greenland Ice Sheet
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Abstract

Highly unusual open fires burned in Western Greenland between 31 July and 21 August 2017, after a period of warm, dry and sunny weather. The fires burned on peat lands that became vulnerable to fires by permafrost thawing. We used several satellite data sets to estimate that the total area burned was about 2345 hectares. Based on assumptions of typical burn depths and BC emission factors for peat fires, we estimate that the fires consumed a fuel amount of about 117 kt C and produced BC emissions of about 23.5 t. We used the Lagrangian particle dispersion model to simulate the atmospheric BC transport and deposition. We find that the smoke plumes were often pushed towards the Greenland Ice Sheet by westerly winds and thus a large fraction of the BC emissions (7 t or 30%) was deposited on snow or ice covered surfaces. The calculated BC deposition was small compared to BC deposition from global sources, but not entirely negligible. Analysis of aerosol optical depth data from three sites in Western Greenland in August 2017 showed strong influence of forest fire plumes from Canada, but little impact of the Greenland fires. Nevertheless, CALIOP lidar data showed that our model captured very effectively the presence and structure of the plume from the Greenland fires. The albedo changes and instantaneous surface radiative forcing in Greenland due to the fire BC emissions were estimated with the SNICAR model and the uvspec model from the libRadtran radiative transfer software package. We estimate that the maximum albedo change due to the BC deposition was about 0.006, too small to be measured by satellites or other means. The average instantaneous surface radiative forcing over Greenland at noon on 31 August was 0.03 W m⁻², with locally occurring maximum values of 0.63 W m⁻². The average value is at least an order of magnitude smaller than the radiative forcing due to BC from other sources. Overall, the fires burning in Greenland in summer of 2017 had little impact on BC deposition on the Greenland Ice Sheet, causing almost negligible extra radiative forcing. This was due to the – in a global context – still rather small size of the fires. However, the very large fraction of the BC emissions deposited on the Greenland Ice Sheet makes these fires very efficient climate forcers on a per unit emission basis. If the expected further warming of Greenland produces much larger fires in the future, this could indeed cause substantial albedo changes and thus lead to accelerated melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet. The fires burning in 2017 may be a harbinger of such future changes.
1 Introduction

In August 2017 public media reported unprecedented fire events in Western Greenland (BBC News, 2017; New Scientist Magazine, 2017). These events were documented with airborne photographs (SERMITSIAQ, 2017) and satellite images (NASA, 2017b) and raised public concerns about the effects of climate change and possible impacts of soot emissions on ice melting. Historically, wildfires have occurred infrequently on Greenland, because three-quarters of the island is covered by a permanent ice sheet and permafrost is found on most of the ice-free land (Abdalati and Steffen, 2001). Permafrost, or permanently frozen soil, lies under a several meters thick “active” soil layer that thaws seasonally. But in certain areas, where the permafrost layer starts melting, it can expose peat, a material consisting of only partially decomposed vegetation that forms in wetlands over the course of hundreds of years or longer. Peatlands, also known as bogs and moors, are the earliest stage in the formation of coal. Globally, the amount of carbon stored in peats exceeds that stored in vegetation and is similar in size to the current atmospheric carbon pool (Turetsky et al., 2014). When peatlands dry, they are often affected by fires burning into the peat layers. Peat fires are difficult to extinguish and they often burn until all the organic matter is consumed. Smoldering peat fires already are the largest fires on Earth in terms of their carbon footprint (Turetsky et al., 2014). For Greenland, it has been suggested that degradation of peat will accelerate towards 2080 (Daanen et al., 2011) and that the area affected by the fires in August 2017 is particularly vulnerable to permafrost thawing (Daanen et al., 2011).

Fires in the high northern latitudes release significant amounts of CO₂, CH₄, N₂O and black carbon (BC), and their emissions are often transported into Arctic regions (Cofer III et al., 1991; Hao et al., 2016a; Hao and Ward, 1993; Shi et al., 2015). BC is the most strongly light-absorbing component of the atmospheric aerosol (Bond et al., 2013) and is formed by the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels, biofuels, and biomass. It is important due to its human health (Lelieveld et al., 2015) and climate impacts (Sand et al., 2015), and its atmospheric lifetime of 3–11 days (Bond et al., 2013) facilitates transport over long distances (Forster et al., 2001; Stohl et al., 2006). BC from mid-latitude sources can thus reach remote areas such as the Arctic. BC absorbs solar radiation in the atmosphere and has a significant impact on cloud formation. It also decreases surface albedo when deposited on ice and snow and can accelerate melting processes (Hansen and Nazarenko, 2004). This raises particular concerns about the effect of fires burning in the immediate vicinity of the Greenland Ice Sheet. If a large fraction of the BC emitted by such fires is deposited on the ice, these fires
may be extremely effective in further enhancing the already accelerating melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet (AMAP, 2017). BC emissions from such high latitude fires may also have a substantial effect on the albedo of sea ice.

Here we study transport and deposition of BC over the Greenland Ice Sheet from the fires that occurred in Western Greenland in August 2017, which probably represent the largest fires that have occurred on Greenland in modern times. Since the fires occurred in an area entirely lacking ground-based observations, we use satellite data and a Lagrangian atmospheric dispersion model for our study.

2 Methods

2.1 Definition of burned area

Remote sensing has been useful for delineating fire perimeters, characterizing burn severity and planning post-fire restoration activities in different regions. The use of satellite imaging is particularly important for fire monitoring in remote areas of the Arctic due to difficult ground access. Coordinates of fire locations (hot spots) were downloaded from FIRMS (Fire Information for Resource Management System) (NASA, 2017a). For the mapping of the burned area, Sentinel 2A images were used. To delineate fire perimeters and define burn severity precisely, we used Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) together with Sentinel 1A and Sentinel 2A images (see Table 1) by applying the differenced Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR) (Key and Benson, 2006):

\[ dNBR = NBR_{\text{pre--fire}} - NBR_{\text{post--fire}} \] (Eq. 1)

Normalized burn ratios for pre- \((NBR_{\text{pre--fire}})\) and postfire \((NBR_{\text{post--fire}})\) images from Sentinel 2A can be calculated using radiances for near- and shortwave infrared bands (bands 8 (NIR) and 12 (SWIR2) at 0.835 \(\mu\)m and 2.202 \(\mu\)m, respectively):

\[ NBR = \frac{1000 (NIR - \text{SWIR2})}{NIR + \text{SWIR2}} \] (Eq. 2)

The methodology of applying a dNBR index to assess the impact of fires has been used in forests of the Northern and Western USA (French et al., 2008; Key and Benson, 2006) and elsewhere (Escuin et al., 2008; Sunderman and Weisberg, 2011).

The burned severity mosaics were created using Sentinel 2A images corrected for atmospheric scattering (see Chavez, 1988). Pre- and post-fire images were used to create cloudless mosaics for the area where the Greenland fires burned. A Maximum Value
Composite (MVC) procedure (Holben, 1986) was used to select pixels from each band that were not cloud covered and have a high value of Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). Additional classification rules were imposed to map burn severity more precisely, due to the sensitivity of NBR to changes in vegetation and soil moisture. Manually delineated fire perimeters were applied and all areas outside were classified as unburned. We have used common dNBR severity levels (Key and Benson, 2006) that are presented in Figure 1. The occasionally dense cloud cover was the main obstacle in reconstructing fire dynamics. As an independent source of information, active fires from MODIS satellite product MCD14DL (Giglio et al., 2003) are plotted in Supplemental Information (SI) Figure S 1. These confirm our results.

2.2 Injection altitudes, assumptions on biomass consumption and emissions factors

Injection heights into the atmosphere of the emitted smoke were simulated with version 2 of the Plume Rise Model (PRM) (Paugam et al., 2015) which is implemented in the Global Fire Assimilation System (GFAS) emission inventory (Rémy et al., 2017). The model (hereafter referred to as PRMv2) is a further development of PRM (Freitas et al., 2006, 2010) and has already been used in previous studies of fire events (Evangeliou et al., 2015, 2016). The model simulates a profile of smoke detrainment for every single fire, from which two metrics are extracted: (i) a detrainment layer (i.e. where the detrainment rate is > 50% of its global maximum) and (ii) an injection height (InjH, the top of the detrainment layer). Instead of using the GFAS product, which uses the same statistics as in the PRMv2 InjH calculation, we ran the model for every detected fire assuming a 6 h persistence and using the same conversion factor as Kaiser et al. (2012) to estimate the biomass consumption. PRMv2 mass detrainment profiles are then time integrated and extracted at 1°×1° spatial resolution with a 500 m vertical mesh to estimate the 3D distribution of biomass burned. Figure S 2 (SI) shows for all fires recorded in the MODIS fire product (Justice et al., 2002) during the fire period (31 July – 21 August 2017) the horizontal distribution of the median height of the emitted biomass and its integration over the longitude (right panel). Fires in Greenland showed a maximum injection height of around 2 km, but according to PRMv2 the majority of the emissions (90%) remained below 800 m. Low injection heights mostly inside the daytime planetary boundary layer are quite typical for smoldering fires including peat fires (Ferguson et al., 2003) such as those burning in Greenland (see below). For modeling the dispersion of
BC released from the Greenland fires, the emission profiles from PRMv2 were ingested into the Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART (see section 2.3).

Wildfires in boreal peatlands in the Canadian Arctic and in Alaska typically have (shallow) burn depths of 1–10 cm and consume 20–30 t C ha⁻¹ (Benscoter and Wieder, 2003; Shetler et al., 2008), which is often re-sequestered in 60–140 years after the fire (Turetsky et al., 2011; Wieder et al., 2009). Given that fire return intervals can be as short as 100–150 years in sub-humid continental peatlands (Wieder et al., 2009), and may exceed 2000 years in humid climates (Lavoie and Pellerin, 2007), northern peatlands are generally resilient to wildfire (Magnan et al., 2012). For example, in peatlands of Northern Russia, organic matter available for combustion has been estimated to be 121.8 t C ha⁻¹ for forested lands and 21.3 t C ha⁻¹ for non-forested lands (Smirnov et al., 2015). Accordingly, a severe wildfire that burned within an afforested peatland in the Scottish Highlands during the summer of 2006 had a mean depth of burn of 17.5±2.0 cm (range: 1–54 cm) and a carbon loss of 96±15 t C ha⁻¹ (Davies et al., 2013). In contrast, tropical peatlands can have deep burn depths of 40–50 cm and release an average of 300–450 t C ha⁻¹ (Page et al., 2015; Reddy et al., 2015). In the present study, we assume an average amount of organic fuel available for combustion for the Greenland peat fires of August 2017 of 100 t C ha⁻¹, guided by values suggested elsewhere (Smirnov et al., 2015).

The emissions of BC from peat fires in Greenland were calculated using the following formula (Seiler and Crutzen, 1980; Urbanski et al., 2011):

\[ E_{BC} = A \times FL \times \alpha \times EF \]  

where \( E_{BC} \) is the BC emission from the fire (kg); \( A \) is the burned area (ha); \( FL \) is the mass of the fuel available for combustion (kg C ha⁻¹); \( \alpha \) is the dimensionless combustion completeness, which was adopted from Hao et al. (2016) for litter and duff fuels (50%) and \( EF \) is the emission factor of BC (kg kg⁻¹) that was adopted from Akagi et al. (2011) for peatland fires (0.0002 kg kg⁻¹). Fuel consumption is calculated as the product of burned area, fuel loading and combustion completeness (\( A \times FL \times \alpha \)).

### 2.3 Atmospheric modeling

The emissions of BC obtained from Eq. 1 were fed to the Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART version 10.2 (Stohl et al., 2005) to simulate BC transport and deposition. This model was originally developed for calculating the dispersion of radioactive material from nuclear emergencies, but since then it has been used for many other applications (e.g.,
Fang et al., 2014; Stohl et al., 2011, 2013). The model has a detailed description of particle dispersion in the boundary layer and a convection scheme to simulate particle transport in clouds (Forster et al., 2007). The model was driven by hourly 0.5°×0.5° operational analyses from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF). Concentration and deposition fields were recorded in a global domain of 1°×1° spatial resolution with three hourly outputs. To capture the spatiotemporal variability of BC over the Greenland Ice Sheet, a nested domain with 0.05°×0.05° resolution was used. The wet and dry deposition, assuming a particle density of $2000\, \text{kg}\, \text{m}^{-3}$ and a logarithmic size distribution with an aerodynamic mean diameter of $0.4\, \mu\text{m}$ and a standard deviation of 0.3.

The wet deposition scheme considers below-cloud and in-cloud scavenging separately based on cloud liquid water and cloud ice content, precipitation rate and cloud depth from ECMWF, as described in Grythe et al. (2017).

To compare BC concentrations in Greenland due to the emissions of the Greenland fires to those due to BC emissions occurring elsewhere, we used the so-called “retroplume” mode of FLEXPART. In this mode, computational particles from a receptor region were tracked 30 days back in time. We used four receptor regions: Northwestern (-62°E to -42°E, 72°N to 83°N), Southwestern (-62°E to -42°E, 61°N to 72°N), Northeastern (-42°E to -17°E, 72°N to 83°N) and Southeastern Greenland (-42°E to -17°E, 61°N to 72°N). The retroplume mode allowed identification of the origin of BC through calculated footprint emission sensitivities (often also called source-receptor relationships) that express the sensitivity of the BC surface concentration at the receptor to emissions on the model output grid. If these emissions are known, the BC concentrations at the receptor can be calculated as the product of the emission flux and the emission sensitivity. Also, detailed source contribution maps can be calculated, showing which regions contributed to the simulated concentration. For the anthropogenic emissions, we used the ECLIPSE (Evaluating the CLimate and Air Quality ImPacts of ShortlivEd Pollutants) version 5 (Klimont et al., 2017) emission data set. For the biomass burning emissions outside Greenland, we used global MODIS-satellite hot spot data (Giglio et al., 2003) and a simple emission scheme (Stohl et al., 2007), with emission factors for BC adopted from Andreae and Merlet (2001) and Akagi et al. (2011).

### 2.4 Radiative forcing calculations

The radiative forcing (RF) of the emitted BC was calculated using the uvspec model from the libRadtran radiative transfer software package (Emde et al., 2016; Mayer and Kylling, 2005). Liquid water and ice water clouds were adopted from ECMWF operational
analysis data. No aerosols except those emitted from the Greenland fires were included. As such, the RF calculations represent a maximum estimate of the effect of BC from the Greenland fires. For snow-covered surfaces, deposited BC was assumed to reside in the uppermost 5 mm. Below 5 mm the snow was assumed to be without any impurities. The albedo of the snow was calculated with the SNICAR model in a two-layer configuration (Flanner et al., 2007, 2009).

RF was calculated at the top and bottom of the atmosphere at 1°×1° resolution. The radiative transfer equation was solved in the independent pixel approximation using the DISORT model in pseudo-spherical geometry with improved treatment of peaked phase functions (Buras et al., 2011; Dahlback and Stamnes, 1991; Stamnes et al., 1988). Radiation absorption by gases was taken from the Kato et al. (1999) parameterization modified as described in the libRadtran documentation and Wandji Nyamsi et al. (2015).

2.5 Remote sensing of the smoke plume

To confirm the presence of BC from fires in Greenland and elsewhere in the atmosphere over Greenland, we used the AERONET (AErosol RObotic NETwork) data (Holben et al., 1998). AERONET provides globally distributed observations of spectral aerosol optical depth (AOD), inversion products, and precipitable water in diverse aerosol regimes. We chose data from three stations that were close to the 2017 fires and for which cloud-free data exist for most of the simulated period, namely Kangerlussuaq (50.62°W–66.99°N), Narsarsuaq (45.52°W–61.16°N) and Thule (68.77°W–76.51°N). Their locations are shown in Figure S 1. We display Level 1.5 (cloud-screened) AOD data at 500 nm from the AERONET version 3 direct-sun spectral deconvolution algorithm (SDA version 4.1) product (download 15/11/2017) for the simulated period (31 July to 31 August 2017).

To examine in particular the vertical depth of the smoke, we used data from the CALIOP (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization) lidar on the CALIPSO (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations) platform (Winker et al., 2009). CALIOP provides profiles of backscatter at 532 nm and 1064 nm, as well as the degree of the linear polarization of the 532 nm signal. For altitudes below 8.3 km lidar profiles at 532 nm are available with a vertical resolution of 30 m. We have utilized the level 1 data products (version 3.40) of total attenuated backscatter at 532 nm. This signal responds to aerosols (like BC) as well as water and ice clouds, which in most cases can be distinguished based on their
differences in optical properties. The data were downloaded via ftp from the ICARE Data and Services Center (http://www.icare.univ-lille1.fr/).

3 Results

3.1 Indications of early permafrost degradation and fuel availability

Table 1 reports burned areas in August 2017 over Greenland from GlobCover 2009 (Global Land Cover Map at 300 m resolution) (Arino et al., 2008). In total, 2345 hectares burned between 31 July and 21 August 2017 (Figure 1). We estimate that about 117 kt of carbon were consumed by these fires. The area burned is not large compared to the global area burned each year of 464 million hectares, or the areas burned in boreal North America (2.6 million hectares) or boreal Asia (9.8 million hectares) (Randerson et al., 2012), but still highly unusual for Greenland.

It is not yet known how these fires started. Fires on carbon-rich soils can be initiated by an external source, e.g. lightning, flaming wildfire and firebrand, or self-heating. The fires burned relatively close to the town of Sisimut, so it is quite possible that humans started the fires. Self-heating is another possibility as porous solid fuels can undergo spontaneous exothermic reactions in oxidative atmospheres at low temperatures (Drysdale, 2011; Restuccia et al., 2017b). This process starts by slow exothermic oxidation at ambient temperature, causing a temperature increase, which is determined by the imbalance between the rate of heat generation and the rate of heat losses (Drysdale, 2011). Fire initiated by self-heating ignition is a well-known hazard for many natural materials (Fernandez Anez et al., 2015; Restuccia et al., 2017a; Wu et al., 2015) and can also occur in natural soils (Restuccia et al., 2017b). Southwestern Greenland was under anticyclonic influence during the last week of July and according to the MODIS ESDIS worldview tool, direct sunshine occurred for eight consecutive days before the fires started at the end of July 2017. It might be possible that this long period of almost continuous insolation at these latitudes in July heated the soil enough to self-ignite. In any case, the continuous sunshine had dried the soil, making it susceptible to fire.

The fact that these fires were burning for about three weeks but spread relatively slowly compared to above-ground vegetation fires indicates that the main fuel was probably peat. The predominant vegetation in Western Greenland varies from carbon-rich Salix glauca low shrubs (mean canopy height: 95 cm), mainly at low altitude south-facing slopes with deep
soils and ample moisture, to dwarf-shrubs and thermophilous graminoid vegetation (Arctic steppe) at higher altitudes (Jedrzejek et al., 2013). In addition, the observed smoke was nearly white, indicating damp fuel, such as freshly thawed permafrost, which produces smoke rich in organic carbon (OC) aerosol (Stockwell et al., 2016). Notice that while OC is not strongly absorbing, it may contain some absorbing brown carbon, which would add to the albedo reduction of snow by BC. On the other hand, BC emission factors are relatively low for peat fires (see Akagi et al., 2011).

Literally no fires should be expected in Greenland, since there is little available fuel as it has been suggested by global models and validated by observations (Daanen et al., 2011; Stendel et al., 2008); the only way to provide substantial amounts of fuel in Greenland is permafrost degradation. However, it has been suggested that significant permafrost loss in Greenland may occur only by the end of the 21st century (Daanen et al., 2011; Stendel et al., 2008). The fires in 2017 might indicate that significant permafrost degradation has occurred sooner than expected.

### 3.2 Transport and deposition of BC in Greenland

We estimate that about 23.5 t of BC were released from the Greenland fires in August 2017 (Table 1). According to the FLEXPART model simulations, these emissions were transported and deposited following the prevailing atmospheric circulation as shown in Figure 2. Due to the low injection altitude of the releases within the boundary layer, transport was relatively slow and thus most BC initially remained quite close to its emission source. Slow transport was also favored by mostly anticyclonic influence during the first half of August. It seems that even though katabatic winds from the Greenland Ice Sheet occasionally transported the plume westwards, most of the time the large-scale circulation pushed the plume back towards Greenland. Consequently, a large fraction of the emitted BC was deposited in Southwestern Greenland. On 3 August a small portion of the emitted BC was lifted higher into the atmosphere and was transported to the east and deposited in the middle of the Ice Sheet over the course of the following two days (4 and 5 August). From 5 to 8 August, when the fires were particularly intense, BC was transported to the south, where most of it was deposited at the southern part of the Ice Sheet and close to the coastline. At the same time, another branch of the plume was moving to the north depositing BC over Greenland’s western coastline up to 80°N, while around 10 August the plume circulated north- and then eastwards on the northwestern sector of the anti-cyclone and BC was deposited to the northern part of the Ice Sheet until 13 August. From around 16 August, a cyclone approached
from the northwest and the smoke was briefly transported directly eastwards along the southern edge of the cyclone. Strong rain associated with the cyclone’s frontal system appears to have largely extinguished the fire by 17 or 18 August, although smaller patches may have continued smoldering for a few more days before they also died out. The exact fire behavior after 16 August is difficult to determine because of frequent dense cloud cover. However, satellite imagery on 21 August shows no smoke anymore in the area where the fires had burned.

The total deposition of BC from the fires in Greenland is shown in Figure 2b. About 9 t of BC from the Greenland fires in summer 2017 were deposited over Greenland, which is about 39% of the fires’ total emissions. About 7 t (30% of the total emissions) were deposited on snow or ice covered surfaces. Most of the rest was deposited in the Baffin Bay between Greenland and Canada and in the Atlantic Ocean.

With 30% of the emissions deposited on snow or ice surfaces, Greenland fires may have a relatively large efficiency for causing albedo changes on the Greenland Ice Sheet. By comparison, the respective BC deposition on snow and ice surfaces over Greenland from global emissions of BC was only 0.4% (39 kt) of the emissions. Even the total deposition of BC in the Arctic (>67°N) was only about 3% (215 kt). This indicates the high relative potential of Greenland fires to pollute the cryosphere (on a per unit emission basis), giving them a particularly high radiative forcing efficiency. Considering that the projected rise of Greenland temperatures is expected to result in further degradation of the permafrost (Daanen et al., 2011) and, hence, likely resulting in more and larger peat fires on Greenland, this constitutes a potentially important climate feedback which could accelerate melting of the glaciers and ice sheet of Greenland and enhance Arctic warming.

We also calculated the concentration of the deposited BC in Greenland snow (Figure 3) by taking the ratio of deposited BC and the amount of water deposited by rain or snow fall during the same time period (31 July to 31 August 2017). As expected, BC snow concentrations show the same general patterns as the simulated deposition of BC with the highest concentrations obtained close to the source. High BC in snow concentrations were also computed in some regions of the Ice Sheet due to relatively intense precipitation events. By contrast, dry deposition of BC over the Ice Sheets was low (Figure 3). Dry deposition was responsible for a major fraction of the deposition only in regions where the plume was transported during dry weather, and in most of these regions total deposition was low. A notable exception is the region close to the fires, where dry deposition was relatively
important due to the generally dry weather when the fires were burning. The average calculated concentration of BC on the Ice Sheet was estimated to be <1 ng g$^{-1}$, but in some areas snow concentrations reached up to 3 ng g$^{-1}$. These higher values are substantial considering that measured concentrations of BC in snow typically range up to 16 ng g$^{-1}$ in most of Greenland (Doherty et al., 2010).

### 3.3 Impact from other emissions in Northern Hemisphere

In summertime 2017, intense wildfires were reported in British Columbia, Western Canada (NASA, 2017c), and fires also burned at mid latitudes in Eurasia, as is typical during spring and summer (Hao et al., 2016b). Previous studies of wildfires have shown that the produced energy can be sufficient to loft smoke above the boundary layer by supercell convection (Fromm et al., 2005) even up to stratospheric altitudes (Leung et al., 2007). As a result, BC can become subject to long-range transport over long distances (Forster et al., 2001; Stohl et al., 2007). To examine the impact of these fires in Greenland, average footprint emission sensitivities were calculated for four compartments of Greenland (Northwestern, Southwestern, Northeastern and Southeastern Greenland) for the period 31 July to 31 August 2017 and the results are shown in Figure S 3 together with the active fires in the Northern Hemisphere from 10 July to 31 August 2017 adopted from the MODIS satellite product (MCD14DL) (Giglio et al., 2003). As shown in Figure S 3, fires in Alaska might have affected BC concentrations in Greenland, as the corresponding emission sensitivities are the highest in North America. On the contrary, BC emitted from fires in Eurasia seems to have affected Greenland less.

Using gridded emissions for BC, the contribution of both biomass burning and anthropogenic sources to surface BC concentrations in the four different regions over Greenland (Northwestern, Northeastern, Southwestern and Southeastern Greenland, Figure 4) was calculated (see section 2.3). Fires affected the northern part of Greenland more than the southern part with an average concentration of about 30 ng m$^{-3}$, almost twice the respective average for Southern Greenland (=16 ng m$^{-3}$). About one third of the BC originated from wildfires in Eurasia and the rest from North America where the year 2017 appears to have been a particularly high fire year. The anthropogenic contribution to surface BC over Greenland was only about 14% to 50% of the total contribution from all biomass burning sources (Figure 4), similar to what has been suggested previously for the Arctic in summer (Winiger et al., 2017). In contrast to biomass burning, the anthropogenic contribution is larger in Southern Greenland due to the shorter distance from the main emission areas of North
America and Western Europe. The BC concentrations that are calculated here for the studied fire period (31 July to 31 August 2017) are relatively high compared to those reported previously. For instance, von Schneidemesser et al. (2009) observed an annual average BC concentration of 20 ng m\(^{-3}\) at Summit (Greenland) in 2006, while Massling et al. (2015) reported a summer average BC concentration of 11 ng m\(^{-3}\) at station Nord (Greenland) between May 2011 and August 2013. We attribute this to more active fires during the study period than in other years.

To compare how important Northern Hemispheric biomass burning emissions were for the air over Greenland, we present time-series of surface BC concentrations in Northwestern, Northeastern, Southwestern and Southeastern Greenland from the fires in Greenland and from all the other wildfire emissions occurring outside Greenland (North Hemisphere) for the same period of time (Figure S 4). The calculated dosages for the same time period were also computed. The fires in Greenland affected mainly its western part with concentrations that reached up to 4.8 ng m\(^{-3}\) (Southwestern Greenland on 10 August) and 4.4 ng m\(^{-3}\) (Northwestern Greenland on 12 August), while BC concentrations in the eastern part remained significantly lower (Figure S 4). These concentrations are substantial considering that the observed surface BC concentrations in Greenland in summer are usually below 20 ng m\(^{-3}\) (Massling et al., 2015). Surface BC due to wildfires occurring outside Greenland was also low most of the time in the studied period (up to 10 ng m\(^{-3}\) at maximum) except for a large peak between 19 and 23 August that mainly affected Northern Greenland (Figure S 4). The concentrations during this episodic peak were as high as 27 ng m\(^{-3}\). During the same period, the contribution from anthropogenic emissions was also a few ng m\(^{-3}\) (Figure S 4). BC dosages for the simulation period (31 July – 10 August 2017) in Western Greenland due to the Greenland fires were about one order of magnitude smaller than dosages from fires elsewhere but of the same order of magnitude as BC originating from anthropogenic emissions.

4 Discussion

4.1 A validation attempt

There are few observations available that can be used for validating our model results. We use the AERONET and CALIOP data for some qualitative comparisons. Contours of simulated vertical distribution of BC and column-integrated simulated BC from fires inside and outside Greenland are plotted together with time-series of measured AOD at a wavelength of 500 nm for the AERONET stations Kangerlussuaq, Narsarsuaq and Thule.
It can be seen that observed AOD variations were in very good agreement with the variation of simulated column-integrated BC from fires outside Greenland (mainly in Canada), confirming that the transport of these fire plumes was well captured by FLEXPART. Good examples are the peaks at Kangerlussuaq on 24 August, at Narsarsuaq on 19 August and at Thule on 21 August (Figure 5) that are attributed to the Canadian fires. The simulated contribution of the Greenland fires to simulated BC burdens was negligible by comparison, except at Kangerlussuaq in the beginning of August when the Greenland fire emissions were the highest. This station is less than 100 km away from where the fires burned, but not in the main direction of the BC plume transport. It seems the period of simulated fire influence corresponds to a small increase of the observed AOD values of up to 20% (Figure 5).

To validate the smoke plume’s vertical extent, we used the CALIOP data. These data were only available from 5 August 2017 onward and frequent dense cloud cover inhibited lidar observations in the altitudes below the clouds. High aerosol backscatter was only found in the close vicinity of the fires. Figure 6a shows NASA’s ESDIS view of the plume on 14 August 2017 at 6 UTC (available: https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/?p=geographic&l=MODIS_Aqua_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor(hidden),MODIS_Terra_CorrectedReflectance_TrueColor,MODIS_Fires_Terra,MODIS_Fires_Aqua,Reference_Labels(hidden),Reference_Features,Coastlines&t=2017-08-14&z=3&v=-54.1334998138993,66.35888052399868,-50.32103113049877,69.08420005412792), where a clear smoke signal was recorded. The structure of the plume can be identified in the CALIOP curtain by its increased attenuated backscatter below ~1.5 km above sea level (black line denotes the orography of the area) between 52°E and 51°E (white line in Figure 6b). Another cloud of enhanced attenuated backscatter is evident at 4–5 km altitude between 50.5°E and 48.5°E. This mid-tropospheric plume was not studied but is likely due to aerosol transport from the North American fires. These large wildfires are eager to lift smoke at stratospheric altitudes as a result of super-cell convection and they have already shown to be present at such altitudes in Greenland during the study period (see Figure 5). As shown in Figure 6c (red line), the CALIOP overpass transects directly the simulated plume of the Greenland fires. Notice that the simulated plume also agrees very well with the smoke as seen in NASA’s ESDIS picture (Figure 6a). The vertical distribution of simulated BC as a function of longitude is illustrated in Figure 6d. It corresponds very well to the vertical distribution of aerosols observed by CALIOP (Figure 6b). In particular, the smoke resides at altitudes below 1.5 km and at exactly the same location both in the simulations and observations.
4.2 Effect on snow and ice surfaces

The instantaneous radiative forcing (IRF) at the bottom of the atmosphere (BOA) for noon on 31 August 2017 is depicted in Figure 7. This day is shown because almost all BC emitted by the fires had been deposited before, thus giving a high IRF via albedo reduction due to BC contamination of snow. Cloudless conditions were assumed in Figure 7a, while in Figure 7b water and ice water clouds were adopted from ECMWF. For the cloudless conditions, the IRF is largest around the fire site and at locations with relatively large BC deposits. The maximum IRF is 1.82 W m\(^{-2}\), while the average for Greenland is 0.05 W m\(^{-2}\).

For the IRF including clouds the maximum BOA RF is 0.63 W m\(^{-2}\), and the average 0.03 W m\(^{-2}\). For IRF at the top of the atmosphere (TOA), the corresponding values are 0.59 W m\(^{-2}\) and 0.03 W m\(^{-2}\). Figure 7c depicts the temporal behaviour of the TOA IRF averaged over Greenland (red line). In addition the daily averaged IRF is shown (green line). The blue line in Figure 7b shows the value for the pixel with maximum IRF. The daily averaged IRF is seen to increase as the plume from the fires spreads out and starts to decline after the fires were extinguished at the end of the month. The fact that the reduction towards end of August is relatively slow is caused by the effect of the albedo reduction, which persists until clean snow covers the polluted snow. According to Hansen et al. (2005) the TOA IRF of BC approximates the adjusted RF as reported by Myhre et al. (2013). In Table 8.4, Myhre et al. (2013) estimated the global averaged RF due to BC between 1750 and 2011 to be +0.40 (+0.05 to +0.80) W m\(^{-2}\). For Greenland, Skeie et al. (2011) calculated the RF to be less than about 0.2 W m\(^{-2}\) due to BC originating from fossil fuel and biofuel combustion relative to preindustrial times (1750). Thus, the calculated RF due to the Greenland fires for cloudy conditions is about one order of magnitude smaller compared with the RF due to BC from all global anthropogenic sources.

The albedo reduction at 550 nm due to the deposited BC is shown in Figure 7c. The maximum albedo change is about 0.006. This albedo change has an impact on the radiative forcing, but it is too small to be measured by satellites. For example, MODIS albedo estimates have been compared to in situ albedo measurements in Greenland by Stroeve et al. (2005). They found that the root mean square error between MODIS and in situ albedo values was ±0.04 for high quality flagged MODIS albedo retrievals. Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) measurements over Greenland made by Burkhart et al. (2017) have uncertainties of similar magnitude. The albedo changes due to BC from the fires are generally an order of magnitude smaller (Figure 7c) and thus too small to be detected by present UAV and satellite instruments and retrieval methods (Warren, 2013).
5 Conclusions

The conclusions from our study of the unusual open fires burning in Greenland between 31 July and 21 August 2017 are the following:

- The fires burned on peat lands that became vulnerable by permafrost thawing. The region where the fires burned was identified previously as being susceptible to permafrost melting; however, large-scale melting was expected to occur only towards the end of the 21st century. The 2017 fires show that at least in some locations substantial permafrost thawing is occurring already now.

- The total area burned was about 2345 hectares. We estimate that the fires consumed a fuel amount of about 117 kt C and produced BC emissions of about 23.5 t.

- The Greenland fires were small compared to fires burning at the same time in North America and Eurasia, but a large fraction of their BC emissions (30% or 7 t) was deposited on the Greenland Ice Sheet or glaciers. This BC deposition was small compared to BC deposition from global anthropogenic and biomass burning sources, but not entirely negligible.

- Measurements of aerosol optical depth at three sites in Western Greenland in August 2017 were strongly influenced by forest fires in Canada burning at the same time, but the Greenland fires had an observable impact at the closest station.

- A comparison of the simulated BC releases in FLEXPART with the vertical cross-section of total attenuation backscatter (at 532 nm) from CALIOP lidar showed that the spatiotemporal evolution and particularly the top height of the plume was captured by the model.

- We estimate that the maximum albedo change due to the BC deposition was about 0.006, too small to be measured by satellites or other means. The average instantaneous surface radiative forcing over Greenland at noon on 31 August was 0.03 W m$^{-2}$, with locally occurring maximum values of 0.63 W m$^{-2}$. The average value is at least an order of magnitude smaller than the radiative forcing due to BC from other sources.

- We conclude that the fires burning in Greenland in summer of 2017 had little impact on BC deposition on the Greenland Ice Sheet, causing almost negligible extra radiative forcing. This was due to the – in a global context - still rather small size of the fires. However, the very large fraction of the BC emissions deposited on the Greenland Ice Sheet makes these fires very efficient climate forcers on a per unit emission basis. If the expected further warming of Greenland produces much larger fires in the future, this could
indeed cause substantial albedo changes and thus lead to accelerated melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet.
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Figure 1. Map of Greenland (upper left) and zoomed map marked with fire location (upper right) and burned area classification (bottom) in terms of fire severity according to Sentinel 2A images for fires burning in Greenland in August 2017. To delineate fire perimeters, both Landsat 8 OLI and Sentinel 1A – 2A data were used (Table 1).
Figure 2. (a) Vertical distribution of BC concentrations from the fires in Greenland in summer 2017 as a function of time. (b) Total (wet and dry) deposition of BC (in ng m$^{-2}$) from Greenland fires until 31 August 2017. The colored rectangle depicts the nested high-resolution domain.
Figure 3. (a) Calculated snow concentrations of BC over Greenland based on the modeled deposition and the snow precipitation (large scale and convective) in the operational ECMWF data that were used in our simulation (see section 2.3). (b) Dry to total deposition ratio of BC from the 2017 peat fires over Greenland.
Figure 4. Average contribution of biomass burning (upper panels) and anthropogenic emissions (lower panels) to surface concentrations of BC in Northwestern, Northeastern, Southwestern and Southeastern Greenland (in ng m\(^{-3}\) per grid cell). Numbers (in red) represent total concentrations in the studied domain, obtained by spatial integration over all source grid cells. Receptor areas in Greenland are highlighted by pink boxes.
Figure 5. Contour plot of the vertical distribution of simulated BC (altitude shown on left y-axis) as a function of time (x-axis) and time-series of column-integrated simulated BC (extended right axis) from fires burning outside Greenland (black line) and Greenland fires (cyan stacked area). Also shown are time-series of AOD measurements for fine (black), coarse (red) and all (blue) aerosol particles at 500 nm (right y-axis). The three panels show results for stations (a) Kangerlussuaq, (b) Narsarsuaq and (c) Thule (sorted from the closest to the farthest station).
Figure 6. (a) Worldview application from the NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center Earth Science Data and Information System (ESDIS) project on 14 August 2017. (b) Vertical cross-section along satellite’s route (red line in c) of total attenuated backscatter at a wavelength of 532 nm obtained from the CALIOP lidar on 14 August 2017 at 6 UTC (black line denotes the orography of the area). (c) Column-integrated BC concentration simulated with FLEXPART (read line shows the path of the satellite). (d) Vertical distribution of BC concentrations with longitude as seen with FLEXPART (grey area denotes the orography of the area).
Figure 7. (a) The instantaneous direct BOA RF due to BC from the Greenland fires for cloudless and (b) cloudy conditions on 31 August, and (c) the snow albedo reduction. (d) Temporal variation of the TOA IRF over Greenland in August 2017.
Table 1. Start and end date of releases, source of data, type of sensor, burned area and daily increment of burned area, fuel consumption and calculated BC emissions from Eq. 1 during the Greenland fires in 2017. Total numbers for burned area, fuel consumption and BC emissions are highlighted in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>Source of RS data</th>
<th>Type of sensor</th>
<th>Burned area (ha)</th>
<th>Increment of burned area (ha)</th>
<th>Fuel consumption (t C)</th>
<th>BC emission (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31/07/17</td>
<td>02/08/17</td>
<td>Sentinel 2A MSI</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>15176</td>
<td>3035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/08/17</td>
<td>03/08/17</td>
<td>Landsat 8 OLI MSI</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>6247</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/08/17</td>
<td>04/08/17</td>
<td>Sentinel 1A SAR</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>7980</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/08/17</td>
<td>05/08/17</td>
<td>Sentinel 1A SAR</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>7621</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/08/17</td>
<td>07/08/17</td>
<td>Sentinel 2A MSI</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>17966</td>
<td>3593</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/08/17</td>
<td>08/08/17</td>
<td>Sentinel 2A MSI</td>
<td>1314</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>10706</td>
<td>2141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/08/17</td>
<td>12/08/17</td>
<td>Landsat 8 OLI MSI</td>
<td>1868</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>27714</td>
<td>5543</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/08/17</td>
<td>14/08/17</td>
<td>Sentinel 1A SAR</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>6817</td>
<td>1363</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/08/17</td>
<td>15/08/17</td>
<td>Sentinel 1A SAR</td>
<td>2169</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>8244</td>
<td>1649</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/08/17</td>
<td>16/08/17</td>
<td>Sentinel 1A SAR</td>
<td>2209</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/08/17</td>
<td>19/08/17</td>
<td>Sentinel 1A SAR</td>
<td>2254</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2213</td>
<td>443</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/08/17</td>
<td>21/08/17</td>
<td>Sentinel 2A MSI</td>
<td>2345</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4579</td>
<td>916</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2345</td>
<td>117259</td>
<td>23452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RS - Remote Sensing
MSI - Multispectral Images
SAR - Synthetic Aperture RADAR