The authors thank the editor and referees to review our manuscript and particularly for the valuable comments and suggestions that have significantly improved the manuscript. We provide below point-by-point responses to the referees’ comments. We also have made most of the changes suggested by the referees in the revised manuscript.

Referee #1
This manuscript reports the measurements of non-refractory fine particulate matter (NR-PM1) in Shijiazhuang, China, using a quadrupole aerosol chemical speciation monitor (Q-ACSM). Positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis is performed for source apportionment of organic aerosol (OA). It is found that on average primary emissions are the major source of NR-PM1, but secondary pollutants via aqueous phase reactions play a more important role in polluted events. The data analysis is routine and the conclusions are broadly consistent with many previous studies in the same region. Overall, I recommend publication after major revisions.

Major Comments
1. Page 11 Line 4-5. The coal combustion OA (CCOA) is identified based on PAH-related ion peaks in its mass spectrum and the assumption that PAH is mainly from coal combustion. So it is problematic to draw the conclusion that “the major source of PAHs was coal combustion”.
Response: PAHs are emitted from coal combustion, biomass burning and vehicular emissions, and PAH-related ions are assigned in these sources in the PMF source apportionment. We did not make the assumption that PAH is mainly from coal combustion, and the assignment is from PMF model runs. For example, in our previous study (Elser et al., 2016a), from PMF study we quantified that the main source of PAHs was biomass burning in Xi’an and was coal combustion in Beijing during severe haze events. In the present study, the PMF model results show that CCOA accounts for 42-66% of PAH-related ions and BBOA accounts for 9-27% of PAH-related ions. We therefore concluded that “this result suggested that the major source of PAHs was coal combustion in wintertime Shijiazhuang.”

2. Page 11 Line 5-6. As the CCOA concentration is similar between Beijing and Shijiazhuang, does it suggest that the major source of CCOA in Beijing is from local emissions? In the introduction (Page 4 Line 5-15), it is mentioned that previous studies estimate a large fraction of PM in Beijing arising from regional transport. If so, one would imagine that the CCOA concentration is higher in surrounding area, like Hebei, than Beijing.
Response: The average mass concentration of CCOA was similar between Shijiazhuang and Beijing, but they were campaign-averaged concentrations including clean and haze events. In Beijing, the clean periods were generally associated with northerly and northwesterly winds (i.e., from the clean mountain area), while haze extremes were related to southerly winds (i.e., from the polluted southern Hebei including Shijiazhuang). We revisited our data and found that, during haze extremes in the same winter, the average CCOA concentration was 77.5 μg m⁻³ in Shijiazhuang, much higher than that in Beijing (48.2 μg m⁻³).
In the revised manuscript, we have added “Nevertheless, during haze extremes, the average CCOA concentration was 77.5 μg m⁻³ in Shijiazhuang, much higher than that in Beijing (48.2 μg m⁻³, Elser et al., 2016a).”

3. Section 3.4. The increase in SO4/POA and OOA/POA is largely due to the decrease in POA at high RH (90-100% bin). What causes the decrease in POA concentration? Precipitation? Have the precipitation events been excluded from the analysis? In Figure 7, the light blue should be COA, instead of CCOA.
   Response: We thanks the referee to point out the flaws. Indeed, the decrease in POA at the RH 90-100% bin was caused by snow. We have excluded the data from snow events in the revised manuscript, and Figure 7 has been updated accordingly (see below). Also, we have changed the light blue from CCOA to COA.

4. Could the authors provide more explanations regarding why POA is important in low RH polluted days, but SOA is important in high RH polluted days? I would imagine that the POA emissions do not vary with RH. Then where does the POA go in high RH polluted days?
   Response: This conclusion was drawn based on the mass fraction contribution. During high RH pollution events, more SOA and SIA were formed and therefore their fractional contribution increased. The POA concentrations are determined by both emissions and meteorological conditions.
   In the revised manuscript, we have added “…at high RH pollution events likely due to
enhanced secondary formation,”; and “The concentrations of POA are determined by both emissions and meteorological conditions. The different significance of primary aerosol and secondary aerosol in low and high RH pollution events highlights the importance of meteorological conditions in driving particulate pollution.”

According to Figures 1 and 8, there is larger variation in the OA concentration between the six high RH events than the variation between four low RH events. H3, H5, and L3 seem to be outliers.

Response: The OA concentrations are determined by emissions, secondary formation, and meteorological conditions. The larger variation in the OA concentrations/sources between the six high RH events is most likely due to SOA formation. The relatively smaller variation in the OA concentrations/sources between the four low RH events is likely related to stagnant air which facilitates the accumulation of particles.

For H3, H5, and L3 events, each spanned relatively long period (from ~12 hr to ~108 hr). They are real measurement data, not outliers.

Minor Comments
Response: Change made.

2. Page 8 Line 21. What's the diurnal trend of delta_CO? Are there rush hour peaks?
Response: The diurnal trend of delta_CO is shown below. There are rush hour peaks.

![Graph](image)

3. Page 9 Line 5-24. From my understanding, these paragraphs discuss results from unconstrained PMF, right? If so, please be more specific.
Response: From Line 5-11, we discussed the standard PMF results (without constraints); from Line 11-24, we explained which factors were constrained.

Response: Change made.

Referee #2
The authors present a valuable dataset from aerosol mass spectrometry measurements in Shijiazhuang, Hebei, China during the winter of 2014. They have applied the ME-2
approach for more accurate identification of sources. In my assessment the article will be suitable for publication in ACP after a few minor points are addressed:

- This article is closely related to Zhu et al. AMT 2018, which also presents ME-2 analysis of organic aerosol mass spectrometry data from China. The two articles share some of the same authors, and Zhu et al. was available online in final form prior to this article appearing in APCD. That article should be cited and discussed as appropriate in this manuscript.

Response: In the revised manuscript, the article from Zhu et al., 2018 has been cited properly.

- Low FSO4 does not necessarily indicate low oxidative power in the atmosphere. SO2 oxidation may occur through a number of multiphase pathways, and other parameters such as aerosol or cloud water pH are more likely to influence FSO4. Please amend the statements regarding the implications of low FSO4 throughout the manuscript in light of this caveat.

Response: We agree with the reviewer that sulfate formation may occur through different pathways, including gas-phase oxidation of SO2 by OH and multiphase reactions of SO2 with dissolved ozone, hydrogen peroxide, organic peroxides, NOx, and OH via catalytic or non-catalytic pathways involving mineral oxides. However, these reactions are oxidation reactions, and here we used FSO4 to represent the oxidation ratio of sulfate. The low oxidation ratio of FSO4 suggests low atmospheric oxidative capacity. We think such statement is appropriate. Certainly, the absolute mass concentration of sulfate may also be affected by other parameters including aerosol liquid water content, aerosol or cloud water pH.

In the revised manuscript, we have added "Certainly, it should be noted that the mass concentration of sulfate may also be affected by other parameters including aerosol liquid water content, aerosol or cloud water pH, besides atmospheric oxidative capacity."

- The 1.36e6 premature deaths figure is out of date at this point - please refer to a more recent study such as Cohen et al. Lancet 2017 or Burnett et al. PNAS 2018.

Response: We have made change “1.1 million deaths in 2015 in China”, following the results in Cohen et al., 2017.

- Can anything be inferred from these results regarding the impacts of regional pollution on PM in Beijing?

Response: This study was mainly concentrated on the chemical characteristics, primary emissions and secondary formation processes of aerosol in Shijiazhuang, which is located in the west to Beijing. It certainly will be of interest to investigate the impacts of regional pollution on PM in Beijing, and such studies would need synchronous measurements in Beijing and Shijiazhuang.

- page 5 line 8: 15 March of the next year
Response: Change made.
- page 5 line 9: 2013-2014
  Response: Change made.

- page 14 line 10: thermally labile
  Response: Change made.

- the Conclusion section is too repetitive, please revise to be more distinct from the Abstract and other sections of the paper
  Response: We have shortened the conclusion section.
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Abstract. Particulate matter (PM) pollution is a severe environmental problem in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region in North China. PM studies have been conducted extensively in Beijing, but the chemical composition, sources, and atmospheric processes of PM are still relatively less known in the nearby Tianjin and Hebei. In this study, fine PM in urban Shijiazhuang (the capital of Hebei province) was characterized using an Aerodyne quadrupole aerosol chemical speciation monitor (Q-ACSM) from 11 January to 18 February in 2014. The average mass concentration of non-refractory submicron PM (diameter <1 μm, NR-PM1) was 178 ± 101 μg m⁻³ and composed of 50% organic aerosol (OA),
21% sulfate, 12% nitrate, 11% ammonium, and 6% chloride. Using the Multilinear Engine (ME-2) receptor model, five OA sources were identified and quantified, including hydrocarbon-like OA from vehicle emissions (HOA, 13%), cooking OA (COA, 16%), biomass burning OA (BBOA, 17%), coal combustion OA (CCOA, 27%), and oxygenated OA (OOA, 27%). We found that secondary formation contributed substantially to PM in episodic events, while primary emissions were dominant (most significant) on average. The episodic events with the highest NR-PM$_1$ mass range of 300-360 μg m$^{-3}$ showed 55% of secondary species. On the contrary, a campaign-average low OOA fraction (27%) in OA indicated the importance of primary emissions, and a low sulfur oxidation degree ($F_{SO_4}$) of 0.18 even at RH>90% hinted on insufficient oxidation. These results suggested that in wintertime Shijiazhuang fine PM was mostly from primary emissions without sufficient atmospheric aging, indicating opportunities for air quality improvement by mitigating direct emissions. In addition, secondary inorganic and organic (OOA) species dominated in pollution events with high RH conditions, most likely due to enhanced aqueous-phase chemistry, while primary organic aerosol (POA) dominated in pollution events with low RH and stagnant conditions. These results also highlighted the importance of meteorological conditions for PM pollution in this highly polluted city in North China.

1 Introduction

Particulate pollution in China is a serious environmental problem, influencing air quality, regional and global climate and human health. Especially during recent winters, large-scale and severe haze pollution has brought China’s particulate pollution at the forefront of world-wide media and evoking great scientific interest in air pollution studies. Measurements at a number of major cities showed that the wintertime daily average mass concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter <2.5 μm) are approximately 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than those observed in urban areas in the US and European countries (Huang et al., 2014). Severe particulate pollution is often accompanied by extremely poor visibility and poor air quality leading to a sharp increase in respiratory diseases. Long-term exposure to high levels of particulate pollution is estimated to result
in 1.1436 million premature deaths in 2015 per year in China, ranking the 1st in the world (Lelieveld et al., 2015; Cohen et al., 2017).

The region of Beijing, Tianjin, and Hebei (BTH) is one of the important city clusters in China, but also suffers from serious air pollution. Seven cities in this region ranked the top 10 most polluted cities in China in the year 2014-2015 (http://www.zhb.gov.cn). The urgent need of an air quality improvement in this region has been recognized by central and local governments as well as the public, leading to mitigating actions being undertaken by the authorities. In particular, various emission control measures were implemented in this region to clean Beijing’s air, for example, during the 2014 Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) summit. These temporal measures include the odd-even ban on vehicles and shutdowns of factories and construction sites, leading to serious side effects on daily life and economic growth. Therefore, identification of the major sources and atmospheric processes producing airborne particles is required for implementing targeted and optimized emission control strategies.

The first step for quantifying the PM sources requires the measurements of inorganic and organic tracers and/or mass spectrometric fingerprints of ambient PM samples. This can be realized by the online ambient measurements using aerosol mass spectrometric (AMS) techniques to determine aerosol composition (Jimenez et al., 2009; Ng et al., 2011b; Elser et al., 2016b). In particular, the quadrupole aerosol chemical speciation monitor (Q-ACSM) and recently time-of-flight aerosol chemical speciation monitor (TOF-ACSM) have been developed for long-term continuous measurements of the non-refractory submicron aerosols (Ng et al., 2011a; Fröhlich et al., 2013). Aerosol sources have been successfully identified from the AMS measurements with positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis (Ulbrich et al., 2009; Crippa et al., 2013; Elser et al., 2016a). In terms of Q-ACSM datasets, the use of PMF often fails to resolve sources with similar mass spectral profiles, e.g. the mixing of cooking organic aerosol with traffic organic aerosol in Nanjing (Zhang et al., 2015b); or those present in low contributions, e.g. the lack of success in resolving a factor related to biomass burning in Beijing (Jiang et al., 2015). It was also pointed out that PMF cannot separate the aerosol sources of temporal covariations driven by low temperature and periods of strong inversions.
(Canonaco et al., 2013; Reyes et al., 2016). Several source apportionment studies (in which PMF did not find optimal results) have utilized the multilinear engine (ME-2) solver, which enables constraint of the factor profiles/time series, providing a superior separation of the PM sources in Europe (e.g., Canonaco et al., 2013; Canonaco et al., 2015; Fröhlich et al., 2015a; Fröhlich et al., 2015b; Minguillón et al., 2015; Petit et al., 2015; Ripoll et al., 2015; Reyes et al., 2016; Bressi et al., 2016; Schlag et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2018). However, studies using ME-2 to resolve OA sources from the ACSM measurements are scarce in the BTH region.

Apart from the lack of applications of ME-2 for the OA source apportionment, most of the field studies have mainly focused on the aerosol pollution in Beijing (Sun et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015; Elser et al., 2016b; Hu et al., 2016a). These and related studies have clearly shown that Beijing is sensitive to the regional transport of aerosols from its surrounding areas (Xu et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015a). For example, Guo et al. (2010) estimated that the regional pollutants on average accounted for 69% of PM$_{10}$ and 87% of PM$_{1,5}$ in Beijing during summer, with sulfate, ammonium, and oxalate mostly formed regionally (regional contributions $>87\%$). Sun et al. (2014) reported that 66% of NR-PM$_{1}$ was from regional transport in Beijing during the 2013 winter haze event. Among the surrounding areas of Beijing, the Hebei province is the main source area leading to high aerosol loadings in Beijing (Chen et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2008; Lang et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015a).

Shijiazhuang, the capital of Hebei province, is located $\sim$270 km south of Beijing and has a population approximately half that of Beijing. Zhao et al. (2013a, b) characterized the spatial and seasonal variations of PM$_{2.5}$ chemical composition in the BTH region, and Shijiazhuang was selected as the representative of the polluted cities in Hebei province. The off-line analysis results showed that organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) concentrations in Shijiazhuang were lower in the spring and summer than those in the autumn and winter. The sum of secondary inorganic species ($\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $\text{NO}_3^-$, and $\text{NH}_4^+$) was highest in the autumn. Yet the temporal profiles of PM composition cannot be captured by off-line analyses, hindering more detailed study on the sources and formation of PM. In this work, we present for the first time the 30-minute time resolved NR-PM$_{1}$ measurements...
in Shijiazhuang during the winter heating season. The characteristics of NR-PM$_1$ are analyzed, which include (1) time series, mass fraction and diurnal variation of NR-PM$_1$ species; (2) multilinear engine (ME-2)-resolved OA sources and their mass fraction as well as their diurnal variation; and (3) the characteristics and atmospheric evolution of aerosol composition and sources under different aerosol loadings and meteorological conditions.

2 Methods

2.1 Sampling site

Shijiazhuang, the capital of Hebei province, is located ~270 km south of Beijing. In 2014, ~10 million residents and 2.1 million vehicles were reported in this city. It is often ranked the first in the list of top 10 most polluted cities in China, especially during wintertime heating periods (from 15 November to 15 March of the next year). For example, the average concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ was 226.5 µg m$^{-3}$ with the peak hourly concentration of 933 µg m$^{-3}$ during the 2013-2014 wintertime heating period, largely exceeding the Chinese air pollution limit of 75 µg m$^{-3}$. In this study, we performed an intensive field measurement campaign at an urban site in Shijiazhuang to investigate the chemical composition, sources and atmospheric processes of fine particles. The campaign was carried out from 11 January to 18 February 2014 on the building roof (15 m) of the Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (38°2′3′′N, 114°32′29′′E), a site located in a residential-business mixed zone.

2.2 Instrumentation

NR-PM$_1$ was measured with an Aerodyne quadrupole aerosol chemical speciation monitor (Q-ACSM), which can provide quantitative mass concentration and mass spectra of non-refractory species including organics, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride. The operation principles of Q-ACSM can be found elsewhere (Ng et al., 2011a). The ambient aerosol was drawn through a Nafion dryer (Perma Pure PD-50T-24SS) following a URG cyclone (Model: URG-2000-30ED) with a cut-off size of 2.5 µm to remove coarse particles. The sampling flow was ~3 L min$^{-1}$, of which ~85 mL min$^{-1}$ was isokinetically sampled into the Q-ACSM. The residence time in the sampling tube was ~5 s. The Q-ACSM was operated with a time resolution of 30 min and scanned from $m/z$ 10 to 150 at 200 ms
amu$^{-1}$. Dry mono-dispersed 300-nm ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate particles (selected by a differential mobility analyzer, DMA, TSI model 3080) were nebulized from a custom-built atomizer and sampled into the Q-ACSM and a condensation particle counter (CPC, TSI model 3772) calibrating ionization efficiency (IE). IE can, therefore, be determined by comparing the response factors of Q-ACSM to the mass calculated with the known particle size and the number concentration from CPC.

Ozone (O$_3$) was measured by a Thermo Scientific Model 49i ozone analyzer, CO by a Thermo Scientific Model 48i carbon monoxide analyzer, SO$_2$ by an Ecotech EC 9850 sulfur dioxide analyzer, and NO$_2$ by a Thermo Scientific Model 42i NO-NO$_2$-NOx analyzer. The meteorological data, including temperature, relative humidity (RH), precipitation, wind speed and wind direction, were measured by an automatic weather station (MAWS201, Vaisala, Vantaa, Finland) and a wind sensor (Vaisala Model QMW101-M2).

2.3 Data analysis

2.3.1 Q-ACSM data analysis

The mass concentrations and composition of NR-PM$_1$ were analyzed with the standard Q-ACSM data analysis software written in Igor Pro (WaveMetrics, Inc., OR, USA). Standard relative ionization efficiencies (RIEs) were used for organics, nitrate and chloride (i.e., 1.4 for organics, 1.1 for nitrate and 1.3 for chloride) (Ng et al., 2011a) and RIEs for ammonium (6.0) and sulfate (1.2) were derived from the IE calibrations. The particle collection efficiency (CE) was applied to correct for the particle loss at the vaporizer due to particle bounce, which is influenced by aerosol acidity, composition, and the aerosol water content. Given that aerosol was dried before entering into Q-ACSM and that ammonium nitrate mass fraction (ANMF) during the observation period was lower than 0.4, the composition dependent CE was estimated following the method described in Middlebrook et al. (2012).
2.3.2 The Multilinear Engine (ME-2)

PMF is a bilinear receptor model that represents an input data matrix as a linear combination of a set of factor profiles and their time-dependent concentrations (Paatero and Tapper, 1994). Factors typically correspond to unique sources and/or processes. This allows for a quantitative apportionment of bulk mass spectral time series into several factors through the minimization of a quantity $Q$, which is the sum of the squares of the error-weighted residuals of the model. The PMF-AMS/ACSM analyses have been widely used for apportioning the sources of organic aerosol. However, in conventional PMF analyses, rotational ambiguity with limited rotational controls can lead to unclear factor resolution, especially in China where the emission sources are very complex and covariant during haze events. In contrast, the multi-linear engine (ME-2), used in this study, enables efficient exploration of the entire solution space and can direct the apportionment towards an environmentally-meaningful solution through the constraints of a subset of priori factor profiles or time series using the $a$ value approach (Canonaco et al., 2013). The $a$ value can vary between 0 and 1. An $a$ value of 0.1 accounts for maximum ± 10% variability of each m/z signal of the final solution spectra that may differ from the anchor, implying that some m/z signals might increase while some might decrease.

The source finder (SoFi, Canonaco et al., 2013) tool version 4.9 for Igor Pro was used for ME-2 input preparation and result analysis. The number of factors resolved is determined by the user and the solutions of the model are not mathematically unique due to rotational ambiguity. It is, therefore, critical to study other parameters, e.g., the chemical fingerprint of the factor profiles, diurnal cycles, and time series of factors and external measurements, to support factor identification and interpretation (Canonaco et al., 2013; Crippa et al., 2014, Elser et al., 2016b).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Concentration and chemical composition of NR-PM$_1$

Fig. 1 shows the time series of NR-PM$_1$ species, trace gases and meteorological conditions during the entire measurement period. The measured mass concentrations of NR-PM$_1$ for the entire campaign period ranged from a few $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ to 508.4 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, with an average of 178 ± 101 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$. That was much higher than the wintertime/summertime concentrations measured in many other cities (see
Table 1). The mass concentration of NR-PM$_1$ correlated strongly with that of PM$_{2.5}$ ($R^2 = 0.76)$ with a regression slope of 0.72, indicating that NR-PM$_1$ represents a majority of PM$_{2.5}$ mass. The NR-PM$_1$ concentrations exceeded the Chinese PM$_{2.5}$ limit of 75 µg m$^{-3}$ for 90% of days during the measurement period, showing the severity of particulate air pollution at Shijiazhuang.

Similar to measurements at other urban sites, OA was the dominant fraction of NR-PM$_1$, with an average of 50% (31-80%), followed by 21% of sulfate (4-36%), 12% of nitrate (2-26%), 11% of ammonium (4-21%) and 6% of chloride (2-20%). The dominant contribution of organics in NR-PM$_1$ is also consistent with measurements from other urban sites in the BTH region during winter heating seasons (see Table 1). Sulfate was the second largest contributor to NR-PM$_1$. The large fraction of sulfate was likely associated with the large consumption of coal in Hebei province, i.e., 296 million tons in 2014 were used in coal-fired power plants and steel industry (producing ~11% of global steel output in 2014). The enhancement of chloride fraction from >1-4% in other Chinese cities in summer (see Table 1) to 6% in Shijiazhuang in winter (within the range of >2-7% in other Chinese cities in winter, see Table 1) can be attributed to the substantial emissions from coal and/or biomass burning activities.

Fig. 2a shows the diurnal variations of NR-PM$_1$ components, which were affected by the evolution of the planetary boundary layer (PBL) height that governed the vertical dispersion of pollutants and by the diurnal cycle of the emissions and atmospheric processes. The concentrations of pollutants increased at night as a result of enhanced emissions from residential heating (in particular, for organics and chloride) and a progressively shallower PBL. During daytime the PBL height was developed by solar radiation and thus the pollutants became diluted resulting in the decrease of organics, sulfate, ammonium and chloride in the afternoon. In contrast, the concentrations of nitrate increased after sunrise but then kept rather constant throughout the afternoon, suggesting a strong source or production of nitrate which offsets the dilution from PBL development. To minimize the effects from PBL heights, data were normalized by ΔCO. CO is often used as an emission tracer to account for dilution on timescales of hours to days because of its relatively long life time against the oxidation by OH radicals (approximately one month) (Decarlo et al., 2010). After offsetting the PBL
dilution effect, sulfate, nitrate and ammonium showed clear increases from 7:00 to 15:00 (Fig. 2c), indicating efficient daytime production of these secondary inorganic species. It should be noted that the increase of nitrate (about 2 times, from \( \sim 6 \, \mu g \, m^{-3} \, ppm^{-1} \) to \( \sim 12 \, \mu g \, m^{-3} \, ppm^{-1} \)) is slightly larger than that of sulfate (about 1.6 times, from \( \sim 11 \, \mu g \, m^{-3} \, ppm^{-1} \) to \( \sim 17.5 \, \mu g \, m^{-3} \, ppm^{-1} \)), indicating more efficient photochemical production of nitrate than sulfate, given that the loss rate of sulfate could not be higher than that of nitrate as nitric acid is semi-volatile and may be further lost by evaporation. Also, the continuous increase of organics after sunrise suggested efficient photochemical production of secondary organic aerosol (SOA).

3.2 Sources of organic aerosol

From the PMF analysis, we first examined a range of solutions with 3 to 8 factors. The solution that best represents the data is the 5-factor solution (Fig. S1). The solutions with factor numbers more than 5 provide no new meaningful factors (see Fig. S2 and more details in the supplementary material).

Although the 5-factor solution can reasonably represent the data, HOA is still mixed with BBOA because the HOA profile contains higher than expected contribution from \( m/z \) 60. In addition, COA contains no signal at \( m/z \) 44, which might indicate a suboptimal splitting between the contributing sources. To better separate HOA from BBOA, we constrained the HOA profile from Ng et al (2011b), which is an average profile over 15 cities from China, Japan, Europe and the United States. Although gasoline vehicles dominate in China while diesel vehicles dominate in Europe, HOA mass spectra do not show significant variability when compared to different sites in China and Europe (Ng et al., 2011b; Reyes et al., 2016; Bozzetti et al., 2017), indicating that traffic emissions from different types of vehicles have similar profiles. To avoid the influences of other sources on COA, the COA profile from Paris (Crippa et al., 2013) was used as a constraint because high similarities were found between the COA profile from Paris and four COA profiles from different types of Chinese cooking activities (He et al., 2010; Crippa et al., 2013). However, the constraint on HOA and COA profiles still seems to sub-optimally resolve the apportionment of BBOA from CCOA, as one unconstrained factor contains high contributions from both \( m/z \) 60 and PAH-related \( m/z \)’s (\( m/z \) 77, 91 and 115, as shown in Fig. S3) which indicate the mixing between BBOA and CCOA. To separate BBOA and CCOA, we
constrained BBOA using the average of BBOA profiles from the 5-factor unconstrained PMF solutions.

To explore the solution space, a value of 0-0.5 with an interval of 0.1 was used to constrain both the HOA and COA reference profiles from literature while BBOA was constrained with a value of 0 because the BBOA profile was resolved from unconstrained PMF solution which is not expected to vary significantly. 36 possible results were obtained by limiting a range of a values. Three criteria for optimizing OA source appointment are as follows:

(1) The diurnal pattern of COA. The diurnal cycle of COA should have higher concentrations during mealtime.

(2) Minimization of m/z 60 in HOA. The upper limit of m/z 60 in the HOA profile is 0.006, which is the maximal fractional contribution derived from multiple ambient data sets in different regions (mean + 2σ) (Ng et al., 2011b).

(3) The rationality of unconstrained factors. OOA should have abundant signal at m/z 44 and contain much lower signals at PAH-related ion peaks compared to CCOA.

Nine solutions match the criteria above. The final time series and mass spectra are therefore the averages of these 9 solutions. The diurnal variations of mass concentrations of the OA factors and their PBL-corrected results are shown in Fig. 2b and d, respectively. The mass spectra and time series of the OA factors and their correlation with external tracers are shown in Fig. 3. The relative contributions of each OA source to the m/z’s are shown in Fig. S4. Potential source contribution function (PSCF) analysis was also performed and the result is shown in Fig. S5.

OOA is characterized by high signals at m/z 44 (CO$_2^+$) and m/z 43 (C$_3$H$_7^+$ or C$_2$H$_3$O$^+$). OOA accounts for 85% of m/z 44 signal, much higher than other OA sources. The time series of OOA is highly correlated with that of sulfate ($R^2=0.70$), nitrate ($R^2=0.75$) and ammonium ($R^2=0.76$), confirming the secondary nature of this factor. The diurnal cycle of OOA shows an increase from 7:00 to 11:00, followed by a decrease in the afternoon due to the PBL evolution effect. After normalizing the PBL effect, OOA increased continuously from 7:00 to 15:00, indicating the importance of photochemical
oxidation. This diurnal feature together with the PSCF results indicated that a large fraction of OOA was produced locally and/or produced from the highly populated and industrialized surrounding areas, consistent with the sulfate production discussed below.

The mass spectrum of CCOA is featured by prominent contributions of unsaturated hydrocarbons, particularly PAH-related ion peaks (e.g., 77, 91, and 115). The CCOA profile shows a weaker signal at m/z 44 than that observed in Beijing (Hu et al., 2016a) and Lanzhou (Xu et al., 2016). This difference can be caused by the difference in coal types, burning conditions and aging processes (Zhou et al., 2016). CCOA accounts for 42-66% of PAH-related ion peaks, much higher than those in other OA sources. This result suggested that the major source of PAHs was coal combustion in wintertime Shijiazhuang. The campaign-averaged mass concentration of CCOA was 23.2 μg m⁻³, which is higher than that in Xi’an (10.1 μg m⁻³) but is similar to that in Beijing (23.5 μg m⁻³) observed in the same winter (Elser et al., 2016a). Nevertheless, during haze extremes, the average CCOA concentration was 77.5 μg m⁻³ in Shijiazhuang, much higher than that in Beijing (48.2 μg m⁻³, Elser et al., 2016a). CCOA showed distinct diurnal variations with low concentration down to 12.6 μg m⁻³ during the day and high concentration up to 37.6 μg m⁻³ at night, corresponding to 19% and 35% of OA, respectively. The elevated CCOA concentrations at night suggested a large emission from residential heating activities using coal as the fuel compounded by the shallow PBL. The average contribution of CCOA to the total OA was 27%, which is consistent with studies in Beijing and Handan (~160 km south to Shijiazhuang) where CCOA was found to be the dominant primary OA (Elser et al., 2016a; Sun et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017). Given this large fraction of OA from coal combustion, mitigating residential coal combustion is therefore of significant importance for improving air quality in the BTH regions.

The BBOA mass spectrum is featured by prominent m/z 60 (mainly C₂H₄O₂⁺) and 73 (mainly C₃H₅O₂⁺) signals (He et al., 2010). These two ions (C₂H₄O₂⁺ and C₃H₅O₂⁺) are fragments of anhydrous sugars produced from the incomplete combustion and pyrolysis of cellulose and hemicelluloses (Alfarra et al., 2007; Lanz et al., 2007; Mohr et al., 2009). Consistently, BBOA accounts for 50% of m/z 60 and 56% of m/z 73, much higher than those in other sources. In addition,
BBOA accounts for 9-27% of the PAH-related m/z’s (i.e., m/z 77, 91 and 115), lower than that in CCOA but higher than those in other primary OA sources. This suggested that BBOA was also an important PAH source in wintertime Shijiazhuang. A high correlation was found between the time series of BBOA and that of chloride ($R^2$=0.75), the latter of which was suggested to be one of the tracers of biomass burning. BBOA on average accounted for 17% of OA, which is higher than those (9-12%) observed in Beijing during wintertime heating seasons (Elser et al., 2016a; Hu et al., 2016a; Sun et al., 2016). The higher BBOA contribution in wintertime Shijiazhuang is likely associated with widespread use of wood and crop residuals for heating and cooking in Shijiazhuang and surrounding areas, as supported by the PSCF results (Fig. S5).

The COA profile is characterized by a high m/z 55/57 ratio of 2.7, much higher than that in non-cooking POA (0.6-1.1) but within the range of 2.2-2.8 in COA profiles reported by Mohr et al. (2012). COA shows a clear diurnal cycle with distinct peaks at lunch (between 11:00-13:00 local time, LT) and dinner (between 19:00-21:00 LT) times. A small peak was also observed in the morning between 06:00 and 07:00 LT, consistent with the breakfast time. COA on average accounted for 16% of total OA with the highest contribution of 24% during dinner time.

The HOA mass spectrum is dominated by hydrocarbon ion series of $[C_nH_{2n+1}]^+$ and $[C_nH_{2n-1}]^+$ (Canagaratna et al., 2004; Mohr et al., 2009). The diurnal variation of HOA is featured by high concentration at night, likely due to enhanced truck emissions (only allowed to drive on road from 23:00 to 6:00 LT) and shallow PBL at night. Similar diurnal cycles were found in wintertime Beijing and Xi’an (Sun et al., 2016; Elser et al, 2016a). HOA, on average, accounted for 13% of total OA for the entire observation period, which was higher than that in Beijing (9-10%) but lower than that in Xi’an (15%) measured in the same winter (Elser et al., 2016a; Sun et al., 2016).

3.3 Chemical nature and sources at different PM levels

Fig. 4 shows the mass fractions of NR-PM$_1$ species and OA sources on reference days and extremely polluted days. Here, the days with NR-PM$_1$ daily average mass concentration higher than the 75th percentile (i.e., ≥238 µg m$^{-3}$) are denoted as the extremely polluted days and the rest of days as reference days. The average concentration of NR-PM$_1$ was 310 µg m$^{-3}$ during extremely polluted
days, about 2 times higher than that during reference days (162 µg m^{-3}). The average concentration of secondary inorganic aerosol was 65 µg m^{-3} (40% of NR-PM_{1} mass) during reference days and increased to 143 µg m^{-3} (46% of NR-PM_{1} mass) during extremely polluted days. Secondary organic aerosol also increased from 19 µg m^{-3} (22% of OA) during reference days to 40 µg m^{-3} (26% of OA) during extremely polluted days. The enhanced mass concentrations (~2 times) of both secondary inorganic aerosol and secondary organic aerosol during extremely pollution days suggested strong secondary aerosol production during pollution events. Such enhancement was likely confounded by stagnant weather conditions (e.g., average wind speed was 0.9 m s^{-1}) and high RH of 69.4% which facilitated the production and accumulation of secondary aerosol. Note that it was already very polluted during the reference days with an average concentration of NR-PM_{1} of 162 µg m^{-3}, which may explain the relatively small increase in fractional contribution of secondary aerosol from reference days to extremely polluted days.

Fig. 5a and b show the factors driving the pollution events by binning the fractional contribution of each chemical species and OA source to total NR-PM_{1} and OA mass, respectively. The data clearly show that high pollution events are characterized by an increasing secondary fraction, reaching ~55% at the highest NR-PM_{1} mass bin (300-360 µg m^{-3}). In particular, from the lowest NR-PM_{1} bin to the highest NR-PM_{1} bin, the fractional contribution increases from 14% to 25% for sulfate in NR-PM_{1} and from 18% to 25% for OOA in OA, demonstrating the importance of secondary aerosol formation in driving particulate air pollution (Huang et al., 2014; Elser et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). To investigate the oxidation degree of sulfur at different NR-PM_{1} mass, the sulfur oxidation ratio ($F_{SO_4}$) was calculated according to Eq. (1)

$$F_{SO_4} = \frac{n[SO_4^{2-}]}{n[SO_4^{2-}] + n[SO_2]}$$ (1)

where $n$ is the molar concentration. As can be seen from Fig. 6, $F_{SO_4}$ shows a clear increase trend with NR-PM_{1} mass, increasing from 0.08 in the lowest mass bin to 0.21 in the highest mass bin. However, the highest $F_{SO_4}$ value is still much lower than that reported in previous studies, e.g., 0.62 in Xi’an
(Elser et al., 2016), suggesting low atmospheric oxidative capacity during the measurement period in Shijiazhuang. This may also explain the relatively low OOA fraction (see Fig. 5b). Certainly, it should be noted that the mass concentration of sulfate may also be affected by other parameters including aerosol liquid water content, aerosol or cloud water pH, besides atmospheric oxidative capacity.

### 3.4 Evolution of aerosol composition and sources at different RH levels

Fig. 7a and b show the mass concentrations of the NR-PM$_1$ species and of the OA sources as a function of RH, with RH bins of 10% increments. The absolute mass concentrations of secondary inorganic species increased as RH increased from $<60\%$ to $90\%-60\%$, while chloride showed a decreasing trend. Among the OA sources, OOA and HOA were significantly enhanced with RH increasing from $<60\%$ to $90\%$, while other OA sources did not show a clear trend. As RH increased gradually with the decrease of wind speed (Fig. 6a), the development of stagnant weather conditions (including a shallower PBL) promoted both the accumulation of pollutants and the formation of secondary aerosol (Tie et al., 2016). To minimize the effects from PBL variations, the NR-PM$_1$ species and OA fractions were normalized by the sum of the POA, as a surrogate of secondary aerosol precursors. The resulting ratios were further normalized by the values at the first RH bin ($<60\%$) for better visualization. As shown in Fig. 7c, when RH increased from $<60\%$ to $90\%-60\%$ to $100\%$, the normalized sulfate increased by a factor of $\sim 1.72-5$, suggesting the importance of aqueous-phase SO$_2$ oxidation in the formation of sulfate at high RH. The enhancements for nitrate and ammonium were slightly lower ($\sim 1.24-5$) compared to that sulfate, because NH$_4$NO$_3$ is thermally labile and its gas-particle partitioning is affected by both temperature and RH. The importance of aqueous-phase chemistry is further supported by the increase of $F_{SO_4}$ as a function of RH (Fig. 6b). At RH $<60\%$, $F_{SO_4}$ was rather constant, with an average of 0.09, indicating a low sulfur oxidation degree. At RH $>60\%$, $F_{SO_4}$ increased rapidly with the increase of RH, reaching a maximal average of 0.18 at the last RH bin (90-100%). Note that the sulfur oxidation degree at high RH ($>60\%$) was much lower compared to those measured in Xi’an during the same winter (average $F_{SO_4}$ 0.62 at RH=90-100%, Elser et al., 2016a). The low sulfur oxidation degree observed in Shijiazhuang (i.e., $>80\%$ of sulfur is...
still not oxidized) indicated insufficient atmospheric processing and also suggested a large fraction of pollutants in Shijiazhuang was likely emitted locally and/or transported from the heavily populated and industrialized surrounding areas. With a longer atmospheric processing time in the downwind region, e.g., Beijing, higher secondary aerosol fractions are expected, as observed in previous studies (e.g., Huang et al., 2014). Similar to sulfate, the normalized OOA increased by a factor of \(~1.23\) when RH increased from 60-60% to 90-100% (Fig. 7d). The mass fraction of OOA increased from 29% to 41% when RH increased from 70% to 100%, while POA contribution decreased correspondingly from 71% to 59% (Fig. 6d). These results support the above discussion that aqueous-phase chemistry also plays an important role in the formation of OOA under high RH conditions during haze pollution episodes.

### 3.5 Primary emissions versus secondary formation

Frequent changes between clean and polluted episodes were observed in this study. To get a better insight into aerosol sources and atmospheric processes, 4 clean periods (C1-C4) with daily average mass concentration of NR-PM\(_1\) lower than the 25\(^{\text{th}}\) percentile, 6 high-RH (>80%) polluted episodes (H1-H6) and 4 low-RH (<60%) polluted episodes (L1-L4) with daily average mass concentration of NR-PM\(_1\) higher than the 75\(^{\text{th}}\) percentile were selected for further analysis. As shown in the Fig. 8, the chemical composition and sources differed during different episodes. The contributions of organics showed a decreasing trend, from 54-64% during C1-C4 to 49-58% during L1-L4, and to 35-44% during H1-H6, while the corresponding contributions of secondary inorganic species increased. This indicated a notable production and accumulation of secondary inorganic aerosol during severe haze pollution events. For example, the mass fraction of sulfate in NR-PM\(_1\) was much higher during high RH pollution events (H1-H6, 27-30%) compared to those during low RH pollution events (L1-L4, 11-18%) and clean events (C1-C4, 11-17%). OOA also showed a much higher contribution to OA during high RH pollution events (H1-H6, 29-50%) than during low RH pollution events (L1-L3, 17-26%) and clean events (C1-C4, 10-34%). Interestingly, when comparing high RH and low RH pollution events of similar PM levels (Fig. 8), secondary inorganic species and OOA dominated the particulate pollution at high RH pollution events *likely due to enhanced secondary formation*, similar to previous
studies (e.g., Wang et al., 2017), while POA dominated the particulate pollution at low RH and under stagnant conditions. The concentrations of POA are determined by both emissions and meteorological conditions. The different significance of primary aerosol and secondary aerosol in low and high RH pollution events highlights the importance of meteorological conditions in driving particulate pollution.

Fig. 9 shows the evolution of aerosol species in two cases of different RH levels. The first case had average RH <50% from 20-24 Jan (C2 and L3 episodes). The high wind speed (>6 m s⁻¹) from the northwest before the L3 episode led to a significant reduction of air pollutants (the C3 episode, a clean-up period). When the wind direction switched from northwest to 90°-270° sector and the wind speed decreased to <3 m s⁻¹, the measured pollutants (except O₃ which was reacted out by increasing NO emissions) started to build up. Specifically, NR-PM₁ showed a dramatic increase by a factor of 19 over the first 11 hours (from 20 Jan 16:00 to 21 Jan 3:00 LT) from 12 to 233 μg m⁻³. In this process POA contributed to an average 69% of NR-PM₁ mass. The other three processes were also characterized by a rapid increase of NR-PM₁ mass (39-50 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹) and high contribution of POA, i.e., from 22 Jan 0:00-22 Jan 3:00, 22 Jan 16:00-22 Jan 20:00, and 23 Jan 12:00-23 Jan 19:00. Such rapid increases in NR-PM₁ mass under low RH were associated with stagnant weather conditions (e.g., low wind speed) which promoted the accumulation of pollutants. The second case had average RH >80% from 5-8 Feb (H3 and H4 episodes). In this case, the wind speed was low (<3 m s⁻¹) throughout the 4-day period. Under this very stagnant weather condition, POA accumulated continuously (Fig. 9). However, different from the low-RH case, the concentration of secondary species also showed continuous increases in this high-RH case. The enhancement of secondary aerosol formation was likely driven by aqueous-phase chemistry at high RH level (Elser et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017) and the accumulation of pollutants under stagnant weather conditions (Tie et al., 2017) which further promoted the formation of secondary species.

4 Conclusions

A Quadrupole Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (Q-ACSM) was deployed in Shijiazhuang from 11 January to 18 February 2014 to investigate the chemical nature, sources and atmospheric
processes of \textit{wintertime} fine particles in Shijiazhuang were investigated in this heavily polluted city. The average mass concentration of NR-PM$_1$ was $178 \pm 101 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$, much higher than the wintertime concentrations measured in many other cities. Organics were the dominant composition (50%), followed by sulfate (21%), nitrate (12%), ammonium (11%) and chloride (6%). As for the sources of OA, OOA (27%) and CCOA (27%) were on average the most abundant sources, followed by BBOA (17%), COA (16%) and HOA (13%). The mass fractions of secondary inorganic species and SOA increased with the increase of NR-PM$_1$ mass, suggesting the importance of secondary formation in driving PM pollution. However, the low sulfur oxidation degree and low OOA fraction indicated insufficient atmospheric oxidation capacity. Together with the diurnal variations and PSCF results, these observations suggested that a large fraction of pollutants in Shijiazhuang was most likely produced locally and/or transported from the heavily populated and industrialized surrounding areas without sufficient atmospheric aging. Two different regimes were found to be responsible for the high PM pollution in Shijiazhuang. At low RH under stagnant weather conditions, the accumulation of primary emissions was the main culprit. In contrast, at high RH, the enhanced formation of secondary aerosol through aqueous-phase chemistry was the main culprit. To conclude, we found that in this highly polluted city in North China, (1) secondary formation is important in high-PM episodes, (2) primary emissions are still important on an average basis, and (3) meteorological conditions play an important part in pollutant accumulation and transformation. The findings from this study thus suggest that (a) there are still opportunities for air pollution mitigation by controlling direct emissions such as coal combustion, and (b) control on precursors (e.g., NO$_x$, SO$_2$, and VOCs) for secondary formation, especially during high-PM episodes with unfavorable meteorological conditions, can ease the situation substantially.
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Table 1. The fine PM mass concentrations and fractional contribution of different composition in different locations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>NR-PM$_1$ (µg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>OA</th>
<th>SO$_4^{2-}$</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$</th>
<th>Cl$^-$</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Winter, 2010</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hu et al., 2016a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Winter, 2011</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Winter, 2012</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Winter, 2012</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Wang et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Winter, 2013</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Winter, 2013</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Jiang et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Winter, 2013</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Winter, 2014</td>
<td>75$^a$</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Elser et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Summer, 2011</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hu et al., 2016a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>Summer, 2012</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sun et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanzhou</td>
<td>Winter,</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Xu et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Season</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanzhou</td>
<td>Summer, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>24   53   18  11  13  5</td>
<td>Xu et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziyang</td>
<td>Winter, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>60   40   24  15  17  4</td>
<td>Hu et al., 2016b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handan</td>
<td>Winter, 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td>178  47   16  15  13  9</td>
<td>Li et al., 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenzhen</td>
<td>Autumn, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.3 46   29  12  11  2</td>
<td>He et al., 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>Summer, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>27   31   36  17  14  2</td>
<td>Huang et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanjing</td>
<td>Summer, 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td>36.8 42   14  24  19  1</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2015b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>Winter, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.5 33   40  10  16  1</td>
<td>Li et al., 2015b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>Summer, 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.7   26   56  3   15  0.1</td>
<td>Li et al., 2015b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>Winter, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.7 35   16  33  15  1</td>
<td>Crippa et al., 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno, Califonia</td>
<td>Winter, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.8 67   3   20  8   2</td>
<td>Ge et al., 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shijiazhuang</td>
<td>Winter, 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>178  50   21  12  11  6</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 NR-PM$_{2.5}$
Fig. 1 Time series of relative humidity and temperature (a), O₃ and SO₂ (b), NO₂ and CO (c), and the NR-PM₁ species (d) during the observation period. 6 high-RH (>80%) polluted episodes (H₁-H₆), 4 low-RH (<60%) polluted episodes (L₁-L₄), and 4 clean episodes (C₁-C₄) are marked for further discussion.
Fig. 2. Diurnal variations of NR-PM$_1$ composition (a), OA sources (b), NR-PM$_1$ species/ΔCO (C) and OA sources/ΔCO (d).
Fig. 3 Mass spectrums (left) and time series (right) of five OA sources. Error bars of mass spectrums represent the standard deviation of each m/z over all accepted solutions.
Fig. 4. Relative contributions of NR-PM$_1$ species and OA sources (OOA, CCOA, BBOA, COA and HOA) in reference days (a) and extremely polluted days (b). Extremely polluted days are defined as the daily average mass concentration of NR-PM$_1$ higher than the 75$^{th}$ percentile (237.3 µg m$^{-3}$) and the rest refers to the reference days. Data in the Spring Festival is excluded to eliminate the influence from a change of emission patterns in the holiday.
Fig. 5. Relative contributions of NR-PM$_1$ species (a) and OA sources (b) as a function of daily average NR-PM$_1$ mass concentrations. The numbers above the bars refer to the OA mass concentration ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$). Data in the Spring Festival is excluded to eliminate the influence from the change of emission patterns in the holiday.
Fig. 6. Variations of wind speed as a function of RH (a), $F_{SO4}$ as a function of RH (b) and of the NR-PM$_1$ mass concentrations (c), and the mass fraction of organic as a function of RH (d).
Fig. 7. The average mass concentration of NR-PM$_1$ species (a) and OA sources (b) as a function of RH. The average mass concentration of NR-PM$_1$ species (c) and OA sources (d) normalized to the sum of primary sources (HOA, COA, BBOA, and CCOA) as a function of RH. All ratios are further normalized to the values at the first RH bin (<60%) for the better illustration.
Fig. 8. Summary of relative humidity and temperature, gaseous species, organic sources and NR-PM$_1$ chemical composition for high-RH (H1-H6) polluted, low-RH (L1-L4), and clean (C1-C4) episodes.
Fig. 9. Time series of meteorological factors (relative humidity, temperature, wind speed and wind direction), gaseous species, OA factors and NR-PM$_1$ chemical composition for the first period (average RH <50%) (a) and the second period (average RH>80%) (b).