This manuscript examines pulses of NH3 observed by the IASI-A instrument on MetOp-A over the Sahel. These pulses occur at the start of the rainy season and appear to correspond to increased biochemical activity in soils induced by rainfall. The paper is well written, the analysis is clear, and the results are very interesting. My main concern is that the timing of the enhancements in OMI NO2 (May-June), IASI NH3 (March-April), and surface NH3 (May-June) appear to be different. I elaborate on this below.

The timing of the NH3 peaks seems to be different than those of NO2. Several studies (for example Jaeglé et al., 2004; Hudman et al., 2012) have examined satellite NO2 pulses over the Sahel, finding that the pulses take place sometime in May-June, corresponding to the arrival of the first rains after the dry season over various regions of the Sahel. Figure 2, shows the same pattern of the largest OMI NO2 enhancement taking place in June. For NH3, the authors focus mostly on March and April. Some discussion of why the timing would be different in terms of pulses of NO2 and NH3 would be useful to include in the manuscript (for example in section 3.2.3 discussing the co-emissions of NH3 and NO2). Does it have to do with different population dynamics of the various bacteria and their response to subsequent wetting events? Interestingly, Figure 3 shows that surface observations do indicate maximum NH3 mixing ratios in May-June (at least for sites b, c, f), consistent with the OMI NO2 pulses. Why would surface observations of NH3 show a different seasonality than the satellite observations of NH3? Is the increasing cloud cover affecting the number and quality of the retrievals in May-June? Is the later June NH3 pulse masked by clouds?

This is an excellent question, and we are happy to add more discussion regarding what is happening. In the following, we’ve broken our response into sections for each major question, and then present the new discussion added to the revised manuscript.

1) Why do we see NH3 pulses in March and April when Jaeglé et al. 2004 and Hudman et al. 2012 find that “pulses take place sometime in May-June, corresponding to the arrival of the first rains after the dry season over various regions of the Sahel?” Our first point is that we believe we have presented evidence that soil NO pulses are occurring in response to the same increases in soil moisture that cause the soil NH3 pulses in March and April 2008, and it seems to us that similar pulsing is observed in both the Jaegle et al 2004 and Hudman et al 2012 papers. As noted on page 14 line 11, satellite observations of NO2 and NH3 concentrations are strongly correlated for the month of April 2008 ($r=0.78$, $p<0.0001$), suggesting that the increases in soil moisture are leading to pulsed emissions of both gases. The following figure may help to illustrate the correlation; it reproduces the data included in the top and bottom panels of figure 2 in a single panel (note that each date’s means are calculated using only grid cells for which both NO2 and NH3 observations were present):
The correspondence of the NO2 and NH3 peaks in April is fairly clear here. We also interpret the March NH3 peak to be accompanied by an NO2 peak that emerges about a week later. We discuss the apparent lag between emission pulses of NH3 and NO2 in March on page 14, line 24 and following, and expand upon it in the revised manuscript (details below). The general idea is that populations of nitrifying bacteria, which are generally responsible for the vast majority of NO emissions from soils, are likely dormant when this March increase in soil moisture occurs. Nitrifiers are notoriously slow growing, resulting in the lag between the pulse of NH3 and NO (in California field soils, no increase in nitrifying populations was observed for the first seven days following fertilizer applications (Okano et al 2004 Appl Env Microbiol.)); nutrient additions are physically quite different from wetting, but both do represent release from an environmental limitation on growth). Lags are often observed between wetting or fertilization of soils and subsequent NO emissions from soils in experimental studies. This increase in soil moisture in March is also very modest, which may have limited the magnitude of the NO response more than that of the NH3 response—that is, the increase in soil moisture may have been large enough to trigger a flush of N mineralization and more-or-less immediate NH3 volatilization, but the soils may have dried again before the nitrifying populations were able to really kick into gear (or grow in size), limiting the magnitude of the NO pulse.
Something else to note is that Hudman et al.’s (2012) analysis of atmospheric NO2 concentrations in 2006 uses a 2mm rainfall threshold to define the start of the rainy season. That threshold that is indeed met for much of the Sahel in April of that year, as illustrated in their Figure 2, and thus the pulsing that Hudman et al observe includes pulsing in April. Those patterns are arguably broadly consistent with what we observe here, and the increase in soil moisture we observe in March occurs with average rainfall well under 2 mm (figure 2). The NO2 enhancements that Hudman et al observe are increases roughly between 1 and 1.5 times higher than concentrations 5 days previous to the first rainfall event for a given pixel, which are similar to the enhancements in NO2 we observe during both the March and the April pulse events. (We recognize that Hudman et al do not identify a lagged response, though again, that lag occurred in March, in response to average rainfall below 2 mm.) Jaegle et al focus on enhancements in June, but if you look at the annual data presented in their figure 2, the overall pattern is quite similar to what we observe in 2008: two early modest rainfall events in April and May appear to be accompanied by pulses in NO2 concentration.

2) If it is agreed that there is, in fact, pulsing of both NO2 and NH3 in response to the same increases in soil moisture in March and April, then the question becomes “why do NO2 concentrations reach their maxima in May and June, whereas the NH3 concentrations reach maxima in March and April?” This again is a good question. We believe it is probably related to the different mechanisms responsible for emissions of NH3 and NO from soils, and potentially in part to the dependence of both processes on ammonium (NH4+) availability. NO is primarily produced biologically during two processes: the transformation of NH4+ to nitrate during nitrification, and the transformation of nitrate to N2 during denitrification. NH3 is produced abiotically when ammonium is deprotonated. We mentioned above the slow-growth of nitrifying populations; generation times of 373 hours were observed for population responses of ammonia oxidizers to ammonium sulfate applications in a maize field in Davis, California (Okano et al 2004 Appl Env Microbiol.). In that example, population size did not increase at all during the first week following the ammonium sulfate applications, and rose by roughly 50% during the second week. Between the 13th and 39th day, however, the population roughly tripled. This is just one study, and it is looking at the effects of fertilizer application rather than of wetting (although it was conducted in the early growing season in a region with distinct rainy and dry seasons, and both wetting and fertilization represent a release from environmental constraints on population growth), but the slow rate of nitrifying population growth observed in Davis matches the patterns observed over the Sahel very well: nitrifying populations are presumably released from widespread water limitation starting around the end of April, and NO concentrations grow to their maximum a little more than a month later.

A second point here is that both NH3 volatilization and nitrification are dependent on the availability of NH4+, and thus we can potentially explain why NH3 concentrations decline somewhat when NO2 concentrations increase: growing nitrifying populations represent more competition for available NH4+. Some researchers have suggested that this competition is so steep that the two processes are mutually exclusive (Praveen-Kumar and Aggarwal, 1998). As environmental conditions become more
accommodating for nitrifying populations, NH3 emissions could be expected to necessarily decrease as NO emissions increase, all else being equal. However, it is interesting to note that at 167 mg N m⁻², the mean total NH3 emissions per unit area for the 61-day period in May and June are still quite elevated relative to the dry season, just a slight decline from the 61-day period in March and April (173 mg N m⁻²). So it would appear that both periods are important for NH3 emissions, although in March and April, the pulsing dynamics appear to be much more distinct and important.

3. Could changing NH3 observation counts be responsible for the mismatch? It does not appear so. Although there is a drop in observation counts in July and August, for the period of March through the end of June (green points in the plot below), observation counts are relatively constant. In addition, in our original correlation analysis, we only used grid cells that included both NO2 and NH3 observations; in our presentations in figures 2 and 6, we included NO2 grid cells that also had NH3 observations, and so any change in NH3 observation counts would have affected the temporal patterns of both gases equally. In this revision, we include additional analyses that consider only grid cells where both NH3 and NO2 observations, with no qualitative effect on the results (see response to Reviewer 2’s comment regarding Page 18, line 10 below).
4. Why is there an apparent mismatch between INDAAF surface observation sites and satellite observations of NH3? Another good question. Spatial variation in the timing and magnitude of NH3 emissions and concentrations is to be expected, and we believe that the basic issue is that these sites are not representative of the mean for our focal region of the Sahel. When examining patterns of monthly mean NH3 concentrations for 1° grid cells centered on each of the three INDAAF Sahel sites (b, c, and f), we see that the overall pattern for 2008 observed by IASI and at the surface is in better agreement with the surface observations than the regional mean of IASI observations is.

In these satellite observations of the atmosphere over each of the surface sites, the increase in spring NH3 concentrations occurs later in the year than the regional mean, with peak NH3 concentrations occur in May for each location. This is perhaps not surprising, since our focal region is characterized by a gradient of increasing mean annual precipitation from north to south, combined with generally earlier onset of the rainy season in the south than the north. Hence, we may expect to see earlier and/or larger NH3 emissions from the southern than the northern part of the region on average (e.g., Figure 5 in the original manuscript), and the INDAAF Sahel sites are largely in the northern part of the latitudinal range we examine.

NEW DISCUSSION TEXT:

Page 15, line 20 and following: “There is also a clear mis-match in the timing of the peak NH3 concentrations, which occurred in March and April, and peak NO2 concentrations, which occurred in May and June (Figure 2). We believe that several factors are likely contributing to the different temporal dynamics of NH3 and NO2 concentrations. First, to be clear, we argue that the rainfall events in March and April are indeed triggering pulses of both gases, illustrated in part by the strong correlation between the two gases during April (r=0.78, p<0.0001; Figure 2; for a strict comparison in which concentrations of NO2 and NH3 are calculated using only grid cells that have observations for both gases, see Figure S1, though the results are qualitatively similar to Figure 2). Temporal patterns of surface NO2 concentrations observed by the INDAAF network may also support the presence of these smaller, early pulses (Figure S2). Although not explicitly investigated, we believe earlier studies of NO2 pulsing in the Sahel that focus on emissions during May and June include observations of similar early season pulses (Jaegle et al. 2004, Hudman et al. 2012).

The smaller early season NO2 pulses are potentially the result of the nitrifier population dynamics alluded to above. Specifically, because population growth is slow, nitrifying populations are smaller during March and April than they will become later in the growing season when they are released from sustained environmental limitations on growth, and so nitrification rates remain lower in these early responses. In an earlier field study conducted in Davis, California, which also experiences distinct dry and rainy seasons, nitrifier population growth rates were very slow to respond to ammonium additions, roughly matching the temporal patterns of NO2 emissions we observed in the Sahel (Okano et al. 2004). In that study, population size did not increase during the first week following ammonium sulfate applications, and then rose by roughly 50% in the second week. Between the 13th and 39th day, however, the population roughly tripled. In the Sahel, nitrifying populations are presumably released from widespread water limitation around the beginning of May (Figure 2), and NO2 concentrations grow to their maximum a little more than a month later. An additional point is that although the pulsing behaviour of NH3 appears to diminish in May and June, total NH3 emissions during those months are almost as elevated relative to the dry period as emissions during March and April (167 mg N m⁻² and 173 mg N m⁻² for each 61-day period, respectively; Figure 6). Consequently, it could be argued that May and June remain important months for both NO2 and NH3 emissions. For a presentation of modelled emissions calculated based only grid cells that have satellite observations for both gases, see Figure S3. This additional screening reduces annual modelled NH3 emissions by roughly 5%, and reduces modelled emissions during the pulses by roughly 2-3% relative to modelled NH3 emissions that do not take the presence or absence of NO2 observations into account.

A second point is that both NH3 volatilization and nitrification are dependent on NH4⁺ availability, and thus competition between these processes for NH4⁺ is likely to contribute to the observed temporal dynamics of atmospheric NH3 and NO2 concentrations. The increased competition for available NH4⁺ from growing nitrifier
populations could contribute to the observed decline in NH$_3$ emissions between April and May. Earlier researchers have hypothesized that competition between NH$_3$ volatilization and nitrification for NH$_4^+$ is so extreme that the two processes cannot occur at the same time (Praveen-Kumar and Aggarwal, 1998). However, soil emissions of NO and NH$_3$ have been shown to be broadly coupled in the Sahel (Delon et al. 2018), and the few field and lab studies measuring soil emissions of both gases following wetting observe positive fluxes of each (McCalley and Sparks, 2008; Schlesinger and Peterjohn, 1991; Soper et al., 2016), with NH$_3$ dominating emissions from desert soils (McCalley and Sparks, 2008; Schlesinger and Peterjohn, 1991) and NO dominating from grassland soils (Soper et al., 2016). Our findings contrast with those of Soper et al., as NH$_3$ appears to be the dominant species emitted during pulse events in March and April (Figure 6), though NO may dominate later in the season, during the period focused on by Jaeglé et al (2004). We expect that even were the two processes mutually exclusive at the scale of a soil core or chamber, heterogeneity in soil properties at the pixel or regional scale would explain our observations of coinciding peaks of NO$_2$ and NH$_3$.”

Page 19, line 15 and following: “The difference in the timing of peak NH$_3$ concentrations between the IASI observations and surface observations at Banizoumbou and Katibougou may be in part because of random variation and the effects of local influences, which are likely also responsible for variation among the three sites. In addition, deterministic variation in NH$_3$ emissions in the Sahel is likely important. These sites are located at latitudes near the northern boundary of our focal area, and could be influenced by emissions from the north. In addition, we observe a north-south gradient in soil moisture, precipitation, and NH$_3$ concentrations during the pulse event in April (Figure 4), suggesting that pulsing is larger in the southern part of our focal area during this period. The onset of the rainy season tends to occur later in more northern latitudes. Indeed, IASI observations of 1° gridcells over each of the three INDAAF sites show better agreement with the surface observations, with peak NH$_3$ concentrations occurring in May for all sites during 2008 (Figure S4).”

Minor comments. - Throughout the manuscript (including the abstract). The authors refer to NO$_2$ as ‘nitric dioxide’. It should be nitrogen dioxide.

Thank you for catching this error, though we want to point out that it occurred only once (in the abstract: page 1, line 20). Throughout the manuscript, we use nitric oxide (NO) when discussing soil emissions, and nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) when discussing OMI observations. We recognize that this could lead to some confusion, but also believe it is a precise description of the processes and observations discussed in the manuscript (suggestions for improving clarity are welcome). We have made a few changes for improved accuracy and to try to minimize any confusion. We also include the following statement to highlight our use of NO, NO$_2$, and NOx in the manuscript:

“Note that in this paper, NO is used in discussions of soil emissions specifically; since satellite observations are of NO$_2$, we use NO$_2$ when discussing those observations, and NO$_2$ or NOx when discussing modelled surface emissions based on those observations.” (Page 5, line 17)
Some example changes; in these examples, “NO2” was formerly “NO” and “peak” was formerly “pulse” (new text in bold italics):

“The March NO₂ peak is also smaller than the April NO₂ peak, and smaller than the April NH₃ peak.”

“We expect that even were the two processes mutually exclusive at the scale of a soil core or chamber, heterogeneity in soil properties at the pixel or regional scale can explain our observations of coinciding peaks of NO₂ and NH₃.”

“In general, however, our modelled emissions suggest that NH₃ is probably emitted at substantially higher rates than NO or NO₂ during pulse events,”

“limit our ability to quantitatively constrain the surface NH₃ or NO₂ fluxes, or to make strict quantitative comparisons between them.”

Equation 1. It isn’t clear how this is used for low concentrations. Does the equation mean that even if the error is above 100%, if the concentrations are low enough then the retrievals are kept? Also, it would be useful to have units after 5x10¹⁵ (I assume it is molec/cm²)

It does indeed mean that if the concentrations are low enough then the retrievals are kept. The errors are based on a statistical analysis of variability in the retrieval parameters observed over a clean patch in the pacific (Whitburn 2016). But under the right conditions, it is possible that a retrieval is correct while the error (being slightly fixed) is too high, and so the equation allows for retaining these low concentration retrievals. This also resolves the issue of biasing high when removing all small values (as most have a relative error above 100). And thank you: we have added the units to the equation.


Equation 3. There is an extra space before the tx (effective lifetime), also, x should be subscripted.

We have made the changes

REVIEWER 2:
I have read the comments from the first reviewer and agree that this is a clear, well written and very interesting paper. I also agree that the offset between the NH3 and NO2 peaks should be discussed in slightly more depth. What does the INDAAF NO2 data show?

INDAAF NO2 observations (as illustrated in the following figure) follow a broadly similar pattern to the OMI NO2 observations, with the highest concentrations occurring in May and June in Banizoumbou (c), Katibougou (f), and possibly in Agoufou (b), though data for June 2008 are not available. The pattern in Agoufou and Banizoumbou are interesting, with elevated concentrations in April (and March for Banizoumbou) in 2008, but with peak NO2 concentrations occurring in May and June (Banizoumbou) or June and July (Agoufou long-term average). These data suggest the presence of smaller early season NO pulses in these sites. Katibougou is also very interesting: the concentration peak occurs in May, with a substantial decline in June. We include the following figure as SI for the revised manuscript.

Agoufou, Mali (b), Banizoumbou, Niger (c), Bomassa, Congo (d), Djougou, Benin (e), Katibougou, Mali (f), Lamto, Côte d’Ivoire (g), and Zoetele, Cameroon (h).
It appears to us that the patterns here reflect both the possibility of early season pulses in Agoufou and Banizoumbou, and also the presence of substantial variability in the timing of peak concentrations among the three sites located within the Sahel. That spatio-temporal variability in the timing of peak NO2 concentrations would seem to highlight the potential importance of local influences which can contribute to different patterns between sites, and potentially between surface and satellite observations.

I do think some clarification from the authors would be helpful on the following issues:

Page 7, line 7: please add a sentence of two on the regridding technique used.

We have added the additional clarifying sentence (Page 7, line 14): "Specifically, we calculated the concentration for a given grid cell as the mean of all elliptical IASI footprints for which the corners of the grid cell were within the footprint."

Page 7, line 11: what is the IASI detection limit in the Sahel?

This is a difficult question to answer as there aren’t any hourly measurements available in the Sahel region, which makes it hard to compare the conditions with the satellite observations. However, the Sahel is a region with high thermal contrast (in contrast to, say, northern Europe), which makes it a region with generally optimal conditions for IASI. From comparisons with measurements under conditions of high thermal contrast, IASI seems to be able to reliably observe down to 1 to 2 ppb at the surface, which translates roughly into ~2e15/5e15 depending on the boundary layer height and other factors. This level of sensitivity is also seen in Van Damme et al., 2015 and Dammers et al., 2016, which found acceptable correlations between IASI and independent observations, even for background-like concentrations. We have added the following text (page 7 line 9):

“Given the absence of hourly observations in the Sahel, the detection limit of IASI is difficult to determine with certainty. However, the region experiences high thermal contrast, and IASI seems to be able to reliably observe down to 1 to 2 ppb at the surface.”

Page 8, line 24: it appears that a uniform profile is assumed here. Is this really a good assumption?

Good point, and one we should have highlighted in the original manuscript. This is a simplifying assumption, which we have made for three key reasons: 1) We believe that given the level of uncertainty inherent in our box model (with its assumptions of no transport and the use of a range of plausible effective lifetimes of NO2 and NH3), it is preferable to keep the model as simple as possible to both make it as transparent as possible, and to avoid giving the impression of a level of accuracy or certainty in modeled emissions that is not present, especially given the uncertainty in vertical distribution of NH3 in the IASI retrievals. 2) Independent of point 1, we do not think the assumption is unreasonable. The vertical profile of IASI NH3 is assumed to be essentially homogeneous within the boundary layer. And since lifetimes of both gases are relatively short, it seems plausible that gases are concentrated near the surface, especially when concentrations are most influenced by soil fluxes. 3) Lastly, we decided to take an identical modeling approach to that of Whitburn et al 2015 in modeling NH3 fluxes so that the results of the
two studies, which focus on adjoining regions in Africa and contrasting sources of NH3, are strictly comparable.

In addition to emphasizing the simple nature of the box model in the text, we have added the following clarifying text (Page 17, line 26):

“It is also important to note the simplifying assumption of a uniform atmospheric profile in the box model, which ignores any variation in vertical distribution. Still, we believe a uniform profile is a reasonable assumption, especially for soil fluxes. Each of these gases has relatively short lifetimes, and unlike fire plumes, are unlikely to be rapidly lofted to high altitudes following emission from soil. In addition, there is very little variation in NH3 distribution throughout the boundary layer in the assumed IASI profile.”

Whitburn et al. 2015 Atmos. Env. 121: 42e54

Page 11, line 11: I don’t see the increase in fire emissions in late March

Thank you for pointing out this error; the modest increase is actually just prior to the April pulse, not the March pulse. We have revised the paragraph to read as follows (Page 11, line 16; changes in bold italics):

“For our focal region of the Sahel (defined above and outlined in red in Figure 1), mean atmospheric NH3 concentrations exhibit two distinct peaks in late March and April (Figure 2a, highlighted in light and dark pink, respectively), which represent the highest concentrations observed in 2008. The late March peak occurs at the same time as an apparent modest increase in mean soil moisture (Fig 2b). The peak in April, during which atmospheric NH3 concentrations over the Sahel are elevated relative to other parts of north equatorial Africa (Figure 3a), occurs during the first period of sustained rainfall in the focal region, and corresponds to a peak in soil moisture, suggesting a possible causal relationship between changes in soil moisture and atmospheric NH3 concentrations (Figure 2b and section 3.2.1 below). This April peak does occur following a possible modest increase mean fire emissions (Fig 2a) across the Sahel. Overall, however, the seasonality in IASI-retrieved atmospheric NH3 concentrations exhibits a marked difference from the seasonality in GFED4s NH3 emissions from fires, which start increasing in September and peak in November (Fig 2a and section 3.2.2 below).”

Page 12, line 25: It could be helpful to rewrite this as: "except during the biomass burning season"

We have revised the sentence to read as follows (Page 13, line 8; added text in bold italics):

“A comparison between our simple box model estimates of NH3 flux and emissions from the GFED4s inventory strongly supports the hypothesis that biomass burning does not play an important role in NH3 emissions during March or April, and further suggests that biomass
burning may represent a relatively unimportant regional source of NH$_3$ during most of the year, outside of the biomass burning season (Figure 6).”

Page 13, line 23: at what scale where these correlations calculated: 0.25 deg or over the entire box?

The correlations were calculated over the entire box; we have revised the sentence to clarify (Page 14, line 10; new text in bold italics):

“For the month of April, total column NH$_3$ concentrations and tropospheric NO$_2$ concentrations integrated across the entire focal region are strongly correlated ($r=0.78$, $p<0.0001$).”

Page 15, line 22: possibly repeat that IASI NH$_3$ appears to be biased low

Thank you for the suggestion. We have revised the paragraph to read as follows (Page 17, line 16; new text in bold italics):

“During the early growing season, atmospheric concentrations of NH$_3$ are roughly an order of magnitude higher than NO$_2$ (figure 2). It is important to note that NH$_3$ retrievals generally have a higher error, and that our screening process may introduce a potential bias in that we permit retrievals with higher uncertainty if they are low concentrations; we also retain observations of negative concentrations. In addition, as mentioned earlier, compared to FTIR observations the IASI total columns are biased low by ~30% which varies per region depending on the local concentrations. From this perspective, our concentration and emission estimates can be considered conservative.”

Page 17, line 17: only NO2 concentrations become comparable to NH3, not the fluxes

Well noted—as it turns out, neither NO2 concentrations nor fluxes are comparable in magnitude to those of NH3 (note the differences in scale in figure 2, something we clearly failed to do adequately), so thanks very much for catching this mis-statement. We have revised the sentence to read as follows (Page 20, line 14; new text in bold italics, including new text to clarify the time period being discussed):

“After the early season pulses, NH$_3$ concentrations and fluxes remain fairly elevated (figure 2, 6); during this period of May through July, NO$_2$ concentrations and fluxes increase, becoming closer in magnitude to NH$_3$ (figure 2,6).”

Page 18, line 8: a more sophisticated inverse modeling of the emissions? This should be made clearer, and should suggest an approach

This point is really just intended to acknowledge that our emissions modeling is extremely simple, and that, for example, inverse modeling using a Kalman filtering or Bayesian approach and an atmospheric chemical transport model could potentially provide more insight into surface
emissions. We add the following text to provide an illustration and example of what is intended with this statement (Page 21, line 4; new text in bold italics):

“A more sophisticated inverse modelling approach (e.g., using a Bayesian approach in combination with an atmospheric chemical transport model) could provide firmer insight into the magnitude of emissions, as well as provide some insight into the magnitude of specific NH₃ sources.”

Page 18, line 10: the increased cloud cover during the rainy season could certainly mask increased NH₃. Cloud cover would also impact OMI NO₂, but possibly not to the same degree. If at all possible, the authors should discuss this.

A good point. In the original manuscript, for the correlation analysis between satellite NO₂ and NH₃ observations, only grid cells including observations of both gases were used in the analysis, and so no effect of cloud cover should be present in that analysis. In contrast, figures 2 and 6 (which present both NO₂ and NH₃ data), are based on OMI-NO₂ observations only from grid cells that also had IASI-NH₃ observations. The reasoning for not also screening NH₃ observations based on whether NO₂ observations were present was that the focus of the manuscript is NH₃, and the NO₂ data were intended as a complementary measure that could provide insight into the mechanism behind the NH₃ pulses. We agree that clearly illustrating and discussing the mismatch between NH₃ and NO₂ responses to wetting events is important, and so in revision, we include additional analyses restricted to grid cells that have both IASI and OMI observations, resulting in new versions of figures 2 and 6 (included below as Figures S1 and S3, respectively); these figures suggest that the different seasonality of the two gases is not an artifact of different impacts of cloud cover on the two satellite products. We have decided that in the main text of the manuscript it is more appropriate to present NH₃ that is not screened based on the presence of NO₂ observations, and to include the new versions in the supplemental information: we feel that the goal of presenting as complete a picture of the seasonality of NH₃ concentrations as possible takes precedence over the goal of comparing NH₃ and NO₂ patterns. We do refer to the two new figures at Page 15 line 26 and Page 16 line 20:

“for a strict comparison in which concentrations of NO₂ and NH₃ are calculated using only grid cells that have observations for both gases, see Figure S1, though the results are qualitatively similar to Figure 2”

“for a presentation of modelled emissions calculated based only grid cells that have satellite observations for both gases, see Figure S3. This screening reduces annual modelled NH₃ emissions by roughly 5%, and reduces modelled emissions during the pulses by roughly 2-3% relative to modelled NH₃ emissions that do not take the presence or absence of NO₂ observations into account.”

When including only grid cells with both NH₃ and NO₂ observations, there is little qualitative change in seasonal patterns. In the revised figure 6 (Figure S3), we also use screened GFED NO₂ and NH₃ data, so that only observations from pixels where the respective satellite observations also exist are included in calculating the daily means presented to allow for a more direct comparison to modelled fluxes; again, there are no qualitative changes in the patterns observed. We also did a small quantitative check on the effect on modelled emissions of screening NH₃ based on NO₂ observations, and found that doing so results in a decline in total annual modelled emissions of
roughly 5%, and a decline in emissions during the pulses of roughly 2 to 3%, making the pulses slightly smaller but slightly more important in the context of annual emissions.

In addition, in re-visiting our screening process, we realized that we had used the wrong mask to prepare the NO2 data for the box model and figure 6. We have since done a thorough check to ensure that the masks and analyses conducted for the revision were completed as intended. The change in mask does not affect the results qualitatively; there is, however, a substantial increase in the magnitude of modelled NO2 emissions. Only figure 6 is affected by the change (the correct mask was used in preparing figure 2). We have revised figure 6, and deleted the phrase “possibly by a factor of 10 or more,” originally on page 15 line 27.

Figure S3. Comparison of daily total surface fluxes and biomass burning emissions of a) NH3-N and b) NO2-N for the focal study region in the Sahel during 2008. Total surface fluxes are estimated from IASI NH3 and OMI NO2 observations using a simple box model and assuming effective lifetimes of 12, 24, or 36 hours for NH3 and of 6, 12, or 24 hours for NO2. Fire emissions are taken from the GFED4s database. Modelled and GFED4s mean emissions were calculated using values only of 0.25° grid cells within the study region for which both NO2 and NH3 observations were present. Note the difference in scales, and that shorter effective lifetimes result in higher modelled emissions.
Figure S1: Early growing season NH$_3$ pulses temporally associated with changes in soil moisture and with NO$_2$ pulses over the focal study region in the Sahel during 2008. Top panel: Daily atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations from IASI and NH$_3$ biomass burning emissions from GFED4s. Middle panel: ESA-CCI soil moisture and TRMM precipitation. Bottom panel: atmospheric NO$_2$ concentrations from OMI. Putative soil emission pulses in March and April are highlighted in bright and dark pink, respectively. The means of all variables presented were calculated using values of 0.25° grid cells within the study region for which both NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ observations were present. GFED4s emissions were converted from a mass-based to molecule-based flux to allow comparison with the IASI retrievals; note the different scales for the left and right y axes of the top panel.
Minor comments:

Page 4, line 27: the second sentence does not follow from the first

We presume the issue being raised here is the use of the East African example and the leap from a discussion of environmental conditions to examples of ammonia emissions being structurally inelegant (a point we agree with). We have re-written the paragraph as follows (page 4 line 15; moved or added text in bold italics):

“Given the importance of rainfall seasonality, soil pH, and N availability in contributing to NH$_3$ emission pulses, soils in the Sahel may be an important source of NH$_3$ to the atmosphere during the onset of the rainy season, and a case study for determining whether Birch effect NH$_3$ pulsing is an important process at broad regional scales. The Sahel is a grassland environment representing a transition between desert and productive savannas. It is characterized by a unimodal rainfall seasonality, with mean annual precipitation typically ranging between 100 and 600 mm yr$^{-1}$. Seasonal variation in rainfall is broadly determined by movement of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). Migration of the ITCZ north of the equator in the first half of the calendar year is accompanied by the onset of the rainy season and West African Monsoon, with the first substantial rain events occurring in April. The southward retreat of the ITCZ marks the dry season in the Sahel starting in October or November. Recent maps of African soils based on surface reflectance suggest that soils across the Sahel tend to have pHs largely near neutral, but can be higher than 9 in some areas (Vågen et al., 2016). The combination of seasonal rainfall variability and soils with neutral or alkaline pHs suggests that Sahelian soils may be an important source of NH$_3$ at the onset of the rainy season. Although the Sahel has regions of relatively dense cropland, it is characterized by lower levels of fertilizer inputs (FAO, accessed 2018) and smaller loads of atmospheric N deposition (Dentener et al., 2006; Galy-Lacaux and Delon, 2014; Laouali et al., 2012; though deposition can be elevated at the Sahel’s southern boundary) than other parts of the world. However, it has moderately high livestock densities (Robinson et al., 2014), potentially providing sites of abundant available N for the production of NH$_3$. Indeed, soil NH$_3$ emissions have been shown to be higher at a site in northern Senegal following a rain event (Delon et al., 2017).”

Page 7, line 7: IASI data are only used if the pixels are 75% cloud-free, while OMI data is included only if the cloud-cover is less than 30%. While these statements are almost equivalent, it would be clearer to say 75% cloud-free and 70% cloud-free, or cloud cover less than 25% and cloud cover less than 30%.

We have changed the description of the OMI level 3 NO2 product as follows (page 8 line 10):

“The product is cloud-screened, including only pixels that are at least 70% cloud-free”
Page 9, line 25: “burned area and fuel consumption in savannas are”

Fixed, thank you.
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Abstract. Atmospheric ammonia (NH₃) is a precursor to fine particulate matter formation and contributes to nitrogen deposition, with potential implications for the health of humans and ecosystems. Agricultural soils and animal excreta are the primary source of atmospheric NH₃, but natural soils can also be an important emitter. In regions with distinct dry and wet seasons such as the Sahel, the start of the rainy season triggers a pulse of biogeochemical activity in surface soils known as the Birch effect, which is often accompanied by emissions of microbially-produced gases such as carbon dioxide and nitric oxide. Field and lab studies have sometimes, but not always, observed pulses of NH₃ after the wetting of dry soils; however, the potential regional importance of these emissions remains poorly constrained. Here we use satellite retrievals of atmospheric NH₃ using the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) regridded at 0.25° resolution, in combination with satellite-based observations of precipitation, surface soil moisture, and nitric oxide concentrations, to present evidence of substantial precipitation-induced pulses of NH₃ across the Sahel at the onset of the rainy season in 2008. The highest concentrations of NH₃ occur in pulses during March and April, when biomass burning NH₃ emissions estimated for the region by the Global Fire Emissions Database are low. For the region of the Sahel spanning 10° to 16° N and 0° to 30° E, changes in NH₃ concentrations are weakly but significantly correlated with changes in soil moisture during the period from mid-March through April, when the peak NH₃ concentrations occur (r=0.28, p=0.02). The correlation is also present when evaluated on an
individual pixel-basis during April (r=0.16, p<0.001). Using a simple box model, average emissions for
the entire Sahel are between 2 and 6 mg NH$_3$ m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ during peaks of the observed pulses, depending
on the assumed effective lifetime. These early season pulses are consistent with surface observations of
monthly deposition, which show an uptick in NH$_3$ deposition at the start of the rainy season for sites in
the Sahel. The NH$_3$ concentrations in April are also correlated with increasing tropospheric NO$_2$
concentrations observed by the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (r=0.78, p<0.0001), which have previously
been attributed to the Birch effect. Box model results suggest that pulses occurring over a 35-day period
in March and April are responsible for roughly one fifth of annual NH$_3$ emissions from the Sahel. We
conclude that precipitation early in the rainy season is responsible for substantial NH$_3$ emissions in the
Sahel, likely representing the largest instantaneous fluxes of nitrogen gas from the region during the year.

1. Introduction

Ammonia (NH$_3$) plays an important role in the atmosphere and in the nitrogen (N) cycle. In the
atmosphere, NH$_3$ is a precursor to the formation of fine particular matter (PM$_{2.5}$), which contributes to
substantial levels of premature mortality (Lelieveld et al., 2015). NH$_3$ can also form a substantial
proportion of atmospheric N deposition (Dentener et al., 2006; Holland et al., 2005), affecting downwind
ecosystems by potentially altering productivity (Thomas et al., 2009), soil pH (Tian and Niu, 2015),
eutrophication status (Bergstrom and Jansson, 2006), biodiversity (Bobbink et al., 2010), and stimulating
emissions of other trace gases such as nitric oxide (NO) and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O; e.g., Eickenscheidt et
al., 2011; Pilegaard et al., 2006).

Cropland and grazed soils have long been known to be a major source of atmospheric ammonia
through the volatilization of urea and ammonium (NH$_4^+$) based inorganic fertilizers as well as of livestock
excreta (Bouwman et al., 1997). Ammonia emissions can also represent an important N flux in natural
ecosystems, particularly in drylands. In deserts, soil NH$_3$ emissions can represent over 25% of annual
nitrogen losses (McCalley and Sparks, 2008) and over 10% in a semiarid savanna (Fiona M Soper, 2016).
Soil moisture is a key control over biogeochemical cycles in drylands (Austin et al., 2004). Biogeochemical cycling in dryland soils is often characterized by pulsing dynamics related to the wetting or re-wetting of dry soils, known as the Birch effect (Birch, 1960; Birch and Friend, 1956). In environments where the distribution of annual precipitation is distinctly seasonal, soil microbial activity typically declines during the dry season, as water becomes limiting and microbes senesce or become dormant (Borken and Matzner, 2009). N may build up in soils during this period, when little biological uptake occurs, but when atmospheric N deposition continues and senesced microbial and plant material accumulates (Borken and Matzner, 2009). The onset of the rainy season can initiate a rapid increase in microbial activity (Birch and Friend, 1956; Borken and Matzner, 2009; Placella and Firestone, 2013; Placella et al., 2012), as re-awakened microbes take advantage of pools of N that accumulated or were made more bioavailable during the dry season, leading to large increases in N mineralization rates (Birch, 1958; 1960; Borken and Matzner, 2009; Dijkstra et al., 2012; Saetre and Stark, 2004; Semb and Robinson, 1969).

The abrupt change in water potential also represents a stress to microbes, prompting a flush of labile N solutes released by microbes to maintain turgor pressure (Kieft et al., 1987). This increase in microbial activity is accompanied by pulsed emissions of trace gases such as carbon dioxide (CO$_2$; Emmerich, 2003; Huxman et al., 2004; Saetre and Stark, 2004) and NO (e.g., Anderson and Levine, 1986; Davidson, 1992), which can be an important component of annual emissions (Davidson, 1992; Jaegle et al., 2004). As the availability of NH$_4^+$ is a major control over NH$_3$ volatilization (Nelson, 1982; Schlesinger and Peterjohn, 1991), a flush of N mineralization would be expected to trigger an NH$_3$ emission pulse as well. A few studies have documented pulse dynamics in emissions of NH$_3$ in laboratory or field settings (Delon et al., 2017; McCalley and Sparks, 2008; Schlesinger and Peterjohn, 1991; Soper et al., 2016). In arid and semi-arid ecosystems, soil NH$_3$ emissions have been observed to increase by ~15% to 630% after wetting (Schlesinger and Peterjohn, 1991). However, there are few studies outside desert ecosystems (Kim et al., 2012), and an increase in NH$_3$ emissions following wetting is not always observed (e.g., Yahdjian and Sala, 2010). The potential importance of these pulse emissions of NH$_3$ at landscape or regional scales remains poorly constrained.
In addition to \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) availability, soil pH is a key environmental control over \( \text{NH}_3 \) production in soils (Dawson, 1977; Nelson, 1982). Since \( \text{NH}_3 \) is typically produced through the deprotonation of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), \( \text{NH}_3 \) emissions would be expected to be higher in relatively alkaline soils, or in soil with alkaline microsites. Globally, soils tend to shift from alkaline to acidic when mean annual precipitation exceeds mean annual evapotranspiration (Slessarev et al., 2016), so soils in drier biomes such as deserts and grasslands tend to be alkaline (though there are exceptions to this pattern), creating conditions favourable to \( \text{NH}_3 \) volatilization. For example, the combination of pH and ammonium concentrations in soils from a semi-arid ecosystem in Senegal have been shown to create conditions favorable to the emission of \( \text{NH}_3 \) (Delon et al., 2017).

Given the importance of rainfall seasonality, soil pH, and N availability in contributing to \( \text{NH}_3 \) emission pulses, soils in the Sahel may be an important source of \( \text{NH}_3 \) to the atmosphere during the onset of the rainy season, and a case study for determining whether Birch effect \( \text{NH}_3 \) pulsing is an important process at broad regional scales. The Sahel is a grassland environment representing a transition between desert and productive savannas. It is characterized by a unimodal rainfall seasonality, with mean annual precipitation typically ranging between 100 and 600 mm yr\(^{-1} \). Seasonal variation in rainfall is broadly determined by movement of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). Migration of the ITCZ north of the equator in the first half of the calendar year is accompanied by the onset of the rainy season and West African Monsoon, with the first substantial rain events occurring in April. The southward retreat of the ITCZ marks the dry season in the Sahel starting in October or November. Recent maps of African soils based on surface reflectance suggest that soils across the Sahel tend to have pHs largely near neutral, but can be higher than 9 in some areas (Vågen et al., 2016). The combination of seasonal rainfall variability and soils with neutral or alkaline pHs suggests that Sahelian soils may be an important source of \( \text{NH}_3 \) at the onset of the rainy season. Although the Sahel has regions of relatively dense cropland, it is characterized by lower levels of fertilizer inputs (FAO, accessed 2018) and smaller loads of atmospheric N deposition (Dentener et al., 2006; Galy-Lacaux and Delon, 2014; Laouali et al., 2012, though deposition can be elevated at the Sahel’s southern boundary) than other parts of the world. However, it
has moderately high livestock densities (Robinson et al., 2014), potentially providing sites of abundant available N for the production of NH₃. Indeed, soil NH₃ emissions have been shown to be higher at a site in northern Senegal following a rain event (Delon et al., 2017).

Earlier work using total column observations from the GOME instrument presented evidence of high atmospheric concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) over the Sahel in the early rainy season of 2000, which appeared to broadly correspond to rainfall events in the region (Jaeglé et al., 2004). These early growing season increases in tropospheric NO₂ concentrations could not be attributed to lightning or to biomass burning, leaving soil emissions the presumed source. Soils emit NO through a variety of biotic and abiotic mechanisms; in the atmosphere, NO rapidly interconverts to NO₂, and the two gases are collectively referred to as NOₓ. Inverse modelling subsequently suggested that soil emissions of NO across sub-Saharan Africa were of the same magnitude as emissions from biomass burning, which previously had been thought to be the dominant NOₓ source in the region. Note that in this paper, NO is used in discussions of soil emissions specifically. Since satellite observations are of NO₂, we use NO₂ when discussing those observations, and NO₂ or NOₓ when discussing modelled surface emissions based on those observations.

At regional scales, the processes controlling seasonal variability in emissions, and the magnitude of emission responses to these controls, are not well constrained. Atmospheric models often rely on static emissions inventories of NH₃ that lack intra-annual variability or detailed environmental controls over emissions from soils (e.g., Bouwman et al., 1997; European Commission, Joint Research Center (JRC)/Netherlands Environmental Agency (PBL), 2011; Lamarque et al., 2010), particularly for natural ecosystems (e.g., Paulot and Jacob, 2014). Fire emission inventories are generally created using data on burned area, fuel load, combustion completeness to compute dry matter of carbon losses, and emission factors that translate these into trace gas or aerosol emissions, producing daily emissions estimates at 0.25° resolution (e.g., van der Werf et al., 2017). Inventories of NH₃ emissions from natural soils tend to rely on a global estimate for the year 1990 (Bouwman et al., 1997) and agricultural emission inventories
with sub-annual temporal resolution tend not to consider environmental controls other than temperature and wind speed (e.g., Paulot and Jacob, 2014).

Here we use satellite retrievals of atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations (Whitburn et al., 2016) over Africa to evaluate whether the onset of the rainy season causes pulsed emissions of NH$_3$ over the Sahel, focusing on the year 2008, and evaluate its environmental drivers. We compare the seasonal pattern in atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations observed by satellite to monthly surface NH$_3$ deposition measured at 7 sites in north equatorial Africa. We also use a simple box model to calculate surface fluxes based on retrieved atmospheric concentrations, and compare modeled surface fluxes to NH$_3$ emissions from biomass burning as quantified in the Global Fire Emissions Database 4s (GFED4s; van der Werf et al., 2017). Finally, we also make comparisons to modeled surface fluxes of NO$_2$ derived from NO$_2$ observations made by the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI; Krotkov, accessed 2018).

2. Methods

2.1 Satellite products

The Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI-A), launched aboard the European Space Agency’s MetOp-A in 2006, obtains retrievals of atmospheric NH$_3$ at a global distribution and bi-daily resolution. IASI-A is a polar-orbiting instrument in a sun-synchronous orbit (9:30 Local Solar Time equator crossing, descending node), providing two observations daily; here we use morning observations, when the thermal contrast is more favorable for retrievals (Clarisse et al., 2009; Van Damme et al., 2014). IASI-A provides a horizontal resolution of 12 km over a swath width of about 2,200 km. The retrieval product used follows the approach implemented by Whitburn et al. (2016), in which total columns of NH$_3$ are obtained by calculating a dimensionless spectral index (HRI), which is then converted into a NH$_3$ total column through the use of a neural network. The neural network uses a range of variables such as temperature and water vapor profiles to represent the state of the atmosphere as best as possible to produce the matching NH$_3$ total column for that atmospheric state. Retrievals with errors above 100% were excluded from the analysis, though exceptions were made for low concentrations, which tend to have a
higher error, when the following condition was met:

\[ \text{Retrieval error} \times \text{total column NH}_3 \times 0.01 < 5 \times 10^{15} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \]  

(1)

where the retrieval error is a percentage and the total column NH$_3$ is a concentration in molecules cm$^{-2}$.

In addition, only retrievals that were at least 75% cloud-free were used. Given the absence of hourly observations in the Sahel, the detection limit of IASI is difficult to determine with certainty. However, the region experiences high thermal contrast, and IASI seems to be able to reliably observe down to 1 to 2 ppb at the surface (is there a reference for this statement?). We regridded the Level-2 IASI NH$_3$ product to 0.25° × 0.25° resolution to match the resolution of soil moisture and other data used in the analysis.

Specifically, we calculated the concentration for a given grid cell as the mean of all elliptical IASI footprints for which the corners of the grid cell were within the footprint. The IASI product has been validated using ground-based Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) observations of NH$_3$ total columns, with robust correlations at sites with high NH$_3$ concentrations, but lower at sites where atmospheric concentrations approach IASI’s detection limits (Dammers et al., 2017). Compared to the FTIR observations the IASI total columns are biased low by ~30% which varies per region depending on the local concentrations.

We used the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) daily precipitation product (3B42), which is based on a combination of TRMM observations, geo-synchronous infrared observations, and rain gauge observations (Huffman et al., 2007). Independent rain gauge observations from West Africa have been used to validate the product, with no indication of bias in the product (Nicholson et al., 2003).

We used the European Space Agency’s Climate Change Initiative (ESA-CCI) 30-year daily soil moisture product gridded at 0.25° × 0.25° resolution (Dorigo et al., 2017; Gruber et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2012). The product is based on both passive and active microwave sensors, and has been validated globally (Dorigo et al., 2014) and in East Africa (McNally et al., 2016). Although the product exhibited
moderate correlation with ground observations globally, it provides relatively high correlations ($r$~0.7) for West African sites when seasonality is included in the analysis (Dorigo et al., 2014).

We also used the publicly available level 3 tropospheric NO$_2$ concentrations product from OMI, a nadir-viewing spectrometer measuring solar backscatter in the UV-visible range aboard NASA’s Aura satellite (Krotkov et al., 2017). The product is cloud-screened, including only pixels that are at least 70% cloud-free, and provided in at 0.25° × 0.25° resolution. The OMI product relies on air mass factors calculated with the assistance of an atmospheric chemical transport model, and is sensitive to model representations of emission, chemistry and transport data. These are generally poorly constrained for regions not commonly analyzed in chemical transport models such as sub-Saharan Africa (McLinden et al., 2014). Additional bias may be introduced due to the reliance on nearly cloud-free pixels, where greater sunlight may induce higher photochemical rates. For example, the current product is biased roughly 30% low over the Canadian oil sands (McLinden et al., 2014). Level 2 OMI-NO$_2$ product has been validated against in situ and surface-based observations showing good agreement (Lamsal et al., 2014). In statistical analyses, soil moisture, precipitation, and NO$_2$ data were masked to match pixels for which NH$_3$ retrievals were also available.

### 2.2 Surface flux calculations

A range of possible surface fluxes of NH$_3$ and NO$_2$ from our focal study region in the Sahel, ranging from 10°N to 16°N and from 0°E to 30°E, were calculated from IASI total column NH$_3$ concentrations and OMI-NO$_2$ tropospheric concentrations using a simple box model (Jacob, 1999). The specific region was selected as representative of the Sahel, and to allow for direct comparisons to earlier work examining NO$_2$ emissions from the region (Jaeglé et al., 2004). Daily mean gridded concentrations of retrievals for each gas were averaged across the focal region with units of molecules cm$^{-2}$. The mean total column concentrations of gas $x$ were converted to a mean surface density for the region in units of kg m$^{-2}$ for each day, using the following equation:

$$M_{x,t} = \frac{(TC_{x,t} \times MM_x \times 10)}{N_a}$$

(2)
where $M_{xt}$ is the mean surface density of gas $x$ for day $t$, $TC_{xt}$ is the average of retrieved total columns of gas $x$ in units of molecules cm$^{-2}$ for day $t$, $MM_x$ is the molar mass of gas $x$, and $N_a$ is Avogadro’s number. The dividend on the right hand of the equation is multiplied by 10 to convert the mean surface density to units of kg m$^{-2}$. This mean surface density was then used in the box model to calculate a mean surface flux for each day, assuming first order losses of gas $x$:

$$E_{xt}(mol) = \frac{(M_{xt} - M_{xt-d}e^{-d/\tau_x})}{\tau_x(1-e^{-d/\tau_x})}$$

where $E_{xt}$ is the surface flux on day $t$ in units of kg m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$, $d$ is the time between subsequent observations (one day in this study), and $\tau_x$ is the effective lifetime of a molecule of gas $x$, including both reactive and transport losses. As both the effective lifetime and the surface flux are unknowns in the equation, we use a range of plausible lifetimes for each gas to calculate a range of surface fluxes. For NH$_3$, we use lifetimes of 6, 12, 24, and 36 hours (Dentener and Crutzen, 1994; Whitburn et al., 2015); for NO$_2$, we use lifetimes of 6, 12, and 24 hours (Beirle et al., 2011; de Foy et al., 2015; Jena et al., 2014). The values were selected to reflect the possible range of lifetimes throughout the year, including periods of elevated wet deposition during the rainy season. Our box modelled NO$_2$ emissions used only satellite observations for grid cells where NH$_3$ observations were also present; model results restricted to using satellite observations for grid cells where both NH$_3$ and NO$_2$ are presented in the supplemental information.

2.3 Emissions inventory

GFED4s (van der Werf et al., 2017) provides monthly fire emissions at 0.25° resolution based on satellite-derived burned area (Giglio et al., 2013; Randerson et al., 2012) and a modified version of the Carnegie-Ames-Stanford-Approach (CASA) biogeochemical model (Potter et al., 1993). Daily emissions are calculated using data on the fraction of monthly emissions emitted on each date. Uncertainty in GFED fire emissions stems from uncertainty in burned area, fuel consumption, and emission factors but is poorly
constrained. According to van der Werf et al. (2017) a 1σ of about 50% for fire carbon emissions is reasonable for continental scale estimates. This may also be a best-guess estimate for fire NH₃ emissions in our study region; while the uncertainty in NH₃ emission factors is large because few fires have been sampled and adds to the total uncertainty, burned area and fuel consumption in savannas are, in general, better constrained than in other biomes.

2.4 Statistical analyses

Pearson product moment correlation analyses were conducted using pearsonr from the scipy.stats package in Python v3.6.3.

3. Results and Discussion

The wetting of dry soils has been known to stimulate biogeochemical cycling since at least the 1950s. Its role in creating large pulsed emissions of trace gases such as CO₂ and NO has been demonstrated at laboratory (e.g., Birch and Friend, 1956), field (e.g., Davidson, 1992), and regional scales through satellite observations (Jaeglé et al., 2004) and observation networks (Adon et al., 2010). Evidence for its importance to emissions of NH₃ has been limited to a few laboratory and field studies, with sometimes contrasting results, and its importance at landscape or regional scales is not well constrained. Here we present evidence that the Birch effect is an important driver of NH₃ emissions at regional scales, and likely responsible for the periods of the highest atmospheric NH₃ concentrations over the Sahel region in Africa.

3.1 Seasonal variability in NH₃ concentrations over Africa

Atmospheric NH₃ concentrations in 2008 exhibited broad seasonality that appears to correspond to seasonal precipitation patterns across the continent (Figure 1). In January, mean monthly concentrations are highest across a latitudinal band from roughly 5°N to 10°N, broadly corresponding to the region of highest biomass burning emissions (Figure 1). In April, higher cumulative monthly precipitation across the southern Sahel coincides with increased mean monthly NH₃ concentrations in the
focal region (the red box in Figure 1b); fire emissions are generally absent across the Sahel and much of the rest of the continent in April, with some emissions occurring along coastal West Africa. By August, during the middle of the West African Monsoon, hotspots of ammonia concentrations are generally absent from the continent, though concentrations are slightly elevated in central southern Africa, where emissions from biomass burning are also elevated (Figure 1).

3.2 Evidence for precipitation-induced emissions of NH$_3$ in the Sahel

For our focal region of the Sahel (defined above and outlined in red in Figure 1), mean atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations exhibit two distinct peaks in late March and April (Figure 2a, highlighted in light and dark pink, respectively), which represent the highest concentrations observed in 2008. The late March peak occurs at the same time as an apparent modest increase in mean soil moisture (Fig 2b). The peak in April, during which atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations over the Sahel are elevated relative to other parts of north equatorial Africa (Figure 3a), occurs during the first period of sustained rainfall in the focal region, and corresponds to a peak in soil moisture, suggesting a possible causal relationship between changes in soil moisture and atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations (Figure 2b and section 3.2.1 below). This increase does occur following a possible modest increase in mean fire emissions (Fig 2a) across the Sahel. Overall, however, the seasonality in IASI-retrieved atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations exhibits a marked difference from the seasonality in GFED4s NH$_3$ emissions from fires, which start increasing in September and peak in November (Fig 2a and section 3.2.2 below).

3.2.1 Soil moisture controls over early growing season atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations

Multiple lines of evidence support a causal relationship between changes in soil moisture and atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations across the Sahel. Foremost are the significant correlations between multiple lines of evidence support a causal relationship between changes in soil moisture and atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations across the Sahel. Foremost are the significant correlations between ESA-CCI’s soil moisture product and the IASI total column during the onset of the rainy season. Specifically, for the period from February 23 to May 1, 2008, there is a significant correlation between mean atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations of all pixels with acceptable retrievals in the Sahel focal region and mean soil moisture across the same set of pixels ($r$=0.28, $P$=0.02; Figure 4a). This correlation
integrates all pixels across the Sahel, and thus excludes any sub-regional spatial structure in the data. To examine whether a relationship between soil moisture and NH$_3$ concentrations is also present at a finer spatial scale, we conducted a correlation between the two variables for each pixel for which both variables were present during April, and again found a significant positive linear correlation ($r=0.16$, $p<0.001$; Figure 4b). Maps of 3-day averages for precipitation, soil moisture, and total column NH$_3$ concentration over the southern Sahel at 0.25$^\circ$ resolution illustrate the changes in each variable that occur during the development of the April emissions peak (Figure 5). Between April 13 and April 27, precipitation events in the southern half of the focal region (left-hand column) appear to be accompanied by steady increases in soil moisture (middle column) and total column NH$_3$ (right-hand column).

Although the explanatory power of the correlations between ESA-CCI’s soil moisture product and the IASI total column NH$_3$ concentrations is relatively low, it demonstrates a broad regional correlation between NH$_3$ concentrations and changes in soil moisture at the onset of the rainy season – a relationship that is strong enough to be observed at the scale of the Sahel. To provide some context for the statistical result, earlier work finding that rainfall is responsible for large emissions of NO$_2$ in the same region did not include any statistical analysis in support of its findings (Jaeglé et al., 2004), and studies using satellite observations to infer environmental controls interpret correlation coefficients on the order of 0.25 to be evidence of a strong effect (e.g., Andela et al., 2017). Additionally, we would not necessarily expect a linear relationship between the quantity of soil moisture and the quantity of NH$_3$ emitted.

### 3.2.2 Minimal contribution of fire emissions to early growing season pulses

We can further exclude biomass burning as the source of the observed pulses of atmospheric NH$_3$. Biomass burning occurs in the Sahel with a well-known seasonality as based on several independent satellite fire metrics such as burned area and active fire detections (Duncan, 2003; Giglio et al., 2006). In general, burning in the Sahel occurs in the second half of the year, and few emissions are expected at the onset of the rainy season (Figure 1, 2). A comparison between our simple box model estimates of NH$_3$ flux and emissions from the GFED4s inventory strongly supports the hypothesis that
biomass burning does not play an important role in NH₃ emissions during March or April, and further suggests that biomass burning may represent a relatively unimportant regional source of NH₃ during most of the year, outside of the biomass burning season (Figure 6). The modelled total surface flux based on IASI observations varies depending on the effective lifetime used by up to a factor of roughly 4, with seasonal patterns mirroring the patterns in atmospheric concentration, and the largest emissions occurring during the pulses in March and April (Figure 6). In contrast, fire emissions of NH₃ from GFED4s are concentrated in the second half of the year, and are negligible during March and April, suggesting that they do not contribute to the early growing-season emission pulses.

The importance of a source of NH₃ other than biomass burning in equatorial North Africa was suggested earlier for 0 to 10° N, a region immediately south of the Sahel and of the southern boundary of our focal region in Figures 1 and 2 (Van Damme et al., 2015; Whitburn et al., 2015). Whitburn et al. observe a one to two-month lag between peak fire radiative power and IASI-NH₃ that differs from the pattern of IASI carbon monoxide (CO) concentrations, suggesting that fire emissions can explain only some of the atmospheric NH₃ present. They speculate that soils may be the source of NH₃ emissions following the fire season, perhaps resulting from an increase in volatilization caused by increasing soil temperatures and pH, though the paper is focused on evaluating fire emissions and they do not test this hypothesis (Whitburn et al., 2015). Van Damme et al. speculate that the emissions are agricultural in nature (Van Damme et al., 2015). IASI-NH₃ concentrations in the other regions examined (southern Africa, Southeast Asia, and central South America) generally track fire activity, or are thought to be due to anthropogenic emissions (Whitburn et al., 2015). Although we have focused on the Sahel, it seems possible that the seasonality of rainfall in environments further south, between 0°N and 10°N, could partly explain the elevated emissions observed there following the end of the fire season.

3.2.3 Co-emission of NH₃ and NO₂

The peak in NH₃ concentrations in April occurs at the same time as a peak in NO₂ concentrations (though unlike NH₃, the April peak does not represent the highest annual atmospheric
concentration of NO\textsubscript{2}). For the month of April, total column NH\textsubscript{3} concentrations and tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations integrated across the entire focal region are strongly correlated (r=0.78, p<0.0001). This simultaneous increase in atmospheric NO\textsubscript{2} and NH\textsubscript{3} concentrations provides additional, indirect support for a soil source of NH\textsubscript{3} (Figure 2, 6). In addition to abundant field- and laboratory evidence that previously dry soils emit large pulses of NO following wetting (Davidson, 1992; Davidson et al., 1991; Dick et al., 2001; Meixner et al., 1997), Jaegle et al. (2004) showed that this soil NO pulse is responsible for the highest concentrations of atmospheric NO\textsubscript{2} over the same region we focused on. As with the seasonal pattern in NH\textsubscript{3} concentrations, seasonal variation in OMI-NO\textsubscript{2} tropospheric concentrations does not match that of the GFED4s NO\textsubscript{2} emissions from fires (Figure 6). We believe that the simplest explanation for our box model results showing the strong correlation between tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} and total column NH\textsubscript{3} concentrations in April is that the two pulses are the result of soil emissions triggered by the same environmental change—in this case, an increase in soil moisture following an extended dry period.

Like NH\textsubscript{3}, concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2} also exhibit a peak in mid-March, but the peak lags a week behind the NH\textsubscript{3} peak (Figure 2). Although NO emissions from soils can peak within hours after wetting (Davidson, 1992), in a laboratory setting using soils from the Mojave Desert, an NO pulse lagged 1 to 2 days behind an NH\textsubscript{3} pulse following experimental wetting (McCalley and Sparks, 2008). The authors argue that this lag may be related to competition for NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} between NH\textsubscript{3} volatilization and nitrification, with volatilization generally outcompeting nitrification during the initial period following wetting. An alternative or additional contributing factor to the lag may be related to the population dynamics of nitrifying bacteria. Although the transcriptional response of nitrifiers to wetting can be very rapid (Placella and Firestone, 2013), at a population level nitrifiers are generally slow-growing (Robertson and Groffman, 2007), suggesting that populations in resource-limited environments may not be able to immediately take advantage of sudden large increases in resource availability. For example, NO emission responses to inorganic N inputs—which arguably provides a similar immediate release from a resource limitation as wetting of dry soils for nitrifiers, particularly in relation to the presumed increase in NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} concentrations caused by wetting—exhibited pulse responses that lagged roughly a week behind fertilizer additions in Kenya (Hickman et al., 2017). In the case of the Sahel, nitrifier populations may not be able...
to recover quickly from the extended dry conditions in March, and a lagged emission response of NO could be explained by the slow population-level response to the flush of mineralized N that follows wetting.

The March NO$_2$ peak is also smaller than the April NO$_2$ peak, and smaller than the April NH$_3$ peak. Field studies have observed larger pulses following the second rainfall of the season (Meixner et al., 1997). The initial increase in soil moisture during March was modest (Figure 2), so it seems possible that soils dried out quickly, before populations of nitrifying bacteria grew large enough to trigger an NO pulse of the magnitude that occurs in April. Increased competition for available NH$_4^+$ by nitrifying bacteria in April may have limited the NH$_3$ pulse.

There is also a clear mismatch in the timing of the peak NH$_3$ concentrations, which occurred in March and April, and peak NO$_2$ concentrations, which occurred in May and June (Figure 2). We believe that several factors are likely contributing to the different temporal dynamics of NH$_3$ and NO$_2$ concentrations. First, to be clear, we argue that the rainfall events in March and April are indeed triggering pulses of both gases, illustrated in part by the strong correlation between the two gases during April ($r=0.78$, $p<0.0001$; Figure 2; for a strict comparison in which concentrations of NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ are calculated using only grid cells that have observations for both gases, see Figure S1, though the results are qualitatively similar to Figure 2). Temporal patterns of surface NO$_2$ concentrations observed by the INDAAF network may also support the presence of these smaller, early pulses (Figure S2). Although not explicitly investigated, we believe earlier studies of NO$_2$ pulsing in the Sahel that focus on emissions during May and June include observations of similar early season pulses (Jaegle et al., 2004, Hudman et al., 2012).

The smaller early season NO$_2$ pulses are potentially the result of the nitrifier population dynamics alluded to above. Specifically, because population growth is slow, nitrifying populations are smaller during March and April than they will become later in the growing season when they are released from sustained environmental limitations on growth, and so nitrification rates remain lower in these early responses. In an earlier field study conducted in Davis, California, which also experiences distinct dry and rainy seasons, nitrifier population growth rates were very slow to respond to ammonium additions, roughly matching the temporal patterns of NO$_2$ emissions we observed in the Sahel (Okano et al., 2004).
In that study, population size did not increase during the first week following ammonium sulfate applications, and then rose by roughly 50% in the second week. Between the 13th and 39th day, however, the population roughly tripled. In the Sahel, nitrifying populations are presumably released from widespread water limitation around the beginning of May (Figure 2), and NO\(_2\) concentrations grow to their maximum a little more than a month later. An additional point is that although the pulsing behaviour of NH\(_3\) appears to diminish in May and June, total emissions during those months are almost as elevated relative to the dry period as emissions during March and April (167 mg N m\(^{-2}\) and 173 mg N m\(^{-2}\) for each 61-day period, respectively; Figure 6). Consequently, it could be argued that May and June remain important months for both NO\(_2\) and NH\(_3\) emissions. For a presentation of modelled emissions calculated based only grid cells that have satellite observations for both gases, see Figure S3. This additional screening reduces annual modelled NH\(_3\) emissions by roughly 5%, and reduces modelled emissions during the pulses by roughly 2-3% relative to modelled NH\(_3\) emissions that do not take the presence or absence of NO\(_2\) observations into account.

A second point is that both NH\(_3\) volatilization and nitrification are dependent on NH\(_4^+\) availability, and thus competition between these processes for NH\(_4^+\) is likely to contribute to the observed temporal dynamics of atmospheric NH\(_3\) and NO\(_2\) concentrations. The increased competition for available NH\(_4^+\) from growing nitrifier populations could contribute to the observed decline in NH\(_3\) emissions between April and May. Earlier researchers have hypothesized that competition between NH\(_3\) volatilization and nitrification for NH\(_4^+\) is so extreme that the two processes cannot occur at the same time (Praveen-Kumar and Aggarwal, 1998). However, soil emissions of NO and NH\(_3\) have been shown to be broadly coupled in the Sahel (Delon et al. 2018), and the few field and lab studies measuring soil emissions of both gases following wetting observe positive fluxes of each (McCalley and Sparks, 2008; Schlesinger and Peterjohn, 1991; Soper et al., 2016), with NH\(_3\) dominating emissions from desert soils (McCalley and Sparks, 2008; Schlesinger and Peterjohn, 1991) and NO\(_2\) dominating from grassland soils (Soper et al., 2016). Our findings contrast with those of Soper et al., as NH\(_3\) appears to be the dominant species emitted during pulse events in March and April (Figure 6). We expect that even were the two processes mutually exclusive at the scale of a soil core or chamber, heterogeneity in soil properties at the pixel or regional scale would explain our observations of coinciding peaks of NO\(_2\) and NH\(_3\).
3.3 Magnitude and importance of soil NH₃ emission pulses

During the early growing season, atmospheric concentrations of NH₃ are roughly an order of magnitude higher than NO₂ (Figure 2). It is important to note that NH₃ retrievals generally have a higher error, and that our screening process may introduce a potential bias in that we permit retrievals with higher uncertainty if they are low concentrations; we also retain observations of negative concentrations. In addition, as mentioned earlier, compared to FTIR observations the IASI total columns are biased low by ~30% which varies per region depending on the local concentrations. From this perspective, our concentration and emission estimates can be considered conservative.

Because the magnitude of mean surface fluxes depends on the effective lifetime used in the simple box model, comparisons between the modelled fluxes of NO₂ and NH₃ are not straightforward. It is also important to note the simplifying assumption of a uniform atmospheric profile in the box model, which ignores any variation in vertical distribution. Still, we believe a uniform profile is a reasonable assumption, especially for soil fluxes. Each of these gases has relatively short lifetimes, and unlike fire plumes, are unlikely to be rapidly lofted to high altitudes following emission from soil. In addition there is very little variation in NH₃ distribution throughout the boundary layer in the assumed IASI profile. In general, our modelled emissions suggest that NH₃ is probably emitted at substantially higher rates from soils than NO during pulse events (Figure 6). This result is unexpected, given earlier observations that NH₃ tends to be the dominant source in highly alkaline desert soils (pH 9-11; McCalley and Sparks, 2008), whereas emissions from grassland soils with a more neutral pH, as might be expected in the Sahel, were dominated by NO, by roughly a factor of 10:1 (Soper et al., 2016). This pulse produces NH₃ concentrations comparable in magnitude to the peak concentrations over many of the Earth’s major biomass burning regions (Whitburn et al., 2015). Indeed, NH₃ emissions during the date range February 29-March 16 and April 12-May 1, which cover the two emission peaks during that period, represented about one fifth of annual NH₃ emissions from our focal region in the Sahel (annual emissions of ~0.4 to
2 Tg N, and total pulse emissions of ~0.1 to 0.5 Tg N, depending on the effective lifetime assumed, but assuming that the mean effective lifetime during the pulses is equal to the mean effective lifetime throughout the year. It is important to note that in addition to uncertainty associated with the NH₃ retrievals, additional sources of bias and uncertainty—such as the use of an effective lifetime rather than explicitly accounting for deposition fluxes and chemistry, uncertainty in the value and variability in that lifetime, and biases in both the IASI and OMI retrievals—limit our ability to quantitatively constrain the surface NH₃ or NO₂ fluxes, or to make strict quantitative comparisons between them.

Within the Sahel, the March and April pulses are responsible for the highest concentrations of atmospheric NH₃ during 2008. The magnitude of NH₃ emissions during these pulses are of a similar magnitude as emissions from biomass burning in north equatorial Africa (Whitburn et al. 2015). This substantial pulse of NH₃, and the co-occurrence of a pulse of NOₓ, could be an important source of PM₂.₅ in the region during the first half of the year. Secondary inorganic aerosols such as ammonium sulfate are formed in reactions involving NH₃, and ammonium nitrate aerosol formation requires both gaseous NH₃ and NOₓ. In the Sahel, combustion sources of NOₓ are relatively small in March and April (Fig. 6), making soil NO emissions potentially more important in the formation of PM₂.₅.

3.4 Comparison to surface observations

The INDAAF network (International Network to Study Deposition and Atmospheric Chemistry in Africa, http://www.indaaf.obs-mip.fr) provides monthly surface NH₃ deposition rates between 1998 to 2016 for seven sites in north equatorial Africa, both in and outside the Sahel. The work of Adon et al. (2010) presents an analysis of annual and seasonal variability of surface gases concentrations including NO₂ and NH₃ from the long-term monitoring INDAAF stations over the period 2000-2007. The sites in the Sahel (Agoufou, Mali, Banizoumbou, Niger, and Katibougou, Mali; figures 3b, 3c, and 3f, respectively) exhibit broadly similar seasonal patterns, with NH₃ concentration increases starting in April (or March in the 2008 case of Katibougou, Mali; Figure 3f), but this seasonal pattern is generally absent in sites outside the Sahel (Figure 3d, 3e, 3g, and 3h). These seasonal patterns are broadly consistent with the pulsing dynamics observed by IASI in the Sahel, and the absence of pulsing outside...
the Sahel (Figure 3), though the peak concentrations observed at the surface tend to occur later than those observed by satellite in Banizoumbou and Katibougou. The difference in the timing of peak \( \text{NH}_3 \) concentrations between the IASI observations and surface observations at Banizoumbou and Katibougou may be because of random variation and the effects of local influences, which are likely also responsible for variation among the three sites. In addition, deterministic variation in \( \text{NH}_3 \) emissions in the Sahel is likely important. These sites are located at latitudes near the northern boundary of our focal area, and could be influenced by emissions from the north. In addition, we observe a north-south gradient in soil moisture, precipitation, and \( \text{NH}_3 \) concentrations during the pulse event in April (Figure 4), suggesting that pulsing is larger in the southern part of our focal area during this period. The onset of the rainy season tends to occur later in more northern latitudes, which would be consistent with higher \( \text{NH}_3 \) concentrations observed later in the year in Banizoumbou and Katibougou. Indeed, IASI observations of 1° gridcells over each of the three INDAAF sites show better agreement with the surface observations, with peak \( \text{NH}_3 \) concentrations occurring in May for all sites during 2008 (Figure S4). Other factors may contribute to variation in \( \text{NH}_3 \) concentrations observed across all the INDAAF sites. An earlier evaluation of seasonal patterns in the surface observation network found that emission patterns differ between wet savannah and dry savannah: dry season emissions tend to be higher in wet savannah, where biomass burning dominates annual emissions (Adon et al., 2010). In dry savannah ecosystems, emissions are higher during the wet season, and are likely enhanced by the volatilization of N inputs from agro-pastoralism in the region, leading to high total N deposition fluxes (Adon et al., 2010). It is conceivable that regional differences in soil pH (Vågen et al., 2016) could also result in different rates of soil \( \text{NH}_3 \) emissions. In addition, higher leaf area index in wet savannas and forest could result in more interception of \( \text{NH}_3 \), reducing soil contributions to atmospheric concentrations during the wet season.

### 3.5 Later growing season \( \text{NH}_3 \) emissions
After the early season pulses, NH$_3$ concentrations and fluxes remain fairly elevated (Figure 2, 6); during this period of May through July, NO$_2$ concentrations and fluxes increase, becoming closer in magnitude to NH$_3$ (Figure 2, 6). This pattern is consistent with earlier studies describing elevated concentrations of these gases in or near our focal study region for several months following the end of the biomass burning season (Jaeglé et al., 2004; Whitburn et al., 2015). Jaegle et al. (2004) attribute these elevated concentrations to the wetting of dry soils, and Whitburn et al. (2015) to increasing surface temperatures and the possible acidification of soils. The mean start of the cropping season ranges from May to August in the focal region, based on NDVI-based observations of plant phenology (Vrieling et al., 2011), suggesting that the bulk of elevated NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ in our modeled emissions occur after planting, and well into the rainy season. Elevated emissions could be associated with soil disturbance from tillage (e.g., Yang et al., 2015) or from the use of fertilizer inputs, which have been argued to be higher than generally acknowledged in the scientific and development literature (Cobo et al., 2010; Sheahan and Barrett, 2017), though N additions in Sahelian countries such as Niger tend to be very low, even for farmers who use fertilizer (Masso et al., 2017; Sheahan and Barrett, 2017).

3.6 Possible sources of error and bias

It is worth noting that the morning overpass time used in this analysis is likely to cause a further underestimation of daily NH$_3$ concentrations, as emissions would be expected to follow diurnal temperature variation and be higher in the afternoon (Van Damme et al., 2015). A more sophisticated inverse modelling approach (e.g., using a Bayesian approach in combination with an atmospheric chemical transport model) could provide firmer insight into the magnitude of emissions, as well as provide some insight into the magnitude of specific NH$_3$ sources. Our analysis is subject to several additional sources of uncertainty: increased cloud cover during the rainy season tends to result in fewer observations than during the dry season, so our regional means are based on different numbers of observations at different times of year.

Although the explanatory power of the linear relationship between soil moisture and emissions in the early part of the rainy season is relatively low, this would be expected in part due to the complex
processes which vary over time. Multiple studies have shown that rewetting of dry soils results in lower emission pulses for the same level of water addition (Davidson, 1992; Davidson et al., 1991), including of NH$_3$ emissions (Soper et al., 2016). It also seems plausible that there are threshold effects in which an initial increase in soil moisture may simply need to be large enough to activate dormant microbial communities and/or cause a flush of labile microbial N to trigger an emissions pulse, such that the pulse response might best be described with a piecewise function. Asynchrony between plant and microbial activity during soil wet up and dry down (Collins et al., 2008), and different activation thresholds for microbial and plant responses to precipitation (Dijkstra et al., 2012) may also play a role in determining the amount of available NH$_4^+$ for volatilization at different times in the early rainy season.

3.7 Conclusion

Satellite measurements of trace gases—although not without their limitations—provide a powerful tool for understanding global and regional atmospheric composition, and for gaining insights into the controls over nitrogen cycling and trace gas emissions, particularly for regions where other types of measurements are scarce. With daily and global coverage and additional satellite observed variables such as precipitation, soil moisture, biomass burning emissions, and tropospheric NO$_2$ concentrations, it is possible to evaluate specific mechanisms behind the seasonality of trace gas emissions. In an evaluation of the Sahel during 2008, we find that NH$_3$ concentrations are elevated during March and April, a period when biomass burning emissions are absent, but when tropospheric NO$_2$ concentrations exhibit similar temporal dynamics. We further find that the increase in NH$_3$ concentrations is positively correlated to changes in soil moisture at the start of the rainy season. Using a simple box model, we estimate that average emissions for the entire Sahel are between 2 and 6 mg NH$_3$ m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ during peaks of the observed pulses, though note that these estimates are subject to substantial bias and uncertainty. We conclude that the Birch effect is an important and geographically broad driver of NH$_3$ emissions, and an important component of the N cycle in the Sahel.
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Figure 1. Seasonality of precipitation and NH$_3$ emissions in Africa during 2008. Monthly TRMM precipitation (a), IASI-NH$_3$ concentrations (b), and GFED4s NH$_3$ emissions (c) over Africa in January, April, and August, 2008. TRMM precipitation is presented in mm month$^{-1}$, IASI-NH$_3$ concentrations in $10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$, and GFED4s NH$_3$ emissions in mg m$^{-2}$ month$^{-1}$.
Figure 2: Early growing season NH$_3$ pulses temporally associated with changes in soil moisture and with NO$_2$ pulses over the focal study region in the Sahel during 2008. Top panel: Daily atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations from IASI and NH$_3$ biomass burning emissions from GFED4s. Middle panel: ESA-CCI soil moisture and TRMM precipitation. Bottom panel: atmospheric NO$_2$ concentrations from OMI. Putative soil emission pulses in March and April are highlighted in bright and dark pink, respectively. Mean NO$_2$ concentrations were calculated using values of 0.25° grid cells within the study region for which NH$_3$ observations were also present. GFED4s emissions were converted from a mass-based to molecule-based flux to allow comparison with the IASI retrievals; note the different scales for the left and right y axes of the top panel.
Figure 3. NH$_3$ pulsing over the Sahel in April, and geographic variation in the seasonality of surface NH$_3$ concentrations over north equatorial Africa. (a) Observations by IASI during April 25 to April 28, 2008 reveal elevated mean atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations specifically over the Sahel region (b-h). Monthly NH$_3$ gas concentrations from sites in the INDAAF network; black lines represent the multi-year mean and standard error for each site, and red dots represent the 2008 value. Data are presented for Agoufou, Mali (b), Banizoumbou, Niger (c), Bomassa, Congo (d), Djougou, Benin (e), Katibougou, Mali (f), Lamto, Côte d’Ivoire (g), and Zoetele, Cameroon (h).
Figure 4. *Correlations between soil moisture and atmospheric NH₃ concentrations observed by IASI over the focal study region in the Sahel during the start of the rainy season in 2008.* Top panel: 5-day running mean of daily NH₃ concentrations and daily soil moisture for the focal study region from mid-February through the end of April, 2008. Bottom panel: scatterplot of soil moisture versus atmospheric NH₃ concentration for each 0.25° grid cell in the study region during April, 2008. In both panels, soil moisture data are included only for those 0.25° grid cells where NH₃ observations are available for the same day.
Figure 5. Maps of changing precipitation, soil moisture, and atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations for the focal region of the Sahel during the second half of April, 2008. 3-day averages from April 13 through April 27 are presented for precipitation from TRMM (left column), soil moisture from ESA-CCI (middle column) and atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations for acceptable retrievals from IASI (right column).
Figure 6. Comparison of daily total surface fluxes and biomass burning emissions of a) NH$_3$-N and b) NO$_2$-N for the focal study region in the Sahel during 2008. Total surface fluxes are estimated from IASI NH$_3$ and OMI NO$_2$ observations using a simple box model and assuming effective lifetimes of 12, 24, or 36 hours for NH$_3$ and of 6, 12, or 24 hours for NO$_2$. Fire emissions are taken from the GFED4s database. Modelled NO$_2$ emissions were calculated using values of 0.25° grid cells within the study region for which NH$_3$ observations were also present. GFED4s emission means were calculated using 0.25° grid cells that matched those used in the modelled emissions for the respective gas. Note the difference in scales, and that shorter effective lifetimes result in higher modelled emissions.
Figure S1: Early growing season NH₃ pulses temporally associated with changes in soil moisture and with NO₂ pulses over the focal study region in the Sahel during 2008. Top panel: Daily atmospheric NH₃ concentrations from IASI and NH₃ biomass burning emissions from GFED4s. Middle panel: ESA-CCI soil moisture and TRMM precipitation. Bottom panel: atmospheric NO₂ concentrations from OMI. Putative soil emission pulses in March and April are highlighted in bright and dark pink, respectively. The means of all variables presented were calculated using values of 0.25° grid cells within the study region for which both NO₂ and NH₃ observations were present. GFED4s emissions were converted from a mass-based to molecule-based flux to allow comparison with the IASI retrievals; note the different scales for the left and right y axes of the top panel.
Figure S2. Geographic variation in the seasonality of surface NO₂ concentrations over north equatorial Africa. Monthly NO₂ gas concentrations from sites in the INDAAF network; black lines represent the multi-year mean and standard error for each site, and red dots represent the 2008 value. Data are presented for Agoufou, Mali (b), Banizoumbou, Niger (c), Bomassa, Congo (d), Djougou, Benin (e), Katibougou, Mali (f), Lamto, Côte d’Ivoire (g), and Zoetele, Cameroon (h). Note that there is no panel (a) in this figure, so that the site labels match those in Figure 3.
Figure S3. Comparison of daily total surface fluxes and biomass burning emissions of a) NH$_3$-N and b) NO$_2$-N for the focal study region in the Sahel during 2008. Total surface fluxes are estimated from IASI NH$_3$ and OMI NO$_2$ observations using a simple box model and assuming effective lifetimes of 12, 24, or 36 hours for NH$_3$ and of 6, 12, or 24 hours for NO$_2$. Fire emissions are taken from the GFED4s database. Modelled and GFED4s mean emissions were calculated using values only of 0.25° grid cells within the study region for which both NO$_2$ and NH$_3$ observations were present. Note the difference in scales, and that shorter effective lifetimes result in higher modelled emissions.
Figure S4. Monthly means of IASI observations of atmospheric NH$_3$ concentrations for 1° grid cells centered over Agoufou, Mali, Banizoumbou, Niger and Katibougou, Mali in 2008. Surface observations for each site are presented in Figure 3b, 3c, and 3f, respectively.