Effects of mixing state on optical and radiative properties of black carbon in the European Arctic: TRACK OF REBUTTAL PROCESS

In the present document editors and referees can assess the rebuttal process performed by the authors after the first round of reviews. The document contains: the answers of authors to anonymous Reviewer 1 (Page 2) and anonymous Reviewer 2 (Page 5), and the implemented version of the manuscript with marked changes (Page 11).
ANSWERS OF THE AUTHORS TO REVIEWER #1

We would like to thank the referees for their detailed and constructive comments, which helped us to improve our manuscript. While the referee comments are given in **black bold**, our answers are given below in **blue** letters. Additionally, we added the changes we made in the revised manuscript in **blue bold** letters.

Anonymous Review of Manuscript acp-2018-45 GENERAL REMARKS
Zanatta et al. present measurements and analysis of aerosol optical properties from a ground site on Svalbard Island during the springtime arctic haze period. The measurements and analysis presented focus on the black carbon component of the aerosol, but also include scattering and AOD measurements. Agreement between the SP2 and COSMOS black carbon mass concentration measurements is demonstrated. The MACs observed are higher than expected for bare BC, but the authors show that is can be explained by coating enhancement of BC absorption which is modeled with Mie theory. It is advantageous that the BC is heavily coated which allows the assumption of a spherical core/shell morphology. The measured optical properties are extrapolated to 1 km height and used along with the AOD in a radiative transfer calculation to determine the radiative forcing of the arctic haze. The radiative transfer calculation shows the sensitivity to the MAC and coating enhancement of the absorbing aerosol.

Specific comments of Reviewer#1

**Page 4, line 43: Could you state or summarize the uncertainties in coating thickness.**

More details and references were implemented. The phrase now reads:

> [...] The relative precision of SP2-derived coating thickness is ±17% (unit-to-unit variability determined by Laborde et al., 2013). Accuracy of absolute values depends on consistency between SP2 calibrations with assumed refractive indices. This was checked by comparing the rBC mass equivalent diameter with the optical diameter of the bare BC core just before incandescence as discussed above, which indicates that potential systematic biases are not greater than above precision. [...].

**Page 5, line 44: replace ‘90 degrees angle’ with ‘a 90 degree angle’**

Changed.

**Page 6, line 29: PMOD/WRC is not defined in the text.**

PMOD/WRC stands for “Physikalisch-Meteorologisches Observatorium Davos, World Radiation Center”. For brevity, the acronym is now removed and the sentence is changed in:

> [...] is calibrated at the World Optical Depth Research and Calibration Center of Davos. [...].
Page 7, line 21: Why is the RI used for the BC core not consistent with the RI used in the analysis of the SP2 data?

The reason rises from the different optical domain to which the SP2 data analysis and the radiative forcing estimation belong. The RI used for the SP2 data analysis is specific to the operating wavelength of the SP2 laser and chosen to minimize potential systematic biases in the coating thickness values (see comment above). We modified the text in Section 2.2.1 to specify this point:

[...] Thereby refractive indices of 1.5-0i and 2.26-1.26i, specific for the Nd:YAG laser operating wavelength (1064 nm), were used for the coating and BC core respectively (Moteki et al., 2010). Using this RI for BC in the SP2 data analysis results in consistency between the rBC mass equivalent diameter with the optical diameter of the bare BC core just before incandescence, thereby assuring minimal systematic bias in retrieved coating thickness values. [...].

Differently, the optical and radiative calculations performed in the present work lay in the visible spectrum. In our case a refractive index of 1.95 - 0.79i at a wavelength of 550 nm was chosen (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006). The text in Section 2.4.1 was modified in:

[...] The refractive index (RI) of the BC core was assumed to be 1.95 - 0.79i at a wavelength of 550 (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006). For the same wavelength, an RI of 1.55 - 1·10^{-6}i was assumed for the coating material, which corresponds to a mixture of virtually non-light-absorbing organics and inorganics (Bond et al., 2006a). [...].

Page 8, line 36: replace ‘coherent with’ with ‘consistent with’ or ‘similar to’

Changed.

Page 12, line 20: Please give references for the range of BC AAE.

The work of Zotter et al. (2017) in snow included in the text:

[...] which is in the range 0.8-1.1 (Zotter et al., 2017). [...].
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We would like to thank the referees for their detailed and constructive comments, which helped us to improve our manuscript. While the referee comments are given in black bold, our answers are given below in blue letters. Additionally, we added the changes we made in the revised manuscript in blue bold letters.

Anonymous Review of Manuscript acp-2018-45 GENERAL REMARKS

This paper uses in situ observations of black carbon from a surface site in the arctic to explore the mixing state and optical properties and then carry this data forward into a radiative transfer model to explore sensitivities. Given that the arctic is a very sensitive region in this regard and not very well studied, this is an important piece of work and well within ACP’s remit. While the results aren’t particularly dramatic, given the current uncertainties, it is vitally important that these treatments are given an observational basis. The paper is generally very well written in terms of language. I have only a few reservations, but these are mainly of a general nature and will not likely affect the paper’s conclusions, therefore I recommend publication after minor revisions.

Specific comments of Reviewer#2

When comparing with other arctic SP2 datasets, DOI: 10.5194/acp-15-11537-2015 will likely be of relevance, as this made airborne observations in a similar region.

The reference of Liu et al. (2015) was implemented in the text in Section 3.2.1. Now the text reads:

[...] Recently, three studies also used the SP2 to investigate BC in the Arctic. Raatikainen et al. (2015) reported a mean rBC mass concentration of 26 ng m\(^{-3}\) at the Pallas Global Atmosphere Watch station (68°N, Finland) during winter 2011-2012. Taketani et al. (2016) investigated the spatial variability of rBC at sea level between the Northern-Pacific and Arctic oceans during September 2014. At latitudes higher than 75°N the rBC mass concentration was highly variable (0-60 ng m\(^{-3}\) on one-minute time resolution), with an average of 1 ± 1.2 ng m\(^{-3}\). In addition, Liu et al. (2015) presented rBC measurements performed in the low and middle troposphere in the European Arctic in spring 2013, when the rBC mass concentration varied between 20 and 100 ng sm\(^{-3}\). While observations in the present study are similar to those by Raatikainen et al. (2015) and Liu et al. (2015) the much lower rBC mass concentrations reported by Taketani et al. (2016) can most likely be attributed to the facts that they sampled a different season and that an intense stagnation event occurred over Svalbard in 2012. The rBC mass size distribution peaked at \(D_{\text{rBC}}=240\) nm with 33% of rBC mass in the BC core diameter range \(D_{\text{rBC}}=200\) - 300 nm (Figure 3a; Table 2). This is similar to BC core sizes reported from previous observations in the Arctic region (Liu et al., 2015; Raatikainen et al., 2015; Taketani et al., 2016), [...].

Generally, there is a tendency in this paper to not introduce certain variables properly. One example would be the various definitions of RF, but there are others. The authors should take care to make sure that each variable or parameter is properly defined when it is first used, in particular the use of subscripts.

The complete and proper definition of all variables, acronyms and abbreviations was verified:

- The acronym PRF, standing for sun precision filter radiometer, was removed.
- The abbreviation \(m_{\text{rBC}}\) is now introduced in Section 2.2.1. The original sentence was modified accordingly:

[...] Hereafter we follow the recommendation of Petzold et al. (2013) and use the term refractory black carbon (rBC) whenever referring to black carbon properties quantified...
with laser-induced incandescence and use the term \( m_{\text{rBC}} \) whenever referring to the refractory black carbon mass concentration [...].

- The acronym for standard deviation (SD) is introduced in Section 3.1 as: [...] The AOD was 0.097 on average with a standard deviation (SD) of 0.022 [...].
- The meaning of \( D_{\text{Shell}} \) is now explicitly defined in Section 3.2.3:

[...] Alternatively, the BC mixing state can be expressed as the ratio of the total diameter of the BC-containing particle \( (D_{\text{Shell}}) \) over the diameter of the BC core \( (D_{\text{rBC}} \) for SP2 measurements and \( D_{\text{Core}} \) for optical calculations), and univocally called shell-to-core diameter ratio \( (D_{\text{Shell}}/D_{\text{Core}}) \). [...].

- Following the previous point, the statement now reads:

[...] This is a result of relatively large size parameters approaching the geometric optics regime in which \( \text{MAC} \propto D_{\text{Core}}^{-1} \) [...].

- \( \text{AOD}_{\text{Obs}} \) is now properly defined in Section 3.4.1:

“[...] the observed AOD (\( \text{AOD}_{\text{Obs}} \)) [...].”

The nomenclature of radiative forcing and related terminology is addressed in the following comment.

The authors refer to ‘radiative forcing’, but this is inconsistent with the IPCC definition of the term, which is the anthropogenic perturbation compared to a preindustrial base case. I would recommend that the authors refer to this differently, for instance ‘radiative contribution’

The reviewer raised an important nomenclature issue, which was already topic of discussion in previous publications (Bond et al., 2013). Lacking a better alternative, we kept the term “radiative forcing”, while explicitly explaining in how far our term agrees with / differs from the IPCC definition.

- Text in Section 2.4.2 now reads:

[...] Note, this definition of radiative forcing agrees with the definition by Stamnes et al. (2017) whereas it differs from the definition of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Myhre et al., 2013). More details on this topic will be provided in Section 3.4.2.

- Text in Section 3.4.2 was modified accordingly:

[...] \( \Delta RF_{\text{ARI}} \) specifies the absolute change of the aerosol radiative forcing compared to our best guess scenario in order to quantify the effect of the absorption enhancement induced by different mixing degrees of BC (bare BC, thin, medium and thick coatings) on the \( \Delta RF_{\text{ARI}} \).

Our definition of radiative forcing refers to the gross effect on the radiative balance at present-day conditions, similar to Stamnes et al., (2017); but it differs from the definition by the IPCC (Myhre et al., 2013), which refers to the net effect on the radiative balance induced by anthropogenic emissions with using pre-industrial aerosol loadings as reference. However, these definitions become essentially identical for the radiative forcing by BC under the assumption that present-day BC concentrations in the Arctic region are much greater than corresponding preindustrial values. If this assumption was not applying, then our results for \( \Delta RF_{\text{ARI}} \) by BC would need the anthropogenic BC mass fraction at present-day as additional scaling factor to make them consistent with the IPCC definition. [...]

The authors use Mie to model the optical properties of the aerosol, but this is based on Mie-based data of the SP2 LEO inversion, which may suggest circular reasoning. However, I would consider
Liu et al. (2017) present an experimental case that this applies for the ‘thickly coated’ particles observed by the SP2. I would suggest that the authors refer to this work to justify their method.

We thank the reviewer for this suggestion. We now put the degree of coating in context of the findings by Liu et al. (2017):

\[ \text{More recently, Liu et al. (2017) confirmed that Mie theory with assuming spherical core-shell geometry realistically describes the optical behavior of embedded BC cores when the coating mass is greater than around three times the mass of BC core. In our work, the volume of the coating material was converted to mass using a density of 1100 kg m}^{-3}, \text{similar to Liu et al. (2017). On average, a factor of 4.15 was found between the mass of coating and BC core, supporting the assumption of core-shell as mixing geometry for our optical simulations. }\]

The authors used an Aethalometer to derive the absorption coefficient, but this is based on an assumed C value during the correction process. The authors should comment on the presumed accuracy of this.

The technique and data treatment of the aethalometer is reported in Section 2.3. The authors agree with Reviewer #2, on the importance of the C-value (C) in absorption determination via filter-based photometry. We extended the discussion of uncertainties related to assumptions on the C-value:

\[ \text{[...] The C-value, which depends on aerosol properties in a complex manner, can be determined if the true absorption coefficient is known. As no absorption reference instrument is available in this study, we use a fixed C-value of 3.1 as determined by Backman et al. (2016) for aethalometers operated at multiple low-elevation sites in the Arctic region. The applied C-value of 3.1 might not represent the actual C-value at the Zeppelin site during our campaign, thus potentially causing systematic errors. Choosing a C-value of 3.5 instead, as recommended by the Global Atmosphere Watch Program based on data from multiple European background sites (WMO, 2016; Zanatta et al., 2016), would result in a systematic reduction of resulting absorption coefficients and MAC-values by 13%. Furthermore, the variability of the C-value at the European background sites was reported to be ±25% around the recommended mean. Assuming that this range matches the potential variations of the actual C-value at the Zeppelin site, provides uncertainties of -29%/+18% associated with the aethalometer derived absorption coefficients. }\]

\[ ^{1}\text{Note, an updated C-value of 3.25 specific to the site and instrument used in this study is provided in the final published manuscript by Backman et al. (2017). However, the difference between these two values is well within uncertainty)}\]

Furthermore, they use the Virkkula method to correct for the loading artefact, which is useful when there are no collocated measurements of BC or absorption, however, given that there is collocated SP2 data, the (more accurate) Weingartner method (or the improved method in DOI: 10.5194/amt-3-457-2010) may be possible. The authors should justify the use of the Virkkula method better.

There are a few algorithms introduced for compensating the aerosol loading effect on filter based absorption measurements. The authors have used more than one method including the Weingartner method (Weingartner et al. 2003) and the Virkkula method (Virkkula et al., 2007) for sensitivity analysis among those included in the DOI: 10.5194/amt-3-457-2010 article cited by the reviewer. It is admitted that the reference to Virkkula et al. (2007) is not needed, because the final data were actually treated with the Weingartner method. This reference was accidentally introduced in a revised version of the manuscript and is now removed. It is maybe relevant to add that the loading
effect has a minor correction impact (< 3%) in arctic absorption data for the higher wavelengths employed here. This section becomes:

[...] where $R$ accounts for the loading correction as a function of attenuation (Weingartner et al., 2003). Although applied here, the loading correction is not a significant source of uncertainty for absorption determination at long wavelengths and observations in the Arctic (Backman et al., 2017). [...] 

The authors refer to an SP2 method to determine ‘attached’ particles but do not adequately describe it. They should give more detail here. Also, anecdotal evidence suggests that this indicator is not consistent between instruments, so it would be useful to demonstrate that the unit used here is capable of detecting these.

Potential inconsistency between instruments can indeed be a critical issue and warrants attention. It would be important to know whether this quoted “inconsistency” must rather be associated with inconsistency of data analysis approaches and/or selection of particle size ranges considered, or indeed with true intrinsic imprecision of this type of information retrieved from SP2 signals. Anyway, the main reason for looking into this was to exclude presence of a substantial fraction of BC particles with “attached type” coatings, which would result in larger errors in the SP2 based coating thickness analyses and the Mie calculations which are based on “embedded type” morphology. We removed the comparison of the number fraction of attached type BC found in this study, with corresponding number fractions reported in the literature as this is not central to our manuscript. Sect. 3.2.3 now reads:

[...] The SP2 makes it possible to distinguish two distinct types of particle morphology for individual internally mixed BC particles (Sedlacek et al., 2012; Dahlkötter et al., 2014; Moteki et al., 2014): i) BC is only a minor volume fraction and fully embedded in the coating material somewhere near the particle center, and ii) BC is attached to or at least near the surface of the coating material. We used the method introduced by Moteki et al. (2014) to show that at Svalbard, where the dominant fraction of BC-containing particles was found to have a small BC volume fraction, only around 2% of the particles containing BC-cores in the mass range of 6-10 fg exhibited the SP2 signal features corresponding to the attached geometry. While the exact value is subject to uncertainty, it is a robust result that the embedded type morphology clearly dominates over the attached type morphology for the BC particles. The fact that the dominant fraction of BC particles has substantial coatings with embedded type morphology supports using the simplified assumption of concentric core-shell geometry for inferring the mixing state based on SP2 data and for estimating the effect of the coatings on particle properties. [...] 
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Abstract:
Atmospheric aging promotes internal mixing of black carbon (BC) leading to an enhancement of light absorption and radiative forcing. The relationship between BC mixing state and consequent absorption enhancement was never estimated for BC found in the Arctic region. In the present work, we aim to quantify the absorption enhancement and its impact on radiative forcing as a function of microphysical properties and mixing state of BC observed in-situ at the Zeppelin Arctic station (78°N) in the spring of 2012 during the CLIMSLIP (Climate impacts of short-lived pollutants in the Arctic) project.

Single particle soot photometer (SP2) measurements showed a mean mass concentration of refractory black carbon (rBC) of 39 ng m\(^{-3}\), while the rBC mass size distribution was of log-normal shape peaking at an rBC mass equivalent diameter \(D_{rBC}\) of around 240 nm. On average, the number fraction of particles containing a BC core with \(D_{rBC} > 80\) nm was less than 5% in the size range (overall optical particle diameter) from 150 – 500 nm. The BC cores were internally mixed with other particulate matter. The median coating thickness of BC cores with \(220 < D_{rBC} < 260\) nm was 52 nm, resulting in a core-shell diameter ratio of 1.4, assuming a coated sphere morphology. Combining the aerosol absorption coefficient observed with an aethalometer and the rBC mass concentration from the SP2, a mass absorption cross-section (MAC) of 9.8 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) was inferred at a wavelength of 550 nm. Consistent with direct observation, a similar MAC value (8.4 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) at 550 nm) was obtained indirectly by using Mie theory and assuming a coated-sphere morphology with the BC mixing state constrained from the SP2 measurements. According to these calculations, the lensing effect is estimated to cause a 54% enhancement of the MAC compared to that of bare BC particles with equal BC core size distribution. Finally, the ARTDECO radiative transfer model was used to estimate the sensitivity of the radiative balance to changes in light absorption by BC as a result of varying degree of internal mixing at constant total BC mass. The clear sky noon-time aerosol radiative forcing over a surface with assumed wavelength-dependent albedo of 0.76-0.89 decreased, when ignoring the absorption enhancement, by -0.12 W m\(^{-2}\) compared to the base case scenario, which was constrained with mean observed aerosol properties for the Zeppelin site in Arctic spring. The exact magnitude of this forcing difference scales with environmental conditions such as the aerosol optical depth, solar zenith angle, and surface albedo. Nevertheless, our investigation suggests that the absorption enhancement due to internal mixing of BC, which is a systematic effect, should be considered for quantifying the aerosol radiative forcing in the Arctic region.
1 Introduction

In the late winter, favorable transport pathways and scarce removal mechanisms lead to an enhancement of aerosol concentration in the Arctic, well-known as the Arctic haze (Barrie, 1986; Shaw, 1995). The aerosol population of the Arctic haze is mainly composed of sulfate, organic matter, ammonium, nitrate, mineral dust, and black carbon (BC) (Quinn et al., 2007). BC, emitted by incomplete combustion of fossil fuels, biofuels and biomass, is of particular interest as it is mainly of anthropogenic origin and dominates light absorption by atmospheric aerosols, causing a positive radiative forcing (Bond et al., 2013) on global scale. In the Arctic, BC influences the energy budget by altering the radiative properties of clouds, absorbing the solar radiation in the atmosphere and darkening the snow surface (i.e. Flanner, 2013; Quinn et al., 2015; Mahmood et al., 2016; Sand et al., 2016). The combination of these three forcing mechanisms makes the Arctic more vulnerable to climate change contributes to what is now called “Arctic amplification”. However the aforementioned effects depend on the absolute atmospheric BC mass concentration, which varies between 20 and 80 ng m\(^{-3}\) in late winter/early spring and less than 10 ng m\(^{-3}\) in summer (typical values from several Arctic sites; AMAP report, 2015). The degree of the BC radiative forcing further depends on its optical properties, which change during atmospheric ageing processes of BC. As a consequence of long-range transport, BC observed in the Arctic is typically heavily processed and a significant amount of internally mixed BC may be found (Yamanouchi et al., 2005). The presence of a coating on the BC cores is expected to enhance light absorption between 30-50 % (Bond et al., 2006; Schwarz et al., 2008a) due to the so-called lensing effect. Adachi et al. (2010) showed that this effect enhances the global radiative forcing of BC by ~70 % compared to scenarios neglecting this enhancement. Nevertheless, the magnitude of the light-absorption enhancement remains controversial (Lack et al., 2009; Cappa et al., 2012) and affected by large uncertainty associated with the assumptions of mixing geometry (Adachi et al., 2010), morphology (Scarnato et al., 2013), and accuracy in coating thickness quantification.

Presently, the optical properties of black carbon in the Arctic atmosphere and its size distribution and mixing state are poorly characterized. During the CLIMSLIP (Climate impacts of short-lived pollutants in the Arctic) project, we addressed this gap with dedicated in situ measurements of BC properties including mixing state and mass absorption cross-section during Arctic haze conditions at the Zeppelin station during springtime. This allowed quantifying the absorption enhancement via comparison with observationally constrained optical modeling. Finally, the resulting impact of BC mixing state on its radiative forcing was assessed using a 1D radiative transfer model.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Sampling site and meteorology

The CLIMSLIP field experiment took place between the 22\(^{nd}\) of March and 11\(^{th}\) of April 2012. The instrumentation was deployed at the Zeppelin station, (475 m asl; 78°54’N, 11°53’E), Svalbard, Norway. The site is representative of European Arctic background and, in spring during the Arctic haze period, is mainly influenced by long-range transport over the Arctic Ocean from Siberia, Eurasia and the European subcontinent (Tunved et al., 2013). Moreover, local anthropogenic emissions rarely reach the site (Beine et al., 1999; Ström et al., 2003). The CLIMSLIP campaign was
characterized by anticyclonic conditions leading to the dominance of clear-sky conditions; only a few and weak snow precipitation events on the 23rd-24th and 29th-30th of March were observed. The wind direction was dominated by northerly winds with less frequent southerly inclusions. Back-trajectory analysis, performed with the NOAA HYSPLIT model, showed that the air masses reaching Svalbard during the CLIMSLIP campaign mainly originated from high latitudes (>70°N) with basically no influence from Europe and relatively low influence from northern Siberia (Figure S1).

2.2 Instrumental deployment for black carbon mass, size distribution and mixing state measurements

2.2.1 The single particle soot photometer

The single particle soot photometer (SP2, 8-channel, Droplet Measurement Technologies, Longmont, CO, USA) was used to determine concentration, size distribution and coating thickness of black carbon (BC) at the Zeppelin site. The operation principles are given by Stephens et al. (2003), Schwarz et al. (2006), and Moteki and Kondo (2010). Briefly, the SP2 is based on the laser-induced incandescence technique: the particles are directed through an intra-cavity Nd:YAG continuous-wave laser beam at a wavelength of 1064 nm, in which light-absorbing particles are heated. BC-containing particles reach incandescence, and the peak intensity of the emitted thermal radiation, which occurs when the boiling point temperature of BC is reached, is proportional to the BC mass contained in the particle. Hereafter we follow the recommendation of Petzold et al. (2013) and use the term refractory black carbon (rBC) whenever referring to black carbon quantified with laser-induced incandescence and use the term \( m_{\text{rBC}} \) whenever referring to the refractory black carbon mass concentration. All other particulate matter that may be internally mixed with a BC core evaporates at temperatures below the boiling point of BC such that no interference occurs in the quantification of the rBC mass. The SP2 has a detection efficiency of unity between 0.48 fg and 207 fg rBC mass, which reflect the lower and upper limit of quantification on a single particle basis. rBC number and mass size distribution is commonly expressed as a function of rBC mass equivalent diameter \( (D_{\text{rBC}}) \), calculated using a void-free material density of 1800 kg m\(^{-3}\). The above limits of quantification translate to 80 nm < \( D_{\text{rBC}} \) < 604 nm. Lognormal fit to the measured rBC mass size distribution is used to correct for rBC mass in BC cores with sizes outside these detection limits (see Section 3.2.1).

Optical particle sizing is based on the collection of elastically scattered laser light at 1064 nm. For BC-free particles, which do not evaporate in the laser beam, the peak scattering intensity is translated to an optical diameter using a refractive index of 1.5 and assuming spherical particle shape. The optical diameter \( (D_{\text{opt}}) \) detection range of BC-free particles is from 150 nm and 500 nm. The optical sizing of BC-containing particles, which evaporate within the laser beam, is more complicated: we used a slightly modified version of the leading edge only (LEO) approach described by Gao et al. (2007) as implemented by Laborde et al. (2012a). This makes it possible to determine the optical size of particles at any position in the laser beam. The particle retains its original size in the leading edge of the Gaussian laser beam before evaporation onset. The optical size of the whole particle was inferred from the leading-edge scattering signal using Mie theory, assuming concentric sphere morphology of BC core and non-refractory coating and finally called \( D_{\text{Shell}} \).

Thereby refractive indices of 1.5-0i and 2.26-1.26i, specific for the Nd:YAG laser operating wavelength (1064 nm), were used for the coating and BC core respectively (Moteki et al., 2010).

Using this RI for BC in the SP2 data analysis results in consistency between the rBC mass equivalent
diameter and the optical diameter of the bare BC core just before incandescence, thereby assuring minimal systematic bias in retrieved coating thickness values. The “coated sphere equivalent coating thickness”, hereafter simply referred to as coating thickness, is calculated as the difference of the optical radius of the whole BC-containing particle and the radius of the rBC core. The relative precision of SP2-derived coating thickness is ±17% (unit-to-unit variability as determined by Laborde et al., 2012b). Accuracy of absolute values depends on consistency between SP2 calibrations and assumed refractive indices. This was checked by comparing the rBC mass equivalent diameter with the optical diameter of the bare BC core just before incandescence as discussed above, which indicates that potential systematic biases are not greater than above precision.

The SP2 calibration for the incandescence signal was performed in situ using size selected fullerene particulate (Alpha Aesar; #FS125011). The scattering detector was calibrated in situ with spherical polystyrene latex size standards of 200, 220 and 269 nm of diameter (Thermo Scientific, formerly Duke Scientific). The scattering signal at incandescence signal onset, which is after evaporation of coatings but before onset of BC evaporation, was compared against the incandescence signal to verify that the measured coating thickness is unbiased for uncoated BC when applying the calibrations and BC refractive index as described above. A complete description of the calibration set-up, calibration materials and operation principles can be found in Moteki and Kondo (2010), Gysel et al. (2011), Baumgardner et al. (2012), and Laborde et al. (2012a, 2012b).

2.2.2 The continuous soot monitoring system

The continuous soot monitoring system (COSMOS, Kanomax, Osaka, Japan) is a single-wavelength photometer measuring the light attenuation through a filter collecting the aerosol sample (Miyazaki et al., 2008). A key difference to other aerosol absorption photometers is the heated inlet, which is operated at a temperature of 400 °C in order to remove volatile particles and non-refractory coatings from BC cores. As a consequence, the mass attenuation cross section (10 m² g⁻¹) of the denuded BC deposited on the filter is largely independent on the original BC mixing state, reducing the uncertainty of black carbon mass inferred from light attenuation without need for site, air origin or seasonal dependent correction factors (Kondo et al., 2009). However, the conversion factor between attenuation coefficient and BC mass remains weakly influenced by the deepness at which particles are deposited in the filter. A correction for this effect, which depends on the BC core size distribution, was developed by Nakayama et al. (2010) and applied in this work. This approach with stabilized mass attenuation cross section makes COSMOS derived BC mass much more accurate than traditional filter-based measurements of equivalent black carbon mass (Petzold et al., 2013).

2.3 Experimental and data analysis approaches for aerosol optical properties

Data from several instruments were used to characterize the optical properties of the total aerosol: a nephelometer (Model 3563, TSI Inc, St. Paul, MN, USA), a 7-wavelength aethalometer (Model AE31, Magee Scientific Corporation, Berkeley, CA, USA), and a sun precision filter radiometer. The complete list of instruments used in this work with measured and derived parameters is presented in Table 1 and schematized in Figure 1a-b. The nephelometer was used to monitor the aerosol total scattering (σ_{sp}) and hemispheric back scattering (σ_{bsp}) coefficients at dry conditions (RH<20 %) at three different
wavelengths (450, 500, and 700 nm). A full characterization of the nephelometer and the correction procedure for truncation error applied in this work is given by Anderson and Ogren (1998) and Müller et al. (2009). Combining $\sigma$ and $\sigma_{\text{sp}}$, it was possible to derive the asymmetry parameter ($g$), which describes the angular distribution of diffused light and is an important input parameter for radiative transfer simulations. Here the asymmetry parameter is calculated applying the Henley-Greenstein approximation, which parameterizes $g$ as a function of the fraction of backscattered light (Wiscombe and Grams, 1976). It was shown that this approximation is appropriate for a submicron aerosol population and thus suitable for our purpose (Fiebig et al., 2005; Andrews et al., 2006).

The aethalometer was used to monitor the aerosol light absorption coefficient ($\sigma_{\text{ap}}$) at 7 wavelengths between 370 nm and 950 nm. The AE31 collects the aerosol on a filter sampling air from a vertical duct at 90 degree angle through a ¼ inch counter flow inlet with the instrument intake flow velocity imposing an 8 μm 50% cut off diameter. This photometer measures light attenuation (ATN) through a filter while the aerosol sample is deposited on the filter (Hansen et al., 1984). The raw attenuation coefficient ($\sigma_{\text{ATN}}$) is defined as:

$$\sigma_{\text{ATN}}(\lambda) := \frac{A \Delta \text{ATN}(\lambda)}{Q \Delta t}$$

where $\Delta \text{ATN}$ is the change in attenuation over a time interval $\Delta t$, $A$ is the area of the aerosol sample collection spot on the filter and $Q$ is the sampling flow rate. The attenuation coefficient is approximately proportional to the absorption coefficient, however, the relationship becomes increasingly non-linear with increasing loading due to “shadowing effects” (Weingartner et al., 2003). The proportionality factor, C-value ($C$), between loading corrected attenuation coefficient and true aerosol absorption coefficient is greater than unity as the light absorption by the aerosol deposited in the filter is enhanced due to multiple scattering of the transmitted light within the filter matrix (Weingartner et al., 2003). The absorption coefficient ($\sigma_{\text{ap}}$) is calculated from the raw attenuation coefficient using:

$$\sigma_{\text{ap}}(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma_{\text{ATN}}(\lambda)}{R(\text{ATN}) \cdot C}$$

where $R$ accounts for the loading correction as a function of attenuation (Weingartner et al., 2003). Although applied here, the loading correction is not a significant source of uncertainty for absorption determination at long wavelengths and observations in the Arctic (Backman et al., 2017). The C-value, which depends on aerosol properties in a complex manner, can be determined if the true absorption coefficient is known. As no absorption reference instrument is available in this study, we use a fixed C-value of 3.1 as determined by Backman et al. (2016) for aethalometers operated at multiple low-elevation sites in the Arctic region. The applied C-value of 3.1 might not represent the actual C-value at the Zeppelin site during our campaign, thus potentially causing systematic errors. Choosing a C-value of 3.5 instead, as recommended by the Global Atmosphere Watch Program based on data from multiple European background sites (WMO, 2016; Zanatta et al., 2016), would result in

Note, an updated C-value of 3.25 specific to the site and instrument used in this study is provided in the final published manuscript by Backman et al. (2017). However, the difference between these two values is well within uncertainty.
a systematic reduction of resulting absorption coefficients and MAC-values by 13%. Furthermore, the variability of the C-value at the European background sites was reported to be ±25% around the recommended mean. Assuming that this range matches the potential variations of the actual C-value at the Zeppelin site, provides uncertainties of -29%/+18% associated with the aethalometer derived absorption coefficients.

The single scattering albedo (SSA), which is defined as

\[ SSA(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma_{sp}(\lambda)}{\sigma_{sp}(\lambda) + \sigma_{ap}(\lambda)} \]  

(3)

describes if the aerosol extinction coefficient (sum of scattering coefficient \(\sigma_{sp}\) and absorption coefficient \(\sigma_{ap}\)) is dominated by light scattering or absorption. The SSA is one of the key parameters determining whether the aerosols have a warming or cooling effect.

The aerosol optical depth (AOD) at wavelengths of 368, 412, 500, and 862 nm was monitored by means of a sun precision filter radiometer. Each year, during wintertime, the instrument is calibrated at the World Optical Depth Research and Calibration Center of Davos. The AOD is used to assess the total aerosol load integrated over the vertical column. Additionally, information about the aerosol size distribution might be derived from the wavelength dependence of AOD. This dependency is parameterized using the Ångström exponent of the AOD (\(\alpha_{AOD}\)), where \(\alpha_{AOD}\) for a wavelength pair \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\) is defined as:

\[ \alpha_{AOD}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = -\frac{\log[\text{AOD}(\lambda_1)/\text{AOD}(\lambda_2)]}{\log(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)} \]  

(4)

and the Ångström exponent difference (\(\Delta\alpha\)), which is defined as:

\[ \Delta\alpha = \alpha_{AOD}(412 \text{ nm}, 675 \text{ nm}) - \alpha_{AOD}(675 \text{ nm}, 862 \text{ nm}) \]  

(5)

The mass absorption cross-section (MAC) of a certain component of particulate matter is defined as the contribution of this component to the aerosol absorption coefficient divided by its mass concentration, which translates to

\[ \text{MAC}_{\text{BC}}(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma_{ap,\text{BC}}(\lambda)}{m_{\text{BC}}} \]  

(6)

for black carbon aerosol, where \(m_{\text{BC}}\) stands for mass concentration of BC. In our work we use \(m_{r\text{BC}}\) from the SP2 for the BC mass concentration and the total aerosol absorption coefficient measured by the aethalometer for a certain wavelength. Thus, we use the specific term \(\text{MAC}_{r\text{BC}}\) for the measured MAC of BC.

2.4 Optical and radiative modeling tools
2.4.1 Mie theory calculations
The influence of observed coatings of BC-containing particles on MAC was investigated from a theoretical point of view using Mie theory. Calculations were done by means of the “BHCOAT” code (Bohren and Huffman, 1998) which is a numerical implementation of Mie theory. The BC-containing particles are assumed to have concentric-spheres morphology with a spherical BC core embedded in a shell of the internally mixed non-absorbing material. The coating thickness is defined as the thickness of the coating layer, i.e. the difference between the radii of the whole particle and the BC core (consistent with the definition in Section 2.2.1). The refractive index (RI) of the BC core was assumed to be 1.95 - 0.79i at a wavelength of 550 (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006). For the same wavelength, an RI of 1.55 - 1·10⁻⁴i was assumed for the coating material, which corresponds to a mixture of virtually non-light-absorbing organics and inorganics (Bond et al., 2006a). The BC core size and coating thickness, both required to perform the Mie calculations, were inferred from the SP2 measurements (Section 2.2.1, Figure 1c). The enhancement factor of light-absorption of BC (E_{MAC}) due to lensing effect is defined as the ratio between the MAC calculated for BC with a certain core size and coating thickness, over the MAC calculated the same BC core in bare from, i.e. without coating.

2.4.2 ARTDECO radiative transfer model
Radiative transfer simulations were conducted in order to quantify the effects of different BC mixing state scenarios on atmospheric radiation fluxes following the schematic shown in Figure 1d. The radiative forcing due to the aerosol-radiation interaction (RF_{AR}) for a certain aerosol scenario was estimated as the difference between the net radiative fluxes (∆F_{Lux}) at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) for simulations including aerosol and gases (∆F_{Lux_{TOT}^{TOA}}) and simulations in which the aerosol was ignored (∆F_{Lux_{NoAerosol}^{TOA}}):

\[ RF_{AR} = \Delta F_{Lux_{TOT}^{TOA}} - \Delta F_{Lux_{NoAerosol}^{TOA}} \]  

(7)

Note, this definition of radiative forcing agrees with the definition by Stamnes et al. (2017) whereas it differs from the definition of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Myhre et al., 2013). More details on this topic will be provided in Section 3.4.2. We used the Atmospheric Radiative Transfer Database for Earth Climate Observation model (ARTDECO), which is developed and maintained at the Laboratoire d’Optique Atmosphérique (LOA), distributed by the data centre AERIS/ICARE (http://www.icare.univlille1.fr/projects/artdeco) and supported by CNRS / Lille University and CNES (TOSCA program). In the present study, the one-dimensional discrete model (1D - DISORT2.1) was chosen as radiative transfer equation solver (RTE solver). It is a scalar RTE solver based on the discrete ordinate method (Stamnes et al., 1988) and was here used to compute radiative fluxes between 400 and 840 nm (the spectral domain was reduced due to the limited spectral coverage of our optical measurements) for a fixed Zenith angle of 77° (averaged solar Zenith angle at 12:00 UTC for Zeppelin station during the CLIMSLIP campaign). Some main assumptions were applied to describe environmental conditions. All simulations were run for clear sky conditions. The surface was considered to be Lambertian with a wavelength dependent surface albedo, varying from 0.887 at a wavelength of 400 nm to 0.763 at a wavelength of 800 nm. This choice is representative of a snow-covered ground. The radiative transfer code considers scattering and
absorption by the gases carbon dioxide, water vapor and ozone. We used the vertical distribution considered to be representative for arctic region, which was developed for the MIPAS instrument on the ENVISAT space platform.

ARTDECO further requires various aerosol optical properties as input (see schematic in Figure 1). The total aerosol burden is provided in the form of AOD at 550 nm wavelength, which was obtained by interpolating the sun radiometer AOD measurements at 368, 412, 500, and 862 nm. Then, ARTDECO internally adjusts the AOD provided at 550 nm to other wavelengths using the wavelength dependence of aerosol extinction. Extinction was calculated as the sum of the absorption and humidity corrected scattering coefficients and provided as model input at the wavelengths 370, 550 and 880 nm. The aerosol population was assumed to be confined between 0 and 1 km above ground and chosen to match the AOD. The single scattering albedo and asymmetry parameter are provided as input to ARTDECO for the wavelengths 370, 550 and 880 nm, as inferred from interpolated or extrapolated aerosol measurements and Mie calculations. More detail is provided in Section 3.4.1, specifically on the relative humidity dependence of aerosol optical properties and the approach to simulate the effects of different BC mixing state scenarios.

3 Results

3.1 Aerosol optical properties

Here we present an optical characterization of the total aerosol at the Zeppelin station during the Arctic haze 2012 period. All measurements, excluding AOD, were made at relative humidity (RH) <20%, thus representing dry aerosol properties. The AOD was 0.097 on average with a standard deviation (SD) of 0.022 (Figure 2a), indicating a low aerosol burden consistent with previous Arctic AOD observations (Yamanouchi et al., 2005; Hoffmann et al., 2009). Following the work of Yamanouchi et al. (2005), AOD (500 nm) values >0.1 and <0.06 are considered to represent Arctic haze and background conditions, respectively. Applying these thresholds for the CLIMSLIP 2012 experiments, the AOD measurements indicated that Arctic haze occurred 44% of time and that the haze threshold was almost reached (0.09 < AOD < 0.1) during another 28% of time, while background conditions did not occur at all. Although smoke events are known to occasionally enhance the total aerosol load up to AOD values between 0.3-0.7 (Treffeisen et al., 2007), we did not observe AOD values higher than 0.2. The dry aerosol scattering coefficient was 13.1 ± 5.72 Mm⁻¹ (mean ± SD) at 550 nm (Figure 2c). This is close to previous measurements during Arctic haze at the Zeppelin station (9.8 Mm⁻¹; Yamanouchi et al., 2005) but lower compared to previously observed biomass burning events (55 Mm⁻¹; Moroni et al., 2017). Both observed AOD and scattering coefficients suggest that the measurement campaign was largely influenced by Arctic haze conditions with no influence of intense pollution events.

Qualitative information on the aerosol size distribution shape can be obtained from $\alpha_{AOD}$, $\Delta \alpha$ and $g$. The averaged $\alpha_{AOD}$, calculated between 412 nm and 675 nm, was observed to be 1.4 ± 0.22 (mean ± SD). This suggests the presence of particles in the supermicron diameter range, as $\alpha_{AOD}$ decreases from a value of 4 in the Rayleigh regime to a value of 0 in the geometric regime. Most observed $\Delta \alpha$ values were found to be negative (90% of all data points; Figure 2b), despite considerable uncertainty at low AOD (Gobbi et al., 2007). According to Kaufman (1993), negative $\Delta \alpha \Delta \alpha$ values indicate that the aerosol population is dominated by particles in the fine mode, while positive values would indicate that both fine and coarse modes give a distinct contribution to AOD. Thus, combined
interpretation of $\alpha_{\text{add}}$ and $\Delta\alpha$ values suggests that the fine mode aerosol dominates over a minor coarse mode. A similar conclusion was deduced from the observed asymmetry parameter values: $g$ at 550 nm was 0.68 ± 0.018 (mean ± SD; Figure 2d), exactly in the middle of $g$ values for nuclei (0.637) and accumulation (0.745) modes as reported by Tomasi et al. (2015) during Arctic haze conditions.

The dry aerosol SSA, inferred from absorption coefficient and light scattering measurements interpolated to a wavelength of 550 nm, was observed to be 0.977 ± 0.007 (mean ± SD) with maximum and minimum values of 0.960 and 0.991, respectively (Figure 2e), indicating that light scattering by the aerosol vastly dominates over light absorption. Similar values were already observed at Zeppelin during Arctic haze episodes by Lund Myhre et al. (2007). In summary, aerosol optical properties, observed at the ground and with remote sensing during the measurement campaign in spring 2012, represent typical springtime Arctic haze without intense smoke or biomass burning episodes.

### 3.2 Black carbon mass concentration, size distribution, and mixing state

#### 3.2.1 BC concentration and size distribution

The SP2 quantitatively detects rBC mass in single particles with rBC mass equivalent core diameters in the range 80 nm < $D_{\text{rBC}}$ < 604 nm. These size limits might lead to a substantial underestimation of the total rBC mass concentration (Schwarz et al., 2006; Kondo et al., 2011; Reddington et al., 2013; Dahlkötter et al., 2014). We minimized this potential bias by adding the estimated rBC mass concentration in the diameter ranges 10 nm < $D_{\text{rBC}}$ < 80 nm and 604 nm < $D_{\text{rBC}}$ < 1000 nm using log-normal fits for extrapolating the tails of the observed rBC mass size distribution. This correction was on average 12% of the total uncorrected rBC mass (Figure 3a). The corrected rBC mass concentration was 39 ± 23 ng m$^{-3}$ (mean ± SD) with the 25th and 75th percentiles at 24 ng m$^{-3}$ and 51 ng m$^{-3}$, respectively (Figure 3b, Table 2). rBC mass concentration exceeded 80 ng m$^{-3}$ only during a single event of 6 hour duration. Recently, three studies also used the SP2 to investigate BC in the Arctic. Raatikainen et al. (2015) reported a mean rBC mass concentration of 26 ng m$^{-3}$ at the Pallas Global Atmosphere Watch station (68°N, Finland) during winter 2011-2012. Taketani et al. (2016) investigated the spatial variability of rBC at sea level between the Northern-Pacific and Arctic oceans during September 2014. At latitudes higher than 75°N the rBC mass concentration was highly variable (0-60 ng m$^{-3}$ on one-minute time resolution), with an average of 1 ± 1.2 ng m$^{-3}$. In addition, Liu et al. (2015) presented rBC measurements performed in the low and middle troposphere in the European Arctic in spring 2013, when the rBC mass concentration varied between 20 and 100 ng sm$^{-3}$. While observations in the present study are similar to those by Raatikainen et al. (2015) and Liu et al. (2015), the much lower rBC mass concentrations reported by Taketani et al. (2016) can most likely be attributed to the facts that they sampled a different season and that an intense stagnation event occurred over Svalbard in 2012. The rBC mass size distribution peaked at $D_{\text{rBC}}$ = 240 nm with 33% of rBC mass in the BC core diameter range $D_{\text{rBC}}$ = 200 - 300 nm (Figure 3a; Table 2). This is similar to BC core sizes reported from previous observations in the Arctic region (Liu et al., 2015a; Raatikainen et al., 2015; Taketani et al., 2016), while clearly smaller BC cores were reported for urban areas (Schwarz et al., 2008b; Laborde et al., 2013). This systematic size difference could potentially be caused by different BC properties at emission, while long-range transport can also alter the BC mass mode either to larger size by coagulation (Shiraiwa et al., 2008; Tunved et al., 2013) or to smaller
size due to preferential wet removal of larger BC cores (Moteki et al., 2012). The reason for the size difference between BC in the Arctic and in urban regions remains elusive as the relative impact of aforementioned causes is not known yet.

3.2.2 Comparison between SP2 and COSMOS

As summarized by Petzold et al. (2013), black carbon can be measured with different techniques. The heterogeneity of measurement approaches may lead to discrepancies between different types of operationally defined black carbon mass concentrations, especially in pristine areas where BC loadings are close to the limit of detection of many instruments. The AMAP report (2015) underlined the need for comparable BC-measuring techniques in the Arctic region in order to accurately monitor the consequences of anthropogenic activities on BC load and estimating the subsequent climatic impacts. In order to quantify the potential inconsistencies between black carbon mass concentrations measured by the SP2 and the COSMOS, an intercomparison study was carried out at the Zeppelin station from the 30th of March to the 11th of April when these two instruments were operated in parallel (more than 200 hours of simultaneous measurements). The COSMOS raw data were analyzed using the mass absorption cross-section reported in Kondo et al. (2009). The two instruments showed a good correlation (Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.89; Figure 5) and the agreement of absolute BC mass values was good (slope of the regression line is 1.14). When only considering the BC mass concentration data at values higher than the limit of detection of the COSMOS instrument reported in the literature (50 ng m⁻³; Miyazaki et al., 2008), the two instruments showed even better agreement. This is well within expected uncertainties, given that the two instruments were independently calibrated by different groups and that Miyazaki et al. (2008) reported an accuracy of 12% for the COSMOS and Laborde et al. (2012b) a reproducibility of 10% for the SP2. Although the COSMOS measurements might be influenced by BC particle size (Nakayama et al., 2010), the time dependent ratio between the two quantities did not show any correlation with the mean diameter of BC cores. Considering that the BC at the Zeppelin station is expected to be internally mixed with other aerosol components due to long mean atmospheric residence time, the quantitative agreement between SP2 and COSMOS suggests that these methods applying different approaches for “thermal pre-treatment” and quantifying BC, do not suffer from interference from non-BC matter that is present in large fraction both internally mixed with BC and externally mixed in BC-free particles. Having a robust BC mass measurement is already half way towards a reliable estimation of BC mass absorption cross-section.

3.2.3 BC mixing state

The mixing state of BC-containing aerosol was inferred from single particle measurements performed with the SP2 using the approach described in Section 2.2.1. This was possible for all BC cores having a BC core diameter in the range 220 nm < $D_{\text{BC}}$ < 260 nm, thus including 6% of all detected BC particles and covering 13% of the total rBC mass around the modal size of the BC mass size distribution. The mean coating thickness was found to be 66 nm, while the median, 25th and 75th percentiles were 52, 30 and 91 nm respectively (Figure 4a; Table 2). The number fraction of uncoated, “bare” BC particles was negligible and the maximum coating thickness was 230 nm. Alternatively, the BC mixing state can be expressed as the ratio of the total diameter of the BC-containing particle ($D_{\text{shell}}$) over the diameter of the BC core ($D_{\text{BC}}$ for SP2 measurements and $D_{\text{core}}$ for optical calculations), and univocally called shell-to-core diameter ratio ($D_{\text{shell}}/D_{\text{core}}$). Above coating thickness values translate to an average shell-to-core diameter ratio of 1.55 (Table 2). This indicates that the BC cores at the mode of
the core size distribution were internally mixed with other particulate matter making up more than three times the rBC mass in these BC-containing particles. In agreement with this observation, (Raatikainen et al., 2015) also reported dominance of internally mixed BC for the Pallas site in the Finnish Arctic, though with 20% smaller shell to core diameter ratio reported for slightly different core diameter range.

The SP2 makes it possible to distinguish two distinct types of particle morphology for individual internally mixed BC particles (Sedlacek et al., 2012; Dahlkötter et al., 2014; Moteki et al., 2014): i) BC is only a minor volume fraction and fully embedded in the coating material somewhere near the particle center, and ii) BC is attached to or at least near the surface of the coating material. We used the method introduced by Moteki et al. (2014) to show that at Svalbard, where the dominant fraction of BC-containing particles was found to have a small BC volume fraction, only around 2% of the particles containing BC-cores in the mass range of 6-10 fg exhibited the SP2 signal features corresponding to the attached geometry. While the exact value is subject to uncertainty, it is a robust result that the embedded type morphology clearly dominates over the attached type morphology for the BC particles. The fact that the dominant fraction of BC particles has substantial coatings with embedded type morphology supports using the simplified assumption of concentric core-shell geometry for inferring the mixing state based on SP2 data and for estimating the effect of the coatings on particle properties.

The above discussion of BC mixing state focused on a narrow BC core size range and thus on BC containing particles only. Alternatively, particle mixing state can be discussed for all particles within a certain optical particle diameter range including both BC-free and BC-containing particles. In the following we discuss particles with overall optical diameter in the range 200-260 nm. In this size range, less than 5% of the particles contained a detectable amount of BC, while more than 95% were BC-free. These numbers show that most of the non-BC particulate matter is externally mixed from BC, both by number and volume. It is important to emphasize that the reported number fraction of BC-containing particles is a lower limit of the true value as BC cores with $D_{rBC} < 80$ nm are not considered due to the detection limits of the SP2. However, the number of undetected BC cores is certainly less than 2-4 times the detected number. The lower BC core size detection limit further imposes a lower limit for the minimum detectable BC volume fraction in a particle, which is ~4% by volume for the particle size range selected here. The frequency distribution of BC volume fraction in BC-containing particles is shown in Figure 4b: 50% of the BC-containing particles had a BC volume fraction of 15% or less while only 25% had a BC volume fraction of 31% or more with maximum values around 75% BC volume fraction (Table 2), consistent with above findings that most BC cores have substantial coatings. This means that most BC-containing particles at a certain particle size have small cores while only very few have a large core compared to total particles size. This implies, combined with the small BC particle number fraction, that aerosol light scattering must clearly dominate over the light absorption, which is consistent with observed aerosol SSA (see Section 3.1).

3.3 Light absorption properties of black carbon
The aerosol light absorption coefficient was measured at 7 wavelengths between 370 to 950 nm. However, from here on, we only discuss results at a wavelength of 550 nm, as this choice will allow direct comparison to results shown in previous literature.

### 3.3.1 Observed MAC of BC

The mass absorption cross-section of BC was calculated from daily averaged values of the total absorption coefficient ($\sigma_{ap}$) at 550 nm wavelength measured with the aethalometer divided by the rBC mass concentration ($m_{rBC}$) quantified by the SP2 and hereafter named MAC$_{rBC}$. This approximation is based on the assumption that the light absorption is fully dominated by BC, while other compound classes such as organic or brown carbon give a negligible contribution. Depending on aerosol sources, organic carbon can potentially contribute to absorption at wavelengths shorter than ~530 nm (Lack et al., 2012). If this is the case, then the absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) between 370 nm and 880 nm increases to values greater than that of BC, which is in the range 0.8-1.1 (Zotter et al., 2017). In this study, the AAE between 370 nm and 880 nm was found to be 0.82 ± 0.30 (mean ± SD), thus suggesting that the contribution of brown carbon to light absorption is negligible across this wavelength range (Figure S2).

$\sigma_{ap}$ was interpolated to 550 nm wavelength by adjusting the measurement from 590 nm using the absorption Ångström exponent calculated from the measurements at 370 and 880 nm. The daily averaged MAC$_{rBC}$ varied between 8.1 and 11.1 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Figure 7a) with a campaign average of 9.81 ± 1.68 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (mean ± SD). The MAC$_{rBC}$ observed in this study is in agreement with the MAC$_{rBC}$ values recently observed in the Canadian Arctic in spring (Sharma et al., 2017), who reported a MAC of 8.0 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ at 550 nm, and also comparable to MAC$_{rBC}$ reported by Zanatta et al. (2016) at Scandinavian sites (6.5, 7.9 and 8.5 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ at 637 nm, translating to around 7.5-9.9 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ at 550 nm; all at latitudes between 56-58°N). All these MAC values are consistent within experimental uncertainty, and they are consistently larger than the MAC$_{rBC}$ expected for bare BC, which provides experimental evidence that the coatings around the BC cores enhance the light absorbed by BC through the lensing effect. This will be addressed in Section 3.3.2.

The observed MAC$_{rBC}$ at 880 nm was 6.95 ± 0.69 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (mean ± SD), we recommend applying this MAC$_{rBC}$ when inferring the equivalent BC mass concentration for Artic aerosol from absorption coefficient at 880 nm measured by the aethalometer. This includes applying a C-value of 3.1 in the first step (Equation 2).

### 3.3.2 Theoretically expected MAC and absorption enhancement for internally mixed BC

The absorption cross-section of BC-containing particles was calculated with the BHCOAT implementation of Mie theory assuming concentric spheres geometry for coated BC cores (Section 2.4.1). The refractive indices at 550 nm wavelength were assumed to be $\text{RI}_{\text{core}} = 1.95 - 0.79i$ for the BC core (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006) and $\text{RI}_{\text{coating}} = 1.55 - 1\cdot10^{-4}i$ for the coating (Bond et al., 2006). This combination of $\text{RI}_{\text{core}}$ and $\text{RI}_{\text{coating}}$ is meant to represent a compact BC core with low void fraction being encapsulated in a mixture of organics and sulfate. The MAC, as defined in Equation 6, was calculated for a range of BC-core diameters and coating thicknesses. The modelled MAC (MAC$$_{Mod}$) was then calculated by dividing the theoretical absorption cross-section for a certain core size and...
coating thickness by the BC mass contained in that particle (using a BC bulk density of 1800 kg m\(^{-3}\) to convert from BC volume to BC mass; Moteki and Kondo, 2010).

Figure 6 shows the resulting relationship between the BC mass absorption cross-section and the shell-to-core diameter ratio, the latter chosen as parameter to indicate the coating thickness in relative terms. The ensemble of simulations gives an overview on the potential absorption enhancement at Zeppelin. For bare BC \((D_{\text{shell}}/D_{\text{core}}=1.0)\), MAC\(_{\text{Mod}}\) at 550 nm decreases from 6.6 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) for \(D_{\text{core}} = 203\) nm to 4.0 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) for \(D_{\text{core}} = 339\) nm. This is a result of relatively large size parameters approaching the geometric optics regime in which MAC \(\propto D_{\text{core}}^{-1}\) (see e.g. Moosmüller et al., 2009). However, a less pronounced decrease of the MAC is expected for bare BC cores that are not compact spheres. The lensing effect is presented for increasing shell-to-core diameter ratio at fixed core size: MAC\(_{\text{Mod}}\) increases approximately linearly up to shell-to-core ratios of around 1.8-2.2, where it levels off and saturates at a MAC enhancement factor \((E_{\text{MAC}})\) of around 2. This is consistent with previously published Mie theory results for internally mixed BC with concentric spheres type geometry (Nessler et al., 2005; Bond et al., 2006; Cappa et al., 2012).

The coated sphere model is a simplification of the actual BC particle morphology, which might not provide an accurate representation of the actual mixing geometry of black carbon particles, with consequent effects for the estimation of the optical properties (Adachi et al., 2010). However, it might be considered a fair approximation for highly aged BC particles at Svalbard, which are embedded in coatings and have a low BC volume fractions as discussed in Section 3.2.3. Indeed, China et al. (2015) also found that aged BC is predominantly embedded in the coating material and that the Mie approach is suitable for estimating the absorption of aged black carbon in such a case. More recently, Liu et al. (2017) confirmed that Mie theory with assuming spherical core-shell geometry realistically describes the optical behavior of embedded BC cores when the coating mass is greater than around three times the mass of BC core. In our work, the volume of the coating material was converted to mass using a density of 1100 kg m\(^{-3}\), similar to Liu et al. (2017). On average, a factor of 4.15 was found between the mass of coating and BC core, supporting the assumption of core-shell as mixing geometry for our optical simulations.

The most relevant MAC\(_{\text{Mod}}\) in the context of our study is that of the “median” BC particle with \(D_{\text{BC}}=243\) nm and 52 nm coating thickness, as it represents the best estimate of the theoretically expected MAC of BC based on Mie calculations constrained with observed BC particle properties, i.e. BC core size and mixing state measured by the SP2. Simply using the “median particle” is a good approximation for BC properties averaged over the whole BC particle population as BC mass is concentrated around the median core size and particle-to-particle variations of core size and coating thickness average out in first order. This MAC\(_{\text{Mod}}\), hereafter always labelled with “medium coating”, takes a value of 8.4 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) at 550 nm and agrees with the directly measured MAC\(_{\text{BC}}\) (9.8 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\); Section 3.3.1). A time-resolved comparison between the medium coating MAC\(_{\text{Mod}}\) and MAC\(_{\text{BC}}\) is shown in Figure 7a, which further includes MAC\(_{\text{Mod}}\) for the median BC core size without coating (“bare BC”) as well as for coating thicknesses corresponding to the 25\(^{\text{th}}\) percentile (“thin coating”) and 75\(^{\text{th}}\) percentile (“thick coating”) of the observed coating thickness distribution (Figure 4; Table 1). MAC\(_{\text{BC}}\) agrees best with the base case Mie model prediction “medium coating MAC\(_{\text{Mod}}\)”, whereas the “bare BC” MAC\(_{\text{Mod}}\) shows an average value of 5.57 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) systematically smaller than the observation. The “thin coating” and “thick coating” Mie model results are slightly below and above the observation, though these differences are well within experimental uncertainty. The comparison between Mie model results and observation corroborates the finding that internal mixing of the BC
at Svalbard results in absorption enhancement due to the lensing effect, while the absolute values of absorption enhancement cannot be taken as highly accurate due to the underlying experimental uncertainties and simplifications in the theoretical calculations.

The absorption enhancement factor \( E_{\text{MAC}} \), shown in Figure 7b and listed in Table 3, is estimated to be around 1.54. This indicates that the coatings of BC at Svalbard cause approximately half of the maximum possible absorption enhancement due to the lensing effect. \( E_{\text{MAC}} \) values for the bare, thin and thick coating scenarios are 1.0 (by definition), 1.28 and 1.87, respectively. Our results fall in a similar range as previous reports on absorption enhancements for BC in urban and remote environments as well as for laboratory experiments (Bond et al., 2006; Lan et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015, Liu et al., 2017; Zanatta et al., 2016). In the following, the \( E_{\text{MAC}} \) and \( E_{\text{MAC}} \) values presented in Figure 7, will be used to estimate the sensitivity of aerosol-radiation interactions in the Arctic to the mixing state of BC.

3.4 Simulated effects of BC mixing state on aerosol-radiation interaction

The fact that the measured and predicted MAC of BC agree with each other, as shown in Section 3.3.2 is the starting point for a sensitivity analysis investigating the effects of light absorption enhancement, due to transparent particulate matter internally mixed with BC, on the optical properties and the radiative forcing of the total aerosol in the Arctic.

3.4.1 Aerosol properties required for the aerosol-radiation interaction simulations

Based on the finding discussed in Section 3.3.2, we used the measured rBC mass concentration and the modelled MAC for different mixing degrees to calculate the aerosol light absorption coefficient \( \sigma_{\text{apMod}} \) for the ARTDECO model input (Figure 1c):

\[
\sigma_{\text{apMod}}(\lambda) = \text{MAC}_{\text{Mod}}(\lambda) \ m_{rBC}
\]  

Hereby, the approximation is made to use the dry aerosol absorption coefficient, i.e. \( \text{MAC}_{\text{Mod}} \) for water-free aerosol. This is considered a good approximation for the following reasons. Externally mixed BC does not experience hygroscopic growth at subsaturated RH (Laborde et al., 2013). Internally mixed BC will undergo hygroscopic growth, however, this is expected to have very little effect on the absorption cross-section: Nessler et al. (2005) showed that the effect of increased coating thickness due to water uptake is largely compensated by decreased index of refraction of the coating for particles having a size parameter larger than \( \sim 0.74 \).

The dry scattering coefficient is taken from the nephelometer measurement (Figure 1). Hygroscopic growth of the aerosol at ambient RH does cause a substantial increase of the scattering cross-section compared to the dry aerosol properties (e.g. Xia et al., 2007). The RH effects on scattering coefficient and aerosol radiative efficiency were also shown by Rastak et al. (2014) for aerosol in the European Arctic. At the Zeppelin site Zieger et al. (2010) measured the aerosol scattering enhancement factor \( E_{sp} \), which is defined as the ratio of the light scattering coefficient at high RH to the light scattering coefficient of the dry aerosol. They reported an averaged \( E_{sp} \) value of 3.24 at 85% RH. We use this \( E_{sp} \).
to approximate the scattering coefficient of the total aerosol at ambient conditions as the observed RH measured at Zeppelin during CLIMSLIP was 77% on average.

From the ambient scattering coefficient and the dry aerosol absorption coefficient it was possible to estimate the aerosol single scattering albedo at ambient RH ($\text{SSA}_{\text{Mod}}$) as:

$$\text{SSA}_{\text{Mod}}(\lambda, E_{sp}) = \frac{\sigma_{sp, dry}(\lambda) \cdot E_{sp}}{\sigma_{apMod, dry}(\lambda) + \sigma_{sp, dry}(\lambda) \cdot E_{sp}}$$ (9)

As for $\sigma_{apMod}$, the $\text{SSA}_{\text{Mod}}$ was calculated at three different wavelengths 370, 550 and 880 nm. The resulting $\text{SSA}_{\text{Mod}}$ calculated from the $\text{MAC}_{\text{Mod}}$ corresponding to different BC mixing state scenarios, makes it possible to first assess the effects of BC mixing state on the optical properties of the total aerosol and from that the impact on the aerosol-radiation interaction (ARI). Eventually, the absorption enhancement induced by a coating on BC cores discussed in Section 3.3.1 leads to a decrease of the single scattering albedo of the total aerosol ($\text{SSA}_{\text{Mod}}$ at 550 nm for bare BC = 0.996; for medium coated BC = 0.994; for thickly coated BC = 0.992). The relatively small change in SSA is due to the low fraction of absorbing material in the aerosol population, as suggested by the high observed SSA.

The obtained $\text{SSA}_{\text{Mod}}$ values for the four mixing degrees scenarios listed in Table 3, are finally used as input in the ARTDECO model.

The aerosol optical depth at 550 nm includes the contribution of hygroscopic growth and was used to parameterize the aerosol load. As shown in Section 3.3.1, the $\text{MAC}_{\text{Mod}}$ calculated assuming medium coated rBC cores matched the $\text{MAC}_{\text{BC}}$ obtained from observations; it is therefore expected that the total aerosol load for the reference scenario would match the observed AOD ($\text{AOD}_{\text{Obs}}$). For this reason, in the rest of the work, it will be considered our reference case. For the remaining scenarios, the $\text{AOD}_{\text{Obs}}$ was scaled maintaining the scattering contribution constant and varying the absorbing component of the aerosol, accounting for the absorption enhancement introduced by the different BC mixing degrees. The AODs specific to each mixing degree scenario ($\text{AOD}_{x}$) were then calculated as:

$$\text{AOD}_{x}(\lambda = 550\text{nm}) = \text{AOD}_{\text{Obs}} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{sp,Ref} + \sigma_{ap,x}}{\sigma_{sp,Ref} + \sigma_{ap,Ref}}$$ (10)

The Legendre moments of the light scattering phase function, which are required for the radiative transfer simulations, were derived within ARTDECO from the asymmetry parameter and kept unchanged for all simulations. The use of a constant $g$ for all simulations implies that the acquisition of coatings by the BC cores did not alter the mean size distribution of the total aerosol. The hypothesis is supported by the low number fraction of BC particles and low volume fraction of non-BC material needed to build the coating shells. Nevertheless, the hygroscopic growth induced by high ambient relative humidity might affect the particles scattering phase function and enhance the aerosol asymmetry parameter (e.g. Andrews et al., 2006). Sensitivity test (see Supplementary Material) revealed that an enhanced $g$ does not influence our results for the radiative impact. Hence, we conclude that the error introduced by a constant asymmetry parameter is negligible (as supported by the sensitivity analysis presented in Figure S3e). The ARI calculation might also be
affected by the vertical distribution of the aerosol layer. In our work we assumed that the aerosol is confined in one single layer starting from ground level and having a thickness of 1 km. The effects of aerosol vertical distribution and total aerosol load on the ARI calculation is discussed in more detail in the following section and in the Supplementary Material.

3.4.2 Simulated ARI and BC radiative forcing

In this section we present the results concerning the impact of absorption enhancement of BC on the total aerosol radiative forcing. The radiative fluxes at the top of the atmosphere were quantified with the ARTDECO package, which makes use of the one-dimensional discrete model 1D - DISORT2.1 as radiative transfer equation solver. Besides aerosol properties, the equation solver needs other environmental variables such as the aerosol vertical distribution, surface albedo and solar zenith angle. We define the radiative forcing difference, ΔRFARI, as the difference between the RFARI for a certain mixing degree of BC and the RFARI for an aerosol population with medium coated BC, i.e. our reference scenario:

\[ \Delta RF_{ARI} = RF_{ARIx} - RF_{ARIRef} \]  (11)

ΔRFARI specifies the absolute change of the aerosol radiative forcing compared to our best guess scenario in order to quantify the effect of the absorption enhancement induced by different mixing degrees of BC (bare BC, thin, medium and thick coatings) on the ΔRFARI. Our definition of radiative forcing refers to the gross effect on the radiative balance at present-day conditions, similar to Stamnes et al., (2017); but it differs from the definition by the IPCC (Myhre et al., 2013), which refers to the net effect on the radiative balance induced by anthropogenic emissions with using pre-industrial aerosol loadings as reference. However, these definitions become essentially identical for the radiative forcing by BC under the assumption that present-day BC concentrations in the Arctic region are much greater than corresponding preindustrial values. If this assumption was not applying, then our results for ΔRFARI by BC would need the anthropogenic BC mass fraction at present-day as additional scaling factor to make them consistent with the IPCC definition. The relative radiative forcing showed a clear dependency on the BC MAC value adopted for the estimation of SSAmod, resulting in negative ΔRFARI for bare BC compared to more thickly coated, and more absorbing BC particles (Figure 8). Specifically, the assumption of a bare BC population leads to ΔRFARI = -0.13 W m⁻², which means “more cooling” or “less warming” depending on the sign of RFARIRef.

The absorption enhancement due to acquisition of coatings by BC cores leads to ΔRFARI values of -0.05 W m⁻² and + 0.10 W m⁻² for a thinly and thickly coated BC population, respectively. These values are shown to be dependent, almost linearly, on the total column BC concentration (Figure S3a). Through a linear fit, it was possible to calculate the energy transfer rate per unit of BC mass. Neglecting the absorption enhancement leads to a net RFARI underestimation of -1.98 W·(mg BC)⁻¹. By contrast, using MAC values corresponding to thick coatings, i.e. higher than the reference scenario, leads to a net RFARI overestimation of 0.81 W·(mg BC)⁻¹. These energy transfer rates correspond to noon-time in Arctic spring for a surface albedo of 0.89 at 550 nm. The sensitivity of ΔRFARI to other environmental conditions was also tested and is discussed in more detail in the Supplementary Material. Figure S3b shows that ΔRFARI hardly depends on the altitude of the aerosol layer. Hence a
change in the aerosol altitude does not influence the radiative effect of the absorption enhancement. Moreover, a decrease in the solar zenith angle increases the absolute values $\Delta RF_{\text{ARI}}$ for all mixing degrees (Figure S3c). On the one hand, $\Delta RF_{\text{ARI}}$ estimates, conducted for a zenith angle of 77° representing noon-time in spring, are thus lower than expected for summer for equal BC burden. On the other hand, the absorption enhancement impact will be less accentuated if surface albedo is less than the highly reflective surface assumed in our reference scenario (Figure S3d).

Assuming a low surface albedo of 0.1, typical of an open ocean instead, reduces $\Delta RF_{\text{ARI}}$ from -0.12 to -0.016 for W m$^{-2}$ for the bare BC scenario. Similarly, the $\Delta RF_{\text{ARI}}$ for thickly coated BC is reduced to +0.017 W m$^{-2}$.

$RF_{\text{ARI}}$ was additionally simulated using extreme MAC values found in the literature and implemented in global radiative models (16.2 m$^{2}$ g$^{-1}$ to 2.3 m$^{2}$ g$^{-1}$ at a wavelength of 550 nm; see Table 3). The former is used in the Aerosol, Transport, Radiation, General Circulation, Mesoscale, and Ocean Model (GATOR-GCMOM; Jacobson, 2012). The latter is used in the Spectral Radiation-Transport Model for Aerosol Species (SPRINTARS) model (Takemura et al., 2000, 2002, 2005). These two scenarios result in substantial $\Delta RF_{\text{ARI}}$ with values of +0.38 W m$^{-2}$ and -0.28 W m$^{-2}$ for MAC of 16.2 and 2.3 m$^{2}$ g$^{-1}$, respectively. This suggests that inappropriate assumptions on BC optical properties in global models may lead to a notable systematic bias in $RF_{\text{ARI}}$ estimates.

4 Conclusions

To characterize aerosol and in particular BC physical and optical properties and to understand the radiative impact of the lensing effect caused by internal mixing of BC with transparent particulate matter, an intensive field experiment was conducted during the 2012 Arctic spring at the Zeppelin station in Svalbard, Norway. An optical survey of the aerosol showed thin aerosol optical depth, negative values of the Ångström exponent difference and high single scattering albedo, indicating that typical Arctic haze conditions prevailed with an aerosol population dominated by fine and non-absorbing particles, while no extreme smoke events occurred. A single particle soot photometer (SP2) was used to infer the key properties of black carbon containing particles. Low rBC mass concentrations of 39 ng m$^{-3}$ on average and relatively large BC core diameters were observed (mode of the BC mass size distribution at $D_{\text{opt}} = 240$ nm on average). The good agreement between COSMOS-derived and SP2-derived BC mass concentrations (difference of 14% on average) showed that thermal treatment of the sample might avoid or drastically reduce the potential interference caused by the presence of non-refractory light scattering aerosol in absorption filter photometers.

The mixing state of BC was also determined from the SP2 measurements. Attached type BC represented only a minor fraction (~2%) of all BC-containing particles. Out of all particles with equal optical diameter (in the size range around $D_{\text{opt}} = 150-500$ nm), less than 5% by number contained BC core with size above the lower detection limit of the SP2. BC cores in the size range 220 nm < $D_{\text{BC}}$ < 260 nm were covered by a layer of transparent particulate matter with a median coating thickness of 52 nm. Observationally constrained Mie calculations were used to show that this internal mixing state of BC is estimated to induce an absorption enhancement of around 54% with respect to uncoated cores. This agrees within 12% with the directly measured MAC value of 9.81 m$^{2}$ g$^{-1}$ at 550 nm.
The effect of absorption enhancement on the aerosol radiative forcing (RF_{ARI}) at the top of the atmosphere was also assessed. Using improper optical properties of BC may lead to a notable systematic bias in the resulting RF_{ARI}. Under the assumption of highly reflective surface such as snow, clear sky conditions, and noon-time; neglecting internal mixing and absorption enhancement of BC might alter the radiative forcing by -0.12 W m^{-2}. This value was found to be dependent on the total load of BC particles, solar zenith angle and the considered surface albedo. Hence, the impact of the lensing effect on RF_{ARI} estimates would be amplified during intense pollution events and reduced after the melting season, when the surface albedo is reduced. With this work we provide evidence that the internal mixing state of black carbon in the Artic increases its radiative impact via the lensing effect. While this adds important knowledge about BC properties in the poorly characterized European Arctic for the haze period in spring, additional field activity is desirable in order to capture seasonal-vertical variability and source dependency of the mixing state of BC in the Arctic region.
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Figure 1. Flow chart from observations to radiative forcing: a) deployed instruments; b) observed properties; c) properties obtained from optical model constrained by observations; d) radiative transfer model simulations. Measurements and quantities are generally for low RH ("dry"), unless "@ ambient RH" is explicitly stated.
Figure 2 Histograms of main aerosol properties calculated from 2h averages. a) Aerosol optical depth (AOD) at 500 nm, where AOD values >0.1 and <0.06 represent haze and background conditions, respectively (Yamanouchi et al., 2005); b) Difference of Ångström exponent ($\Delta \alpha$) of AOD between 675-862 nm and 412-675 nm; c) Asymmetry parameter ($g$) of the dry aerosol at 550 nm; d) Scattering coefficient ($\sigma_{sc}$) at 550 nm [Mm$^{-1}$]; e) Single scattering albedo (SSA) of the dry aerosol at 550 nm.
Figure 3 a) Mass size distribution of rBC cores; b) Frequency distribution of 2h-average rBC mass concentration values.

Figure 4 Frequency distributions of single particle properties inferred from the SP2 measurement during the whole campaign: a) BC coating thickness of BC cores with 220 nm < D_{rBC} < 260 nm b) rBC volume fraction distribution of BC-containing particles having an optical diameter between 220 and 260 nm.
Figure 5 Comparison of black carbon mass concentrations measured by COSMOS and SP2. Each individual data point represents 2 hours mean while error bars indicate the standard deviation.

Figure 6 Mass absorption cross-section (MAC_{Mod}) of BC containing particles calculated according to Mie theory as a function of its mixing degree and BC core diameter assuming a core-shell mixing geometry. MAC enhancement (E_{MAC}) is defined as the ratio of the MAC of a coated BC core divided by the MAC of the same BC core without coating.
Figure 7 a) Daily mean values of black carbon mass absorption cross section from observation (MAC$_{rbc}$) and from observationally constrained Mie calculations (MAC$_{Mod}$). Grey shadows represent the maximum and minimum MAC values used for radiative transfer simulations in global models (Jacobson, 2012; Takemura et al., 2000, 2002, 2005).

b) Daily mean values of absorption enhancement factor defined as the ratio of MAC$_{Mod}$ for coated BC to that of bare BC. All values are for a wavelength of 550 nm.
Figure 8 Dependence of radiative forcing difference ($\Delta RF_{ARI}$) on black carbon MAC enhancement ($E_{MAC}$) due to lensing effect for different BC mixing state scenarios. $\Delta RF_{ARI}$ is the difference in aerosol radiative forcing at the top of the atmosphere between the scenario of interest and the base case scenario. Negative $\Delta RF_{ARI}$ values thus indicate a reduction of the radiative forcing compared to the base case scenario. “medium coating” is the base case scenario reflecting the median of the measured coating thickness values, while the “thin” and “thick” scenarios reflect the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The points “Jacobson” and “Takemura” reflect the extreme values applied in previous global model simulations (Jacobson, 2012; Takemura et al., 2000, 2002, 2005). Vertical error bars represent the variability introduced by the standard deviation of the single scattering albedo during the campaign. Horizontal error bars represent the campaign standard deviation of $E_{MAC}$. 
### Tables

**Table 1. List of instruments and measured parameters.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aethalometer AE31</td>
<td>Aerosol absorption coefficient</td>
<td>Wavelengths [nm]: 370; 470; 520; 590; 660; 880; 950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous soot measuring system (COSMOS)</td>
<td>Black carbon mass concentration</td>
<td>Wavelength [nm]: 565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephelometer Model 3563</td>
<td>Aerosol scattering and backscattering coefficient</td>
<td>Wavelengths [nm]: 450; 500; 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single particle soot photometer (SP2)</td>
<td>Mass concentration and size distribution of refractory black carbon as well as coating thickness of BC cores</td>
<td>Size range for rBC cores: (D_{rBC} = 80-604) nm (\text{Size range of rBC cores for coating quantification: } D_{rBC}=220-260)nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision sun-radiometer</td>
<td>Aerosol optical depth</td>
<td>Wavelengths [nm]: 368; 412; 500; 862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Statistical analysis of black carbon particle properties for the full campaign.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Arithmetic mean</th>
<th>Arithmetic StDev</th>
<th>25th percentile</th>
<th>50th percentile</th>
<th>75th percentile</th>
<th>Geometric Mean</th>
<th>Geometric StDev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rBC mass concentration, (m_{BC}) [ng m(^{-3})](^a)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rBC mass equivalent diameter, (D_{BC}) [nm](^b)</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating thickness [nm](^c)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell-to-core diameter ratio, (\frac{D_{Shell}}{D_{Core}}[-])(^d)</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rBC volume fraction, (\frac{(\text{mass})}{(\text{BC})}) [%](^e) in BC-containing particles</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Corrected for estimated rBC mass in BC cores outside the rBC core size range of the SP2, see Section 3.2.1.

\(^b\) Calculated across the actual size detection range of our SP2 \(80\) nm < \(D_{BC} < 604\) nm.

\(^c\) Derived for rBC cores having an rBC mass equivalent diameter between 220 nm < \(D_{rBC} < 604\) nm.

\(^d\) Derived for rBC-containing particles having an optical diameter between 200 nm < \(D_{Shell} < 260\) nm.
Table 3. Campaign average and standard deviation of optical properties of black carbon and total aerosol for different BC coating thickness scenarios and resulting radiative implications. The base case BC coating thickness scenario ("medium") reflects the median of all measured values, while the "thin" and "thick" scenarios reflect the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The scenarios "global model MAC low" and "global model MAC high" reflect extreme values applied in previous global model simulations. Black carbon mass absorption cross-section (MACmod) is estimated with Mie theory; MAC enhancement (E_MAC) is defined as the relative increase of BC MAC due to the lensing effect compared to bare BC. The single scattering albedo (SSA_mod) used in the radiative transfer simulations is inferred from measured aerosol optical properties. The change in radiative forcing from aerosol radiation interactions (ΔRF_AIR) is defined as the simulated RF_AIR minus that of the base case scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BC coating thickness scenario</th>
<th>MACmod [m² g⁻¹] @ 550 nm</th>
<th>E_MAC [-] @ 550 nm</th>
<th>SSA_mod [-] @ 550 nm ambient RH</th>
<th>ΔRF_AIR [W m⁻²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Standard deviation</td>
<td>Average Standard deviation</td>
<td>Average Standard deviation</td>
<td>Average Standard deviation</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1 -</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.284</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base case (Medium)</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.541</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.871</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global model MAC lowa</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global model MAC highc</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.974</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The range is obtained by propagating the standard deviation of SSA_mod through the RF simulations.


c Jacobson (2012).
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Supplementary Material

Origin of air masses
Back-trajectories were computed using the NOAA HYSPLIT model with a 1° x 1° resolution. The model was based on the GDAS meteorological data and run for Zeppelin altitude using twice daily 3-days back-trajectories at 00:00 and 12:00 UTC. Our sampling period was mainly affected by air masses originating from high latitudes, with periodic influence from northern Siberia.

Aerosol absorption exponent
The aerosol absorption dependence on light wavelength was parametrized through the Ångström absorption exponent (AAE). The latter was calculated by fitting with power function the campaign averaged absorption coefficient at the three wavelengths 370, 590 and 880 nm and quantified as 0.82.

Figure S1 HYSPLIT back-trajectory analysis for Zeppelins station (78.91N 11.88E ; 475 m asl). 72 hours back-trajectories calculated two times a day (00:00 and 12:00 UTC) between the 22/03/2012 and the 12/04/12.
ARI sensitivity to aerosol and environmental characteristics

The dependency of the aerosol radiative forcing difference ($\Delta R F_{\text{ARI}}$) on aerosol characteristics (BC load and vertical position) and environmental conditions (solar zenith angle and surface albedo) is investigated here. For each scenario only one variable is changed at a time. Standard conditions involve an aerosol load as described in Section 3.4.1, an aerosol layer located between 0 and 1 km agl, a solar zenith angle of 77°, a highly reflective surface representative of snow, and a constant asymmetry parameter representative of the dry aerosol.

Increasing the total BC burden leads to an increase of absorption enhancement and thus $\Delta R F_{\text{ARI}}$ (Figure S3a). The impact of absorption enhancement showed a positive correlation with the total BC column load (Figure S3a). The latter was calculated from the absorption component of the AOD (AAOD) by means of the MAC$_{\text{BC}}$ estimated in Section 3.3.1. The vertical position of the aerosol layer did not influence significantly the $\Delta R F_{\text{ARI}}$ (Figure S3Figure S3b). Considering the environmental variables, the absorption enhancement was shown to be attenuated at high zenith angles; this is due to a shorter light path reducing the aerosol radiation interaction (Figure S3Figure S3c). The surface albedo also affects $\Delta R F_{\text{ARI}}$ (Figure S3Figure S3d): at low reflective surfaces, such as the open ocean, the impact of absorption enhancement due to lensing effect on the radiative forcing might be negligible, while being enhanced over ice and snow-covered grounds. No significant change in the $\Delta R F_{\text{ARI}}$ was observed considering higher asymmetry parameters potentially induced by high relative humidity (Figure S3Figure S3e).
Figure S3. Sensitivity of the simulated radiative forcing difference to when a single aerosol property or other model parameters is varied while keeping all other parameters fixed at the values of the base case scenario: a) total aerosol and BC burden at fixed SSA; b) altitude of the aerosol layer; c) solar zenith angle; d) surface albedo; e) aerosol asymmetry parameter. The simulations for the base case MACBC corresponding to the observed “medium” coating thickness fall by definition on $\Delta RF_{ARI} = 0 \text{ W m}^{-2}$. 