Analysis of Sulfate Aerosols over Austria: A Case Study
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Abstract. An increase of the sulfate aerosols observed in the period 01 – 06 April 2014 over Austria is analyzed using in situ measurements at an Austrian air quality background station, lidar measurements at the closest EARLINET stations around Austria, CAMS near-real-time data and particle dispersion modelling using FLEXPART, a Lagrangian transport model. In-situ measurement of SO2, PM2.5, PM10 and O3 were performed at the air quality background station Pillersdorf, Austria (EMEP station AT30, 48°43’N, 15°55’E). A CAMS aerosol mixing ratios analysis for Pillersdorf and the lidar stations Leipzig, Munich, Garmisch, Bucharest indicates the presence of an event of aerosol transport, with sulfate and dust as principal components. For the sulfate layers identified at Pillersdorf from the CAMS analysis, backward and forward trajectory analyses were performed, associating lidar stations to the trajectories. The lidar measurements for the period corresponding to trajectory overpass of associated stations were analyzed, obtaining the aerosol layers, the optical properties and the aerosol types. The potential sources of transported aerosols were determined for Pillersdorf and the lidar stations using the source-receptor sensitivity computed with FLEXPART, combined with MACCity source inventory. A comparative analysis for Pillersdorf and the trajectory-associated lidar stations showed consistent aerosol layers, optical properties and types, and potential sources. A complex pattern of contributions to sulfate over Austria was found in this paper. For the lower layers (below 2000 m) of sulfate, it was found that the Central Europe was the main source of sulfate. Medium to smaller contributions come from sources in Eastern Europe, the Northwest Africa and Eastern US. For the middle-altitude layers (between 2000 m and 5000 m), sources from Central Europe (Northern Italy, Serbia, Hungary) contribute with similar emissions. Northwest Africa and Eastern US have also important contributions. For the high-altitude layers (above 5000 m), the main contributions come from Northwest Africa, but sources from Southern and Eastern US contribute also significantly. No contributions from Europe are seen for these layers. The methodology used in this paper can be used as a general tool to correlate measurements at in situ stations and EARLINET lidar stations around these in situ stations.

Copyright statement. CC BY 4.0 License

1 Introduction

Sulfate is one of the major aerosol components for particles with diameter smaller than 2.5 µm (PM2.5), and for particles with diameter smaller than 10 µm (PM10). Other components of the particulate matter (PM) are: organic carbon (OC), elemental
carbon (EC), nitrate, ammonia, mineral and sea salt. Sulfate normally accounts for about 10% to 30% of PM mass concentration (Stocker et al., 2013); worldwide in situ observations of refractory PM$_{1}$ chemical composition have shown that the sulfate contribution may reach more than 50% of aerosol mass, depending on the location (Zhang et al., 2007). More details about the mass concentration of these aerosol components from various rural and urban sites in Europe are given in the IPCC AR5 report (Stocker et al., 2013). The anthropogenic sulfate is produced mainly by oxidation of sulfur dioxide (SO$_{2}$), or produced by aqueous phase reactions, where O$_{3}$ and hydrogen peroxide act as important oxidants (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006), or by adsorption of SO$_{2}$ on solid particles and subsequent reaction with adsorbed oxygen; the exact mechanism depends on several atmospheric factors (solar radiation, presence of catalysts, NO$_{x}$, temperature, relative humidity, etc). The adsorption is an important mechanism of sulfate production in urban atmosphere. Soot (elemental carbon) particles and semiconductor metal oxide particulates from mineral dust (e.g. Fe$_{2}$O$_{3}$, TiO$_{2}$) are potential surfaces for this process (Dupart et al., 2012). The primary precursor for sulfate in the troposphere is SO$_{2}$ emitted (Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, 2007) from:

- anthropogenic sources: major contribution from combustion of fossil fuel (about 72%) and small contribution from biomass burning (about 2%),
- natural sources: from dimethyl sulfide (DMS) emissions by marine phytoplankton (about 19%) and from volcano eruptions (about 7%).

A recent review of SO$_{2}$ sources worldwide can be found in (Yang et al., 2017).

Beside chemical processes, SO$_{2}$ is removed efficiently by dry deposition, while sulfate aerosol is removed from atmosphere by wet deposition (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Tropospheric sulfate, mostly in the accumulation mode, has a lifetime estimated of one week (AeroCom, 2018). The optical, physical and chemical properties of the sulfate are well defined (Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, 2007). Sulfate particles have a cooling effect by light scattering (AeroCom, 2018) (Stocker et al., 2013), they are very hygroscopic and therefore represent active cloud condensation nuclei, and they enhance absorption when deposited as a coating on elemental carbon. The direct radiative effects are strongly correlated to the emission sources, while the indirect effects are correlated to both emission sources and cloud cover (Déandréis et al., 2012) (Yang et al., 2017). As main component in the aerosols, sulfate can have an important contribution to the aerosol optical depth (AOD).

The purpose of this study is

- to assess the relation between the excess with respect to monthly averaged values observed in the in situ measurements of SO$_{2}$, O$_{3}$, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ at the Austrian air quality background station Pillersdorf at the beginning of April 2014 with aerosols layers observed in lidar measurements at the closest EARLINET stations around Austria and with tropospheric sulfate aerosols as found in Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS) products (CAMS, 2018)

- to estimate the potential sources of sulfate aerosols.

The study is based on the synergy of the remote sensing instruments from European Aerosol Research Lidar Network (EARLINET) (Boesenberg et al., 2003), the ceilometer network of the German Meteorological Service (DWD) and in situ monitors,
combined with CAMS products and NATALI aerosol-typing model (Nicolae et al., 2018), and atmospheric transport modeling. The ground-based remote sensing instruments and the CAMS products (assimilating satellite-based remote sensing data) are used to determine the properties of long-range transported aerosols and their vertical distribution. In-situ measurements of PM and trace gases provide local concentrations at the surface and at specific heights in the troposphere. Details about data collection are given in Sec. 2.1.

The back-trajectories analysis relates the aerosol mass loading changes at a receptor location to spatially-fixed sources, identifying the sources by a source-receptor matrix calculation (Seibert and Frank, 2004), (Eckhardt et al., 2017). In this paper, the analysis of the trajectories has been performed with FLEXTRA (Stohl et al., 1995) (FLEXTRA, 2018), while the estimation of the potential areas of aerosols’ sources has been performed using the Lagrangian transport model FLEXPART (Stohl et al., 2005), (Stohl et al., 2010). A detailed description of the processing of the collected data and the subsequent analysis is given in Sec. 2.3, while the results and the discussion are presented in Sec. 3.

The synergy of the in situ, remote sensing data and models was used in more atmospheric studies related to long-range transported aerosols and estimation of their potential sources; see for example (Papayannis et al., 2014) for dust, (Nicolae et al., 2013) and (Ansmann et al., 2018) for fires, (Eckhardt et al., 2008) and (Cazacu et al., 2012) for volcanic ash, (Sauvage et al., 2017), (Chalbot et al., 2013) and (Kaskaoutis et al., 2012) for anthropogenic aerosols. However, to our best knowledge, there have been no studies combining CAMS-based aerosol data with remote sensing, in situ measurements and transport models. The assimilation of ground-based remote sensing measurements in CAMS is a long-term goal.

2 Methodology

The optical properties of the aerosol considered in this analysis are: backscatter coefficients, extinction coefficients, volume depolarization ratio, particle depolarization ratio (PDepR), lidar ratio (LR) and Ångström exponent (AE).

In this paper, all times are given as UTC times, in the format HH:mm, HH being the hour and mm the minutes. The altitudes are given as ground-level altitudes (AGL).

Whenever referring to measurements, the geographical name is used as indicator for the station location (e.g. Pillersdorf means Pillersdorf site, Leipzig means Leipzig lidar station). In the plots, the stations are represented as: Pillersdorf (red circle), Leipzig (green circle), Munich (magenta triangle), Garmisch (blue rhombus), Bucharest (black square).

2.1 Data collection

The in situ measurement of $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, $\text{PM}_{10}$ and $\text{O}_3$ were performed at the air quality background station Pillersdorf, Austria (EMEP station AT30, 48°43’N, 15°55’E) (Umweltbundesamt Austria, 2014). Pillersdorf (315 m) is located in hilly terrain in the northeastern part of Austria, around 60 km north from Vienna. The station is a part of the national background monitoring network and an EMEP background monitoring station. The surroundings are mostly forests and agricultural areas far from strong anthropogenic sources. Austria belongs to the midlatitude climate belt, in the transition between maritime and continental climate, and the weather is dominated mostly by travelling highs and lows. The station provides:
– daily mean concentration and the maximum half-hour mean value per day for SO₂

– daily mean concentration for PM₂.₅ and PM₁₀

– maximum value per day of hourly mean concentrations and maximum value per day of 8-hours mean concentrations for O₃

The SO₂ measurements are performed with a SO₂ analyzer, with a detection limit of 0.05 ppb, and a range up to 100 ppm. The PM₂.₅ and PM₁₀ measurements are performed with an optical particle counter with a precision of 0.1 µg m⁻³. The O₃ measurements are performed with an ozone analyzer, with a detection limit of 0.4 ppb and a range of 0.05 to 200 ppm.

The EARLINET lidar stations (Wandinger et al., 2016) used for this study are Garmisch-Partenkirchen (47.47°N, 11.06°E), Leipzig (51.35°N, 12.43°E) (both stations located in Germany), and Bucharest (44.35°N, 26.03°E, Romania). The two DWD ceilometer stations used are located in Munich (48.20°N, 11.45°E) and Schneefernerhaus (47.42 °N, 10.98°E). The following remote sensing devices are deployed:

– High spectral resolution lidar HSRL (Wandinger et al., 2016), located at Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany

– Portable Raman multispectral lidar system PollyXT (Engelmann et al., 2016), having 8 channels including one water vapour channel and 2 depolarisation channels, located at Leipzig, Germany

– Raman multispectral lidar system RALI (Belegante et al., 2014), having 7 channels including one water vapour channel and one depolarization channel, located at Bucharest, Romania

– ceilometers (Wiegner and Geiß, 2012) at Munich and Schneefernerhaus, Germany

The measurements were done at the following wavelengths: 355 nm, 532 nm and 1064 nm for the elastic channels, 387 nm and 607 nm for the Raman channels, and 532 nm for the depolarization channel. For HSRL, the 313 nm channel was used. For ceilometers, the 1064 nm channel was used.

The lidar and the ceilometer measurements provide the vertical distributions of aerosols, retrieved from the range corrected signal (RCS, the preprocessed lidar/ceilometer signal corrected with squared range), and the vertical distributions of aerosol polarization, if the instrument is equiped with a polarization channel.

For the remote sensing sites Leipzig, Munich and Bucharest, the column-integrated AOD measurements for various wavelengths were taken from the AERONET sun/sky photometer measurements, the AERONET instruments being collocated with the lidar stations.

In this paper, products from CAMS, the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS, 2018) of the European Earth Observation programme Copernicus were also used; it provides global reanalysis datasets for the period 2003 – 2012, and global near-real-time (NRT) datasets (Dee et al., 2011) for 2013 to present. These datasets were produced (Benedetti et al., 2009) using 4DVar data assimilation in CY42R1 of ECMWF’s Integrated Forecast System (IFS), with 60 hybrid sigma/pressure (model) levels in the vertical, with the top level at 0.1 hPa. Atmospheric data are available on these levels and they are also
interpolated to 25 pressure, 10 potential temperature and 1 potential vorticity level(s). "Surface or single level" data are also available.

For this analysis, the CAMS products for “Model levels” and “Surface level” from NRT “Atmospheric composition” dataset were selected for the times 00:00, 06:00, 12:00 and 18:00 for the analysis data and a step of 3 h for forecast data. The mixing ratios of dust, hydrophilic and hydrophobic black carbon, hydrophilic and hydrophobic organic matter and sulfate were retrieved from the lowest 31 model levels, which covers the tropospheric altitudes; temperature and specific humidity were also retrieved for the same model levels. The logarithm of surface pressure was retrieved from the lowest model level, while the geopotential and the aerosol optical depth (AOD) at 550 nm for total aerosol, black carbon, organic matter, dust and sulfate were retrieved from the surface level.

2.2 Aerosol and atmospheric transport modelling

In this paper, the models FLEXPART and FLEXTRA were used for atmospheric transport modelling.

FLEXPART (“FLEXible PARTicle dispersion model”) is a Lagrangian particle dispersion model designed for calculating the long-range and mesoscale transport, diffusion, dry and wet deposition, and radioactive decay of air pollutants from point, line area and volume sources. FLEXPART can be run in forward mode, simulating the transport and dispersion of emissions from given sources towards receptor points or producing gridded output concentration and deposition, or in backward mode from given receptors to produce source-receptor relationships with respect to a point source or gridded sources (Seibert and Frank, 2004). The model ingests ECMWF 3D meteorological fields and solves the equations for transport, turbulent diffusions and other relevant processes in a Lagrangian framework (Stohl et al., 1998) (Pisso et al., 2019). The sensitivity of a receptor concentration to potential sources is obtained directly as the model output in the case of a backward run (Seibert and Frank, 2004) (Eckhardt et al., 2017).

FLEXTRA is a kinematic trajectory model. It simulates only the transport of air parcels by mean winds, ignoring turbulence and convection, and do not provide concentrations, deposition, etc.

For both models the ECMWF (European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts) Era Interim meteorological fields with a horizontal resolution of 0.5° × 0.5°, the lowest 61 vertical levels (corresponding to pressure levels from surface to 250 hPa) out of the 137 vertical levels, and a temporal resolution of 3 h were used. A sub-domain covering a part of North Hemisphere (175°W – 60°E, 0°N – 90°N), including Europe, a part of the Atlantic Ocean, North America and a part of Africa was extracted as "mother" domain.

For the determination of the aerosol optical properties for sites without lidar measurements, where the aerosol composition is determined from CAMS products, the aerosol model from (Nicolae et al., 2018) was used, called in the following NATALI aerosol model. Six classes of typical aerosol (called “pure aerosol” in the reference) were considered in this model: continental, continental polluted, dust, marine, smoke, and volcanic. In the model, the optical properties are computed for typical aerosols and for mixtures of two or three typical aerosols at fixed wavelengths 350 nm, 550 nm and 1000 nm with the T-Matrix method using light scattering on non-spherical particles (Mishchenko et al., 1996) for a log-normal distribution of homogeneous par-
articles. The microphysical parameters (effective radius, standard deviation and complex refractive indices) of the components, needed as input in the model, were taken from the GADS database (Global Aerosol DataSet) (Koepke et al., 1997).

For the comparison with optical properties obtained from lidar measurements, the optical properties computed in the model are re-scaled to the lidar wavelengths (355 nm, 532 nm and 1064 nm) using an AE equal to one, as the values of model and lidar wavelengths are very close.

2.3 Data processing and analysis

2.3.1 Lidar and ceilometer data processing

The vertical profiles of the backscatter coefficients were determined using the Fernald–Klett method (Fernald, 1984; Klett, 1981) for remote sensing instruments with only elastic channels. For instruments with elastic and Raman channels, the backscatter and the extinction coefficients were determined using the combined method (Ansmann et al., 1992). The PDepR was computed using the volume depolarization ratio and the backscatter coefficients (Freudenthaler, 2016). The AE is computed from the extinction coefficients for the wavelengths 532 nm and 355 nm.

The LR was computed as the ratio of the extinction coefficient to backscatter coefficient. For ceilometers, lidars with only elastic channels and lidar measurements during the day (when only backscatter coefficients can be retrieved), the value of the LR was taken from the NATALI aerosol model, which gives an estimate of the LR for 14 aerosol types. The values for 532 nm used in this paper are: 23 ± 10 sr for marine, 40 ± 8 sr for dust, 68 ± 6 sr for continental, 52 ± 2 sr for continental polluted, 53 ± 5 sr for polluted dust, 64 ± 8 sr for smoke and 46 ± 10 sr for mixed dust.

The aerosol layers are identified from the lidar measurements with the gradient method, applied to the RCS profiles (Bellegante et al., 2014) (Nicolae et al., 2018). The gradient method is based on the identification of the peaks/valleys from the first derivative applied to the vertical profiles. If two consecutive layers are very close (less than 100 m), these layers are merged into one layer. Also, if the signal to noise ratio in the layer is lower than a threshold (here set to 5), the layer is discarded.

The aerosol type is determined from the lidar measurements using the NATALI typing algorithm, described in (Nicolae et al., 2018).

2.3.2 CAMS product processing

The values of the CAMS products (mixing ratios, temperature, specific humidity, etc) for a given location were computed by interpolating the gridded CAMS values, using the inverse weighting distance interpolation.

The air density and the altitude specific to the model levels were computed according to CY42R1 from IFS documentation (Benedetti et al., 2009).

2.3.3 Data analysis

The concentrations of SO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ and O$_3$ measured in situ at the air quality background station Pillersdorf were analyzed for sliding periods of one month, to identify excesses with respect to the measured average values. If a significant
excess is identified (values exceed by 50% the averaged values for 30 days), the corresponding period is analyzed in detail, using also CAMS products at the in situ station and measurements and CAMS products at the closest lidar stations around the in situ station. For Spring 2014, a period with a significant excess was identified in the time interval 15 March – 14 April, which is presented in this paper.

The CAMS products are retrieved for the in situ site. The time series of mixing ratios of sulfate, dust, organic matter and total aerosols are then analyzed for the same period as the in situ data. If one of the aerosol components has no significant contribution to the aerosol concentration, this component can be neglected in the subsequent analysis of the aerosol. The time series are also retrieved for the lidar stations around the in situ site.

To assess if the excess is caused by a local event or long- or medium-range transported aerosol is involved, a qualitative analysis of the in situ concentration measurements, the time series of mixing ratios at the in situ station and at the lidar stations around the in situ station is done. If the event is present only at the in situ station, we can assume that it is a local event. If the event is seen at some of the lidar stations around the in situ site, the event has contributions from an aerosol transport event.

The layers for the event at the in situ site are then determined by applying the same gradient method as for lidar data processing, but applied to the altitude profiles of aerosol concentrations. The concentrations are computed by multiplying the CAMS mixing ratios and the air density.

A statistical analysis of trajectories is then performed for each layer identified at the in situ site. Three-dimensional kinematic hourly trajectories are computed with the FLEXTRA model, run in backward mode for a transport time of 10 –20 days (typical for long-range transport) and in forward mode for few days for several receptor altitudes between 1500 m and 7000 m. Due to the turbulence in the planetary boundary layer, trajectories below 1500 m are usually not included in the analysis, being mostly local trajectories. During the period under investigation, with low wind speeds and mostly clear skies, the boundary-layer height varied at Pillersdorf from less than 100 m at night to about 1500 m in the afternoon.

A trajectory is associated with a lidar station if the projection of the trajectory on the Earth surface intersects a 0.5° × 0.5° cell centered on the lidar station location. The altitude of the trajectory and the time the trajectory overpasses the lidar cell are the altitude and time of the FLEXTRA trajectory at the corresponding location.

If a trajectory overpasses a lidar station, the lidar measurements for the overpass time are analyzed. The aerosol layers are identified with the same method (Belegante et al., 2014) as for in situ station, applied to the RCS profiles. The optical properties are computed for each identified aerosol layer, as described in Sec. 2.3. The type of the aerosol is determined from the optical properties using the NATALI typing algorithm. The aerosol concentrations are also computed for each layer, using the method described in (Mamouri and Ansmann, 2017). For each layer, the sulfate fraction (SF) is computed as the ratio of sulfate concentration to total aerosol concentration.

The layers determined from lidar measurements are then compared with the altitude of the trajectories overpassing the lidar station. If the altitude matches a layer within a reasonable distance, the trajectory is associated with the layer. The matching distance is defined as $2\sigma_{\text{lidar}}$, where $\sigma_{\text{lidar}}$ is the effective spatial resolution of the lidar, typically of the order of $\sim$60 m.

The source-receptor sensitivity (SRS) is then computed for each layer identified in the sulfate profile at the in situ station using FLEXPART with sulfate as passive tracer. The receptor is set to the location of the in situ station, at the altitude determined
for that layer and the corresponding event time interval. Sources are considered to be situated between 0 – 100 m. Wet and dry deposition are taken into account in the computation. Combining the source-receptor sensitivity with emission inventories, the relative distributions of SO$_2$ sources for the corresponding sulfate layer are computed. In this study, the MACCity anthropogenic SO$_2$ emission inventories from the Emissions of atmospheric Compounds & Compilation of Ancillary Data (ECCAD) emission database (Darras et al., 2018) was used.

A cross-check of sulfate concentrations from lidar measurements, CAMS sulfate products and FLEXPART is done for the layers at the lidar stations associated with the layers at the in situ station. One expects the values from the three methods to be in agreement.

The optical properties of the aerosol from each layer at the in situ station are then computed according to Sec. 2.2 and compared with the optical properties of the aerosol from the layers at the lidar stations associated with the layers at the in situ station. The optical properties determined at both sites have to be compatible, up to the changes due to the transport from one site to the other. The compatibility is also cross-checked for the type of aerosols at both stations, where the type is determined using the NATALI aerosol model at the in situ site and the NATALI typing algorithm at the lidar station.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Results

The in situ measurements of SO$_2$, O$_3$, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ concentrations recorded at Pillersdorf for the period 15 March – 14 April 2014 (Umweltbundesamt Austria, 2014) are shown in Fig. 1, together with the averaged values for this period (dotted line). An excess with respect to the averaged values is observed for all measurements in the period 01 – 06 April: 66% for SO$_2$, 11% for O$_3$, 90% for PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$. If the excess period is excluded from the calculation of the average values, the excess increases to 100% for SO$_2$, 14% for O$_3$, 153% for PM$_{2.5}$ and 143% for PM$_{10}$.

The time series of aerosol mixing ratios from CAMS near-real-time data for Pillersdorf are shown for the same period in Fig. 2 for “total aerosols” (sum of all species defined in CAMS data), for sulfate and for dust. One observes a sulfate increase with a peak on 02 April, and a second, less pronounced peak on 04 April. The aerosol mixture is dominated by dust and sulfate, as can be seen by comparing qualitatively the total, sulfate and dust distributions.

Similar distributions, retrieved from CAMS near-real-time data also, are observed for the lidar stations around Pillersdorf, as shown in Fig. 3 for Munich, Leipzig and Bucharest. From these distributions, one can infer the presence of an event of sulfate transport over Europe.

The vertical profiles of sulfate, dust and “total aerosol” concentrations are shown in Fig. 4 for Pillersdorf, 02 April. The sulfate layers, identified with the gradient method, are shown as grayed area in the same figure.

For 02 April, from 0:00 to 12:00, sulfate layers mixed with dust are well defined between 2 km and 3 km, and between 4 km and 6 km. During the day, the layers descend slowly and disperse, such that it mixes with dust and the aerosols from the planetary boundary layer. This can also be seen from the concentration profile of “total aerosol”, which also shows a similar structure, indicating a common transport path of sulfate and dust as polluted dust nearby Pillersdorf. The evolution of the
sulfate and dust layers during the day is correlated with the increase of \( \text{SO}_2 \) and \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \) concentrations measured in situ, while the evolution of the dust layers is correlated with the increase of the \( \text{PM}_{10} \) concentration.

For the layers identified above, the back-trajectories of the aerosols were computed with FLEXTRA, starting from Pillersdorf at the time corresponding to the aerosol profiles for a backward period of 12 days. As mentioned before, trajectories below 1500 m are not computed, due to turbulence in the planetary boundary layer.

For 02 April, they are shown in Fig. 5 for 00:00, 06:00, 12:00 and 18:00. From the trajectory analysis, the time and the altitude of the trajectories passing over the lidar stations were determined. The station, the time and the altitude are shown in the lower plots of each sub-figure.

The aerosol layers identified Pillersdorf were transported further. Some of the layers pass over the lidar station from Bucharest. Their trajectories were analyzed running FLEXTRA in forward mode for three days, starting from Pillersdorf. Fig. 6 shows the forward-trajectories for 02 April, 06:00, which pass over Bucharest lidar station on 03 April.

The lidar measurements for the stations overpassed by the trajectories determined from the backward and forward analysis are presented as range corrected signal time series (RCS) in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 and for the event on 02 April in Pillersdorf.

Aerosol layers, their optical properties and the concentration were determined from the lidar measurements following the methodology described in Sec. 2. The layers identified are marked on the corresponding RCS plot.

The association of the layers identified from lidar measurements to the altitude of the backward or forward trajectories over the stations corresponding to the layers identified in Pillersdorf was performed for all eight concentration profiles measured (see Fig. 4 for 02 April and Fig. 13 for 04 April). The association for trajectories from April 02, 06:00 is presented in Table 1. The trajectory altitude (Traj. alt.) in the table represents the altitude of the trajectory when overpassing the lidar station. The corresponding layers are also marked in the RCS plots (red line box).

The source-receptor sensitivity was computed for each layer identified in the sulfate profiles at Pillersdorf; the column-integrated source-receptor sensitivity was also computed. Fig. 9 shows the corresponding distributions for the layers L1, L2, L3 and total column from 02 April, 06:00.

For each layer, the relative distribution of the \( \text{SO}_2 \) sources was computed from the source-receptor sensitivity and the source inventory MACCity. Fig. 10 (a) shows the distribution for layer L1 at Pillersdorf, 02 April, 06:00, while Fig. 10 (b) shows the distribution for the corresponding layer at Leipzig, 31 March, 18:00. To evaluate the local distribution of sources near Pillersdorf, a zoomed view of the \( \text{SO}_2 \) relative distribution is shown in Fig. 10 (c) for the sub-domain covering a part of the Europe, centred in Austria (10°W – 40°E, 35°N – 60°N). Similar distributions are shown for layer L2 in Pillersdorf in Fig. 11 (a), with a corresponding layer in Leipzig (31 March, 23:00), shown in Fig. 11 (b), and the zoomed view for Pillersdorf in Fig. 11 (c). For layer L3 at Pillersdorf, the distribution is shown in Fig. 12 (a), with associated layers in Munich (April 01, 05:00), Garmisch (April 01, 14:00 – not shown as very close to Munich) and Bucharest (April 03, 13:00) shown in Fig. 12 (b) and Fig. 12 (c), respectively, and a zoomed view for Pillersdorf in Fig. 12 (d).

For the lidar stations, a comparison of concentrations computed from the lidar measurements with the sulfate concentrations computed from CAMS values for the lidar station location and the concentrations computed from the modelled SRS are given in Table 2.
The optical properties, the sulfate fraction and the aerosol types for the aerosol layers identified for Pillersdorf, 02 April, 06:00 and the associated layers at the lidar stations are given in Table 3. For Leipzig and Bucharest, the optical properties are computed from the lidar measurements; for Pillersdorf, Garmisch and Munchen they are computed using the NATALI model.

The peak on 04 April was also analyzed similarly to the peak on 02 April. The corresponding vertical profiles of sulfate, dust and “total aerosol” concentrations are shown in Fig. 13. From the backward and forward trajectory analysis, only one lidar station could be associated with a trajectory, for layer L2 at Pillersdorf, 12:00. The corresponding RCS at the lidar station is shown in Fig. 14. The SRS for the identified layers at Pillersdorf, 12:00, are presented in Fig. 15. Layers at Pillersdorf were associated to layers at the lidar stations; they are given in Table 4. The comparison of the aerosol concentrations at the lidar station over-passed is given in Table 5, and the optical properties are given in Table 6.

3.2 Discussion of the results

The daily variations of the in situ measurements of $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{O}_3$, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and $\text{PM}_{10}$ concentrations depend on more factors, such as variations in source emissions, photochemical reactions, meteorological conditions, PBL heights and short-, medium- and long-range transport of aerosols.

Fig. 1 indicates a period between 27 March and 6 April in which in situ measurements of $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{O}_3$, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, $\text{PM}_{10}$ concentrations recorded at Pillersdorf exceed the averaged values for the period 15 March – 14 April 2014. A significant load of aerosols in the atmosphere in this period is also confirmed by the AOD values between 0.07 and 0.73 for Pillersdorf, retrieved from CAMS products, which are above the AOD threshold of 0.06 for clear atmosphere (Kaskaoutis et al., 2012). For the period 27 March to 31 March, no significant load of aerosols is observed at the lidar stations around Pillersdorf, therefore no medium- or long range transport of aerosols is involved. The source-receptor sensitivity computed for 31 March (not shown) points to a short-range transported event, of small duration and at low altitude, with sources in the South Eastern of Austria. This event is not described in this paper.

From a qualitative analysis of in situ concentrations for $\text{PM}_{10}$, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and $\text{SO}_2$ (Fig. 1) and the CAMS time series of mixing ratios for dust, sulfate and total aerosol at Pillersdorf (Fig. 2) and the lidar stations around Pillersdorf (Fig. 3), the presence of an event of sulfate transport over Europe can be inferred, with two peaks, on 02 April and 04 April, respectively.

On 02 April, one observes from the concentration profiles (Fig. 4) that in the morning the dust was dominant in the layer between 0.55 km and 1.50 km and in the layer between 1.98 km and 3.11 km, while sulfate was dominant in the higher altitude layer, between 4.20 km and 6.15 km. In the afternoon, the sulfate concentration increases gradually in the lower layers, mixing with the dust, while the upper layer become thinner (layer range from 4.0 km to 5.0 km).

The back-trajectories for 02 April (Fig. 5) show a consistent pattern. In the morning (00:00 and 06:00), the lower trajectories (below 2000 m) originate from Eastern and Southern United States (US), traverse the North Atlantic Ocean and pass over Central Europe, spending ~ 6 days in this region, arriving at Pillersdorf from the northwest direction. The middle-altitude trajectories (2000 m – 5000 m) originate from Southern US, traverse the ocean and pass over Northwest Africa (spending ~ 3 days), arriving in the Central Europe from southwest, then arriving along the Alps at Pillersdorf. The high-altitude trajectories (above 5000 m) traverse the ocean, arriving at Pillersdorf from the west direction. In the afternoon (12:00 and 18:00), the lower
trajectories originate from Eastern Europe, while the middle-altitude and high-altitude trajectories originate from Eastern US, traverse the ocean and the Northwest Africa, arriving at Pillersdorf from the west direction.

The SRS patterns, shown in Fig. 9, and the relative distributions of SO$_2$, shown in Fig. 10, Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, indicate the influence of five source regions for the transport of the sulfate event recorded on day 02 April at Pillersdorf: Southern and Eastern US, Northwest Africa, Central Europe and Eastern Europe.

For the lower layers, the Central Europe, including industrial centres from the "Black triangle" (Eastern Germany, Southwest Poland and Czech Republic) was the main source contributing to sulfate transported over Northern Austria, where Pillersdorf station is situated. Medium to smaller contributions come from sources in Eastern Europe, the Northwest Africa and Eastern US.

For the middle-altitude layers, sources from Central Europe (Northern Italy, Serbia, Hungary) contribute with similar emissions. Northwest Africa and Eastern US have also important contributions.

For the high-altitude layers, the main contributions come from Northwest Africa, but sources from Southern and Eastern US contribute also significantly. No contributions from Europe are seen for these layers.

For the peak on 04 April, having only one lidar station associated to aerosol trajectories, the analysis is more difficult. From the existing information, we can conclude that the pattern is similar with layer L2 and L3 from 02 April, with contributions from Northern Italy, Northwest Africa and Southern US.

The AEs for the event have values between 0.67 and 0.79, which correspond to a mixture of fine and coarse particles, with size distribution centered on 0.75 µm. For this size distribution, the sulfate (Ding et al., 2017) and the dust (accumulation mode) are the dominant aerosols. The LR is comparable for all sites, having values between 45 and 55 sr, while the linear PDepR has values between 0.07 to 0.22. These values correspond to low to medium absorbing aerosol with non-spherical shape (Nicolae et al., 2018).

The aerosol type is determined from the optical properties for the layers identified in this event, at the in situ station and the lidar stations. Consistent aerosol type was found between the in situ station and the lidar stations along the trajectories. The changes in the values of the aerosol LR, AE and linear PDepR along the trajectories can be explained by:

- the mixing of dust with secondary sulfate from anthropogenic sources during the transport paths to Leipzig, Munich, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Pillersdorf and Bucharest, and

- the adsorption of the SO$_2$ on oxides contained in the mineral dust. The sulfate particles are expected to be formed by SO$_2$ oxidising on dust surface due to mineral oxides compounds from dust (e.g. hematite).

4 Conclusions

The excess of SO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ and O$_3$ observed in the period 01 – 06 April 2014 at the Austrian air quality background station Pillersdorf was analyzed using in situ data, lidar measurements at the closest EARLINET stations around the in situ site, CAMS near-real-time data, and aerosol and atmospheric transport modelling. This excess was associated with the transport of
sulfate aerosols, mixed during the transport with dust. By correlating the local information with a trajectory analysis and an analysis of aerosol potential sources, a complex pattern of contributions to sulfate at the in situ station was found. The lower layers (below 2000 m) originated mainly from the Central Europe. Medium to smaller contributions came from sources in Eastern Europe, the Northwest Africa and Eastern US. For the middle-altitude layers (between 2000 m and 5000 m), sources from Central Europe (Northern Italy, Serbia, Hungary) contributed with similar emissions. Northwest Africa and Eastern US have also important contributions. The high-altitude layers (above 5000 m) originated from sources from Northwest Africa and from Southern and Eastern US, as transported secondary sulfate mixed with dust. The effect of medium- and long-range transport of aerosol is significant, and can not be neglected when analyzing the air quality at an in situ station. For a quantitative analysis and modelling of aerosol deposition, more measurements are needed, including precise vertical aerosol profiles at the in situ station.

The spring period studied in this paper is characterized by low, if any, deep convection. For the summer period, one expects however to have a strong convective activity over Central Europe. A study of the summer periods for the years 2014–2017 for the same region was also performed; the results will be presented in a separate paper.

The methodology developed in this paper allows to obtain a better understanding of the effects of aerosol transport on the in situ measurements. It can be used as a general tool to correlate measurements at in situ stations with ground-based remote sensing stations located around these in situ stations. A dedicated paper for the methodology, extended to trace gases and other aerosols, with analysis of more case studies is under preparation.

Author contributions. CT collected and processed all data, developed the methodology and performed the data analysis. Both authors contributed to the optimization of the analysis and the interpretation of the results. PS provided the pre-release of FLEXPART version 10, with a better wet deposition and other improvements. The manuscript was prepared by CT with contributions from PS.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This study was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF, Project M 2031, Meitner-Programm. We thank the Principal Investigators and their staff for establishing and maintaining the EARLINET lidar sites, the DWD ceilometers and the AERONET stations. We thank the staff from the Environment Agency Austria who provided the in situ data. We acknowledge ECCAD and CAMS for making data accessible and providing tools for data analysis.
References


**Figure 1.** In-situ $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{O}_3$, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and $\text{PM}_{10}$ concentrations measured at Pillersdorf, Austria (EMEP station AT30, 48°43’N, 15°55’E). The dotted lines represent the averaged values for the plotted period.
Figure 2. Time series of CAMS mixing ratios for total aerosol (a), sulfate (b) and dust (c), Pillersdorf, 15 March – 14 April 2014.
Figure 3. Time series of CAMS mixing ratios for sulfate for Munich (a), Leipzig (b) and Bucharest (c), 15 March – 14 April 2014.
Figure 4. CAMS total aerosol, sulfate and dust profiles for 02 April 2014, Pillersdorf. Grayed area represents the identified sulfate layers. Altitudes are given in km AGL. Local time is UTC+2.
Figure 5. Pattern of back-trajectories (upper plot of sub-figure) and their altitude profile, including overpassed lidar stations (lower plot of sub-figure) for Pillersdorf, 02 April 2014 at 00:00 (a), 06:00 (b), 12:00 (c), 18:00 (d).
Figure 6. Pattern of forward-trajectories (upper plot) and their altitude profile, including overpassed lidar stations (lower plot) for Pillersdorf, 02 April 2014, 06:00.
Figure 7. Logarithm of the range corrected signal at 1064 nm, 24 h, for Munich (a) and Garmisch (b) stations. The red line boxes represent the identified layers.
Figure 8. Range corrected signal at 1064 nm for Leipzig (a) and Bucharest (b) stations. The red line boxes represent the identified layers.
Figure 9. Source-receptor sensitivity for layer L1 (a), L2 (b) and L3 (c) and total column (d), Pillersdorf, 02 April, 6:00
Figure 10. Relative distributions of SO$_2$ sources for Pillersdorf layer L1 (a), Leipzig (b); zoomed distribution for Pillersdorf layer L1 (c).
Figure 11. Relative distributions of SO$_2$ sources for Pillersdorf layer L2 (a), Leipzig (b); zoomed distribution for Pillersdorf layer L2 (c).
Figure 12. Relative distributions of SO$_2$ sources for Pillersdorf layer L3 (a), Munich (b), Bucharest (c); zoomed distribution for Pillersdorf layer L3 (d).
Figure 13. CAMS aerosol, sulfate and dust profiles for 04 April 2014, Pillersdorf. Grayed area represents the identified sulfate layers. Altitudes are given in km AGL.
Figure 14. Range corrected signal at 1064 nm for Leipzig station, 03 April 2014. The red line box represents the identified layer.
Figure 15. Source-receptor sensitivity for layer L1 (a) and L2 (b), Pillersdorf, 04 April, 12:00
Table 1. Association of layers from lidar measurements with layers and trajectories computed for Pillersdorf, 02 April 2014, 06:00.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pillersdorf</th>
<th>Lidar station, time</th>
<th>Lidar station, time</th>
<th>Traj. alt.</th>
<th>Lidar layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1: 0.55 – 1.50 km</td>
<td>Leipzig, Mar 31, 18:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.66 km</td>
<td>2.70 – 3.75 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2: 1.98 – 3.11 km</td>
<td>Leipzig, Mar 31, 23:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.75 km</td>
<td>3.85 – 4.20 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3: 4.20 – 6.15 km</td>
<td>Munich, Apr 01, 05:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.20 km</td>
<td>3.54 – 4.43 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Garmisch, Apr 01, 14:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.84 km</td>
<td>4.91 – 5.81 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bucharest, Apr 03, 13:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.90 km</td>
<td>2.70 – 4.05 km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Comparison of sulfate concentration computed from lidar measurements, CAMS products and FLEXPART for layers at lidar stations associated with layers from Pillersdorf, 02 April 2014, 06:00.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>(C_{\text{lidar}}) [µg m(^{-3})]</th>
<th>(C_{\text{cams}}) [µg m(^{-3})]</th>
<th>(C_{\text{flexpart}}) [µg m(^{-3})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leipzig, Mar 31, 18:00</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>12.52</td>
<td>12.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70 – 3.75 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leipzig, Mar 31, 23:00</td>
<td>15.96</td>
<td>13.48</td>
<td>13.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.85 – 4.20 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bucharest, Apr 03, 13:00</td>
<td>15.24</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>13.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70 – 4.05 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munich, Apr 01, 05:00</td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>18.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.54 – 4.43 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garmisch, Apr 01, 14:00</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>16.76</td>
<td>15.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.91 – 5.81 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Optical properties, sulfate fraction and aerosol types for aerosol layers corresponding to Pillersdorf, 02 April 2014, 06:00.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>LR [sr]</th>
<th>PDepR</th>
<th>AE</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pillersdorf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 02, 06:00</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>Polluted dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55 – 1.50 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillersdorf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 02, 06:00</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>Mixed dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.98 – 3.11 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillersdorf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 02, 06:00</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>Mixed dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.20 – 6.15 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leipzig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 31, 18:00</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Polluted dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70 – 3.75 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leipzig</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 31, 23:00</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>Mixed dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.85 – 4.20 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bucharest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 03, 13:00</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>Mixed dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70 – 4.05 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 01, 05:00</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Mixed dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.54 – 4.43 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garmisch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 01, 14:00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>Mixed dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.91 – 5.81 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4. Association of layers from lidar measurements with layers and trajectories computed for Pillersdorf, 04 April 2014, 12:00.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pillersdorf</th>
<th>Lidar station, time</th>
<th>Traj. alt.</th>
<th>Lidar layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1: 1.98 – 4.50 km</td>
<td>Leipzig, Apr 03, 05:00</td>
<td>2.96 km</td>
<td>2.70 – 3.45 km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Comparison of sulfate concentration computed from lidar measurements, CAMS data and FLEXPART for layers at lidar stations associated with layers from Pillersdorf, 04 April 2014, 12:00.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>$C_{\text{lidar}}$ [µg m$^{-3}$]</th>
<th>$C_{\text{cams}}$ [µg m$^{-3}$]</th>
<th>$C_{\text{flexpert}}$ [µg m$^{-3}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leipzig, Apr 03, 12:00 2.70 – 3.45 km</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>7.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Optical properties, sulfate fraction and aerosol types for aerosol layers corresponding to Pillersdorf, 04 April 2014, 12:00.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>PDepR</th>
<th>AE</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pillersdorf</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Mixed dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 04, 12:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55 – 1.50 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillersdorf</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>Mixed dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 04, 12:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.98 – 4.50 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leipzig</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>Mixed dust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 03, 05:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.70 – 3.45 km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>