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Response to Anonymous Referee #3 comments:

Received and published on 09 April 2018,

I think that the authors have made good efforts in addressing the comments of two previous reviewers and the manuscript has been improved. The manuscript reported NPF measurements observed at a high altitude observatory over an Indian Ocean island in the Southern Hemisphere. The topic is suitable for ACP and the results shall be of interesting to the community. My additional comments, aimed to further improve the manuscript, are given below.

Major comments:

R1-1: Lines 234-235. If boundary air convection to the size is a trigger for NPF events, why the cluster mode particles appeared half hour earlier than the BC increase? What about CO? Is such a delay common for all NPF events? Maybe it is worthwhile to provide similar figures for more days in the appendices.

“We suggest that nucleation is not initiated within the BL (because of this 30 min delay between cluster mode particles and BC), but at the interface between BL and FT where a mixing of air masses of different composition may be promoting nucleation.” We now add this sentence to the discussion at line 235.

R1-2: Lines 263-268. It is interesting to see that NPF occurred on almost every day in April. Figure A4 is helpful. I strongly suggested that the authors present AIS data for both positive and negative ions in Figure A4. As I understand, ACP requires statement about the availability of the data used in the paper. Are these data available to the community after the publication of the manuscript?

Unfortunately the positive ions were not properly measured by the AIS and we only have data for the negative ion size distributions. Moreover, the AIS was non-operational in April so we cannot add the spectra to the Figure A4. DMPS data are already available on the EBAS data center. AIS data will be made available on request for the moment, until a clear data submission protocol is provided by the ACTRIS community.
R1-3: Section 4.6. Are the monthly mean concentrations good indications of the air mass sources during the nucleation periods which typically last only a few hours each day? If not, BC and CO concentrations averaged during the nucleation periods may provide more insight about the relationship between NPF parameters and BC/CO concentrations.

We replaced the Figure 10 a) and b) by a single one, showing the "annual variations of daily concentrations of BC (ng.m.\(^{-3}\)) and CO (ppm) over the year 2015, together with the annual variation of the BC\(_{\text{nuc}}\) and CO\(_{\text{nuc}}\) averaged over the -2/+2h period of time around nucleation onset" (as wrote at lines 399 to 401). The nucleation time onset was given by the Figure 8b). We added the following sentences in the text from 401 to 404: “CO concentration are more stable throughout the day than BC and the diurnal averages of CO concentrations are very similar to the average CO\(_{\text{nuc}}\) concentrations calculated over the -2/+2 time period around nucleation onset. For BC, we notice a difference in the April-to-June period when BC concentrations are higher during the nucleation hours than when averaged during the whole day.” Moreover, we calculated the new correlation coefficient between the NPF characteristics and the new CO\(_{\text{nuc}}\) and BC\(_{\text{nuc}}\). We added the results in the Table 2. We note that the coefficients values are lower for the CO\(_{\text{nuc}}\) and BC\(_{\text{nuc}}\) than for the daily averages of both species except for the link to NPF occurrence. We discuss this lines 409-411: BC\(_{\text{nuc}}\) is less correlated to the nucleation rates than BC is, due to the difference in monthly concentrations of BC and BC\(_{\text{nuc}}\) during autumn. This would confirm that during this period, other precursors than the anthropogenic ones are possibly participating to the nucleation process.

Minor comments:

RC3-1 Line 17. “In 2014 and 2015”. Please provide the exact period (05/2014-12/2015) when the measurements were made.

We now put “From May 2014 to December 2015” in the text at line 17.

RC3-2: Line 23. GR of 100 nm/hr is likely due to mixing and transport of air mass. Can you exclude the possibility that the derived growth rate of 100 nm/hr was due to mixing of air mass? If not, better not highlight this here in the abstract.

You’re right, we cannot exclude that the high GR values are possibly due to mixing of air mass. We removed the sentence: “occasionally peaking at values of the order of 100 nm.h\(^{-1}\)” in the abstract, at line 23.

RC3-3: Line 72. Less than two year is not “multiyear”. Please be more accurate.

We changed “multiyear” by “more than one year”
RC3-4: Line 129 and Line 141: “reached by the plume several times” is inconsistent with “47 daytime plume days”.
We replaced “several times” by “many times”

RC3-5: Is the PdF volcano eruptive? During the non-eruptive period, does it emit SO2?
The PdF volcano is an active volcano with sporadic eruptions. Between two eruptions, sulphur dioxide is poorly emitted. We do extract the background concentration for non-eruptive periods as the 97th percentile of the series. (Lines 138-140)

RC3-6: Line 177: Figure 3 --> Figure 2?
You’re right, it is Figure 2.

RC3-7: Line 184: “ratio of event to non-event days” is inconsistent with what shown in Eq 1.
We replaced these words by “ratio of event to numbers of days in months”.

RC3-8: Line 207: “12 nm”--> “12-19 nm”.
We changed it.

RC3-9: Figure 3a. Since positive ions were also measured by AIS, the authors should provide these data as well.
As mentioned previously they were not properly measured by the instrument.

RC3-10: Figure 3b. Please alight the time in axis with that of Figure 3a.
Done

RC3-11: Line 244. Please given the exact size range of Aitken mode particles.
We add “[from 20 to 100 nm]” into brackets.

RC3-12: Line 248. “N30” should be “N<30”.
We replaced “N30” by “N<30” in the text and figure as “N100” by “N>100”.
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Abstract. This study aims to report and characterize the frequent new particle formation (NPF) events observed at the Maïdo observatory, Reunion Island, a Southern Hemisphere site located at 2150 m (a.s.l.) and surrounded by the Indian Ocean. From May 2014 to December 2015, continuous aerosol measurements were made using both a Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) and an Air Ion Spectrometer (AIS) to characterize the NPF events down to the lowest particle size scale. Carbon monoxide (CO) and Black Carbon (BC) concentrations were monitored, as well as meteorological parameters, in order to identify the conditions that were favourable to the occurrence of nucleation in this specific environment. We point out that the annual NPF frequency average (65%) is one of the highest reported so far. Monthly averages show a bimodal variation of the NPF frequency, with a maximum observed during transition periods (autumn and spring). A high yearly median particle Growth Rate (GR) of 15.16 nm.h−1 is also measured showing a bimodal seasonal variation with maxima observed in July and November. Yearly medians of 2 and 12 nm particle formation rates (J2 and J12) are 0.858 and 0.508 cm−3.s−1 respectively, with a seasonal variation showing a maximum during winter, that correspond to low temperature and RH typical of the dry season, but also to high BC concentrations. We show that the condensation sink exceeds a threshold value (1.04 ×10−3 s−1) with a similar seasonal variation than the one of the NPF event frequency, suggesting that the occurrence of the NPF process might be determined by the availability of condensable vapours, which are likely to be transported together with pre-existing particles from lower altitudes.

1 Introduction

Aerosol concentrations in the atmosphere influence the Earth’s radiative balance, and the formation and lifetime of clouds (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016; Makkonen et al., 2012). Unlike the primary sources of aerosols, such as soil erosion, sea salt, and volcanic ash, nucleation is a gas-to-particle conversion process leading to the formation of new secondary aerosol particles. Nucleation and subsequent growth are responsible for New Particle Formation (NPF) events, observed in various environments around the world (Kulmala et al., 2004) but still rarely in the southern hemisphere. The frequency, intensity and duration of NPF events is highly variable according to the location where they are observed. The occurrence and characteristics of NPF episodes depend on various factors, including the emission strength of precursors, the number concentration of the pre-existing aerosol population, and
meteorological parameters (in particular solar radiation, temperature and relative humidity), which directly influence photo-chemical processes (Kulmala, 2003; Martín et al., 2010; Hallar et al., 2016). However, the relationship between these environmental parameters and the characteristics of NPF events is not fully understood and it is still a challenge to predict when an NPF event will take place and how intense it will be (Kulmala et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2008). Consequently, there is still a need to report and describe NPF in environments that have not yet been investigated, notably in the Southern Hemisphere in general and more particularly for both marine and high altitude tropical sites. These kinds of environments present true specificity in terms of RH variability, emission types (Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and Marine sources) and atmospheric dynamics. Previous studies that have been conducted in the Southern Hemisphere relate to South Africa (Hirsikko et al., 2012), with a low latitude-medium altitude (1400 m) site, South America (Rose et al., 2015b) and Australia (Bates et al., 1998), with medium latitude-high altitude sites, and Antarctica (Koponen et al., 2003) as a high latitude area. Hirsikko et al. (2012) published the highest NPF frequency ever reported, of about 86%, explaining that both the local sources (strong mining pollution) and regional conditions affected the NPF variation. Recently, Rose et al. (2015b) proposed a low-latitude zone analysis of NPF at Chacaltaya (CHC) in Bolivia, which is one of the highest in situ measurement sites in the world (5240 m). They, too, found a very high NPF frequency, of about 63.9%. This value has been partly explained by lower concentrations of pre-existing particles than at lower altitudes, leading to smaller loss of gaseous precursors, while photochemical activity is enhanced by higher radiation. In addition, at high altitude stations, turbulence at the interface between the Boundary Layer (BL) and the Free Troposphere (FT) might promote nucleation and growth processes (Hamburger et al., 2011). In general, high altitude sites report relatively high NPF event frequencies, such as 35% at Nepal Climate Observatory (5079 m; Venzac et al., 2008) or 35.9% at the Puy de Dôme station (1465 m; Boulon et al., 2011a).

Reunion Island, which is located at low latitude (21 °S) in an inter-tropical area surrounded by the Indian Ocean, is still poorly documented. The island, which was partly shaped by the active basaltic volcano of Piton de la Fournaise (PdF), is characterized by angular landforms and steep slopes. The interaction of the high mountainous terrain with the synoptic flow induces large variability in wind fields at local scale. The maritime and tropical location of the island combined with the complexity of the terrain and wind exposure implies a multitude of local circulations and weather, marked by large variations in temperature and precipitation. This complex atmospheric dynamics added to a large variety of primary and secondary NPF sources (marine, organic and anthropogenic) gives special interest to this study. The Maïdo observatory is located at 2150 m a.s.l., under the influence of the marine boundary layer during daytime and of the free troposphere during nighttime. The main objective of this study is to reinforce the observations of NPF events in the Southern Hemisphere and more particularly for a site that is both marine and at high altitude. We first describe how NPF was observed at the site by DMPS and AIS interpolation (Sect. 4.1.). Based on more than one year data set of clusters and aerosol size distributions, we secondly report the frequency (Sect. 4.2.), the intensity (Sect. 4.3.) and the characteristics of the events, and describe their seasonality. Thirdly, we analyse their annual variations with respect to the meteorological parameters (Sect. 4.4) and the pre-existing particle concentration (Sect. 4.5.).
2 Characteristics of the Maïdo observatory

2.1 Geographical location and networks

Maïdo observatory (21.080° S 55.383° E) is situated on La Reunion Island in the Indian Ocean. There are very few multi-instrumented stations in the tropics, and particularly in the southern hemisphere (Baray et al., 2013), so the Maïdo observatory was built in 2012 to respond to the needs of major international networks like NDACC (Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change, http://www.ndacc.org) and ACTRIS (Aerosols, Clouds, and Trace gases Research Infra-Structure network). It is a high-altitude station (2150 m), which opens up new perspectives in upper troposphere and lower stratosphere studies. Belonging to the Global Atmosphere Watch regional network (GAW), it also conducts in situ measurements to characterize the atmospheric composition of the lower troposphere. The facility dominates, in the east, the natural amphitheatre of Mafate, characterized by lush tropical vegetation, and, in the west, the highland tamarind forests. The nearest urban areas are the coastal cities of Saint Paul and Le Port with 105,000 and 40,000 inhabitants respectively, located 13 and 15 km away from the Maïdo observatory (Fig. 1).

2.2 Large and local scale atmospheric dynamics

At a large scale, the island is located in the descending part of the South Hadley cell (Baldy et al., 1996). It is subject to the intertropical zone atmospheric circulation, which is characterized by a trade wind flow from the south-east in this lower layer, induced by the Hadley cell and accentuated by more zonal driving by the Walker circulation. This lower layer flow is limited in altitude (about 3 km) by westerly winds (westerlies), which constitute the return of the Hadley – Walker circulation. In terms of rainfall, Reunion Island is characterized by two seasons: the hot, wet season from January to March (southern summer) and the cold, dry season (southern winter), which is longer, lasting from May to November (Baray et al., 2013). April and December are transition months that can be rainy or dry. In the southern summer, the Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) is situated in the southern hemisphere and sometimes reaches Reunion Island. Over the inversion layer, the westerly flow (between 30°N and 30°S) and west winds weaken and are strongly affected by the context of heavy rains. During the southern winter, the subtropical high pressures are more powerful than during the southern summer and maintain the synoptic subsidence (descending branch of the Hadley cell) that generates and feeds both the stream of faster moving trade winds on the Mascarene region and the subtropical jet at high altitudes.

On a local scale, it is possible to observe two major atmospheric phenomena on both sides of the island. Trade winds coming from the south-east are separated (Fig.1) by the high topography of Reunion, which acts as an obstacle (Lesouëf et al., 2011). They are confined under the inversion layer and forced to bypass the island, forming two branches (Soler, 2000). During the day, a returning loop (Fig. 1) forms in the north-east when the winds converge. The inversion of the circulation then brings the winds under the cities of Saint Paul and Le Port up to the heights towards the Maïdo station. Moreover, the interaction between the trade winds and the abrupt relief of Reunion creates strong climate asymmetry and many microclimates. The warming of mountain slopes by solar radiation during the day, or radiative cooling overnight, is transmitted to the surrounding air layers and creates a complex local circulation. The nocturnal surface radiative cooling induces a cold katabatic wind on the slopes and clears the atmosphere at the Maïdo station, leaving the observatory in the free troposphere, disconnected from the anthropogenic pollution. Thus there are few clouds during the nighttime. After midday, the sea breeze cumulated
in the returning loop wind direction generates upward winds on the slopes which transport particles to the high station, accompanied by orographic and slope cloud formation. The surface radiative warming tends to create convection and then form vertical clouds located at the top of the relief. The number of nights with clear sky is then very large in comparison with the coastal site of Saint Denis, where LIDARs were operated from 1994 to 2011 (Baray et al., 2013).

2.3 Volcanic activity

Several studies have provided evidence that high SO$_2$ concentrations and high radiation levels favour the formation of large amounts of H$_2$SO$_4$, which in turn contribute to particle formation (Hyvönen et al., 2005; Mikkonen et al., 2006; Petäjä et al., 2009) and growth (Boy et al., 2005; Sihto et al., 2006; Mikkonen et al., 2011). Except for some altitude cases where the role of sulfuric acid in nucleation is limited (Boulon et al., 2011a; Rose et al., 2015b; Bianchi et al., 2016), H$_2$SO$_4$ is thought to be among the major precursors of NPF due to its low saturating vapour pressure under conventional atmospheric temperature conditions (Kulmala and Kerminen, 2008). The Maïdo observatory can be on the pathway of sporadic SO$_2$ volcanic plumes emitted from the Piton de la Fournaise (PdF) volcano, located in the south of the island (Fig 1). In 2015, four eruptions were observed (Peltier et al., 2016) and multidisciplinary tracking of a volcanic gas and aerosol plume was conducted by Tulet et al., 2017. Unfortunately, H$_2$SO$_4$ was not measured but their results indicated that the Maïdo station was reached by the plume many times, as evidenced by the detection of SO$_2$ concentration peaks (Fig. A1). Specifically in a volcanic plume environment, Boulon et al. (2011b) directly observed NPF events within the Eyjafjallajökull volcanic plume that reached the Puy de Dôme station, and related them to the presence of high H$_2$SO$_4$ concentrations. During the PdF eruption that took place in April 2007, Tulet and Villeneuve (2011) used OMI and CALIOP space sensor data to estimate a total SO$_2$ release of 230 kt, 60 kt of which was transformed into H$_2$SO$_4$, mostly above the Indian ocean, at 6 km a.s.l. As a first analysis, we focus on the parameters influencing new particle formation (NPF) processes at the Maïdo station outside the very specific conditions encountered during a volcanic plume advection, which will be the topic of a separate study. Data were therefore screened for the presence of the Piton de la Fournaise plume at the station. The volcanic plume was considered to be present when the SO$_2$ concentration reached values higher than 1 ppb (hourly average), which is the 97th percentile estimated on non-eruptive days. According to Boulon et al. (2011b), this threshold also represented a lower limit of the presence of the plume at the Puy de Dôme station. Consequently, 47 daytime plume days that occurred during three eruptive periods (Fig. A1) were listed and removed from the 2015 data set.

2.4 Instrumentation

The aerosol and ion size distributions used in the present study were measured continuously from 01 January to 31 December 2015 at the Maïdo observatory. The size distribution of the 10-500 nm aerosol particles was measured with a Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) while the size distribution of the 0.8-42 nm ions was measured with an Air Ion Spectrometer (AIS). Here we use ion size distributions below 10 nm as tracers for the presence of neutral sub-10 nm particles that could not be detected directly. Additional DMPS measurements conducted between May and December 2014 will also be discussed briefly in section 4.1 to evaluate the inter-annual variability of the nucleation frequency.
The DMPS was custom-built with a TSI-type Differential Mobility Analyzer (DMA) operating in a closed loop and a Condensation Particle Counter (CPC, TSI model 3010). Particles were charged to equilibrium using an Ni-63 bipolar charger at 95 MBq. The quality of the DMPS measurements was checked for flow rates and RH according to the ACTRIS recommendations (Wiedensohler et al., 2012). DMPS measurements were performed down a Whole Air Inlet with a higher size cut-off of 25 µm (under average wind speed conditions of 4 m.s⁻¹).

The AIS was developed by Airel, Estonia, for in situ high time resolution measurements of ions and charged particles (Mäkelä et al., 1996 and Mirme et al., 2007). The device consists of two DMA arranged in parallel, which allows the simultaneous measurement of both negatively and positively charged particles. Each of the two analysers operates with a total flow of 90 litres per minute (lpm): 30 lpm of air to be sampled and 60 lpm of clean air (or carrier gas) circulating in closed loop. The AIS was directly connected to ambient air through a 30 cm copper inlet 2.5 cm in diameter, to limit cluster ion losses along the sampling line.

The global radiation was measured using a Sunshine Pyranometer (SPN1, Delta-T Devices Ltd.) with a resolution of 0.6 W.m⁻². The auxiliary measurements used in the present study were the wind direction, the wind speed, the air temperature, the barometric pressure and the relative humidity. They were measured using the Vaisala Weather Transmitter WXT510 (http://www.vaisala.com).

The analyser used to measure sulfur dioxide (SO₂) concentrations uses the ultraviolet fluorescence method, standard NF EN 14212. The molecules are excited under the action of intense, constant UV radiation (214 nm). Sulfur dioxide then de-energizes very quickly by emitting higher wavelength radiation (between 320 and 380 nm) than the excitation step. SO₂ concentration was finally calculated by means of a photomultiplier. Datasets were provided by the Observatoire Réunionnais de l’Air (ORA). The SO₂ analyser resolution was about 0.5 ppb and, outside eruptive periods, it never exceeded this threshold (Fig. A1). The corresponding data were used only to list days that were characterized by the presence of the volcanic plume at the Maïdo observatory.

CO monitoring was performed using a PICARRO G2401 analyzer which is compliant with international ambient atmospheric monitoring networks, including the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and the Integrated Carbon Observation System (ICOS, https://www.icos-ri.eu/). It was the property of BIRA-IASB (Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy).

Figure 2 shows the availability of data for the main aerosol and gas-phase parameters used in this study. The best instrument synchronization period was from May to November.

3 Calculations

The classification of event days was achieved visually using the contour plot of the DMPS size distribution. The positive and negative ion size distributions provided by the AIS confirmed the status of the event when available. Days were classified and separated into three main groups: undefined, \( UN \) non-event \( NE \) and event \( E \) days according to Dal Maso et al. (2005).

The monthly event frequency, \( f_m \), was calculated as the ratio of event to numbers of days in months, after having excluded undefined, missing and plume days \( PD \), according to Eq. (1):

\[
 f_m = \frac{\text{number of } E}{\text{number of days in month} - (\text{missing data days} + PD)} \times 100, \tag{1}
\]

The condensation sink (CS; s⁻¹), which represents the loss rate of vapours on pre-existing particles was calculated from the DMPS size distributions according to Kulmala et al.’s (2001a) Equation (2):
where $D_{\text{vap}}$ is the condensable vapour diffusion coefficient, $r$ the particle radius and $N(r)$ the concentration of particles of radius $r$. Coefficient $\beta(r)$ was calculated from the Knudsen number and is given by Eq. (3):

$$\beta(r) = \frac{1+Kn(r)}{1+[\frac{1}{Kn(r)}(\frac{1}{31.6}+0.377)+\frac{1}{Kn(r)}]^2}$$

where $Kn(r)$ is the Knudsen number given by $Kn(r) = \lambda / r$, with $\lambda$ corresponding to the particle free path (depending on pressure and temperature) and the accommodation coefficient $\alpha$, usually set at 1. The condensation sink was calculated with a five minute time resolution. As the particles are dried in the DMPS, we are aware that the CS, which depends on the diameter of wet particles, was underestimated.

The particle growth rate (GR; nm.h$^{-1}$) was determined using the "maxima" method of Hirsikko et al. (2005). The method searches, usually over the AIS channel size, for the time that corresponds to the maximum concentration in each size channel. As the DMPS offers a much more extended data set than the AIS, we applied the method to the DMPS 12-19 nm size range for which the lower limit (12) was defined by the smallest channel of the device.

In order to detect the concentration maximum, a normal distribution was fitted to the time evolution of the concentration for each channel. GR corresponded to the slope of the linear regression on the time-diameter pairs.

The formation rate of 12 nm particles, $J_{12}$, (cm$^{-3}$.s$^{-1}$) was calculated using the following Equation (4) given by Kulmala et al. (2007):

$$J_{12} = \frac{dN_{12-19}}{dt} + CoagS_{12} \times N_{12-19} + \frac{GR_{12-19}}{7 \text{nm}} \times N_{12-19}$$

where $N_{12-19}$ is the concentration corresponding to 12 to 19 nm particle diameters, $CoagS_{12}$ represents the coagulation of 12-19 nm particles on pre-existing larger diameter particles and $GR_{12-19}$ corresponds to the growth rate estimated between 12 and 19 nm. It was then possible to derive the nucleation rates of particles 2 nm in size, $J_2$, from the $J_{12}$ previously calculated from DMPS and the growth rate of particles between 12 and 19 nm, following the method first introduced by Kerminen and Kulmala (2002) and improved by Lehtinen et al. (2007) with Eq. (5):

$$J_2 = \frac{J_{12}}{\exp\left(-\gamma \times d_2 \times \frac{CoagS(d_2)}{GR_{12-19}}\right)}$$

where,

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{m+1} \left[\left(\frac{d_{12}}{d_2}\right)^{m+1} - 1\right]$$

and,

$$m = \frac{\log(\text{CoagS}(d_{12})) - \log(\text{CoagS}(d_2))}{\log(d_{12}) - \log(d_2)}$$

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Dynamics of the NPF events at the Maïdo observatory

The origin of the newly formed particles at a given site can be attributed to the local environment only if newly formed particles are detected at the smallest size range, when clusters just formed and had no time yet to grow.
Thus, we used both the AIS and DMPS size distribution to investigate the evolution of NPF event at Maïdo. The AIS covers the size range between 0.90 and 46.2 nm while the DMPS covers the size range between 11.78 and 706.77 nm. Temporal interpolation was first performed to harmonize the DMPS and the AIS data sets to a 5 min resolution. For visual inspection of the consistency of the two data sets, hybrid plots were drawn up showing the AIS negative ion concentration up to 12 nm and then the particle concentration from the DMPS for larger sizes. Figure 3a shows an example of a NPF event followed by the two devices on 06 July, 2015. Typically, NPF events observed at the Maïdo observatory show an increase of small ion concentrations (2-5 nm) at dawn (06:00 UTC, corresponding to 10:00 LT). These small ions are tracers for small particles of the same size that rapidly grow to the first DMPS size classes within the next hour. The initiation of the formation of new particles at 06:00 UTC (10:00 LT) is followed by the appearance of accumulation mode particles. Further growth of the newly formed particles is generally accompanied by the simultaneous growth of the accumulation mode particles, starting around 07:00 UTC (11:00 LT), that are likely representative of the updraft of boundary layer air to the station. We computed the diurnal variation of black carbon (BC), a good indicator of any anthropogenic, hence boundary layer, influence. The corresponding diurnal variation of BC (ng.m\(^{-3}\)) is shown on Figure 3b. BC concentration clearly increases from 06:30 UTC (when ignoring early sharp peaks that may due to local contamination), which is half an hour later than the occurrence of the cluster mode particles. Thus, we suggest that nucleation is not initiated within the BL but at the interface between BL and FT where a mixing of air masses of different composition may be promoting nucleation. Hence, we can hypothesise that boundary air convection to the site is a trigger for NPF events, most particularly when the interface BL/FT is sampled. At 07:00 UTC, as the accumulation particle concentration increase from 2000 to 8000 part.cm\(^{-3}\), the BC concentration also increase to reach 630 ng.m\(^{-3}\) at 09:00, when the BL is fully sampled at the site. At the end of the afternoon, the accumulation mode particles concentrations drop to less than 1000 particle.cm\(^{-3}\) and BC concentrations drop to very low values. Most high altitude stations are strongly influenced by free troposphere air during nighttime regardless the season, but mostly during wintertime (Venzac et al., 2008; Rose et al., 2015a). This is also true for stations located in complex terrains such as Jungfraujoch station in the Swiss Alps (Herrmann et al., 2015) and at the Chacaltaya station in the Andes (Rose et al., 2015b). These are indicators that the station lays in the free troposphere at night. The Aitken mode particles (from 20 to 100 nm) present during nighttime at the station are hence likely present in the free troposphere and are sampled at the site in subsiding air masses (Tulet et al., 2017).

These features can also be observed on average, both for the summer and winter seasons. BC average diurnal profiles (a), together with the average diurnal variation of the number concentrations of particles larger than 100 nm (\(N_{>100}\)) (b), and the number concentration of nucleation mode particles with a diameter smaller than 30 nm (\(N_{<30}\)) (c) are shown on Figure 4. We observe that, on average, BC concentrations increase in the morning at the same time as \(N_{<30}\) and \(N_{>100}\), confirming the influence of the BL on the occurrence of NPF events at the scale of the season. Moreover, we can note that during winter, BC concentrations are higher during nighttime (from 16:00 to 06:00 UTC) than during summer. This observation is also true for \(N_{>100}\) with higher values from 17:00 to 02:00 UTC during winter compared to summer. We assume that during winter, trade winds favour the large scale remote primary particles transport to the Maïdo station.

4.2 Nucleation and frequency analysis
Over the measurement period in 2015, 47 volcanic plume days were excluded and data was missing on 61 days. Among the 257 remaining days, 167 days (65%) were classified as event days, 55 (21%) as non-event days and 35 (14%) as undefined. As a result, the event frequency was high for the Maïdo station, with an annual average of 65% (med: 65.2%; 25ile: 50.0%; 75ile: 80.0%) for 2015. This frequency is one of the highest values reported so far, with the exceptions of the South African plateau, where NPF was reported to occur 86% of the time according to (Hirsikko et al., 2012), and savannah, with 69% of the time (Vakkari et al., 2011). Figure 5 shows the seasonal variation of the monthly event frequency, \( f_m \).

As shown in Figure 5, similar seasonal variations were observed for the nucleation frequency in 2014 and part of 2015. High NPF frequencies were observed during the transition months being on average 72.5% for October and November (spring), and even slightly higher, 89.4%, for March to May (autumn). During the southern winter and summer seasons (from June to August and from January to February), NPF was lower. As examples of high and low frequency NPF months, continuous DMPS spectra of April (93.1% occ.) and June (46.7% occ.) are available in the supplementary section though (Figure A4).

### 4.3 Particle formation, growth and nucleation rates

The yearly average particle growth rate for 12-19 nm particles was 19.4 ±12.69 nm.h\(^{-1}\) (Table 1), which is above the typical range of GRs reported in the literature for a quite-similar size class of 7-20 nm (GR\(_{7,20}\)). The review by Yli-Juuti et al. (2011) of GR\(_{7,20}\), obtained at different measurement sites located in various environments, reports a yearly average of 6.66 ±3.41 nm.h\(^{-1}\) (19 values). However, higher GRs have been observed for a coastal environment in Australia, with an average GR\(_{7,20}\) of 19 nm.h\(^{-1}\), (Modini et al., 2009) and for a polluted urban environment in Tecamac, with an 18 nm.h\(^{-1}\) average GR\(_{3,7,25}\) (Iida et al., 2008). It is noteworthy that, at high altitudes, the conditions of spatially homogeneous air masses and a steady state, necessary to calculate a realistic growth rate, are not verified since air masses are progressively advected to the site from lower altitudes. Thus the GRs that are reported here are “apparent” growth rates that may be overestimated due to the transport of particles that have already nucleated and grown at lower altitudes at the same time. Nevertheless, the particle GR calculated for the Maïdo station is higher than the average GRs reported by Rose et al. (2015b) for the CHC station (7.62 nm.h\(^{-1}\)), Boulon et al. (2011a) for the Puy-de-Dôme station (6.20 nm.h\(^{-1}\)), and Venzac et al. (2008) for Nepal (1.8 nm.h\(^{-1}\)).

In our calculation, 19 events were not taken into account because of the special characteristics of the extreme value of GR. The beginning of the NPF was characterized by a clear verticality in the spectrum during the first hours of the event and the corresponding GR was generally very high (100 to 150 nm.h\(^{-1}\)). Two examples of DMPS spectra belonging to this special class of growth rates are shown on Figure A2. Most of them were observed in December (8 cases). Dal Maso (2002) and O’Dowd and De Leeuw (2007) obtained such values (100 nm.h\(^{-1}\)) at Mace Head, a coastal site in western Ireland. They can be explained by the simultaneous transport of nucleated and already grown particles to the sampling site, from seaweed fields. In the case of coastal marine NPF events, the spatial homogeneity of the emission field is not verified, as for high altitude sites.

Figure 6 highlights a clear seasonal variation of GR\(_{12-19}\), with the highest monthly averages in August (35 nm.h\(^{-1}\)) and the lowest in May (8.9 nm.h\(^{-1}\)). These variations of the GR differ from those reported in the literature for other high altitude sites. Boulon et al. (2011a), did not find a significant seasonal pattern in the GR variation at the Puy de Dôme. In Chacaltaya, Rose et al. (2015b) showed that, on average, the GRs were enhanced during the wet
period, which is not in agreement with the present study, as we find high medians during the dry period (22.82 nm.h\(^{-1}\) averaged from July to November). Several reasons may explain these discrepancies, such as the topography of each station relative to cloud location during the wet season or the seasonal variation of condensable species responsible for the particle growth.

Formation rates were calculated for 12 and 2 nm particles when the GR\(_{12,19}\) was available. The yearly average formation rates \(J_{12}\) and \(J_2\) in Table 1 are respectively 0.931 ±1.15 and 1.53 ±2.06 cm\(^3\).s\(^{-1}\). These formation rates are in the upper range of the values reported by Kulmala et al. (2004) from measurements performed in more than 100 locations in the boundary layer (\(J_3 = 0.01-10\) cm\(^3\).s\(^{-1}\)). They are of the same order of magnitude as the ones reported for the CHC (1.02 and 1.90 cm\(^3\).s\(^{-1}\) for the wet and dry seasons respectively, (Rose et al., 2015b).

\(J_2\) seasonal variation follows the \(J_{12}\) seasonal variation (Fig. 7) but with higher values due to losses by coagulation during the growth process. We observe a clear seasonal cycle with maximum values during the dry season, particularly between July and September (\(J_{12} = 1.60\) cm\(^3\).s\(^{-1}\) and \(J_2 = 2.39\) cm\(^3\).s\(^{-1}\) respectively, averaged over 3 months). These observations are consistent with those reported for CHC, where \(J_2\) were reported to be twice as high during the dry season as in the wet season (Rose et al., 2015b). The lowest values are obtained around the transition months of December, with \(J_{12} = 0.32\) cm\(^3\).s\(^{-1}\) and \(J_2 = 0.52\) cm\(^3\).s\(^{-1}\) (averaged between November and January), and April, with \(J_{12} = 0.44\) cm\(^3\).s\(^{-1}\) and \(J_2 = 0.66\) cm\(^3\).s\(^{-1}\) (averaged between April to June). The seasonal variation of the growth rate reported in Figure 6 also shows highest values around August but the seasonal variation of nucleation rates shows features different from those of the GR. Formation rates reach their maxima slightly earlier in the dry season (July) than the growth rates, and the contrast between July-August-Sept and the rest of the year is also stronger. This indicates that the condensable vapours necessary for nucleating new particles might not have exactly the same seasonal variation as the ones required for growing the newly formed particles. In addition, high particle formation and growth rates obtained in July-August do not coincide with the highest nucleation frequencies, suggesting that, during these months, NPF might be less frequent but occur in the form of stronger events.

Several factors have previously been reported to influence the seasonal variation of the NPF event frequency, GR, and nucleation rates; they include (i) the availability of condensable gases involved in the formation of new particles, (ii) the number concentration of pre-existing particles transported to the site and (iii) thermo-dynamical properties of the atmosphere, such as radiation, temperature, and relative humidity. In the following sections, we will explore the seasonal variation of the last two factors (ii and iii).

### 4.4 Meteorological parameters and onset of NPF

A summary of incidental radiation, relative humidity, temperature and pressure monthly averages is available in Table A1. Austral seasons are reflected regarding both the daily averaged temperature and radiation represented on Figure 8a. We also computed in Table 2 the existing relationships between the monthly average meteorological parameters and the ones of the main characteristics of the NPF events. We observe that radiation is highest between September and November (272.19 W.m\(^{-2}\) on average), coinciding with one period of high NPF frequency (Fig. 4), but not with the maximum frequency of occurrence (March to May), nor with any high values of the GR or \(J_3/J_{12}\) (Figs. 6 and 7). As a consequence, no correlation is observed between radiation and the NPF variables. Hence, the availability of light for photochemistry is not the only parameter influencing the NPF frequency, nor the formation rates or growth. The temperature averages are higher from November to April (14.02 °C). We find a significant
(at the 95% confidence level) anti-correlation between temperature and the nucleation rate and GR. However, the seasonal temperature variations are similar to the seasonal variation of the NPF event frequency even if the correlation is not significant at the 95% confidence level. Emissions of terpenes is favoured at higher temperatures (Yu et al., 2017) while higher radiation is favouring the isoprene emissions. Some studies have shown that high concentration of isoprene relative to monoterpane can inhibit new particle formation while favouring particle growth (Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2009; Kanawade et al., 2011; Freney et al., 2017). This effect could partly explain that higher temperatures favour the nucleation frequency occurrence but lead to lower growth rates. Other factors such as a direct effect of temperature on the saturation valour pressure of condensable gases can also influence this result.

The relative humidity values are typical of an inter-tropical island with peaks in summer, between December and March (76.79% on average), and the lowest values obtained in July and September. Cloudy conditions were previously shown to inhibit formation of new particles, by scavenging newly formed clusters (Venzac et al., 2007). They might also decrease photochemical processes at the origin of the formation of condensable species contributing to the growth of clusters to stable particles. At Chacaltaya, Bolivia (5200 m a.s.l), Rose et al. (2015b) reported high frequencies during the southern winter, which coincide with the dry season. For the Maido station, frequency variations are not fully synchronized with the dry or wet periods as defined in Fig 8b. However, there is some uncertainty both in the dry/wet season segregation and with the exact identification of maxima/minima in the seasonal variation of the NPF frequency. When considering relative humidity, we do not find any link between RH and the nucleation frequency (Table 2) but a significant anti-correlation with the formation rate: low RH values correspond to the July-August-September nucleation peak. This would be in agreement with the results from the CHC station. Figure 8b shows that the appearance time of the ultrafine particles seasonal variation is well correlated to the sunrise. During the southern summer, NPF starts between approximately 08:00 and 10:00 LT and between 09:00 and 10:00 during the southern winter. This correlation may be due to the need for sunlight to be available to start photochemical processes, or/and to the start of advection of precursor gases from lower altitude sources in the BL.

4.5 Condensation sink

In addition to the meteorological parameters, the seasonal variation of the NPF characteristics might also be influenced by the presence of pre-existing particles, known to inhibit the NPF processes by increasing the competition for available condensable gases. We averaged the CS for two hours before the nucleation started (CS$_2$) to properly characterize its influence on the occurrence of an NPF event. In Figure 8b, nucleation onset times are averaged for each season (08:00 LT for southern summer and 09:00 LT for southern winter). The yearly average condensation sink has been calculated to be $2.43 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ and $1.86 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ for CS$_2$. These values are similar to the ones reported for the altitude station of Chacaltaya (Rose et al., 2015b) and Nepal (Venzac et al., 2008) which are $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ and $2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ respectively and also for the Mace Head coastal station (Dal Maso, 2002) which is about $2 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$.

Monthly averages of the CS$_2$ were calculated for event days and non-event days and are shown for 2015 on Figure 9a, together with the NPF event monthly frequency $f_m$. This representation highlights monthly averaged CS$_2$ peaks for February ($2.65 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$), May ($3.74 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) and September ($4.72 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$). The September value is similar to the South African savannah yearly average (Vakkari et al., 2011), which was about $4.3 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. It attests to a
considerable presence of pre-existing particles at the Maïdo station for this period. However, the NPF frequency seasonal pattern does not match that of low CS$_2$. May and September CS$_2$ peaks are associated with $f_{in}$ peak values while January and June CS$_2$ low averages (0.89 and 0.96 ×10$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ respectively) are correlated to weak NPF occurrence. Moreover, we calculated that the annual CS$_{2ev}$ (event) median (1.2 ×10$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) was significantly higher than the annual CS$_{2noev}$ (no event) median (8.5 ×10$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$). The previous observations thus suggest that the condensation sink does not inhibit NPF at Maïdo, as previously reported for other high altitude stations (Manninen et al., 2010; Boulon et al., 2010; Rose et al., 2015a). At these sites, the occurrence of the NPF process might be determined rather by the availability of condensable vapours, which are likely to be transported together with pre-existing particles from lower altitudes. In order to further investigate this aspect, we evaluated whether the frequency of nucleation was correlated to a frequency of exceeding a CS threshold. Hence, we calculated a monthly average frequency for which the CS$_2$ exceeded a threshold value of 1.04 ×10$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. The threshold was chosen arbitrarily as a value intermediate between the annual CS$_{2ev}$ and CS$_{2noev}$ medians. We started our investigation by choosing the median CS over the whole year as a threshold value. We then used an iteration process to fix the threshold so we have a reasonable seasonal variation of days exceeding this threshold values. Choosing other threshold values within CS$_{2ev}$ and CS$_{2noev}$ medians range would have led to less pronounced variability but would not have changed the shape of the seasonal trend. The resulting frequency at which CS$_2$ exceeded the threshold (Fig. 9b) had a clear seasonal variation with maxima during the transitional periods. For April-May, and September-November, more than 60% of the CS$_2$ were higher than 1.04 ×10$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, while for January, June and July the frequency was lowest. Hence, we actually find a similar seasonal variation between the frequency of CS exceeding a threshold value and the frequency of occurrence of NPF events (also shown by Table 2). This strengthens the hypothesis that there are precursors potentially transported simultaneous to aerosols from lower altitudes.

4.6 Black Carbon as a tracer of the anthropogenic contribution

Potential precursors may be of anthropogenic origin and we investigated their potential contribution by using BC as a tracer. Figure 10 shows the annual variations of daily concentrations of BC (ng.m$^{-3}$) and CO (ppm) over the year 2015, together with the annual variation of the BC$_{mic}$ and CO$_{mic}$ averaged over the -2/+2h period of time around nucleation onset. CO concentration are more stable throughout the day than BC and the diurnal averages of CO concentrations are very similar to the average CO$_{mic}$ concentrations calculated over the -2/+2 time period around nucleation onset. For BC, we notice a difference in the April-to-June period when BC concentrations are higher during the nucleation hours than when averaged during the whole day. The best similarity between the seasonal variations of BC ad the ones of NPF parameters is found for the nucleation rate (Table 2). This may indicate that air masses influenced by a larger contribution of anthropogenic compounds are favourable to more intense NPF events, but not necessarily more frequent. Indeed, moderately high NPF frequencies are observed for high BC monthly mean values during the spring period, but the high frequencies of NPF event occurrence observed during the autumn period are nor associated to very large BC monthly mean concentrations, and thus other contributors are expected during autumn. Moreover, BC$_{mic}$ is less correlated to the nucleation rates than BC is, due to the difference in monthly concentrations of BC and BC$_{mic}$ during autumn. This would confirm that during this period, other precursors than the anthropogenic ones are possibly participating to the nucleation process. The growth rate of newly formed particles is best correlated to CO concentrations (Table 2). This suggests that CO and
BC do not have the exact same sources. CO may originate from other sources than combustion processes, such as marine source. This result also confirms that condensable species necessary to form new particles are likely different from the ones responsible for their further growth.

5 Conclusions

In the present study, we provide a new NPF observations for a high altitude site of the southern hemisphere, the Maïdo observatory. We report a remarkably high frequency of occurrence of NPF events at the Maïdo observatory (65%) with a bimodal seasonal variation of this frequency, characterized by high values during spring and autumn. We show that the condensation sink exceeds a threshold value \((1.04 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1})\) with a similar seasonal variation than the one of the NPF event frequency, suggesting that, similarly to other altitude sites, the condensation sink does not inhibit NPF at Maïdo, but the occurrence of the NPF process might be determined rather by the availability of condensable vapours, which are likely to be transported together with pre-existing particles from lower altitudes during the day. This indicates an influence of the boundary layer dynamics, confirmed by daily variations of boundary layer tracers \((N_{10}, \text{and BC})\). The daily average BC concentrations, taken as one anthropogenic tracer, has a main peak during winter and hence they match only a fraction of NPF frequency. Thus, anthropogenic precursors may contribute to some of the springtime NPF occurrence, but the high NPF occurrence during the autumn season is likely initiated by other contributors. The seasonal variations of the formation rate and growth rate are not correlated to the NPF frequency seasonal variation. High formation rates correspond to high BC concentrations, low relative humidity conditions and low temperatures. While annual average \(J_{12}\) and \(J_{2}\) are in the typical ranges found in the literature \((9.31 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.15\) and \(1.53 \pm 2.06 \text{ cm}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}\) respectively), \(\text{GR}_{12:19}\) values are higher than the typical range of GRs reported in the literature \((19.4 \pm 12.69 \text{ nm.h}^{-1})\). At Reunion Island, the identification of different sources contributing to the gas phase composition of the atmosphere is not well established. To complete this work, it would be valuable to have direct measures of the cluster ion composition that would provide indication of the anthropogenic, vegetation or marine sources contributions to nucleation at the site. In addition and although they are complex, modelling methods such as a detailed back-trajectory analysis should be used to understand the origin of the local air masses and source contributions at the Maïdo observatory.
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Figures

Figure 1: Map of Reunion Island and its location. Different terrain types are represented as well as a simplification of the local atmospheric dynamic pattern around the island.

Figure 2: Dataset from 2015 Maïdo campaign. Devices recorded data simultaneously from May to November.
Figure 3: July 6th 2015 diurnal variation of (a) negative ions (1-10 nm) and (10-700 nm) aerosol particle size distribution (note the different concentration scales for ion number and particle concentrations), and (b) the BC concentration variation in ng.m\(^{-3}\)
Figure 4: Average diurnal variation during winter and summer of the (a) BC concentration, (b) number concentration of particles which diameter is larger than 100 nm (N_{>100}) and (c) number concentration of particles which diameter is smaller than 30 nm (N_{<30}).

Figure 5: Monthly event frequency (%) variation during 2014 (green) and 2015 (blue). Values at the top of the bars correspond to the number of days that were taken in account for calculation.
Figure 6: Monthly median growth rates in 2015. Error bars in black represent 25ile (top) and 75ile (base). To build these representation, 146 growth rates were estimated on 167 days classified as event days.

Figure 7: Monthly median nucleation rates in 2015 for 2 and 12 nm sizes. Error bars in black represent 25ile (top) and 75ile (base).
Figure 8: a) temperature (°C) and incidental radiation (W·m⁻²) variations during 2015 at Maïdo station given by daily UTC (fine) and monthly (bold) averages; b) seasonal variation of sunrise and time of NPF onset in local time (LT). The dry and the wet seasons are also delimited in orange and blue respectively.

Figure 9: (a) Monthly CS₂ (blue) calculated two hours before NPF onset (scale at the right in s⁻¹) and monthly event frequency fₑ (scale at the left in percentage). Averages have been calculated for event days (green), non-event days (red) and all days (blue). (b) Monthly CS₂ proportion exceeding the average of CS₂ₑ and CS₂ₑ median (1.04 ×10⁻³ s⁻¹).
Figure 10: Annual variation of daily (dotted lines) and between the 2/+2 period around the nucleation onset (solid lines) of the BC (ng.m$^{-3}$) and CO (ppm) concentration over the year 2015.

Table 1: Annual statistical values for $G_{12-19}$; $J_{12}$; $J_{2}$; CS and $CS_2$ calculated on daily averages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$GR_{12-19}$ (nm.h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$J_{12}$ (cm$^{-3}$.s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$J_2$ (cm$^{-3}$.s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>CS (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$CS_2$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Averages</td>
<td>19.455</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>1.531</td>
<td>$2.43 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.86 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard dev.</td>
<td>12.689</td>
<td>1.153</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>$2.06 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.53 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medians</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>$1.97 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.15 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25ile</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>$1.19 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$6.55 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75ile</td>
<td>27.69</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>1.756</td>
<td>$2.96 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.00 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: R correlation coefficients giving the relationships between NPF parameters (occurrence, GR and J2) and influencing factors (Ray, RH, T, P, CS2, CS2prop, CO, BC, CO_nuc and BC_nuc).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NPF %</th>
<th>GR12-19</th>
<th>J12</th>
<th>J2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ray</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS2prop</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO_nuc</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC_nuc</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlations have been calculated with the twelve 2015 monthly averages for each parameters. Taking a degrees-of-freedom value of 10 and a risk $\alpha = 0.05$ (95% of confidence), we obtained a lower limit value of 0.576 according to the Pearson table. The highlighted R in the table are above the limit value meaning that the variables are significantly dependent.

Appendices

Figure A1: SO2 concentration (ppb) at Maïdo station in 2015, showing the occurrence of three eruptive periods in red (17 to 30/05, 31/07 to 02/08 and 24/08 to 18/10)

Sulfur dioxide concentrations allow us to distinguish days when NPF can be affected by the presence of the volcanic plume. Based on a 1 ppb threshold, which was the 97th percentile of the series, we considered that 47 days were plume days at the Maïdo station and removed them. The eruptive periods are clearly visible on this figure.
Table A1: Meteorological parameter averages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Ray (W.m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>RH (%)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>P (hPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>212.07</td>
<td>78.77</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>787.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>202.98</td>
<td>77.17</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>788.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>215.42</td>
<td>74.11</td>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>789.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>218.26</td>
<td>66.70</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>789.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>177.43</td>
<td>72.20</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>791.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>161.91</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>790.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>205.30</td>
<td>53.78</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>792.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>200.18</td>
<td>64.46</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>791.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>278.64</td>
<td>50.02</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>791.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>289.73</td>
<td>63.55</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>791.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>248.20</td>
<td>56.26</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>789.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>223.63</td>
<td>77.10</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td>790.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yearly average</td>
<td>222.48</td>
<td>67.01</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>790.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A2: Comparison of NPF frequency, GR, J and CS values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>NPF (%)</th>
<th>GR (nm.h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>J (cm(^3).s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>CS (s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dal Maso (2002)</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Coastal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15 to 180</td>
<td>300 to 10000</td>
<td>2 ×10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koponen (2003)</td>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>Coastal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 - 2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iida (2008)</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1900 to 3000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMurry (2003)</td>
<td>Atlanta, GA</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 – 6</td>
<td>20 to 70</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venzac (2008)</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>Altitude</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ×10(^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modini (2009)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Coastal</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vakkari (2011)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Remote, Altitude, Savannah</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>2.1 to 30</td>
<td>0.1 to 28</td>
<td>4.3 ×10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boulon (2011)</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Altitude</td>
<td>35.9%</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>1.382</td>
<td>3.7 ×10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirskiko (2012)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Remote, Altitude, Savannah</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>8.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>4.5 ± 6.1</td>
<td>0.8 ×10(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose (2015)</td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>Altitude</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.4 ×10(^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our study</td>
<td>Reunion</td>
<td>Coastal, Altitude</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>GR(_{12.19} = 19.455)</td>
<td>J(_{12} = 0.931, J_2 = 1.531)</td>
<td>2.43 ×10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table is given to help in the comparison of NPF parameters as a function of the different types of environments. A few stations are listed here. The values of the present study are summarized in the last line and the closest ones
are highlighted (yellow). Reunion Island seems to present NPF characteristics of several environment types. The GRs and Js listed in the table are in a similar range to those estimated in the present study.

Figure A2: DMPS spectra for 31 January on the left and 25 March on the right. This is an evolution of the size distribution (left scale in nanometres) and of the aerosol concentration (colorimetric scale) with time (from 00 to 24 UTC).

Figure A3: Diurnal variation of CS or a) summer and b) winter.

As the CS parameter varies throughout the day, we have also chosen to show the average daily variation of CS for summer a) and for winter b). Averages were calculated on undefined (CSun), no-event (CSneve), event (CSev) and all days. Maximum of CS all and CSev are reached at 9:00 UTC for both seasons but are higher for winter (CSall = 5.1 ×10^{-3} s^{-1}; CSev = 6.9 ×10^{-3} s^{-1}) than summer (CSall = 4.5 ×10^{-3} s^{-1}; CSev = 5.2 ×10^{-3} s^{-1}). CSev really begins to
increase at 4:00 UTC for summer but 5:00 UTC for winter. This corresponds to the moment when \( \text{CS}_{\text{noev}} \) becomes lower than \( \text{CS}_{\text{ev}} \). Before these times, \( \text{CS}_{\text{noev}} \) is \( 10^{-4} \) higher than \( \text{CS}_{\text{ev}} \), implying that \( \text{CS}_{\text{noev}} \) is too large to trigger new particle formation. Consequently, and thanks to this daily representation, we assume that CS affects the new particle formation trigger for both seasons in 2015. Moreover, it can be seen that \( \text{CS}_{\text{un}} \) curves follow the \( \text{CS}_{\text{noev}} \) curves better than \( \text{CS}_{\text{ev}} \) so it is possible that no-event days were not well recognized.

Figure A4: DMPS spectra for a) April and b) June months. We can clearly observe an event number difference between the two months according to the different FNP occurrence averages which are 93.1% for April and 46.7% for June.