High-resolution sampling and analysis of ambient particulate matter in the Pearl River Delta region of Southern China: source apportionment and health risk implications
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Abstract. Hazardous air pollutants, such as trace elements in particulate matter (PM), are known or highly suspected to cause detrimental effects on human health. To understand the sources and associated risks of PM to human health, hourly time-integrated major trace elements in size-segregated coarse (PM2.5-10) and fine (PM2.5) particulate matter were collected at the industrial city of Foshan in the Pearl River Delta region, China. Receptor modeling of the dataset by positive matrix factorization (PMF) was used to identify six sources contributing to PM2.5 and PM10 concentrations at the site. Dominant sources included industrial coal combustion, secondary inorganic aerosol, motor vehicles and construction dust along with two intermittent sources (biomass combustion and marine aerosol). The biomass combustion source was found to be a significant contributor to peak PM2.5 episodes along with
motor vehicles and industrial coal combustion. Conditional probability function (CPF) analysis was applied to estimate the source locations using the PMF-resolved source contribution coupled with the surface wind direction data. Health exposure risk of hazardous trace elements (Pb, As, Si, Cr, Mn and Ni) and source-specific values were estimated. The total hazard quotient (HQ) of PM$_{2.5}$ was 2.09, higher than the acceptable limit (HQ = 1). The total carcinogenic risk (CR) was $3.37 \times 10^{-3}$ for PM$_{2.5}$, which was three times higher than the most tolerable limit ($1.0 \times 10^{-4}$). Among the selected trace elements, As and Pb posed the highest non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic risks to human health, respectively. In addition, our results show that the industrial coal combustion source is the dominant non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic risk contributor, highlighting the need for stringent control of this source. This study provides new insight for policy makers to prioritize sources in air quality management and health risk reduction.

1 Introduction

Ambient particulate matter (PM) is ubiquitously suspended in the atmosphere, which profoundly affects human health, visibility and global climate. A number of epidemiologic studies have suggested that short or long-term exposure to PM is associated with a growing risk of the respiratory and cardiac illness, and even premature mortality (Pope III et al., 2009; Dockery et al., 1993). PM mass concentration is considered as the standard metric for protecting human health. More recently, the size and chemical component of PM have been recognized as the important factors for their toxicity. For instance, Kan et al (2007) found significant associations of daily mortality with PM$_{2.5}$ (fine mode), but not with PM$_{2.5-10}$ (coarse mode) in Shanghai. Reche et al. (2012) reported higher toxicity for PM$_{1.0-2.5}$ than that of PM$_{2.5-10}$ to human cells. From the epidemiological and experimental findings, it is convincing that trace elements in the PM components appear to be important for causing both pulmonary and cardiovascular disease, such as Ni, V, Pb and Zn (Chen and Lippmann, 2009; Heal et al., 2012). Moreover, airborne particles and associated trace elements originate from various emission sources, such as motor vehicle, metallurgical industry, coal burning and soil dust, and could be emitted in a broad size range. Studies suggest that some particle sources are more harmful than others and recommend that controlling some of the specific sources of PM could be a more effective way for
protecting public health (Bell et al., 2014; Khan et al., 2016). Airborne particle health risk assessment is increasingly dependent on the source apportionment of PM using chemical component data. In particular, elemental components are often applied for PM source identification because of their atmospheric stability and source specificity (Taiwo et al., 2014; Visser et al., 2015b).

To identify the sources contributing to measured PM concentrations, multivariate receptor models were used. Positive matrix factorization (PMF) is a powerful and commonly used multivariate receptor model that is capable of resolving factors, or PM sources, without prior source knowledge. It is, however, important to note that source-specific profiles (fingerprints) must be known to properly assign the PMF model outputs. PMF has a number of advantages over traditional factor analysis techniques including non-negativity constraints and the ability to accommodate missing or below detection limit data. The results of the analysis are directly interpretable as mass contributions to PM from each source factor (Paatero and Tapper, 1994; Paatero, 1997; Song et al., 2001). A majority of PM source apportionment studies in the literature are documented at a lower temporal resolution, typically in 12-24h integrated filter samples (Pant and Harrison, 2012; Belis et al., 2013). Only limited studies have applied PMF to determine the trace element emission sources at a high time (hourly) resolution (Gao et al., 2016; Dall'Osto et al., 2013; Pancras et al., 2013; Moreno et al., 2011; Crilley et al., 2017; Visser et al., 2015a; Ancelet et al., 2012; Ancelet et al., 2014). Increasing the time resolution of measurements can capture the impact of PM concentration and personal exposure from many intermittent sources such as biomass combustion or industry emission plumes.

The subtropical Pearl River Delta (PRD) region is one of the most urbanized and industrialized areas in China. In recent years, the PRD region is facing severe particulate matter pollution and photochemical smog events (Zhang et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2014b), threatening the health of over 57 million residents (http://www.gdstats.gov.cn/tjnj/2016/directory.html). We have collected hourly samples of fine (PM$_{2.5}$) and coarse (PM$_{2.5-10}$) particulate matter at an important industrial city, Foshan, in the PRD region. Trace elements were measured by the PIXE technique, providing hourly elemental concentration in the fine and coarse PM. The average concentration, temporal and diurnal variations and effects of meteorology on the trace element concentrations have been reported in Zhou et al.(2016). In this study, we applied a PMF receptor model (EPA PMF 5.0) to characterize the PM sources in Foshan.
city utilizing the size-resolved hourly elemental data and other gas phase pollutants. In addition, we assessed the human health risk exposure to selected trace elements in PM released from specific sources, as identified by the PMF model. Our results provide valuable information for optimizing the corresponding management and control strategies of PM pollution in the PRD region and cities in other regions.

2. Sampling and analysis

2.1 Description of the sampling site

The monitoring station was located in Foshan, Guangdong Province, China (Figure 1), on top of the Foshan Environmental Monitoring Center (Foshan EMC) (Latitude 23.0025°; Longitude 113.1035°, approximately 35 m above ground level). Foshan is one of the most important manufacturing hubs in China, characterized by the ceramics industry and household appliance industry, and produces ~15% of China’s home appliance and ~30% of the world’s ceramics (Guo et al., 2011). Adjacent to the monitoring site is a continuous sampling system measuring NOx (Advanced Pollution Instrumentation (API), model 200E), CO (API, Model 300E), SO2 (API, model 100E), O3 (API, Model 300E), and PM2.5 and PM10 (Thermo Scientific, model FH62C14), operated by the Foshan Environmental Monitoring Center. The station is surrounded by residential buildings and business offices on flat terrain. Meteorological parameters, including wind speed (WS), wind direction (WD), temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), rainfall were obtained from Foshan Meteorological Bureau. The Meteorological station is situated in the Foshan No. 1 middle school, about 5 km north of Foshan EMC. The time resolution for the meteorological data is 10 minutes.

2.2 Sampling of aerosol

Hourly time-integrated samples of size-segregated coarse (PM2.5-10) and fine (PM2.5) PM samples were collected using a modified Streaker sampler (PIXE International Corporation, USA). The Streaker sampler has previously been described in detail (Annegarn et al., 1988), and used in a number of studies (Annegarn et al., 1992; Annegarn et al., 1996; Filippi et al., 1999; D'Alessandro et al., 2004). The Streaker sampler system used in this study is described in Zhou et al.(2016). Briefly, the Streaker sampler consists of a pre-impactor that removes particles larger than PM10 from the incoming air flow, a
thin Kapton foil that collects coarse particles through impaction and a Nucleopore filter (0.4 µm pore size) that collects fine particles. An electronic control system regulates the Streaker sampler pneumatics mass flow (1 litre per minute) and stepper motor rotation of the filter between each user-defined sampling period. The hourly samples were collected with discrete spacing between each deposit to ensure that each deposit only consisted of particulate matter collected during the intended hour. A total of 60 samples or 60 hours can be collected on each filter.

The high-resolution particulate matter sampling system was installed on the roof of Foshan Environmental Monitoring Centre. The sampler was set to collect hourly samples beginning at 12:00 24/10/2014 and ending at 10:00 14/12/2014. A total of 47 samples or two days were collected per filter (coarse and fine) with the sampling ending at 11:00 am (47 hours later), allowing an hour to change over filters before the sampling was restarted again at 12:00. In all, 1127 samples each of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{2.5-10}$ were collected representing 1127 hours or seven weeks of sampling.

2.3 Sample analysis

Ion beam analysis (IBA) was used to measure the concentrations of elements with atomic numbers above neon in the PM samples. The IBA was performed using a 3MeV accelerator proton beam with standards (SrF$_2$, NaCl, Cr, Ni, SiO, KCl, Al) run before and after each analytical cycle. Spectral X-ray peak deconvolution was performed using Gupix software (Maxwell et al., 1995). The number of pulses (counts) in each peak for a given element is used by the Gupix software to calculate the concentration of that element. The background and neighbouring elements determine the statistical error and the limit of detection. Note that Gupix provides a specific statistical error and limit of detection (LOD) for each element in each PM sample and these have been used to provide the uncertainty matrix using in the PMF analysis. IBA measurements were carried out at the New Zealand National Isotope Centre operated by the Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences (GNS) in Gracefield, Lower Hutt, New Zealand (Trompetter et al., 2005). Further details on the IBA techniques used, analytical uncertainties and limits of detection have been reported previously (Ancelet et al., 2012b). Black carbon was measured using a M43D Digital Smoke Stain Reflectometer (Ancelet et al., 2011).

2.4 Receptor modeling using PMF
Receptor modeling and apportionment of PM mass by PMF was performed using the EPAPM chest version 5.0.14 program in accordance with the User's Guide (USEPA, 2015). With PMF, sources are constrained to have non-negative species concentrations, no sample can have a negative source contribution and error estimates for each observed point are used as point-by-point weights. This is a distinct advantage of PMF, since it can accommodate missing or below detection limit data that is a common feature of environmental monitoring (Song et al., 2001). Another advantage of PMF is that PM mass concentrations can be included in the model as another variable and the results are directly interpretable as the covariant PM mass contributions associated with each factor (source). Prior to the PMF analyses, data and uncertainty matrices were prepared in the same manner as previous studies (Polissar et al., 1998; Song et al., 2001). Data screening and the source apportionment were performed in accordance to the protocols and recommendations set out by (Paatero et al., 2014; Brown et al., 2015). Due to the effect that random analytical noise can have on the receptor modeling process, variables with low signal-to-noise ratios were examined by alternate inclusion and exclusion in a modelling run and only those variables that could be explained in association with source emissions were included in the final results (Paatero and Hopke, 2003).

2.5 Conditional probability function (CPF)

In order to locate the local sources and estimate the wind direction impacts on each source identified using PMF, the CPF method was applied. The CPF calculates the probability that a source is located with a particular wind direction sector (Pekney et al., 2006). The probability that a source originates from a given wind direction is estimated by comparing the wind direction distribution for the upper 25 % (or 75 percentile) of source contributions relative to the total wind direction distribution in this study:

\[
P F_{\Delta \theta} = \frac{m_{\Delta \theta}}{n_{\Delta \theta}}
\]

Where:

\[m_{\Delta \theta} : \text{Number of occurrences from wind sector } \Delta \theta \text{ for the upper 25% of concentrations.}\]
\( n_{\theta} \) : Total number of occurrences from the same wind sector.

Using the high temporal resolution data, bivariate polar plots were generated with the R statistical and Openair software packages (Team, 2011; Carslaw and Ropkins, 2011). Using bivariate polar plots, source concentrations can be shown as a function of both wind speed and direction, providing invaluable information about the direction of potential sources and the influence of wind speed on concentrations. In this study we produced bivariate polar plots using the conditional probability function analysis (CPF), to identify the directions from which high source concentrations are likely to originate. A full description of CPF analysis can be found in Carslaw and Ropkins (2012).

2.6 Human health risk assessment

Among the detected elements, As, Pb, Mn, Si and Cr were identified of non-carcinogenic risks; while inorganic As, Pb and Ni were considered carcinogenic (USEPA, 2017). The reference levels and cancer risk slopes for Cr vary with its valences (e.g., Cr(VI) and Cr(III)) and exposure pathways (e.g., oral intake, inhalation, etc.). However, neither reference concentration (Rfc) nor cancer risk slope for inhalation of Cr(III) are available in Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), so we assumed that the total Cr in our study was Cr(VI), the Rfc and cancer risk slope of which are provided in IRIS (USEPA, 2017).

The adjusted air concentrations \( C_{\text{air-adj}} \) for the toxic elements contained in PM\(_{2.5}\) was calculated from Equation (1), modified based on the equations in the risk assessment guidance (USEPA, 1989, 2010).

\[
C_{\text{air-adj}} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{24}(C_{\text{air}} \times ET_{i}) \times (1\,\text{day}/24\,\text{hours}) \times ED \times EF \times AT \times 1000}{AT \times 1000} \tag{1}
\]

where: \( C_{\text{air}} \) is the hourly ambient trace elements concentration detected in PM\(_{2.5}\) (\(\mu g\,m^{-3}\)); \( ET_{i} \) is the exposure time (1 hours/day); \( ED \) is the exposure duration (6 years for children and 30 years for adults); \( EF \) is exposure frequency (350 days/year in this study); \( AT \) is the averaging time (30 years \(\times\) 365 days/year for non-carcinogens; 75 years \(\times\) 365 days/year for carcinogens) (Huang et al., 2014a; 2016).

The obtained adjusted air concentrations of the toxic trace elements were subsequently divided by
their respiratory reference concentrations (RfCₘ) to yield the respective hazard quotient (HQ) (USEPA, 2017). Hazard index (HI) was produced by summing up all the hazard quotients (HQs) based on the hypothesis that the adverse effect is proportional to the sum of hazard quotients (HQs). HI less than 1 indicated no significant adverse non-carcinogenic effect.

For the carcinogenic risks assessment, the obtained adjusted air concentrations of the toxic elements of cancer risks from Equation (1) were multiplied by their slope factors to calculate the carcinogenic risks (CRs) (USEPA, 2017). The carcinogenic risk refers to a person’s chance of developing cancer from the exposure to any carcinogenic agent. CR equal to $1 \times 10^{-4}$ is considered as the most tolerable level, above which indicating significant cancer risk.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Concentrations of PM₂.₅ and PM₁₀ and mass closure analysis

The hourly variations of PM₂.₅ and PM₁₀ mass concentrations during the observation are displayed in Figure S1. The PM₂.₅ and PM₁₀ concentrations varied from ~8 to ~270 μg m⁻³ and ~16 to 363 μg m⁻³, with an average value of 62.5 ± 33.5 μg m⁻³ and 91.6 ± 47.2 μg m⁻³, respectively. Around 25% PM₂.₅ mass concentrations exceeded the second grade of new issued National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS, GB 3095-2012) of China, with daily average value of 75 μg m⁻³. In addition, the mean ratio of PM₂.₅/PM₁₀ was estimated to be about 0.67, which is consistent with the ratio reported by the previous studies in the Pearl River Delta region, North China Plan and Yangtze River Delta region (Wang et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2004; Hu et al., 2014). This result indicated that fine particles, PM₂.₅, accounted for a majority of PM₁₀.

In order to compare the relationship between the range of analytes measured in PM and the total PM mass, a mass closure approach was used. Ideally, when elemental analysis and organic compound analysis has been undertaken on the same sample one can reconstruct the mass using the following general equation for ambient samples as a first approximation (Cahill et al., 1989; Cohen, 1999; Malm et al., 1994):

Reconstructed mass = [Soil] + [OM] + [BC] + [Smoke] + [Sulphate] + [Seasalt] (3.1)

where:
[Soil] = 2.20[Al] + 2.49[Si] + 1.63[Ca] + 2.42[Fe] + 1.94[Ti]

[OM] = Σ[Concentrations of organic compounds]

[BC] = Concentration of black carbon (soot)

[Smoke] = [K] − 0.6[Fe]

5 [Seasalt] = 2.54[Na]

[Sulphate] = 4.125[S]

The reconstructed mass (RCM) is based on the fact that the six composite variables or ‘pseudo’ sources given in equation 3.1 are generally the major contributors to fine and coarse particle mass and are based on geochemical principles and constraints. As a measure of [OM] was not available in this study, it was assumed that it composed part of the ‘remaining mass’ (the difference between RCM and gravimetric mass) that includes water and nitrates as major components (Cahill et al., 1989). As Na was below the LOD across most of the samples, the reciprocal calculation of [Seasalt] = 1.65[Cl] was substituted, though care was taken with the interpretation of this pseudo source due to the potential for Cl loss by volatilisation from aerosol (or from filters) in the presence of acidic aerosol species, particularly in the fine fraction (Lee, et al. 1999; Chen et al, 2016). Most fine sulphate particles are the result of oxidation of SO₂ gas to sulphate particles in the atmosphere (Malm et al., 1994). For RCM is assumed that sulphate is present in fully neutralised form as ammonium sulphate. [Sulphate] therefore represents the ammonium sulphate contribution to aerosol mass with the multiplicative factor of 4.125[S] to account for ammonium ion and oxygen mass (i.e. (NH₄)₂SO₄ = ((14 + 4)×2 + 32 + (16×4)/32)) (Cahill et al., 1989; Cohen, 1999; Malm et al., 1994).

The RCM and mass closure calculations using the pseudo-source and pseudo-element approach are a useful way to examine initial relationships in the data and how the measured mass of species in samples compares to total PM mass, an important consideration for receptor modelling studies. Figure 2 indicates that the RCM of measured elements accounts for approximately 30% of total mass for both PM₂.₅ and PM₁₀ and that there was significant correlation (r² = 0.64 and 0.69 for PM₂.₅ and PM₁₀ respectively), which indicates that the unmeasured PM components (OM, nitrate, bound H₂O) are likely to be strongly associated with those species that were measured. Analysis of the data showed that most of the remaining mass (RM) for PM₁₀ was in fact PM₂.₅, as would be expected for such aerosol species
as OM (including secondary organic aerosol) and nitrate. Therefore, an extra variable was calculated, $\text{RM}_{\text{PM2.5}}$ (where $\text{RM}_{\text{PM2.5}} = \text{PM}_{2.5} - \text{RCM}_{\text{PM2.5}}$) to include in the PMF analysis as a placeholder for the unmeasured components, an approach that has been successfully applied in other studies (Belis et al., 2013). The advantage of such an approach is that all of PM mass is accounted for in the PMF model. However, the limitation is that it still does not define exactly what aerosol species that the $\text{RM}_{\text{PM2.5}}$ variable includes, although some inferences can be made depending on the source association.

### 3.2 Sources identification and apportionment of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ during the observation

Using the combined fine and coarse PM elemental datasets along with the gaseous data from the Foshan EMS, PMF was used to identify six factors or sources contributing to PM concentrations during the monitoring period. PMF modeling diagnostics and other parameters are detailed in the supplementary material. Multiple PMF model runs were performed choosing fewer and more factors to examine the effect on modelling diagnostics and interpretability of the source profiles coupled with the advantage of high-resolution data to examine the diurnal concentration variations. The final six-factor solution adopted included an FPEAK rotation (−3, $\%dQ_{\text{Robust}} = 2.91$) that provided a good separation of the minor marine aerosol source as evidenced by the FPEAK Bootstrapping results. Note that the gaseous components (SO$_2$, NO$_2$, and CO) have been included to aid with source identification and to examine those PM emission sources or secondary aerosol sources they are closely associated (covariant) with. This method has been also adopted by the previous studies, and proved to enhance the resolving power of source apportionment studies (Zhou et al 2005; Liu et al 2006). The sources identified were marine aerosol, biomass combustion, construction dust, motor vehicles and road dust, secondary inorganic aerosol, and industrial coal combustion. These sources were found to explain 89% and 91% of the PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ mass, respectively (Figure S3). The factor profiles are presented in Fig. 3 where elements in the coarse fraction are denoted as X-C (e.g. Al-C). The first factor represents biomass combustion because of the presence of BC, K and fine Cl as primary species along with Zn, which is consistent with previous studies and represents the burning of wood or plant material (Maenhaut, 2017; Ancelet et al., 2012). Motor vehicles and road dust were identified as the source of the second factor based on the presence of NO$_2$, BC and crustal matter components Al, Si, Ca, Fe and Zn from the coarse fraction as the significant elements in the profile. This profile represents both exhaust (tailpipe)
emissions and non-exhaust (road dust and brake and tire wear) emissions, hence the combination of coarse and fine elemental species and the higher contribution of the source to PM$_{10}$ concentrations relative to PM$_{2.5}$. Ambient source profiles derived for motor vehicles generally include particulate matter from all engine types as emissions tend to be co-mingled by turbulent air movement at street level due to road traffic and are therefore temporally and spatially covariant (Amato et al., 2009; Pant and Harrison, 2013). The third source contains most of the black carbon, a substantial amount of CO, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, S and fine fraction heavy metals (Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn, As, Pb) and has been attributed to coal combustion which is likely to include coal-fired power station emissions that are probably mixed with industrial process emissions (Song et al., 2007; Tian et al., 2014). The fourth factor contains the majority of both coarse and fine S which dominates the elemental mass and is considered to represent the secondary inorganic aerosol component from gas-to-particle transformation in the atmosphere. Vanadium and nickel were also associated with this source suggesting contributing source emissions from the combustion of sulfur containing fuels such as heavy fuel oil or oil refinery emissions (Querol et al., 2008; Maenhaut, 2017). Secondary aerosols are primarily fine particles due to the gas-to-particle conversion process but some of the particle size range does extend into the coarse fraction (Anlauf et al., 2006), particularly where heterogeneous atmospheric chemistry takes place on the surface of particles or in aerosol droplets during the reaction of sulfur gaseous species to form secondary sulfate particle species (George and Abbatt, 2010). The fifth factor, construction dust, with a high Ca loading in the profile along with crustal matter components (Al, Si, Fe) in both the fine and coarse fractions has been attributed to activities that generate cementitious (hence the high Ca content) and crustal matter dusts in the area such as construction/demolition of buildings and other structures (i.e. cement mixing, concrete pouring, concrete cutting or drilling, and soil excavation), which significantly distinguishes the source from that which might be associated with motor vehicle/road dust emissions (Owega et al., 2004; Chueinta et al., 2000; Maenhaut, 2017). The sixth source was characterized as marine aerosol due to the predominance of Cl-C. Interestingly, as indicated in Figure 3 most of the calculated variable, RMPM$_{2.5}$ (derived in Section 3.1), was associated with the motor vehicle and biomass combustion sources (45% and 17%) respectively (Figure S4), most likely indicating the association of OC with these sources (Querol et al., 2013; Pósfai et al., 2004), and the remainder of RMPM$_{2.5}$ (36%) was associated with the
secondary aerosol source and is probably indicative of secondary nitrate and secondary organic aerosol concentrations (Huang et al. 2011; Freney et al., 2014).

Average source contributions to particulate mass concentrations are presented in Fig. 4 which shows that industrial coal combustion (26%, 16.0 μg m\(^{-3}\)), secondary inorganic aerosol (24%, 14.8 μg m\(^{-3}\)) and motor vehicle emissions (20%, 12.5 μg m\(^{-3}\)) dominated PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations during the monitoring period. Mass contributions to PM\(_{10}\) from coal combustion and secondary inorganic aerosol were essentially unchanged from the fine fraction (16.8 μg m\(^{-3}\) and 16.9 μg m\(^{-3}\) respectively) while the contributions from motor vehicles (30.2 μg m\(^{-3}\)) and construction dust (15.1 μg m\(^{-3}\)) increased due to the significant coarse particle component associated with these latter sources.

When considering the gases, SO\(_2\) was strongly associated with the industrial coal combustion source. While the majority of NO\(_2\) was split between motor vehicles and the industrial coal combustion source. Interestingly CO was primarily associated with the industrial coal combustion and secondary inorganic aerosol sources. CO, NO\(_x\) and SO\(_2\) emissions are associated with the light-duty motor vehicles (i.e., petrol vehicles), which emit few particles directly. The petrol vehicles as well as coal combustion emitted NO\(_x\) and SO\(_2\) would transform to nitrate and sulfate particles through chemical reactions. The association of CO with secondary inorganic aerosol is explained by co-emission of CO with the gaseous combustion product precursors (e.g. SO\(_2\), NO\(_x\)) of secondary inorganic aerosol and they are therefore present (covariant) in the same air mass. Diesel-powered vehicles produce very little CO in the first place (Rhys-Tyler et al. 2011). It is assumed that diesel vehicle tailpipe emissions are primarily responsible for motor vehicle related PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations in the Foshan urban airshed, consistent with international research (Kirchstetter et al. 2008, Kim et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2012, Targino et al. 2016). Therefore, CO is not associated with motor vehicle emitted particulate matters (primary aerosol). Also included in the source contribution graphs (Fig. 4) is the remaining mass (i.e. measured PM mass – predicted PM mass by receptor model). The time-series plots presented in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 for PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) respectively show that the biomass burning and marine aerosol sources were episodic and that the concentrations of the motor vehicles and construction dust sources increased in PM\(_{10}\) due to the coarse particle content.

Peak PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) episodes were associated with motor vehicle and coal combustion
particularly when PM from biomass combustion was also a significant contributor. This suggests that biomass combustion sources were additive over the other sources and forced the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to extreme highs. Analysis of satellite imagery (MODIS firespot) indicates that biomass combustion activities were outside of the region to the north and northeast of Foshan and were advected over the city during the peak PM pollution events (Figure S2). High concentrations of potassium were also detected during the biomass burning episodes (Zhou et al, 2016). It is most likely that the biomass combustion source is associated with agricultural burn-off around Foshan. The time averaged daily patterns in source concentrations were examined and as shown in Fig. 7a, the industrial coal combustion source concentrations were generally higher at night, perhaps due to a stable nocturnal boundary layer/mixing height effect. The construction dust concentrations (Fig. 7b) were significantly higher during the day reflecting the pattern of day-time activities generating the dusts. The motor vehicles and road dust sources (Fig. 7c) showed a bimodal pattern with peaks in the morning and evening rush hours, which ascribed to the morning and evening commuter traffics and lower boundary layer. We could see the distinguishing diurnal variations between motor vehicle/road dust and construction dust sources.

The secondary inorganic aerosol source (Fig. 7d) demonstrated slightly higher concentrations at night than those at daytime. Precursor gaseous emissions are oxidized via various gas or aqueous pathways and then converted to sulfate aerosol (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Sun et al, 2014). However, the impacts of changes in the boundary layer which would tend to build up the air pollutants during the nighttime. This is also consistent with the fact that there are a considerable amount of precursor gas emissions from upwind regions and that processing has already occurred there, or while the parcel has undergone dynamical transport. This implies that there is a higher chance of being mixed throughout the boundary layer and lower troposphere as well and therefore is not as sensitive with respect to a ground-based measurement as more local emissions. The marine aerosol and biomass combustion sources are not shown in Fig. 7 due to their episodic nature.

Fig. 8 presents bivariate CPF polar plots for the source contributions to PM. Fig. 8a shows that the highest 25% of industrial coal combustion source contributions originated from the west to northwest quadrants under light winds (1 – 3 m s$^{-1}$).

A highly resolved spatial anthropogenic emission inventory for PRD was conducted by South
China University of Technology for the base year 2012 (Yin et al., 2017). The data shows that the highest density of PM$_{2.5}$ combustion sources (including power plants and industrial combustion) and industrial processes emissions were concentrated within 30 km to the west and northwest of the Foshan EMC as presented in Fig. S5. Three other large coal fired power stations were also located approximately 20 km to the west-southwest of the monitoring site.

Peak marine aerosol (Fig. 8b) arrived at the site from the southeast at higher wind speeds ($5 – 7$ m s$^{-1}$) in the direction of the South China Sea. Secondary inorganic aerosol (Fig. 8c) came from the north-northeast direction at moderate wind speeds ($3 – 5$ m s$^{-1}$) with a smaller component from the south-southeast. Construction dusts (Fig. 8d) were highest during low to moderate wind speeds out of the northeast sector, arriving from the direction of the Foshan city centre where the majority of construction activities were occurring. The upper 25% of biomass combustion concentrations (Fig. 8e) were from the northwest sector. It is likely that the biomass combustion emission sources were intermittent and regional, related to agricultural activities or possibly wildfire. Peak motor vehicle source concentrations (Fig. 8f) occurred during south-southwest winds. The PM sampler was located 35m above Fen Jiang Nan Road, which an arterial route with six lanes running north-south past the monitoring site. The CPF result suggests that winds from the south-southwest were most effective in transporting roadway emissions to the sampler.

### 3.3 Health exposure risk of PM$_{2.5}$ elements

#### 3.3.1 PM$_{2.5}$ elements human health assessment

Although none of the individual HQ values (As: 0.88, Mn: 0.54, Si: 0.29, Pb: 0.27, Cr: 0.09) for the identified toxic elements exceed 1, the HI value (sum of their HQs) was 2.09, much higher than the safe level (HQ $=1$). This indicates significant non-carcinogenic risks. Arsenic was observed to have the highest risk, followed by Mn, Pb, Si and Cr (Figure 9 (a)). The non-carcinogenic HI did not show a distinct diurnal pattern (Figure 10 (a)).

On the other hand, the total CR of the carcinogenic elements was $3.37 \times 10^{-4}$, higher than the most tolerable level of $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for adults. Pb ($3.30 \times 10^{-4}$) was found to be the most risky element (Figure 9(b)). In addition, the CRs of Cr and As were $4.51 \times 10^{-5}$ and $2.27 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively, exceeding the middle tolerable cancer risk level ($1.0 \times 10^{-5}$), and the CR of Ni was $8.71 \times 10^{-7}$, lower than the most
acceptable level \((1.0 \times 10^{-6})\). The predominant contribution of Pb to the cancer risk could exactly explain why the diurnal variation of carcinogenic risk was in response to the pattern of Pb ambient air detected concentrations (Figure 10). From the source apportionment results, lead was mainly emitted from industrial coal combustion (91.5%), and slightly from biomass burning (8.5%). Around 8:00 AM in the morning was found to be the most risky time period per day (Figure 10).

3.3.2 Health risk assessment of resolved PM sources

Based on PMF source apportionment results and the contribution of each toxic element to the non-carcinogenic/carcinogenic risks, the industrial coal combustion source was identified as the largest non-carcinogenic risk contributor (Figure 11(a)). Construction dust and secondary inorganic aerosol were also important sources (Figure 11(a)). For the carcinogenic risks, industrial coal combustion was found the largest contributor, followed by biomass burning sources. Similar findings were reported by Khan et al (2016) in the tropical environment in Malaysia. Secondary inorganic aerosols contributed approximately 25% of the PM\(_{2.5}\) mass, but they posed little carcinogenic risk. The other three sources (i.e., construction dust, motor vehicles and road dust, and marine aerosol) also contributed generally low to CR.

From the perspective of human health effects, industrial coal combustion has been identified as the most important emission source. We also found that it contributed the most to PM\(_{2.5}\) mass from the source apportionment results (section 3.2). Therefore, controlling industrial coal combustion sources achieves the benefit of reducing both PM\(_{2.5}\) mass concentration and human health risks.

The CPF analysis indicated that the highest health risks of PM\(_{2.5}\) came from north and northwest directions (Figure 12). As stated in section 3.2, there are a large number of industrial activities in the north and northwest directions including ceramic industry and coal combustion. Foshan is an industrial city famous in ceramic industry and manufacturing industry (Wan et al., 2011; Tan et al., 2014). The emissions of large quantities of air pollutants from the above-mentioned industries resulted in deteriorating air quality in Foshan, a city which always ranked among cities with the heaviest air pollution in the PRD region. Since 2007, the Foshan government has launched a series of policy measures to improve the local air quality. The policies are effective in the reduction of PM concentrations, especially in the anthropogenic elements and water soluble ions (Tan et al, 2016).
However, our results showed that more efforts are needed to further protect human health.

4 Conclusions

In this study, hourly time-resolved major trace elemental composition in coarse (PM$_{2.5-10}$) and fine (PM$_{2.5}$) particulate matter were measured during autumn 2014 at an industrial city Foshan, in the Pearl River Delta region. PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ mass concentration and some of the gas phase pollutants were concurrently recorded using commercial continuous PM and gases monitors. The results showed that PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ displayed drastic variations with the highest hourly averaged concentration over 250 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ and 350 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively, suggesting the severe PM pollution in autumn/winter seasons at Foshan city. Source apportionment of PM using positive matrix factorization on the hourly data revealed six PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ sources: industrial coal combustion, secondary inorganic aerosol, motor vehicles and road dust, construction dust, biomass combustion and marine aerosol. Using the hourly resolution elemental data, we improved the source apportionment of PM, especially for the sources with notably temporal variation, such as biomass burning. We found that the industrial coal combustion sources were the largest contributor to PM$_{2.5}$, while motor vehicles and road dust sources had the highest contribution to PM$_{10}$. Additionally, biomass combustion was observed to additively contribute to PM$_{2.5}$ levels resulting in the highest PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations measured during the monitoring period. Control of regional biomass combustion activities may be one option for preventing extreme PM$_{2.5}$ events and the associated health burden.

Based on the PMF-resolved sources, the health risks posed by selected trace elements (Pb, As, Si, Cr, Mn and Ni) in PM$_{2.5}$ via inhalation exposure were assessed. The results indicated that As and Pb posed the highest non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic risks to human health, respectively. Industrial coal combustion was the dominant source responsible for human health impacts, contributing 66.8% of the hazard index and 92% of the cancer risks. CPF results indicated high health risks in the north and northwest directions, which was assigned to the intensive industrial activities such as coal burning and manufacturing industry. Therefore, controlling industrial coal combustion sources will result in reducing both PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration and health risk. This study utilized the hourly measured elemental components combined with PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ and gas pollutants, and provided valuable information on PM
sources identification and control. The source-risk apportionment method helps decision makers to manage air quality more effectively.
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Figure 1. Location of sampling site at Foshan City in the PRD Region of Southern China.
**Figure 2.** Elemental reconstructed mass versus BAM measured PM mass for (a) PM$_{2.5}$ and (b) PM$_{10}$ at the Foshan site. BAM stands for Beta Attenuation Monitor.
Figure 3. Factor profiles for sources at Foshan EMC showing the concentrations of PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, SO$_2$, NO$_2$ (all in µg m$^{-3}$) and CO (in mg m$^{-3}$ × 10). (The error bars are the 5th and 95th percentiles generated from EPAPMF diagnostics).
Figure 4. Source contributions to PM at Foshan showing the average concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ (in µg m$^{-3}$) during the monitoring period.
Figure 5. Time series for source contributions to PM$_{2.5}$ at Foshan EMC during the monitoring period.
Figure 6. Time series for source contributions to PM$_{10}$ at Foshan EMC during the monitoring period.
Figure 7. Diurnal patterns in source contributions to PM at Foshan EMC during the monitoring period (The shaded area is the 5th and 95th confidence interval of the calculated mean).
Figure 8. CPF bivariate polar plots for source concentrations at Foshan.
Figure 9. (a) average contribution percentage of selected trace elements to Hazard Index (HI) and (b) average contribution percentage of selected trace elements to total carcinogenic risk (CR).
Figure 10. Diurnal variations of HI and CR from selected trace elements in PM$_{2.5}$ in Foshan City. Shaded areas represent the 95% confidence intervals.
Figure 11. Daily variation of average contribution of the identified sources to health risks over the observation periods.
Figure 12. CPF analysis polar plots of (a) Hazard Index and (b) total carcinogenic risk at Foshan City. The center of each plot represents a wind speed of zero with increasing radially outward. The HI and CR probability is shown by the color scale.