Interactive comment on “Revising global ozone dry deposition estimates based on a new mechanistic parameterisation for air-sea exchange and the multi-year MACC composition reanalysis” by Ashok K. Luhar et al.

L.J. Carpenter
ljc4@york.ac.uk

Received and published: 20 October 2017

I enjoyed reading this paper which carefully lays out improvements to the authors’ previous oceanic O3 dry deposition formulation by including chemical reactivity below the reaction-diffusion sublayer. I have a question which I don’t think any of the reviewers raise, on the reaction-diffusion sublayer thickness: how were the values of the constant c0 chosen?