Responses to the Comments of Reviewer 1

(1) This study investigated the OH-oxidation of a-pinene ozonolysis products by reporting the mass yields and elemental composition of SOA produced. The main finding of this study is that OH-oxidation of a-pinene ozonolysis products that is equivalent of 2-4 days of atmospheric OH exposure leads to 20-40% net increase in the SOA yields and an increase in the aerosol O:C ratio by up to 0.04. While highlighting the importance of multi-generation aging in the atmospheric evolution of SOA, a topic that has been extensively studied previously, this finding alone, however, does not warranty the scientific significance and publication of this manuscript on ACP. Substantial revisions are needed, as described in the individual points below.

We address the various comments of the reviewer below. Our responses and corresponding changes in the paper follow each comment.

General:

(2) To what extent the experimental observations that 20-40% increase in SOA yields by further OH-oxidation could be applied to the actual atmospheric conditions? The authors used HONO as the OH precursor, which means that hundreds of ppbv levels of NO were present in the experiments conducted. Such an experimental condition is quite different from what is in the atmosphere, where most monoterpene emissions are from remote regions that encounter low NO air masses (e.g., a few ppb or less). As we know, NO significantly alters the VOCs oxidation mechanisms primarily by reacting with RO$_2$ radicals, leading to vastly different product distributions from those observed in the absence of NO, a chemical regime where RO$_2$+HO$_2$ and RO$_2$+RO$_2$ reactions dominate the fate of RO$_2$ radicals. That said, the experimental results presented in this study only represent a barely-seen scenario in the atmosphere and does not have any atmospheric relevance. The authors are suggested to conduct ‘low-NO$_x$’ experiments as well where H$_2$O$_2$, for example, can be used as the OH precursor. The observed increase in SOA yields, together with the high-NO experiments, can be used as upper and lower limits for the a-pinene SOA aging in the atmosphere.

Fundamentally, the issue of “atmospheric relevance” is one of whether the elementary chemical reactions occurring in the experimental system are the same as in the atmosphere. In this case, the question is the fate of peroxy radicals (RO$_2$). The chemical meaning of “high-NO$_x$” is “high-NO” in the sense that the dominant fate of RO$_2$ radicals is to react with NO. Therefore, by working at relatively high NO concentrations, we isolate the high-NO$_x$ pathway for the aging reactions. This is the same as experiments using H$_2$O$_2$ photolysis, which typically have extremely high H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations and thus a large flux through the reaction OH + H$_2$O$_2$ → H$_2$O + HO$_2$. Those experiments tend to isolate the RO$_2$ + HO$_2$ pathway. In general, it is an error to conflate “atmospherically relevant” with “atmospheric concentrations,” though it is important to establish that the rate-limiting chemistry is relevant to the atmosphere. We have added the above important point in the revised paper.
(2) The methodology of this study, including the chamber experimental approach, SOA yield measurements corrected by particle wall loss, O:C ratio calculations by AMS measurements, has been widely used in the community for decades. The main result of this study, i.e., SOA yields increase by 20%-40% upon OH-oxidation aging, is insufficient to warranty publication of this manuscript. The authors should endeavor to explore more detailed mechanisms involved in the a-pinene SOA aging by thoroughly analyzing the AMS data, e.g., What functionalities/products have changed and contributed to SOA production during aging? Any indication of PMF analysis, for example, on the key processes involved in the chemical aging?

PMF analysis works by separating the AMS-measured mass spectra into individual factors, and comparing those factors to existing libraries of mass spectra for the purpose of identifying potential sources for the SOA components. It is mostly used on ambient measurements where the sources for the OA are uncertain, while in these chamber experiments, we know that the SOA is formed via monoterpene oxidation. Application of this technique usually results in two or three factors with the fresh, aged and may be an intermediate product. While there could be useful information in this analysis it rarely provides the necessary chemical insights in cases where the changes in the spectra are relatively small like here.

In an attempt to explore the functionalities/products that may have changed during aging, we used the AMS high resolution family analysis. Each fitted ion is grouped into a “family” based on their chemical formula, and the families used are: CH, CHO, CHO₂, Cₓ, HO, and NO. These are the main components of the organics formed, with family HO calculated by subtracting the other families from the total organic signals, because sulfate can fragment into water and thus interfere with family HO. We used family NO to represent the organonitrate compounds formed during the aging step.

Based on the results for example of Experiments 1 and 2, the less oxidized ion family CH decreased around 10% during the aging process (from 41.9% to 38.1% of the OA in Exp. 1 and from 40.5% to 35.3% in Exp. 2) while the more oxidized components CHO₂ increased 4% in Exp. 1 (from 12.8 to 13.3%) and 16% in Exp. 2 (from 14.9% to 17.3%). The changes in the family CHO were +4% in Exp. 1 and -6% in Exp. 2 suggesting that there is both production and destruction of the corresponding family members. The organonitrate compounds as expected were close to zero initially in these experiments. In the end of the aging process the NO family represent 3-3.5% of the organic aerosol. There were less than 1% Cₓ formed during the course of both experiments and their contribution stayed constant. This information has been added to the manuscript.

CO₂⁺ (m/z 44) from family CHO₂ and C₂H₃O⁺ (m/z 43) from family CHO are usually identified in aged and relatively fresh aerosols, respectively. Their fractions of the total organics, \( f_{44} \) and \( f_{43} \), have been used as chemical indicators in chamber experiments (Donahue et al., 2012). During the dark ozonolysis period of Exp. 1, the \( f_{43} \) increased initially and stayed practically constant after t=0.2 h while \( f_{44} \) decreased. This indicates the majority of the SOA formed initially was fresh. After the first introduction of OH, both \( f_{43} \) and \( f_{44} \) showed a stepwise increase, indicating formation of relatively fresh SOA and oxidation of the SOA. After the second introduction of OH,
the \( f_{43} \) decreased while \( f_{44} \) increased over time until the end of the experiment, indicating the formed SOA was getting more oxidized with aging. During Exp. 2, the \( f_{43} \) increased sharply initially and then slowly decreased over the dark ozonolysis period. This is consistent with the “ripening” effect observed during the MUCHACHAS campaign (Donahue et al., 2012). Overall, \( f_{43} \) decreased while \( f_{44} \) increased over the course of Exp. 2, indicating formed SOA being oxidized during aging. The above analysis has been added to the revised paper and the corresponding figures have been added to the Supplementary Information.

Specific:

(3) Page 2, Line 35-36: There have been a number of studies investigating the SOA aging processes using both static chamber and flow tube reactors (e.g., Robinson et al., Science, 2007; Loza et al., ACP, 2012; Lambe et al., ES&T, 2012). The authors need to revise the statement ‘Most laboratory studies of SOA formation so far have focused on the ...’
We have revised the corresponding sentence noting that the early SOA studies focused on the first stage of reactions.

(4) Page 3, Line 64: References need to be given here.
We have the corresponding three references at this point.

(5) Page 6, Line 154: What is the particle size range measured by SMPS?
The SMPS was set to measure particles from 15-700 nm for the experiments in this work. This information has been added to the paper.

(6) Page 6, Line 171: The OH radical molar yield from ozonolysis of a-pinene is roughly 0.7. So technically, the measured SOA yield at first stage is already a result of certain OH aging. Have the authors estimated the fraction of a-pinene that was oxidized by OH radical in the ozonolysis experiments? Have the authors considered adding any OH scavengers (e.g., \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), CO, methanol) in the first stage of the experiments?
This is a good point. OH scavengers cannot be used in the first phase of these aging experiments, because they will react with the OH in the second phase and will not allow the chemical aging to take place. As a result, the first phase of the experiment includes reactions of the precursor and to a limited extent of the first generation products with OH. We have used a kinetic box model of the system to estimate the extent of these reactions. Assuming a molar yield of 0.7 for a-pinene ozonolysis the model predicts that a little more than one third of the a-pinene reacted with OH in these experiments. Please note that these two reactions also take place together in the ambient atmosphere. This information has been added to the revised manuscript.

(7) Page 7, Line 205: The assumption that the particle loss rate in the 300-600 nm range is the same potentially leads to underestimation of the corrected SOA yields, as bigger particles have higher wall loss rate due to gravity deposition.
Gravitational settling predominantly affects particles bigger than 1 micrometer (Crump and Seinfeld, 1981; McMurry and Rader, 1985), while the majority of the particle mass in our experiments was in the range of 100-600 nm. We have regularly performed experiments with seed particles to characterize the $k$’s for the aforementioned size range and the loss constant does not vary in our chamber from 300 to 600 nm. The errors caused by using a constant fit for particles of 300-600 nm were evaluated by applying the same $k$’s to the seed loss periods during Exp. 1. The variability for the final seed loss period (t=4.2-8.5h) was 4.2 %, indicating the corrected seed particle volume stayed relatively constant (Fig. 3). We have assumed the same uncertainty of 4.2 % for particles during the SOA formation. This explanation has been added to the paper.

(8) Page 8, Line 236: The authors are suggested to calculate the SOA density from the AMS measured O:C and H:C ratio of SOA (Kuwata et al., ES&T, 2012) and compare with the current value used. An SOA density of 1.4 µg m$^{-3}$ has been used in our calculations based on results from previous studies. We also used the Kostenidou et al. (2007) approach matching the AMS-mass/composition distribution with the SMPS measured volume distributions and estimated an average density of 1.3 g cm$^{-3}$.

(9) Page 9, Line 237-238: Adding the ‘V(t)/Vmax’ factor in the SOA yield correction procedure does not seem necessary. The authors stated that ‘deviations of V(t) from Vmax are caused by the uncertainty associated in applying the size dependent wall loss corrections’. As we know, particle wall loss rate depends on a few parameters, including chamber size, eddy diffusion, static charges on the Teflon surface, and etc. For most experiments presented here that only lasted for a few hours, these parameters should be fairly constant so that the particle wall loss rate should be quite consistent before and after one experiment. Where are the deviations originating from? I wonder if the assumption that particle loss rate in the 300-600 nm range is the same has any impact on the SOA yield correction here.

We defined the parameter, $\varepsilon_V$ (Line 223), as an estimation for the uncertainties caused by our particle wall-loss correction. An $\varepsilon_V$ of 4.2 % was calculated for Exp. 1 based on how much the loss-corrected seed volume (t=4.2-8.5 h) deviated from its average value. The deviation of V(t) from Vmax is likely caused by the uncertainty of our particle wall-loss correction, which is 4.2 % in this case, and may well include the effect of using a constant value for particles ranging in size of 300-600 nm. When calculating the corrected SOA volume, we have to subtract the seed from the corrected total volume concentration. With the goal in mind of minimizing the impact of the uncertainties caused by the particle loss correction on formed SOA, we introduced the ‘V(t)/Vmax’ factor to attribute part of this 4.2 % error to the seed. If we subtract a constant seed volume, we are intrinsically attributing this 4.2 % error all and only to the corrected SOA and we get the lower limit of the corrected SOA volume.
(10) Page 27, Figure 5: Why the O:C ratio of SOA kept decreasing in the second dark period? What are the concentrations of NOx and O$_3$ during this period? Is there any NO$_3$-initiated chemistry going on?

Similar evolution of the AMS spectra during periods without photochemistry has been observed in a number of similar studies. Donahue et al. (2012) called this evolution ripening to distinguish it from OH aging. The nature of this process is not well understood but it probably involves heterogeneous reactions. In our experiments the ozone concentration during this period was practically zero, so the production of NO$_3$ and the consecutive reactions were highly unlikely. We have added a short discussion and the corresponding reference to ripening in the paper.
Responses to the Comments of Reviewer 2

(1) In this manuscript the authors present results of a laboratory study in which they investigated the chemical aging of SOA formed from the ozonolysis of a-pinene. The aging was conducted by photolyzing HONO (to form OH radicals) that was added at two different times following completion of the ozonolysis reaction. The effects of aging were determined by monitoring the SOA mass using an SMPS and the composition using an AMS. Careful corrections were made for the effects of particle and vapor wall loss on the SOA yields. The results demonstrate that oxidation comparable to a few days of atmospheric aging lead to the formation of a significant amount of additional SOA mass, as well as small changes in composition as measured by the O/C ratio. The results are consistent with previous studies of this type, but are of to SOA yield corrections that are important in aging experiments. The experiments and data analysis were carefully done, and the manuscript is well written. I think the manuscript will be suitable for publication in ACP once the following comments have been addressed.

We address the various comments of the reviewer below. Our responses and corresponding changes in the paper follow each comment.

Specific:

(1) Page 14, Lines 407–410: It seems that this estimate of the effect of gas-wall partitioning of vapors on SOA formation assumes that all the first-generation products are either fully volatile or non-volatile with respect gas-particle partitioning. But for semi-volatile compounds the 15 min condensation time scale is also the upper limit to the time scale to achieve gas-particle partitioning equilibrium. In this case, some vapor will remain in the gas phase and continue to be lost to the chamber walls throughout the experiment because of the large effective particle mass of the walls. I think the approach used here thus provides only a lower-limit estimate to loss of vapors to the wall.

The reviewer is correct about our approach being the lower-limit estimate to vapor wall loss, and yet this is consistent with what we observed from the measurements. As indicated in Fig. 6, the organics to sulfate ratio stayed practically constant after its first peak at t=0.7 h until the introduction of OH. This is consistent with the fact that the SVOCs formed in our system only accounted for a small fraction of the products. This is also consistent with what Ye et al. (2015) observed for the α-pinene ozonolysis system. With a moderate precursor concentration (α-pinene <90 ppb), the SVOCs formed represented 20% of the products. We have added this discussion to the paper.

(2) Page 14, Lines 408–410: It is not clear how the estimated loss of vapors to the walls is converted to an SOA yield correction.

Our zeroth-order correction simply assumes that the yields increase also by 6.3%. This results in a net increase of the yields of 1-3%. We have added this clarification to the paper.
(3) Page 15, Line 435–437: It would be straightforward to estimate the potential increase in O/C ratio due to heterogeneous oxidation by OH radicals and thus test this hypothesis. Heterogeneous oxidation here refers to the uptake of OH by the SVOCs and the LVOCs in the condensed phase and the corresponding reactions that take place. Given that these reactions can be quite complex probably involving both functionalization and fragmentation the estimation of the expected O/C ratio is not straightforward.

(4) Page 15, Line 437: Why can’t the observed changes in O/C ratio with aging be the result of gas-phase oxidation of semi-volatile compounds coupled to gas-particle partitioning, as proposed by Robinson et al. (2007), rather than heterogeneous oxidation? Gas-phase reactions could of course contribute to the observed O/C ratio changes. However, the corresponding condensation of the products should result in a detectable increase in SOA concentration during the same period. We could not observe such a change; therefore, the contribution of gas-phase oxidation is probably small. Heterogeneous reactions can explain this significant change of O/C without a corresponding increase in SOA mass concentration. This explanation has been added to the paper.

(5) Page 16: The Conclusions section as is really just a brief summary of the results, written solely within the context of these experiments. I suggest the authors provide a broader discussion of the relevance of these results to studies of atmospheric SOA and what they contribute to knowledge of the formation, composition, and properties of SOA.

We have followed the suggestion of the reviewer and added a discussion of the relevance of these results for atmospheric SOA.
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Abstract
Secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation from volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the atmosphere can be thought of as a succession of oxidation steps. The production of later-generation SOA via continued oxidation of the first-generation products is defined as chemical aging. This study investigates aging in the α-pinene ozonolysis system with hydroxyl radicals (OH) through smog chamber experiments. The first-generation α-pinene ozonolysis products were allowed to react further with OH formed via HONO photolysis. After an equivalent of 2-4 days’ of typical atmospheric oxidation conditions, homogeneous OH oxidation of the α-pinene ozonolysis products resulted in a 20-40 % net increase of the SOA for the experimental conditions used in this work. A more oxygenated product distribution was observed after aging based on the increase in aerosol atomic oxygen to carbon ratio (O:C) by up to 0.04. Experiments performed at intermediate relative humidity (RH) of 50 % showed no significant difference in additional SOA formation during aging compared to those performed at low RH of less than 20 %.

1. Introduction
Anthropogenic activities such as fuel combustion as well as biogenic sources such as emissions from vegetation can introduce particles and particle precursors into the atmosphere. In most areas, about half of the submicron aerosol mass on average is composed of organic compounds (Zhang et al., 2007). Organic particles directly emitted to the atmosphere are traditionally defined as primary organic aerosol (POA), while those formed through atmospheric
reactions and condensation of species with corresponding volatility are secondary (SOA). Atmospheric aerosols represent a significant risk to human health by causing respiratory problems and heart attacks (Davidson et al., 2005; Pope et al., 2009). At the same time these particles influence the climate of our planet (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007).

Oxygenated OA with a high oxygen to carbon ratio (O:C) is often the most important component of ambient OA suggesting the importance of atmospheric chemistry in the formation and processing of OA (Zhang et al., 2007). Most-laboratory Early studies of SOA formation so far have focused on the first stage of reactions involving the target precursor reacting with the chosen oxidant. In the atmosphere, organic vapors and particles interact with oxidants for days and therefore successive oxidation processes are inevitable.

Chemical aging refers to the subsequent stages of SOA formation and evolution due to the production of later-generation products via oxidation of first-generation products by oxidants such as OH free radicals (Donahue et al., 2006; Henry et al., 2012). Previous studies have explored various forms of aging, including heterogeneous reactions of oxidants and aerosols (George et al., 2008), oligomerization (Kalberer et al., 2006), photolysis of either gas or condensed-phase products (Henry and Donahue, 2012), and homogeneous gas-phase oxidation by OH (Donahue et al., 2012). Homogeneous gas-phase oxidation reactions appear to be in general much faster than heterogeneous reactions, due to diffusion limitations of the latter (Lambe et al., 2009). The first-generation oxidation reactions of most SOA precursors convert much less than 50% of the precursor to SOA, leaving more than half of the carbon still in the gas-phase. Additional oxidation of these vapors can potentially contribute additional and more oxygenated SOA components. These later-generation reactions have been proposed to be a major missing step connecting chamber studies to field measurements.

Zeroth order parameterizations have been developed to model the chemical aging of semi-volatile POA emissions in chemical transport models (Robinson et al., 2007). CTMs using these schemes show improved performance in urban areas such as Mexico City (Tsimpidi et al., 2011), but tend to over-predict OA in areas such as the southeastern United States where biogenic VOCs dominate if chemical aging is assumed to be a major source of additional SOA (Lane et al., 2008). As a result, the importance of aging of biogenic SOA as a source of SOA mass concentration remains an issue of debate.
The ozonolysis of α-pinene (C_{10}H_{16}) is considered one of the most important global SOA sources (Griffin et al., 1999). The system has been well characterized through smog chamber experiments where researchers quantified its SOA yields under different conditions, explored the reaction pathways and mechanisms, and identified its product distributions. Recent studies suggest that there is significant potential for additional SOA formation from homogeneous gas-phase aging by OH of the first-generation α-pinene oxidation products (Donahue et al., 2012; Müller et al., 2012; Chacon-Madrid et al., 2013). Major identified products existing in gas phase such as pinonaldehyde and pinonic acid can serve as SOA precursors and further react with OH. Pinonaldehyde reacts with OH, with SOA mass yields up to 5% under low-NO_x conditions and 20% under high-NO_x conditions (Chacon-Madrid et al., 2013). Müller et al. (2012) demonstrated the formation of 1,2,3-butanetricarboxylic acid (MBTCA), an SOA product of low volatility identified in α-pinene ozonolysis, through the gas-phase OH oxidation of pinonic acid. They reported an experimental yield of 0.6% for MBTCA from the gas-phase OH oxidation of pinonic acid, accounting for about 10% of the total SOA formed. The proposed formation mechanisms of MBTCA is a classic example of semi-volatile precursors going through oxidation and forming products of lower volatility.

The Multiple Chamber Aerosol Chemical Aging Study (MUCHACHAS) explored the gas-phase OH aging effects of the α-pinene ozonolysis products via experiments performed in four different smog chambers (Donahue et al., 2012). They were able to isolate the aging effect by using different OH sources (HOOH photolysis, HONO photolysis, TME ozonolysis), light sources (sunlight, quasi-solar lamps, 350 nm UV lamps), and chambers of different design in size and material (Teflon and aluminum). Almost in all experiments, additional formation of SOA (up to 55%) and a more oxidized product distribution (increasing O:C) were observed after aging. However, in one of the chambers, strong UV photolysis led to decreasing SOA mass concentrations in experiments with low to moderate OH levels, [OH] ≤ 2×10^6 molecules cm^{-3} (Henry and Donahue, 2012). These authors concluded that chemical aging involves a complex set of interacting processes with competing functionalization (conserved C number with products of lower volatility and higher oxidation states) and fragmentation (cleavage of C-bond with products over a wide volatility range and higher oxidation states) of the various organic compounds. A 2D-volatility basis set (2D-VBS) simulation based on these two pathways and a branching ratio between them showed that homogeneous OH aging can potentially more than double the α-pinene
SOA mass concentration, after about a day’s equivalent of typical atmospheric oxidation conditions. Uncertainties such as “ripening” during which SOA volatility evolves but its mass remains constant, UV photolysis and heterogeneous OH uptake can further complicate the aging process.

Qi et al. (2012) also explored aging of the α-pinene ozonolysis system through smog chamber experiments using HOOH as an OH source and studied the UV photolysis effect. They observed a 7.5 % increase in the SOA volume concentration and an increase of 0.03 in the O:C after aging. Minimum photolysis effect was reported for these experiments.

One complication of chamber experiments is the interaction of particles with chamber walls. The wall-loss rate of particles is a function of particle size, charge distribution, chamber geometry, turbulence, and electric field within the chamber (Crump and Seinfeld, 1981). In order to quantify SOA yields from chamber experiments, it is important to correct for particle wall loss. Recent findings that organic vapors in the chamber can be directly lost to the Teflon walls as well further complicate the wall-loss correction process (Matsunaga and Ziemann, 2010; Zhang et al., 2014). Krechmer et al. (2016) measured the loss rate of vapors formed in the chamber and found the corresponding timescale to be 7-13 min. Ye et al. (2016) determined the vapor wall-loss timescale in the Carnegie Mellon chamber used in this work to be around 15 min for semi-volatile organic compounds.

Despite the consensus from the aforementioned chamber studies that gas-phase OH aging of α-pinene ozonolysis products can contribute to additional SOA formation, there lacks consistency in the extent to which the additional mass can form for different OH exposures. Part of the problem is that the estimated amount of additional SOA formed from these long-lasting aging experiments can be extra sensitive to the particle and the vapor wall-loss correction methods deployed. The uncertainties at the end of a 10-hour long aging experiment during which most particles are lost to chamber walls and the measured suspended mass is low can be relatively high. In this work, we aim to quantify the additional SOA formed during the aging step comparing measurements from a suite of instrumentation. We adopt a size-dependent particle wall-loss correction method and develop a procedure to better constrain the associated errors. We also attempt to constrain the vapor loss using both theoretical calculations and measurements.
2. Experimental approach

We conducted experiments in a 12 m$^3$ Teflon (Welch Fluorocarbons) smog chamber at Carnegie Mellon University (CMU). The reactor was suspended in a temperature-controlled room with walls covered with UV lights (GE 10526 and 10244). Prior to each experiment, we flushed the chamber overnight with purified air under UV illumination to remove any residual particles and gas-phase organics. We generated purified air by passing ambient air through a high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter to remove particles, an activated carbon filter to remove any organics, a Purafil filter to remove NO$_x$, and finally a silica gel filter, keeping relative humidity (RH) below 5% in the chamber before each experiment.

We pumped an ammonium sulfate solution (1 g L$^{-1}$) into the chamber at the beginning of each experiment through an atomizer (TSI, model 3076) at a constant rate of 90 mL h$^{-1}$ to produce droplets. The droplets passed through a diffusion dryer and a neutralizer to produce dry ammonium sulfate seed particles. We injected seeds with a number mode size of 110 nm until they reached a number concentration of $2\times10^4$ cm$^{-3}$, resulting in an initial seed mass concentration of around 40 µg m$^{-3}$ and a surface area concentration of up to 1000 µm$^2$ cm$^{-3}$. Typical organic vapors with a molar weight of 250 g mol$^{-1}$ thus had an initial collision frequency with these seeds of 0.01 s$^{-1}$. We injected α-pinene (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥ 99 %) into the chamber using a septum injector with purified air as carrier flow. We generated ozone using a corona-discharge ozone generator (AZCO, HTU500AC) to initiate the ozonolysis reaction. We prepared a fresh HONO solution in a bubbler by adding a 4.9 g L$^{-1}$ sulfuric acid solution to a 6.9 g L$^{-1}$ sodium nitrite solution. We then turned on the UV lights to start the photo-dissociation of HONO, producing OH.

At the end of each experiment, we injected additional ammonium-sulfate seeds into the chamber using the same method with a more concentrated solution (5 g L$^{-1}$) in order to characterize the particle wall-loss rates a second time.

We added butanol-d9 (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, 98 %) into the chamber through the septum injector as an OH tracer before the reaction started and used the method described in Barmet et al. (2012) to calculate the OH produced by HONO photolysis. The OH concentration in these experiments was around $2.4\times10^7$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ for the first hour, then dropped to around $5\times10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ afterwards. The introduction and photolysis of HONO produces into the chamber can bring in hundreds of ppb of NO$_x$, and thus the aging reactions in this work occurred...
under high NO\textsubscript{x} conditions, where the majority of the peroxy radicals during the aging step reacted with NO during the aging phase of the experiments.

We performed experiments at both low RH of less than 20 % and intermediate RH of 50 %. To add water vapor to the chamber, we used a stream of purified air to carry ultrapure water (Millipore water purification system) in a bubbler into the chamber before the introduction of seeds.

We measured the particle size distribution using a TSI Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer, SMPS (classifier model 3080; CPC model 3010 or 3772), with flows adjusted to measure particle diameters in the size of 15-700 nm range, and we measured the particle composition and mass spectrum of the OA with an Aerodyne High Resolution Time-of-flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (HR-Tof-AMS). We monitored the concentrations of α-pinene and butanol-d9 using a Proton Transfer Reaction-Mass Spectrometer (PTR-MS, Ionicon), the ozone concentration using a Dasibi 1008 ozone monitor (ICE: Teledyne 400E), and NO\textsubscript{x} (NO + NO\textsubscript{2}) levels using a Teledyne API NO\textsubscript{x} Analyzer 200A (ICE: Teledyne T201). We held the chamber temperature constant at 22 °C throughout all experiments. We list the initial conditions of the experiments performed for this work in Table 1.

3. Data analysis

3.1 SOA yields

The SOA mass yield, \( Y \), is a metric of the ability of a gaseous precursor to form SOA, and is defined as \( Y = \frac{C_{\text{SOA}}}{\Delta \text{VOC}} \), where \( C_{\text{SOA}} \) is the produced SOA mass concentration (in \( \mu g \text{ m}^{-3} \)) and \( \Delta \text{VOC} \) the amount of the VOC precursor (α-pinene in this case) reacted (in \( \mu g \text{ m}^{-3} \)). To separate the effect of aging on SOA mass concentration, we define a first-generation SOA mass yield, \( Y_1 = \frac{C_{\text{SOA,1}}}{\Delta \text{VOC}} \), and a second-generation SOA mass yield, \( Y_2 = \frac{C_{\text{SOA,2}}}{\Delta \text{VOC}} \). \( C_{\text{SOA,1}} \) and \( C_{\text{SOA,2}} \) are the concentrations of SOA formed before, and after aging with hydroxyl radicals. All α-pinene reacts away during the first stage and thus \( \Delta \text{VOC} \) for the second stage is the same as the initial α-pinene concentration in the chamber.

3.2 Particle wall-loss correction

In this work, we try to reduce the uncertainties in the estimated SOA mass concentration associated with the particle wall-loss correction. This uncertainty can be significant due to two aspects of these aging experiments: the evolution of the particle size distribution and the duration
of the experiments. In these aging experiments, where particles grow by condensation and coagulation for several hours, the particle size distribution can potentially shift, covering a wide size range over the course of an experiment. Particle wall losses are size dependent, and this shift can introduce significant errors if a constant loss rate constant is assumed. To minimize these problems, we adopted a size-dependent particle wall-loss correction method where we determined the particle wall-loss rate constant, \( k \), at each particle size, \( D_p \).

### 3.2.1 Determination of particle wall-loss rate constants

The size-dependent particle wall-loss correction method (Keywood et al., 2004; Ng et al., 2007; Loza et al., 2012; Nah et al., 2016) adopted in this work is based on the SMPS-measured particle size distribution. At each particle size bin \( i \), the first-order particle wall-loss rate constant \( k \), can be determined as the slope of the following equation:

\[
\ln[N_i(t)] = -k_i t + Q \tag{1}
\]

where \( N_i(t) \) is the SMPS-measured aerosol number concentration at size bin \( i \) and \( Q \) is an arbitrary constant. Applying Eqn. 1 across the entire SMPS-measured particle size range, we obtain the particle wall-loss rate constant function, \( k(D_p) \).

To determine the \( k(D_p) \) profile, we utilized the initial four-hour ammonium sulfate seed wall-loss period for each experiment. Since \( k \) may also vary with time (McMurray and Rader, 1985), we determined a second \( k(D_p) \) profile for each experiment using the ammonium sulfate seed wall-loss period at the end. It is important to ensure that the \( k \)’s, especially at sizes where the majority of SOA mass is distributed, remain the same over the course of each experiment.

The \( k(D_p) \) -values calculated (with an \( R^2 > 0.5 \)) based on SMPS measurements of the seed distribution from this work usually only cover particle size range of 30-300 nm due to the lack of particles at either end of the particle size distribution. To determine the \( k(D_p) \) -for \( D_p < 30 \) nm, we use a simple log-linear fit of \( k \)'s from 30-50 nm and back extrapolate it to 10 nm. To determine \( k(D_p) \) for \( D_p > 300 \) nm, we assume that the constant is practically the same in the 300-6700 nm range. We confirmed this with additional seed-only experiments where there were enough particles at that size range (Wang et al., 2017). Significant increases of the rate loss constant are observed for particles larger than 1 \( \mu m \), while in our experiments the particles remained small than 600 nm
or so. A measure of the uncertainty of these corrections is the variability of the corrected mass concentration during the seed wall-loss periods as discussed in the next section. The uncertainty created by using the fits were determined by applying the same $k(D_p)$ profile to the seed wall loss periods in each experiment and evaluating how much the corrected seed number/volume concentration varied (Sec. 3.2.2). We then applied the complete $k(D_p)$ profile to correct for the particle number and mass concentration. Details regarding the wall-loss profiles in the CMU chamber and the execution of the size-dependent particle wall-loss correction for this work can be found in Wang et al. (2017).

3.2.2 Correction of SMPS measurements

The corrected particle number concentration at each size bin $i$, $N_i(t)$, can be calculated numerically,

$$N_i(t) = N_i^m(t) + k_i \int_0^t N_i^m(t) \, dt,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

from the measured values $N_i^m(t)$ and the $k(D_p)$ corresponding to the size bin $i$, $k_i$.

For closed systems in which coagulation is slow, the particle wall-loss corrected number concentration should be constant. In order to evaluate how well the correction works, we define the parameter: $\varepsilon_N = 2\sigma_{N_s}/\overline{N_s}$, where $\sigma_{N_s}$ is the standard deviation of the particle wall-loss corrected number concentration for the seed wall-loss periods and $\overline{N_s}$ the average. Similarly, we define $\varepsilon_V = 2\sigma_{N_s}/\overline{V_s}$ based on the particle wall-loss corrected volume concentration for the two seed wall-loss periods. Only when all four values, $\varepsilon_N$ and $\varepsilon_V$ for both the initial and the final seed periods, are less than 5% do we deem the particle wall-loss correction valid for that individual experiment. Experiments in which these criteria were not met were not included in the analysis.

To calculate the mass concentration of the formed SOA, $C_{SOA}$, during the course of an experiment, we treated the particle wall-loss corrected aerosol volume concentration $V(t)$ differently before and after its maximum, $V_{max}$. For

$$t < t_{V_{max}}, \quad C_{SOA}(t) = (V(t) - \overline{V_s})\rho_{SOA},$$

$$t \geq t_{V_{max}}, \quad C_{SOA}(t) = [V(t) - \overline{V_s} v(t)_{V_{max}}]\rho_{SOA},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
where $t_{\text{max}}$ is the corresponding time at the maximum particle wall-loss corrected total aerosol volume concentration. $V_s$ is the average particle wall-loss corrected seed volume concentration before the beginning of each experiment. $\rho_{\text{SOA}}$ is the SOA density, assumed to be equal to 1.4 µg m$^{-3}$ (Kostenidou et al., 2007). Ideally, $V(t)$ should equal to $V_{\text{max}}$ after the reactions are completed and particle wall loss is the only process after $t_{\text{max}}$. However, deviations of $V(t)$ from $V_{\text{max}}$ are caused by the uncertainty associated in applying the size-dependent wall-loss corrections. By scaling $V_s$ with $V(t)/V_{\text{max}}$, we are distributing the impact of any potential fluctuations in $V(t)$ evenly to both the seeds and the organics, and thus obtain a more stable $C_{\text{SOA}}$ after aging.

3.3 Analysis of AMS measurements

The HR-AMS was operated in V mode during the experiments in this work. Squirrel v1.56D was used to analyze the data. The atomic oxygen to carbon ratio, O:C, was determined based on the unit-resolution correlation described in Caragaratna et al. (2015). Nitrate signals were attributed to organics since the only sources of them in these experiments are organonitrate compounds.

In an attempt to explore the functionalities/products that may have changed during aging, we used the AMS high-resolution (HR)-family analysis. We used Pika 1.15D to analyze the HR data, with HR ions fitted to m/z 200. Each fitted ion is grouped into a “family” based on their chemical formula, and the families used are: CH, CHO, CHO$_2$, C$_x$, HO, and NO. These are the main components of the organics formed, with family HO calculated by subtracting the concentrations of the other families from the total organic signal. This is necessary because the fragmentation of sulfates can fragment into water and thus interfere with the family HO. We used family NO to represent the organonitrates compounds formed during the aging phase of the experiments.

4. Results and discussion

The particle wall-loss corrected aerosol number concentration evolution during a typical experiment (Exp. 1) together with the SMPS raw measurements are shown in Fig. 1. Prior to the ozonolysis, 18,000 cm$^{-3}$ ammonium sulfate particles were added to the chamber as seeds. After a 4.5 h wall-loss period, 8,000 cm$^{-3}$ particles remained suspended, serving as pre-existing surface
for condensation. At $t=0$, ozone was added into the chamber, reacting with $\alpha$-pinene to form condensable first-generation products. The ozonolysis of $\alpha$-pinene has been found to produce OH with a molar yield of approximately $\approx 0.7$ (Paulson et al., 1998), which in our experiments resulted in approximately a little more than one third of the precursor reacting with OH. An additional 100 cm$^{-3}$ particles were formed due to nucleation at this time. Two doses of HONO were added into the chamber in this experiment at $t=0.4$ h and $t=1.3$ h, respectively. HONO was allowed to mix in the chamber and then the UV lights were turned on at $t=0.8$ h and $t=1.8$ h to produce OH. At $t=3.5$ h, another 10,000 cm$^{-3}$ ammonium sulfate particles were added into the chamber for a second 4 h long determination of the $k(D_p)$ profile for this experiment.

The two $k(D_p)$ profiles determined from the initial seed wall-loss period and the one at the end of the experiment are shown in Fig. 2. They agree relatively well with small discrepancies at $D_p < 50$ nm. The complete $k(D_p)$ profile used for the size-dependent particle wall-loss correction is also shown.

As indicated in Fig. 1, the particle wall-loss corrected aerosol number concentration remains relative level at $t<0$ h and $t>3.5$ h, with $\varepsilon_{N,1} = 3.3 \%$ and $\varepsilon_{N,2} = 0.5 \%$, respectively. The particle wall-loss corrected aerosol volume concentration (Fig. 3) at the initial seed wall-loss period and that at the end had variabilities equal to $\varepsilon_{V,initial} = 4.2 \%$ and $\varepsilon_{V,end} = 3.8 \%$, respectively. All parameters were less than 5 \% and therefore the accuracy of the wall-loss correction was acceptable.

The particle wall-loss corrected aerosol volume concentration evolution for Exp. 1 together with the corresponding SMPS raw measurements are shown in Fig. 3. Particles grew from $t=0$ to 0.7 h and $t=0.8$ to 1 h due to vapor condensation. The total aerosol volume peaked at $t=0.7$ h during the first-generation oxidation, and reached its maximum at $t=1.1$ h due to aging during the second-generation oxidation. The change in volume during the second addition of OH at 1.7 h was negligible.

The SOA mass concentration evolution for Exp. 1 calculated using Eqn. 3 is shown in Fig. 4. The error bars are calculated using the highest $\varepsilon$ (in this case $\varepsilon_{V,1} = 4.2 \%$). For this experiment, 37.7$\pm$1.6 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ of SOA was formed during ozonolysis. An additional 11.1$\pm$2.6 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ SOA was formed during the first aging period. The SOA reached 48.8$\pm$2 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ after aging and remained approximately constant until the end of the experiment. The total SOA produced and the calculated SOA yields for all experiments are listed in Table 2.
The AMS-derived atomic oxygen to carbon ratio (O:C) evolution for Exp. 1 is shown together with the AMS-measured aerosol composition (assuming CE=1) in Fig. 5. The increase in the sulfate signals at t=0 is caused by a change in the instrument collection efficiency. Due to the uncertainty caused by CE changes over the course of an experiment, we did not use the absolute AMS-measured organic mass concentration for any quantitative analysis. Using the algorithm derived by Kostenidou et al. (2007), we calculated the CE to be ~0.25 for the initial seed period and ~0.4 after the seeds were coated with organics. A quick check comparing the two stepwise increase in the CE-corrected organic mass concentration to those derived from SMPS revealed that the results from both instrument agreed reasonably well. The algorithm also predicted that the SOA density was to be $1.3\pm0.15\ \text{g cm}^{-3}$, in good agreement with the Kuwata et al. (2012) parameterization based on the measured O:C and H:C which also predicted $1.3\ \text{g cm}^{-3}$.

The O:C is a collective measure for the ongoing chemistry during these aging experiments. In Exp. 1, the O:C kept decreasing due to the freshly-formed semi-volatile SOA condensing onto particles from $t=0$ to 0.5 h. Later during the dark period (From $t=0.5$ h to 0.8 h (UV on)), the O:C ratio kept decreasing to 0.42 while the organic mass concentration stayed almost constant. This is consistent with the “ripening” phenomenon, first observed during the MUCHACHAS campaign, where the composition of the formed SOA keeps evolving after $\alpha$-pinene has reacted while the change in SOA mass is minimal (Tritscher et al., 2011). The nature of this process is not well-understood, but it probably involves heterogeneous reactions. After OH radicals were generated in the chamber at $t=0.8$ h, the semi-volatile vapors got oxidized to form second-generation products of lower volatility, resulting in an increase of 0.02 in O:C in about 10 min. After $t=1$ h, the O:C remained relatively constant but it started to decrease at $t=1.25$ h when the UV lights were turned off. Since aging is a complex process that involves functionalization, fragmentation and heterogeneous reactions, the trends in O:C are indicative of the competition among these processes. The decrease we observed here was associated with turning the UV lights off, and thus it is likely that some chemistry was perturbed and thus the processes resulting in decreasing O:C took over. The decrease in O:C associated with turning off the UV lights was not consistent across the five experiments. This further proves that this phenomenon is the result of several competing process and needs further investigation on a molecular level. An inflection point at $t=1.7$ h was observed.
after a second dose of OH being introduced in the chamber. Instead of the stepwise increase like
the one observed after the first dose of OH, the O:C increased slowly but steadily this time until
the end of the experiment to 0.45 with no significant increase in organic mass. This is also quite
consistent with what was observed in MUCHACHAS.

We used the organic to sulfate ratio (Org/Sulf) derived from AMS measurements to look
at the SOA formation in these experiments due to its insensitivity to changes in collection
efficiency. The Org/Sulf time series for Exp. 1 is shown in Fig. 6. The ratio increased to 1.25 at
t=0.7 h as the result of the first-generation vapors condensing onto pre-existing particles. After we
first turned on the UV lights, a stepwise increase in the ratio was observed and reached the
maximum value of 1.60 at t=1.1 h as a result of the second-generation oxidation chemistry. After
that, the ratio kept decreasing. A small bump was observed after the second introduction of OH
and then the ratio kept decreasing. One possible explanation for this continuous decrease is the
effect of the size-dependent particle wall-loss process. The faster removal of smaller particles
(which contain more SOA than sulfate) than that of the bigger ones (which have a lower SOA to
sulfate ratio) can lead to a decrease of the overall organic to sulfate ratio. Fig. 7 shows the size
dependence of the Org/Sulf, together with the mass distribution of both organic and sulfate for
Exp. 1. The Org/Sulf decreased dramatically from 10 to 1 over the particle vacuum aerodynamic
diameter (D_{va}) range of 200 – 500 nm, indicating strong composition dependence on particle size.
Since the majority of the mass is distributed in this range, the size-dependent particle wall-loss rate
can contribute significantly to the decrease observed in Fig. 6 after the Org/Sulf reached its
maximum.

4.1 Effect of size-dependent losses on the organic to sulfate ratio

To quantify the effect of the size-dependence of the particle wall-loss process on the
organic to sulfate ratio, we discretized the AMS-measured mass distribution $M(D_p)$ into 10 bins
in the particle diameter space and defined a mass-weighted particle wall-loss rate constant for each
species $j$, $\bar{k}_j$, as

$$\bar{k}_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10} M_{ij} k_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{10} M_{ij}}$$

(4)
where $M_{ij}$ is the aerosol mass concentration of species $j$ for size bin $i$ and $k_i$ is the averaged $k(D_p)$ across size bin $i$. Note that the particle diameter used in this section refers to the SMPS-measured mobility equivalent diameter $D_p$. The particle vacuum aerodynamic diameters derived from the AMS measurements have been converted to $D_p$ using an SOA density of 1.4 µg m$^{-3}$.

From Eqn. 4 we are able to determine a mass-weighted particle wall-loss rate constant for sulfate, $\bar{k}_{SO_4}$, and for organics, $\bar{k}_{org}$. For the period after completion of the reactions and if there are only particle losses to the walls the Org/Sulf ratio should satisfy:

$$\text{Org/Sulf}(t) = \text{Org/Sulf}_m(t) \exp(\bar{k}_{SO_4} - \bar{k}_{org})t \tag{5}$$

where $(\text{Org/Sulf})_m(t)$ is the AMS-measured and $(\text{Org/Sulf})(t)$ the loss-corrected organic to sulfate ratio.

We can test if indeed the particle wall losses are responsible for the decreasing ratio in Exp. 1 focusing on the period from $t_1 = 1.2$ h to $t_2 = 1.7$ h (Fig. 6). In this example $t_1$ corresponds to the maximum Org/Sulf and $t_2$ is the second time in which the UV lights were turned on. Applying Eqn. 4, we found the mass-weighted particle wall-loss rate constant for organics, $\bar{k}_{org} = 0.06$ h$^{-1}$, and for sulfate, $\bar{k}_{SO_4} = 0.05$ h$^{-1}$. The black line in the inset graph of Fig. 6 indicates the particle wall-loss corrected Org/Sulf for the chosen time period using Eqn. 5. The loss-corrected ratio remained relatively constant indicating that the size-dependent particle wall-loss process coupled with the different size distributions of the sulfate and organics were causing the decrease in the ratio. This exercise was repeated for the other experiments arriving in the same conclusion.

### 4.2 Effect of chemical aging on additional SOA formation

To quantify aging effects based on the SMPS measurements, we define the fractional change in the particle wall-loss corrected SOA mass concentration after aging, $\Delta[OA]$, as:

$$\Delta[OA] = (C_{SOA,2} - C_{SOA,UV})/C_{SOA,1}, \tag{6}$$

where $C_{SOA,UV}$ is the particle wall-loss corrected aerosol mass concentration at the time when we first turned on the UV lights. $C_{SOA,UV}$ can be equal to $C_{SOA,1}$ depending on how level the first-
generation SOA mass concentration remains after wall-loss correction. Fig. 8 summarizes the 
Δ[OA] for all five experiments with the values and corresponding errors listed in Table 2. The OH 
exposure resulted in an average increase of 24±6 % in SOA mass concentration after aging, ranging 
from 20 to 29 %. Our HONO injection method creates OH levels of about 2.4×10^7 molecules 
cm^3 for the first hour and then the concentration dropped to around 5×10^6 molecules cm^3. The 
OH exposure is equivalent to 2-4 days of typical atmospheric oxidation conditions, assuming an 
OH concentration of 2×10^6 molecules cm^3. The uncertainties displayed in Fig. 8 were propagated 
from uncertainties in the SOA mass concentration.

To quantify aging effects based on the AMS data, we define the fractional change in the 
organic to sulfate ratio:

$$Δ[\text{Org/Sulf}] = ([\text{Org/Sulf}]_2 - [\text{Org/Sulf}]_{UV})/\text{[Org/Sulf]}_1,$$

(7)

where [Org/Sulf]_{UV} refers to the organic to sulfate ratio at the time when we first turned on the UV 
lights, [Org/Sulf]_1 the maximum before we first turned on the UV lights and [Org/Sulf]_2 the 
maximum after the OH exposure. Fig. 8 summarizes the Δ[Org/Sulf] calculated for all five 
experiments with the values and corresponding errors listed in Table 2. The uncertainties are based 
on the deviation between the measured and the corrected Org/Sulf (Fig. 6 inset) over the chosen 
time period. An associated error is calculated respectively for [Org/Sulf]_{UV}, [Org/Sulf]_1 and 
[Org/Sulf]_2. The reported error for Δ[Org/Sulf] in Table 2 is the propagated results of the three. 
For experiments in this work, the percent increase in organic to sulfate ratios ranged from 18 to 
27 % with an average increase of 21±4 %. The values are fairly consistent with the SMPS-derived 
Δ[OA].

4.2.1 Role of RH

Exp. 5, performed at the intermediate RH of 50 %, resulted in a comparable change in SOA 
formation after aging as experiments at lower RH (Fig. 8). In this experiment, the increase in 
Org/Sulf after aging was 21.2 %, 1.5 % higher than the average Δ[Org/Sulf] of experiments 2-4. 
Δ[OA] for Exp. 5 was 20.5 %, about 2 % lower than the average Δ[OA] of experiments 2-4. The 
effect of RH on the SOA formation during chemical aging, at least for these conditions, appears 
to be small.
4.2.2 Role of organic vapor loss to the Teflon walls

For chamber SOA experiments with preexisting particles, the particles act as competing surface against the chamber walls. We calculated the condensation sink (CS) of particles using the method described in Trump et al. (2014) with a unit accommodation coefficient, consistent with recent findings (Julin et al., 2014; Palm et al., 2016). The calculated condensation sink in the form of time scale for vapors condensing onto particles (1/CS) for Exp. 1 is shown in Fig. 9. During the entire experiment, the timescale for vapors to condense onto particles remained less than a minute. Compared to the organic vapor wall-loss timescale of 15 min in the CMU chamber (Ye et al., 2016), the vapors condense onto the particles 15 times faster than that onto the walls. This corresponds to a 6.3 % loss of the semi-volatile vapors to the walls. Assuming the yields for the experiments conducted in this work also increase by 6.3 %, the absolute yields should be increased by 1-3 % after accounting for the vapor wall-loss effect. This approach is a conservative estimation of vapor wall loss, and yet the results are consistent with what we observed from the measurements. As indicated in Fig. 6, the organic to sulfate ratio stayed practically constant after its first peak at \( t=0.7 \) h until the introduction of OH. This is consistent with the fact that the semi-volatiles formed in our system only accounted for a small fraction of the products. Ye et al. (2016) studied the SVOCs formed in the \( \alpha \)-pinene ozonolysis system and found 20 % SVOCs in the products formed from experiments with moderate precursor concentration (\( \alpha \)-pinene=75 ppb). They also observed that the SVOC fraction increased with increased amounts of reacted \( \alpha \)-pinene. Since the reacted \( \alpha \)-pinene in our experiments were less than 35 ppb, our observation of small amount of SVOCs forming is also consistent with their results.

The situation is a little more complex for the second-generation oxidation because material with higher volatilities that could have become SOA were lost during the time after the end of the first phase and before the beginning of the second. To address this issue, OH radicals were introduced about an hour earlier in Exp. 1 as compared to the rest of the experiments. A shorter timescale ensures the first-generation vapor products react efficiently with OH instead of interacting with the chamber walls as in the case of longer timescales. There was an increase of 27 % in Org/Sulf in this experiment after aging, 7 % more than the average of the other four experiments. \( \Delta[OA] \) for Exp. 1 was 29.4 %, about 7.5 % higher than the average of the rest four experiments. If we attribute this 7 % difference purely to the vapor wall-loss effect, then we
estimate that vapor losses can increase the additional SOA formation by roughly another 10% for the experiments conducted in this work.

### 4.3 Effect of chemical aging on O:C aerosol composition

Fig. 10 summarizes the absolute increase in O:C after the two doses of OH, respectively, with the corresponding exposure required to achieve the increase. As we discussed above using Exp. 1 as an example, the O:C in all experiments showed a stepwise increase after the first OH introduction while it grew continuously after the second OH introduction until the end of the experiment. For these five experiments, it took 10 - 30 min for the O:C to increase by 0.02-0.04. The stepwise increase in O:C is caused by the rapid reactions between the first generation vapor products and the OH. One of the major products identified in the gas phase from the α-pinene ozonolysis system, pinonaldehyde, reacts with OH at a rate of $4.4 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Atkinson and Arey, 2003). During the first hour of OH introduction, the OH concentration remains on average at a steady state of $2.4 \times 10^7$ molecule cm$^{-3}$. A quick estimation of $1/k_{OH}[OH]$ gives a timescale of 16 min, which is consistent with what we observed in these experiments.

The second exposure corresponds to the period until the end of each experiment. The increase in O:C of 0.01 to 0.04 during this stage clearly indicates change in SOA composition, however paired with minimum change in SOA mass. Although gas-phase reactions can contribute to these observed changes in O:C, the corresponding condensation of the products should also result in a detectable increase in SOA concentration during the same period. Given that changes in SOA concentration could not be detected the contribution of gas-phase oxidation was probably small. In addition, we observed small amounts of SVOCs forming in our system as discussed above. Therefore, the contribution of gas-phase oxidation was probably small. And our observation of the significant change in O:C without corresponding increase in SOA mass concentration This phenomenon is was likely caused by heterogeneous reactions.

Based on the HR family analysis results, for example, of Exp. 1 (Fig. S1) and Exp. 2 (Fig. S3), the less oxidized ion family CH decreased around 10 percent during the aging process (i.e., from 41.9 to 38.1 percent of the OA in Exp. 1 and from 40.5 to 35.3 percent in Exp. 2) while the more oxidized components CHO increased 4 percent in Exp. 1 (from 12.8 to 13.3 percent) and 16 percent in Exp. 2 (from 14.9 to 17.3 percent). The changes in the family CHO were +4 percent in
Exp. 1 and -6 percent in Exp. 2, suggesting that there was both production and destruction of the corresponding family members. The concentration of organonitrates compounds was, as expected, close to zero initially in these experiments. At the end of the aging process, the NO family represented 3-3.5% percent of the OA.

$\text{CO}_2^+ (m/z \ 44)$ from family $\text{CHO}$ and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}^+ (m/z \ 43)$ from family $\text{CHO}$ are usually identified in aged and relatively fresh aerosols, respectively. Their fractions of the total organics, $f_{44}$ and $f_{43}$, have been used as chemical indicators in chamber experiments (Donahue et al., 2012). During the dark ozonolysis period of Exp. 1 (Fig. S2), the $f_{43}$ increased initially and stayed practically constant after $t=0.2 \ h$, while $f_{44}$ decreased. This indicates the majority of the SOA formed initially was fresh. After the first introduction of OH, both $f_{43}$ and $f_{44}$ showed a stepwise increase, indicating formation of relatively fresh SOA and oxidation of the SOA. After the second introduction of OH, the $f_{43}$ decreased while $f_{44}$ increased over time until the end of the experiment, indicating that the e-formed SOA was getting progressively more oxidized during aging. During Exp. 2 (Fig. S4), the $f_{43}$ increased sharply initially and then slowly decreased during the dark ozonolysis period. This is consistent with the “ripening” effect observed during the MUCHACHAS campaign (Donahue et al., 2012). Overall, $f_{43}$ decreased while $f_{44}$ increased over the course of Exp. 2, indicating that the initially formed SOA was getting more oxidized during aging.

4.4 Comparison with other studies

Overall, the results from our chamber experiments in this work are consistent to those from the MUCHACHAS chambers. After adopting a size-dependent particle wall-loss correction method, we observed 20-30% additional SOA formation after aging. Vapor wall-loss effect can account for an additional 10%, increasing the range to 20-40%. The O:C presented a stepwise increase of 0.02-0.04 after the first introduction of OH, and then increased gradually over time after the second introduction of OH.

During the MUCHACHAS campaign, mixtures of SOA and gas-phase products formed in the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) 27 m$^3$ Teflon chamber from low (10 ppb) and high (40 ppb) initial $\alpha$-pinene concentration were exposed to OH by TME ozonolysis and HONO photolysis at an RH of approximately 50% (Tritscher et al. 2011). An OH concentration of $2 \times 10^6$ to $10 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ was maintained up to four hours. The authors reported an additional 50% SOA mass forming
after aging using the first-order, size-independent particle wall-loss correction for the suspended organic mass concentration measured by AMS. An increase of 0.04 in the oxygen to carbon ratio was also observed during aging.

In the 84.5 m³ Aerosol Interaction and Dynamics in the Atmosphere (AIDA) aluminum chamber at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, an OH concentration of $2 \times 10^6$ to $10 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ was used by a constant flow of TME (dark aging). The authors observed an increase of 17-55 % in the SMPS-derived SOA mass concentration (density corrected) after aging during four experiments with initial α-pinene concentration ranging from 14 to 56 ppb (Salo et al., 2011). In the 270 m³ Simulation of Atmospheric Photochemistry in a large Reaction (SAPHIR) Teflon chamber at Forchungzentrum Jülich, SOA and vapors generated from the ozonolysis of 40 ppb α-pinene was aged for three consecutive days with OH produced by ambient light chemistry. An OH concentration of $2-5 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ was maintained and 9 %, 4 % and 1 % additional SOA was formed respectively after aging each day. These values were corrected for particle wall loss using different wall-loss rate constants determined during different periods of the experiment.

Our result of 20-40 % additional SOA formation due to aging is well within the range of that from the above chambers. The difference in the results from each chamber could potentially be attributed to different OH exposure (e.g. a constant flow of HONO or TME was provided in the PSI chamber). Other plausible explanations include whether the reported values were particle wall-loss corrected and whether the same method was adopted for the correction.

For the HONO aging experiment performed in the CMU chamber during the MUCHACHAS campaign, Henry and Donahue (2012) suggested a potentially strong photolysis effect based on decreasing organic to sulfate ratio derived from the AMS measurements. In our experiments, the organic to sulfate ratio was affected by the size-dependent wall-loss process. Both the AMS-measured organic to sulfate ratio and the SMPS-measured OA remained relatively constant after correcting for the size dependence of the particle-wall process in these experiments. We thus conclude that minimum photolysis was observed for our experiments.

5. Conclusions

With an OH exposure equivalent to 2-4 days of typical atmospheric oxidation conditions, the OH aging of the α-pinene ozonolysis products formed 20-40 % additional SOA mass for the experimental conditions used in this work. Elevated RH up to 50 % has minimum effect on SOA
production due to aging. We have constrained the aging effects on additional SOA formation quantitatively using both SMPS and AMS measurements.

A more oxygenated product distribution was observed after aging. A stepwise increase of 0.02-0.04 in O:C was observed within half an hour after the first introduction of OH. After the second-generation products were exposed to additional OH, the O:C grew continuously until the end of the experiments with an absolute increase of up to 0.04. During this period, minimum SOA production was observed. We attribute this phenomenon to condensed-phase reactions. Further investigation on a molecular scale is needed.

This work essentially explored the additional SOA formation potential of the α-pinene ozonolysis products under high NOx conditions. The aging time scale of this study of a few days corresponds to the atmospheric lifetime of the corresponding aerosol. The additional formation of SOA observed here is clearly non-negligible, but is also much less than the doubling or tripling of the SOA that has been assumed in few modeling studies (Lane et al., 2008) which resulted in overprediction of the biogenic SOA. The present results can be used for the improvements of the currently used parameterizations for the aging of α-pinene SOA products in CTMs.
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**Table 1:** Initial conditions of the α-pinene ozonolysis aging experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>α-pinene (ppb)</th>
<th>O$_3$ (ppb)</th>
<th>Initial seed surface area ($\mu$m$^2$ cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>RH (%)</th>
<th>OH$^a$ ($\times 10^7$ molecules cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>OH introduction time (h after α-pinene consumption)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>2.4$^b$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The OH concentration was calculated using the decay of butanol-d$_9$ (monitored by PTRMS) (Barmet et al., 2012).

$^b$Estimated OH concentration for Exp. 4 based on the other experiments. The PTRMS data was not available during that time for Exp. 4.
Table 2: SOA mass concentration and yields of the α-pinene ozonolysis aging experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>$C_{SOA,1}$ (μg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$Y_1$ (%)</th>
<th>$C_{SOA,2}$ (μg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$Y_2$ (%)</th>
<th>$\Delta$OA (%)</th>
<th>$\Delta$[Org/Sulf] (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.7±1.6</td>
<td>20.6±0.9</td>
<td>48.8±2.0</td>
<td>26.7±1.1</td>
<td>29.4±6.9</td>
<td>27.0±5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.7±0.9</td>
<td>21.5±1.2</td>
<td>18.3±1.0</td>
<td>23.5±1.3</td>
<td>19.8±8.1</td>
<td>18.1±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>57.1±1.3</td>
<td>29.4±0.7</td>
<td>71.0±1.6</td>
<td>36.2±0.8</td>
<td>23.5±3.6</td>
<td>19.1±3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.8±0.6</td>
<td>19.1±0.6</td>
<td>20.8±0.7</td>
<td>23.7±0.8</td>
<td>24.0±5.3</td>
<td>21.9±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.2±0.7</td>
<td>19.5±0.6</td>
<td>25.4±0.8</td>
<td>22.3±0.7</td>
<td>20.5±4.7</td>
<td>21.2±4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: SMPS-measured (black symbols) and the size-dependent particle wall-loss corrected (red symbols) aerosol number concentration evolution during a typical experiment (Exp. 1). Ozone was added into the chamber at time zero to initiate α-pinene ozonolysis. The shaded areas indicate that the chamber was dark. The dashed lines mark the beginning and the end of the two times HONO were added, respectively. The increase in number concentration at $t=3.5$ h is due to the injection of 5 g L$^{-1}$ ammonium sulfate particles. An additional 100 cm$^{-3}$ particles were formed due to nucleation both at the ozonolysis step and the aging step. Data were not recorded from $t=-2$ h to -1.4 h.
Figure 2: The size-dependent particle wall-loss rate constant profile, $k(D_p)$, for Exp. 1. The black symbols are the rate constants calculated based on the wall-loss process of the initial ammonium sulfate seed particles from $t=4.5$ h to $t=0$ h, while the red open symbols those of the additional ammonium sulfate particles at the end from $t=4.5$ h to $t=8.5$ h. The blue line is the fit determined.
Figure 3: SMPS-measured (black symbols) and the size-dependent particle wall-loss corrected (red symbols) aerosol (seed and organic) volume concentration evolution during a typical experiment (Exp. 1). Ozone was added into the chamber at time zero to initiate α-pinene ozonolysis. The shaded areas indicate that the chamber was dark. The dashed lines mark the beginning and the end of the two times HONO were added, respectively. 5 g L⁻¹ ammonium sulfate particles were injected into the chamber at t=3.5 h. Data were not recorded from t=−2 h to -1.4 h.
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**Figure 4:** The particle wall-loss corrected SOA mass concentration ($\rho=1.4$ g cm$^{-3}$) evolution for Exp. 1 derived from SMPS measurements. The corresponding error shown is due to the particle wall-loss correction. Ozone was added into the chamber at time zero to initiate α-pinene ozonolysis. The shaded areas indicate that the chamber was dark. The dashed lines mark the beginning and the end of the two times HONO were added, respectively.
Figure 5: The AMS-measured aerosol composition (CE=1) (left axis) and the atomic oxygen to carbon ratio (right axis) evolving with time for Exp. 4. The increase in the sulfate signal at \( t=0 \) is the result of a change in the collection efficiency (CE). Ozone was added into the chamber at time zero to initiate \( \alpha \)-pinene ozonolysis. The shaded areas indicate that the chamber was dark. The dashed lines mark the beginning and the end of the two times HONO were added, respectively.
Figure 6: The AMS-derived organic to sulfate ratio time series for Exp. 1. The inset is a blow-up of the Org/Sulf ratio from its maximum until the second time when the UV lights were turned on. The black symbols are the particle wall-loss corrected Org/Sulf during that half hour. Ozone was added into the chamber at time zero to initiate α-pinene ozonolysis. The shaded areas indicate that the chamber was dark. The dashed lines mark the beginning and the end of the two times HONO were added, respectively.
Figure 7: The dependence of the AMS-derived organic to sulfate ratio on particle vacuum aerodynamic diameter for Exp. 1 (left axis). Also shown are the AMS-measured organic (green) and sulfate (red) mass distribution (right axis). The results are based on PToF data averaged over ~2.5 hours (t=1.1 h to 3.5 h).
Figure 8: SMPS-derived percent change in the particle wall-loss corrected SOA (red columns) mass concentration after aging and AMS-derived percent change in organic to sulfate ratio (blue columns) after aging for all five experiments.
**Figure 9:** The calculated condensation sink (CS) in the form of time scale for vapors condensing onto particles (1/CS). Ozone was added into the chamber at time zero to initiate α-pinene ozonolysis. The shaded areas indicate that the chamber was dark. The dashed lines mark the beginning and the end of the two times HONO were added, respectively.
Figure 10: The absolute increase in O:C after the two doses of OH, respectively, with the corresponding exposure. The solid red columns are the increase in O:C after the first introduction of OH, with the corresponding exposure on the bottom axis. The hatched columns are the increase in O:C after the second introduction of OH, with the corresponding exposure on the top axis.