An A-train and MERRA view of cloud, thermodynamic, and dynamic variability within the subtropical marine boundary layer
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Abstract. The global-scale patterns and covariances of subtropical marine boundary layer (MBL) cloud fraction and spatial variability with atmospheric thermodynamic and dynamic fields remain poorly understood. We describe an approach that leverages coincident NASA A-train and the Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA) data to quantify the relationships in the subtropical MBL derived at the native pixel and grid resolution. Four subtropical oceanic regions that capture transitions from stratocumulus to trade cumulus are investigated. We define stratocumulus and cumulus regimes based exclusively from infrared-based thermodynamic phase. Visible radiances are normally distributed within stratocumulus and are increasingly skewed away from the coast where trade cumulus dominates. Increases in MBL depth, wind speed and effective radius (re), and reductions in 700-1000 hPa moist static energy differences and 700 an 850 hPa vertical velocity, correspond with increases in visible radiance skewness. We posit that a more robust representation of the cloudy MBL is obtained using visible radiance rather than retrievals of optical thickness that are limited to a smaller subset of cumulus. An increase in re within shallow cumulus is strongly related to higher MBL wind speeds that further correspond to increased precipitation occurrence according to CloudSat. Our results are consistent with surface-based observations and suggest that the combination of A-train and MERRA data sets have potential to add global context to our process understanding of the subtropical cumulus-dominated MBL.

1 Introduction

Much of the uncertainty in projections of future climate is directly or indirectly related to clouds and their associated processes (IPCC AR5, 2013) including shallow marine cumuliform clouds (Bony and Dufresne, 2005). The low cloud-climate feedback is generally regarded to be positive (e.g., Clement et al., 2009). Many studies however suggest that the sign and magnitude of the feedback are cloud-type dependent (e.g., Caldwell et al. 2013; Bretherton et al., 2013; Dal Gesso et al., 2015; Stephens, 2005; Yue et al., 2017; Zelinka et al., 2012).

Using large eddy simulation (LES) experiments forced with doubled CO2, Bretherton et al. (2013) show that the gradient of RH from the MBL to the free troposphere is a key factor that controls the shortwave cloud radiative feedback. Rieck et al.
used LES forced by perturbed lower tropospheric temperature profiles with fixed RH to show that an increase in surface moisture fluxes leads to a drying of the trade cumulus-topped MBL. The drying overwhelms the increased shortwave reflection from the liquid water lapse rate feedback, thus leading to reduced cloudiness and a positive shortwave cloud feedback. These mechanisms are also discussed by Nuijens and Stevens (2012) in the context of bulk theory and clearly demonstrate that free tropospheric temperature and moisture gradients act as constraints for climate change-induced surface flux changes.

While the constant RH framework is a useful concept to investigate cloud-climate feedback in simplified modeling experiments, an overall reduction of RH in the subtropical free troposphere was found in the CMIP3 (Sherwood et al., 2010; Fasullo and Trenberth, 2012) and CMIP5 (Lau and Kim, 2015) archives with a non-negligible spread in the changing magnitude and vertical structure of RH among the models. Therefore, the assumption that constant RH might hold across the diversity of subtropical cloud regimes with a changing climate is likely not valid. Medeiros and Nuijens (2016) showed that the RH gradient between the MBL and lower free troposphere is widely variable among the CMIP5 models within the trade cumulus regime. Therefore, further examination of cloud variability and the vertical structure of RH with present-day satellite and reanalysis observations is warranted.

A strong linkage between cloud amount and EIS (Wood and Bretherton, 2004), lower tropospheric stability (LTS) (Klein and Hartmann, 1993), and moist static energy differences (dMSE) between the free troposphere and surface (Kawai and Teixeira, 2010; Chung et al., 2012; Kubar et al., 2015) is well understood. Satellite observations of the MBL have revealed prodigious variations of cloud organization that span orders of magnitude over spatial and temporal scales (Cahalan et al., 1994; Wood and Hartmann, 2006; Muhlbauer et al., 2014). Even for a fixed value of cloud fraction, a large diversity of statistical variability may be observed (Kawai and Teixeira, 2012). Correlations of cloud fraction to other environmental variables are highly dependent on the time scale of comparison (e.g., Brueck et al., 2015). At present, the relationships of cloud fraction and spatial variability to larger-scale properties other than EIS/LTS remain poorly understood. Furthermore, previous work has emphasized correlations of MBL cloud properties to 500 hPa vertical velocity and RH that are averaged over monthly, seasonal, or annual time scales. Kawai and Teixeira (2010) found significant correlations for instantaneous observations of cloud inhomogeneity and the skewness of LWP to thermodynamic structure changes between 850 and 1000 hPa; the correlations are larger for LWP than with cloud fraction.

Modeling and observational studies have demonstrated that that the vertical structures of moments of conserved thermodynamic variables depend on the cloud regime (e.g., Suselj et al., 2013; Ghate et al., 2016; Zhu and Zuidema, 2009). Substantial differences exist between stratocumulus and trade cumulus in the mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis of equivalent potential temperature \( \theta_e \), liquid water potential temperature \( \theta_l \), and vertical velocity profiles, and point to the importance of a global perspective uniquely provided by satellite and reanalysis data. The NASA A-train (Stephens et al., 2002) provides a wealth of remote sensing data about the microphysics and thermodynamics of the cloudy MBL. Reanalysis data such as the Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA; Rienecker et al., 2011) offer a
complementary set of thermodynamic and dynamic variables that help establish a larger-scale perspective for coincident remote sensing observations.

Our primary purpose is to investigate instantaneous relationships between cloud microphysical and optical properties, dynamical, and thermodynamic variables fields from the A-train and MERRA at the native temporal and spatial resolution of the observations. The matching approach uses a nearest neighbour technique weighted by the sensor spatial response function (Schreier et al., 2010). The mean, variance, and skewness of MODIS cloud properties at 1-km or 5-km resolution is retained within a larger 45-km resolution Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS)/Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU) field of regard (FOR), while MERRA’s $1/2^\circ \times 2/3^\circ$ resolution thermodynamic and dynamic variables are matched to the nearest AIRS/AMSU FOR. The satellite and reanalysis data each provide unique information that should ideally be combined together at the native resolution rather than relying on one instrument or reanalysis alone. The geophysical fields are retained at the native spatial and temporal resolution such that the instantaneous “snapshots” of the cloud probability density function (pdf) are preserved and are then conditioned by available thermodynamic and dynamic variables. The statistical behavior of cloud properties, and how the thermodynamic and dynamic state variables are related to them, are inferred using the finest temporal and spatial resolutions available.

Section 2 describes the data sets used while Section 3 details the methodological approach taken in this investigation. Section 4 details the results, beginning with a regional spatial context, then concluding with examination of joint pdfs. We conclude in Section 5.

2 Data

The AIRS/AMSU sounding suite located onboard NASA’s EOS Aqua satellite has obtained vertical profiles of temperature and water vapor at approximately 45-km horizontal resolution since September 2002 (Chahine et al., 2006). While AIRS cannot capture the sharpness of the temperature and water vapor mixing ratio gradients across the top of the MBL (Maddy and Barnet, 2008; Yue et al., 2011), the coarse-resolution vertical gradients from the surface to the lower free troposphere are obtained with high fidelity (Yue et al., 2013; Kalmus et al., 2015). The AIRS operational products also provide numerous cloud variables that include effective cloud fraction (ECF), cloud thermodynamic phase (liquid, ice, and unknown categories), and others (Kahn et al., 2014). A MBL depth estimate inferred from the height/pressure of maximum RH gradient is described and validated with radiosondes launched during the Rain in Shallow Cumulus over the Ocean (RICO) campaign in Martins et al. (2010).

The Version 5 AIRS channel 4 visible spectral radiance (0.49–0.94 $\mu$m) (Gautier et al., 2003; Aumann et al., 2006) with a nadir spatial resolution of 2.28 km is used and has units of W/m$^2$/$\mu$m/sr. AIRS visible band data is co-registered to the AIRS IR footprint such that 72 visible pixels are aligned within every footprint. A prototype AIRS visible cloud mask (Gautier et al., 2003) that was developed to support earlier algorithm development efforts is also used. Although the cloud mask has not
been compared directly against benchmarks such as the MODIS cloud mask, manual inspection suggests that this cloud mask tends towards clear-sky conservative and captures many shallow, broken sub-pixel cumulus clouds.

The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) instrument on EOS Aqua is capable of observing a wide variety of land, ocean, and atmospheric variables (Platnick et al., 2017) that are co-located to the AIRS FOV. We use the Collection 6 liquid phase cloud optical thickness $\tau$ and effective radius $r_e$ retrievals from the MYD06_L2 swath product and the 1-km cloud mask from the MYD035_L2 swath product. Platnick et al. (2017) show that the $r_e$ change between C5.1 and C6 is $\pm1-2\mu$m. We have tested the differences in the pdfs between C5.1 and C6 for a subset of the data investigated and very little change in the pdfs were observed (not shown). The MODIS liquid cloud $r_e$ is used as a proxy for precipitation and is verified with the CloudSat 2C-RAIN-PROFILE (Release 4) precipitation product (L’Ecuyer and Stephens, 2002).

The MERRA instantaneous, six-hourly, native-resolution, gridded data sets at 1/2° $\times$ 2/3° (Rienecker et al., 2011) are used to assess the thermodynamic profiles derived from AIRS, assign vertical profiles of horizontal u and v wind components, and vertical profiles of pressure velocity $\omega$ in the MBL and lower free troposphere. All of the instantaneous MERRA data are spatially and temporally matched to the A-train orbit using a nearest neighbour matching approach with no time interpolation.

### 3 Methodology

Four subtropical oceanic regions that capture transitions from stratocumulus to trade cumulus are investigated. The four regions are greatly expanded in scale from those used in Klein and Hartmann (1993) to investigate the stratocumulus-topped MBL and are listed in Table 1. While all available daytime (ascending) orbits from 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2009 were used, the remaining discussion is limited to the seasons that contain the observed peak in cloud frequency listed in Table 1 (Klein and Hartmann, 1993).

Figure 1a is an example visible image for a six-minute AIRS granule within the southeast Atlantic Ocean (SEA). The visible band captures various spatial structures of clouds. The cloud mask derived from AIRS visible bands for the same granule is shown in Fig. 1b. The cloud mask is used to narrow down the spatial sampling for the following analysis. The cloud mask likely includes instances of clear sky, but the approach only requires a coarse masking approach to filter out a majority of the clear sky pixels. We will discuss implications regarding the filtering process in Section 4.

Removal of pixels containing mid- and high-level clouds helps to reduce ambiguities introduced by free tropospheric clouds and also a portion of the thermodynamic and dynamic variability associated with cloudy areas of synoptic-scale waves. Figure 2 shows the AIRS infrared $T_b$ within a clean atmospheric window at 1231 cm$^{-1}$, the cloud thermodynamic phase mask, three constant pressure levels of AIRS RH (700, 850, and 925 hPa), and the skewness of visible radiance for the same granule shown in Fig. 1. The cloud thermodynamic phase identifies some scattered ice in the northern portion of the granule. All pixels identified with ice are removed in the following analysis. Jin and Nasiri (2014) showed that AIRS successfully identifies the presence of ice within the AIRS FOV in excess of 90% of the time when compared to CALIPSO.
thermodynamic phase estimates. A similar approach is taken in Nam et al. (2012) and Myers and Norris (2015) to minimize impacts from convection and synoptic-scale weather systems. Additional occurrences of $T_{b,1231} < 273$ K that potentially contain supercooled liquid phase mid-level clouds are also removed.

As the AIRS cloud phase algorithm is based on a channel selection that exploits differences in the index of refraction for liquid and ice, the cloud amount observed in the AIRS pixel is frequently small enough that the spectral signature does not trigger a positive liquid test (e.g., Jin and Nasiri, 2014). The ECF for these unknown phase cases can simultaneously be well above the sensitivity of cloud detection (validated using CALIPSO lidar, see Kahn et al., 2014). As a result, none of the phase tests are triggered even though cloud is observed within the AIRS pixel. These unknown cases line up very well with the frequency of trade cumulus in the four regions selected.

The AIRS liquid detections coincide with uniform stratocumulus (Fig. 1) with close to normally distributed visible radiances (lower right, Fig. 2), while unknown detections correspond well to shallow cumulus with a distinctive positively skewed visible radiance, very similar to previous results obtained using liquid water path (LWP) (Wood and Hartmann, 2006; Kawai and Teixeira, 2010). Previous investigations have used free tropospheric vertical velocity to separate cloud regime types (e.g., Bony and Dufresne, 2005; Medeiros and Stevens, 2011; Nam et al., 2012). Henceforth, the two regimes defined exclusively by liquid and unknown phase detections will be generically referred to as stratocumulus and cumulus regimes, respectively. An advantage of this approach is that the temporal and spatial variations of cumulus and stratocumulus cloud areas are more precisely separated from each other.

For the AIRS/AMSU FORs containing MBL clouds, the coincident AIRS and MODIS geophysical fields are collocated. The AIRS thermodynamic phase is averaged over the entire AIRS/AMSU FOR where clear sky is equal to a value of zero. The AIRS ECF is averaged over cloudy AIRS FOVs only. The individual phase tests are summed and liquid is defined for values $< -0.8$, unknown between $-0.8$ to $+0.8$, and ice for values $> +0.8$. The MODIS cloud mask and $\tau$ are averaged over the entire AIRS/AMSU FOR. The MODIS $r_e$ is averaged only over the successful retrievals that are a subset of MODIS pixels identified as containing cloud. The nearest neighbour is matched for MERRA geophysical fields at a similarly sized spatial resolution. The mean, standard deviation, and skewness of MODIS and AIRS FOV properties are then calculated for each AIRS/AMSU FOR separately. Therefore, multiple satellite instrument and reanalysis observations at multiple spatial scales can be linked together through joint pdfs for a large combination of statistical moments. These data serve as the basis of the following investigation.

4 Results

In Section 4.1, regional-scale, seasonal averages are calculated from the pixel-scale data described in Section 3 for 90 daytime (130 pm equatorial crossing time) snapshots and are then re-gridded to $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ spatial resolution. In Section 4.2, multivariate pdfs are investigated in the context of limiting the plethora of choices among variables and statistical moments. In Sections 4.3 and 4.4, several sets of thermodynamic and microphysical pdfs are quantified and described.
Figure 3 shows the visible radianc skewness for JJA in the NEP and NEA regions, and SON in the SEP and SEA regions, with an overlay of AIRS total ECF. The coastal stratocumulus radiances are distributed approximately normally while the radiances are positively skewed away from the coast where disorganized cumulus dominates (e.g., Wood and Hartmann, 2006). Contours of the magnitude of radianc skewness closely align to the magnitude of ECF in cumulus while much less so in proximity to the coast within stratocumulus. Very poor spatial correspondence between radianc skewness and the mean value of MODIS cloud fraction was found (not shown) and is consistent with low correlations between GOES derived cloud fraction and LWP noted by Kawai and Teixeira (2010) in the SEP region. Interestingly, the average radianc skewness is larger and ECF is smaller in the NEP than the other three regions and is consistent with other satellite observations (Klein and Hartmann, 1993; Rossow and Schiffer, 1999) and surface-based observations (Wood, 2012). The patterns of radianc skewness shown in Fig. 3 also resemble typical climatological patterns of cloud sizes reported in Wood and Field (2011) and cloud texture as viewed from the Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR) (Zhao et al., 2016).

Values of MODIS total water path (TWP) skewness do not show a clear transition from normally distributed to positively skewed values in Weber et al. (2011). This further motivates the removal of mid- and high-level cloud occurrences using the AIRS phase mask that comprise anywhere from 4 to 18% of the total number of FOVs depending on the region of study (Table 1). The total number of collocated data points within each region is roughly ~180,000. However, the AIRS and MODIS cloud fields have smaller spatial resolutions that are aggregated to the AIRS/AMSU field of regard, and the raw counts for these fields number in the millions. Oreopoulos and Cahalan (2005) show that the inhomogeneity parameter calculated from MODIS LWP, rather than TWP, is most homogeneous near the coast and indicates increasing heterogeneity that extends into the cumulus regimes. We argue that the results of Oreopoulos and Cahalan (2005) are more definitive than those shown in Weber et al. (2011) and more closely resemble the gradients and magnitudes contained within Fig. 3.

There are several factors that contribute to relationships between ECF and the various moments of radianc. A reduced ECF and increased radianc skewness (Fig. 3) may indicate smaller cloud sizes but is probably not universally true. If the cloud optical thickness is decreased, the ECF is also decreased from reductions in cloud emissivity even though cloud coverage itself may remain constant. (Recall that the ECF is a convolution of emissivity and cloud fraction.) If the cloud optical thickness is fixed, the cloud emissivity remains fixed even though the cloud coverage itself and ECF could be decreased. The ECF could also be decreased (increased) if small cloud elements become more widely spaced (packed together) assuming the cloud sizes of the individual cumulus elements remain the same. With respect to the visible radiances, the radianc is decreased if cloud elements become smaller than the nominal 2.2 km pixel size assuming the optical thickness of the cloud elements does not change. Therefore, if an increased proportion of a cloud population with normally distributed radiances becomes subpixel in size, one would expect a shift towards positive skewness. If cloud distributions are spatially resolved, an increased skewness radianc is still entirely possible if the optical thickness of cloud distributions is skewed itself. However, in this investigation, the skewness of the MODIS optical thickness is less skewed at low ECF than visible...
radiance (not shown). This suggests that the skewness in the visible radiance at low ECF at least partially arises from smaller cloud sizes.

The mean MBL depth (Fig. 4) reaffirms a characteristic transition from shallow MBLs (920–970 hPa) near the coast to deeper MBLs (830–880 hPa) to the west and is a well-observed feature of the stratocumulus to cumulus transition previously observed by Karlsson et al. (2010), Teixeira et al. (2011), and others. Closest to the coast, the MBL is shallowest in the NEA and slightly deeper in the NEP. The SEA and SEP are deeper than their NH counterparts with SEP the deepest. The SEP MBL depths agree with VOCALS-REx in situ radiosonde-derived temperature inversion base heights described by Bretherton et al. (2010). Furthermore, the inter-regional differences in MBL depth show consistency with global positioning system-radio occultation (GPS-RO) data described by Chan and Wood (2013).

Differences in the moist static energy (dMSE) between 700 and 1000 hPa are calculated following the approach outlined by Kubar et al. (2012) and are shown in Fig. 4. The dMSE is calculated from quality-controlled AIRS soundings (PGood ≥ 1000 hPa) and is nearly identical to estimates from ERA-Interim shown by Kubar et al. (2012). The magnitude of dMSE is larger and positive near the coast in the SH compared to the NH and is somewhat reduced in the NEA region. Yue et al. (2011) showed that values of EIS and LTS obtained from AIRS soundings are lower in the NEA compared to the other three regions and are also consistent with Fig. 4.

Seasonal averages of AIRS RH$_{700}$ with an overlay of the corresponding MERRA-AIRS RH$_{700}$ differences are shown in Fig. 5. Wind vectors depict the mean horizontal flow. Overall, RH$_{700}$ in the SH is lower than the NH while the NEA is the moistest of the four regions and SEP the driest. MERRA is on average moister than AIRS by ~5% in the NH, nearly identical to AIRS in the SEA, and a much more spatially heterogeneous difference is observed in the SEP from the coastal proximity westward between 8–12°S.

Bretherton et al. (2010) demonstrate that the free troposphere in the SEP westward of 75°W is characteristically very dry (0.1 g kg$^{-1}$) with sporadic filaments of moist air (as high as 3-6 g kg$^{-1}$) up to an altitude of 2.5 km. In addition, these moist filaments have been observed with GPS-RO refractivity profiles by von Engeln et al. (2007). The vertical structure of RH obtained from VOCALS-REx radiosondes implies a well-mixed MBL near the coast with MBL decoupling west of 80°W.

Myers and Norris (2015) showed that 700 hPa is drier in the SH subtropics compared to the NH using ERA-Interim data. When GCMs are sampled for RICO-like conditions using representative mid-tropospheric large-scale vertical velocities as in Medeiros and Stevens (2011), a dry bias is obtained above the MBL in comparison to a composite of RICO radiosondes. The seasonal averages of AIRS RH$_{850}$, and the corresponding MERRA-AIRS RH$_{850}$ differences, are larger than that found for RH$_{700}$ (Fig. 6) and are due to temperature and water vapor weighting function widths on the order of 2–3 km (Maddy and Barnet, 2008).

In summary, the seasonal averages exhibit realistic three-dimensional spatial morphologies and gradients and show consistency with MERRA RH in the subtropical MBL. The MBL depth and seasonal variations (not shown) agree with GPS-RO (Chan and Wood, 2013). The AIRS-derived dMSE between 700 and 1000 hPa agrees with ERA-Interim (Kubar et al.,
The radiance skewness is strongly related to dMSE (Kawai and Teixeira, 2012). The AIRS ECF distributions closely correspond to well-established climatologies of cloud amount (e.g., Klein and Hartmann, 1993; Rossow and Schiffer, 1999; Wood, 2012). The vertical structure of the horizontal wind flow well represents known climatological patterns in the MBL and lower free troposphere. While the variability within each region and between the four regions is consistent with previous studies, the physical reasons for these differences are beyond the scope of the current investigation.

In the following sub-sections, an ensemble of multivariate and multi-moment pdfs is examined.

4.2 Dimensionality of multivariate pdfs

Choosing an ideal subset of variables and statistical moments to form the basis of joint histograms is a challenge. Motivated in large part to link cloud and thermodynamic properties derived from infrared and visible bands, we describe six variable combinations. The natural log frequency of occurrence is shown in gray scale from black to white and MBL depth is superimposed as contours (Fig. 7).

The MBL depth exhibits clearer patterns in the ECF dimension (Fig. 7a,c) rather than the cloud fraction dimension (Fig. 7b,d). The latter is more compressed and the gradients are weaker in both dimensions. The MBL depth is deepest for lower values of ECF, \( \tau \), and visible radiance. In addition, the MBL depth also decreases for the most reflective clouds at a given value of ECF while this behaviour is not observed for \( \tau \). An additional population of sub-pixel cumulus clouds is captured within the radiance data that is not captured in \( \tau \) data. The two other panels (Fig. 7e,f) highlight the challenges with the choice of dimensionality. In the case of radiance versus \( \tau \), while there is a strong correlation in the occurrence frequency within the more reflective clouds, the structure in the MBL depth is much less clear. In the case of cloud fraction versus ECF, the occurrence frequency is much more poorly correlated and scattered, while the MBL depth shows less structure in either dimension.

We will use radiance versus ECF (Fig. 7a) in the remainder of this work. We are not advocating that the dimensional choices made are optimal. Instead, the results motivate the use of satellite and reanalysis data building from native resolution, pixel-scale, temporally instantaneous coincidences.

4.3 Regional similarity in MBL depth

The frequencies of AMSU FORs that contain stratocumulus and cumulus are listed in Table 1. The largest differences in the gradients between stratocumulus and cumulus are found in the NEP (Fig. 8a,e), while the smallest differences are found in the NEA (Fig. 8c,g). The MBL depth is several 10s of hPa shallower in stratocumulus (Fig. 8a-d) compared to cumulus (Fig. 8e-h) in all four regions for almost every possible combination of radiance and ECF. We can conclude that the cloud amount and shortwave reflected radiation act independently of MBL depth. A small population of shallow MBL depths for ECF > 0.9 is found in cumulus (Fig. 8e-h) and is a consequence of a few stratocumulus clouds that fail to exhibit a large enough \( T_b \).
signature to trigger liquid phase tests (e.g., Kahn et al., 2011; 2014). The two cloud regimes therefore should not be considered mutually exclusive of each other.

A significant increase in MBL depth with increasing radiance is found in cumulus with a stronger relationship in the NH compared to the SH (Fig. 8e-h) at a fixed value of ECF. This is partly a result of a deeper MBL in the SEP and SEA near the coastline (Fig. 4). The exception is that the NEP, SEP, and SEA show a decrease for the most reflective clouds except for the NEA. Generally speaking the NEA is the largest outlier of the four regions for all radiance moments shown for MBL depth in Fig. 8 and is affected more by the midlatitudes than other regions. The MBL depth gradients have an approximately linear relationship with the standard deviation of radiance (Fig. 8i-l) unlike the average radiance (Fig. 8e-h). The MBL is deepest for the largest values of the standard deviation at almost all values of ECF in all four regions. This suggests that the largest values of average radiance in Fig. 8e-h are uniform in spatial structure and have some of the lowest standard deviations (Fig. 8e-h).

The radiance skewness is shown in Fig. 8m-p. There are several important features to describe. First, the MBL depth is shallower for normally distributed radiance and a sharp increase in MBL depth with increasing positive skewness is consistent with Figs. 3 and 4. Second, the change in MBL depth is somewhat greater for an identical increase in radiance skewness when compared to τ skewness (not shown). Third, the cumulus occurrences at low ECF for positive skewness > 1 are mostly absent in the τ data (not shown) but are very common in radiance data. We argue that this discrepancy has an important impact on the interpretation of the trade cumulus climatology. The gradient of MBL depth in the dimension of increasing positive skewness at low values of ECF is much greater in the radiance data where the highest data counts are found. We posit that the radiance data contain more subpixel cumulus missing in the τ data. Fourth (not shown), the AIRS cloud mask filter (Fig. 1b) is removed in order to retain all values of radiance (clear and cloudy) in the joint pdf. While the patterns of radiance skewness and MBL depth are not significantly altered when applying the cloud mask filter, many more counts with normally distributed radiances appear that indicates some leakage of weak clear-sky surface reflection. We conclude that there is a much bigger difference between the cloud mask-filtered radiance and τ, rather than between the filtered and non-filtered variants of radiance, implying a robust interpretation. Fifth, the MBL depth contours change more rapidly with skewness of τ or radiance rather than with the mean value of τ or radiance, consistent with the findings of Kawai and Teixeira (2010) where a tighter correlation with LWP skewness compared to average LWP was found.

Figure 9 shows that the dMSE in the SEP is positive in sign and largest in magnitude for larger values of ECF and normally distributed radiance (other regimes are similar and are not shown). In the case of radiance skewness, contours of constant dMSE track closely to the occurrence frequency through much of the joint pdfs, with a reduction of dMSE to values less than zero at a fixed value of ECF as positively skewed radiances increases. This behavior is similar to MBL depth (Fig. 8f) and suggests that instantaneous values of dMSE correlate well with small-scale cloud variability. This is not inconsistent with LTS and dMSE correlating well with larger-scale atmospheric thermodynamic structure on much longer time scales. Kawai and Teixeira (2012) showed that the skewness of LWP varies from +1 to +2 for cloud amounts of 90–100%, and up to +1.5
to +3.5 for cloud amounts < 30%. Furthermore, Kawai and Teixeira (2010) found that the highest correlations occur between LWP homogeneity, skewness and kurtosis to different measures of temperature and moisture differences from the surface to 850 hPa, rather than to values of EIS and LTS.

4.4 Relating meteorology and microphysical processes

Nuijens et al. (2009) describe Rain in Cumulus over the Ocean (RICO) field campaign observations that illustrate fundamental physical relationships between cloud cover, wind speed and direction, the vertical structure of RH, and precipitation frequency and intensity within precipitating shallow trade cumulus. The observations can be grouped into three fairly distinct cumulus regimes: (i) low cloud fraction with little to no precipitation characterized by low values of \( \mu \) and a drier MBL; (ii) an increase in cloud fraction with some light precipitation characterized by low values of \( \mu \) and elevated RH between 800-1000 hPa; (iii) a further increase in cloud fraction with light precipitation and some isolated heavier events characterized by higher values of \( \mu \) and a large increase in RH between 650-900 hPa. A key observational difference among the three regimes is the variation of RH within the MBL (800-1000 hPa), and near the top of the MBL extending into the lower free troposphere (650-900 hPa). The width of these layers is similar to the AIRS 700 and 925 hPa temperature and specific humidity weighting functions. Even though the RICO observations do not fall within any of the four regions listed in Table 1, Medeiros and Nuijens (2016) show that the observational site is applicable to the trade regime as a whole across the globe. Thus our approach is to determine if similar relationships shown in Nuijens et al. (2009) exist in cumulus for the regions listed in Table 1.

Figure 10 shows the MODIS derived \( r_e \) for stratocumulus (Fig. 10a-d) and cumulus (Fig. 10e-p) that are limited to successful retrievals (no PCL pixels are included). There are several prominent features in the histograms. First, the stratocumulus \( r_e \) is about 11 to 12 \( \mu m \) throughout most of the pdf in all four regions. An exception is the increase of \( r_e \) by several \( \mu m \) when average radiance and ECF are reduced (Fig. 10a-d). While these particular MODIS pixels were successful, cloud horizontal inhomogeneity causes larger \( r_e \) within this population of clouds because of the plane parallel homogeneous bias (Cho et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). Cloud inhomogeneity may also lead to significant 3-D radiative transfer effects but these tend to cause both larger and smaller \( r_e \) in similar proportions (Zhang et al., 2012). Second, the NEA region (Fig. 10g) is most dissimilar to the other three regions for average (Figs. 10e-h), standard deviation (Fig. 10i-l) and skewness (Fig. 10m-p).

Third, \( r_e \) is largest along the axis of maximum counts with values upwards of 16 to 20 \( \mu m \) in the SEP, 15-18 \( \mu m \) in the SEA, and 14–17 \( \mu m \) in the NEP. The largest values in the NEA are confined to the most skewed radiances unlike the other three regions. Fourth, in the cleaner SH, the values of \( r_e \) appear to be more tightly coupled to cloud microphysical processes that respond to changing wind speed and a deepening MBL.

One general interpretation of the larger \( r_e \) in cumulus (Fig. 10e-h) when contrasted to stratocumulus (Fig. 10a-d) is that it is caused by increased inhomogeneity of cumulus (Zhang et al., 2012), retrieval failures and partly cloudy pixels (Cho et al., 2015), and view angle biases (e.g., Liang et al., 2015) that are further coupled together with other factors at play (Zhang et al., 2016). The aforementioned issues may still impact a successful \( r_e \) retrieval. However, we offer evidence that the increase
in $r_e$ is also consistent with environmental variability that in turn is consistent with droplet growth and precipitation. The contours of $r_e$ correspond very closely to the magnitude of the $u$-component of wind speed at 925 hPa ($u_{925}$) (see Fig. 12) and other levels in the MBL (not shown), suggesting a link between cloud droplet growth, light rain, and dynamical variability. The somewhat larger $r_e$ in the SH is consistent with droplet growth in a cleaner environment (Suzuki et al., 2010a,b).

Successful retrievals may be more frequently precipitating, either because of larger $r_e$ in the cloud, or because the plane parallel homogeneous bias is larger in precipitating clouds.

To determine if the elevated $r_e$ along the axis of maximum counts is associated with increased precipitation frequency, collocated matchups of the CloudSat precipitation rate are used to determine which AMSU FOVs contain occurrences of precipitation. Figure 11 shows results for the SEP region. The radiance skewness for the full AIRS/AMSU/MODIS swath in Fig. 10n is restricted to the CloudSat ground track in Fig. 11a. The counts are reduced by a factor of ~30 as expected. There are some subtle changes in the $r_e$ distribution showing an increase of 2-3 μm with increasing skewness at a fixed value of ECF. Figure 11b shows the proportion of the pdf that contains at a minimum the natural log(2) counts of precipitation occurrence within each bin. About 20-50% of the AMSU FOVs are precipitating according to CloudSat within the pdf of Fig. 11a. The precipitation frequency is consistent with Rapp et al. (2013) where up to 40% of clouds precipitate in the cumulus regime. Little to no precipitation occurs outside of the central portion of the pdf in Fig. 11a. The highly skewed cumulus with ECF<0.2 appear to be exhibiting large $r_e$ biases due to visible radiance inhomogeneity (Cho et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). We also point out that the population of clouds detected by CloudSat that have ECF>0.95 (Fig. 11b) are associated with very little precipitation and is consistent with the spatial distributions described by Rapp et al. (2013). Figure 12 shows $\theta_{e,700}$, $u_{925}$, $\omega_{700}$, and $\omega_{925}$. The $\theta_{700}$ (not shown) is nearly identical among all regions with $\theta_{700}=314$ K ± 1 K.

Thus, the structure in $\theta_{e,700}$ (Fig. 12a-d) is driven by variations in specific humidity. For a fixed value of ECF, the clouds with the lowest and highest values of radiance are associated with moistening of the lower free troposphere. Using climatological averages, Myers and Norris (2015) show that shortwave observations from CERES, cloud fraction estimates from ISCCP and CALIPSO, and RH$_{700}$ and $\omega_{700}$ from ERA-Interim reflect aspects of Fig. 12 and, namely, that more reflected shortwave is associated with increased cloud fraction and decreased $\omega_{700}$.

The highest values of $\theta_{e,925}$ (not shown) occur along the axis of highest counts while reductions in $\theta_{e,925}$ occur for the least and most reflective clouds at a fixed value of ECF. This is the case for the NEP, SEP, and SEA, but the NEA is an outlier and shows a constant increase as seen with RH and MBL depth. Unlike 700 hPa, $\theta_{925}$ is more variable (not shown) between the four regions but is generally 2 K or less.

The $u_{925}$ is largest (Fig. 12e-h) when the pdf has the largest counts and very closely resembles $r_e$ in Fig. 10e-h. The subtle differences in the contours in Fig. 10e-h and Fig. 12e-h align very well, suggesting a tight correlation between the two parameters. The magnitude of $u_{925}$ is larger than $u_{700}$ (not shown) consistent with RICO (Nuijens and Stevens, 2009). The $\omega_{700}$ fields (Fig. 12i-l) exhibit minimal correspondence with average radiance and ECF in the NH regimes with a weak correspondence in the average radiance in the SH regions. The $\omega_{925}$ fields (Fig. 12m-p) show larger gradients in all four
regions. The $\omega_{925}$ decreases with increasing radiance in all regions similar to that shown in Myers and Norris (2015), with a slightly noisier pattern in $\omega_{925}$ observed in the NH regimes. The decrease of $\omega_{925}$ with increasing radiance is consistent with a deeper MBL (Fig. 8e-h) and larger $\tau$. Where $u_{925}$ (Fig. 12e-h) increases, $\omega_{925}$ (Fig. 12m-p) decreases and RH$_{925}$ increases (not shown). The largest values of $r_e$ (Fig. 10e-h) also correspond to the above tendencies, consistent with the concept of more frequent precipitating clouds within a windier and deeper MBL (Nuijens and Stevens, 2012).

The joint pdfs imply simultaneous increases in $\theta_e$, $\theta_e$, $\omega_{925}$, and by extension RH$_{700}$ and RH$_{925}$, $u_{925}$, and ECF in three of the four regions investigated (NEP, SEP, and SEA) with a particularly strong relationship between $u_{925}$ and $r_e$. The NEA is somewhat of an outlier although this is based on one seasons’ worth of data during 2009. There is much variability across the trade cumulus regime as it is not a single homogeneous entity.

5 Summary and Conclusions

The global-scale relationships of cloud fraction and spatial variability to thermodynamic and dynamic properties of the atmosphere remain poorly understood. The NASA A-train (Stephens et al., 2002) provides a wealth of remote sensing data about the microphysics and thermodynamics of the cloudy MBL. The Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA; Rienecker et al., 2011) offers a complementary set of thermodynamic and dynamic variables that helps establish context for coincident remote sensing observations. The synergy between satellite and reanalysis data at the native spatial and temporal resolutions available has not been fully exploited to date. We describe an approach that leverages coincident reanalysis and remote sensing data at the native resolution of the observations. The spatial variability of clouds, and the relationship to thermodynamic and dynamic state variables, is thus inferred using the finest temporal and spatial resolutions available.

Four subtropical oceanic regions that capture transitions from stratocumulus to trade cumulus are investigated. We define two regimes based exclusively on liquid and unknown cloud thermodynamic phase detections with the AIRS instrument, and generically refer to them as stratocumulus and cumulus regimes, respectively. The mean, standard deviation, and skewness of MODIS and AIRS FOV cloud properties and visible radiances are calculated for each AIRS and MERRA temperature and humidity observation.

As with previous findings, coastal stratocumulus radiances are approximately normally distributed while the radiances are positively skewed away from the coast where disorganized cumulus dominates. The radiance skewness closely aligns to the magnitude of AIRS effective cloud fraction (ECF) in cumulus with less correspondence in stratocumulus. Strong (poor) spatial correspondence between radiance skewness and AIRS ECF (MODIS cloud fraction) was found suggesting infrared-based ECF is a potentially valuable diagnostic for MBL cloud characterization. The mean MBL depth derived from AIRS (Martins et al., 2010) shows a characteristic transition from shallow MBLs (920–970 hPa) near the coast to deeper MBLs (830–880 hPa) away from the coast and is a well-observed feature of the stratocumulus to cumulus transition (e.g., Teixeira et al., 2011). The AIRS-derived moist static energy differences (dMSE) between 700 and 1000 hPa agree very well with
ERA-Interim (Kubar et al., 2012). We find that the radiance skewness is strongly related to the magnitude of dMSE as previously found by Kawai and Teixeira (2012). The MBL depth is shallower for stratocumulus than cumulus.

The change in MBL depth is somewhat greater for an identical increase in radiance skewness when compared to τ skewness. The population of cumulus occurrences at low ECF for positive skewness > 1 are mostly absent in the τ data but are very common in radiance data. This highlights the importance of understanding the sampling from derived Level 2 products compared to Level 1 radiances that may capture a fuller range of the geophysical state in different cloud regimes.

The re in stratocumulus is about 11 to 12 µm for most values of radiance and ECF in all four regions of study. For cumulus, re ranges anywhere from 12 to 20 µm, with larger re for increasing positive skewness especially when ECF is small. The values of re appear to be tightly coupled to cloud microphysical processes that respond to changing MBL wind speed and a deepening MBL. We argue that for these successful MODIS retrievals, the increase in re is consistent with increased droplet growth and hence precipitation occurrence. This may be caused by larger re in the cloud itself or that precipitating clouds are associated with an increased subpixel inhomogeneity that leads to the plane parallel homogeneous bias; this topic warrants further investigation. In the SEP region, the elevated values of re that correspond with the increased u925 are more frequently precipitating according to CloudSat.

The Rain in Cumulus over the Ocean (RICO) observations provide an important multi-parameter testing benchmark (Nuijens et al., 2009). These results are generalized into three types of shallow precipitating cumulus regimes observed during RICO. The joint pdfs imply simultaneous increases in θe,700, θe,925, u925, and ECF in three of the four regions investigated (NEP, SEP, and SEA) with a strong correspondence between u925 and re. The NEA less clearly follows these behaviors and is an outlier, although this is based on one seasons’ worth of data during 2009.

Future work will expand to other cloud regimes, additional data sets, and multiple years of data. A similar approach with numerical model output should also be attempted using temporal snapshots of similar geophysical fields. We expect that this approach will be especially useful for linking cloud microphysics together with the thermodynamic and dynamic state of the atmosphere at the process scale.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Abbrev</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>% Sc</th>
<th>% Cu</th>
<th>% Other</th>
<th>% Clr</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Pacific Ocean</td>
<td>NEP</td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>15°N–35°N 110°W–150°W</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>186133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Atlantic Ocean</td>
<td>NEA</td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>15°N–35°N 10°W–50°W</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>183798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Pacific Ocean</td>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>5°S–25°S 70°W–110°W</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>184208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Atlantic Ocean</td>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>5°S–25°S 25°W–15°E</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>180668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The four regions investigated in this study are greatly expanded in area from Klein and Hartmann (1993). The four columns with percentages and total counts are defined at the AIRS/AMSU field of regard (FOR) spatial scale. The three cloudy categories indicate whether clouds of that type occur with any frequency within the AIRS/AMSU FOR. Clear is defined over the entire AIRS/AMSU FOR and are therefore very infrequent.
Figure 1. AIRS version 5 visible channel 4 radiance (0.49–0.94 µm) at a nadir spatial resolution of 2.28 km (left), and AIRS cloud mask (binary clear and cloudy) determined from visible channel thresholds (right). See Gautier et al. [2003] for more details.
Figure 2. AIRS (a) RH_{925} (%), (b) RH_{850} (%), (c) RH_{700} (%), (d) 1231 cm\(^{-1}\) T\(_b\) (K), (e) cloud thermodynamic phase, and (f) radiance skewness from visible channel 4. The granule is identical to the one shown in Fig. 1.
Figure 3. Radiance skewness for regions listed in Table 1: (a) NEP, (b) NEA, (c), SEP, and (d) SEA. The AIRS ECF is overlaid as white contours.
Figure 4. MBL depth (hPa) for regions listed in Table 1: (a) NEP, (b) NEA, (c) SEP, and (d) SEA. The AIRS 1000-700 hPa dMSE is overlaid in white contours (solid are for positive and dashed for negative).
Figure 5. AIRS RH$_{700}$ (%) for regions listed in Table 1: (a) NEP, (b) NEA, (c), SEP, and (d) SEA. The MERRA-AIRS RH$_{700}$ difference is shown as white contours (solid implies MERRA is moister, and dashed implies AIRS is moister). The length and direction of the arrows depict the 700 hPa wind vectors from MERRA.
Figure 6. AIRS RH$_{850}$ (%) for regions listed in Table 1: (a) NEP, (b) NEA, (c) SEP, and (d) SEA. The MERRA-AIRS RH$_{850}$ difference is shown as white contours (solid implies MERRA is moister, and dashed implies AIRS is moister). The length and direction of the arrows depict the 850 hPa wind vectors from MERRA.
Figure 7. Shown are joint pdfs for six different combinations of variables that are described in Section 4.2: (a) radiance versus AIRS ECF, (b) radiance versus MODIS CF, (c) MODIS $\tau$ versus AIRS ECF, (d) MODIS $\tau$ versus MODIS CF, (e) radiance versus MODIS $\tau$, and (f) MODIS CF versus AIRS ECF. The grey scale is the natural log of total counts per bin. All values in the pdfs shown are for the cumulus regime. The color contours depict the MBL depth (hPa).
Figure 8. Joint pdfs of visible radiance versus ECF for the four spatial regions listed in Table 1. The SEP in Fig.7 is repeated here for clarity.
Figure 9. Joint pdfs of visible radiance average (left) and skewness (right) versus ECF for the SEP with dMSE depth as the overlay field. Other regions are very similar and are not shown for reasons of brevity.
Figure 10. Joint pdfs of visible radiance skewness versus ECF for the four regions listed in Table 1, and the overlay field is $r_e$. 
Figure 11. (a) Same as Fig. 10n except sampling restricted to AMSU FORs that contain the CloudSat ground track. (b) Samples of the data in (a) that contain detected precipitation according to CloudSat.
Figure 12. Joint pdfs of 700 hPa $\theta_{{\text{e}}}$, $u_{{925}}$, $\omega_{{700}}$, and $\omega_{{925}}$ for the four regions listed in Table 1.