Observations and source investigations of the boundary layer BrO in the Ny-Ålesund Arctic
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Abstract. During polar spring, the presence of reactive bromine in the polar boundary layer is considered to be the main cause of ozone depletion and mercury deposition. However, many uncertainties still remain in understanding the mechanisms of the chemical process and source of the bromine. As the Arctic sea ice has recently been dramatically reduced, it is critical to investigate the mechanisms using more accurate measurements with higher temporal and spatial resolution. In this study, a typical process of enhanced bromine and depleted ozone in the Ny-Ålesund boundary layer in late April 2015 was observed by applying ground-based Multi-Axis-Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (MAX-DOAS) technique. The results showed that there were BrO slant columns as high as $5.6 \times 10^{14}$ molec.cm$^{-2}$ above the Kings Bay area on 26 April. Meanwhile, the boundary layer ozone and gaseous elemental mercury (GEM) were synchronously reduced by 85% and 90%, respectively. Based on the meteorology, sea ice distribution and air mass history, the sea ice in the Kings Bay area, which emerged for only a very short period of time when the enhanced BrO was observed, was considered to be the major source of this bromine enhancement event. The oxidized GEM may be directly deposited onto snow/ice and thereby influence the polar ecosystem.

1 Introduction

Bromine monoxide is one of the key reactive halogen species that has profound impacts on the atmospheric chemistry of the polar boundary layer (PBL), especially the oxidative capacity of the troposphere (Saiz-Lopez and von Glasow, 2012). The presence of reactive bromine (in some situations called “bromine explosion”) is considered to be the main cause of the depletion of boundary layer ozone, called “ozone depletion events” (ODEs) (Platt and Höninger, 2003). Furthermore, halogens can efficiently oxidize gas-phase mercury, which can lead to a decrease of gaseous mercury, called “atmospheric mercury depletion events (AMDEs)” (Ariya et al., 2002; Ariya et al., 2004; Lindberg et al., 2002; Lu et al., 2001; Steffen et al., 2008). Enhanced BrO was first detected by Long Path Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (LP-DOAS) observations (Platt, 1994). Satellite measurements confirmed that the phenomenon of bromine enhancement covers larger areas of polar regions by deriving daily global BrO maps (Richter et al., 1998; Platt and Wagner, 1998; Wagner et al., 2001; Sihler et al., 2013). The primary source of reactive bromine has been
explained by a series of photochemical and heterogeneous reactions at the surface of the frozen ocean during polar spring (Fan and Jacob, 1992). A typical heterogeneous reaction model between the gaseous and condensed phases is shown in Fig. 1. Bromine is released from ice surfaces to the atmosphere in an autocatalytic chemical mechanism that oxidizes bromide to reactive bromine. The reaction of HOBr is proposed to be the catalyst that drives the recycling reaction, which is an acid-catalyzed reaction (Simpson et al., 2007). Sea-ice (first year) surfaces, brine, and frost flowers have been considered as possible sources (Kaleschke et al., 2004) (Lehrer et al., 2004).

However, the actual situation is that the ODEs do not always occur concurrently with episodes of BrO enhancement. There are only few reports of Arctic ODEs that are assumed to have been observed primarily as a result of local-scale chemical mechanisms (Bottenheim et al., 2009; Jacobi et al., 2006). As the photochemical reactions happen quickly and the lifetimes of the intermediate products (e.g. the reactive bromine radicals) are quite short, more accurate data with a higher temporal resolution are needed to analyze the chemical process in the PBL and investigate the source of bromine.

The MAX-DOAS (Multi-AXis Differential Optical Absorption Spectrometer) technique has the advantage of being able to clearly separate the tropospheric and stratospheric portions of the atmospheric column and even derive a crude vertical profile (Frieß et al., 2011). When pointing to a direction slightly above the horizon, the spectrometer can obtain high sensitivities for the trace gases close to the ground due to the long light path through the trace gas layers. This technique is also an important calibration of satellite observations, which have lower spatial and temporal resolutions compared with ground-based measurements. In the Arctic area, ground-based MAX-DOAS observations have been made at Barrow, Alaska (71° N, 157° W), Alert, northern Canada (82.5° N, 62.3° W) and Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard (78.9° N, 11.8° E) (Tab.1). Additionally, air-borne (Neuman et al., 2010; Pöhler et al., 2013) and ship-borne measurements (Bottenheim et al., 2009; Jacobi et al., 2006; Leser et al., 2003; Wagner et al., 2007) are important supplements for the analysis and modeling of bromine chemistry.

However, recently, Arctic sea ice coverage has dramatically reduced, especially at East Greenland and north of Europe. Influenced by the North Atlantic Warm Current (NAWC), the near-surface air temperatures and sea-surface temperatures (SST) are becoming higher in northern Europe (Fig. 2). In recent years, Kings Bay in Ny-Ålesund has ice-free open water all year round, which is a unique characteristic compared with other parts at the same latitude in the Arctic. Therefore, it is critical to have a better understanding of the possible sources of the reactive bromine and the impact of halogen activation on PBL ozone depletion and mercury deposition within a rapidly changing Arctic. In this study, an event of enhanced bromine and depleted ozone in Ny-Ålesund was caught in late April. The key role of bromine was confirmed by ground-based MAX-DOAS measurements. This event provides a rare opportunity to investigate the source of bromine and the process of ozone depletion at this area.
2 Instruments and methods

2.1 Instrument setup

The MAX-DOAS measurement site is located at Yellow River Station (78°55’30” N, 11°55’20” E) at Ny-Ålesund on the west coast of Spitsbergen. The observation position is shown in Fig. 3. To give a rough idea of the climate conditions, monthly mean sea ice concentration anomalies and air temperature anomalies during April 2015 are shown in Fig. 2. Observations were obtained from 25 April to 15 May 2015. Due to the wavelength adjustment, no data were available during a short period from 28 to 29 April.

The MAX-DOAS instrument operated at Ny-Ålesund consists of both indoor and outdoor parts. The telescope receives scattered sunlight from multiple angles and is controlled by a stepper motor to adjust elevation angles from horizon (0°) to zenith (90°). The field of view of the telescope is approximately 1°. The scattered sun light is imported through the quartz fiber with a numerical aperture of 0.22 into the indoor spectrograph (Ocean Optics MAYA pro) with a one-dimensional CCD array (ILX511 linear array CCD) containing 2068 pixels. The wavelength range of the spectrograph is from 290 nm to 420 nm, thus enabling the analysis of trace gases including O₃, NO₂, BrO, OClO, HCHO, and O₄. The spectral resolution is approximately 0.5 nm (FWHM). The CCD detector is cooled at -30°C, while the whole spectrometer is thermally stabilized at +20°C using a thermal controller. A computer sets the configuration of the system and controls the automatic measurements. The integration time (typically ranging from 100 ms to 2000 ms in multiple of 100 scans) of each measurement depends on the intensity of scattered light, which can be influenced by clouds and visibility. A standard mercury lamp is used for spectra calibration. Calibration measurements of dark current and offset are performed after each measurement.

The telescope is pointed towards the northeast direction, which covers the Kings Bay area (Fig. 3). Kings Bay is an inlet on the west coast of Spitsbergen, one part of the Svalbard archipelago in the Arctic Ocean. The inlet is 26 km long and 6 to 14 km wide. The range of MAX-DOAS measurement is an area with a radius of approximately 10 km, which covers the central area of the fjord. The sequence of elevation angles is 2°, 3°, 4°, 6°, 8°, 10°, 15°, 30° and 90° above the horizon.

2.2 Data evaluation

The spectra, measured with the setup described above, are analyzed using the well-established DOAS retrieving method (Platt, 1994). The wavelength calibration is performed using the QDOAS software developed by the Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA) by fitting the reference spectrum to a high-resolution Fraunhofer spectrum (Kurucz et al., 1988). The spectral analysis of BrO is performed at 340-359 nm, encompassing three BrO absorption bands, which improves the accuracy of the inversion. O₃ (223K, 243K) (Bogumil et al., 2003; Vandaele et al., 1998),
NO$_2$ (298K, 220K) (Vandaele et al., 1998), O$_4$ (Hermans et al., 2003), BrO (228K) (Wilmouth et al., 1999), OCIO (233K) (Kromminga et al., 2003), and Ring Structure (Chance and Spurr, 1997) are involved in the inversion algorithm. The O$_4$ retrieval is performed using the same set of cross sections as for BrO but in the wavelength interval of 340-370 nm. The high-resolution cross sections are convoluted with the instrument slit function determined by measuring the emission line of a mercury lamp. A fifth-order polynomial is applied to eliminate the broad band structures in the spectra caused by Rayleigh and Mie scattering. Furthermore, a nonlinear intensity offset is included in the fit to account for possible instrumental stray light. A wavelength shift and stretch of the spectra are allowed in the fit in order to compensate for small changes in the spectral adjustment of the spectrograph.

The fit procedure yields differential slant column densities (DSCD) using zenith sky measurements of each sequence as Fraunhofer reference for the analysis, which eliminates the influence of stratospheric BrO change. An example of the fit result of BrO is shown in Fig. 4. The spectrum was recorded on 26 April 2015 19:59 UTC (SZA=86°) at the elevation angle of 2°. The BrO DSCD is 5.10×10$^{14}$ molec.cm$^{-2}$. The residual root mean square is 4.59×10$^{-4}$, resulting in a statistical BrO DSCD error of 1.63×10$^{13}$ molec.cm$^{-2}$.

Since DSCDs are dependent on the light path, wavelength and observation geometry, DSCDs are then converted to vertical column density (VCD) by dividing by the differential air mass factor (DAMF), which is the averaged light path enhancement for solar light traveling through the atmosphere compared to a straight vertical path.

We perform the radiative transfer modeling (RTM) simulations using SCIATRAN software (Rozanov et al., 2005) to obtain the modeled DAMF using five different assumed BrO profiles with evenly distributed air masses: a. 0-0.5 km; b. 0-1 km; c. 0-2 km; d. 0.5-1 km; e. 1-2 km (Fig. 5a). The models are performed under clear sky conditions with no aerosol input. Remarkable differences exist for different input profiles. For the BrO layers of 0-0.5 km, 0-1 km and 0-2 km, the DAMFs all increase with decreasing elevation angles. However, for the BrO layers of 0.5-1 km and 1-2 km, the dependence on the telescope elevation angle is weaker, especially at small elevation angles.

The modeled BrO DSCDs for different input BrO profiles are shown in Fig. 5b. The input BrO VCD is 5×10$^{13}$ molecules/cm$^2$. The measured BrO DSCDs from 26 April 20:00 (UTC) to 27 April 04:00 (UTC) are also plotted (Fig. 5c). Since the inaccuracy of modeled BrO becomes larger at lower elevation angles, elevation angles of $\geq$8° should receive more attention. From Fig. 5b, we can obviously see that the measured BrO DSCDs are best reproduced by the model for layer 0-1 km before midnight. This suggests that the BrO layer between 0-1 km can be considered as the most likely distribution. Thereby, BrO volume mixing ratios (VMR) are calculated assuming a homogeneous BrO layer with a thickness of 1 km at the surface.
Ny-Ålesund is a science community hosting over fifteen permanent research stations. Atmospheric measurements have been measured continuously at Zeppelin Station, Ny-Ålesund since 1990. Located on Zeppelin Mountain, with an altitude of 474 meters a.s.l., it is a background atmosphere observatory operated by the Norwegian Polar Institute (NPI) and the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), which are part of the Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) Framework. At the Zeppelin Station, surface ozone was measured by UV photometry, and gaseous mercury in the air was measured using a Tekran mercury detector. Hourly surface ozone and gaseous mercury data are downloaded from the EBAS database (Tørseth et al., 2012).

Meteorology data, including temperature, air pressure, relative humidity, wind direction and velocity, and global radiation data are recorded by the AWIPEV Atmospheric Observatory in Ny-Ålesund. According to the radiosonde records of temperature, humidity and wind speed from AWIPEV, the height of the troposphere is approximately 8000 m and the height of the boundary layer is approximately 1200 m at Ny-Ålesund.

A webcam on the 474 m Zeppelin Mountain records the sea ice change of Kings Bay and the cloud situation of Ny-Ålesund. (https://data.npolar.no/_file/zeppelin/camera/)


Using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model via the NASA ARL READY website (http://www.ready.noaa.gov/ready/open/hysplit4.html) (Draxler and Rolph, 2013; Stein et al., 2015), back trajectory analyses were carried out to determine the history of air masses. Back trajectories of 72 hours were driven by meteorological fields from the NCEP Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) model output.

3 Results

The time series of BrO DSCDs at 2°, surface ozone concentrations, solar zenith angle (SZA), air pressure, air temperature, relative humidity, wind velocity and wind direction from 25 April to 15 May are presented in Fig. 6. Starting from late afternoon in 26 April, BrO DSCDs clearly exceeded the background levels and peaked at 5.6x10^{14} molec.cm^{-2}. In the same period, surface ozone sharply decreased from ~80 ppb to several ppb and did not recover to normal values until 29 April. During this period, the wind velocity changed frequently between 1-7 m/s, with unstable wind directions and mixing heights. Over a period of one week, elevated BrO levels went back down to the detection limit by 4 May under a stable boundary layer. During 4-5 May, partial ozone (not near the zero level) was depleted in
the absence of BrO.

The time series of BrO DSCDs from 26 April 14:00 (UTC) to 28 April 12:00 (UTC) at every elevation angle (2°, 3°, 4°, 6°, 8°, 10°, 15°, 30°) are plotted in Fig. 7. Results of different elevation angles were obviously distributed during the BrO enhancement period. However, the differences in the BrO DSCDs ≤ 4° are very small (upright plot in Fig. 7), indicating that the highest value of BrO is probably not above the surface. To better understand the vertical distribution of reactive bromine at the Arctic boundary layer, a comparison between the measured BrO DSCDs from the MAX-DOAS measurements with the modeled ones from the SCIATRAN model is performed (Fig. 5). The measured BrO DSCDs best match the model for the 0-1 km layer during the enhancement, which means that the BrO enhancement event was a regional rather than an in situ process.

The sunshine duration, SZA, BrO DSCDs from the MAX-DOAS at a 2° elevation angle, BrO volume mixing ratio, surface ozone and gaseous mercury data from 26-28 April are plotted in Fig. 8. The BrO VMRs were calculated assuming a 0-1 km layer of the BrO profile. The highest BrO VMR is approximately 15 pptv during the ODE. Ozone, as well as gaseous mercury, dropped extremely fast right after the enhancement of BrO. However, there seems to be insufficient reactive bromine present locally in the boundary layer since the ozone slowly increases just four hours later (at 26 April 21:00 UTC). Afterwards, both ozone and mercury have a slow recovery with a fluctuation on 27 April. A tiny increase of BrO occurs around 27 April 20:00 (UTC). This could be explained by the fact that Br/BrO photochemistry reactions are taking places where there is enough ozone to react. When ozone drops to the lower limit of the reaction, the reaction of Br+O₃ → BrO+O₂ would stop (i.e., the situation observed on the night of 26 April). When ozone recovers to a certain level, the reaction starts again.

4 Discussion

In this research, high concentrations of tropospheric BrO have been detected using the ground-based MAX-DOAS technique. A BrO column as high as 5.6×10¹⁴ molec.cm⁻² was detected above Kings Bay, Ny-Ålesund. The retrieval shows that the enhancement occurred accompanied by severe ozone depletion and mercury deposition.

The possible sources of the reactive bromine are newly formed sea ice and frost flowers, which can provide highly concentrated saline surfaces, and also sea salt aerosol. The transport of air masses that already contain elevated BrO or precursors and depleted ozone, is another possible source of enhanced BrO. Therefore, we investigated the history of the air masses arriving at the measurement site using backward trajectories. Furthermore, the sea ice distribution and satellite BrO maps (Fig. 10) also provide important information.

This enhancement event represented a good opportunity to investigate the source of the BrO and its impact on the environment of the Arctic boundary layer. These issues are discussed in detail in the following sections based on the
air mass history, sea ice distribution, and ozone loss and mercury deposition data.

4.1 History of air masses

To find the details of the air mass origin, 72-hour backward trajectories at altitudes of 10 and 500 m a.s.l. ending at 27 April 18:00 (UTC) were calculated every 6 hours (Fig. 9a). This calculation shows that air masses at both altitudes have a discontinuous origin. Then, we calculated the air mass backward trajectory ending at 26 April 18:00 (UTC) for every hour (Fig. 9b). This calculation shows that the air mass has different origin before/after 26 April 15:00 (UTC). The wind direction changed to the north direction with higher velocity. After, the air mass had a relatively stable origin from a height of 1000 m. More trajectory calculations from 22 April to 30 April are shown in Appendix Fig. A1 and Fig. A2 for purposes of comparisons. From the GOME-2 BrO VCD maps from 24 April to 27 April (Fig. 10), we found that enhanced BrO was observed at the east of Greenland (red box), far north of Siberia (blue circle) and east of Spitsbergen (black box) during the period of interest and the days before. The BrO maps from other days (20 April to 13 May 2015) are shown in Appendix Fig. A3.

Combining the GOME-2 BrO maps and the trajectory calculations, the source of air masses can be discussed in detail. First, trajectory calculations showed that transport from the east coast of Greenland and east coast of Spitsbergen is not possible. Thus, transport from these areas of enhanced BrO can most likely be ruled out. Second, trajectories also showed that after 26 April 16:00 (UTC), transport from the north occurred, which means the high BrO in the blue circle might have influenced this event. However, a) the altitude of the air mass is reaches up to 1000 m; b) there is no enhancement along the path; and c) the time scale is unreasonable. The BrO enhancement we found by the ground-based MAX-DOAS, as well as ozone loss, only lasted for several hours. However, the high level of BrO in the blue circle area lasted for more than one day. Additionally, the transport of air masses may be the reason that the BrO concentrations were slow to return to normal values until 3 May.

4.2 Sea ice distribution

The observations of sea ice concentration from the AMSR-E and Zeppelin webcam indicated that Kings Bay was an ice-free water area during the measurement period. However, large amounts of sea ice appeared at Kings Bay on 26 April (Fig. 11), floating from the bay entrance by both wind and tidal forces, which is an unusual phenomenon in the fjord.. The shape of sea ice comprised broken ice pieces with irregular borders. Ice-sea-water mixture filled in the gaps between sea ice. From the shape of the ice in Fig. 11, the sea ice did not look like newly formed sea ice because of its irregular pieces and corrugated edges. Therefore, we consider that the sea ice was formed before floating in the bay and transformed into the ice-water mixture when it came across sharply dropped temperatures.
The chemical and meteorological information from the start of 26 April to noon on 28 April are shown together in Fig. 12. When ozone depletion/BrO enhancement occurs, the air temperature continuously decreases, and the relative humidity drops from 80% to less than 65%, with the wind direction switching from northwest to east. The concurrent changes in the chemical and meteorological variables demonstrate that changes in observed chemistry are evident because of changes in transport, albeit on a small scale.

It is also worth noting that the time periods when the sea ice existed and the time BrO started to become enhanced (and the ozone was depleted) were not exactly the same. Fig. 8 and Fig. 12 indicated that the ozone loss started from 26 April 14:00 (UTC). As described above, the sea ice existed in the fjord after 26 April 20:00 (UTC). This observation makes the synchronizing variations in BrO and ozone, as well as the 0-1 km distribution, reasonable.

Therefore, this BrO enhancement event is more likely a regional process mainly influenced by the local environment. The sea ice is not totally fresh ice, but the low air and water temperatures during this period may have caused the formation of the brine ice mixture, which is the source of the bromine radicals. The surface ozone concentrations increased along with the melting of sea ice, which indicated that the life spans of the BrO radicals are very short. The reactive bromine radicals gradually transformed to soluble bromide (e.g., HOBr), which explained the sink of bromine (Fan and Jacob, 1992).

4.3 Mercury deposition

The deposition of gaseous mercury occurred concurrently with tropospheric ozone depletion, as well as the enhancement of BrO (Fig. 13), which indicates that the oxidation of GEM by reactive halogen species (Br atoms and BrO radicals) is considered to be the key process of mercury depletion. The GEM decreases from ~2 ng m\(^{-3}\) to lower than 0.3 ng m\(^{-3}\) during the BrO enhancement event. The oxidized GEM may be directly deposited onto snow/ice or associated with particles in the air that can subsequently be deposited onto snow and ice surfaces and thereby threatens polar ecosystems and human health.

5 Conclusions

The typical process of enhanced bromine and depleted ozone in the Ny-Ålesund boundary layer was observed using ground-based MAX-DOAS techniques in late April 2015. BrO DSCDs as high as 5.6×10\(^{14}\) molec.cm\(^{-2}\) were detected on 26-27 April. Meanwhile, severe ozone depletion and mercury deposition occurred under a BrO VMR of 15 pptv. The model showed enhanced BrO distributed at 0-1 km above the sea surface. By analyzing the air mass history and sea ice conditions, this BrO enhancement event was found to more likely be a regional process, driven by changes in sea ice and transport on a local scale.
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Table 1. Comparisons of BrO mixing ratios at four main Arctic observation sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Observation periods</th>
<th>BrO mixing ratio</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greenland ice sheet</td>
<td>14 May-15 June 2007, 9 June-8 July 2008</td>
<td>3-5 ppt</td>
<td>LP-DOAS</td>
<td>(Stutz et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(72N, 38W, 3200 m a.s.l.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrow, Alaska</td>
<td>26 February-16 April 2009</td>
<td>~30 ppt</td>
<td>MAX-DOAS</td>
<td>(Frieß et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(71°19’N, 156°40’W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(82°32’N, 62°43’W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard</td>
<td>20 April-27 April 1996</td>
<td>~30 ppt</td>
<td>LP-DOAS</td>
<td>(Tuckermann et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(78.9N, 11.8E)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Chemical reactions of the BrO-ozone cycle

**Chemical Reactions:**

1. $\text{Br}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Br}$
2. $\text{Br} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{BrO} + \text{O}_2$
3. $\text{BrO} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HOBr} + \text{O}_2$
4. $\text{HOBr} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{Br} + \text{OH}$
5. $\text{HOBr} + \text{Cl} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{BrCl} + \text{H}_3\text{O}$
6. $\text{BrCl} + \text{Br} \rightarrow \text{Br}_2 + \text{Cl}$
Fig. 2 a. Sea ice extent on April 2015 in the Arctic area (data from http://nsidc.org/data/seaice_index/); b. Monthly mean sea ice concentration anomalies on April 2015 compared to averages from 1979 to 2015; c. 2 m air temperature anomalies on April 2015 compared to averages from 1979 to 2015 (b and c data are from http://nsidc.org/soac)

Fig. 3 The MAX-DOAS field observation in Ny-Ålesund, Arctic
Fig. 4 Examples of spectral retrieval of BrO. The spectrum was recorded under clear sky conditions at 2° elevation on 26 April 2015, 19:59 UTC, SZA = 86°. (Black lines: retrieved spectral signatures fitted result for absorber; red lines: fitted cross sections)

Fig. 5 Modeled DAMF (a) and BrO DSCD (b) using radiative transfer modeling simulation. DAMF are the differences of AMF for low elevation angles and zenith direction. The models are performed assuming clear sky conditions with no aerosol. In part b, the tropospheric BrO VCD is $5 \times 10^{13}$ molec.cm$^{-2}$. The measured BrO DSCDs during the event...
are also shown (solid dots). The color codes of the measured BrO DSCDs, which are also shown in 5b (solid dots), are put into a one-to-one correspondence with the dots in 5c.

Fig. 6 Time series of BrO DSCDs at 2°, surface ozone, SZA and meteorology data during the measurement.

Fig. 7 BrO DSCDs of different elevation angles during the enhancement period.
Fig. 8 a. Sunshine duration; b. SZA; c. BrO DSCDs from MAX-DOAS at elevation angle of 2°; d. BrO VMR (ppt); e. surface ozone (ug/m³); and f. gaseous mercury (ng/m³) from 25/04 noon to 28/04 noon 2015. BrO mixing ratios are calculated assuming a homogeneous BrO layer of 0-1 km.

Fig. 9 a. Back trajectory model of air masses arriving at Ny-Ålesund ending at 27 April 18:00 (UTC) at 10 and 500 m a.s.l. Every 6 h a new trajectory starts, and each trajectory runs for 72 h.
Fig. 9.b. Back trajectory model of air masses arriving at Ny-Ålesund ending at 26 April 18:00 (UTC) at 10 and 500 m a.s.l. Every 6 h a new trajectory starts.

Fig. 10. Map of tropospheric BrO of the northern hemisphere by GOME-2 products from 24 April to 27 April. (cited from http://www.iup.uni-bremen.de/doas/scia_data_browser.htm)
Fig. 11 Sea ice in Kings Bay, Ny-Ålesund at 26 April 21:00 (UTC), 2015 (at Ny-Ålesund Dock, photograph by Yuhan Luo)

Fig. 12 Time series of chemical and meteorological changes during the BrO enhancement event; blue triangles represent the existence of sea ice in Kings Bay

Fig. 13 Time series of dBrO/dt, dO3/dt and dHg/dt during the BrO enhancement event