A modeling study of the nonlinear response of fine particles to air pollutant emissions in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region
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Abstract.

The Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region has been suffering from the most severe fine particle (PM$_{2.5}$) pollution in China, which causes serious health damage and economic loss. Quantifying the source contributions to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations has been a challenging task because of the complicated non-linear relationships between PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations and emissions of multiple pollutants from multiple spatial regions and economic sectors. In this study, we use the Extended Response Surface Modeling (ERSM) technique to investigate the
nonlinear response of PM$_{2.5}$ and its major chemical components to emissions of multiple pollutants from different regions and sectors over the BTH region, based on over 1000 simulations by a chemical transport model (CTM). The ERSM-predicted PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations agree well with independent CTM simulations, with correlation coefficients larger than 0.99 and mean normalized errors less than 1%. Using the ERSM technique, we find that primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ is the single pollutant which makes the largest contribution (24-36%) to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. The contribution of primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ emissions is especially high in heavily polluted winter, and is dominated by the industry as well as residential and commercial sectors, which should be prioritized in PM$_{2.5}$ control strategies. The total contributions of all precursors (nitrogen oxides, NO$_X$; sulfur dioxides, SO$_2$; ammonia, NH$_3$; non-methane volatile organic compounds, NMVOC; intermediate-volatility organic compounds, IVOC; primary organic aerosol, POA) to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations range between 31% and 48%. Among these precursors, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are primarily sensitive to the emissions of NH$_3$, NMVOC+IVOC, and POA. The sensitivities increase substantially for NH$_3$ and NO$_X$, and decrease slightly for POA and NMVOC+IVOC with the increase in the emission reduction ratio, which illustrates the nonlinear relationships between precursor emissions and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. The contributions of primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ emissions to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are dominated by local emission sources, which account for over 75% of the total primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ contributions. For precursors, however, emissions from other regions could play similar roles as local emission sources in the summer and over the northern part of BTH. The source contribution features for various types of heavy-pollution episodes are distinctly different from each other, and from the monthly mean results, illustrating the need of discrepant temporary control strategies for different pollution types.

1 Introduction

China is one of the regions with highest concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ (particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter equal to or less than 2.5 μm) in the world (van Donkelaar et al., 2015). The problem is especially serious over the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region, one of the most populous and developed regions in China. Annual average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in this region reached 85-110 μg/m$^3$ during 2013-2015, which approximately triple the standard threshold (35 μg/m$^3$) and far exceed those in other metropolitan regions (Wang et al., 2017). It has been estimated that the severe PM$_{2.5}$ pollution leads to about 1.05-1.23 million premature
deaths per year in China (Lim et al., 2012; Burnett et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016b), and the monetized loss over the BTH region is as high as 134 billion Chinese Yuan, representing 2.2% of regional gross domestic product (GDP) (Lv and Li, 2016). Additionally, PM$_{2.5}$ substantially affects global and regional climate by absorbing and scattering solar radiation and by altering cloud properties (Stocker et al., 2013).

To tackle the heavy PM$_{2.5}$ pollution problem, Chinese government issued the "Action Plan on Prevention and Control of Air Pollution" in September 2013, which aimed at a 25% reduction in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations over the BTH region by 2017 from the 2012 levels (The State Council of the People's Republic of China, 2013). The attainment of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ standard would further require substantial reductions in air pollutant emissions (Wang et al., 2017). To establish emission control strategies, many studies have apportioned the sources of PM$_{2.5}$ over the BTH region, either by mining monitoring data using the Positive Matrix Factorization and Chemical Mass Balance methods (e.g., Zhang et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2013) or by embedding chemical tracers in chemical transport models (CTMs) (e.g., Wang et al., 2016c; Li et al., 2015b; Ying et al., 2014). While these studies can capture the current contributions of various sources to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, these contributions could differ significantly from the PM$_{2.5}$ reductions induced by reducing emissions from the corresponding sources, due to highly nonlinear chemical mechanisms (Han et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2011). Therefore, it is imperative to assess the nonlinear response of PM$_{2.5}$ to pollutant emissions from multiple sources, which could provide direct support for the development of effective control policies.

CTMs are the only feasible tools for evaluating the response of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to emission changes (Hakami et al., 2003). The most widely used technique to evaluate these responses is the “Brute force” method, which involves perturbing emissions from a certain source and repeated solution of the model (Russell et al., 1995). A number of studies have utilized the “Brute force” method to quantify the contributions of emissions from different spatial regions (Streets et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2008; Li and Han, 2016; Wang et al., 2014a), or different economic sectors (Wang et al., 2008; Han et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2014a; Liu et al., 2016) to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations over the BTH region, either on a seasonal basis (Streets et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2008; Han et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016) or during a specific heavy-pollution episode (Li and Han, 2016; Wang et al., 2014a). To improve the computational efficiency, several mathematic techniques embedded in CTMs have been developed to
simultaneously calculate the sensitivities of the modeled concentrations to multiple emission sources, including the Decoupled Direct Method (Yang et al., 1997) and Adjoint Analysis (Sandu et al., 2005; Hakami et al., 2006). Zhang et al. (2016) used the Adjoint Analysis method to examine sensitivities of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the BTH region to pollutant emissions during several pollution periods. However, these studies have inadequately captured the nonlinearity in the responses of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to pollutant emissions, which can be extremely strong due to complex chemical mechanisms (Wang et al., 2011). Moreover, no studies have simultaneously evaluated the response of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in BTH to emissions of multiple pollutants from different sectors and regions, which we need to consider and balance to develop cost-effective control strategies.

In light of the drawbacks of the preceding methods, the Response Surface Modeling (RSM) technique (denoted by “conventional RSM” technique hereafter to distinguish from the ERSM technique) has been developed by using advanced statistical techniques to characterize the nonlinear relationship between model outputs and inputs (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006; Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). This technique has been applied to the United States (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006) and the Eastern China (Wang et al., 2011) to evaluate the response of PM$_{2.5}$ and its chemical components to pollutant emissions. Recently, we developed the Extended Response Surface Modeling (ERSM) technique (Zhao et al., 2015b), which substantially extended the applicability of conventional RSM to an increased number of variables and geographical regions with an acceptable amount of computational burden.

Given the advantage of the ERSM technique, here we apply it to over 1000 simulations by the Community Multi-scale Air Quality model with Two-Dimensional Volatility Basis Set (CMAQ/2D-VBS) to systematically evaluate the nonlinear response of PM$_{2.5}$ and its major chemical components to emission changes of multiple pollutants from different sectors and regions over the BTH region. The major sources contributing to PM$_{2.5}$ and its major components are identified and the nonlinearity in the response of PM$_{2.5}$ to emission changes is characterized. Based on results of this study, suggestions for PM$_{2.5}$ control policies over the BTH region are proposed.
2 Methodology

2.1 CMAQ/2D-VBS configuration and evaluation

The CMAQ/2D-VBS model was developed in our previous study (Zhao et al., 2016) by incorporating the 2D-VBS model framework into CMAQv5.0.1. Compared with the default CMAQ, the CMAQ/2D-VBS model explicitly simulates aging of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formed from non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC), aging of primary organic aerosol (POA), and photo-oxidation of intermediate-volatility organic compounds (IVOC), thereby significantly improving the simulation results of organic aerosol (OA) and SOA. The model parameters within the 2D-VBS framework have been optimized in our previous studies (Zhao et al., 2015a; Zhao et al., 2016) based on a series of smog-chamber experiments. Here we use the same model parameters as those of the “High-Yield VBS” configuration reported in Zhao et al. (2016), which agrees best with surface OA and SOA observations among three model configurations. An application in the Eastern China reveals that CMAQ/2D-VBS reduces the underestimation in OA concentrations from 45% (default CMAQv5.0.1) to 19%. More importantly, while the default CMAQv5.0.1 substantially underestimates the fraction of SOA in OA by 5–10 times and can not track oxygen-to-carbon ratio (O:C), the SOA fraction and O:C simulated by CMAQ/2D-VBS agree fairly well with observations.

We apply the CMAQ/2D-VBS model over the BTH region. One-way, double nesting simulation domains are used, as shown in Fig. 1. Domain 1 covers East Asia with a grid resolution of 36 km×36 km; domain 2 covers the BTH and its surrounding regions with a grid resolution of 12 km×12 km. We use the SAPRC99 gas-phase chemistry module and the AERO6 aerosol module, in which the treatment of OA is replaced with the 2D-VBS framework. The aerosol thermodynamics is based on ISORROPIA-II. The initial and boundary conditions for Domain 1 are kept constant as the model default profile, and those for Domain 2 are extracted from the output of Domain 1. A 5-day spin-up period is used to reduce the influence of initial conditions on modeling results.

The Weather Research and Forecasting Model (WRF, version 3.7) is used to generate the meteorological fields. The National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP)’s Final Analysis reanalysis data at 1.0° × 1.0° and 6-h resolution are used to generate the first guess field. The NCEP’s Automated Data Processing (ADP) data are used in the objective analysis scheme. The major physics options for WRF include the Kain-Fritsch cumulus scheme, the
Pleim-Xiu land-surface module, the Asymmetric Convective Model with non-local upward mixing and local downward mixing (ACM2) for planetary boundary layer (PBL) parameterization, the Morrison double-moment scheme for cloud microphysics, and the Rapid Radiative Transfer Model for GCMs (RRTMG) radiation scheme. Terrain and land use data are obtained from the Moderate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS). The simulation periods are January, March, July, and October in 2014, representing winter, spring, summer, and fall. We select these four months because the occurrence frequencies of various meteorological types in these months are statistically most similar to the average conditions in winter, spring, summer, and fall during 2004-2013 (Wu, 2016).

A high-resolution anthropogenic emission inventory in 2014 has been developed using an “emission factor method” (Fu et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013b) for the BTH region by Tsinghua University. The emissions from area and mobile sources are first calculated for each prefecture-level city based on statistical data, and subsequently distributed into the model grids according to spatial distribution of population, GDP, and road networks. A unit-based method (Zhao et al., 2008) is applied to estimate and locate the emissions from large point sources (LPS) including power plants, iron and steel plants, and cement plants. The anthropogenic emission inventory in other provinces of China was originally developed for 2010 and 2012 in our previous studies (Zhao et al., 2013b; Zhao et al., 2013a; Wang et al., 2014b; Cai et al., 2016), which has been updated to 2014 in this study following the same methodology. Table S1 summarizes emissions of major air pollutants in each prefecture-level city over the BTH region in 2014; Table S2 gives the provincial emissions in the whole China in 2014. The emissions for other countries are obtained from the MIX emission inventory (Li et al., 2015a) for 2010, which is the latest year available. The biogenic emissions were calculated by the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN; Guenther et al., 2006).

We compared the simulation results of WRFv3.7 and CMAQ/2D-VBS with meteorological observations obtained from the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC), PM$_{2.5}$ observations at 138 state-controlled observational sites, and observations of major PM$_{2.5}$ chemical components at 7 sites within the modeling domain. We show that the meteorological and chemical simulations generally agree well with observations, with performance statistics mostly within the benchmark values proposed by previous studies. Details of the model
evaluation methods and results are given in the Supplementary Information (Section 1, Table S3-S5, Fig. S1-S5).

2.2 Development of ERSM prediction system

The detailed methodologies of the conventional RSM and ERSM techniques have been described in our previous papers (Zhao et al., 2015b; Xing et al., 2011). Here we only summarize some key components. The conventional RSM technique characterizes the relationships between a response variable (e.g., PM$_{2.5}$ concentration) and a set of control variables (i.e., emissions of particular pollutants from particular sources) based on a number of randomly generated emission control scenarios (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). The PM$_{2.5}$ concentration for each emission scenario is calculated with a CTM (CMAQ/2D-VBS in this study), and the conventional RSM is subsequently established using the Maximum Likelihood Estimation - Empirical Best Linear Unbiased Predictors (MLE-EBLUPs) developed by Santner et al. (2003). Due to the limitation of the conventional RSM technique with respect to variable number, we have developed the ERSM technique (Zhao et al., 2015b) to extend the applicability to an increased number of variables and geographical regions. The ERSM technique first quantifies the relationship between PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations and precursor emissions for each single region using the conventional RSM technique as described above, and then assesses the effects of inter-regional transport of PM$_{2.5}$ and its precursors on PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in the target region. In order to quantify the interaction among regions, we introduce a key assumption that the emissions of precursors in the source region affect PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the target region through two major processes: (1) the inter-regional transport of precursors enhancing the chemical formation of secondary PM$_{2.5}$ in the target region; (2) the formation of secondary PM$_{2.5}$ in the source region followed by transport to the target region. We quantify the individual contributions of these two processes as well as the contribution of local emissions in the target region, which are subsequently integrated to derive the total PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the target region.

For application of the RSM/ERSM techniques to the BTH region, we define 5 target regions in the inner modeling domain (Domain 2), i.e., Beijing, Tianjin, Northern Hebei (N Hebei), Eastern Hebei (E Hebei), and Southern Hebei (S Hebei), as shown in Fig. 1. The decomposition of the Hebei province is based on a preliminary analysis of the pollutant transport patterns over the BTH region (Section 2 in the Supplementary Information). The simulation using back trajectory method indicates that four major types of heavy-pollution
episodes in Beijing are primarily contributed by air mass from the south, the local area, the northwest, and the southeast. We develop two RSM/ERSM prediction systems (Table 1). The response variables for both of them are concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, and OA over the urban areas of prefecture-level cities in the five target regions. The first prediction system uses the conventional RSM technique and 101 emission control scenarios generated by the Latin Hypercube Sample (LHS) method (Iman et al., 1980) to map atmospheric concentrations versus total emissions of NO$_X$, SO$_2$, NH$_3$, NMVOC+IVOC, and POA in all five target regions. This prediction system is intended for the validation (Section 3.1) of the second system, which is established using the ERSM technique. For the second system, the emissions of PM$_{2.5}$ precursors and primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ in each of the 5 regions are categorized into 7 and 4 control variables, respectively, resulting in 55 control variables in total (see Table 1). We generate 1121 scenarios (see Table 1) to build the response surface, following the method detailed in Zhao et al. (2015b). Specifically, the scenarios include (1) 1 CMAQ/2D-VBS base case; (2) 200 scenarios generated by applying LHS method for the control variables of precursors in Beijing, 200×4 scenarios generated in the same way for Tianjin, Northern Hebei, Eastern Hebei, and Southern Hebei; (3) 100 scenarios generated by applying LHS method for the total emissions of NO$_X$, SO$_2$, NH$_3$, NMVOC+IVOC, and POA in all 5 regions; and (4) 20 scenarios where one of the control variables of primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ emissions is set to 0.25 for each scenario. Here the scenario numbers (200 in group 2 and 100 in group 3) are determined based on numerical experiments conducted in our previous studies (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011), which showed that the response surface for 7 and 5 variables could be built with good prediction performance (mean normalized error < 1%; correlation coefficient > 0.99) using 200 and 100 scenarios, respectively. Finally, we generate 54 independent scenarios for out-of-sample validation, which will be detailed in Section 3.1.

For application of the ERSM prediction system to quantitatively characterize the sensitivity of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to emission changes, we define “PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivity” as the change ratio of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration divided by the reduction ratio of a emission source, following previous studies (Zhao et al., 2015b; Wang et al., 2011).

$$S_a^X = \left[\frac{(C^*-C_a)}{C^*}\right]/(1-a)$$

where $S_a^X$ is the PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivity to emission source $X$ at its emission ratio $a$; $C^*$ and $C_a$ are PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the base case (when the emission ratio of $X$ is 1) and in the control
scenario where the emission ratio of $X$ is $a$, respectively. Similar indices can be defined for chemical components of PM$_{2.5}$, such as NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, and OA.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Validation of ERSM performance

The performance of the conventional RSM technique has been well evaluated in our previous papers (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011), so we only describe the validation of the ERSM technique. Following Zhao et al. (2015b), we assess the performance of the ERSM prediction system using the “out-of-sample” and 2D-isopleths validation methods, which focus on the accuracy and stability of the prediction system, respectively.

For out-of-sample validation, we use the ERSM prediction system to calculate the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations for 54 “out-of-sample” control scenarios, i.e., scenarios independent from those used to build the prediction system, and compare with the corresponding CMAQ/2D-VBS simulation results. These 54 out-of-sample scenarios (summarized in Table S6) include 40 cases (case 1-40) where the control variables of precursors change but those of primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ stay the same as the base case, 4 cases (case 41-44) the other way around, and 10 cases (case 45-54) where control variables of precursors and primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ change simultaneously. Most cases are generated randomly with the LHS method (case 4-6, 10-12, 16-18, 22-24, 28-54), and some cases are designed where all control variables are subject to large emission changes (case 1-3, 7-9, 13-15, 19-21, 25-27).

Figure 2 compares the ERSM-predicted and CMAQ/2D-VBS-simulated PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations for the out-of-sample scenarios using scattering plots. Table 2 summarizes the statistics of the model performance. The definitions of normalized error (NE), mean normalized error (MNE), and normalized mean error (NME) are given as follows:

\[
NE = \frac{|P_i - S_i|}{S_i} \quad (1)
\]
\[
MNE = \frac{1}{Ns} \sum_{i=1}^{Ns} \frac{|P_i - S_i|}{S_i} \quad (2)
\]
\[
NME = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{Ns} |P_i - S_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^{Ns} S_i} \quad (3)
\]

where $P_i$ and $S_i$ are the ERSM-predicted and CMAQ/2D-VBS-simulated value of the $i^{th}$ out-of-sample scenario; $Ns$ is the number of out-of-sample scenarios. Figure 2 shows that the ERSM predictions and CMAQ/2D-VBS simulations agree well with each other. The correlation coefficients are larger than 0.99, and the MNEs and NMEs are less than 1% for all
four months. The maximum NEs could be as large as 11% for particular month and region, but the 95% percentiles of NEs are all within 4.4%. NEs exceeding 4.4% happen only for the scenarios where most control variables are reduced substantially, indicating relatively large errors at low emission rates, which is consistent with our previous study (Zhao et al., 2015b). Note that all sensitivity scenarios used in Sections 3.2-3.4 have ≤ 80% emission reductions, which helps to avoid relatively large errors. We also examine the errors in predicted PM$_{2.5}$ response, which is defined as the difference between PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in an emission control scenario and that in the base case. Table 2 shows that the NMEs of PM$_{2.5}$ response are within 5.6% for all months. In summary, the out-of-sample validation indicates an overall good agreement between ERSM predictions and CMAQ/2D-VBS simulations.

We further examine whether the ERSM technique can capture the trends in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in response to continuous changes in precursor emissions, i.e., the stability of the ERSM technique. To this end, we compare the 2D-isopleths of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations as a function of simultaneous changes in two precursors’ emissions in all five regions derived from the ERSM and conventional RSM techniques; the stability of the latter has been fully demonstrated (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). Figure 3 illustrates the PM$_{2.5}$ isopleths in Beijing as a function of three combinations of precursors, i.e., NO$_X$ vs NH$_3$, SO$_2$ vs NH$_3$, and VOC+IVOC vs POA; the isopleths for other regions are very similar and thus not shown. The X- and Y-axis of the figures represent the “emission ratio”, defined as the ratios of the changed emissions to the emissions in the base case. For example, an emission ratio of 0.7 means the emission of a particular control variable accounts for 70% that of the base case. The colour isopleths represent PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. The comparison shows that the shapes of isopleths derived from both prediction systems generally agree with each other. The agreement is very good for the case of VOC+IVOC vs POA, and for the cases of NO$_X$ vs NH$_3$ and SO$_2$ vs NH$_3$ when the emission ratios for NO$_X$ and NH$_3$ are larger than 0.2. Relatively large errors occur at low NO$_X$/NH$_3$ emission ratios (< 0.2) due primarily to a very strong nonlinearity in these emission ranges. For application in control policy analysis, > 80% emission reductions are extremely rare as limited by the technologically feasible reduction potentials (Wang et al., 2014b). The general consistency between RSM and ERSM-predicted isopleths demonstrates the stability of the ERSM prediction system. In other words, although the ERSM predictions are definitely subject to numerical errors, these errors could not challenge the major conclusions on the effectiveness of emission reductions.
3.2 Response of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to emissions of air pollutants

Having demonstrated the reliability of the ERSM prediction system, we employ it to investigate the responses of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to emissions of various pollutants from different sectors and regions. We use “PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivity” defined in Section 2.2 to quantitatively characterize the sensitivity of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to emission changes. Figure 4 illustrates the sensitivity of 4-month (January, March, July, and October) mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to stepped control of individual air pollutants and individual pollutant-sector combinations in the BTH region, which are derived from the ERSM technique. Among all pollutants, the 4-month mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are most sensitive to the emissions of primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ in all five regions, and the PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivities vary from 24% to 36% according to region. When primarily inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ emissions from various sectors are differentiated, the industry sector is found to make the largest contribution to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, followed by the residential and commercial sectors; the contribution of power plants is negligibly small because of smaller emissions and higher stacks. The PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivities to primarily inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ emissions remain constant at various reduction ratios.

While primarily inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ represents the single pollutant which makes the largest contribution to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, the total contributions of all precursors (NO$_X$, SO$_2$, NH$_3$, NMVOC, IVOC, and POA), which range between 31% and 48%, exceed that of primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ (24-36%). Among the precursors, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are primarily sensitive to the emissions of NH$_3$, NMVOC+IVOC, and POA, and their relative importance differ according to reduction ratio. The PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivity to NH$_3$ increases substantially with the increase of reduction ratio, primarily attributable to the transition from NH$_3$-rich to NH$_3$-poor regimes when more controls are enforced. The PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivities to POA and NMVOC+IVOC, however, decrease slightly with the increase of reduction ratio. This is because that, based on the gas-particle absorptive partitioning theory, organics have a higher tendency to partition into the particle phase at larger OA concentrations. As a result of the nonlinearity, the PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivities to POA and NMVOC+IVOC emissions are larger than those to NH$_3$ emissions at small reduction ratios (e.g., 20%), while it is the other way around at large reduction ratios (e.g., 80%). The PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivity to SO$_2$ emissions is considerably smaller compared with the three precursors above, and does not change significantly as a function of reduction ratio. The response of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to NO$_X$ emissions could change from negative to positive with the increase of reduction ratio, which has been reported in several previous studies.
(Dong et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2013c; Cai et al., 2016). Small NO\textsubscript{X} emission reductions could lead to increase in O\textsubscript{3} and HO\textsubscript{X} concentrations in several seasons owing to a NMVOC-limited photochemical regime, which on one hand enhances SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} and SOA formation, and on the other hand, could also increase NO\textsubscript{3} concentrations by accelerating the nocturnal formation of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} and HNO\textsubscript{3} through the NO\textsubscript{2} + O\textsubscript{3} reaction at low temperatures. A substantial reduction in NO\textsubscript{X} emissions, however, transforms the NMVOC-limited regime to a NO\textsubscript{X}-limited regime, resulting in a successive decline in concentrations of O\textsubscript{3}, HO\textsubscript{X}, and most PM\textsubscript{2.5} chemical components. In addition, the responses of PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations to NO\textsubscript{X} emission changes are discrepant in different regions. For example, NO\textsubscript{X} emission reductions can mostly lead to PM\textsubscript{2.5} decline in Northern Hebei, because this region, which is the northernmost region within BTH, is substantially affected by emissions in other regions. Considering that the photochemistry typically changes from a NMVOC-limited regime in urban areas at surface to a NO\textsubscript{X}-limited regime in rural areas or at upper levels (Xing et al., 2011), the NO\textsubscript{X} emission reductions in upwind regions are more likely to result in a net PM\textsubscript{2.5} decline compared with local emission reductions. Note that NO\textsubscript{X} emissions were recently found to oxidize SO\textsubscript{2} in aerosol water, leading to additional PM\textsubscript{2.5} formation (Cheng et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016a). Incorporation of this process in the model may affect the simulated response of PM\textsubscript{2.5} to NO\textsubscript{X} emissions. Regarding emission sectors, the contributions of SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{X} emissions are dominated by “other sources” (sources other than LPS) because they emit larger amount of pollutants at lower height compared with LPS. When all pollutants are controlled together, the PM\textsubscript{2.5} sensitivity generally increases with reduction ratio, indicating that additional air quality benefit could be achieved, larger than the expectation from linear extrapolation, if more control measures are implemented.

Figure 5 illustrates the PM\textsubscript{2.5} sensitivities to individual pollutant-sector combinations in each month. The source contribution features are significantly discrepant in different months. The contributions of primary inorganic PM\textsubscript{2.5} emissions to PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations are notably higher in January than in other months, which is probably attributed to weaker dilution and slower chemical reactions in January. Regarding different emission sectors of primary inorganic PM\textsubscript{2.5}, the industrial sector plays a dominant role in all months except January, when the residential and commercial sectors make a similar or even larger contribution as compared to the industrial sector. This result highlights the importance of low-level residential and commercial sources for PM\textsubscript{2.5} pollution controls in the winter. The
contributions of precursors are dominated by POA and NMVOC+IVOC in January, while in July, NOX, SO2, and NH3, which are known to be precursors of secondary inorganic aerosols, make larger contributions than POA and NMVOC+IVOC. The responses of PM2.5 concentrations to NOX emissions can be opposite in different seasons. Specifically, in July, NOX emission reductions always induce decrease in PM2.5 concentrations due to a NOX-limited photochemical regime. In January, however, even a 80% reduc in NOX emissions (roughly the maximum technologically feasible reduction ratio) could result in a net PM2.5 increase, as a result of a strong NMVOC-limited regime. To achieve a net PM2.5 reduction in January, it would be necessary to simultaneously reduce NOX emissions outside the BTH region.

We further evaluate the contributions of primary inorganic PM2.5 and precursor emissions from various regions to PM2.5 concentrations (Fig. 6, Fig. S6). Here the contributions are quantified by comparing the base case with sensitivity scenarios in which emissions from a specific source are reduced by 80%, which reaches the maximum technologically feasible reduction ratios of major pollutants in most areas (Wang et al., 2014b). Obviously, the contributions of total primary inorganic PM2.5 emissions in the BTH region are dominated by local sources, which account for over 75% of the total primary inorganic PM2.5 contributions. When precursor emissions are decomposed into different regions, local sources usually also represent the largest contributors, but precursor emissions from other regions (denoted by “regional precursor emissions” hereafter) could also make significant contributions, depending on seasons and regions. The importance of regional precursor emissions relative to local ones is remarkably higher in July and over the northern part of BTH (e.g., Northern Hebei, Beijing) than in January and over the southern part of BTH (e.g., Southern Hebei).

Over the BTH region, heavy pollution is frequently associated with southerly wind while strong northerly wind often blows away PM2.5 pollution (Jia et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2015), which explains the higher importance of regional precursor emissions in the northern part of BTH. The higher regional contributions in the summer can be explained by the southerly monsoon and stronger vertical mixing favoring inter-regional transport of air pollutants. We also examine the contributions of emissions outside the BTH region to PM2.5 concentrations in the five target regions. The results reveal that these emissions contribute 24-33% of the 4-month mean PM2.5 concentrations, among which more than 80% could be attributed to precursor emissions. Among the four months, the contribution of emissions outside BTH is considerably smaller in January (12-21%) as compared to other months (29-38%).
3.3 Response of PM\textsubscript{2.5} chemical components to emissions of air pollutants

Ambient PM\textsubscript{2.5} is comprised of complicated chemical components with distinctly different formation pathways. To gain deeper insight into the formation mechanisms and source attribution of PM\textsubscript{2.5}, we examine the sensitivities of major PM\textsubscript{2.5} components, including NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}, and OA, to stepped control of individual air pollutants, as shown in Fig. 7 (January and July) and Fig. S7 (March and October). NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} concentrations are most sensitive to NH\textsubscript{3} emissions in all months except July, when the sensitivities of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} concentrations to NH\textsubscript{3} and NO\textsubscript{X} emissions are similar. The NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} sensitivities to NO\textsubscript{X} emissions differ significantly according to season. In most months, NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} concentrations are positively correlated with NO\textsubscript{X} emissions. In January, however, the sensitivities of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} concentrations to NO\textsubscript{X} emissions are mostly negative and could be positive at large reduction ratios, which can be explained by a very strong NMVOC-limited photochemical regime, and abundant ice water for heterogeneous formation of HNO\textsubscript{3} from N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} at cold temperatures. The sensitivities of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} to both NH\textsubscript{3} and NO\textsubscript{X} emissions show pronounced increasing trends with the increase of reduction ratio, in agreement with the strong nonlinearity in these two pollutants described in Section 3.2. NMVOC emissions make moderate positive contributions to NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}, with the largest and smallest contributions occurring in January and July in conjunction with NMVOC-limited and NO\textsubscript{X}-limited photochemical regimes, respectively. Finally, SO\textsubscript{2} emissions have very small influences on NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} concentrations.

For SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}, SO\textsubscript{2} emissions represent the dominant contributor in all months. The sensitivity of SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} concentrations to SO\textsubscript{2} emissions does not change significantly with respect to reduction ratio, consistent with the results shown in Section 3.2. The contributions of NH\textsubscript{3} emissions to SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} concentrations are quite small except in October, when NH\textsubscript{3} accounts for approximately one fourth the contribution of SO\textsubscript{2}. NO\textsubscript{X} emissions affect SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} concentrations by altering O\textsubscript{3} and HO\textsubscript{X} concentrations (photochemical pathway) as well as by competing with SO\textsubscript{2} for NH\textsubscript{3} (thermodynamic pathway). The overall net effects of these two pathways are mostly negative, with positive effects occurring only in July at large reduction ratios.

NMVOC emissions can impose small impact on SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} concentrations primarily through changing O\textsubscript{3} and HO\textsubscript{X} concentrations.

The emissions of POA and NMVOC+IVOC are obviously two major contributors to OA concentrations. The relative importance of the two is strongly dependent on season. In July, POA and NMVOC+IVOC make similar contributions to OA concentrations, while POA
usually contributes more in other months. In January, the contribution of POA could account for about four times those of NMVOC+IVOC. Similar to SO$_2^-$, the impact of NO$_X$ emissions on OA concentrations also works through two pathways. Besides the abovementioned photochemical pathway, NO$_X$ emission reductions could lead to OA increases due to the fact that SOA yield, defined as the ratio of SOA formation to the consumption of a precursor, is generally higher at a low-NO$_X$ condition than at a high-NO$_X$ condition. As an integrated effect, the responses of OA concentrations to NO$_X$ emissions are negative in most situations.

3.4 **PM$_{2.5}$ responses to emission reductions during heavy-pollution episodes**

Having shown the responses of monthly-mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to pollutant emissions, we are also interested in heavy-pollution episodes, in which the source contributions could be quite different from the monthly-mean results, largely due to variations in meteorological conditions. To provide more insight into the control strategies for heavy pollution, we use the ERSM technique to investigate the source contribution features during three typical heavy-pollution episodes. We first select 47 heavy-pollution episodes over the BTH region during 2013-2015 (Table S7). Subsequently, we employ the Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Stein et al., 2015) and Concentration Weighted Trajectory (CWT) method (Cheng et al., 2013) to identify the potential source regions for PM$_{2.5}$ during each episode, and categorize these episodes according to their source regions. We then select a representative episode from each of three most important pollution types in which the air mass primarily originates from local areas (“Local” type), from the south (“South” type), and from the southeast (“Southeast” type). We give preference to episodes within the four-month simulation period of this study to facilitate a comparison with the monthly-mean source contribution features. For this reason, we select (1) January 5-7, 2014, (2) October 7-11, 2014, and (3) October 29-31, 2014 as representatives of the “Local”, “South”, and “Southeast” types. The selection of heavy-pollution episodes is detailed in Section 2 of the Supplementary Information.

Figure 8 shows the contribution of precursor and primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ emissions from individual regions to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations during the three heavy-pollution episodes, and Fig. 9 illustrates the sensitivity of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to stepped control of individual pollutant-sector combinations. During January 5-7, 2014 (“Local” type), the contributions of local emission sources to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations far exceed those from other regions within BTH as well as from outside of BTH (Fig. 8). In contrast to the monthly mean results (Section 3.2),
the contributions of primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ emissions are comparable to, and even larger than those of precursor emissions in the BTH region. The total contributions of primary PM$_{2.5}$ (including POA) account for as high as 70-80% of the contributions of all pollutants within the BTH region, which highlights the crucial importance of primary PM$_{2.5}$ controls during this episode. Moreover, the controls of NMVOC, NH$_3$, and SO$_2$ emissions could contribute moderately to reducing PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. However, NO$_X$ emission reduction induces an increase in PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, even at an 80% reduction ratio. Therefore, effective temporary control measures for this episode should focus on the controls of local emissions, with emphasis laid on primary PM$_{2.5}$.

During October 7-11, 2014 (“South” type), the contributions of emissions outside BTH to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are as large as 33% in Beijing, and 40-50% in other regions. Within the BTH region, the emissions from Southern Hebei can have similar effects to local emissions on PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in Beijing, indicating a strong long-range transport from the south. In addition, the total contributions of precursor emissions about double those of primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ emissions. Among all precursors, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are mainly sensitive to emissions of NH$_3$, NMVOC+IVOC, and POA. The sensitivity of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to NO$_X$ emissions increases dramatically with reduction ratio. Although small NO$_X$ reductions may slightly elevate PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, large NO$_X$ emission reduction (> 50%) can result in significant PM$_{2.5}$ reduction. To effectively mitigate PM$_{2.5}$ pollution during this episode, we should implement control measures for precursor emissions in both the BTH region (especially the southern part) and regions south of BTH. The NO$_X$ emissions, if controlled, should be reduced by at least 50% to avoid adverse side effect.

For October 29-31, 2014 (“Southeast” type), PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are also significantly affected by emissions outside the BTH region. Within the BTH region, the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in Beijing and Northern Hebei are about equally affected by local emissions and emissions from Eastern Hebei and Southern Hebei, while local emissions play dominant roles in other regions. The emissions of both precursor and primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ within the BTH region make important contributions to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, and the relative significance of the two is dependent on region. All precursors except NO$_X$ can contribute considerably to PM$_{2.5}$ reductions, and the sensitivity of PM$_{2.5}$ to NH$_3$ increase rapidly with emission ratio. NO$_X$ emissions are negatively correlated with PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in most cases. Regarding the temporary control strategy for this episode, it is preferable to implement
joint controls of primary PM$_{2.5}$ and precursors both within and outside the BTH region, with stringent measures over the Eastern and Southern Hebei.

From the analysis above, we conclude that the source contributions are tremendously different in these three episodes, which have been demonstrated to represent some key features of the corresponding pollution types (“Local”, “South”, and “Southeast” types). Therefore, episode-specific control strategies need to be formulated based on the source contribution features of individual pollution types. A caveat is that whether all conclusions drawn from the three episodes can be generalized to the corresponding pollution types is still uncertain. To gain a more comprehensive understanding of the source attribution and control strategies of various heavy-pollution episodes, a model simulation of more episodes and a more detailed classification appear warranted in future investigations.

### 4 Conclusion and implications

In the present study, we investigated the nonlinear response of PM$_{2.5}$ and its major chemical components to emission changes of multiple pollutants from different sectors and regions over the BTH region, using the ERSM technique coupled with the CMAQ/2D-VBS model.

Among individual pollutants, primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ makes the largest contribution (24-36%) to the 4-month mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. The contribution from primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ is especially high in heavily polluted winter, and is dominated by the industry as well as residential and commercial sectors. The total contributions of all precursors to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations range between 31% and 48%. Among the precursors, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are primarily sensitive to the emissions of NH$_3$, NMVOC+IVOC, and POA. With the increase of reduction ratio, the sensitivities of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to pollutant emissions remain roughly constant for primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ and SO$_2$, increase substantially for NH$_3$ and NO$_x$, and decrease slightly for POA and NMVOC+IVOC. The contributions of primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ emissions to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are dominated by local emission sources, which account for over 75% of the total primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ contributions. For precursors, however, emissions from other regions could play similar roles to local emission sources in the summer and over the northern part of BTH. Different PM$_{2.5}$ chemical components are associated with distinct source contribution features. The NO$_3^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations are most sensitive to emissions of NH$_3$ and SO$_2$, respectively. The emissions of the POA and NMVOC+IVOC are two major contributors to OA concentrations, with their relative importance depending on season.
The source contribution features are significantly different for three typical heavy-pollution episodes, which belong to three distinct pollution types. The PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the first episode (“Local” type) are dominated by local sources and primary PM$_{2.5}$ emissions, while the second episode (“South” type) is primarily affected by precursor emissions from local and southern regions. The third episode (“Southeast” type) is significantly influenced by emissions of both primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ and precursors from multiple regions. Future investigations are needed to acquire generalized patterns for the source contributions of various heavy-pollution types.

The results of the present study have important implications for PM$_{2.5}$ control policies over the BTH region. First, the controls of primary PM$_{2.5}$ emissions should be a priority in PM$_{2.5}$ control strategies. Primary PM$_{2.5}$, including primary inorganic PM$_{2.5}$ and POA, contribute over half of the 4-month mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, which is even higher in the winter when heavy pollution frequently occurs. The industry sector and the residential and commercial sectors represent 85% of the total primary PM$_{2.5}$ emissions, and therefore should be the focus of primary PM$_{2.5}$ controls. In particular, we should pay special attention to the residential and commercial sectors, which account for half of the total contribution of primary PM$_{2.5}$ emissions to PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the winter but have been frequently neglected in China’s previous control policies. Second, the control policies for NMVOC and IVOC emissions should be strengthened. The sensitivity of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to NMVOC+IVOC is one of the largest among all precursors. In particular, the controls of NMVOC and IVOC emissions are very effective for PM$_{2.5}$ reduction even at the initial control stage, as indicated by the large sensitivity at small reduction ratios. Moreover, NMVOC reduction is also crucial for the mitigation of O$_3$ pollution considering a NMVOC-limited regime over the urban and its surrounding areas (Xing et al., 2011). Third, in the long run, NO$_X$ emissions should be substantially reduced, approaching their maximum feasible reduction levels, in both the BTH and its surrounding regions. Fourth, more stringent control policies should be enforced in Southern Hebei, which on one hand suffers from the most severe PM$_{2.5}$ pollution (Wang et al., 2014a), and on the other hand, significantly affects both local and regional PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. Last but not least, considering the distinct source contributions in different heavy pollution episodes, episode-specific temporary control strategies should be formulated according to the source contribution feature of the specific pollution type.
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### Tables and figures

Table 1. Description of the RSM/ERSM prediction systems developed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Control variables</th>
<th>Control scenarios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional RSM technique</td>
<td>5 control variables: total emissions of NO(_x), SO(_2), NH(_3), NMVOC+IVOC, and POA</td>
<td>101 control scenarios: 1) 1 CMAQ/2D-VBS base case; 2) 100(^a) scenarios generated by applying LHS method for the 5 variables.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERSM technique</td>
<td>55 control variables in total: 11 control variables in each of the 5 regions, including 7 nonlinear control variables, i.e., 1) NO(_x)/large point sources (LPS)(^b), 2) NO(<em>x)/other sources, 3) SO(<em>2)/LPS, 4) SO(<em>2)/other sources, 5) NH(<em>3)/all sources, 6) NMVOC+IVOC/all sources, 7) POA/all sources, and 4 linear control variables, i.e., 8) Primary inorganic PM(</em>{2.5})/power plants, 9) Primary inorganic PM(</em>{2.5})/Industry, 10) Primary inorganic PM(</em>{2.5})/residential &amp; commercial, 11) Primary inorganic PM(</em>{2.5})/transportation</td>
<td>1121 control scenarios: 1) 1 CMAQ/2D-VBS base case; 2) 1000 scenarios, including 200(^a) scenarios generated by applying LHS method for the nonlinear control variables in Beijing, 200 scenarios generated in the same way for Tianjin, 200 scenarios for Northern Hebei, 200 scenarios for Southern Hebei, and 200 scenarios for Eastern Hebei; 3) 100(^a) scenarios generated by applying LHS method for the total emissions of NO(_x), SO(_2), NH(<em>3), NMVOC+IVOC, and POA; 4) 20 scenarios where one primary inorganic PM(</em>{2.5}) control variable is set to 0.25 for each scenario.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) 100 and 200 scenarios are needed for the response surfaces for 5 and 7 variables, respectively (Xing et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011).

\(^b\) LPS includes power plants, iron and steel plants, and cement plants.
Table 2. Comparison between ERSM-predicted and CMAQ/2D-VBS-simulated PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations for 54 out-of-sample scenarios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Statistical index</th>
<th>Beijing</th>
<th>Tianjin</th>
<th>Northern Hebei</th>
<th>Eastern Hebei</th>
<th>Southern Hebei</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ concentration</td>
<td>MNE (%)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum NE (%)</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>10.67</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>8.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95% percentile of NEs (%)</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NME (%)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ response</td>
<td>NME (%)</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ concentration</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MNE (%)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum NE (%)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95% percentile of NEs (%)</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NME (%)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ response</td>
<td>NME (%)</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ concentration</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MNE (%)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum NE (%)</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95% percentile of NEs (%)</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NME (%)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ response</td>
<td>NME (%)</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ concentration</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MNE (%)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum NE (%)</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95% percentile of NEs (%)</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NME (%)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ response</td>
<td>NME (%)</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Double nesting domains used in CMAQ/2D-VBS simulation (left) and the definition of five target regions in the innermost domain, denoted by different colours (right). The grey lines in the right figure represent the boundaries of prefecture-level cities.
Figure 2. Comparison of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations predicted by the ERSM technique with out-of-sample CMAQ/2D-VBS simulations. The dashed line is the one-to-one line indicating perfect agreement.
Figure 3. Comparison of the 2-D isopleths of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in Beijing in response to the simultaneous changes of precursor emissions in all five regions derived from the conventional RSM technique and the ERSM technique. The X- and Y-axis represent the emission ratio, defined as the ratios of the changed emissions to the emissions in the base case. The colour contours represent PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (unit: μg m$^{-3}$).
Figure 3. Continued.
Figure 4. Sensitivity of 4-month mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to stepped control of individual air pollutants (left) and individual pollutant-sector combinations (right). The X-axis shows the reduction ratio (= 1 – emission ratio). The Y-axis shows PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivity, which is defined as the change ratio of concentration divided by the reduction ratio of emissions. The coloured bars denote the PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivities when a particular emission source is controlled while the others stay the same as the base case; the black dotted line denotes the PM$_{2.5}$ sensitivity when all emission sources are controlled simultaneously. The red stars represent PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the base case.
Figure 5. Sensitivity of monthly mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to stepped control of individual air pollutants from individual sectors in January, March, July, and October. The meanings of X-axis, Y-axis, coloured bars, black dotted lines, and red stars are the same as Fig. 4.
Figure 6. Contributions of precursor (NO\textsubscript{X}, SO\textsubscript{2}, NH\textsubscript{3}, NMVOC, IVOC, and POA) and primary inorganic PM\textsubscript{2.5} emissions from individual regions to PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations. The contributions are quantified by comparing the base case with sensitivity scenarios in which emissions from a specific source are reduced by 80%. This figure illustrates contributions to 4-month mean PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations and monthly mean PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations in January and July. The results for March and October are given in Fig. S6.
Figure 7. Sensitivity of monthly mean NO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, and OA concentrations to stepped control of individual air pollutants in January and July. The meanings of X-axis, Y-axis, coloured bars, black dotted lines, and red stars are the same as Fig. 4 but for NO$_3^-$/SO$_4^{2-}$/OA. The results for March and October are given in Fig. S7.
Figure 8. Contribution of precursor (NO\textsubscript{X}, SO\textsubscript{2}, NH\textsubscript{3}, NMVOC, IVOC, and POA) and primary inorganic PM\textsubscript{2.5} emissions from individual regions to PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations during three typical heavy-pollution episodes.
Figure 9. Sensitivity of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations to stepped control of individual air pollutants from individual sectors during three heavy-pollution episodes. The meanings of X-axis, Y-axis, coloured bars, and black dotted lines are the same as Fig. 4.