

# ***Interactive comment on “Year-round record of bulk and size-segregated aerosol composition in central Antarctica (Concordia site) Part 2: Biogenic sulfur (sulfate and methanesulfonate) aerosol” by Michel Legrand et al.***

## **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 10 October 2017

### GENERAL

The paper presents measurements, results and analyses of sulfur aerosols at the Concordia station. The work is carefully done, it is a valuable paper for the interpretation of Antarctic aerosols and ice cores. As a highlight I would mention the interesting result of the interpretation of the MSA/nssSO<sub>4</sub> and the photochemical destruction of MSA in summer. I can recommend publishing the paper in ACP, I only have minor revision suggestions.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



## DETAILED COMMENTS

The time series is fairly long – are there any statistically significant trends? Yes or no, it would be potentially important.

In the methods section: - sulfate might also come from the stations generator – could it? - was there any sector control?

P4L8-11 "sulfate depletion relative to sodium with respect to the seawater composition .." there is the reference to the full paper but you could add a sentence or two as an explanation of the depletion here, too.

Section 3.2.2 I am missing some comparison of HV and impactor data. I guess it has been done. A scatter plot with explanations would be nice.

In Fig 4: there are the average size distributions of the respective seasons. How about showing there some range? Also Becagli et al. (*Atmos. Environ.*, 52, 98–108, 2012) showed size distributions measured at Dome C – make some comment on the main differences.

P8,L6-8 "Impactor data corresponding to the March-November time period (Fig. 7) show that RMSA is very poorly related to the nssSO<sub>4</sub> content (R<sup>2</sup> of 0.01 and 0.06 for submicron and micron particles, respectively)". Fig 7 shows R vs MSA, not R vs nssSO<sub>4</sub>. I suggest adding subfigures where this is shown.

P10L9-10 "Assuming a sulfate concentration of 250 ng m<sup>-3</sup> for the continental free troposphere of the southern hemisphere, and applying a dilution factor of 18 based on 210Pb data" Please explain how the dilution factor of 18 was obtained. Any uncertainty estimate for it?

P10L26-27 "Considering a mean sulfate mixing ratio of 0.3 ppbm for the lower stratosphere, we estimate that stratospheric-tropospheric exchange may account for 0.4 ng m<sup>-3</sup> of sulfate" Is 0.3 ppb = 0.4 ng/m<sup>3</sup>?

Table 2 shows R in midsummer. March is not really midsummer any more.

Figures with scatterplots: why don't you show the regressions there?

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-305>, 2017.

ACPD

---

Interactive  
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

