

Dear Editor Su:

Please find below our itemized responses to the reviewer's comments and a mark-up manuscript. We have addressed all the comments raised by both reviewers, and incorporated them in the revised manuscript.

Sincerely,
Lin Zhang, Yuanhong Zhao, et al.

Reviewer 1

Comment:

In this manuscript, Zhao et al. present the results of an exploratory modeling study that quantifies the potential impact of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on ozone air quality. The GEOS-Chem chemical transport model is used to derive nitrogen deposition fields (with and without anthropogenic emissions) that are used in separate Community Land Model simulations in order to derive contrasting global vegetation properties and soil NO_x emissions. These are in turn used in GEOS-Chem to simulate impacts on surface ozone concentrations. The authors find that anthropogenic nitrogen deposition can increase surface ozone by enhancing biogenic VOC, but also decrease surface ozone by enhancing dry deposition velocities. Changes in O₃ resulting from increased soil NO_x emissions are also spatially heterogeneous. The simulated effects on O₃ from anthropogenic nitrogen deposition are comparable to predicted impacts resulting from land use change alone.

In my opinion, this manuscript is novel, logically presented, and mostly well-written. By asynchronously coupling the Community Land Model with the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model, the authors present an enlightening approach to isolating specific land-system processes on atmospheric chemistry. The results suggest that a more refined consideration of biosphere-atmosphere coupling can have appreciable impacts on atmospheric chemistry. Like any "exploratory" modeling study, it is difficult to evaluate the implications directly with observations, but I believe this manuscript points the research community in a constructive direction. This work will surely be of interest to the Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics audience. I have only minor concerns and technical corrections to suggest.

Response:

We thank the reviewer for the helpful comments. All of them have been addressed in the revised manuscript. Please see our itemized responses below.

Comment:

One concern I have is that the approach seems like it would be very difficult for others to reproduce, given the variety of simulations, the dependence on land and atmospheric data products, and the asynchronous coupling. The authors appear to try and address this challenge, offering to provide the measurements and model

simulations upon request. I might encourage the authors to provide separately the N-deposition fields, soil-NO_x emission fields, and land cover inputs in order to facilitate potential intercomparison studies with other models.

Response:

We agree with the suggestion. We have now put the model simulations of nitrogen deposition flux, soil-NO_x emission, and leaf area index on the webpage (<http://www.phy.pku.edu.cn/~atmoscc/data/acp-2017-242-data.html>)

We also state in the “Data availability” section: “The datasets including measurements and model simulations can be accessed from websites listed in the references, downloaded from the webpage (<http://www.phy.pku.edu.cn/~atmoscc/data/acp-2017-242-data.html>), or by contacting the corresponding author (Lin Zhang; zhanglg@pku.edu.cn)”

Comment:

It is also regrettable to me that the changes to the CLM relating to soil NO_x emissions, NH₃ volatilization, and N uptake, are relegated to the Supplementary Information. I believe these modifications could be of great interest (and debate?) to both model communities, and might stimulate constructive discussions about model development.

However, given that the present manuscript already presents a substantial amount of material (and given that model development is somewhat outside the scope of ACP), I understand the authors’ motivations for doing so. Is it possible there is room for an Appendix to the article instead (and the figures could be retained in the Supplemental Information)? I leave this to the authors’ discretion.

Response:

We also think that it is a good idea to use Appendix. As suggested, we now move the description of CLM modifications to the Appendix, and keep the relevant figures in the Supplement.

Comment: line 66: remove "been"

Response: Changed as suggested.

Comment: line 91: replace "relatively" with "relative"

Response: Changed as suggested.

Comment:

It wasn’t explicitly clear to me until later in the manuscript (actually, the second last sentence) that prescribed land cover/vegetation PFT/soil types in the CLM simulations with- and without anthropogenic N deposition are constant (not dynamically changing over time or between simulations). I believe this should be clarified here, since the impacts of land use change are addressed in a separate investigation. Also, what is the source of the prescribed land/vegetation cover? What

time period does it represent?

Response:

We now state in Section 2.2 (the Community Land Model): “The vegetation-covered areas are further characterized by 16 PFTs, which are derived from MODIS observations to represent the present-day condition (Lawrence and Chase, 2007).” And “The CLM simulations use prescribed, constant PFT distribution and soil types. We will investigate the influences of land use change on surface ozone by a separate GEOS-Chem simulation as described below.”

Added reference:

Lawrence, P. J., and Chase, T. N.: Representing a new MODIS consistent land surface in the Community Land Model (CLM 3.0), J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 112, G01023, 10.1029/2006JG000168, 2007.

Comment:

Figure 3: I found the top right panel a bit confusing. This plot shows the percentage contributions to N-dep from anthropogenic emissions. Can you lay out exactly what model(s) output subtraction you are carrying out here?

Response:

We now state in the caption of Figure 3: “contributions from anthropogenic sources estimated as percentage changes in the GEOS-Chem simulation with all anthropogenic emissions turned off relative to the simulation with anthropogenic emissions turned on (right)”.

Comment: line 289-290: The two numbers are both given units of Pg C a⁻¹.

Response: Yes, we now state “increase global NPP by 2.6 (6.8) Pg C a⁻¹”.

Comment: line 354: replace "expect" with "except"?

Response: Changed as suggested.

Comment:

line 460: "especially in light of observations" – What observations are the authors referring to?

Response:

We now state: “Future work is needed to reconcile them especially in light of more observations of these emission and deposition fluxes and understand the uncertainty ranges.”

Comment: line 463: replace "difference" with "different".

Response: Changed as suggested.

Comment:

Table 1: There is a superscript "2" under Run_soilnox, but no footnote associated with

it. Furthermore, I think it could be clarified further (in the footnote or in the heading) that the GEOS-Chem simulations that address the N-deposition impact on O₃ are run with present-day anthropogenic emissions. (I.e. There are essentially two families of final simulations: GEOS-Chem + anthro emissions + plant cover driven by natural N deposition _VS._ GEOS-Chem + anthro emissions + plant cover driven by natural and anthropogenic N deposition.)

Response:

Thanks for pointing it out. We have clarified this by merging the footnotes into one for the table title:

“¹ In the table All represents the use of CLM outputs simulated with the present-day atmospheric nitrogen deposition, and Nat represents the use of CLM outputs with natural nitrogen deposition alone. All GEOS-Chem simulations listed in the table are conducted with present-day anthropogenic and natural emissions turned on.”

Reviewer 2

Comment:

This manuscript presents a modeling study on the effects of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on ozone pollution through surface-atmosphere exchanges. The Authors combine GEOS-Chem with CLM to produce an interesting, smart modeling experiment to study several processes (e.g. soil NO_x, biogenic VOC, LAI, dry deposition velocity, etc) that affect surface ozone. They find that enhance atmospheric N deposition increases surface ozone by changes in biogenic VOC emissions and dry deposition velocities. Enhanced atmospheric N deposition also increases soil NO_x emissions, but the effect on surface ozone is more scattered. The resulted increases in ozone are comparable to changes in climate and land use alone, as determined by previous studies. This study highlights the importance of considering biosphere-atmosphere feedbacks in future air quality predictions.

The effect of human activities on atmospheric N deposition and further consequences to ecosystems and air quality is an important topic and results from this work are of relevant interest for the ACP readers. The manuscript is of very good quality, well written and organized. I did not find any major concern with the analysis and manuscript in general, and consider this article adequate for publication. I have added a few comments and editorial corrections below, and I hope the Authors consider in the revision of their manuscript.

Response:

We thank the reviewer for the helpful comments. All of them have been addressed in the revised manuscript. Please see our itemized responses below.

Comment: Line 153 Typo, ‘CRUNCEP’ not ‘CURNCEP’

Response: Changed as suggested.

Comment: Line 21. In ‘RNO_x:N₂O’ equation. Is ‘ATAN’ the Arctangent?

Response:

Yes, we now state: “the NO_x over N₂O emission ratio, which varies with the gas diffusivity (D/D₀) as described by the arctangent (ATAN) function (Parton et al., 2001)”.

Comment: Line 61 Typo, ‘Hanes Woolf’ Mechanism,

Response: Thanks for pointing it out. The typo is now corrected.

Comment:

Line 67 Odd sentence, “ $f(T_{\text{soil}})$ represents the limitation of soil temperature on plant nitrogen uptake that we apply the same function as soil decomposition and nitrification in CLM”.

Response:

We change the sentence to: “ $f(T_{\text{soil}})$ represents a function of limitation of soil temperature on plant nitrogen uptake as described in Thomas et al. (2013)”.

Comment:

Line 75 How does the modifications in CLM (NH₃ volatilization, N update and soil NO_x) correct LAI? Do all these modifications contribute the same, or is it mainly because the N uptake by the plants? May you please elaborate?

Response:

The correction to LAI is mainly from updates on plant nitrogen uptake. We now add in the section 2.2: “These modifications also slightly correct the large CLM overestimations in the vegetation LAI (Dahlin et al., 2015; Duarte et al., 2017) (Figure S2) mainly due to reduced nitrogen uptake by plant in our model.”

Responses of surface ozone air quality to anthropogenic nitrogen deposition in the Northern Hemisphere

Yuanhong Zhao¹, Lin Zhang¹, Amos P. K. Tai², Youfan Chen¹, Yuepeng Pan³

5 ¹Laboratory for Climate and Ocean-Atmosphere Sciences, Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

²Earth System Science Programme and Graduate Division of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Faculty of Science, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

10 ³State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric Chemistry (LAPC), Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

Correspondence to: Lin Zhang (zhanglg@pku.edu.cn)

Abstract. Human activities have substantially increased atmospheric deposition of reactive nitrogen to
15 the Earth's surface, inducing unintentional effects on ecosystems with complex environmental and climate consequences. One consequence remaining unexplored is how surface air quality might respond to the enhanced nitrogen deposition through surface-atmosphere exchange. Here we combine a chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem) and a global land model (Community Land Model) to address this issue with a focus on ozone pollution in the Northern Hemisphere. We consider three processes that
20 are important for surface ozone and can be perturbed by addition of atmospheric deposited nitrogen, namely, emissions of biogenic volatile organic compounds (VOCs), ozone dry deposition, and soil nitrogen oxide (NO_x) emissions. We find that present-day anthropogenic nitrogen deposition (65 Tg N a⁻¹ to the land), through enhancing plant growth (represented as increases in vegetation leaf area index (LAI) in the model), could increase surface ozone from increased biogenic VOC emissions (e.g., a 6.6
25 Tg increase in isoprene emission), but could also decrease ozone due to higher ozone dry deposition

velocities (up to 0.02-0.04 cm s⁻¹ increases). Meanwhile, deposited anthropogenic nitrogen to soil enhances soil NO_x emissions. The overall effect on summer mean surface ozone concentrations show general increases over the globe (up to 1.5-2.3 ppbv over the western US and South Asia), except for some regions with high anthropogenic NO_x emissions (0.5-1.0 ppbv decreases over the eastern US, Western Europe, and North China). We compare the surface ozone changes with those driven by the past 20-year climate and historical land use changes. We find that the impacts from anthropogenic nitrogen deposition can be comparable to the climate and land use driven surface ozone changes at regional scales, and partly offset the surface ozone reductions due to land use changes reported in previous studies. Our study emphasizes the complexity of biosphere-atmosphere interactions, which can have important implications for future air quality prediction.

1 Introduction

Reactive nitrogen, in the forms of reduced (NH_x) and oxidized nitrogen (NO_y), is an essential nutrient to the biosphere. Without human influence, reactive nitrogen is mainly fixed from inert nitrogen gas (N₂) through natural biological fixation, lightning, and wildfires (Galloway et al., 2004; Fowler et al., 2013). Human activities such as urbanization, industrialization, and agricultural development have led to the emissions of large amounts of reactive nitrogen in the forms of nitrogen oxides (NO_x = NO + NO₂) and ammonia (NH₃) since the preindustrial period. Their removal via atmospheric deposition has increased by more than a factor of three from the preindustrial era to the early 2000s, and become an important source of reactive nitrogen to terrestrial and oceanic ecosystems (Galloway et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2017).

Assessing the consequences of atmospheric nitrogen deposition requires a deep understanding of the interactions and feedbacks within different components of the Earth system including the biosphere and the atmosphere. There is evidence that enhanced atmospheric nitrogen deposition has led to negative

effects such as soil acidification (Stevens et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2014), eutrophication (Rodríguez et al., 2006), and loss of biomass diversity (Baron et al., 2014). Atmospheric nitrogen deposition has also been shown to increase carbon storage in terrestrial and oceanic ecosystems, but the resulting climate benefits can be largely offset by increased emissions of nitrous oxide (N₂O), a major greenhouse gas, as a byproduct of enhanced microbial nitrification and denitrification in soils (Duce et al., 2008; Zaehle et al., 2011; Bala et al., 2013). Previous studies mainly focused on the land-atmosphere exchange of long-lived greenhouse gases including CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄ (Liu et al., 2009; Zaehle et al., 2011) and their effects on climate. Very few studies have explored how ecosystem-mediated feedbacks through atmospheric chemistry influence air quality. Here we will present such a study that investigates how human-induced atmospheric nitrogen deposition may affect atmospheric composition and air quality via modifying ecosystem structure in terms of foliage density, with a focus on surface ozone pollution.

Near-surface ozone is a harmful air pollutant that results in detrimental effects on human health and vegetation (Bates, 2005; Jerrett et al., 2009; Avnery et al., 2011). It is mainly formed in the troposphere by photochemical oxidation of carbon monoxide (CO) and VOCs in the presence of NO_x. Tropospheric ozone burden has ~~been~~ more than doubled since preindustrial times, mainly driven by rising anthropogenic emissions of ozone precursors (NO_x, CO, and VOCs) and the recent equatorward shift of emission patterns (Young et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2016). Ozone impact on plant growth is mainly affected through its stomatal uptake, and has been shown to severely damage forest, grassland and agricultural productivity (Ainsworth et al., 2012). Ozone damage impedes various foliage physiological functions including photosynthesis and stomatal conductance, with ramifications not only for ecosystem health but also for climate (Fowler et al., 2009; Matyssek et al., 2010; Yue et al., 2014; 2016; Sadiq et al., 2017). Major crops such as wheat, maize, rice, and soybean are also sensitive to surface ozone pollution, leading to concerns for global food security (Mills et al., 2007). Recent studies estimated that about 79-121 Tg of crop production was reduced due to ozone pollution in year 2000 alone (Avnery et

al., 2011), and future ozone damage on crops would lead to a 3.6% loss in total crop production under the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) RCP8.5 emission scenario (Tai et al., 2014).

80 The terrestrial biosphere can in turn affect surface ozone levels through surface-atmosphere exchange processes including biogenic VOC emissions, soil NO_x emissions, as well as ozone dry deposition loss (Heald and Geddes, 2016). A number of studies have investigated how surface air quality may respond to perturbations of these processes driven by historical land use change (Fu and Tai, 2015; Val Martin et al., 2015; Fu et al., 2016; Heald and Geddes, 2016) and climate change (Fu and Tai, 2015; Fu et al., 2016). Atmospheric nitrogen deposition, by enhancing plant growth and soil mineral nitrogen content, 85 is thus expected to modulate the production and loss of surface ozone. In this study, we build an asynchronously coupled modeling system using the GEOS-Chem global atmospheric chemistry model and the Community Land Model (CLM) to quantify the responses of surface ozone air quality to nitrogen deposition since preindustrial times via atmosphere-ecosystem exchange. We examine the individual processes that can be perturbed by nitrogen deposition and then affect surface ozone 90 concentrations including biogenic VOC emissions, soil NO_x emissions, and ozone dry deposition. To evaluate the relative importance of nitrogen deposition, we also estimate the surface ozone changes driven by historical climate and land use changes which have been better constrained in recent studies as described above.

95 **2 Model description**

We combine a chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem) and a global land model (CLM) to investigate to interactions between nitrogen deposition and surface air quality. The interacting processes are given in the schematic diagram in Figure 1 as will be discussed below. Asynchronous coupling of the two models allow us to examine individual processes. We describe in this section the two models, the 100 asynchronously coupled framework, as well as our model simulations.

2.1 The GEOS-Chem chemical transport model

We use the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model (v9-02; <http://www.geos-chem.org>) to characterize the contribution of anthropogenic sources to nitrogen deposition and responses of surface ozone to changes in vegetation density (as represented by LAI) and soil NO_x emissions as will be provided by CLM. GEOS-Chem is driven by the MERRA (Modern Era Retrospective-analysis for Research and Applications) assimilated meteorological data from the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). We run the GEOS-Chem model at a global horizontal resolution of 2° latitude by 2.5° longitude, and 47 levels in the vertical.

The GEOS-Chem model has been applied in a number of studies to simulate atmospheric nitrogen deposition (Zhang et al., 2012; Ellis et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015; 2017), surface ozone air quality (Zhang et al., 2011; 2014; Fu et al., 2015), and recently impacts of land use changes on atmospheric composition through biosphere-atmosphere exchange processes (Fu and Tai, 2015; Fu et al., 2016; Geddes et al., 2016; Heald and Geddes, 2016). It includes a detailed simulation of tropospheric NO_x-VOC-O₃-aerosol chemistry (Park et al., 2004; Mao et al., 2010). The model wet deposition scheme including scavenging in convective updraft and large-scale precipitation is described by Liu et al. (2001) for aerosols, and Mari et al. (2000) and Amos et al. (2012) for soluble gases. The dry deposition parameterization for gases and aerosols follows a standard big-leaf resistance-in-series model (Wesely, 1989; Zhang et al., 2001). Dry deposition velocities are calculated as a combination of aerodynamics resistance, boundary-layer resistance, and surface resistance.

We use the global anthropogenic emissions from the EDGAR (the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research) v4.2 emission inventory (EDGAR, 2015), overwritten by regional inventories including EMEP (the European Monitoring and Evaluation Program) over Europe (Vestreng and Klein,

2002) and REAS-v2 (the Regional Emission in ASia) over East Asia (Kurokawa et al., 2012) with the NH₃ emission seasonality from Zhao et al. (2015). Natural sources include emissions from biomass burning, lightning, soil, and the biosphere. Here biomass burning emissions are from the GFED-v3 (the Global Fire Emissions Database version 3) emission inventory (van der Werf et al., 2010). Lightning NO_x emissions, as described by Sauvage et al. (2007) and Murray et al. (2012), are calculated using the cloud top height parameterization of Price and Rind (1992) and vertical distribution of Pickering et al. (1998), and further spatially redistributed to match satellite observations of lightning flashes.

We implement the following modifications so that GEOS-Chem and CLM have harmonized land surface properties for simulating surface-atmosphere exchange processes including biogenic VOC emissions, soil NO_x emissions, and dry deposition. We follow Geddes et al. (2016) and use the 16 plant function types (PFTs) from CLM in the GEOS-Chem land module. The biogenic VOC emissions in GEOS-Chem are calculated using the MEGAN v2.1 algorithm based on emission factors of the 16 PFTs and activity factors accounting for emission responses to soil and meteorological conditions, leaf age, and LAI (Guenther et al., 2012). The original above-soil NO_x emissions in GEOS-Chem are based on the empirical parameterization of Hudman et al. (2012). In this study, we calculate the above-soil NO_x emissions in CLM (with improvements as described below and in the Supplement), and archive the values hourly for input to GEOS-Chem. The canopy reduction and emission pulsing scalars from Hudman et al. (2012) are applied to estimate the above-canopy NO_x emissions. Furthermore, we have mapped the 16 CLM PFTs to the deposition surface types of Wesely (1989) following Geddes et al. (2016) to improve the consistency in dry deposition calculation.

2.2 The Community Land Model

We use the Community Land Model (CLM v4.5; Oleson et al., 2013), the land component of the Community Earth System Model (CESM), to simulate responses of LAI and soil NO_x emissions to

enhanced atmospheric nitrogen deposition from anthropogenic sources. We run the CLM model at the resolution of 2.5° latitude by 1.9° longitude, driven by the CRU-NCEP (Climatic Research Unit (CRU)-National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP)) climate forcing dataset

([CURNCEPCRUNCEP](#), 2015), which combines the CRU TS3.2 monthly data and the NCEP 6-hour reanalysis data with additional data over oceans, lakes and Antarctica from Qian et al. (2006). Other model inputs such as initial conditions, surface parameters, and physiological constants are from the CESM input data repository (CESM, 2015).

The CLM model in its active biogeochemistry (BGC) mode simulates detailed terrestrial biogeophysical and biogeochemical processes such as surface energy fluxes, hydrology, and biogeochemical cycles as described by Oleson et al. (2013). Each grid cell is divided into five land units including vegetation, lake, urban, glacier, and crop. The vegetation-covered areas are further characterized by 16 PFTs, [which are derived from MODIS observations to represent the present-day condition \(Lawrence and Chase, 2007\)](#). The CLM v4.5 model includes a vertically resolved soil biogeochemistry scheme that considers vertical transport of soil carbon and nitrogen (Koven et al., 2013). In the model, nitrogen input to the soil mineral nitrogen pool is through atmospheric deposition and biological fixation. The mineral nitrogen can be transformed to organic nitrogen by plant uptake and immobilization, or leave the ecosystem through denitrification, leaching, and other loss processes (Oleson et al., 2013).

CLM v4.5 also includes the Century Nitrogen model of del Grosso et al. (2000), which divides the soil mineral nitrogen into NH_4^+ and NO_3^- , and calculates nitrification and denitrification rates accordingly. It allows the model to calculate the N_2O emission fluxes associated with nitrification and denitrification (del Grosso et al., 2000). We further add in the model a parameterization of soil NO_x emissions based on the NO_x and N_2O ratio as described in the [Appendix Supplement \(Section S1\)](#). We have implemented some modifications to CLM4.5 so that the simulated soil NO_x emissions are consistent with the GEOS-

Chem scheme ([AppendixSection S1](#), Figure S1). [These modifications also slightly correct the large CLM overestimations in the vegetation LAI \(Dahlin et al., 2015; Duarte et al., 2017\) \(Figure S2\) mainly due to reduced nitrogen uptake by plant in our model. These modifications also slightly correct the large CLM overestimations in the vegetation LAI \(Dahlin et al., 2015; Duarte et al., 2017\) as shown in Figure S2.](#)

For each CLM simulation in this study, we spin up the model for a thousand years for the soil nitrogen content to reach equilibrium using the meteorological data of 2006-2010 and present-day or preindustrial nitrogen deposition fluxes. We use the last five-year results for analysis. [The CLM simulations use prescribed, constant PFT distribution and soil types. We will investigate the influences of land use change on surface ozone by a separate GEOS-Chem simulation as described below.](#) We conduct these idealized near-equilibrium [CLM](#) simulations instead of transient simulations because terrestrial ecosystems respond slowly to the environment changes (Jones et al., 2009). Here we aim to provide a first quantitative analysis of surface ozone responses, and the near-equilibrium simulations present an estimate of the long-term influence that might occur in the future (Bala et al., 2013).

2.3 Asynchronous coupling and model experiments

As illustrated in Figure 1, reactive nitrogen emitted to the atmosphere by human activities, mainly as NH_3 and NO_x , will return to the land surface through wet and dry deposition processes. This deposited nitrogen will add into the soil mineral nitrogen content, and further enhance plant growth as well as nitrification and denitrification in the nitrogen limited areas. The influences on surface ozone occur via three main processes: increasing biogenic VOC emissions while accelerating ozone dry deposition due to plant growth (as represented by increases in LAI in this study), and perturbing soil NO_x emissions from the enhanced soil mineral nitrogen pool. Besides NO_x , soil mineral nitrogen can also release to the atmospheric as nitrous acid (HONO), which influences atmospheric oxidative capacity (Su et al., 2011).

Here we do not consider the influence through HONO due to a lack of its emission parameterization and chemistry simulation in both global models used in this study.

We set up an asynchronously coupled system using GEOS-Chem and CLM to investigate the influences of nitrogen deposition on surface ozone from the individual processes and the overall effects. We first calculate the global nitrogen deposition fluxes using the GEOS-Chem model averaged for the years 2006-2010. The simulated nitrogen deposition fluxes are then fed into CLM to compute LAI and soil NO_x emissions. To quantify the perturbations induced by human activities, two sets of GEOS-Chem and CLM simulations are conducted with all anthropogenic emissions turned on or off, representing the consequences of nitrogen deposition at the present-day vs. preindustrial conditions. Anthropogenic contributions are calculated as the differences between the two simulations. Finally, the CLM-simulated LAI and soil NO_x are returned to GEOS-Chem, which completes the land-atmosphere coupling and allows us to examine the impacts of nitrogen deposition on surface ozone concentrations.

Table 1 summarizes the GEOS-Chem simulations as the final step in this study. These simulations are conducted with all anthropogenic emissions but with different LAI values and soil NO_x emissions simulated by CLM. The simulation for the present-day condition (Run_all) applies the CLM-simulated present-day LAI and soil NO_x emissions. Its differences from the simulation with natural conditions (Run_nat) estimate the overall effect of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on surface ozone. By considering the individual processes separately (Run_VOCs, Run_soilnox, and Run_drydep), simulated ozone differences with Run_nat represent their separated effects.

To evaluate the importance of nitrogen deposition, we put our analyses in the context of comparisons with surface ozone changes driven by historical climate and land use changes. As listed in Table 2, we conduct the GEOS-Chem simulations by using the 1986-1990 MERRA fields (for comparison with the

2006-2010 fields) or the preindustrial land use data (1860 vs. the present-day condition for 2000), generally following the previous work of Fu and Tai (2015) and Heald and Geddes (2016). The impacts of climate change on wildfire emissions (Yue et al., 2015) are not considered here.

230 **3 Global emissions and deposition of reactive nitrogen**

We first evaluate the model simulation of present-day atmospheric nitrogen deposition at the global scale. Figure 2 shows the spatial distribution of annual total NH_3 and NO_x emissions, and the percentage contribution from anthropogenic sources averaged over the years 2006-2010. Global total NH_3 and NO_x emissions are 62 Tg N a^{-1} and 54 Tg N a^{-1} , of which 69% (43 Tg N a^{-1}) and 61% (33 Tg N a^{-1}) are from anthropogenic sources. Natural emissions include those from lightning (4.8 Tg N a^{-1} as NO_x), biomass burning (4.9 Tg N a^{-1} as NH_3 and 6.8 Tg N a^{-1} as NO_x), soil (5.6 Tg N a^{-1} as NH_3 and 9.4 Tg N a^{-1} as NO_x), and ocean (8.6 Tg N as NH_3). About 96% of the anthropogenic emissions are in the Northern Hemisphere. We will thus focus our analyses on the Northern Hemisphere in the study. East Asia (especially eastern China and India), Europe, and North America are the major emitting regions with high ratios of anthropogenic contribution. Over the three regions, total reactive nitrogen emissions reach more than $100 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$, $60 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$ and $50 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ a}^{-1}$, and about 75-90% of them are from anthropogenic sources. Most reactive nitrogen is emitted as NH_3 in China and India (62% in China and 71% in India), while NO_x is more abundant in Europe and North America (61% in Europe and 62% in North America), reflecting their different levels of agricultural activities.

245 Figure 3 shows GEOS-Chem simulated spatial distributions of annual total (reduced + oxidized) nitrogen deposition fluxes, and percentage contributions from anthropogenic emissions averaged over 2006-2010. Global total nitrogen deposition is simulated to be 114 Tg N a^{-1} , with 59% (67 Tg N a^{-1}) from wet deposition and 41% from dry deposition. 65 Tg N (38 Tg N as NH_x and 27 Tg N as NO_y) is deposited to the continents, and the remaining 49 Tg N is deposited to the ocean. Our results are

comparable with previous global model estimates of Dentener et al. (2006), and more recently, Lamarque et al. (2013) and Vet et al. (2014). Using an ensemble of 21 global chemical transport models, Vet et al. (2014) estimated a global total nitrogen deposition of 106 Tg N a⁻¹, with 55.6% deposited over the continental non-coastal areas for 2001. Deposition patterns of reactive nitrogen show a similar spatial distribution to their emissions due to the short lifetimes. Deposition fluxes reach more than 30 kg N ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ in Asia (in particular China and India), and 10 kg N ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ in Europe and North America, in agreement with the results of Vet et al. (2014). Anthropogenic emissions contribute 71% of total nitrogen deposition to the land on a global scale. The anthropogenic contributions are greater than 50% in the Northern Hemisphere, and reach more than 70% in North America, 80% in Western Europe, and 90% in East Asia.

We compare our simulation with NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ wet deposition flux measurements available for the same period of 2006-2010, including measurements from the Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET, 2015) and ten surface monitoring sites in North China from Pan et al. (2012), European Monitoring and Evaluation Program (EMEP, 2015) in Europe, and National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP, 2015) in North America. There is a lack of direct measurements of dry deposition fluxes (Vet et al., 2014); however, previous studies have evaluated the GEOS-Chem simulated nitrogen dry deposition fluxes over the US and China using concentration measurements from surface sites and satellites, and they showed good agreement (Zhang et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2017).

Comparisons of measured vs. simulated wet deposition fluxes over North America, Europe, and Asia are shown in Figure 3, with the correlation efficient (r) and normalized mean bias (NMB= $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (M_i - O_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^N O_i}$) between the observed (O) and modeled (M) values over the N sites computed.

275 Over the three high nitrogen depositing continents, comparisons generally show high correlation
coefficients ($r = 0.50-0.86$) and low biases for both NH_4^+ and NO_3^- wet deposition, except for biases of -
21% for NH_4^+ wet deposition over Europe and -23% for NO_3^- over East Asia. The high negative biases
are likely due to the difficulty of simulating very high deposition fluxes measured at urban sites as
suggested by Zhao et al. (2017) that evaluated GEOS-Chem-estimated nitrogen deposition over China
280 at a finer horizontal resolution. Globally, the model is able to capture the magnitudes and spatial
distribution of observations with high correlation coefficients of 0.86 for NH_4^+ and 0.70 for NO_3^- and
small biases of -5% for NH_4^+ and -8% for NO_3^- , providing credence to the model simulation of present-
day atmospheric nitrogen deposition.

285 **4 Impact of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on land properties**

4.1 Changes in vegetation LAI and subsequent responses

We discuss in this section the changes in ecosystem structure in terms of foliage density driven by
present-day anthropogenic nitrogen deposition as simulated by CLM. Figure 4 shows the simulated
present-day spatial distribution of vegetation LAI, and the perturbations due to anthropogenic nitrogen
290 deposition calculated as the differences between CLM simulations forced by total vs. natural-only
nitrogen deposition. Vegetation growth is limited by nitrogen supply over most of the globe. We find
that anthropogenic nitrogen deposition enhances global net primary production (NPP) by 3.7 Pg C a^{-1} ,
increasing LAI over those nitrogen-limited areas. Our estimated global NPP increase is consistent with
Bala et al. (2013) that used an earlier version of CLM (CLM4.0) and showed that doubling
295 (quadrupling) nitrogen deposition from the preindustrial level would increase global NPP by 2.6 Pg C a^{-1}
 $\pm (6.8) \text{ Pg C a}^{-1}$. As shown in Figure 4, LAI values increase by $0.1-0.7 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ cm}^{-2}$, $0.1-0.9 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ cm}^{-2}$, and
greater than $1.0 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ due to anthropogenic nitrogen deposition over the three hotspots of nitrogen
deposition, North America, Europe, and East Asia, respectively. The high increases over southeastern
China may also partly reflect the LAI overestimation in CLM (Supplementary Figure S2); we will

300 discuss the associated uncertainties in the next section.

Enhancement in LAI can subsequently lead to higher biogenic VOC emissions, and also higher ozone dry deposition velocities by lowering surface resistance. As shown in Figure 4, GEOS-Chem simulates a global total isoprene emission of 474 Tg a⁻¹ for the present-day condition, and anthropogenic nitrogen
305 deposition contributes about 6.6 Tg a⁻¹ (1.4 %) from the LAI enhancement. Isoprene emissions are more sensitive to LAI changes at lower LAI areas due to suppression of sunlight from dense leaves. Thus emissions over southeastern China do not show large increases despite significant LAI enhancement (0.8-1.0 cm² cm⁻²), while smaller LAI changes (0.2-0.6 cm² cm⁻²) over regions such as India and southeastern Brazil lead to distinct increases in isoprene emissions up to 10-15%. As for dry deposition,
310 the deposition velocities for ozone tend to increase with increasing LAI. We estimate that anthropogenic nitrogen deposition increases ozone dry deposition velocity by about 0.02 cm s⁻¹ (~8%) over eastern US and Western Europe, and 0.04 cm s⁻¹ (10%) over eastern and southern Asia.

4.2 Changes in soil NO_x emissions

315 Figure 5 shows how addition of deposited anthropogenic nitrogen to the soil mineral nitrogen pool could perturb soil NO_x emissions. As described above, we calculate the above-soil NO_x emissions in CLM using a scaling parameterization with respect to N₂O emission fluxes associated with nitrification and denitrification. Our CLM model results estimate that anthropogenic nitrogen deposition contributes to global emissions of 45.4 Tg N a⁻¹ as N₂, 1.32 Tg N as N₂O, and 2.6 Tg N as NO_x above soil. Zaehle
320 et al. (2011) previously estimated that global N₂O emissions were increased by 0.8 Tg N a⁻¹ from 1860 to 2005 due to atmospheric nitrogen deposition using transient simulations of a terrestrial land model. Our estimate (1.32 Tg N-N₂O) is reasonably higher considering we use the near-equilibrium simulations.

325 We estimate the present-day global above-canopy NO_x emissions to be 9.4 Tg N a^{-1} (Figure 5), and they
are in good agreement with the results estimated by the GEOS-Chem soil NO_x scheme of Hudman et al.
(2012) for the same period in terms of both the global magnitude (9.3 Tg N a^{-1}) and spatial distribution
(Supplementary Figure S1). 1.9 Tg N a^{-1} of the above-canopy NO_x emissions are contributed by
addition of deposited anthropogenic nitrogen, and 46% of the increased emissions occur over China and
330 India. As shown in Figure 5, anthropogenic nitrogen deposition can lead to significant increases in the
soil NO_x emissions especially in the Northern Hemisphere. These increases account for 30-70% of local
soil NO_x emissions over regions of China, India, the US, and Europe. There is also a strong seasonality
in the enhanced soil NO_x emissions since nitrification and denitrification rates are highly dependent on
surface temperature. We find that 41% (0.77 Tg N) of the emission enhancement occurs in Jun-July-
335 August, and only 13% in December-January-February.

5 Responses of surface ozone pollution

5.1 Surface ozone concentration

We now examine the changes in surface ozone air quality as driven by the overall effect of
340 anthropogenic nitrogen deposition, as well as the individual processes of dry deposition, biogenic VOC
and soil NO_x emissions. We use the metric of daytime (08:00-18:00 local time) mean surface ozone
concentration. Figure 6 and 7 show the resulting surface ozone changes in the Northern Hemisphere
averaged over summer (June-July-August) and spring (March-April-May), respectively. We find overall
increases in the surface ozone concentration over the globe except for some regions with high NO_x
345 emissions. In June-July-August, the mean surface ozone changes are generally within ± 3 ppbv, with
about 1 ppbv increases over the southwestern US and Northern Europe, nearly 2 ppbv over India, north-
central China, and northern African grassland; while about 0.5 ppbv decreases in the eastern US, and 1
ppbv decreases over North China and Western Europe. Similar patterns are found for spring (March-
April-May) (Figure 7), but changes are weaker than summer.

The overall impacts of nitrogen deposition on surface ozone are buffered from their effects through individual processes. Figure 6 and 7 also show the separated effects from changes in dry deposition, biogenic VOC emissions, and soil NO_x emissions. Increases in vegetation LAI tend to increase surface ozone concentrations due to higher biogenic VOC emissions, but are largely offset by increases in the ozone loss through higher dry deposition velocities. The net effects of two depend on the LAI values and the relative changes. For example, India as one of the regions with the largest relative changes in LAI shows higher ozone changes driven by biogenic VOC emissions than the decreases from dry deposition. Meanwhile, the ozone responses to soil NO_x emissions are nonlinear depending on whether the area is NO_x-limited or NO_x-saturated. As shown in Figure 6f, soil NO_x emission enhancements generally increase summer mean surface ozone concentrations in the Northern Hemisphere ~~except~~ for North China, where anthropogenic NO_x emissions are particularly large and ozone production is limited by VOC emissions as reported in recent studies (Tang et al., 2012).

355

360

365

370

One of the largest uncertainties arises from the CLM overestimation of vegetation LAI (Supplementary Figure S2). To test this uncertainty, we have conducted another set of simulations in which the GEOS-Chem model simulations use observed LAI from the MODIS satellite instrument, and the CLM-simulated present-day vs. natural LAI enhancement ratios are applied to adjust MODIS LAI to examine the contribution of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition. The resulting impacts of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on biogenic isoprene emissions, ozone dry deposition velocities, and summer mean surface ozone concentrations are shown in Supplementary Figure S3. Using adjusted MODIS LAI would lead to larger increases in biogenic isoprene emissions from anthropogenic nitrogen deposition (globally 8.2 Tg a⁻¹ with the MODIS LAI vs. 5.6 Tg a⁻¹ with the CLM LAI for the year 2009), and weaker increases in dry deposition velocity. As for summer mean surface ozone, we find that the differences are minor over the globe except for southeastern China where the largest LAI overestimate in CLM occurs.

375 Changes in summer mean surface ozone due to anthropogenic nitrogen deposition are around 0.1-2.0
ppbv with the adjusted MODIS LAI but are overall negative (up to -1.0 ppbv) with the CLM LAI over
this region, reflecting the combined effect of enhanced biogenic VOC emissions and reduced ozone dry
deposition loss with lower LAI.

380 **5.2 Comparisons with climate and land use driven surface ozone changes**

We also show in Figure 6 and 7 surface ozone changes driven by historical climate (1986-1990 vs.
2006-2010) and land use (1860 vs. 2000) changes as simulated by the GEOS-Chem model. The changes
for ozone dry deposition velocity, biogenic isoprene, and soil NO_x emissions are included in the
Supplement (Figure S4). Surface ozone changes from the 20-year climate change show a large spatial
385 variability with more than ±10 ppbv concentration changes in both spring and summer. The large
variations are mainly driven by changes in surface temperature and other meteorological variables as
found in previous studies (Camalier et al., 2007; Jacob et al., 2009; Doherty et al., 2013). The large
ozone concentration increases over northern Eurasia and Africa are associated with higher temperature
in 2006-2010 relative to 1986-1990, which leads to higher biogenic VOC emissions (Figure S4) and
390 stronger ozone photochemical production rates. Meanwhile higher temperature decreases surface ozone
over remote regions (ocean and deserts) due to stronger ozone loss and less PAN transported from
source regions (Doherty et al., 2013). Part of the ozone differences are also associated with changes in
ozone dry deposition velocities and soil NO_x emissions as driven by changes in temperature and
planetary boundary layer (PBL) height (Figure S4 and S5).

395 The historical land use change has led to decreases in surface ozone concentrations up to 5-7 ppbv for
the summer mean over most regions except for some areas in Western Europe, North China, and central
Africa where there are slight increases. These results are consistent with the recent work of Heald and
Geddes (2016) that investigated the impacts of changes in land types and agricultural activities on

400 surface air quality. The land-use-induced surface ozone changes are largely caused by a shift of forest trees with high biogenic emission factors to grasslands and croplands with low emission factors from 1860 to 2000. This shift in land types has also led to changes in ozone dry deposition velocity by up to 10% due to the combined impacts of LAI changes, cropland expansion (enhancing ozone vegetation uptake), and deforestation (decreasing ozone dry deposition velocity) (Heald and Geddes, 2016).

405

Compared with the impacts from climate change, surface ozone changes induced by anthropogenic nitrogen deposition (± 3 ppbv) are smaller on a global scale, but can be rather important at the local and regional scales. The anthropogenic nitrogen deposition induced ozone changes are usually 10% of those induced by climate change at low and middle latitudes but reach about 50% at high latitudes (e.g.,
410 Canada and Northern Europe). These values are also comparable to impacts from land use changes over regions such as the western US and India, where nearly all surface ozone concentration decreases due to historical land use changes are compensated by the increases caused by anthropogenic nitrogen deposition (0.3-1.5 ppbv over the western US and 0.5-2.3 ppbv over India).

415 **6 Conclusions**

In this study we present an exploratory study aiming to quantify the influences of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition on surface ozone air quality by using the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model asynchronously coupled with the CLM land model. Increased atmospheric nitrogen deposition from human activities can modulate plant growth and mineral nitrogen content in soil, and further affect
420 atmospheric composition through surface-atmosphere exchange processes. We consider here three processes including biogenic VOC emissions, ozone dry deposition, and soil NO_x emissions. A combination of GEOS-Chem and CLM allows us to investigate how these processes influence surface ozone and how anthropogenic nitrogen deposition perturbs them.

425 We simulate in GEOS-Chem global atmospheric nitrogen deposition fluxes for the present-day and the
preindustrial (natural emissions only) conditions, and then conduct near-equilibrium CLM simulations
with these fluxes to estimate terrestrial vegetation LAI, soil NO_x emissions, and their changes due to
anthropogenic nitrogen deposition. The present-day (2006-2010) nitrogen deposition is estimated to be
114 Tg N a⁻¹ with 57% (65 Tg N a⁻¹) deposited to the land, consistent with available measurements of
430 wet deposition fluxes. Anthropogenic sources contribute 71% of the nitrogen deposition to the land on
the global scale, and 70%-90% over the Northern Hemisphere continents. We find that anthropogenic
nitrogen deposition leads to large-scale increases in LAI as well as soil NO_x emissions over the globe.
The contributions from anthropogenic nitrogen deposition are particularly high over North America,
Europe, and East Asia, with local values of 5%-30% for LAI and 20%-70% for present-day soil NO_x
435 emissions.

Surface ozone changes driven by anthropogenic nitrogen deposition are then identified in additional
GEOS-Chem simulations with CLM-simulated LAI and soil NO_x emissions. We find that the LAI
enhancement due to anthropogenic nitrogen deposition can increase biogenic VOC emissions (e.g., a
440 6.6 Tg increase in isoprene emissions), but also lead to higher ozone dry deposition velocities (1%-15%
increases over the Northern Hemisphere continents). Surface ozone changes due to the two processes
are largely offset. Anthropogenic nitrogen deposition also leads to general increases in soil NO_x
emissions that increase the seasonal mean surface ozone concentrations over the globe except for North
China where ozone production is found to be NO_x saturated. We find that the net effects of
445 anthropogenic nitrogen deposition lead to summer mean surface ozone increases of 1 ppbv over the
southwestern US, 2 ppbv over India, north-central China; while decreases of 0.5 ppbv in the eastern US,
and 1 ppbv over North China and Western Europe.

To assess the importance of deposited anthropogenic nitrogen influences, we also estimate surface

450 ozone changes driven by the past 20-year climate change (from 1986-1990 to 2006-2010) and historical
land use change (from 1860 to 2000). The 20-year climate change has led to large changes in the
seasonal mean surface ozone concentration (± 10 ppbv), mainly driven by changes in temperature and
other meteorological variables, while the historical land use change induces decreases of summer mean
455 surface ozone by up to 5-7 ppbv in the Northern Hemisphere due to deforestation and cropland
expansion as discussed in recent studies (Fu and Tai, 2015; Heald and Geddes, 2016). Compared with
those changes, we find that the influences of anthropogenic nitrogen deposition can be comparable at
regional scales. In particular, they may largely offset the surface ozone reduction due to historical land
use change over the Northern Hemisphere continents.

460 While our study points out that anthropogenic nitrogen deposition can be important in modulating the
surface ozone air quality, it shall be acknowledged that considerable uncertainties still exist. The
estimated surface ozone responses rely heavily on the parameterizations of surface-atmosphere
exchange processes. Using different parameterizations with different meteorological data, large ranges
have been found for the estimates of biogenic emissions (Guenther et al., 2012; Henrot et al., 2016), soil
465 NO_x emissions (Hudman et al., 2012), and ozone dry deposition velocities (Hardacre et al., 2015).
Future work is needed to reconcile them especially in light of more observations of these emission and
deposition fluxes and understand the uncertainty ranges.~~Future work is needed to reconcile them
especially in light of observations and understand the uncertainty ranges.~~ The near-equilibrium CLM
simulations applied in this study also imply that our estimates represent a long-term, steady-state
470 impact, and may represent quite difference-different results from the transient responses to actual
perturbations of the terrestrial nitrogen cycle over centurial timescales. In addition, previous studies
have shown that nitrogen deposition can lead to reduction of plant diversity (Sutton et al., 2014). This is
not considered in our study since we use prescribed, constant PFT distribution, soil types and soil pH.
All the possible uncertainties reflect the complexity in the biosphere-atmosphere interactions and

475 feedbacks, and require future efforts in better characterizing these exchange processes in finer
integrated models such as Earth system models.

Data availability

480 The datasets including measurements and model simulations can be accessed from websites listed in the
references, downloaded from the webpage ([http://www.phy.pku.edu.cn/~atmoscc/data/acp-2017-242-
data.html](http://www.phy.pku.edu.cn/~atmoscc/data/acp-2017-242-
data.html)), or by contacting the corresponding author (Lin Zhang; zhanglg@pku.edu.cn)

Appendix A

485 The section describes modifications we implemented to the CLM v4.5 model for better simulating the
soil NO_x emissions and also reducing the model LAI overestimation. These include addition of soil NO_x
emission and NH₃ volatilization processes, and an improved parameterization of nitrogen uptake by
plants. We evaluate the CLM simulated results with satellite LAI observations and soil NO_x emissions
calculated by GEOS-Chem.

A1 Soil NO_x emissions

490 The original CLM4.5 model does not estimate NO_x emissions from soil. Here we implement a process-
based parameterization of soil NO_x emission as described by Parton et al. (2001). This parameterization
has been recently applied to the land model LM3V-N (Huang et al., 2015). In the parameterization, soil
NO_x from nitrification and denitrification is estimated based on the NO_x over N₂O emission ratio, which
495 varies with the gas diffusivity (D/D₀) as described by the arctangent (ATAN) function (Parton et al.,
2001).

$$R_{\text{NO}_x:\text{N}_2\text{O}} \equiv 15.2 \pm \frac{35.4 \times \text{ATAN}\left[0.68 \times \pi \times \left(10 \times \frac{D}{D_0} - 1.86\right)\right]}{\pi} \quad (\text{A1})$$

And the gas diffusivity is calculated as a function of air filled porosity (AFPS) (Davidson and

Trumbore, 1995):

$$\frac{D}{D_0} \equiv 0.209 \times \text{AFPS}^{\frac{4}{3}} \quad (\text{A2})$$

Above-soil NO_x emissions are thus derived from soil N_2O emissions as already estimated in CLM4.5 and the $R_{\text{NO}_x:\text{N}_2\text{O}}$ ratios. However, we find that soil NO_x emissions derived from the original CLM and this parameterization show a distinctly different spatial pattern from those calculated in GEOS-Chem with the scheme of Hudman et al. (2012) (Figure S1). To improve the consistency, we also add the process of NH_3 volatilization and update the parameterization of plant nitrogen uptake in the model as described in the sections below. In addition, we have implemented a soil temperature (T_{soil}) dependent factor (the equation below) from Xu and Prentice (2008) to the N_2O and N_2 emission ratio to reduce the CLM high soil NO_x emissions at high latitudes.

$$f(T_{\text{soil}}) \equiv \exp\left(308.56 \times \left(\frac{1}{68.02} - \frac{1}{T_{\text{soil}} + 46.02}\right)\right) \quad (\text{A3})$$

A2 NH_3 volatilization

NH_3 is highly volatile under high soil temperature and pH conditions. The original CLM calculates abnormally high soil NH_4^+ content over deserts (e.g., more than 20 g N m^{-2} in Sahara) due to a lack of the NH_3 volatilization process. Here we implement a process-based NH_3 volatilization parameterization in CLM following Xu and Prentice (2008). NH_3 volatilization from soil (V_{NH_3}) is estimated as a function of water filled pore space (WFPS), soil pH, and temperature (T_{soil}) given below.

$$V_{\text{NH}_3} \equiv f(\text{pH})f(T_{\text{soil}})(1 - \text{WFPS}) \frac{N_{\text{NH}_4^+}}{b_{\text{NH}_4^+}} \quad (\text{A4})$$

where $N_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ is the soil NH_4^+ content and $b_{\text{NH}_4^+}$ is the buffer parameter for NH_4^+ (10 as given by Huang et al. (2015)). The soil pH factor $f(\text{pH})$ and soil temperature factor $f(T_{\text{soil}})$ are given below:

$$f(\text{pH}) = e^{2 \times (\text{pH} - 10)} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$f(T_{\text{soil}}) = \min(1, e^{\frac{308.56 \times (\frac{1}{71.02} - \frac{1}{T_{\text{soil}} + 46.02})}{}}) \quad (\text{A6})$$

This NH_3 volatilization parameterization corrects the CLM bias in the soil NH_4^+ concentration over desert areas, and show consistent results with Xu and Prentice (2008).

A3 Plant nitrogen uptake

In the original CLM4.5, nitrogen uptake by plants is estimated as plant demand as long as there is sufficient nitrogen supply. However, many factors may influence plant nitrogen uptake, such as soil inorganic nitrogen concentration, the fine root mass, and soil temperature. Here we follow Thomas et al. (2013) and calculate in CLM the plant nitrogen uptake capacity ($U_{n,\text{plant}}$) based on the Hanes Woolf

Mechanism:

$$U_{n,\text{plant}} = V_{n,\text{max}} \frac{\text{NH}_{4,\text{av}} + \text{NO}_{3,\text{av}}}{(\text{NH}_{4,\text{av}} + \text{NO}_{3,\text{av}}) + K_{\text{min}}} C_{\text{root}} f(T_{\text{soil}}) \quad (\text{A7})$$

where $V_{n,\text{max}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-8} \text{ g N g C}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is the maximum N uptake per unit fine root C at 25°C; $\text{NH}_{4,\text{av}}$ and $\text{NO}_{3,\text{av}}$ are available mineral NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in the soil; $K_{\text{min}} = 0.83 \text{ g N m}^{-2}$ is the half saturation concentration of fine root nitrogen uptake from Kronzucker et al. (1995; 1996), C_{root} is fine root carbon concentration (g C m^{-2}), and $f(T_{\text{soil}})$ represents a function of limitation of soil temperature on plant nitrogen uptake as described in Thomas et al. (2013). The calculated uptake capacity is then compared to the plant demand, and the smaller one defines the plant uptake of mineral nitrogen in the modified CLM.

The datasets including measurements and model simulations can be accessed from websites listed in the references or by contacting the corresponding author (Lin Zhang; zhanglg@pku.edu.cn).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by [the National Key R&D Program of China \(2017YFC0210102\)](#), China's
545 National Basic Research Program (2014CB441303), and the National Natural Science Foundation of
China (41205103, 41475112, and 41405144). The collaboration was also supported by the General
Research Fund (project #: 14323116) of the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong given to Amos P.
K. Tai.

~~A description of the soil NO_x emission parameterization and 5 Figures are included in the~~
550 ~~supplement related to this article.~~

References

Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET), <http://www.eanet.asia/index.html>, 2015.

555 Ainsworth, E. A., Yendrek, C. R., Sitch, S., Collins, W. J., and Emberson, L. D.: The effects of
tropospheric ozone on net primary productivity and implications for climate change, *Annual review
of plant biology*, 63, 637-661, 2012.

Amos, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Wang, Q., Yantosca, R. M., Corbitt, E. S.,
Galarneau, E., Rutter, A. P., Gustin, M. S., Steffen, A., Schauer, J. J., Graydon, J. A., Louis, V. L. S.,
560 Talbot, R. W., Edgerton, E. S., Zhang, Y., and Sunderland, E. M.: Gas-particle partitioning of
atmospheric Hg(II) and its effect on global mercury deposition, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 591-603,
doi: 10.5194/acp-12-591-2012, 2012.

Avnery, S., Mauzerall, D. L., Liu, J., and Horowitz, L. W.: Global crop yield reductions due to surface
ozone exposure: 1. Year 2000 crop production losses and economic damage, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45,
565 2284-2296, 2011.

Bala, G., Devaraju, N., Chaturvedi, R. K., Caldeira, K., and Nemani, R.: Nitrogen deposition: how
important is it for global terrestrial carbon uptake?, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 7147-7160, doi: 10.5194/bg-
10-7147-2013, 2013.

Baron, J. S., Barber, M., Adams, M., Agboola, J. I., Allen, E. B., Bealey, W. J., Bobbink, R.,
570 Bobrovsky, M. V., Bowman, W. D., Branquinho, C., Bustamente, M. M. C., Clark, C. M., Cocking,
E. C., Cruz, C., Davidson, E., Denmead, O. T., Dias, T., Dise, N. B., Feest, A., Galloway, J. N.,
Geiser, L. H., Gilliam, F. S., Harrison, I. J., Khanina, L. G., Lu, X., Manrique, E., Hueso, R. O.,
Ometto, J. P. H. B., Payne, R., Scheuschner, T., Sheppard, L. J., Simpson, G. L., Singh, Y. V.,
Stevens, C. J., Strachan, I., Sverdrup, H., Tokuchi, N., Dobben, H. v., and Woodin, S.: The Effects of
575 Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on Terrestrial and Freshwater Biodiversity, in: *Nitrogen*

- Deposition, Critical Loads and Biodiversity, edited by: Sutton, M. A., Mason, K. E., Sheppard, L. J., Sverdrup, H., Haeuber, R., and Hicks, W. K., Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 465-480, 2014.
- Bates, D. V.: Ambient Ozone and Mortality, *Epidemiology*, 16, 427-429, doi: 10.1097/01.ede.0000165793.71278.ec, 2005.
- 580 Camalier, L., Cox, W., and Dolwick, P.: The effects of meteorology on ozone in urban areas and their use in assessing ozone trends, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 7127-7137, 2007.
- Climatic Research Unit (CRU)-National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) ([CURNCEPCRUNCEP](http://dods.extra.cea.fr/store/p529viov/cruncep/V4_1901_2011/)), data available at http://dods.extra.cea.fr/store/p529viov/cruncep/V4_1901_2011/, 2015.
- 585 Community Earth System Model (CESM) input data repository, data available at <https://svn-ccsm-inputdata.cgd.ucar.edu/trunk/inputdata/>, 2015.
- Dahlin, K. M., Fisher, R. A., and Lawrence, P. J.: Environmental drivers of drought deciduous phenology in the Community Land Model, *Biogeosciences*, 12, 5061-5074, doi: 10.5194/bg-12-5061-2015, 2015.
- 590 [Davidson, E. A., and Trumbore, S. E.: Gas diffusivity and production of CO₂ in deep soils of the eastern Amazon, *Tellus B*, 47, 550-565, doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0889.47.issue5.3.x, 1995.](#)
- Del Grosso, S. J., Parton, W. J., Mosier, A. R., Ojima, D. S., Kulmala, A. E., and Phongpan, S.: General model for N₂O and N₂ gas emissions from soils due to denitrification, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 14, 1045-1060, doi: 10.1029/1999GB001225, 2000.
- 595 Dentener, F., Drevet, J., Lamarque, J. F., Bey, I., Eickhout, B., Fiore, A. M., Hauglustaine, D., Horowitz, L. W., Krol, M., Kulshrestha, U. C., Lawrence, M., Galy-Lacaux, C., Rast, S., Shindell, D., Stevenson, D., Van Noije, T., Atherton, C., Bell, N., Bergman, D., Butler, T., Cofala, J., Collins, B., Doherty, R., Ellingsen, K., Galloway, J., Gauss, M., Montanaro, V., Müller, J. F., Pitari, G., Rodriguez, J., Sanderson, M., Solmon, F., Strahan, S., Schultz, M., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., and Wild, O.: Nitrogen and sulfur deposition on regional and global scales: A multimodel evaluation, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 20, GB4003, doi: 10.1029/2005GB002672, 2006.
- 600 Doherty, R. M., Wild, O., Shindell, D. T., Zeng, G., MacKenzie, I. A., Collins, W. J., Fiore, A. M., Stevenson, D. S., Dentener, F. J., Schultz, M. G., Hess, P., Derwent, R. G., and Keating, T. J.: Impacts of climate change on surface ozone and intercontinental ozone pollution: A multi-model study, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 118, 3744-3763, doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50266, 2013.
- Duarte, H. F., Raczka, B. M., Ricciuto, D. M., Lin, J. C., Koven, C. D., Thornton, P. E., Bowling, D. R., Lai, C. T., Bible, K. J., and Ehleringer, J. R.: Evaluating the Community Land Model (CLM 4.5) at a Coniferous Forest Site in Northwestern United States Using Flux and Carbon-Isotope Measurements, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 2016, 1-35, doi: 10.5194/bg-2016-441, 2016.
- 610 Duce, R. A., LaRoche, J., Altieri, K., Arrigo, K. R., Baker, A. R., Capone, D. G., Cornell, S., Dentener, F., Galloway, J., Ganeshram, R. S., Geider, R. J., Jickells, T., Kuypers, M. M., Langlois, R., Liss, P. S., Liu, S. M., Middelburg, J. J., Moore, C. M., Nickovic, S., Oschlies, A., Pedersen, T., Prospero, J.,

- Schlitzer, R., Seitzinger, S., Sorensen, L. L., Uematsu, M., Ulloa, O., Voss, M., Ward, B., and Zamora, L.: Impacts of Atmospheric Anthropogenic Nitrogen on the Open Ocean, *Science*, 320, 893-897, doi: 10.1126/science.1150369, 2008.
- 615 Ellis, R. A., Jacob, D. J., Sulprizio, M. P., Zhang, L., Holmes, C. D., Schichtel, B. A., Blett, T., Porter, E., Pardo, L. H., and Lynch, J. A.: Present and future nitrogen deposition to national parks in the United States: critical load exceedances, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 9083-9095, doi: 10.5194/acp-13-9083-2013, 2013.
- 620 European Monitoring and Evaluation Program (EMEP),
<http://www.nilu.no/projects/ccc/emepdata.html>, 2015.
- Fowler, D., Pilegaard, K., Sutton, M. A., Ambus, P., Raivonen, M., Duyzer, J., Simpson, D., Fagerli, H., Fuzzi, S., Schjoerring, J. K., Granier, C., Neftel, A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Laj, P., Maione, M., Monks, P. S., Burkhardt, J., Daemmgen, U., Neiryneck, J., Personne, E., Wichink-Kruit, R.,
625 Butterbach-Bahl, K., Flechard, C., Tuovinen, J. P., Coyle, M., Gerosa, G., Loubet, B., Altimir, N., Gruenhage, L., Ammann, C., Cieslik, S., Paoletti, E., Mikkelsen, T. N., Ro-Poulsen, H., Cellier, P., Cape, J. N., Horváth, L., Loreto, F., Niinemets, Ü., Palmer, P. I., Rinne, J., Misztal, P., Nemitz, E., Nilsson, D., Pryor, S., Gallagher, M. W., Vesala, T., Skiba, U., Brüggemann, N., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Williams, J., O'Dowd, C., Facchini, M. C., de Leeuw, G., Flossman, A.,
630 Chaumerliac, N., and Erisman, J. W.: Atmospheric composition change: Ecosystems–Atmosphere interactions, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 5193-5267, 2009.
- Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M. A., Cape, J. N., Reis, S., Sheppard, L. J., Jenkins, A., Grizzetti, B., Galloway, J. N., Vitousek, P., Leach, A., Bouwman, A. F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Amann, M., and Voss, M.: The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368, 2621, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2013.0164, 2013.
- 635 Fu, T.-M., Zheng, Y., Paulot, F., Mao, J., and Yantosca, R. M.: Positive but variable sensitivity of August surface ozone to large-scale warming in the southeast United States, *Nature Clim. Change*, 5, 454-458, doi: 10.1038/nclimate2567, 2015.
- 640 Fu, Y., and Tai, A. P. K.: Impact of climate and land cover changes on tropospheric ozone air quality and public health in East Asia between 1980 and 2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 10093-10106, doi: 10.5194/acp-15-10093-2015, 2015.
- Fu, Y., Tai, A. P. K., and Liao, H.: Impacts of historical climate and land cover changes on fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) air quality in East Asia between 1980 and 2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16,
645 10369-10383, doi: 10.5194/acp-16-10369-2016, 2016.
- Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Asner, G. P., Cleveland, C. C., Green, P. A., Holland, E. A., Karl, D. M., Michaels, A. F., Porter, J. H., Townsend, A. R., and Vöosmarty, C. J.: Nitrogen Cycles: Past, Present, and Future, *Biogeochemistry*, 70, 153-226, doi: 10.1007/s10533-004-0370-0, 2004.

- 650 Geddes, J. A., Heald, C. L., Silva, S. J., and Martin, R. V.: Land cover change impacts on atmospheric chemistry: simulating projected large-scale tree mortality in the United States, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 2323-2340, doi: 10.5194/acp-16-2323-2016, 2016.
- Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an
655 extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 5, 1471-1492, doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.
- Hardacre, C., Wild, O., and Emberson, L.: An evaluation of ozone dry deposition in global scale chemistry climate models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 6419-6436, doi: 10.5194/acp-15-6419-2015, 2015.
- 660 Heald, C. L., and Geddes, J. A.: The impact of historical land use change from 1850 to 2000 on secondary particulate matter and ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 14997-15010, doi: 10.5194/acp-16-14997-2016, 2016.
- Henrot, A. J., Stanelle, T., Schröder, S., Siegenthaler, C., Taraborrelli, D., and Schultz, M. G.: Implementation of the MEGAN (v2.1) biogenic emission model in the ECHAM6-HAMMOZ chemistry climate model, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 10, 903-926, doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-903-2017, 2017.
- 665 [Huang, Y., and Gerber, S.: Global soil nitrous oxide emissions in a dynamic carbon-nitrogen model, Biogeosciences, 12, 6405-6427, doi: 10.5194/bg-12-6405-2015, 2015.](#)
- Hudman, R. C., Moore, N. E., Mebust, A. K., Martin, R. V., Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Steps towards a mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide emissions: implementation and
670 space based-constraints, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 7779-7795, doi: 10.5194/acp-12-7779-2012, 2012.
- Jacob, D. J., and Winner, D. A.: Effect of climate change on air quality, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 51-63, 2009.
- Jerrett, M., Burnett, R. T., Pope, C. A. I., Ito, K., Thurston, G., Krewski, D., Shi, Y., Calle, E., and Thun, M.: Long-Term Ozone Exposure and Mortality, *New England Journal of Medicine*, 360,
675 1085-1095, 2009.
- Jones, C., Lowe, J., Liddicoat, S., and Betts, R.: Committed terrestrial ecosystem changes due to climate change, *Nature Geosci*, 2, 484-487, doi: 10.1038/ngeo555, 2009.
- Koven, C. D., Riley, W. J., Subin, Z. M., Tang, J. Y., Torn, M. S., Collins, W. D., Bonan, G. B., Lawrence, D. M., and Swenson, S. C.: The effect of vertically resolved soil biogeochemistry and alternate soil C and N models on C dynamics of CLM4, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 7109-7131, doi: 10.5194/bg-10-7109-2013, 2013.
- 680 [Kronzucker, H. J., Siddiqi, M. Y., and Glass, A.: Kinetics of NO₃- Influx in Spruce, *Plant Physiology*, 109, 319-326, doi: 10.1104/pp.109.1.319, 1995.](#)
- [Kronzucker, H. J., Siddiqi, M. Y., and Glass, A.: Kinetics of NH₄⁺ Influx in Spruce, *Plant Physiology*, 110, 773-779, doi: 10.1104/pp.110.3.773, 1996.](#)
- 685 Kurokawa, J., Ohara, T., Morikawa, T., Hanayama, S., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Fukui, T., Kawashima,

- K., and Akimoto, H.: Emissions of air pollutants and greenhouse gases over Asian regions during 2000–2008: Regional Emission inventory in ASia (REAS) version 2, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 11019-11058, doi: 10.5194/acp-13-11019-2013, 2013.
- 690 Lamarque, J. F., Dentener, F., McConnell, J., Ro, C. U., Shaw, M., Vet, R., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Dalsoren, S., Doherty, R., Faluvegi, G., Ghan, S. J., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Plummer, D., Shindell, D. T., Skeie, R. B., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Zeng, G., Curran, M., Dahl-Jensen, D., Das, S., Fritzsche, D., and Nolan, M.: Multi-model mean nitrogen and sulfur deposition from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP): evaluation of historical and projected future changes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 7997-8018, doi: 10.5194/acp-13-7997-2013, 2013.
- 695 [Lawrence, P. J., and Chase, T. N.: Representing a new MODIS consistent land surface in the Community Land Model \(CLM 3.0\), *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 112, G01023, 10.1029/2006JG000168, 2007.](#)
- 700 Liu, H., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., and Yantosca, R. M.: Constraints from ^{210}Pb and ^7Be on wet deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by assimilated meteorological fields, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 106, 12109-12128, doi: 10.1029/2000JD900839, 2001.
- Liu, L., and Greaver, T. L.: A review of nitrogen enrichment effects on three biogenic GHGs: the CO_2 sink may be largely offset by stimulated N_2O and CH_4 emission, *Ecology Letters*, 12, 1103-1117, 2009.
- 705 Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Han, W., Tang, A., Shen, J., Cui, Z., Vitousek, P., Erisman, J. W., Goulding, K., Christie, P., Fangmeier, A., and Zhang, F.: Enhanced nitrogen deposition over China, *Nature*, 494, 459-462, doi: 10.1038/nature11917, 2013.
- 710 Lu, X., Mao, Q., Gilliam, F. S., Luo, Y., and Mo, J.: Nitrogen deposition contributes to soil acidification in tropical ecosystems, *Global Change Biology*, 20, 3790-3801, 2014.
- Mao, J., Jacob, D. J., Evans, M. J., Olson, J. R., Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Clair, J. M. S., Crounse, J. D., Spencer, K. M., Beaver, M. R., Wennberg, P. O., Cubison, M. J., Jimenez, J. L., Fried, A., Weibring, P., Walega, J. G., Hall, S. R., Weinheimer, A. J., Cohen, R. C., Chen, G., Crawford, J. H., McNaughton, C., Clarke, A. D., Jaeglé, L., Fisher, J. A., Yantosca, R. M., Le Sager, P., and Carouge, C.: Chemistry of hydrogen oxide radicals (HO_x) in the Arctic troposphere in spring, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 5823-5838, doi: 10.5194/acp-10-5823-2010, 2010.
- Mari, C., Jacob, D. J., and Bechtold, P.: Transport and scavenging of soluble gases in a deep convective cloud, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 105, 22255-22267, doi: 10.1029/2000JD900211, 2000.
- 720 Matyssek, R., Karnosky, D. F., Wieser, G., Percy, K., Oksanen, E., Grams, T. E. E., Kubiske, M., Hanke, D., and Pretzsch, H.: Advances in understanding ozone impact on forest trees: Messages from novel phytotron and free-air fumigation studies, *Environmental Pollution*, 158, 1990-2006, 2010.

- 725 Mills, G., Buse, A., Gimeno, B., Bermejo, V., Holland, M., Emberson, L., and Pleijel, H.: A synthesis of AOT40-based response functions and critical levels of ozone for agricultural and horticultural crops, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 2630-2643, 2007.
- Murray, L. T., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Hudman, R. C., and Koshak, W. J.: Optimized regional and interannual variability of lightning in a global chemical transport model constrained by LIS/OTD satellite data, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos*, 117, D20307 doi: 10.1029/2012JD017934, 2012.
- 730 National Acid Deposition Program (NADP), <http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/>, 2015.
- Oleson, K., Lawrence, D., Bonan, G., Drewniak, B., Huang, M., Koven, C., Levis, S., Li, F., Riley, W., Subin, Z., S.C, S., P.E, T., A, B., R, F., E, K., J-F, L., P.J, L., L.R, L., W, L., S, M., D.M, R., W, S., Y, S., J, T., and Z.-L, Y.: Technical Description of version 4.5 of the Community Land Model (CLM). Near Technical Note NCAR/TN-503+STR, National Center for Atmospheric Research, 422pp, doi: 10.5065/D6RR1W7M, 2013.
- 735 Pan, Y. P., Wang, Y. S., Tang, G. Q., and Wu, D.: Wet and dry deposition of atmospheric nitrogen at ten sites in Northern China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 6515-6535, doi: 10.5194/acp-12-6515-2012, 2012.
- Pan, Y., Tian, S., Liu, D., Fang, Y., Zhu, X., Zhang, Q., Zheng, B., Michalski, G., and Wang, Y.: Fossil fuel combustion-related emissions dominate atmospheric ammonia sources during severe haze episodes: evidence from 15N-stable isotope in size-resolved aerosol ammonium, *Environ. Sci. Technol*, 50, 8049-8056, 2016.
- 740
- Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Yantosca, R. M., and Chin, M.: Natural and transboundary pollution influences on sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols in the United States: Implications for policy, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos*, 109, D15204, doi: 10.1029/2003JD004473, 2004.
- 745 Parton, W. J., Holland, E. A., Del Grosso, S. J., Hartman, M. D., Martin, R. E., Mosier, A. R., Ojima, D. S., and Schimel, D. S.: Generalized model for NO_x and N₂O emissions from soils, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 106, 17403-17419, doi: 10.1029/2001JD900101, 2001.
- Pickering, K. E., Wang, Y., Tao, W.-K., Price, C., and Müller, J.-F.: Vertical distributions of lightning NO_x for use in regional and global chemical transport models, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos*, 103, 31203-31216, doi: 10.1029/98JD02651, 1998.
- 750 Price, C., and Rind, D.: A simple lightning parameterization for calculating global lightning distributions, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos*, 97, 9919-9933, doi: 10.1029/92JD00719, 1992.
- Qian, T., Dai, A., Trenberth, K. E., and Oleson, K. W.: Simulation of Global Land Surface Conditions from 1948 to 2004. Part I: Forcing Data and Evaluations, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 7, 953-975, 2006.
- 755 Rodríguez, L., and Macías, F.: Eutrophication trends in forest soils in Galicia (NW Spain) caused by the atmospheric deposition of nitrogen compounds, *Chemosphere*, 63, 1598-1609, 2006.
- Sadiq, M., Tai, A. P. K., Lombardozzi, D., and Val Martin, M.: Effects of ozone-vegetation coupling on surface ozone air quality via biogeochemical and meteorological feedbacks, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
- 760

- 17, 3055-3066, doi: 10.5194/acp-17-3055-2017, 2017.
- Sauvage, B., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., Liu, X., Chance, K., Jaeglé, L., Palmer, P. I., Wu, S., and Fu, T. M.: Remote sensed and in situ constraints on processes affecting tropical tropospheric ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 815-838, doi: 10.5194/acp-7-815-2007, 2007.
- 765 Stevens, C. J., Maskell, L. C., Smart, S. M., Caporn, S. J. M., Dise, N. B., and Gowing, D. J. G.: Identifying indicators of atmospheric nitrogen deposition impacts in acid grasslands, *Biological Conservation*, 142, 2069-2075, 2009.
- Su, H., Cheng, Y., Oswald, R., Behrendt, T., Trebs, I., Meixner, F. X., Andreae, M. O., Cheng, P., Zhang, Y., and Pöschl, U.: Soil Nitrite as a Source of Atmospheric HONO and OH Radicals, *Science*, 333, 1616-1618, doi: 10.1126/science.1207687, 2011.
- 770 Sutton, M. A., Mason, K. E., Sheppard, L. J., Sverdrup, H., Haeuber, R., and Hicks, W. K.: Nitrogen deposition, critical loads and biodiversity, Springer Science & Business Media, 535 pp., 2014.
- Tai, A. P. K., Martin, M. V., and Heald, C. L.: Threat to future global food security from climate change and ozone air pollution, *Nature Clim. Change*, 4, 817-821, doi: 10.1038/nclimate2317, 2014.
- 775 Tang, G., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ji, D., Hsu, S., and Gao, X.: Spatial-temporal variations in surface ozone in Northern China as observed during 2009–2010 and possible implications for future air quality control strategies, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 2757-2776, doi: 10.5194/acp-12-2757-2012, 2012.
- [Thomas, R. Q., Bonan, G. B., and Goodale, C. L.: Insights into mechanisms governing forest carbon response to nitrogen deposition: a model–data comparison using observed responses to nitrogen addition, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 3869-3887, doi: 10.5194/bg-10-3869-2013, 2013.](#)
- 780 Val Martin, M., Heald, C. L., Lamarque, J.-F., Tilmes, S., Emmons, L. K., and Schichtel, B. A.: How emissions, climate, and land use change will impact mid-century air quality over the United States: a focus on effects at national parks, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 2805-2823, doi:10.5194/acp-15-2805-2015, 2015.
- 785 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997–2009), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 11707-11735, doi: 10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010, 2010.
- Vestreng, V., and Klein, H.: Emission data reported to UNECE/EMEP: Quality assurance and trend analysis & Presentation of WebDab, Det norske meterologiske institutt, 2002.
- 790 Vet, R., Artz, R. S., Carou, S., Shaw, M., Ro, C.-U., Aas, W., Baker, A., Bowersox, V. C., Dentener, F., Galy-Lacaux, C., Hou, A., Pienaar, J. J., Gillett, R., Forti, M. C., Gromov, S., Hara, H., Khodzher, T., Mahowald, N. M., Nickovic, S., Rao, P. S. P., and Reid, N. W.: A global assessment of precipitation chemistry and deposition of sulfur, nitrogen, sea salt, base cations, organic acids, acidity and pH, and phosphorus, *Atmos. Environ.*, 93, 3-100, 2014.
- 795 Wesely, M. L.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models, *Atmos. Environ.*, 23, 1293-1304, 1989.

[Xu, R. I., and Prentice, I. C.: Terrestrial nitrogen cycle simulation with a dynamic global vegetation model, *Global Change Biology*, 14, 1745-1764, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01625.x, 2008.](#)

- 800 Young, P. J., Archibald, A. T., Bowman, K. W., Lamarque, J. F., Naik, V., Stevenson, D. S., Tilmes, S., Voulgarakis, A., Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R. B., Shindell, D. T., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Pre-industrial to end 21st century projections of tropospheric ozone from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 2063-2090, doi: 10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013, 2013.
- 805 Yue, X., and Unger, N.: Ozone vegetation damage effects on gross primary productivity in the United States, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 9137-9153, doi: 10.5194/acp-14-9137-2014, 2014.
- 810 Yue, X., Mickley, L. J., Logan, J. A., Hudman, R. C., Martin, M. V., and Yantosca, R. M.: Impact of 2050 climate change on North American wildfire: consequences for ozone air quality, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 10033-10055, doi: 10.5194/acp-15-10033-2015, 2015.
- Yue, X., Unger, N., Harper, K., Xia, X., Liao, H., Zhu, T., Xiao, J., Feng, Z., and Li, J.: Ozone and haze pollution weakens net primary productivity in China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2016, 1-36, doi: 10.5194/acp-2016-1025, 2016.
- 815 Zaehle, S., Ciais, P., Friend, A. D., and Prieur, V.: Carbon benefits of anthropogenic reactive nitrogen offset by nitrous oxide emissions, *Nature Geosci*, 4, 601-605, doi: 10.1038/ngeo1207, 2011.
- Zhang, L., Gong, S., Padro, J., and Barrie, L.: A size-segregated particle dry deposition scheme for an atmospheric aerosol module. *Atmos. Environ.* 35, 549-560, 2001.
- 820 Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Downey, N. V., Wood, D. A., Blewitt, D., Carouge, C. C., van Donkelaar, A., Jones, D. B. A., Murray, L. T., and Wang, Y.: Improved estimate of the policy-relevant background ozone in the United States using the GEOS-Chem global model with $1/2^\circ \times 2/3^\circ$ horizontal resolution over North America, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 6769-6776, 2011.
- 825 Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Knipping, E. M., Kumar, N., Munger, J. W., Carouge, C. C., van Donkelaar, A., Wang, Y. X., and Chen, D.: Nitrogen deposition to the United States: distribution, sources, and processes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4539-4554, doi: 10.5194/acp-12-4539-2012, 2012.
- Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Yue, X., Downey, N. V., Wood, D. A., and Blewitt, D.: Sources contributing to background surface ozone in the US Intermountain West, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 5295-5309, doi: 10.5194/acp-14-5295-2014, 2014.
- 830 Zhang, Y., Cooper, O. R., Gaudel, A., Thompson, A. M., Nedelec, P., Ogino, S.-Y., and West, J. J.: Tropospheric ozone change from 1980 to 2010 dominated by equatorward redistribution of emissions, *Nature Geosci*, 9, 875-879, 2016.
- Zhao, Y., Zhang, L., Pan, Y., Wang, Y., Paulot, F., and Henze, D. K.: Atmospheric nitrogen deposition to the northwestern Pacific: seasonal variation and source attribution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 10905-10924, doi: 10.5194/acp-15-10905-2015, 2015.

835 Zhao, Y., Zhang, L., Chen, Y., Liu, X., Xu, W., Pan, Y., and Duan, L.: Atmospheric nitrogen deposition
to China: A model analysis on nitrogen budget and critical load exceedance, *Atmos. Environ.*, 153,
32-40, 2017.

840

Tables

Table 1. GEOS-Chem simulations to quantify surface ozone response to nitrogen deposition from each process and the net effect¹


	Run_all	Run_VOCs	Run_soilnox	Run_drydep	Run_nat
Biogenic VOCs	All ¹	All	Nat ²	Nat	Nat
Soil NO_x	All	Nat	All	Nat	Nat
Dry deposition	All	Nat	Nat	All	Nat

¹ In the table All represents the use of CLM outputs simulated with the present-day atmospheric nitrogen deposition, and Nat represents the use of CLM outputs with natural nitrogen deposition alone. All GEOS-Chem simulations listed in the table are conducted with present-day anthropogenic and natural emissions turned on.
~~In the table All represents the use of CLM outputs simulated with the present-day atmospheric nitrogen deposition, and Nat represents the use of CLM outputs with natural nitrogen deposition alone.~~

Table 2. GEOS-Chem simulations with the input data time listed to quantify surface ozone changes driven by historical climate and land use changes

	Run_std	Run_met	Run_land
Land use	2000	2000	1860
Meteorology	2006-2010	1986-1990	2006-2010

Figures



865

Figure 1. The schematic diagram and flowchart of the land-atmosphere asynchronously coupled system for the study.

870

875

880




Figure 2. Spatial distribution of total NH_3 (top panels) and NO_x (bottom panels) emissions (left panels) and percentage contribution from anthropogenic sources (right panels) averaged for 2006-2010. Annual global total values are shown inset.

885

890

895





Figure 3. Top panels show total nitrogen deposition fluxes (left) and [contributions from anthropogenic sources estimated as percentage changes in the GEOS-Chem simulation with all anthropogenic emissions turned off relative to the simulation with anthropogenic emissions turned on](#) (right) contributions from anthropogenic sources (right). Annual total deposition values to land are shown inset. Bottom panels compare the simulated NH_4^+ (left) and NO_3^- (right) wet deposition fluxes with an ensemble of surface measurements over North America, Europe, and Asia as described in the text. The comparison scatter-plots are over-plotted with correlation coefficients (r) and normalized mean biases (b) also shown inset.



920

Figure 4. Leaf area index (top panels), biogenic isoprene emission (middle panels with annual total emissions shown inset), and dry deposition velocity for ozone (bottom panels) as simulated by the asynchronously coupled modeling system. The left panels represent the present-day conditions, and the right panels show perturbations as could be driven by human-induced atmospheric nitrogen deposition.

925




Figure 5. Present-day soil NO_x emissions (left) and contributions from anthropogenic nitrogen deposition (right). Annual totals are shown inset.

930

935

940

945

950




Figure 6. Changes in mean surface ozone concentration for June-July-August driven by anthropogenic nitrogen deposition (top-left panel), changes in climate (2006-2010 vs. 1986-1990; top-middle panel) and land use (present-day vs. 1860 conditions; top-right panel). Model simulations are described in the text. Bottom panels separate the anthropogenic nitrogen deposition-induced ozone changes into those due to three processes: changes in dry deposition velocity, biogenic VOC emissions, and soil NO_x emissions.




Figure 7. Same as Figure 6 but for March-April-May.