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The reviewer appreciate the responses made by the authors. As the investigators did, impactors have to be coated by oil or grease to prevent from bounce of giant particles.

The reviewer appreciate the additional experiment done by the authors about DNA extraction efficiency. However, the efficiency reported in the response seems to be recovery efficiency of DNA associated purification and elution steps, and not efficiency of DNA extraction from fungal cells. In this case, the authors had to spike fungal spores, not naked fungal DNA or PCR amplicons, as an external control. Usually, 70

The reviewer is also curious about the concentrations reported in the abstract. The authors reported that the fungal abundance was $9.4 \times 10^4$ and $1.3 \times 10^5$ copies m$^{-3}$ in PM2.5 and PM1, respectively. However, this is against basic law of physics. The
concentration has to be higher in PM2.5 than in PM1 because PM2.5 is inclusive of PM1.