Dear Editor,

I appreciate your last comment on the manuscript acp-2017-1999: "It seems to me that their might be a small bug left in the second term of the third row of equation A7: should the index be (k-2, u-k+1) rather than (k-2, u-k-1), and the same with N? (so, should i+j be u-1 for this terms?) Please correct if you agree with this."

You are right. In the expansion form, each line corresponds to the same summation value, g, in the far LHS in Eq. (A7). The summation value should be u-1 In the third line, thus the index should be (k-2, u-k+1). This was a typo and the expression of the far RHS term in Eq. (A7) was not affected. This was corrected in the final submitted manuscript.

This reviewing of this manuscript was a delighted experience. The comments and suggestions from you and the reviewers are constructive and helped to improve this manuscript.

Best,

Jingkun