Large contribution of fossil-fuel derived secondary organic carbon to water-soluble organic aerosols in winter haze of China
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Abstract

Water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) is a large fraction of organic aerosols (OA) globally and has significant impacts on climate and human health. The sources of WSOC remain very uncertain in polluted regions. Here we present a quantitative source apportionment of WSOC isolated from aerosols in China using radiocarbon ($^{14}$C) and offline high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer measurements. Fossil emissions on average accounted for 32-47% of WSOC. Secondary organic carbon (SOC) dominated both the non-fossil and fossil derived WSOC, highlighting the importance of secondary formation to WSOC in severe winter haze episodes. Contributions from fossil emissions to SOC were 61±4% and 50±9% in Shanghai and Beijing, respectively, significantly larger than those in Guangzhou (36±9%) and Xi’an (26±9%). The most important primary sources were biomass burning emissions, contributing 17-26% of WSOC. The remaining primary sources such as coal combustion, cooking and traffic were generally very small but not negligible contributors, as coal combustion contribution could exceed 10%. Taken together with earlier $^{14}$C source apportionment studies in urban, rural, semi-urban, and background regions in Asia, Europe and USA, we demonstrated a dominant contribution of non-fossil emissions (i.e., 75±11%) to WSOC aerosols in the North Hemisphere; however, the fossil fraction is substantially larger in aerosols from East Asia and the East Asian pollution outflow especially during winter due to increasing coal combustion. Inclusion of our findings can improve a modelling of effects of WSOC aerosols on climate, atmospheric chemistry and public health.
1 INTRODUCTION

Water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) is a large fraction of atmospheric organic aerosols (OA), which contributes approximately 10% to 80% of the total mass of organic carbon (OC) in aerosols from urban, rural and remote sites (Zappoli et al., 1999; Weber et al., 2007; Ruellan and Cachier, 2001; Wozniak et al., 2012; Mayol-Bracero et al., 2002). Only 10 to 20% of total mass of WSOC has been resolved at a molecular level, and it consists of a large variety of chemical species such as mono- and di-carboxylic acids, carbohydrate derivatives, alcohols, aliphatic and aromatic acids and amino acids (Fu et al., 2015; Noziere et al., 2015).

Recent studies suggest that the water-soluble fraction of HUmic LIke Substances (HULIS) is a major component of WSOC, which exhibits light-absorbing properties (Limbeck et al., 2005; Andreae and Gelencser, 2006; Laskin et al., 2015). Therefore, WSOC has significant influences on the Earth’s climate either directly by scattering and absorbing radiation or indirectly by altering the hygroscopic properties of aerosols and increasing cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) activity (Asa-Awuku et al., 2011; Cheng et al., 2011; Hecobian et al., 2010).

WSOC can be directly emitted as primary particles mainly from biomass burning emissions or produced from secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation (Sannigrahi et al., 2006; Kondo et al., 2007; Weber et al., 2007; Bozzetti et al., 2017b; Bozzetti et al., 2017a). Ambient studies provide evidence that SOA formation through the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and gas-to-particle conversion processes may be a prevalent source of WSOC (Kondo et al., 2007; Weber et al., 2007; Miyazaki et al., 2006; Hecobian et al., 2010).

WSOC is therefore thought to be a good proxy of secondary organic carbon (SOC) in the absence of biomass burning (Weber et al., 2007). By contrast, water-insoluble OC (WIOC) is thought to be mainly from primary origins with a substantial contribution from fossil fuel emissions (Miyazaki et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2014b).

Due to a large variety of sources and unresolved formation processes of WSOC, their relative fossil and non-fossil contributions are still poorly constrained. Radiocarbon ($^{14}$C) analysis of sub-fractions of organic aerosols such as OC, WIOC and WSOC enable an
unambiguous, precise and quantitative determination of their fossil and non-fossil sources (Zhang et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014b; Zhang et al., 2014c; Zong et al., 2016; Cao et al., 2017).

Meanwhile, the application of aerosol mass spectrometer measurement and positive matrix factorization and multi-linear engine 2 (ME-2) can quantitatively classify organic aerosols into two major types such as hydrocarbon-like OA (HOA) from primary fossil-fuel combustion and oxygenated organic aerosol (OOA) from secondary origin (Zhang et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2009). Field campaigns with the aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS) have revealed a predominance of OOA in various atmospheric environments, although their sources remain poorly characterized (Zhang et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2009). Previous studies found OOA is strongly correlated with WSOC from urban aerosols in Tokyo, Japan, the Pearl River Delta (PRD) in South China and Helsinki, Finland, indicating similar chemical characteristics, sources and formation processes of OOA and WSOC (Kondo et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2011; Timonen et al., 2013). Similarly, HOA is mostly water insoluble and the major portion of water insoluble OC (WIOC) can be assigned as HOA (Kondo et al., 2007; Daellenbach et al., 2016). Therefore, $^{14}$C measurement of WIOC and WSOC aerosols may provide new insights into sources and formation processes of primary and secondary OA, respectively, which also will elucidate the origin of HOA and OOA as measured by AMS (Zotter et al., 2014b; Zhang et al., 2017).

In this paper we apply a newly developed method to measure $^{14}$C in WSOC of PM$_{2.5}$ (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of small than 2.5 μm) samples collected at four Chinese megacities during an extremely severe haze episode during winter 2013 (Zhang et al., 2015b; Huang et al., 2014). In conjunction with our previous dataset from the same campaign, we quantify fossil and non-fossil emissions from primary and secondary sources of WSOC and WIOC. The dataset is also complemented by previous $^{14}$C-based source apportionment studies conducted in urban, rural and remote regions in the North Hemisphere to gain an overall picture of the sources of WSOC aerosols.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS
2.1 Sampling

During January 2013 extremely high concentrations of 24-h PM$_{2.5}$ (i.e. often >100 \( \mu \text{g/m}^3 \)) were identified in several large cities in East China (Huang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015b). To investigate sources and formation mechanisms of the haze particles, an intensive field campaign was carried out in four large cities, Beijing, Xi’an, Shanghai and Guangzhou, which are representative cities of the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, central-northwest region, Yangtze Delta Region, and Pearl River Delta Region, respectively. The sampling procedures have been previously described in detail elsewhere (Zhang et al., 2015b). Briefly, PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected on pre-baked (450 °C for 6 hours) quartz filters using high-volume samplers for 24 h at a flow rate of \(-1.05 \text{ m}^3/\text{min} \) from 5 to 25 January 2013. The sampling sites in each city were located at campuses of universities or at research institutes, at least 100 m away from major emission sources (e.g., roadways, industry and domestic sources). One field blank sample for each site was collected and analyzed. The results reported here were corrected for these field blanks (Zotter et al., 2014a; Cao et al., 2013). All samples were stored at -20 °C before analysis. The PM$_{2.5}$ mass was gravimetrically measured with an analytical microbalance before and after sampling with the same conditions (~12 hour)

2.2 OC and EC mass determinations

A 1.0 cm$^2$ filter punches were used for OC and EC mass determination with a OC/EC analyzer (Model4L) using the EUSAAR-2 protocol (Cavalli et al., 2010). The replicate analysis (n=6) showed an analytical precision with relative standard deviations smaller than 5%, 10%, and 5% for OC, EC and TC, respectively. The field blank of OC was on average 2.0 ± 1.0 \( \mu \text{g/cm}^2 \) (equivalent to \(-0.5 \mu \text{g/m}^3 \)), which was used for blank correction for OC. EC data was not corrected for field blank, because such a blank was not detectable.

2.3 Offline-AMS measurement and PMF source apportionment

The water-soluble extracts from the same samples were analyzed by a high-resolution time of flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS) and the resulting mass spectra were used as
an inputs for positive matrix factorization (PMF) for the source apportionment of the WSOC, OC and PM$_{2.5}$. The methodology applied, and the AMS-PMF results obtained are detailed in Huang et al. (2014) and will only be briefly described in the following. Here, only data relative to WSOC are used.

Filter punches (the equivalent of ~4 cm$^2$) were sonicated in 10 mL ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ cm at 25 °C, TOC <3ppb) for 20 min at 30°C. The water extracts were aerosolized and the resulting particles were dried with a silica gel diffusion dryer before analysis by the HR-ToF-AMS. For each measurement ten mass spectra were recorded (AMS V-mode, m/z 12-500), with a collection time for each spectrum of 1 minute.

Online AMS measurements provide quantitative mass spectra of submicron non-refractory aerosol species, including organic aerosol and ammonium nitrate and sulfate. However, the offline AMS measurements described herein cannot be directly related to ambient concentrations due to uncertainties in nebulization and AMS lens cut-off. Here, we have scaled the organic aerosol mass spectra to water soluble organic aerosol concentrations (WSOM), obtained as WSOC times OM/OC ratios. The latter were determined by the high resolution analysis of the organic aerosol mass spectra, acquired by the AMS.

The quantitative WSOM mass spectra are used together with other aerosol species (listed below), collectively referred to as ‘species’ hereafter, as PMF inputs. PMF solves the bilinear matrix equation:

$$X_{ij} = \sum_k G_{i,k} F_{k,j} + E_{i,j} \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

by following a weighted least squares approach. In the equation, $i$ represent the time index, $j$ a species and $k$ the factor number. $X_{ij}$ is the input matrix, $G_{i,k}$ is the matrix of the factor time-series, $F_{k,j}$ is the matrix of the factor profiles and $E_{i,j}$ the model residual matrix. PMF determines $G_{i,k}$ and $F_{k,j}$ such that the ratio of the Frobenius norm of $E_{i,j}$ over the uncertainty matrix, $s_{ij}$, used as model input is minimised.
The species considered as inputs include the quantitative WSOM mass spectra, organic markers (3 anhydrous sugars, 4 lignin breakdown products, 2 resin acids, 4 hopanes, 19 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and their oxygenated derivatives), EC, and major ions (Cl\textsuperscript{-}, NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}, SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}, oxalate, methylsulfonic acid, Na\textsuperscript{+}, K\textsuperscript{+}, Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, and NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}) and residual PM. The latter is the difference between total PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass and the measured species. It represents our best estimate of the particulate chemical species not measured here, most likely dominated by crustal material.

The Source Finder toolkit (SoFi v.4.9) (Canonaco et al., 2013) for IGOR Pro software package (Wavemetrics, Inc., Portland, OR, USA) was used to run the PMF algorithm. The PMF was solved by the Multilinear Engine 2 (ME-2, Paatero, 1999), which allows the constraining of the \( F_{kj} \) elements to vary within a certain range defined by the scalar \( \alpha \) (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1), such that the modelled \( F'_{kj} \) equals:

\[
F'_{kj} = F_{kj} \pm \alpha * F_{kj} \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]

The elements that were constrained in \( F_{kj} \) matrix can be found in Huang et al. (2014). The factors extracted by ME-2 were interpreted to be related to primary emissions from traffic (TR), biomass burning (BB), coal burning (CC), cooking emissions (CI) and dust and from two secondary aerosol fractions. The contributions of the water soluble organic aerosol related to these different factors were determined by the multiplying their relative abundance in the factor profiles by the respective factor time-series. The factors WSOM time series were then divided by the respective OM/OC\textsubscript{k} calculated from the high-resolution analysis of the factor mass spectral profile to obtain the WSOC\textsubscript{k} time series related to each of the factors. The average OM/OC\textsubscript{k} are: 1.25, 1.39, 1.49, 1.55, 2.25, and 2.4 for TR, CI, BB, CB, SOA, and dust, respectively. In the following analysis, the mass of WSOC\textsubscript{k} related to coal burning and traffic were assigned to fossil WSOC fraction, while the mass of WSOC\textsubscript{k} related to biomass burning and cooking emissions were assigned to non-fossil WSOC fraction (see Sec. 2.5). Meanwhile, the remaining WSOC fractions are assigned to the secondary factors, which can be from both
fossil and non-fossil origins, were considered collectively and compared to the unassigned fossil and non-fossil WSOC, to retrieve the origins of this remaining fraction (see Sec. 2.5).

2.4 $^{14}$C measurement of WSOC

$^{14}$C content of micro-scale WSOC aerosol samples was measured with a newly developed method (Zhang et al., 2014c). Briefly, a 16-mm-diameter punch of each filter was extracted using 10 ml ultrapure water with low TOC impurity (less than 5 ppb). The water extracts were recovered in the 20 ml PFA vials and were then pre-frozen at -20 °C more than 5 hours before completely dryness in a freeze dryer (Alpha 2-4 LSC, Christ, Germany) for about 24 h to 36 h. The residue was re-dissolved in 50 μl of ultrapure water three times and transferred into 200 μl tin capsules (Elementar, Germany). The concentrated samples were heated in the oven at 55-60 °C until complete dryness before the $^{14}$C measurements.

WSOC extracts in tin capsules were then converted to CO$_2$ by the oxidation of the carbon-containing samples using an Elemental Analyzer (EA, Model Vario Micro, Elementar, Germany) as a combustion unit (up to 1050 °C). The resulting CO$_2$ was introduced continuously by a versatile gas inlet system into a gas ion source of the accelerator mass spectrometer MICADAS where $^{14}$C of CO$_2$ was finally measured (Wacker et al., 2013; Salazar et al., 2015). The $^{14}$C content of OC and EC was measured in our previous study (Zhang et al., 2015b). $^{14}$C results were expressed as fraction of modern ($f_M$), i.e., the fraction of the measured $^{14}$C/$^{12}$C ratio related to the $^{14}$C/$^{12}$C ratio of the reference year 1950 (Stuiver, 1977). To correct excess $^{14}$C from nuclear bomb tests in the 1950s and 1960s, $f_M$ values were converted to the fraction of non-fossil ($f_{NF}$) (Zotter et al., 2014a; Zhang et al., 2012):

$$f_{NF} = f_M f_{M,ref} \text{ (Eq. 3)}$$

$f_{M,ref}$ is a reference value of $f_M$ for non-fossil carbon sources including biogenic and biomass burning emissions, which were estimated as 1.08±0.05 (i.e., $f_{M,ref} = (0.5*1.10 + 0.5*1.05)$.
(see details in (Zhang et al., 2012)) for WSOC samples collected in 2013 according to the contemporary atmospheric CO$_2$$_{fm}$ (Levin et al., 2010) and a tree growth model (Mohn et al., 2008).

2.5 AMS$^2$-based source apportionment of WSOC

To better understand the origin of WSOC observed at these sites, WSOC sources were apportioned into several major sources by a combination of $^{14}$C and PMF source apportionments (See Figure 1). Here, two “AMS” (i.e., accelerator mass spectrometer and aerosol mass spectrometer), such a combined approach was named as “AMS$^2$-based source apportionment.

WSOC concentration from non-fossil (WSOC$_{NF}$) and fossil (WSOC$_{F}$) sources were calculated from:

\[ \text{WSOC}_{NF} = \text{WSOC} 	imes f_{NF} \text{(WSOC)} \] (Eq. 4)

\[ \text{WSOC}_{F} = \text{WSOC} - \text{WSOC}_{NF} \] (Eq. 5)

The mass concentration of WSOC was derived from the subtraction of TC mass measured from a water-extracted filter from that measured with an un-treated filter (Zhang et al., 2012):

\[ \text{WSOC} = \text{TC}_{\text{un-treated}} - \text{TC}_{\text{water-extracted}} \] (Eq. 6)

Based on mass balance, WIOC concentrations from non-fossil (WIOC$_{NF}$) and fossil (WIOC$_{F}$) sources were calculated from:

\[ \text{WIOC}_{NF} = \text{OC}_{NF} - \text{WSOC}_{NF} \] (Eq. 7)

\[ \text{WIOC}_{F} = \text{OC}_{F} - \text{WSOC}_{F} \] (Eq. 8)
where OC concentrations from non-fossil (OC\textsubscript{NF}) and fossil (OC\textsubscript{F}) sources were obtained by mass and \textsuperscript{14}C measurement of the OC fraction, which were reported previously (Zhang et al., 2015b).

The non-fossil and fossil-fuel derived WSOC can be apportioned into primary and secondary OC:

\[
\text{WSOC}_{\text{NF}} = \text{WSOC}_{\text{POC,NF}} + \text{WSOC}_{\text{SOC,NF}} \quad (\text{Eq. 9})
\]

\[
\text{WSOC}_{\text{F}} = \text{WSOC}_{\text{POC,F}} + \text{WSOC}_{\text{SOC,F}} \quad (\text{Eq. 10})
\]

\[
\text{WSOC}_{\text{POC,NF}} = \text{WSOC}_{\text{CI}} + \text{WSOC}_{\text{BB}} \quad (\text{Eq. 11})
\]

\[
\text{WSOC}_{\text{POC,F}} = \text{WSOC}_{\text{TR}} + \text{WSOC}_{\text{CB}} \quad (\text{Eq. 12})
\]

where primary fractions such as WSOC\textsubscript{CI}, WSOC\textsubscript{BB}, WSOC\textsubscript{TR} and WSOC\textsubscript{CB} are previously estimated by the off-line AMS-PMF approach (Huang et al., 2014; Daellenbach et al., 2016; Bozzetti et al., 2017a; Bozzetti et al., 2017b).

An uncertainty propagation scheme using a Latin-hypercube sampling (LHS) model was implemented to properly estimate overall uncertainties including measurement uncertainties of the mass determinations of carbon species (i.e., OC, EC, TC, WSOC, WIOC) and \textsuperscript{14}C measurement, blank corrections from field blanks, and estimation of $f_{\text{M,ref}}$ (Zhang et al., 2015b).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Overall results
During the haze periods of January 2013, the highest daily average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were found in Xi’an (345 µg/m$^3$) followed by Beijing (158 µg/m$^3$), Shanghai (90 µg/m$^3$) and Guangzhou (68 µg/m$^3$). These levels were much higher than China’s National ambient Air quality standards (i.e., 35 µg/m$^3$). Indeed, several studies have already reported the chemical composition, source and formation mechanism of PM$_{2.5}$ in many large cities during the haze events of January 2013 in East China. For examples, Huang et al. (2014) revealed that the secondary aerosol formation contributed to 44–71% of OA in Beijing, Xi’an, Shanghai, and Guangzhou during this extremely haze event in China (Huang et al., 2014). By $^{13}$C-based source appointment conducted in the same campaign, Zhang et al. (2015) have reported that carbonaceous aerosol pollution was driven to a large (often dominant) extent by SOA formation from both, fossil and biomass-burning sources (Zhang et al., 2015b). For all four cities, the 24 h average levels of WSOC were significantly correlated with the levels of PM$_{2.5}$ and OC ($R=0.99$, $p<0.01$, Figure 2), suggesting that WSOC and OA may have similar sources and formation processes and thus have important implications for OC loadings and associated environmental and health effects. However, the sources of WSOC remain poorly constrained. In this study, we measured the $^{14}$C content of WSOC aerosols in six samples (three with the highest, three with average PM mass) for each city to report on heavily and moderately polluted days (HPD and MPD, respectively) (Zhang et al., 2015b). The $^{14}$C contents of OC and EC of the same samples were reported previously (Zhang et al., 2015b).

WSOC on average accounted for 53±8.0% (ranging from 40-65%) of OC including all samples from the four sites, which was consistent with previous estimates. Based on these measurements, the concentrations of WSOC from non-fossil sources (WSOC$_{NF}$) spanned from 1.41 to 45.3 µg/m$^3$ with a mean of 10.6±12.1 µg/m$^3$, whereas the corresponding range for WSOC from fossil-fuel emissions (WSOC$_{F}$) was 0.44 to 20.1 µg/m$^3$ with a mean of 5.3±4.9 µg/m$^3$ (Figure 3). Similar to PM$_{2.5}$ levels, the highest concentrations of WSOC$_{NF}$ and WSOC$_{F}$ were observed in Northern China in Xi’an and Beijing (Xi’an>Beijing), followed by the two southern sites Shanghai and Guangzhou. Non-fossil contributions (mean ± standard deviation)
to total WSOC were 53±5%, 75±4%, 48±2% and 68±6% in Beijing, Xi’an, Shanghai, and
Guangzhou, respectively. Thus, fossil contributions were notably higher in Beijing and
Shanghai than those in Xi’an and Guangzhou. Such a trend was also observed for OC (Zhang
et al., 2015b), suggesting relatively high contribution from fossil-fuel emissions to OC and
WSOC due to large coal usage. Despite of these fossil emissions, non-fossil sources were
considerably important or even dominant contributors for all the studied sites, which may be
associated with primary and secondary OA from regional-transported and local biomass
burning emissions. As shown in Figure 4, non-fossil WSOC was significantly correlated with
levoglucosan, indicating that a large fraction of non-fossil WSOC was indeed from biomass
burning emissions. In addition, no significant or only a negative correlation (Figure 4) was
found between levoglucosan and fraction of fossil to WSOC, suggesting that fossil-fuel source
is very unlikely a major or important contributor of levoglucosan even in the regions (e.g.,
Xi’an and Beijing in this study) where coal combustion is important during the cold period
(Zhang et al., 2015a). It should also be noted that formation of SOA derived from biogenic
VOCs may also have contributed to WSOC_{nf} in Guangzhou, where temperatures during the
sampling period were significantly higher (i.e., 5–18 °C) than those in other cities (i.e., -12 to
+9 °C)(Bozzetti et al., 2017b). Although both fossil and non-fossil WSOC concentrations were
dramatically enhanced during HPD compared to those during MPD, their relative contributions
did not change significantly in Beijing and Shanghai whereas a small increasing and decreasing
trend in non-fossil fraction was found in Xi’an and Guangzhou, respectively (Figure 3). This
suggests that the source pattern of WSOC in Beijing and Shanghai remained similar between
HPD and MPD, but the increase in the WSOC concentrations was rather enhanced by additional
fossil-fuel and biogenic/biomass burning emissions in Guangzhou and Xi’an, respectively. It
should be noted that the meteorological conditions play significant roles on the haze formation
in the eastern China during winter 2013, and has already been well documented (Zhang et al.,
2014a). However, the details sources of WSOC and WIOC were still unclear.

### 3.2 WSOC versus WIOC
To compare sources of WSOC and WIOC aerosols, the mass concentrations and $^{14}$C contents of WIOC were also derived based on mass balance. The $^{14}$C-based source apportionment of WIOC and the relationship between $f_{NF}(WSOC)$ and $f_{NF}(WIOC)$ is presented in Figures 5 and 6a, respectively. It shows that non-fossil contributions to WSOC were larger than those of WIOC for nearly all samples in Beijing, Xi’an and Guangzhou. On average, the majority (60-70%) of the fossil OC was water insoluble at these 3 sites (see Figure 6b), indicating that fossil-derived OA mostly consisted of hydrophobic components and thus is less water soluble than OA from non-fossil sources. This result is consistent with findings reported elsewhere such as at an urban or rural site in Switzerland (Zhang et al., 2013), a remote site in Hainan Island, South China (Zhang et al., 2014b) and at two rural sites on the east coast of the United States (Wozniak et al., 2012). Meanwhile, the fossil OC in Shanghai, the dominant fraction of OC, was more water soluble (Figure 6b), suggesting an enhanced SOA formation from fossil VOCs from vehicle emissions and/or coal burning for this city. As shown in Figure 6b, non-fossil OA was enriched in water-soluble fractions (i.e., 60%±8%) for all cities, associated with the hydrophilic properties of biogenic-derived SOA and biomass-burning derived primary organic aerosol (POA) and SOA, which are composed of a large fraction of polar and highly oxygenated compounds (Mayol-Bracero et al., 2002; Sullivan et al., 2011; Noziere et al., 2015). Thus, non-fossil OC has more water-soluble components than fossil ones. It should be noted that relative contributions of WSOC$_{NF}$ and WSOC$_{F}$ are similar in Beijing and Shanghai, whereas WSOC$_{NF}$ is much higher than WSOC$_{F}$ in Xi’an and Guangzhou. This suggests larger contribution of non-fossil sources to WSOC aerosols in Xi’an and Guangzhou than those in Beijing and Shanghai.

**3.3 High contribution of secondary formation to WSOC**

WSOC was further apportioned into fossil sources such as coal burning (CB), traffic (TR) and SOC (SOC,F) as well as non-fossil sources such as biomass burning (BB), cooking (CI) and SOC (SOC,NF) using a AMS$^2$ based source apportionment (see Sec. 2.5 and Figure 1). SOC dominated WSOC during both the HPD and MPD with a mean contribution of 67%±9%, highlighting the importance of SOC formation to the WSOC aerosols in wintertime pollution.
events. This is consistent with our previous findings for total PM$_{2.5}$ mass and bulk carbonaceous aerosols (i.e., total carbon, sum of OC and EC) (Huang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015b). The increase in SOC contribution to WSOC during HPD compared to MPD can be largely due to fossil contribution in Beijing but non-fossil emissions in Xi’an. In Shanghai and Guangzhou, the source pattern of WSOC was not significantly different between MPD and HPD. Fossil contributions to WSOC$_{SOC}$ were 50%±9% in Beijing, 61±4% in Shanghai, associated with SOA from local and transported fossil-fuel derived precursors at these sites (Guo et al., 2014). This contribution drops to 36±9% and 26±9% in Guangzhou and Xi’an, respectively, due to higher biomass-burning contribution to SOC. Despite of the general importance of fossil SOC, formation of non-fossil WSOC$_{SOC}$ becomes especially relevant during HPD especially in Xi’an (Figure 7), which may be explained by competing effects in SOC formation from fossil versus non-fossil precursors. It can be hypothesized for extremely polluted episodes that more hydrophilic volatile compounds that were emitted from biomass burning precursors preferentially form SOC compounds via heterogeneous reaction/processing on dust particles compared to highly hydrophobic precursors from fossil sources, a point subjected to future laboratory and field experiments. The most important primary sources of WSOC were biomass burning emissions, and their contributions were higher in Xi’an (26%±7%) and Guangzhou (25%±6%) than those found in Beijing (17%±6%) and Shanghai (17%±5%). The remaining primary sources such as coal combustion, cooking and traffic were generally very small contributors of WSOC due to lower water solubility, although coal combustion could exceed 10% in Beijing. It should be noted that WSOC was dominated by SOC formation with mean contribution of 61%±10% and 72%±12% (average for all four cities) to non-fossil and fossil-fuel derived WSOC, respectively.

**Summary and implications**

Our study demonstrates that non-fossil emissions are generally a dominant contributor of WSOC aerosols during extreme haze events in representative major cities of China, which is in agreement with WSOC source information identified in aerosols with different size fractions.
(e.g., TSP, PM\(_{10}\) and PM\(_{2.5}\)) observed in the Northern Hemisphere at urban, rural, semi-urban, and background sites in East/South Asia, Europe and USA (Table 1). The \(^{14}\)C-based source apportionment database shows a mean non-fossil fraction of 73±11% across all sites. This overwhelming non-fossil contribution to WSOC is consistently observed throughout the year, which is associated with seasonal-dependent biomass-burning emissions and/or biogenic-derived SOC formation. Our study provides evidence that the presence of oxidized OA, which is to a large extent water soluble, in the Northern Hemisphere (Zhang et al., 2007) is mainly derived from biogenic-derived SOA and/or biomass burning sources. The overall importance of non-fossil emissions to the WSOC aerosols results from large contributions of SOC formation from biogenic precursors (e.g., most likely during summer) and relatively high water-solubility of primary biomass burning particles (e.g., most likely during winter) compared to those emitted from fossil fuel emissions such as coal combustion and vehicle exhaust. Despite of the importance of non-fossil sources, a significant fossil fraction is also observed in the WSOC aerosols from polluted regions in East Asia and sites influenced by East Asian continental outflow (Table 1, Figure 8). This fossil contribution is apparently higher than in this region than in the USA and Europe, which is due to large industrial and residential coal usage as well as vehicle emissions. From our observation, the increases in the fossil fractions of WSOC were mostly from SOC formation. Since WSOC has hygroscopic properties, our findings suggest that SOC formation from non-fossil emissions have significant implications on aerosol-induced climate effects. In addition, fossil-derived SOC formation may also become important in polluted regions with large amounts of fossil fuel emissions such as in China and other emerging countries. Low combustion efficiencies and consequently high emission factors in most of the combustion processes in China may further be responsible for increased concentrations of fossil precursors which may be oxidized to form water-soluble SOA in the atmosphere and contribute substantially to the WSOC aerosols. The enhanced WSOC levels may be also originate from aging of fossil POA during the long-range transport of aerosols (Kirillova et al., 2014a). It is also interesting to note that fossil contribution during winter in East Asia is generally higher than those in the rest of the year although relatively large fossil
fraction could be occasionally found as well. Such seasonal dependence was not observed in other regions, suggesting the importance of fossil contribution to WSOC due to increasing coal combustions during winter in China. This study provides a more detailed source apportionment of WSOC, which could improve modelling of climate and health effects as well as the understanding of atmospheric chemistry of WSOC in the polluted atmosphere such as China and provide scientific basis for policy decisions on air pollution emissions mitigation.
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### Table 1. Compilation of literature values of relative fossil-fuel contributions (fossil %) to the WSOC aerosols in East/South Asia, USA and Europe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>WSOC (μg/m³)</th>
<th>WSOC/OC</th>
<th>Fossil %</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>East Asia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Beijing, China</td>
<td>Winter/2013</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Xi’an, China</td>
<td>Winter/2013</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Shanghai, China</td>
<td>Winter/2013</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Guangzhou, China</td>
<td>Winter/2013</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Beijing, China</td>
<td>Winter/2014</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>(Fang et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Beijing, China</td>
<td>Winter/2011</td>
<td>PM₁₅</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2014c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Beijing, China</td>
<td>Winter/2013</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>(Yan et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Guangzhou, China</td>
<td>Winter/2012/ 2013</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>(Liu et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Guangzhou, China</td>
<td>Winter/2011</td>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2014c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Xi’an, China</td>
<td>Autumn/2009</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>(Pavuluri et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Xi’an, China</td>
<td>Autumn/2010</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>(Pavuluri et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Wuhan, China</td>
<td>Winter/2013</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>(Liu et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Sapporo, Japan</td>
<td>Summer/Autumn 2010</td>
<td>PM₁</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>(Pavuluri et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Sapporo, Japan</td>
<td>Summer/2011</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(Pavuluri et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Sapporo, Japan</td>
<td>Spring/2010</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(Pavuluri et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Sapporo, Japan</td>
<td>Autumn/2011</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>(Pavuluri et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Sapporo, Japan</td>
<td>Winter/2010</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>(Pavuluri et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Jeju Island, Korea</td>
<td>Winter/2014</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>(Fang et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Jeju Island, Korea</td>
<td>Spring/2011</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>(Kirillova et al., 2014a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Jeju Island, Korea</td>
<td>Spring/2011</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(Kirillova et al., 2014a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South Asia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Hainan, China</td>
<td>Annual 2005/2006</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2014b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Hainan, China</td>
<td>Winter 2005/2006</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2014b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Hainan, China</td>
<td>Summer 2005/2006</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2014b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Hanimaadhoon, Maldives</td>
<td>Annual 2008/2009</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>(Kirillova et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Sinhagad, India</td>
<td>Annual 2008/2009</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(Kirillova et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Hanimaadhoon, Maldives</td>
<td>Spring/2012</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(Bosch et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Delhi, India</td>
<td>Winter/2010/2011</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>(Kirillova et al., 2014b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Season</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>Year(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe and USA</td>
<td>Göteborg, Sweden</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>(Szidat et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Göteborg, Sweden</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(Szidat et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Göteborg, Sweden</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(Szidat et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural/semi-urban</td>
<td>Stockholm, Sweden</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(Kirillova et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Zürich, Switzerland</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(Szidat et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Zürich, Switzerland</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Moleno, Switzerland</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Bern, Switzerland</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2014c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Atlanta, USA</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>(Weber et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Millbrook, USA</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(Wozniak et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>Harcum, USA</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(Wozniak et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional background</td>
<td>Cesar, Netherlands</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>(Dusek et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average: 21±8
**Figure 1.** The AMS$^2$-based source apportionment scheme of WSOC aerosols in this study.

See the main text for the equations (i.e., Eq. 4, 5, 9, 10 in the Sec. 2.5) and the offline-AMS & PMF (see the Sec. 2.3).
Figure 2. Linear relationships (p<0.01) of WSOC with PM$_{2.5}$ (top) and OC concentrations (bottom).
Figure 3. Mass concentrations (μg/m³) of WSOC from non-fossil and fossil-fuel sources (WSOC_{NF} and WSOC_{F}, respectively) as well as non-fossil fractions of the WSOC aerosols from Beijing, Xi’an, Shanghai and Guangzhou during moderately polluted days (MPD) and heavily polluted days (HPD). Note the different scaling for different cities.
Figure 4. Relationships of non-fossil derived WSOC ($\text{WSOC}_{\text{NF}}$) and levoglucosan (left), levoglucosan and fraction of fossil to WSOC ($f_{\text{f}}(\text{WSOC})$) (middle) and levoglucosan and fraction of non-fossil to WSOC ($f_{\text{NF}}(\text{WSOC})$) (right).
Figure 5. Mass concentrations (μg/m³) of WIOC from non-fossil and fossil-fuel sources (WIOC_{NF} and WIOC_{F}, respectively) as well as non-fossil fractions in the WIOC aerosols from Beijing, Xi’an, Shanghai and Guangzhou during moderately polluted days (MPD) and heavily polluted days (HPD). Note the different scaling for different cities.
Figure 6. Relationship between the fraction of non-fossil WIOC and WSOC (a) and averaged relative contribution (%) to OC from WSOC and WIOC from non-fossil and fossil sources (b).
Figure 7. Relative contributions (%) to WSOC from biomass burning as well as secondary organic carbon (SOC) from fossil and non-fossil sources (WSOC$_{SOC,F}$ and WSOC$_{SOC,NF}$, respectively) in different cities during moderately polluted days (MPD) and heavily polluted days (HPD) as well as their corresponding excess (Excess=HPD-MPD). The numbers above the bars refer to the average WSOC concentrations and the SOC fractions (%) of WSOC.
**Figure 8.** Box-plot of the fossil contribution (%) to the WSOC aerosols in East Asia, South Asia, USA and Europe. The box represents the 25th (lower line), 50th (middle line) and 75th (top line) percentiles; the empty square within the box represent the mean values; the end lines of the vertical bars represent the 10th (below the box) and 90th (above the box) percentiles; the solid dots represents the maximum and minimum values; the solid diamonds represent the individual data (Table 1). The data from East Asia is grouped by the winter and non-winter seasons.