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Unfortunately, the paper is unacceptable. The location of the lidar observations (SACOL site) is excellent. The lidar data set is probably of high quality. So I would like to encourage the authors to resubmit the paper after considering my suggestions.

The main reason for rejection is that the authors fail to provide a clear definition and thus separation of pure dust and anthropogenic dust cases. A clear definition can be done by means of the particle linear depolarization ratio. But the authors only present volume depolarization ratios. These values vary with the relative amount of dust, and thus can be low even in the case of pure dust, and large, even in the case of polluted dust. So the only way is: Compute the particle depolarization ratio and use
this parameter to distinguish polluted (or anthropogenic) and pure dust cases. If the particle depolarization ratio is > 25% one may call the event a pure dust case and if we have <25% then we may call it a polluted dust case.

Furthermore, most of the results are simply given in terms of attenuated backscatter. This quantity varies with the amount of aerosol, so with the amount of dust and/or pollution. We need the particle backscatter coefficient to describe aerosol properties with height.

The overall impression is: The paper is to 80% just based on ‘opinions’, and not on ‘objective’ facts. The lidar community dealing with dust research would be upset if this low-quality paper gets published in its present form.

The authors may want to resubmit their paper. Then the analysis must be fully based on (a) particle backscatter coefficients for 532 and 1064 nm, (and not on 532 nm attenuated backscatter) and (b) on particle depolarization ratios (and not on volume depolarization ratios). The particle depolarization ratio can be easily computed from the volume depolarization ratio and the 532 nm particle backscatter coefficient (see the cited publication of Freudenthaler 2009, or some papers from the NIES group). And then introduce a clear criterion for anthropogenic dust, based on the particle linear depolarization ratio.