Response to reviewer’s comments on "Interannual variation, decadal trend, and future change in ozone outflow from East Asia" by J. Zhu et al. (MS No.: acp-2016-938)

We would like to thank Reviewer #1 for the additional comments that are helpful for improving the quality of our work. The manuscript has been revised accordingly, and our point-by-point responses are provided below. The reviewer’s comments are shown in black and our replies including the updates to the manuscript are highlighted in blue below. A marked-up manuscript version is also showing the changes made.

Response to Reviewer #1

1. Abstract, around L20: Before discussing the model attribution, please add a few sentences stating how well the model captures the observed ozone interannual variability (r-squared = ?) and that the model significantly underestimates long-term increases in surface ozone over East Asia. Such statements should also be included in the Conclusion before discussing any attribution results.

Response:

Following the Reviewer’s suggestion, we have added the following description in the Abstract: “Evaluation of the model results against measurements shows that the GEOS-Chem model captures fairly well the seasonal cycles and interannual variations of tropospheric O₃ concentrations, with high correlation coefficients of 0.82–0.93 at four ground-based sites and of 0.55–0.88 at two ozonesonde sites where observations are available. The increasing trends in surface-layer O₃ concentrations in East Asia over the past two decades are captured by the model, although the modeled O₃ trends have low biases.” Similar statements have also been added in the Conclusion.

2. Introduction, Page 3, around Line 19: Move the discussions of future ozone changes to the next paragraph and add a few sentences at the end of the previous paragraph to clearly state (1) Asian NOx emissions have more than tripled over the past 20-30 years (Granier et al., 2011; Zhang Q. et al., HillBoll et al., 2013); (2) Consequently, outflow of Asian pollution has increased significantly and has contributed to raising springtime ozone observed over western North America (Refs, e.g., Lin et al., act-2016-1093).

In the response to reviewers, the authors stated that there is no significant trend in Asian ozone outflow because the offsetting effects of North American and European emission reductions. However, you are looking at outflow of ozone immediately downwind of Asia, which should be dominated by the trends of Asian NOx emissions. The early modeling results of Lamarque et al. (2010) and Parrish et al. (2014) are based on free-running chemistry-climate models that generate their own meteorology and thus are not expected to reproduce the influence of meteorological variability on observed ozone trends. As the authors nicely demonstrated in this manuscript, the meteorologically-driven ozone interannual variability is quite large. Therefore, the trend analysis presented in this article (e.g., Fig.5) should be compared to more recent work using long-term model simulations driven by observed meteorology.

Response:

We have moved the discussions on future O₃ changes to the next paragraph in the revised manuscript. Over East-Central China (the most polluted region), NOx emissions have tripled over the past 20 years (Granier et al., 2011; Hilboll et al., 2013); while Asian NOx emissions almost doubled over the past 20 years (Yang et al., 2015). Therefore, we have added the following sentences in the revised manuscript: “Asian NOx emissions almost doubled over the past 20 years (Yang et al., 2015), which contributed to the raised O₃ observed over the downwind regions of Asia (Lin et al., 2016).”

Tropospheric O₃ has relatively long lifetime (~3 weeks) (Fiore et al., 2002; Liao et al., 2006), therefore Asian O₃ outflow indeed includes the effects of emissions in different regions of the world, other than Asian emissions alone. Wang et al. (2011) reported that annual mean surface O₃ averaged over China from anthropogenic emissions outside of China and from Chinese anthropogenic emissions were 12.6 ppbv and 5.4 ppbv respectively, suggesting the important role of anthropogenic emissions outside of China in O₃ levels over China.

A recent study by Strode et al. (2015) investigated the observed trends in surface O₃ using a chemical transport model Global Modeling Initiative (GMI) driven by the GMAO reanalysis
3. Specific comments on the figures and associated discussions:

Figure 1: please discuss how the trends in NO\textsubscript{x} emissions used in this study compare to the trends derived from satellite NO\textsubscript{2} columns for the overlapping period 1996-2005? Can the NO\textsubscript{x} emission biases explain the biases in simulated ozone trends shown in Figure 5?

**Response:**

Following the Reviewer’s suggestion, we have added the following sentences at the end of the second paragraph of Section 2.2: “Note that, over 1996–2006 when NO\textsubscript{x} emissions and satellite NO\textsubscript{2} columns were simultaneously available, the trend in NO\textsubscript{x} emissions over East-Central China (ECC, 110–123° E, 30–40° N) was +8.2% yr\textsuperscript{-1} on the basis of the emission inventory used in this study, close to the trend of +9.0% yr\textsuperscript{-1} in NO\textsubscript{2} columns averaged over ECC on the basis of tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} vertical column density (VCD) data retrieved from GOME (1996–2002) and SCIAMACHY (2003–2006), which are available from www.temis.nl.”

We have also compared the Asian (60–150° E, 10° S–55° N) NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in this study with other emissions inventories that have multiple years of emissions available; the decadal trends in this work (years 1986–2006), REAS v1.1 (years 1986–2003), and EDGAR v4.2 (years 1986–2006) are similar, with values of +2.4, +2.8, and +2.7 Tg N decade\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. The slight underestimation of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in our work should not compromise our model results.

Figure 3 and Table 4: The correlations reported in Table 4 mainly reflect the prominent variability on monthly/seasonal time scales, as opposed to interannual time scales. It is important to evaluate how well the model captures the observed interannual variability – the focus of the paper. Thus, the referee suggests conducting the comparison and interannual correlations for each season (DJF, MAM, JJA, SON) over the study period.

**Response:**

The correlations reported in this study by comparing monthly O\textsubscript{3} data over 10 years may reflect the variations on both seasonal and interannual time scales. We do not conduct comparison by calculating interannual correlations for each season because the sample size for comparison in this way is small (i.e., 10 pairs for each season and each site), while comparing monthly O\textsubscript{3} data over 10 years provides enough samples (i.e., 120 pairs for each site) so that we can conduct a robust comparison. Therefore, we retain the correlations reported in this study, which may reflect both seasonal cycles and interannual variations.

Figure 4: The ozonesondes sampling frequency is less than 4 to 5 profiles per month at these sites. These weekly ozonesondes are too infrequent to capture the actual interannual variability and long-term trends of seasonal mean ozone in surface air and aloft, given the large meteorologically-driven ozone variability. The referee suggests only using ozonesonde data for evaluating the simulated monthly mean ozone climatology.

**Response:**

The model-observation comparison for the surface and aloft O\textsubscript{3} concentrations by using surface and ozonesondes measurements available in East Asia was suggested by Reviewer #2. The ozonesonde data could provide O\textsubscript{3} concentrations for the boundary layer, middle, and upper troposphere, and therefore the data were widely used by previous studies (Zhang et al., 2008; Walker et al., 2010). Tanimoto et al. (2015) evaluated the consistency of tropospheric O\textsubscript{3} observations made by means of multiple platforms, and found good agreement between ozonesonde and surface observations for the lower troposphere O\textsubscript{3}. Therefore, we retain the comparison with ozonesonde.

Figure 5: Again, the comparison of observed and simulated ozone trends should be made on a seasonal basis!

**Response:**

Following the Reviewer’s suggestion, we now compare observed and simulated trends on a
seasonal basis for sites with observed seasonal trends available in Section 3 of our revised manuscript: “Figure 5 compares the simulated trends in seasonal or annual mean surface-layer O\textsubscript{3} concentrations from the MetEmis experiment with the observed trends collected from previous studies. Simulated O\textsubscript{3} concentrations exhibit statistically significant increasing trends at all sites except for Waliguan in winter, although the model underestimates the trends for some stations and seasons. The modeled O\textsubscript{3} trends were also reported to have low biases in previous studies (Tanimoto et al., 2009; Parrish et al., 2014; Strode et al., 2015).”

Figure 5. Comparison of simulated trends in seasonal or annual mean surface-layer O\textsubscript{3} concentrations from the MetEmis experiment with observations for Hongkong (location: 22.2° N, 114.3° E; years: 1994–2007; reference: Wang et al., 2009b), Waliguan (36.3° N, 100.9° E; 1994–2013; Xu et al. 2016), Beijing (40.0° N, 116.5° E; 2001–2006; Tang et al., 2009), and Taiwan (23.5° N, 121.0° E; 1994–2007; Lin et al., 2010). The simulated trend at Waliguan site for winter is statistically insignificant. The trends in seasonal-mean O\textsubscript{3} concentrations at Taiwan station are unavailable.

Figures 6 and 7: It would be very useful to the readers of the paper if the authors could show a pressure-latitude cross-section similar to Figure 6, but for the linear trends of seasonal mean ozone in ppb yr\textsuperscript{-1} over 1986–2006 (highlight where the trends are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level using boxes or stippling). You can then discuss the vertical and latitudinal distribution of changes in Asian pollution outflow during the historical period. The proposed analysis will also provide additional insights into whether the lack of significant ozone trends currently shown in Figure 7 is due to spatial averaging.

Response:

It is noted that this paper is focused on the variations in O\textsubscript{3} outflow fluxes, rather than O\textsubscript{3} concentrations. Therefore, we show in Figure R1 the pressure-latitude cross-sections of the linear trends in seasonal O\textsubscript{3} outflow fluxes from the MetEmis experiment over 1986–2006 (highlight where the trends are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level using stippling). The seasonal O\textsubscript{3} outflow fluxes do not show statistically significant trends almost everywhere (Figure R1), which agrees with the conclusion drawn from Figure 7. Therefore, the lack of significant trends in O\textsubscript{3} outflow fluxes shown in Figure 7 is not owing to spatial or temporal averaging. The Asian O\textsubscript{3} outflow show indeed statistically insignificant decadal trend, with variations in both anthropogenic emissions and meteorological parameters.

Because the seasonal O\textsubscript{3} outflow fluxes do not show statistically significant trends almost everywhere and the conclusion drawn from Figure R1 agrees with that drawn from Figure 7, we do not add Figure R1 in the revised manuscript.
The pressure–latitude cross-sections along 135° E of the linear trends in seasonal O₃ outflow fluxes from the MetEmis experiment over 1986–2006 (units: kg season⁻¹ m⁻²). The dotted areas are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level.

4. Discussion on the influence of climate change: In fact, many studies suggest that a warming climate would most likely worsen regional air stagnation events and thus decrease outflow of pollution from a source region. Your conclusion that future climate change will lead to increases in Asian ozone outflow in spring and summer seems to contradict with the findings in the published literature. Furthermore, increasing water vapor in a warming climate will lead to lower ozone at remote locations.

Response:

Stagnation occurrence is projected to increase over many tropical and subtropical regions; but robust changes do not emerge over China in the middle 21st century (Horton et al., 2014). The projected increases in O₃ outflow fluxes during spring and summer mainly result from the increases in zonal winds during the two seasons. Our projected future increases in zonal winds are consistent with previous studies (Jiang and Tian, 2013; Huang and Wang, 2016). Huang and Wang (2016) assessed the future changes in atmospheric circulation during spring over East Asia, and found that the ensemble mean of five better-skill models among the 18 CMIP5 models exhibited overall increases in zonal winds throughout the whole troposphere during spring. Based on 29-model ensemble mean results, Jiang and Tian (2013) showed that the westerlies along 135° E during summer were projected to strengthen.

A warming climate is predicted to reduce tropospheric O₃ in remote regions by higher temperature and water vapor, but to increase O₃ over populated areas as a result of enhanced biogenic hydrocarbon emissions, and decomposition of peroxyacetyl nitrate at higher temperature (Katragkou et al., 2011; Rasmussen et al., 2012; Doherty et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2014). This paper focuses on O₃ outflow from East Asia, which is a highly populated region.

References:
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Abstract. We examine the past and future changes in O₃ outflow from East Asia using a global three-dimensional chemical transport model GEOS-Chem. The simulations of Asian O₃ outflow for 1986–2006 are driven by the assimilated GEOS-4 meteorological fields, and those for 2000–2050 are driven by the meteorological fields archived from the Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) General Circulation Model (GCM) 3 under the IPCC SRES A1B scenario. Sensitivity studies are conducted to examine the respective impacts of meteorological parameters and emissions on the variations in the outflow flux of O₃. Evaluation of the model results against measurements shows that the GEOS-Chem model captures fairly well the seasonal cycles and interannual variations of tropospheric O₃ concentrations, with high correlation coefficients of 0.82–0.93 at four ground-based sites and of 0.55–0.88 at two ozonesonde sites where observations are available. The increasing trends in surface-layer O₃ concentrations in East Asia over the past two decades are captured by the model, although the modeled O₃ trends have low biases. When both meteorological parameters and anthropogenic emissions varied during 1986–2006, the simulated Asian O₃ outflow fluxes exhibited a statistically insignificant decadal trend, but large interannual variations (IAVs) with seasonal absolute percent departure from the mean (APDM) values of 4–9 % and annual APDM value of 3.3 %. Sensitivity simulations indicated that the large IAVs of O₃ outflow fluxes were mainly caused by the variations in meteorological conditions. Variations in meteorological parameters drove the IAVs in O₃ outflow fluxes by altering O₃ concentrations over East Asia and by altering zonal winds, and the latter was identified to be the key factor since the O₃ outflow was highly correlated with zonal winds during 1986–2006. The simulations of the 2000–2050 changes show that the annual
outflow flux of $O_3$ will increase by 2.0%, 7.9%, and 12.2%, respectively, owing to climate change alone, emissions change alone, and changes in both climate and emissions. Therefore, climate change will aggravate the effects of the increases in anthropogenic emissions on future changes in the Asian $O_3$ outflow. Future climate change is predicted to greatly increase Asian $O_3$ outflow in the spring and summer seasons as a result of the projected increases in zonal winds. Findings from the present study help to understand the variations in tropospheric $O_3$ in the downwind regions of East Asia on different timescales, and have important implications for long-term air quality planning for the downwind regions of China, such as Japan and US.

1 Introduction

Tropospheric ozone ($O_3$) is an important air pollutant, which has a detrimental effect on human health (Fann et al., 2012; Jhun et al., 2014), crops (Wilkinson et al., 2011; Tai et al., 2014), and ecosystems (Fuentes et al., 2013; Yue and Unger, 2014). It is also an important greenhouse gas that directly contributes to global warming (IPCC, 2013). $O_3$ has a relatively long lifetime of weeks in the free troposphere (Young et al., 2013; Monks et al., 2015), which makes intercontinental transport of $O_3$ an important issue for understanding $O_3$ concentrations and planning emission control measures.

A number of previous studies have shown that Asian continental outflow impacts the global $O_3$ budget (Liu et al., 2002), and influences $O_3$ air quality in the downwind regions, such as the western North Pacific through the western North America (Jacob et al., 1999; Tanimoto et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008; Chiang et al., 2009; Kurokawa et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2010; Nagashima et al., 2010; Walker et al., 2010; Ambrose et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2012; Ou-Yang et al., 2013; Han et al., 2015; Pochanart et al., 2015). Liu et al. (2002) reported that boundary-layer $O_3$ pollution was lifted into the upper troposphere by deep convection over the Asian maritime continent, from where it was transported northward along the upper branch of the local Hadley circulation and into the mid-latitude westerlies, influencing the global $O_3$ budget. Using a global 3-D chemical transport model GEOS-Chem, Zhang et al. (2008) estimated that Asian pollution enhanced surface-layer $O_3$ concentrations by 5–7 ppbv over western North America in spring 2006. Walker et al. (2010) used the same model (GEOS-Chem) to evaluate sensitivities of tropospheric $O_3$ over Canada to Asian anthropogenic emissions, and reported that the contribution from Asian emissions to $O_3$ profiles above Whistler, Canada, was 6–8 ppbv in spring 2006. Through an integrated analysis of in situ and satellite measurements in May–June 2010 with a global chemistry-climate model GFDL AM3, Lin et al. (2012) reported that Asian emissions could contribute 8–15 ppbv $O_3$ over the western United States on days when the observed daily maximum 8-h average $O_3$ (MDA8 $O_3$) exceeded 60 ppbv, and that 20% of MDA8 $O_3$ exceedances of 60 ppbv would not have occurred in the southwestern United States in the absence of Asian anthropogenic emissions.
Asian \( \text{O}_3 \) outflow exhibits seasonal variations (Liu et al., 2002; Han et al., 2015). Using a global 3-D chemical transport model GEOS-Chem, Liu et al. (2002) simulated the seasonal variations of the Asian outflow flux of \( \text{O}_3 \) over the Pacific, which was defined as the eastward flux integrated for the tropospheric column through a wall located at 150° E between 10° N and 60° N. They found that the Asian \( \text{O}_3 \) outflow flux reached the maximum in early spring (March) and the minimum in summer (July). Han et al. (2015) examined \( \text{O}_3 \) measurements at Ieodo Ocean Research Station, which was located in the East China Sea and regarded as an ideal place to observe Asian outflow without local effects. They reported that the seasonal variation of \( \text{O}_3 \) was distinct, with a minimum in August and two peaks in April and October, and was greatly affected by the seasonal wind pattern over East Asia.

Continental outflow of \( \text{O}_3 \) is expected to vary on interannual to decadal timescales, because tropospheric \( \text{O}_3 \) concentrations and meteorological parameters have variations on these timescales. Large interannual variations (IAVs) of tropospheric \( \text{O}_3 \) concentrations have been reported in previous observational studies (Kurokawa et al. 2009; Zhou et al., 2013). Analyzing 11 years of ozonesonde data over Hong Kong, Zhou et al. (2013) reported that observed tropospheric \( \text{O}_3 \) levels during 2000–2010 exhibited high IAV, with an annual averaged amplitude [defined as (maximum + 2nd maximum − minimum − 2nd minimum) × 0.5 / the average during 2000–2010] up to 30 % of the averaged concentrations at 3–8 km altitude. Kurokawa et al. (2009) analyzed observed springtime \( \text{O}_3 \) over Japan during 1985–2005, and found that the observed \( \text{O}_3 \) showed greater year-to-year variations than the annual rate of the long-term trend. Decadal trends of tropospheric \( \text{O}_3 \) concentrations have been reported for different locations on the basis of observations (Ding et al., 2008a; Xu et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2009; Tanimoto, 2009; Wang et al., 2009b; Cooper et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2014, 2015; Zhang et al., 2014), such as −0.56 ppbv yr\(^{-1}\) over Linan in eastern China (Xu et al., 2008), +0.58 ppbv yr\(^{-1}\) over Hong Kong in southern China (Wang et al., 2009b), +1.0 ppbv yr\(^{-1}\) at Mt. Happo in Japan (for springtime \( \text{O}_3 \); Tanimoto, 2009), and +0.35 ppbv yr\(^{-1}\) over Hawaii in North Pacific (for autumn \( \text{O}_3 \); Lin et al., 2014). **Asian \( \text{NO}_x \) emissions almost doubled over the past 20 years** (Yang et al., 2015), which contributed to the raised \( \text{O}_3 \) observed over the downwind regions of Asia (Lin et al., 2016).

Future changes of tropospheric \( \text{O}_3 \) concentrations have also been predicted by modeling studies (Racherla and Adams, 2006, 2009; Lin et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2008a; Lam et al., 2011; Wild et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015; Val Martin et al., 2015; Schnell et al., 2016; Zhu and Liao, 2016). Wang et al. (2013), using the NASA GISS GCM/GEOS-Chem model combination, reported that the summer surface-layer \( \text{O}_3 \) levels averaged over China would increase by 11.9 ppbv due to the combined changes in climate and emissions over 2000–2050 under the SRES A1B scenario.

Meteorological parameters, especially winds that are important for \( \text{O}_3 \) outflow, also exhibit variations on different time scales (Chang et al., 2000; Ding et al., 2008b; Sun et al., 2009; Zhang and Guo, 2010; Hirahara et al., 2012).
Large IAVs of the East Asian summer monsoon (EASM) have been reported in previous studies (Zhu et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014). The decadal-scale weakening of the EASM since the 1950s has also been reported by many previous studies, and anomalous northeasterlies during the weak monsoon years were found over the western North Pacific near 40° N, which did not favor the outflow of pollutants from northern China (Chang et al., 2000; Ding et al., 2008b; Zhu et al., 2012). On the basis of NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data, Sun et al. (2009) showed that the axis location of the East Asia subtropical westerly jet (EASWJ) had displaced southward since the end of the 1970s, intensifying the westerly wind over 25–35° N and weakening it over 42–50° N, and therefore influencing the outflow of pollutants. Lin et al. (2014) reported that interannual variability in springtime Asian O₃ transport, as inferred by the East Asian COt (carbon-monoxide-like tracer), was strongly influenced by ENSO-related shifts in the subtropical jet stream, and that the decrease in ozone-rich Eurasian airflow reaching the eastern North Pacific during spring in the 2000s was attributed to more frequent La Nina events. Most of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 3 (CMIP3) models projected that the Asian jet would be intensified on its equatorward side by the end of the 21st century (Zhang and Guo, 2010; Hirahara et al., 2012).

Few previous studies have examined the IAVs, decadal trends, and future changes in O₃ outflow. In this work, we examine the historical (1986–2006) and future (2000–2050) changes of O₃ outflow from East Asia, and systematically quantify the roles of meteorological parameters and/or anthropogenic emissions on the changes. The descriptions of the model, emissions, and numerical simulations are presented in Sect. 2. Section 3 evaluates the model performance for tropospheric O₃. Section 4 discusses the IAVs and decadal trends in the O₃ outflow from East Asia over 1986–2006. Future changes in O₃ outflow from East Asia for 2000–2050 are presented in Sect. 5.

2 Methods

2.1 Model description

We apply the global 3-D chemical transport model GEOS-Chem to simulate O₃ outflow fluxes. The GEOS-Chem model includes a detailed simulation of O₃–NOx–hydrocarbon (~80 species, ~300 chemical reactions) (Bey et al., 2001) and aerosol chemistry. Aerosol species include sulfate (SO₄²⁻), nitrate (NO₃⁻), ammonium (NH₄⁺) (Park et al., 2004; Pye et al., 2009), black carbon (BC) and organic carbon (OC) (Park et al., 2003), mineral dust (Fairlie et al., 2007), and sea salt (Alexander et al., 2005). The simulations account for the impacts of aerosols on the distributions and concentrations of O₃ through heterogeneous reactions and changes in photolysis rates (Lou et al., 2014).

To simulate historical changes in the Asian outflow of O₃, the GEOS-Chem model is driven by the assimilated GEOS-4 meteorological fields from the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) of NASA Global Modeling and
Assimilation Office (GMAO). We perform simulations for 1986–2006, which are the years with available GEOS-4 meteorological datasets. The version of the model used here has a horizontal resolution of 2° (latitude) × 2.5° (longitude), with 30 vertical layers.

To simulate future changes of O₃ outflow fluxes during 2000–2050, the GEOS-Chem simulation is driven by meteorological data from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration/Goddard Institute for Space Studies (NASA/GISS) general circulation model (GCM) 3 (Rind et al., 2007) for both the present day (1996–2005) and future (2046–2055), following Wu et al. (2008b), Pye et al. (2009), Wang et al. (2013), and Jiang et al. (2013). Both the GISS and GEOS-Chem models used here have a horizontal resolution of 4° latitude by 5° longitude, with 23 vertical layers.

2.2 Emissions

For simulations during 1986–2006, the global anthropogenic emissions of reactive nitrogen oxides (NOₓ), carbon monoxide (CO), and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) in the model are from the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) inventory (Olivier and Berdowski, 2001). The global emissions of non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) are from the Global Emissions Inventory Activity (GEIA) inventory (Picco et al., 1992). Global emissions of carbonaceous aerosols (BC and OC) follow Bond et al. (2007). Anthropogenic emissions of reactive NOₓ, CO, SO₂, NH₃, and NMVOCs over East Asia are overwritten by the emissions inventory of Streets et al. (2003) and Zhang et al. (2009). IAVs of anthropogenic emissions are represented by global-gridded annual scaling factors as described by van Donkelaar et al. (2008) for NOₓ, CO, and NMVOCs. Biomass burning emissions are taken from the Global Fire Emissions Database-3 (GFED-3) inventory (van der Werf et al., 2010) for 1997–2006. The biomass burning emissions before 1997 are unavailable because of the lack of datasets.

Figure 1 shows the evolution of anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of O₃ precursors (NOₓ, CO, NMVOCs) summed over the globe and Asia (60–150° E, 10° S–55° N) over 1986–2006. Global anthropogenic emissions of these precursors exhibited no significant trends, while the Asian anthropogenic emissions showed large increases over the past two decades. Relative to year 1986, the Asian anthropogenic emissions of NOₓ, CO, and NMVOCs in 2006 increased by 70.0 %, 42.1 %, and 50.9 %, respectively. Compared with anthropogenic emissions, biomass burning emissions had greater IAVs during 1997–2006. Figure 1 also shows the pathway for the global CH₄ abundance used in our simulations of O₃. The CH₄ mixing ratio in 1986 was 1672 ppb, which increased by 6.3 % in 2006. Note that, over 1996–2006 when NOₓ emissions and satellite NO₂ columns were simultaneously available, the trend in NOₓ emissions over East-Central China (ECC, 110–123° E, 30–40° N) was +8.2% yr⁻¹ on the basis of the emission inventory used in this study, close to the trend of +9.0% yr⁻¹ in NO₂ columns averaged over ECC on the basis

For future simulations during 2000–2050, anthropogenic emissions of O$_3$ precursors, including NO$_x$, CO, and NMVOCs, are taken from Wu et al. (2008b), and those of NH$_3$ and SO$_2$ follow those in Pye et al. (2009). The future anthropogenic emissions of O$_3$ precursors, aerosol precursors, and aerosols under the SRES A1B scenario are generated by the Integrated Model to Assess the Greenhouse Effect (IMAGE) socioeconomic model using growth factors for different species and countries (Streets et al., 2004). Table 1 shows the present-day (year 2000) and future (year 2050) anthropogenic emissions of O$_3$ precursors under the SRES A1B scenario. The global emissions of NO$_x$, CO, and NMVOCs are projected to increase by 78.4 %, 26.4 %, and 89.4 % over 2000–2050; and the Asian emissions are projected to increase by 159.6 %, 23.7 %, and 118.6 %, respectively. Present-day methane mixing ratios are specified as 1750 ppbv on the basis of observations (Wu et al., 2008b). The future methane concentrations are set to 2400 ppbv, following the SRES A1B scenario (Pye et al., 2009).

The natural emissions of O$_3$ precursors, including NO$_x$ from lighting and soil, and NMVOCs from vegetation, are calculated on the basis of the assimilated GEOS-4 meteorological fields and GISS Model 3 meteorological parameters. The lightning NO$_x$ emissions follow Price and Rind (1992), with the NO$_x$ vertical profile proposed by Pickering et al. (1998). The soil NO$_x$ emissions scheme in the GEOS-Chem model is based on the work of Yienger and Levy (1995) and Wang et al. (1998). Biogenic emissions of NMVOCs are calculated according to the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) (Guenther et al., 2006). Figure 2 shows the evolution of natural emissions summed over the globe and Asia over 1986–2006. Both global and Asian NO$_x$ emissions from lightning exhibited large IAVs and significant increasing trends. It has been shown that warming climate leads to increased lightning NO$_x$ (IPCC, 2013). Compared with lightning NO$_x$ emissions, NO$_x$ emissions from soil showed smaller IAVs and no significant decadal trend. Both global and Asian biogenic emissions of NMVOCs have been shown to have large IAVs, as a result of the changes in both vegetation and meteorological parameters (Fu and Liao, 2012). For future simulations during 2000–2050, the natural emissions of O$_3$ precursors are listed in Table 2. The simulated emissions of lightning NO$_x$, soil NO$_x$, and biogenic VOCs are projected to increase by 18.8 %, 14.9 %, and 22.1 % for the globe, and by 16.7 %, 21.4 %, and 18.9 % for Asia, respectively.

The effects of changes in stratosphere–troposphere exchange (STE) of O$_3$ are not included in this study for both past and future simulations. The cross-tropopause O$_3$ flux is represented by the synthetic O$_3$ (Synoz) method (McLinden et al., 2000), which imposes a global annual mean cross-tropopause O$_3$ flux of 500 Tg yr$^{-1}$.

2.3 Numerical experiments
To examine the respective and combined impacts of meteorological parameters, anthropogenic emissions, and biomass burning emissions on the IAVs and decadal trends of $O_3$ outflow from East Asia during 1986–2006, we perform simulations for four cases (Table 3):


To identify the relative roles of future changes in meteorological parameters and emissions in 2000–2050 changes in Asian $O_3$ outflow flux, another four simulations are carried out: (a) Met2000Emis2000: present-day climate and emissions; (b) Met2050Emis2000: future climate and present-day anthropogenic emissions; (c) Met2000Emis2050: present-day climate and future anthropogenic emissions; and (d) Met2050Emis2050: future climate and emissions. Both the future climate and anthropogenic emissions follow the IPCC SRES A1B scenario.

The mass flux of $O_3$ through the vertical plane along 135° E from 20° N to 55° N from the surface to 100 hPa is used to quantify Asian $O_3$ outflow. The metric of mass flux through a vertical plane was also used by Liu et al. (2002) to represent Asian $O_3$ outflow, and by Jiang et al. (2013) and Yang et al. (2015) to represent Asian aerosol outflow. It should be noted that the $O_3$ outflow flux from East Asia includes the effects of emissions in different regions of the world owing to the relatively long lifetime (~3 weeks) of $O_3$ (Fiore et al., 2002; Liao et al., 2006). However, Liu et al. (2002) found that anthropogenic sources in Asia made the largest contribution to the Asian outflow flux of $O_3$.

3 Model evaluation

The GEOS-Chem simulations of $O_3$ have been evaluated extensively for the U.S. (Liu et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2008b; Zhang et al., 2008), Europe (Auvray and Bey, 2005; Liu et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2015), and China (Wang et al., 2013; Lou et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014; Zhu and Liao, 2016). These studies showed that the GEOS-Chem model captured
the magnitude and distribution of the surface-layer concentration and column burden of tropospheric O₃ fairly well. The vertical distributions of O₃ have also been evaluated by aircraft campaigns and ozonesonde measurements (Zhang et al., 2008; Walker et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011), showing that the GEOS-Chem model closely reproduced the observed O₃ profiles.

Here, we conduct comparisons with measurements to evaluate whether the version of the GEOS-Chem model used in this study can capture the temporal variations of tropospheric O₃. We use observations of tropospheric O₃ available in East Asia as summarized in Table 4. Observations at two sites (Minamitorishima and Yonagunijima) are from the World Data Centre for Greenhouse Gases (WDCGG, www.ds.data.jma.go.jp/gmd/wdegg/), and those at another two sites (Rishiri and Ogasawara) are from the Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET, www.eanet.asia/product/index.html), which are used to evaluate the simulated surface-layer O₃ concentrations. The four Japanese sites are “remote” sites in the downwind regions of China. Figure 3 compares the time series of monthly surface-layer O₃ mixing ratios simulated by MetEmisB with those measured by WDCGG and EANET. Simulated surface-layer O₃ levels agree well with observations at all the four stations. The model captures fairly well the seasonal cycles and interannual variations of surface O₃, with high correlation coefficients of 0.82–0.93 (Table 4). Generally, the GEOS-Chem model can capture the high values during early spring or winter when Asian O₃ outflow flux is the highest, but overestimates the low values during summer when Asian O₃ outflow is the minimum.

To evaluate the simulated O₃ concentrations for the boundary layer, middle and upper troposphere, we use the ozonesonde data at two Japanese sites from World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre (WOUDC, www.woudc.org). The information for the two sites (Naha and Tsukuba) is listed in Table 4. Figure 4 compares the time series of monthly O₃ mixing ratios simulated by MetEmisB with those measured by ozonesonde. Comparisons are shown for four altitudes in the troposphere. The GEOS-Chem model captures the seasonal cycles and interannual variations of tropospheric O₃ at all altitudes, with correlation coefficients ranging from 0.68 to 0.88 for Naha site, and from 0.55 to 0.76 for Tsukuba site. However, the agreement with ozonesonde in the lowermost layer (1000–850 hPa) seems to be poorer than that with WDCGG or EANET. It is noted that, the ground-based measurements (WDCGG or EANET) and simulation results are calculated from continuous data, while the ozonesondes are regularly launched at a fixed local time with a typical frequency of 1–2 weeks (Tanimoto et al., 2015). The inconsistency in sampling time may be responsible for the poorer agreement with ozonesonde.

The increasing trend in surface-layer O₃ in East Asia over the past two decades was reported by previous studies (Ding et al., 2008a; Wang et al., 2009b; Xu et al., 2016). Figure 5 compares the simulated trends in annual mean surface-layer O₃ concentrations from the MetEmis experiment with the observed trends collected from Lin et al. (2016) and references therein. The observed trends of annual mean O₃ at Beijing, Hongkong, Taiwan, Waliguan, and South
Korea stations are +0.90 ppbv yr\(^{-1}\), +0.58 ppbv yr\(^{-1}\), +0.54 ppbv yr\(^{-1}\), +0.25 ppbv yr\(^{-1}\), +0.48 ppbv yr\(^{-1}\), respectively. Simulated \(\text{O}_3\) concentrations at all stations exhibit statistically significant increasing trends, although the model underestimates the trends. Figure 5 compares the simulated trends in seasonal or annual mean surface-layer \(\text{O}_3\) concentrations from the MetEmis experiment with the observed trends collected from previous studies. Simulated \(\text{O}_3\) concentrations exhibit statistically significant increasing trends at all sites except for Waliguan in winter, although the model underestimates the trends for some stations and seasons. The modeled \(\text{O}_3\) trends were also reported to have low biases in previous studies (Tanimoto et al., 2009; Parrish et al., 2014; Strode et al., 2015). Parrish et al. (2014) compared \(\text{O}_3\) trends simulated by three chemistry-climate models with observations at Asian sites, and reported that one model captured less than one third of the observed increasing trend whereas the other two models suggested no significant increasing trends.

In general, the GEOS-Chem model can capture fairly well the seasonal cycles and interannual variations of tropospheric \(\text{O}_3\), although the model overestimates the low values during summer indicating an overestimation of Asian \(\text{O}_3\) outflow in summer. The increasing trends in surface-layer \(\text{O}_3\) in China over the past two decades can also be captured by GEOS-Chem model, although the modeled \(\text{O}_3\) trends have low biases.

4 Simulated Asian \(\text{O}_3\) outflow during 1986–2006

4.1 Seasonal patterns of Asian \(\text{O}_3\) outflow

Figure 6 shows the pressure–latitude cross-sections along 135° E of the seasonal \(\text{O}_3\) outflow fluxes averaged over 1997–2006 in the MetEmisB simulation. The maximum \(\text{O}_3\) fluxes were found in the middle-upper troposphere, in consistent with Liu et al. (2002) and Wang et al. (2009a), and it could be attributed to the vertical distributions of both zonal winds and \(\text{O}_3\) concentrations. The westerlies strengthen with altitudes with the strongest winds occurring around 200 hPa (known as the East Asia subtropical westerly jet) (Ren et al., 2011). Concentrations of \(\text{O}_3\) are high in the upper troposphere over the mid-latitudes (Wang, 1999).

The seasonal mass fluxes through the meridional plane (along 135° E from 20° N to 55° N, and from the surface to 100 hPa) were calculated to be 509.6, 437.6, 126.6, and 268.7 Tg season\(^{-1}\) for December–January–February (DJF), March–April–May (MAM), June–July–August (JJA), and September–October–November (SON), respectively. Although the seasonal flux was highest in DJF, the monthly \(\text{O}_3\) flux through the panel peaked in March and reached the nadir in July (not shown in Fig. 6). Such monthly variations of the Asian \(\text{O}_3\) outflow flux agreed with those in Liu et al. (2002). The maximum \(\text{O}_3\) outflow in March was caused by the combined effects of meteorological conditions, biomass burning emissions, and stratospheric \(\text{O}_3\) intrusion. The “warm conveyor belt” (WCB) airstreams that export pollution
from the Asian boundary layer to the free troposphere, and the mid-latitude prevailing westerly winds in the free troposphere that transport pollution from Asia to the Northwest Pacific, were strongest during the early spring period (Eckhardt et al., 2004; Pochanart et al., 2004). The contribution from Asian biomass burning emissions on O₃ outflow was maximum in the spring and insignificant during other seasons (Liu et al., 2002). The stratospheric O₃ intrusion was also found to be most effective in late winter and early spring (Danielsen and Mohnen, 1977; Mahlman and Moxim, 1978).

### 4.2 IAVs and decadal trends of Asian O₃ outflow

Figure 7a shows the simulated annual O₃ outflow fluxes through the meridional plane along 135° E from 20° N to 55° N, from the surface to 100 hPa, during 1986–2006 in the Met, Emis, and MetEmis simulations, and Fig. 7b shows the associated deviations from the mean (DEV). The simulations of the O₃ outflow in Met, Emis, and MetEmis examined, respectively, the effects of variations in meteorological parameters alone, anthropogenic emissions alone, and both meteorological parameters and anthropogenic emissions. The outflow fluxes of O₃ with changes in anthropogenic emissions alone (the Emis simulation) exhibited a statistically significant ($P < 0.001$) increasing trend. However, the magnitude of the increasing trend was very small; the decadal trend of the Asian O₃ outflow flux in the Emis simulation was calculated to be +16.7 Tg decade⁻¹ (i.e., +1.2 % decade⁻¹) using the linear fit with least-squares method. The DEV, defined as

$$\text{DEV} = 100\% \times \left( C_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \right) / \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \right),$$

where $n$ is the number of years examined ($n = 21$ for 1986–2006) and $C_i$ is the simulated O₃ outflow flux in year $i$, changed from −1.3 % (in 1986) to +1.4 % (in 2006), also indicating a small increasing trend in the O₃ outflow flux. With variations in meteorological parameters alone (the Met simulation), simulated O₃ outflow fluxes exhibited large IAVs, but a statistically insignificant ($P > 0.05$) decadal trend of −3.4 % decade⁻¹. The DEV values in the Met simulation ranged from −8 % to +16.5 %. With variations in both anthropogenic emissions and meteorological parameters (the MetEmis simulation), the simulated O₃ outflow showed large IAVs, but a statistically insignificant ($P > 0.05$) decadal trend of −2.2 % decade⁻¹.

To analyze the IAVs of O₃ outflow fluxes, the decadal trend obtained from the linear fit was removed from the time series of simulated O₃ outflow fluxes, following the approach used in previous studies that examined IAVs of aerosol outflow fluxes (Yang et al., 2015) and O₃ concentrations (Camp et al., 2003). The deviations from the mean of the detrended O₃ outflow fluxes from the Met, Emis, and MetEmis simulations over 1986–2006 are shown in Fig. 7c. While the detrended outflow fluxes of O₃ in Met and MetEmis simulations showed large IAVs with DEV values in the
range of −7.5% to +13.5%, the DEV values in the Emis simulation were very small (in the range of ±0.3%). The two deviation curves from the Met and MetEmis simulations almost coincided with each other, indicating the dominant role of variations in meteorological parameters in the IAVs of the Asian O$_3$ outflow flux.

The IAVs in the O$_3$ outflow fluxes were further quantified with statistical variables of mean absolute deviation (MAD) and absolute percent departure from the mean (APDM), which have been used in previous IAV studies, such as Mu and Liao (2014), Lou et al. (2015), and Yang et al. (2015). The absolute IAVs of the O$_3$ outflow fluxes can be quantified by MAD, defined as

$$\text{MAD} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |C_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i|,$$

while the IAVs relative to the multi-year average outflow flux can be quantified by APDM, defined as

$$\text{APDM} = 100\% \times \text{MAD} / \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \right).$$

where $n$ is the number of years examined ($n = 21$ for years 1986–2006) and $C_i$ is the detrended O$_3$ outflow flux in year $i$. The MAD and APDM values of the detrended seasonal and annual O$_3$ outflow fluxes across the meridional plane along 135° E from 20° N to 55° N, from the surface to 100 hPa, are shown in Fig. 8. The seasonal MAD and APDM values in the Emis simulation were close to zero, while those in the Met and MetEmis simulations were relatively large.

The APDM values in the Met and MetEmis simulations were maximum in JJA and minimum in SON. The MAD and APDM values in the Met simulation were almost identical to those in the MetEmis simulation, which indicated again that the IAVs of the O$_3$ outflow fluxes were mainly dependent on the variations in meteorological conditions, rather than the variations in anthropogenic emissions. With variations in both meteorological parameters and anthropogenic emissions, the seasonal APDM values were in the range of 4–9% and the annual APDM value was 3.3%.

Figure 9 shows the pressure–latitude cross-sections of MAD values along 135° E for detrended annual O$_3$ outflow fluxes from the Met, Emis, and MetEmis simulations. The O$_3$ outflow in the Met simulation exhibited large IAVs throughout the whole troposphere, with MAD values greater than 0.2 kg yr$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$. The MAD values increased with altitude, which could be attributed to the vertical distributions of the IAVs in westerly winds (see MAD values of winds in Fig. 9a). The variations in anthropogenic emissions led to very small IAVs, with MAD values less than 0.2 kg yr$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ (Fig. 9b) throughout the troposphere. With both variations in meteorological parameters and anthropogenic emissions, the MAD values (Fig. 9c) showed almost identical magnitudes and spatial distributions to those in the Met simulation (Fig. 9a), indicating the dominant role of variations in meteorological conditions in the IAVs of the O$_3$ outflow.

Variations in meteorological conditions can influence the IAVs of the O$_3$ outflow fluxes by changing O$_3$ concentrations over East Asia (Yang et al., 2014; Lou et al., 2015), and by altering zonal winds (Kurokawa et al., 2009).
The O₃ outflow flux is simulated to correlate positively with zonal wind averaged over 20°–55° N along 135° E, with a high correlation coefficient of +0.71 for annual fluxes and zonal winds. The correlation coefficient between O₃ fluxes and zonal winds is calculated to be +0.96 during summer when the APDM values of O₃ outflow fluxes are maximum. The high correlation coefficients indicate that the variation in zonal winds is the key factor that leads to the large IAVs of O₃ outflow fluxes.

4.3 Effect of variations in biomass burning emissions

The biomass burning emissions of the O₃ precursors exhibited large IAVs during 1997–2006 (Fig. 1). To analyze the impacts of biomass burning emissions on IAVs of O₃ outflow fluxes, we compare the MAD and APDM values of detrended O₃ outflow fluxes during 1997–2006 in the MetEmis and MetEmisB simulations. The MAD (APDM) was calculated to be 31.17 Tg yr⁻¹ (2.35 %) in the MetEmis simulation and 31.82 Tg yr⁻¹ (2.36 %) in the MetEmisB simulation. The minor influence of biomass burning emissions on the IAVs of the O₃ outflow fluxes from East Asia was also supported by Voulgarakis et al. (2015). Furthermore, Lin et al. (2014) reported that meteorological variability, compared with the variability in biomass burning, was much more important for driving the IAVs in springtime O₃ at the Mauna Loa Observatory, a remote North Pacific site sensitive to Asian pollution outflow.

5 Future changes in Asian O₃ outflow for 2000–2050

In this part of the study, we quantify future decadal changes in Asian O₃ outflow during 2000–2050 under the SRES A1B scenario, and examine the relative impacts of variations in climate and anthropogenic emissions on the changes. We conduct each simulation for 10 years, driven by 1996–2005 meteorology to represent the present-day (year 2000) climate, and by 2046–2055 meteorological fields to represent the future (year 2050) climate, following 1 year of model spin-up. All the results presented below are 10-year averages. Simulated present-day and future changes in seasonal and annual fluxes of O₃ across the vertical plane along 135° E from 20° N to 55° N are summarized in Table 5.

5.1 Present-day O₃ outflow

The pressure–latitude cross-sections along 135° E of the simulated present-day (Met2000Emis2000) seasonal O₃ outflow fluxes, driven by the meteorological inputs provided by GISS GCM 3, are shown in Fig. 10a. The magnitudes, spatial distributions, and seasonal variations agree closely with those driven by the assimilated GEOS-4 meteorological fields (Fig. 6). The O₃ outflow flux through the vertical plane is simulated to be 1877.1 Tg yr⁻¹ with GISS GCM 3 meteorology, and 1342.5 Tg yr⁻¹ with the GEOS-4 assimilated meteorological fields, which indicates the reliability of
the simulated present-day O₃ outflow fluxes.

5.2 Effect of future changes in climate alone

Relative to the present-day value, year 2050 annual outflow of O₃ is estimated to increase by 2.0 % (Table 5) as a result of climate change alone (Met2050Emis2000 minus Met2000Emis2000). The outflow of O₃ shows a slight decrease of 1.8 % in DJF and of 3.8 % in SON, but a large increase of 14.5 % in JJA and of 7.3 % in MAM. The spatial distributions of projected changes in O₃ fluxes are well consistent with those of changes in zonal winds (Fig. 10b). The wind speed of the westerlies in DJF and SON decreases across the troposphere over 30−45° N, leading to the reductions in the O₃ outflow fluxes. In contrast, the increases in zonal winds in JJA and MAM lead to the increases of O₃ outflow fluxes throughout the troposphere over 30−45° N. Our projected future changes in zonal winds are consistent with previous studies. By analyzing 18 CMIP5 models, Huang and Wang (2016) assessed the future changes in atmospheric circulation during spring over East Asia. They found that, although different models projected different changes (even in sign) in zonal winds, the ensemble mean of five better-skill models among the 18 CMIP5 models exhibited overall increases in zonal winds throughout the whole troposphere during spring, which agrees with our simulation. Based on 31 (29)-model ensemble mean results, Jiang and Tian (2013) showed that the westerlies along 135 °E during winter (summer) were projected to weaken (strengthen). Such projected patterns of future changes in westerlies during winter and summer are also captured by our model. Changes in O₃ concentrations also contribute to the changes in O₃ outflow; although the zonal winds are projected to increase north of 40° N in the upper troposphere during SON, the O₃ outflow fluxes are simulated to decrease because of the significant decreases of O₃ levels north of 40° N in the upper troposphere (Fig. S1).

5.3 Effect of future changes in anthropogenic emissions alone

The annual outflow of O₃ through the vertical plane is simulated to increase by 7.9 % relative to the present-day value (Table 5) as a result of the changes in anthropogenic emissions alone (Met2000Emis2050 minus Met2000Emis2000). Considering that the O₃ outflow with changes in anthropogenic emissions alone exhibits an increasing trend of 1.2 % decade⁻¹ over 1986–2006 (Sect. 4.2), the increasing trend of 1.2 % decade⁻¹ (i.e., 6.0 % half-century⁻¹) is close to the value of 7.9 % over the future half-century.

The projected future O₃ fluxes show increases during all seasons, which can be attributed to the increases in O₃ concentrations at all altitudes over Asia and upwind regions (i.e., Europe and Central Asia; Fig. S1) as a result of the increases in anthropogenic emissions of the O₃ precursors (NOₓ and NMVOCs) and CH₄ concentrations. NOₓ
emissions in 2050 are projected to increase by 159.6% over Asia and by 78.4% globally, while NMVOCs emissions are projected to increase by 118.6% over Asia and by 89.4% globally under the SRES A1B scenario (Table 1). The CH₄ mixing ratios are projected to increase by 37.1% relative to the present-day value. The largest increases of O₃ outflow fluxes are located in the middle-upper troposphere (Fig. 10c) owing to the strong westerlies located here. It is noted that, in spite of the significant increases of emissions, the simulated surface-layer O₃ concentrations show slight decreases over the North China Plain in DJF, which subsequently leads to the small decreases of O₃ outflow fluxes at the surface layer over 30–40° N. In DJF, biogenic VOC emissions are especially low over the North China Plain, whereas anthropogenic NOₓ emissions are fairly high due to the residential heating, leading to a low VOCs/NOₓ ratio in this region (Lou et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2012). Therefore, increases in NOₓ emissions lead to decreases in surface-layer O₃ concentrations over the North China Plain.

5.4 Effect of future changes in both climate and anthropogenic emissions

The annual outflow of O₃ through the vertical plane is projected to increase by 12.2% (Table 5) during 2000–2050 as a consequence of future changes in both climate and anthropogenic emissions (Met2050Emis2050 minus Met2000Emis2000). Climate change in DJF and SON slightly offsets the effects of changes in anthropogenic emissions, while climate change in MAM and JJA enhances the effects of variations in anthropogenic emissions. When considering future changes in both emissions and climate, the projected O₃ outflow fluxes show increases throughout almost the entire troposphere along 135° E during all seasons (Fig. 10d).

6 Uncertainty discussion

There are some uncertainties in our simulations. First, the influence of interannual variation in stratosphere-troposphere exchange on tropospheric O₃ is not considered in this study. Terao et al. (2008) reported that the stratosphere-troposphere exchange had large impacts on the interannual variability of tropospheric O₃ over Canada and Europe but the impact was much smaller over East Asia. The second is the uncertainty associated with the selection of longitudinal transect. We calculate O₃ flux through the vertical plane along 135° E, because 135° E is the easternmost boundary of China (i.e., Wusuli River in Northeastern China). We also calculate the O₃ outflow flux along 120° E, more close to ozone production region in central-eastern China, and find that the variations in O₃ fluxes calculated at 120° E are similar to those calculated at 135° E. With variations in both anthropogenic emissions and meteorological parameters (the MetEmis simulation), the simulated O₃ outflow shows large IAVs but a statistically insignificant ($P > 0.05$) trend. The conclusion is consistent with that drawn from the variations in O₃ outflow.
calculated at 135° E. Finally, projecting future atmospheric circulation on regional scales has large uncertainty, which is undergoing continuing improvement.

7 Conclusions

We quantify the past and future changes in the O₃ outflow from East Asia using the global 3-D chemical transport model GEOS-Chem. The historical (1986–2006) simulations are driven by the assimilated GEOS-4 meteorological fields, and the future (2000–2050) simulations under the IPCC SRES A1B scenario are driven by the meteorological fields archived from GISS GCM 3. Sensitivity studies are conducted to examine the respective impacts of meteorological parameters and emissions on the variations in the outflow flux.

The measurements from WDCGG and EANET are used to evaluate the simulated surface-layer O₃ concentrations; the ozonesonde data from WOUDC are used to evaluate the simulated O₃ concentrations for the boundary layer, middle and upper troposphere. Generally, the seasonal cycles and interannual variations of tropospheric O₃ concentrations are captured fairly well by the GEOS-Chem model, with high correlation coefficients of 0.82–0.93 at four ground-based sites and 0.55–0.88 at two ozonesonde sites, although the model overestimates the low values during summer. The increasing trends in surface-layer O₃ concentrations in East Asia over the past two decades can also be captured by the GEOS-Chem model, although the modeled O₃ trends have low biases. Simulated Asian O₃ outflow flux peaks in early spring, and reaches the nadir in summer. The maximum O₃ fluxes are located in the middle-upper troposphere.

The IAVs and decadal trends of Asian O₃ outflow are examined over 1986–2006. Simulated O₃ outflow fluxes showed large IAVs, but an insignificant decadal trend; with variations in both meteorological parameters and anthropogenic emissions, the seasonal APDM values were in the range of 4–9 %. Sensitivity simulations showed that the large IAVs of the O₃ outflow fluxes were mainly caused by the variations in meteorological conditions, rather than the variations in anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions. Although variations in meteorological parameters could influence the IAVs of the O₃ outflow fluxes by changing O₃ concentrations over East Asia and by altering zonal winds, the latter was identified to be the key factor because of the high correlation coefficient of +0.71 between the annual fluxes and zonal winds.

The decadal changes in Asian O₃ outflow are also examined during 2000–2050. The present-day annual O₃ flux through the vertical plane is calculated as 1877.1 Tg, which is projected to change over 2000–2050 by +2.0 %, +7.9 %, and +12.2 %, respectively, due to climate change alone, emissions change alone, and changes in both climate and emissions. During MAM and JJA, climate change plays a larger role in the future changes in O₃ outflow compared with emissions changes, owing to the significant increases in zonal winds during these two seasons. It is noted that
climate change will aggravate the impacts of increases in anthropogenic emissions on the O$_3$ outflow from East Asia over 2000–2050 under the SRES A1B scenario.

These findings are helpful for understanding the temporal evolutions of tropospheric O$_3$ on different timescales in the downwind regions of East Asia. Observed IAVs of tropospheric O$_3$ on a relatively short timescale can be attributed to variations in meteorological parameters. Furthermore, conclusions from this study will have important implications for long-term air quality planning for the downwind regions of China, such as Japan and US. Since future climate change will increase O$_3$ outflow from East Asia, extra efforts are needed to reduce anthropogenic emissions of O$_3$ precursors to offset the adverse effects caused by climate change.

8 Data availability

GEOS-Chem is an open-access model developed collaboratively at Harvard University and other institutes in North America, Europe, and Asia. The source codes can be downloaded from http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/. The tropospheric NO$_2$ vertical column density (VCD) data are retrieved from GOME (1996–2002) and SCIAMACHY (2003–2006), which are available from www.temis.nl. The O$_3$ measurements at Minamitorishima and Yonagunijima are available from the World Data Centre for Greenhouse Gases (WDCGG, www.ds.data.jma.go.jp/gmd/wdcégg/), and those at Rishiri and Ogasawara are available from the Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET, www.eanet.asia/product/index.html). The ozonesonde data at Naha and Tsukuba are available from the World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre (WOUDC, www.woudc.org). All data presented in this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.
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Table 1. Anthropogenic emissions\textsuperscript{a} of O\textsubscript{3} precursors for the present day (year 2000) and future (year 2050, under the SRES A1B scenario).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Global</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Asian\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>Change (%)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>Change (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x} (Tg N yr\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>+78.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>+159.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (Tg CO yr\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>1054.2</td>
<td>1332.0</td>
<td>+26.4</td>
<td>393.7</td>
<td>487.2</td>
<td>+23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMVOCs (Tg C yr\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>+89.4</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>+118.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH\textsubscript{4} (ppbv)</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>+37.1</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>+37.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Biomass burning emissions are included.

\textsuperscript{b} Asia covers the domain of 60–150° E, 10° S–55° N.
Table 2. Natural emissions of O$_3$ precursors for the present day (year 2000) and future (year 2050, under the SRES A1B scenario).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Global</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Asian$^a$</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>Change (%)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>Change (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightning NO$_x$</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>+18.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>+16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tg N yr$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil NO$_x$</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>+14.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>+21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tg N yr$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogenic VOCs</td>
<td>614.5</td>
<td>750.2</td>
<td>+22.1</td>
<td>106.1</td>
<td>126.2</td>
<td>+18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tg C yr$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Asia covers the domain of 60–150° E, 10° S–55° N.
Table 3. Experimental design of the simulations for 1986–2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Simulated years</th>
<th>Meteorological parameters</th>
<th>Anthropogenic emissions</th>
<th>CH$_4$ abundance</th>
<th>Biomass burning emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

* The MetEmisB simulation is conducted for 1997–2006 owing to the unavailability of biomass burning emissions before 1997.
Table 4. Information for the sites with O$_3$ measurements used in model evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>$R^a$</th>
<th>NMB$^b$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minamitorishima</td>
<td>24.3°N, 154.0°E</td>
<td>WDCGG</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>+12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yonagunijima</td>
<td>24.5°N, 123.0°E</td>
<td>WDCGG</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>+12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rishiri</td>
<td>45.1°N, 141.2°E</td>
<td>EANET</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>+2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogasawara</td>
<td>27.1°N, 142.2°E</td>
<td>EANET</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>+29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500–300 hPa</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>−2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700–500 hPa</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>+16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naha</td>
<td>26.2°N, 127.7°E</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>850–700 hPa</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>+24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000–850 hPa</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>+39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500–300 hPa</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>+15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700–500 hPa</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>+12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsukuba</td>
<td>36.1°N, 140.1°E</td>
<td>WOUDC</td>
<td>850–700 hPa</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>+8.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000–850 hPa</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>+8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Correlation coefficient ($R$) between the observed and simulated monthly O$_3$ mixing ratios.

$^b$ Normalized mean bias (NMB, %) between the observed and simulated monthly O$_3$ mixing ratios.
Table 5. Simulated present-day O₃ fluxes and projected changes from the present day (1996–2005) to the future (2046–2055) through the vertical plane along 135° E from 20° N to 55° N, and from the surface to 100 hPa, due to future climate change alone, change in anthropogenic emissions alone, and changes in both climate and emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>792.9</td>
<td>778.3 (-1.8%)</td>
<td>850.5 (+7.3%)</td>
<td>853.7 (+7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAM</td>
<td>597.0</td>
<td>640.4 (+7.3%)</td>
<td>639.0 (+7.0%)</td>
<td>698.0 (+16.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>146.5</td>
<td>167.7 (+14.5%)</td>
<td>161.3 (+10.1%)</td>
<td>187.2 (+27.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SON</td>
<td>340.7</td>
<td>327.8 (-3.8%)</td>
<td>374.1 (+9.8%)</td>
<td>368.1 (+8.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>1877.1</td>
<td>1914.1 (+2.0%)</td>
<td>2024.9 (+7.9%)</td>
<td>2106.9 (+12.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The units are Tg season⁻¹ for seasonal fluxes and Tg yr⁻¹ for annual fluxes. Values in parentheses are percentage changes relative to the present-day fluxes.*
Figure captions

Figure 1. Evolution of annual anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions summed over the globe and Asia (60–150° E, 10° S–55° N) for NOx (Tg N yr⁻¹), CO (Tg CO yr⁻¹), and NMVOCs (Tg C yr⁻¹) over 1986–2006. Blue squares represent anthropogenic emissions, and red circles represent the sum of anthropogenic emissions and biomass burning emissions. The last panel shows the evolution of global CH₄ abundance (ppbv) during 1986–2006.

Figure 2. Evolution of annual natural emissions summed over the globe and Asia (60–150° E, 10° S–55° N) for lightning NOx (Tg N yr⁻¹), soil NOx (Tg N yr⁻¹), and biogenic VOCs (Tg C yr⁻¹) over 1986–2006.

Figure 3. Time series of monthly surface-layer O₃ mixing ratios measured by WDCGG and EANET (blue line), and simulated by MetEmisB (red line). (a) Minamitorishima and (b) Yonagunijima are WDCGG sites, and (c) Rishiri and (d) Ogasawara sites are EANET sites.

Figure 4. Time series of monthly O₃ mixing ratios measured by ozonesonde (blue line), and simulated by MetEmisB (red line). (a) Naha and (b) Tsukuba are ozonesonde sites from WOUDC. Comparisons are shown for four altitude levels in the troposphere.

Figure 5. Comparison of simulated trends in seasonal or annual mean surface-layer O₃ concentrations from the MetEmis experiment with observations for Hongkong (location: 22.2° N, 114.3° E; years: 1994–2007; reference: Wang et al., 2009b), Waliguan (36.3° N, 100.9° E; 1994–2013; Xu et al., 2016), and South Korea (37.3° N, 126.5° E; 1990–2010; Lee et al., 2014).

Figure 6. The pressure–latitude cross-sections along 135° E of the simulated seasonal O₃ outflow fluxes and zonal winds during four seasons averaged over 1997–2006 in the MetEmisB simulation. The O₃ mass fluxes are shown by shades (units: kg season⁻¹ m⁻²), and winds are represented by contours (units: m s⁻¹). Positive fluxes represent eastward fluxes, and negative values represent westward fluxes.

Figure 7. Evolution of (a) annual O₃ outflow fluxes (Tg yr⁻¹) across the meridional plane along 135° E from 20° N to 55° N, and from the surface to 100 hPa, over 1986–2006 in the Met, Emis, and MetEmis simulations; (b) the associated deviations from the mean (%); and (c) deviations from the mean (%) of the detrended O₃ outflow fluxes. The deviation from the mean (DEV) is defined in Sect. 4.2.

Figure 8. The MAD and APDM values of the detrended seasonal and annual O₃ outflow fluxes across the meridional plane along 135° E from 20° N to 55° N, and from the surface to 100 hPa, over 1986–2006 in Met, Emis, and MetEmis simulations. Both the MAD and APDM are defined in Sect. 4.2. The units of MAD are Tg season⁻¹ for seasonal fluxes and Tg yr⁻¹ for annual fluxes.

Figure 9. The pressure–latitude cross-sections along 135° E of MAD values for detrended annual O₃ outflow fluxes and zonal winds over 1986–2006 in the Met, Emis, and MetEmis simulations. The MAD values for O₃ mass fluxes are
shown by shades (units: kg yr\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-2}\)), and the MAD values for winds are represented by contours (units: m s\(^{-1}\)).

Figure 10. (a) The pressure–latitude cross-sections along 135° E of simulated present-day O\(_3\) mass fluxes and zonal winds (Met2000Emis2000). Projected changes in O\(_3\) mass fluxes and zonal winds from the present day (1996–2005) to the future (2046–2055) caused by (b) climate change alone (Met2050Emis2000 minus Met2000Emis2000); (c) changes in anthropogenic emissions alone (Met2000Emis2050 minus Met2000Emis2000); and (d) changes in both climate and anthropogenic emissions (Met2050Emis2050 minus Met2000Emis2000). The O\(_3\) mass fluxes are shown by shades (units: kg season\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-2}\)), and winds are represented by contours (units: m s\(^{-1}\)). The dotted areas are statistically significant at the 95 % level, as determined by a two-sample Student’s \(t\)-test.
Figure 1. Evolution of annual anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions summed over the globe and Asia (60°–150° E, 10° S–55° N) for NOx (Tg N yr\(^{-1}\)), CO (Tg CO yr\(^{-1}\)), and NMVOCs (Tg C yr\(^{-1}\)) over 1986–2006. Blue squares represent anthropogenic emissions, and red circles represent the sum of anthropogenic emissions and biomass burning emissions. The last panel shows the evolution of global CH\(_4\) abundance (ppbv) during 1986–2006.
Figure 2. Evolution of annual natural emissions summed over the globe and Asia (60–150° E, 10° S–55° N) for lightning NO\textsubscript{x} (Tg N yr\textsuperscript{−1}), soil NO\textsubscript{x} (Tg N yr\textsuperscript{−1}), and biogenic VOCs (Tg C yr\textsuperscript{−1}) over 1986–2006.
Figure 3. Time series of monthly surface-layer O₃ mixing ratios measured by WDCGG and EANET (blue line), and simulated by MetEmisB (red line). (a) Minamitorishima and (b) Yonagunijima are WDCGG sites, and (c) Rishiri and (d) Ogasawara sites are EANET sites.
Figure 4. Time series of monthly $O_3$ mixing ratios measured by ozonesonde (blue line), and simulated by MetEmisB (red line). (a) Naha and (b) Tsukuba are ozonesonde sites from WOUDC. Comparisons are shown for four altitude levels in the troposphere.
Figure 5. Comparison of simulated trends in annual mean O₃ concentrations from the MetEmis experiment with observations for Beijing (location: 40.0° N, 116.5° E; years: 1995–2005; reference: Ding et al., 2008a), Hongkong (22.2° N, 114.3° E; 1994–2007; Wang et al., 2009b), Taiwan (23.5° N, 121.0° E; 1991–2007; Lin et al., 2010), Waliguan (36.3° N, 100.9° E; 1994–2013; Xu et al., 2016), and South Korea (37.3° N, 126.5° E; 1990–2010; Lee et al., 2014).
Figure 5. Comparison of simulated trends in seasonal or annual mean surface-layer O\textsubscript{3} concentrations from the MetEmis experiment with observations for Hongkong (location: 22.2° N, 114.3° E; years: 1994–2007; reference: Wang et al., 2009b), Waliguan (36.3° N, 100.9° E; 1994–2013; Xu et al. 2016), Beijing (40.0° N, 116.5° E; 2001–2006; Tang et al., 2009), and Taiwan (23.5° N, 121.0° E; 1994–2007; Lin et al., 2010). The simulated trend at Waliguan site for winter is statistically insignificant. The trends in seasonal-mean O\textsubscript{3} concentrations at Taiwan station are unavailable.
Figure 6. The pressure–latitude cross-sections along 135° E of the simulated seasonal O$_3$ outflow fluxes and zonal winds during four seasons averaged over 1997–2006 in the MetEmisB simulation. The O$_3$ mass fluxes are shown by shades (units: kg season$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$), and winds are represented by contours (units: m s$^{-1}$). Positive fluxes represent eastward fluxes, and negative values represent westward fluxes.
Figure 7. Evolution of (a) annual O$_3$ outflow fluxes (Tg yr$^{-1}$) across the meridional plane along 135° E from 20° N to 55° N, and from the surface to 100 hPa, over 1986–2006 in the Met, Emis, and MetEmis simulations; (b) the associated deviations from the mean (%); and (c) deviations from the mean (%) of the detrended O$_3$ outflow fluxes. The deviation from the mean (DEV) is defined in Sect. 4.2.
Figure 8. The MAD and APDM values of the detrended seasonal and annual O$_3$ outflow fluxes across the meridional plane along 135° E from 20° N to 55° N, and from the surface to 100 hPa, over 1986–2006 in Met, Emis, and MetEmis simulations. Both the MAD and APDM are defined in Sect. 4.2. The units of MAD are Tg season$^{-1}$ for seasonal fluxes and Tg yr$^{-1}$ for annual fluxes.
Figure 9. The pressure–latitude cross-sections along 135° E of MAD values for detrended annual O₃ outflow fluxes and zonal winds over 1986–2006 in the Met, Emis, and MetEmis simulations. The MAD values for O₃ mass fluxes are shown by shades (units: kg yr⁻¹ m⁻²), and the MAD values for winds are represented by contours (units: m s⁻¹).
Figure 10. (a) The pressure–latitude cross-sections along 135° E of simulated present-day O₃ mass fluxes and zonal winds (Met2000Emis2000). Projected changes in O₃ mass fluxes and zonal winds from the present day (1996–2005) to the future (2046–2055) caused by (b) climate change alone (Met2050Emis2000 minus Met2000Emis2000); (c) changes in anthropogenic emissions alone (Met2000Emis2050 minus Met2000Emis2000); and (d) changes in both climate and anthropogenic emissions (Met2050Emis2050 minus Met2000Emis2000). The O₃ mass fluxes are shown by shades (units: kg season⁻¹ m⁻²), and winds are represented by contours (units: m s⁻¹). The dotted areas are statistically significant at the 95 % level, as determined by a two-sample Student’s t-test.