Volatility of mixed atmospheric Humic-like Substances and ammonium sulfate particles
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Abstract

The volatility of organic aerosols remains poorly understood due to the complexity of speciation and multi-phase processes. In this study, we extracted HUmic-LIke Substances (HULIS) from four atmospheric aerosol samples collected at the SORPES station in Nanjing, eastern China, and investigated the volatility behavior of particles at different sizes using a Volatility Tandem Differential Mobility Analyzer.
Analyzer (VTDMA). In spite of the large differences in particle mass concentrations, the extracted HULIS from the four samples all revealed very high oxidation states (O : C > 0.95), indicating secondary formation as the major source of HULIS in Yangtze River Delta (YRD). An overall low volatility was identified for the extracted HULIS, with the volume fraction remaining (VFR) higher than 55% for all the re-generated HULIS particles at the temperature of 280 °C. A kinetic mass transfer model was applied to the thermodenuder (TD) data to interpret the observed evaporation pattern of HULIS, and to derive the mass fractions of semi-volatile (SVOC), low-volatility (LVOC) and extremely low-volatility components (ELVOC). The results showed that LVOC and ELVOC dominated (more than 80%) the total volume of HULIS. Atomizing processes led to a size dependent evaporation of regenerated HULIS particles, and resulted in more ELVOCs in smaller particles. In order to understand the role of interaction between inorganic salts and atmospheric organic mixtures in the volatility of an organic aerosol, the evaporation of mixed samples of ammonium sulfate (AS) and HULIS was measured. The results showed a significant but nonlinear influence of ammonium sulfate on the volatility of HULIS. The estimated fraction of ELVOCs in the organic part of largest particles (145 nm) increased from 26% in pure HULIS samples to 93% in 1:3 (mass ratio of HULIS:AS) mixed samples, to 45% in 2:2 mixed samples, and to 70% in 3:1 mixed samples, suggesting that the interaction with ammonium sulfate tends to decrease the volatility of atmospheric organic compounds. Our results demonstrate that HULIS are important low volatile, or even extremely low volatile, compounds in the organic aerosol phase. As important formation pathways of atmospheric HULIS, multi-phase processes, including oxidation, oligomerization, polymerization and interaction with inorganic salts, are indicated to be important sources of low volatile and extremely low volatility species of organic aerosols.

1. Introduction

Atmospheric organic aerosol (OA) comprises 20-90% of the total submicron aerosol mass depending on location (Kanakidou et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2009), and play a critical role in air quality and global climate change. Given the large variety of organic species, OA is typically grouped in different ways according to its sources and physicochemical properties. These include the classifications based on aerosol optical properties (brown carbon and non-light absorption OA), formation pathways (primary (POA) and secondary (SOA) organic aerosol) and solubility (water soluble OA (WSOA) and water insoluble OA (WISOA)). HUmic-LIke Substances (HULIS), according to their operational definition, are the hydrophobic part of WSOA, and contribute to more than half of the WSOA (e.g.
Krivácsy et al., 2008). Secondary formation (Lin et al., 2010b) and primary emission from biomass burning (Lukács et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2010a) have been identified as the two major sources of atmospheric HULIS. Because they are abundantly present, water-soluble, light-absorbing and surface-active, HULIS in atmospheric particles have been demonstrated to play important roles in several processes, including cloud droplet formation, light absorption and heterogeneous redox activities (Kiss et al., 2005; Graber and Rudich, 2006; Hoffer et al., 2006; Lukács et al., 2007; Lin and Yu, 2011; Verma et al., 2012; Kristensen et al., 2012).

Volutatility of atmospheric organic compounds is one of their key physical properties determining their partitioning between the gas and aerosol phases, thereby strongly influencing their lifetimes and concentrations. Atmospheric OA can be divided into semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOC), low volatility organic compounds (LVOC) and extremely low volatility organic compounds (ELVOC) (Donahue et al., 2012; Murphy et al., 2014). LVOC and ELVOC are predominantly in the aerosol phase and contribute largely to the new particle formation and growth (Ehn et al., 2014), while SVOC have considerable mass fractions in both phases and usually dominate the mass concentration of OA. As far as we know, volatility studies on OA have mostly focused on laboratory-generated organic particles or ambient particles (Kroll and Seinfeld, 2008; Bilde et al., 2015). Laboratory-generated organic particles contain only a small fraction of compounds present in atmospheric OA, whereas ambient particles are usually complex mixtures of thousands of organic and several inorganic compounds. One way to interlink laboratory and ambient studies, and to understand the volatility of ambient OA systematically, might be to isolate some classes of OA from ambient particles before investigating their volatility separately. As an important sub-group of organic aerosols in the real ambient aerosols, the physicochemical properties of HULIS have been studied widely, including their mass concentrations (Lin et al., 2010b), chemical composition (Lin et al., 2012; Kristensen et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016), density (Dinar et al., 2006) and hygroscopicity (Wex et al., 2007; Kristensen et al., 2014). However, to the best of our knowledge, the volatility of atmospheric HULIS has never been reported so far.

In the ambient aerosol, organic aerosol (OA, including HULIS) mostly co-exist with inorganic compounds, such as ammonium sulfate. The volatility of OA has been demonstrated to be affected by aerosol-phase reactions when mixed with inorganic compounds (Bilde et al., 2015). The most typical examples of these are interactions between particulate inorganic salts with organic acids to form organic salts, which evidently can enhance the partitioning of organic acids onto the aerosol phase (Zardini et al.,
2010; Laskin et al., 2012; Häkkinen et al., 2014; Yli-Juuti et al., 2013;). Recent studies have reported that the saturation vapor pressure ($p_{\text{sat}}$) of ammonium oxalate is significantly lower than that of pure oxalic acid, with $p_{\text{sat}}$ being around $10^{-6}$ Pa for ammonium oxalate (Ortiz-Montalvo et al., 2014; Paciga et al., 2014). However, this has not shown to be the case for adipic acid vs. ammonium adipate, indicating that not all dicarboxylic acids react with ammonium to form low-volatility organic salts (Paciga et al., 2014). Given that HULIS contain acidic species (Paglione et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2016), their interaction with inorganic salts would plausibly influence their volatility.

In this study, HULIS were extracted from PM$_{2.5}$ filter samples collected at the SORPES station (Station for observing Regional Processes of the Earth System) in western Yangtze River delta (YRD) during the winter of 2014 to 2015. A Volatility-Hygroscopicity Tandem Differential Mobility Analyzer (VHTDMA) was then used to measure the volatility properties of extracted HULIS and their mixtures with ammonium sulfate. A kinetic mass transfer model was deployed to re-build the measured thermograms, and to separate the mixture into three volatility fractions having an extremely low volatility, low volatility and semi-volatility. Our main goals were (1) to characterize the volatility of size-dependent, re-generated HULIS particles and to get insight into the relationship between atmospheric HULIS and ELVOC, and (2) to understand how the interaction between HULIS and inorganic salts affect their volatilities.

2. Methods

2.1 Sample collection and HULIS extraction

The SORPES station is located on the top of a hill in the Xianlin campus of Nanjing University, which is about 20 km east from the downtown Nanjing and can be regarded as a regional background site of Yangtze River delta (YRD) (Ding et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2016). The samples collected here, especially during the polluted days, were believed to be regional representation of YRD. 24-hour PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected on quartz filters using a middle-volume PM$_{2.5}$ sampler during the winter of 2014 to 2015. HULIS were extracted from four aerosol samples for the following volatility measurements.

Water-soluble inorganic ions, organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) were measured online using a Monitor for Aerosols and Gases in Air (MARGA) and a sunset OC/EC analyzer during the sampling periods. WSOC were extracted from portions of the sampled filters using sonication in ultrapure water with the ratio of 1 mL water per 1 cm$^2$ filter. Insoluble materials were removed by filtering the extracts with a 0.45 μm Teflon filter (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). A TOC analyzer with
a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) detector (Shimadzu TOC-VCPH, Japan) was used to determine WSOC concentrations. The aerosol water extracts were then acidified to pH = 2 by HCl and loaded onto a SPE cartridge (Oasis HLB, 30 μm, 60 mg / cartridge, Waters, USA) to isolate the HULIS following the procedure described in Lin et al (2010b). Since the HULIS samples were in diluted water solutions rather than condensed-phase, and were acidified right before (in general, less than 15 min) loading the sample on the SPE cartridge, we believe the acid-catalyzed reactions unlikely would take place to a significant degree as to influence their volatility (e.g. Birdsall et al., 2013). Most of the inorganic ions, low-molecular-weight organic acids and sugars were removed, with HULIS retaining on the SPE cartridge. A total 20 ml of methanol was then used to elute the HULIS. The eluate was evaporated to dryness under a gentle stream of nitrogen gas. A part of the HULIS eluate was re-dissolved in 1.0 mL water to be quantified with an evaporative light scattering detector (ELSD). It should be noted here that HULIS extracted in this work refers to the part of water-soluble organic compounds that are partly hydrophobic in character. In case that the isolation processes may influence the evaporation behavior of HULIS by removing some species (especially the inorganic salts) which were originally mixed together with HULIS, we also re-induce ammonium sulfate, the most important inorganic salt, to the extracted HULIS and investigate the volatility of the mixed samples (section 3.2).

2.2. Volatility measurements by VTDMA measurements

The evaporation behavior of water-soluble HULIS and their mixtures with AS was measured using a Volatility Tandem Mobility Analyzer, which is part of a Volatility-Hygroscopicity Tandem Differential Mobility Analyzer (VH-TDMA) system (Hong et al., 2014). During the measurements, the hygroscopicity mode was deactivated, so that only the volatility mode of this instrument was functioning. Briefly, aerosol particles were generated by atomizing aqueous solutions consisting of HULIS and their mixtures with AS by using an atomizer (TOPAS, ATM 220). Then, a monodisperse aerosol with particle sizes of 30, 60, 100 and 145 nm were selected by a Hauke-type Differential Mobility Analyzer (DMA, Winklmayr et al., 1991). The monodisperse aerosol flow was then heated by a thermodenuder at a certain temperature, after which the number size distribution of the particles remaining was determined by a second DMA and a condensation particle counter (CPC, TSI 3010). The thermodenuder was a 50-cm-long stainless steel tube with an average residence time of around 5 s.

The VTDMA measures the shrinkage of the particle diameter after heating particles of some selected initial size at different temperatures. Conventionally, the volume fraction remaining (VFR), i.e. the
faction of aerosol mass left after heating particles of diameter $D_p$, is used to describe the evaporation quantitatively. $D_p(T_{room})$ is the initial particle diameter at room temperature. $D_p(T)$ is the particle diameter after passing through the thermodenuder at the temperature $T$.

The VFR can be defined as:

$$VFR(D_p) = \frac{D_p^3(T)}{D_p^3(T_{room})} \tag{1}$$

In this work, we analyzed a total of 8 samples with 6 of them collected during winter and the other two during summer, and covering a wide range of PM concentration from less than 40 µg/m$^3$ to higher than 150 µg/m$^3$. All these 8 samples showed similar evaporation behavior with some small differences in details (Figure S1). Therefore, in terms of volatility, we believe that there were no large differences among individual samples of the water-soluble HULIS fraction. Hence, we selected only 4 of the samples to represent the range of HULIS samples collected at the SORPES station.

2.3. Kinetic mass transfer model

A kinetic mass transfer model (Riipinen et al., 2010) was applied to help interpreting the HULIS evaporation data. The size distribution, chemical composition and physicochemical properties of the re-generated HULIS particles, as well as the residence time of the particles traveling through the thermodenuder, were predefined in the model. As an output, the model provided the particle mass change as a function of the residence time, which can either increase or decrease depending on the particle composition, volatility of compounds and concentrations of surrounding vapors. With the aim to reproduce the observed evaporation pattern of HULIS particles measured by the VTDMA, the model applied an optimization procedure to minimize the difference between the measured and modeled evaporation curves of the HULIS particles.

In the model, particles were assumed to consist of compounds that can be grouped into three volatility bins: semi-volatile, low-volatility and extremely low-volatility components. These three “bins” were quantified by assuming that they had fixed volatilities with $p_{sat}(298 \text{ K}) = [10^{-3} \ 10^{-6} \ 10^{-9}] \text{ Pa}$. Modeling was performed for each experiment / sample separately, with 4 samples and 4 different initial particle sizes ($D_p = 30, 60, 100$ and 145 nm), leading to 16 different model runs, each providing information on how much semi-volatile, low-volatile and extremely low-volatility matter ($X_i$) was present in the investigated particles. The initial particle size refers to the particle diameter prior to heating. The values
for $p_{\text{sat}}$ (298 K) and $\Delta H_{\text{vap}}$ (see Table 1 and text above) were selected by doing a preliminary test model runs. With $\Delta H_{\text{vap}}$ of around [40 40 40] kJ mol$^{-1}$ and $p_{\text{sat}}$ (298 K) of $[10^{-3} \ 10^{-6} \ 10^{-9}]$ Pa the model was best able to reproduce the observed evaporation curves of the HULIS aerosol. Such low vaporization enthalpies (referred often as effective vaporization enthalpies) for aerosol mixtures, for example for SOA from $\alpha$-pinene oxidation, have been reported also in previous studies (Häkkinen et al., 2014; Donahue et al., 2005; Offenberg et al., 2006; Riipinen et al., 2010). The molecular weight and density of HULIS were assumed to be 280 g mol$^{-1}$ (Kiss et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2012) and 1.55 kg m$^{-3}$ (Dinar et al., 2006), respectively. Since the value of mass accommodation coefficient (MAC) may influence the simulated volatility distribution of HULIS, sensitivity of this kinetic evaporation model was tested towards different values of mass accommodation coefficient (i.e. MAC=1, 0.1, 0.01) for both pure HULIS sample and mixed samples (figure not shown). The results suggested that 1 is the proper MAC value to best reproduce the measured evaporation behavior (Table 1).

Volatility information, specifically described as the saturation vapor pressure and vaporization enthalpy here, of ammonium sulfate was determined by interpreting the evaporation behavior of laboratory-generated AS particles using the kinetic evaporation model. By setting the saturation vapor pressures and enthalpy of vaporization of AS as fitting parameters, the optimum solution was obtained by minimizing the difference between the measured and model-interpreted thermograms of AS particles. Hence, $p_{\text{sat}}$ (298 K) of $1.9 \cdot 10^{-8}$ Pa and $\Delta H_{\text{vap}}$ of 97 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for AS were determined and used in the following analysis.

2.4 AMS measurement for oxygen to carbon ratio

The O : C (Oxygen to carbon) ratios of re-generated HULIS particles were measured using a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-Tof-AMS, Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, USA). Detailed descriptions of the instrument and data processing can be found in previous publications (DeCarlo et al., 2006; Canagaratna et al., 2007). The HULIS solution was atomized to generate poly-dispersed aerosol particles and introduced into AMS. The AMS was operated in V mode and the data was acquired at 5-min saving intervals. The AMS data were analyzed using standard Tof-AMS data analysis toolkits (SQUIRREL version 1.57H and PIKA version 1.16H in Igor Pro software (version 6.22A, WaveMetrics Inc.). For mass calculations, the default relative ionization efficiency (RIE) values 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 for nitrate, sulfate, chloride and organic were applied, respectively. The RIE for ammonium was 2.6, determined from the ionization efficiency calibration. In elemental analysis, the
“Improved-Ambient” method was applied to calculate O:C ratios by considering the CHO+ ion correction (Canagaratna et al., 2015).

3. Results and discussions

Figure 1 shows the chemical compositions of the four PM$_{2.5}$ samples, and the oxygen to carbon ratio (O:C) of the extracted HULIS in related samples. The four samples can be classified into two groups based on their PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (the sum of all measured chemical compositions), with one group (samples 1 and 2) having the PM$_{2.5}$ higher than 110 µg/m$^3$ and the other one (samples 3 and 4) having the PM$_{2.5}$ lower than 40 µg m$^{-3}$. The concentrations of inorganic compounds (sulfate, nitrate and ammonium) were significantly higher in samples 1 and 2 than in samples 3 and 4. The HULIS concentrations were also higher in samples 1 and 2 (about 9 µg/m$^3$, ratio of HULIS-carbon to OC were about 0.3) than in samples 3 and 4 (about 6 µg/m$^3$, ratio of HULIS-carbon to OC were about 0.4). The oxidation states of the HULIS, however, did not show any notable differences, showing very high values for all the four samples (O:C > 0.95), indicating that the HULIS in YRD could be mostly secondarily formed even during the relatively clean days. Such high oxidation states suggest that the extracted HULIS were very likely highly-oxidized, multifunctional compounds (HOMs) originating from multi-phase oxidation (Graber and Rudich, 2006). One possible source of these HOMs is the oxidation of aromatics, which initiated by hydroxyl radical (OH) and followed by auto-oxidation (Molteni et al., 2016).

3.1 Volatility of atmospheric water-soluble HULIS

The volume fraction remaining (VFR) of the HULIS particles as a function of the heating temperature obtained from VTDMA is illustrated in Fig. 2. An overall low volatility was identified for the HULIS particles, with the VFR higher than 55% for the particles of all 4 sizes at the heating temperature of 280 °C and residence time of 5 s. Small differences in the volatility could be observed between the samples of high mass concentrations and low mass concentrations in that the evaporation of HULIS in samples 1 and 2 was in general weaker than that in samples 3 and 4. In addition, all the samples started to evaporate from the very beginning of the heating program (around 20 °C to 25 °C) and the evaporation curves varied smoothly, suggesting that the HULIS particles were mixtures of compounds having wide range of saturation vapor pressures.

A kinetic mass transfer model was applied to reproduce the observed evaporation of the HULIS, and to estimate the mass fractions of semi-volatile (SVOC, $p_{\text{sat}}$(298K) = 10$^{-3}$ Pa), low-volatility (LVOC, $p_{\text{sat}}$...
and extremely low-volatility organic components (ELVOC, $p_{\text{sat}}(298\text{K}) = 10^{-9}$ Pa). As shown in Fig. 3, the model performed reasonably well in simulating the “pure” HULIS particles (example for sample 1). Noting that the HULIS mixtures were represented with only three model compounds of different volatilities, the modeled evaporation curves of the HULIS in all samples showed a relatively good agreement with the measured evaporation curves for all the four particle sizes. The shape of the modeled thermograms is not as smooth as that of the measured ones suggesting lower number of volatilities in simulations compared with in the real samples. The model-simulated distributions of SVOC, LVOC and ELVOC of each water-soluble HULIS sample gave indication on the volatility of HULIS. As shown in Fig. 4, all the water-soluble HULIS consisted of compounds from all the 3 volatility “bins”, further confirming HULIS to be mixtures of compounds with wide range of volatilities. SVOC was estimated to account for only small proportion (less than 20% of the particle mass) of the water-soluble HULIS, while LVOC and ELVOC dominated these samples (78% - 97% of the particle mass), suggesting an overall low volatility of the extracted water-soluble HULIS. Given that the heating program has the potential to raise the evaporation of HULIS by decomposing large molecules, the real volatility of atmospheric HULIS could be even lower than obtained here. Detailed molecular information of extracted HULIS was not available in this study. But a previous study at the Pearl River Delta, another polluted megacity region in China, showed the molecular weight of HULIS was in the range of 100 to 500, with a significant fraction higher than 260. Using the method provided by Li et al. (2016) to estimate the volatility of these compounds, we calculated that a large fraction of these compounds in water-soluble HULIS was LVOC or ELVOC, especially the S-containing compounds with molecular weight higher than 200. Future volatility measurement studies are suggested to investigate the S-containing compounds. In spite of their overall low values, the volatilities of the water-soluble HULIS varied between the different samples. The HULIS extracted from the samples of higher particle mass loadings (samples 1 and 2) had, in general, lower volatilities than those extracted from the samples of lower particle mass concentrations (samples 3 and 4). By taking 30 nm particles as an example, sample 2 had the largest mass fraction of ELVOC, up to 72%, followed by sample 1 (66%) and sample 3 (64%), while sample 4 had the least amount of ELVOC (58%). Correspondingly, the mass fraction of SVOC in 30 nm particles was the highest in sample 4 (9%) and the lowest in sample 2 (6%). Several factors, including the molecular weight, oxidation state and molecular structure of the compounds, as well as their interaction with other compounds, can influence the volatility of HULIS. Although there is not enough information to support the final conclusion, we excluded the oxidation state as a key factor here because its variation did not
match the volatility changes of the HULIS samples. As can be seen from Figs.1 and 4, sample 2 showed the lowest volatility but the third highest oxidation state of the four samples. Instead of the oxidation state, the interaction between water-soluble HULIS and inorganic species is a more likely candidate for influencing the observed variation of the HULIS volatility, especially as the lower-volatility samples (sample 1 and sample 2) had higher concentrations and fractions of inorganic species (Fig. 1).

Within individual HULIS samples, the estimated amount of ELVOC, LVOC and SVOC varied with the particle size (Fig. 4). The mass fraction of ELVOC was in the range of 58–72% for the smallest particles (30 nm in diameter) and decreased to the range of 47–60% for the 60 nm, to the range of 35–53% for the 100 nm particles, and to the range of 20–39% for the 145 nm particles. The amount of LVOC increased correspondingly with an increasing particle size, from 23–33% for the 30 nm particles to 52–65% for the 145 nm particles. The amount of SVOC slightly increased with an increasing particle size, on average from 7.5% (30 nm) to 14.5% (145 nm). The most likely explanation for this behavior is that, due to the Kelvin effect, compounds with higher volatilities are likely to evaporate more from smaller particles. This result indicates that size-resolved chemical compositions of laboratory-generated particles from aqueous solutions of mixtures should be examined more carefully to support their size-dependent physical properties from lab studies.

3.2 Interaction between water-soluble HULIS and ammonium sulfate

Organic material, including HULIS, are always mixed with inorganic species in the real ambient aerosol. Their interactions have been shown to influence the volatility of the organic matter. However, recent work has focused on the interaction between one specific organic compound and some inorganic salt(s). For example, Laskin et al. (2012) observed the formation of sodium organic salt in a submicron organic acid-NaCl aerosol. Ma et al. (2013) reported that the formation of sodium oxalate can occur in particles containing oxalic acid and sodium chloride. Häkkinen et al. (2014) demonstrated that low-volatility material, such as organic salts, were formed within aerosol mixtures of inorganic compounds with organic acids. Zardini et al. (2010) and Yli-Juuti et al. (2013b) suggested that interactions between inorganic salts and organic acids in the particle phase might further enhance the partitioning of organic acids onto the particle phase. Given the complex nature of organic aerosols in the real atmosphere, large uncertainties will be induced when using simplified laboratory results for explaining observations in the real atmosphere. In this study, we investigated the volatility of mixed samples of HULIS and ammonium.
sulfate in different ratios in order to get better understand organic-inorganic interactions under atmospherically relevant conditions.

Three samples were prepared by mixing water-soluble HULIS (extracted from sample 1) and pure ammonium sulfate (AS) with the mass ratios (HULIS to AS) of 0.25:0.75, 0.5:0.5 and 0.75:0.25 (actually 0.29:0.71, 0.55:0.45 and 0.79:0.21). As shown by Fig. 5, pure ammonium sulfate particles started to evaporate at 100°C, and were almost entirely evaporated at 180 °C, whereas HULIS aerosol started to evaporate at the very beginning (about 20 °C) and more than 80% of its volume still remained at 180 °C. The evaporation curves for the three mixed samples (Fig. 6) showed generally slow evaporation rates within the temperature windows from 20 °C to 100 °C and from 180 °C to 280 °C, and much faster evaporation rates between 100 °C and 180 °C. Interactions between water-soluble HULIS and ammonium sulfate obviously influenced the observed volatility. For example, the VFRs of 0.25:0.75 samples (Fig. 6a) at the temperature of 180 °C were around 0.4 (varied from 0.397 to 0.428 for different size particles), which is significantly higher than the calculated VFR (0.29x0.8 + 0.71x0.06 = 0.275) by assuming water-soluble HULIS and ammonium sulfate independently separated. This indicates that mixing of ammonium sulfate to a solution of water-soluble HULIS decreases the volatility of the organic group or, alternatively, forms new compounds of low volatility. For the 0.5:0.5 and 0.75:0.25 samples (Fig. 6b and 6c), the VFRs at 180 °C were around 0.43 (0.395 to 0.460 for different size particles) and 0.64 (0.595 to 0.655), which are comparable to the calculated VFR (0.467 for the 0.5:0.5 samples and 0.645 for the 0.75:0.25 samples). These results indicate that the role of HULIS-AS interactions in the volatility of their mixtures is complex and nonlinear.

In order to quantify the volatility changes of water-soluble HULIS induced by its interaction with ammonium sulfate, the kinetic mass transfer model was again applied to estimate the mass fractions of SVOC, LVOC and ELVOC for the HULIS part in the mixed samples. As shown in Fig. 7, the model’s performance in simulating mixed HULIS-AS samples was fairly good, yet poorer than in simulating the “pure” HULIS sample. The poorest agreement between the simulated and measured evaporation curves was found for the 1:3 mixed samples (mass ratio of HULIS to AS), indicating relatively high uncertainties in the calculated mass fractions of compounds with different volatility bins for this mixture. These visible differences between modeled and measured results indicate that interactions between water-soluble HULIS and AS indeed influence their volatility distribution. As can be seen from Fig. 8, the estimated fraction of ELVOC in the HULIS part of the 0.25:0.75 (Fig. 8b) and 0.75:0.25 (Fig. 8d) samples was
much higher than in the pure HULIS sample (Fig. 8a), while the ELVOC fraction in the 0.5:0.5 sample was comparable to that in the pure HULIS sample. By taking 30 nm and 145 nm particles as an example, the corresponding estimated ELVOC fractions were 0.66 and 0.26 in the pure HULIS sample, 1.0 and 0.93 in the 0.25:0.75 sample, 0.53 and 0.45 in the 0.5:0.5 sample, and 0.83 and 0.71 in the 0.75:0.25 sample, respectively. In spite of the possible overestimation of ELVOCs fraction in 1:3 mixed samples, these results suggest that the interaction between water-soluble HULIS and ammonium sulfate tend to decrease the volatility of HULIS, and that this effect is nonlinear. It should be emphasized here in case HULIS are always mixed with ammonium sulfate, which accounted for 30% of the mass of PM$_{2.5}$ (Xie et al., 2015), in ambient aerosols of YRD region, it is possible that these mixed samples are more representative of the real volatility of HULIS in ambient aerosols.

4.  Conclusion and implication

In this study, we analyzed the volatility of atmospheric water-soluble HULIS extracted from four PM$_{2.5}$ samples collected at the SORPES station in the western YRD of eastern China, and investigated how the interactions between water-soluble HULIS and ammonium sulfate affected the volatility of HULIS aerosol fraction. Overall, low volatilities and high oxidation states were identified for all the four samples, with VFRs at 280°C being higher than 55% and O to C ratio being higher than 0.95 for all the re-generated HULIS particles. A kinetic mass transfer model was deployed to divide the HULIS mixture into SVOC, LVOC and ELVOC groups. We found that water-soluble HULIS were dominated by LVOC and ELVOC (more than 80%) compounds. Given the possible thermo-decomposition of large molecules during the heating program, an even lower volatility than found here is possible for atmospheric HULIS in eastern China. The Kelvin effect was supposedly taking place in atomizing the solutions of the HULIS mixtures, which resulted in a size dependent distribution of the relative fractions of SVOC, LVOC and ELVOC in the generated particles. The interaction between water-soluble HULIS and ammonium sulfate was found to decrease the volatility of the HULIS part in the mixed samples. However, these volatility changes were not linearly correlated with the mass fractions of ammonium sulfate, indicating a complex interaction between the HULIS mixture and inorganic salts.

This study demonstrates that HULIS are important low volatility and extremely low volatility compounds in the aerosol phase, and sheds new light on the connection between atmospheric HULIS and ELVOCs. In a view of the important sources of HULIS, multi-phase processes, including multi-phase oxidation, oligomerization, polymerization and interaction with inorganic salts, have the potential to lower the
volatility of organic compounds in the aerosol phase, and to influence their gas-aerosol partitioning. Multiphase processes could be one of the important reasons that most models tend to underestimate the formation of SOA.
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Table 1 Kinetic model input settings for three-component HULIS aerosol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model input parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>HULIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molar mass, $M$</td>
<td>g mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[280 280 280]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density, $\rho$</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>[1550 1550 1550]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface tension, $\sigma$</td>
<td>N m$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[0.05 0.05 0.05]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion coefficient, $D$</td>
<td>$10^{-6}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[5 5 5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter for the calculation of $T$-dependence of $D$, $\mu$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[1.75 1.75 1.75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation vapor pressure, $p_{\text{sat}}$ (298 K)</td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>[$10^{-3}$ $10^{-6}$ $10^{-9}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation vapor concentration, $c_{\text{sat}}$ (298 K)</td>
<td>$\mu$g m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>[$10^2$ $10^{-1}$ $10^{-4}$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy of vaporization, $\Delta H_{\text{vap}}$</td>
<td>kJ mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[40 40 40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass accommodation coefficient, $\alpha_m$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[1 1 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity coefficient, $\gamma$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[1 1 1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle initial diameter, $d_p$</td>
<td>nm</td>
<td>30, 60, 100, 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle total mass, $m_{p,\text{tot}}$</td>
<td>$\mu$g m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermodenuder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the flow tube</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.50 (i.d of 6 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence time</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 Chemical composition of the four PM$_{2.5}$ samples collected at SORPES station and oxygen to carbon ratio of extracted HULIS from related samples
Figure 2 Volume fraction remaining (VFR) as a function of heating temperature for 4 samples at four different sizes of (a) 30 nm, (b) 60 nm, (c) 100 nm, and (d) 145 nm
Figure 3 Measured and modeled volume fraction remaining (VFR) as a function of temperature for HULIS of sample 1 at four different particle sizes of (a) 30 nm, (b) 60 nm, (c) 100 nm and (d) 145 nm.
Figure 4 Mass fractions of compounds of SVOC ($p_{\text{sat}}=10^{-3}$ Pa), LVOC ($p_{\text{sat}}=10^{-6}$ Pa) and ELVOC, ($p_{\text{sat}}=10^{-9}$ Pa) in four aerosol samples with different particle sizes of (a) 30 nm, (b) 60 nm, (c) 100 nm, and (d) 145 nm.
Figure 5 Volume fraction remaining (VFR) as a function of heating temperature for (a) measured and modeled pure ammonium sulfate particles at 100 nm, and (b) HULIS sample 1 at four different sizes of 30 nm, 60 nm, 100 nm, and 145 nm
Figure 6 Volume fraction remaining (VFR) as a function of heating temperature for (a) 1:3 HULIS-AS mixed sample, (b) 2:2 HULIS-AS mixed samples, and (c) 3:1 HULIS-AS mixed samples at four different sizes of 30 nm, 60 nm, 100 nm, and 145 nm
Figure 7 Measured and modeled volume fraction remaining (VFR) as a function of temperature for HULIS-AS mixed samples of 3 different mixing ratios at four different particle sizes of 30 nm, 60 nm, 100 nm and 145 nm.
Figure 8 Model-derived mass fractions of organic compounds with different volatilities in four aerosol samples with different particle sizes of (a) 30 nm, (b) 60 nm, (c) 100 nm, and (d) 145 nm