Contributions of Trans-boundary Transport to Summertime Air Quality in Beijing, China
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Abstract: In the present study, the WRF-CHEM model is used to evaluate the contributions of trans-boundary transport to the air quality in Beijing during a persistent air pollution episode from 5 to 14 July 2015 in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH), China. Generally, the predicted temporal variations and spatial distributions of PM₂.₅ (fine particulate matter), O₃ (ozone), and NO₂ are in good agreement with observations in BTH. The WRF-CHEM model also reproduces reasonably well the temporal variations of aerosol species compared to measurements in Beijing. The factor separation approach is employed to evaluate the contributions of trans-boundary transport of non-Beijing emissions to the PM₂.₅ and O₃ levels in Beijing. On average, in the afternoon during the simulation episode, the local emissions contribute 22.4% to the O₃ level in Beijing, less than 36.6% from non-Beijing emissions. The O₃ concentrations in Beijing are decreased by 5.1% in the afternoon due to interactions between local and non-Beijing emissions. The non-Beijing emissions play a dominant role in the PM₂.₅ level in Beijing, with a contribution of 61.5%, much higher than 13.7% from Beijing local emissions. The emission interactions between local and non-Beijing emissions enhance the PM₂.₅ concentrations in Beijing, with a contribution of 5.9%. Therefore, the air quality in Beijing is generally determined by the trans-boundary transport of non-Beijing emissions during summertime, showing that the cooperation with neighboring provinces to mitigate pollutant emissions is a key for Beijing to improve air quality.
Introduction

Beijing, the capital of China, has become an environmentally stressed city due to growing population, increasing transportation activity, and city expansion (Parrish and Zhu, 2009). Beijing is situated in northeastern China, surrounded from the southwest to the northeast by the Taihang Mountains and the Yanshan Mountains and open to the North China Plain (NCP) in the south and east. Unfortunately, NCP has become one of the most polluted areas in China due to rapid industrialization and urbanization (Zhang et al., 2013). When south or east winds are prevalent in NCP, air pollutants originated from NCP are transported to Beijing and surrounding areas and subject to be accumulated due to the mountain blocking, causing heavy air pollution in Beijing (Long et al., 2016).

PM$_{2.5}$ (fine particulate matter) and O$_3$ (ozone) are considered to be the most serious air pollutants of concern in Beijing during summertime (e.g., Xie et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). The mean summertime PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration is about 80 µg m$^{-3}$ in 2013 (Li et al., 2015a), exceeding the second grade of National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) in China and also higher than the average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration of 78.1 µg m$^{-3}$ during the period from 2004 to 2012 (Liu et al., 2015). During haze pollution events in summer 2014, the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration generally reaches 100 µg m$^{-3}$, and even exceeds 150 µg m$^{-3}$ in Beijing (Wang et al., 2016). An increasing O$_3$ trend has been observed in Beijing from 2002 to 2010 (Wang et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013). The average maximum 1-h O$_3$ concentration has been reported to achieve 140 µg m$^{-3}$ during summertime of 2013 in Beijing (Wang et al., 2014a). Wang et al. (2016) have demonstrated that the summertime O$_3$ mass concentration reached high levels in 2014 in Beijing, with a daily
average of up to 110 µg m\(^{-3}\). Chen et al. (2015) have further shown that the average maximum daily O\(_3\) concentrations were higher than 150 µg m\(^{-3}\) during the summer in 2015 at most of monitoring sites in Beijing.

In recent years, Beijing has implemented aggressive emission control strategies to ameliorate the air quality (Parrish and Zhu, 2009). Both NO\(_x\) (NO+NO\(_2\)) and total VOCs (volatile organic compounds) in Beijing have decreased linearly since 2002, while the daytime average O\(_3\) concentration still increased rapidly (Tang et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014). Zhang et al. (2014) have highlighted the importance of the trans-boundary transport and the cooperation with neighboring provinces to control the O\(_3\) level in Beijing. Pollutants transported from outside of Beijing and formed locally together determine the air quality in Beijing (Meng et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2012).

Several studies have been performed to investigate the role of trans-boundary transport in the air quality of Beijing based on observational analyses and model simulations. Using the US EPA’s Model-3/CMAQ model simulation in the Beijing area, Streets et al. (2007) have pointed out that Hebei Province can contribute 50-70% of Beijing’s PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration and 20-30% of O\(_3\) concentration. Wang et al. (2009) have indicated that O\(_3\) formation in Beijing is not only affected by local emissions, but also influenced by Tianjin and the south of Hebei Province. The intense regional transport of pollutants from south to north in NCP has been proposed to be the main reason for the heavy haze pollution in January 2013 in Beijing (Sun et al., 2014; Tao et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014b). Jiang et al. (2015) have demonstrated that the transport from the environs of Beijing contributed about 55% of the peak PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration in the city during a heavy haze event in December 2013.
Since September 2013, the ‘Atmospheric Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan’ (hereafter referred to as APPCAP) has been implemented, which was released by the Chinese State Council to reduce PM$_{2.5}$ by up to 25% by 2017 relative to 2012 levels. After implementation of the APPCAP, high PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations still can be observed and the O$_3$ pollution has deteriorated during summertime since 2013 in Beijing (Chen et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). Hence, studies are imperative to explore the O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ formation from various sources and evaluate the pollutants contributions from local production and trans-boundary transport in Beijing, to support the design of mitigation strategies.

The purpose of the present study is to evaluate the contributions of trans-boundary transport of emissions outside of Beijing to the air quality in Beijing and interaction of emissions in and outside of Beijing after APPCAP using the WRF-CHEM model. The model configuration and methodology are described in Section 2. Model results and sensitivity studies are presented in Section 3, and conclusions and discussions are given in Section 4.

2 Model and Methodology

2.1 WRF-CHEM Model

The WRF-CHEM model used in the study is developed by Li et al. (2010, 2011a, b, 2012) at the Molina Center for Energy and the Environment, with a new flexible gas phase chemical module and the CMAQ aerosol module developed by US EPA. The aerosol component of the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model is designed to be an efficient and economical depiction of aerosol dynamics in the atmosphere (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003). The particle size distribution in the study is represented as the superposition...
of three lognormal subdistributions, called modes, which includes the processes of coagulation, particle growth by the addition of mass, and new particle formation. Following the work of Kulmala et al. (1998), the new particle production rate presented here is calculated as a parameterized function of temperature, relative humidity, and the vapor-phase \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) concentration due to binary nucleation of \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) vapor, and the new particles are assumed to be 2.0 nm diameter. A number of recent studies have shown that organic compounds can play an important role in nucleation process (Zhang et al., 2009, 2012, 2015). The contribution from organic acids likely explains the high levels of aerosol, especially in polluted urban area, where large amount of organic acids can be emitted directly and produced by photochemical oxidation of hydrocarbons (Fan et al., 2006), which needs to be considered in the further study. The wet deposition follows the method used in the CMAQ and the surface deposition of chemical species is parameterized following Wesely (1989).

The photolysis rates are calculated using the FTUV (Li et al., 2005; Li et al., 2011a), in which the effects of aerosols and clouds on photolysis are considered.

The inorganic aerosols are predicted in the WRF-CHEM model using ISORROPIA Version 1.7 (Nenes et al., 1998). The efficient and rapid secondary species formation in Beijing has been found during the severe haze formation process in the previous study (Guo et al., 2014). The secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation is calculated using a non-traditional SOA module. The volatility basis-set (VBS) modeling method is used in the module, assuming that primary organic components are semi-volatile and photochemically reactive and are distributed in logarithmically spaced volatility bins. Detailed information about the volatility basis-set approach can be found in Li et al (2011b). Recent studies have
shown that small di-carbonyls (glyoxal and methylglyoxal) are important for the aerosol formation due to their traffic origin (Zhao et al., 2006; Gomez et al., 2015). Li et al. (2011a) have indicated that glyoxal and methylglyoxal can contribute about 10% of the SOA in the urban area of Mexico City. The SOA formation from glyoxal and methylglyoxal in this study is parameterized as a first-order irreversible uptake by aerosol particles and cloud droplets, with a reactive uptake coefficient of $3.7 \times 10^{-3}$ for glyoxal and methylglyoxal (Zhao et al., 2006; Volkamer et al., 2007; Gomez et al., 2015).

2.2 Pollution Episode Simulation

A persistent air pollution episode from 5 to 14 July 2015 in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) is simulated using the WRF-CHEM model. During the episode, the observed mean daily PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is 73.8 µg m$^{-3}$ and the average O$_3$ concentration in the afternoon reaches 237.0 µg m$^{-3}$ in Beijing. The maximum of O$_3$ concentration is higher than 350 µg m$^{-3}$, and the maximum of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration can reach a high level exceeding 150 µg m$^{-3}$. SI-Figures 1a-c show the daily averages of the temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed in Beijing during the summer of 2015. The minimum air temperature is 18.7°C, and the maximum air temperature is 40 °C during the summer, with average of 25.7°C. The average relative humidity is 63.8%. The southeast or southwest wind is prevailing over NCP due to the influence of East Asian summer monsoon (Zhang et al., 2010), with the average wind speed of 5.6 m s$^{-1}$ in the summer of 2015. During the study period, the average temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed are 28.4°C, 51.7% and 6.3 m s$^{-1}$, respectively, indicating typical summertime meteorological conditions. During the summer of 2015, the average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is 56.1 µg m$^{-3}$ and the average O$_3$ concentration in the afternoon is 216.4
µg m\(^{-3}\) (SI-Figures 1d-e). The high O\(_3\) and PM\(_{2.5}\) event occurs frequently during the summertime of 2015, so the study period can well represent the summertime O\(_3\) and PM\(_{2.5}\) pollution in Beijing, and provide a suitable case for observation analyses and model simulations to investigate the effect of trans-boundary transport on the summertime air quality of Beijing.

The WRF-CHEM model adopts one grid with horizontal resolution of 6 km and 35 sigma levels in the vertical direction, and the grid cells used for the domain are 200 × 200 (Figure 1). The physical parameterizations include the microphysics scheme of Hong et al (Hong and Lim, 2006), the Mellor, Yamada, and Janjic (MYJ) turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) planetary boundary layer scheme (Janjić, 2002), the Unified Noah land-surface model (Chen and Dudhia, 2001), the rapid radiative transfer model (RRTM) long wave radiation scheme (Mlawer et al., 1997) and the Goddard shortwave parameterization (Suarez and Chou, 1994; Chou and Suarez, 1999, 2001). The NCEP 1° × 1° reanalysis data are used to obtain the meteorological initial and boundary conditions, and the meteorological simulations are not nudged in the study. The chemical initial and boundary conditions are interpolated from the 6h output of MOZART (Horowitz et al., 2003). The spin-up time of the WRF-CHEM model is 28 hours. The SAPRC-99 (Statewide Air Pollution Research Center, version 1999) chemical mechanism is used in the present study.

The anthropogenic emissions are developed by Zhang et al. (2009), which is based on the 2013 emission inventory, including contributions from agriculture, industry, power generation, residential, and transportation sources. The SO\(_2\), NO\(_x\), and CO emissions have been adjusted according to their observed trends from 2013 to 2015 in the present study, but
the VOCs emissions are not changed considering that the VOCs emissions are still not fully considered in the current air pollutant control strategy. The major pollutants emissions used in the model simulation for Beijing, Tianjin, and the neighboring provinces (Hebei, Shanxi, and Shandong) are summarized in Table 1. Obviously, high anthropogenic emissions are distributed outside of Beijing, especially in Hebei and Shandong provinces. Figure 2 presents distributions of the emission rates of VOCs, NOₓ, OC, and SO₂ in the simulation domain, showing that the anthropogenic emissions are generally concentrated in urban areas. As shown in Figure 2, the total emissions from neighboring regions are much more than those in Beijing, and the emission rates in Tianjin, the south of Hebei and Shandong are also higher than those in Beijing, particularly with regard to SO₂ emissions. Therefore, when the south or east wind is prevailing in NCP, the severe air pollution can be formed in Beijing when precursor emissions in highly industrialized areas chemically react as they are carried toward Beijing, blocked by mountains and further accumulated and interacted with those in Beijing. It is worth noting that uncertainties of the emission inventory used in the study are still rather large taking consideration of the rapid changes in anthropogenic emissions that are not fully reflected in the current emission inventories, particularly since implementation of the APPCAP, and the complexity of pollutants precursors. For example, different VOCs types exhibit distinct kinetic behaviors, and as an important fraction of total VOCs in the urban atmosphere, aromatics are responsible for the photochemical ozone production and secondary organic aerosol formation (Suh et al., 2003; Fan et al., 2004). In the SAPRC99, aromatics are lumped into ARO1 and ARO2. ARO1 mainly includes toluene, benzene, ethylbenzene, and other aromatics with reaction rate with OH (kOH) less than 2×10⁴ ppm⁻¹ min⁻¹. ARO2
includes xylene, trimethylbenzene, and other aromatics with kOH greater than $2 \times 10^4 \text{ ppm}^{-1}$. Additionally, biogenic VOCs also play a considerable role in the ozone production (Li et al., 2007), and monoterpenes and isoprene are the main biogenic VOCs in the SAPRC99 chemical mechanism. The biogenic emissions are calculated online using the MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosol from Nature) model developed by Guenther et al (2006).

2.3 Factor Separation Approach

The formation of the secondary atmospheric pollutant, such as O$_3$, secondary organic aerosol, and nitrate, is a complicated nonlinear process in which its precursors from various emission sources and transport react chemically or reach equilibrium thermodynamically. Nevertheless, it is not straightforward to evaluate the contributions from different factors in a nonlinear process. The factor separation approach (FSA) proposed by Stein and Alpert (1993) can be used to isolate the effect of one single factor from a nonlinear process and has been widely used to evaluate source effects (Gabusi et al., 2008; Weinroth et al., 2008; Carnevale et al., 2010; Li et al., 2014). The total effect of one factor in the presence of others can be decomposed into contributions from the factor and that from the interactions of all those factors.

Suppose that field $f$ depends on a factor $\phi$:

$$ f = f(\phi) $$

The FSA decomposes function $f(\phi)$ into a constant part that does not depend on $\phi$ ($f(0)$) and a $\phi$-depending component ($f'(\phi)$), as follows:

$$ f'(0) = f(0) $$
\[ f'(\varphi) = f(\varphi) - f(0) \]

Considering that there are two factors \( X \) and \( Y \) that influence the formation of secondary pollutants in the atmosphere and also interact with each other. Denoting \( f_{XY}, f_X, f_Y, \) and \( f_0 \) as the simulations including both of two factors, factor \( X \) only, factor \( Y \) only, and none of the two factors, respectively. The contributions of factor \( X \) and \( Y \) can be isolated as follows:

\[ f'_X = f_X - f_0 \]
\[ f'_Y = f_Y - f_0 \]

Note that term \( f'_{X(Y)} \) represents the impacts of factor \( X(Y) \), while \( f_0 \) is the term independent of factors \( X \) and \( Y \).

The simulation including both factors \( X \) and \( Y \) is given by:

\[ f_{XY} = f_0 + f'_X + f'_Y + f'_{XY} \]

The mutual interaction between \( X \) and \( Y \) can be expressed as:

\[ f'_{XY} = f_{XY} - f_0 - f'_X - f'_Y = f_{XY} - (f_X - f_0) - (f_Y - f_0) - f_0 = f_{XY} - f_X - f_Y + f_0 \]

The above equation shows that the study needs four simulations, \( f_{XY}, f_X, f_Y \) and \( f_0 \), to evaluate the contributions of two factors and their synergistic interactions.

### 2.4 Statistical Metrics for Observation-Model Comparisons

In the present study, the mean bias (MB), root mean square error (RMSE) and the index of agreement (IOA) are used as indicators to evaluate the performance of WRF-CEHM model in simulation against measurements. IOA describes the relative difference between the model and observation, ranging from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating perfect agreement.

\[
MB = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)
\]

\[
RMSE = \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[ IOA = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(P_i - O_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(|P_i - \bar{O}| + |O_i - \bar{O}|)^2} \]

where \( P_i \) and \( O_i \) are the predicted and observed pollutant concentrations, respectively. \( N \) is the total number of the predictions used for comparisons, and \( \bar{P} \) and \( \bar{O} \) represents the average of the prediction and observation, respectively.

### 2.5 Pollutant Measurements

The hourly measurements of \( O_3 \), \( NO_2 \), and \( PM_{2.5} \) used in the study are downloaded from the [website](http://www.aqistudy.cn/). The submicron sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and organic aerosols are observed by the Aerodyne Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM), which is deployed at the National Center for Nanoscience and Technology (NCNST), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing (Figure 1). The mass spectra of organic aerosols are analyzed using the Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) technique to separate into four components: hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol (HOA), cooking organic aerosol (COA), coal combustion organic aerosol (CCOA), and oxygenated organic aerosol (OOA). HOA, COA, and CCOA are interpreted as surrogates of primary organic aerosol (POA), and OOA is a surrogate of SOA.

The APPCAP has been implemented since 2013 September, so comparisons of summertime pollutants between 2013 and 2015 can show the mitigation effects on the air quality. Considering that high \( O_3 \) concentrations generally take place in the afternoon during summertime, Table 2 presents the summertime concentrations of pollutants in the afternoon (12:00 – 18:00 Beijing Time (BJT)) averaged at 12 monitoring sites in Beijing in 2013 and 2015. The rainy days during summertime in Beijing are 43 and 46 days in 2013 and 2015, respectively, showing the similar meteorological conditions between the two years. Therefore,
in general, the air pollutants variations between 2013 and 2015 can be mainly attributed to implementation of the APPCAP. Apparently, implementation of the APPCAP has considerably decrease the concentrations of primary species of CO and SO$_2$, particularly with regard to SO$_2$, reduced by more than 40% from 2013 to 2015. Most of NO$_x$ exist in the form of NO$_2$ in the afternoon during summertime due to active photochemical processes. Therefore, 25.1% decrease of NO$_2$ in the afternoon from 2013 to 2015 shows that the NO$_x$ emission mitigation is also effective in Beijing. The PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are decreased by about 24.0% from 2013 to 2015, approaching the expected 25% reduction by 2017 relative to 2012 levels. However, the O$_3$ trend is not anticipated in Beijing, and O$_3$ concentrations are increased from 133.0 µg m$^{-3}$ in 2013 to 163.2 µg m$^{-3}$ in 2015, enhanced by 22.8%. For the discussion convenience, we have defined the O$_3$ exceedance with hourly O$_3$ concentrations exceeding 200 µg m$^{-3}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ exceedance with hourly PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations exceeding 75 µg m$^{-3}$. Although the PM$_{2.5}$ exceedance frequency in the afternoon has been decreased by 25.0% from 2013 to 2015, but still remains 32.7% in 2015. The O$_3$ exceedance frequency in 2015 is 31.8%, enhanced by 57.6% compared to 20.2% in 2013. Hence, during the summertime of 2015, two years after implementation of the APPCAP, Beijing still has experienced high O$_3$ and/or PM$_{2.5}$ pollutions frequently.

### Results and Discussions

#### 3.1 Model Performance

The hourly measurements of O$_3$, NO$_2$, and PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) and ACSM measured aerosol species in Beijing are used to validate the WRF-CHEM model.
simulations.

### 3.1.1 \( \text{O}_3 \), \( \text{NO}_2 \), and \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \) Simulations in Beijing

Figure 3 shows the temporal variations of observed and simulated near-surface \( \text{O}_3 \), \( \text{NO}_2 \), and \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \) concentrations averaged over monitoring sites in Beijing from 5 to 14 July 2015. The WRF-CHEM model performs reasonably well in simulating the \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \) variations compared with observations in Beijing. The \( \text{MB} \) and \( \text{RMSE} \) are -3.6 \( \mu \text{g m}^{-3} \) and 22.5 \( \mu \text{g m}^{-3} \), respectively, and the \( \text{IOA} \) is 0.86. The model well reproduces the temporal variations of \( \text{O}_3 \) concentrations, with an \( \text{IOA} \) of 0.92. The model considerably underestimates the \( \text{O}_3 \) concentration during daytime on July 5, 6 and 13. Most of monitoring sites in Beijing are concentrated in the urban area. Therefore, if the simulated winds cause the \( \text{O}_3 \) plume formed in the urban area to leave early or deviate the \( \text{O}_3 \) plume transported from outside of Beijing from the urban area, the model is subject to underestimate the \( \text{O}_3 \) concentration in Beijing (Bei et al., 2010). The WRF-CHEM model also reasonably yields the \( \text{NO}_2 \) diurnal profiles, but frequently overestimates the \( \text{NO}_2 \) concentrations during nighttime, which is likely caused by the biased boundary layer simulations.

### 3.1.2 Aerosol Species Simulations in Beijing

Figure 4 shows the temporal variations of simulated and observed aerosol species at NCNST site in Beijing from 5 to 14 July 2015. The WRF-CHEM model generally performs reasonably in simulating the aerosol species variations compared with ACSM measurements. As a primary aerosol species, the POA in Beijing is determined by direct emissions from various sources and transport from outside of Beijing, so uncertainties from emissions and meteorological fields remarkably affect the model simulations (Bei et al., 2012, 2013).
Although the $MB$ and $RMSE$ for POA are 0.0 $\mu g$ $m^{-3}$ and 3.1 $\mu g$ $m^{-3}$, respectively, the $IOA$ is less than 0.60, indicating the considerable biases in POA simulations. The WRF-CHEM model has difficulties in well simulating the sulfate aerosol, with an $IOA$ lower than 0.60. The model cannot produce the observed high peaks of sulfate aerosols around noontime on 8, 11, and 12 July 2015. The sulfate aerosol in the atmosphere is produced from multiple sources, including $SO_2$ gas-phase oxidations by hydroxyl radicals (OH) and stabilized criegee intermediates (sCI), aqueous reactions in cloud or fog droplets, and heterogeneous reactions on aerosol surfaces, as well as direct emissions from power plants and industries (Li et al., 2016). The model reasonably well reproduces the observed temporal variations of SOA, nitrate, and ammonium, with $IOAs$ exceeding 0.75. The model simulate well the peak concentration of SOA, nitrate and ammonium at the rush hour, but the model also underestimates the SOA, nitrate and ammonium as well, with $MB$ of -1.1 $\mu g$ $m^{-3}$, -0.7 $\mu g$ $m^{-3}$, and -0.5 $\mu g$ $m^{-3}$, respectively. For nitrate and ammonium, the underestimates occur mainly on 8 July 2015 possibly due to wind filed, which will be further analyzed in supplement (SI-Figure 2).

### 3.1.3 $O_3$, NO$_2$, and PM$_{2.5}$ Simulations in BTH

Figure 5 shows the diurnal profiles of observed and simulated near-surface $O_3$, NO$_2$, and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations averaged over monitoring sites in BTH from 5 to 14 July 2015. The WRF-CHEM model exhibits good performance in predicting the temporal variations of $O_3$, NO$_2$, and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations compared with measurements in BTH, with $IOAs$ higher than 0.80. In addition, $O_3$ and NO$_2$ simulations are also improved in BTH compared to those in Beijing, indicating better model performance for regional simulations in a large scale.
Figure 6 presents the distributions of calculated and observed near-surface PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations along with the simulated wind fields at 10:00 Beijing Time (BJT) on the six selected representative days with high O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. The calculated PM$_{2.5}$ spatial patterns generally agree well with the observations at the monitoring sites. The observed PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in BTH are still high even after implementation of the APPCAP, frequently exceeding 75 µg m$^{-3}$ on the selected six days. The PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in Beijing are higher than 115 µg m$^{-3}$ at 10:00 BJT on 8, 11, and 12 July 2015, causing moderate air pollution.

The O$_3$ concentration during summertime reaches its peak during the period from 14:00 to 16:00 BJT in Beijing (Tang et al., 2012). Figure 7 presents the spatial distribution of calculated and measured near-surface O$_3$ concentration at 15:00 Beijing Time (BJT) on the selected six days, along with the simulated wind fields. In general, the simulated O$_3$ spatial patterns are consistent with the measurements, but model biases still exist. High O$_3$ concentrations at 15:00 BJT in Beijing are observed and also simulated by the model, frequently exceeding 250 µg m$^{-3}$. The O$_3$ transport to Beijing from its surrounding areas is also obvious when the winds are easterly or southerly. Figure 8 provides the spatial distribution of simulated and observed near-surface NO$_2$ concentration on the selected six days at 08:00 BJT when the NO$_2$ concentration reaches its peak due to rush hour NO$_x$ emissions and low planetary boundary layer (PBL). The simulated near-surface NO$_2$ concentrations highlight the dominant impact of the anthropogenic emissions, primarily concentrated in cities or their downwind areas, which generally agree well with the measurements. Beijing is surrounded from south to east by cities with high NO$_2$
concentrations, which can influence the O$_3$ formation in Beijing when south or east winds are prevalent.

The good agreements between predicted PM$_{2.5}$, O$_3$, NO$_x$ and aerosol species and the corresponding measurements show that the modeled meteorological fields and emissions used in simulations are generally reasonable.

### 3.2 Contributions of Trans-boundary Transport to the O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ Levels in Beijing

#### 3.2.1 Analysis of Horizontal Transport of O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$

The analysis in Section 3.1.3 has shown the strong correlation between the airflow and the high level of pollutants in Beijing during the study episode. It is essential to confirm whether the continuous air pollutions in Beijing are directly related to the airflow transport from outside of Beijing (An et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2010). In the present study, the horizontal transport flux intensity is defined as the horizontal wind speed on the grid border multiplied by the pollutants concentration of the corresponding grid from which the airflows come (Jiang et al., 2008). Considering that trans-boundary transport mainly occurs within the PBL, the study also focuses on the contribution of trans-boundary transport of pollutants within PBL over Beijing and its surrounding areas. Previous studies have shown that the average mixing layer height is approximately between 600—800 m during summertime, with the maximum during noontime higher than 1000 m (Wang et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2016).

Figure 9 shows the temporal variations of net horizontal transport flux of PM$_{2.5}$, O$_3$ and NO$_2$ through Beijing boundary and the pollutants contributions from non-Beijing emissions to the air quality in Beijing city. The hourly PM$_{2.5}$, O$_3$ and NO$_2$ contributions of non-Beijing emissions generally have the same variation trend as the horizontal transport flux, indicating
that the contribution of surrounding sources plays an important role in high pollutants concentrations in Beijing during the study episode. For example, the O₃ net flux also has the similar peak in the afternoon as the O₃ contribution from the non-Beijing emissions. As discussed in Section 3.1.3, the prevailing south wind dominates in BTH, so the largest flux intensity are from the south, with the average of 103.3 g s⁻¹ and 244.5 g s⁻¹ for PM₂.₅ and O₃, respectively (SI-Table 1), indicating that the pollutants are mainly from the south. It should be noted that the flux of O₃ is mainly focused on the afternoon from 12:00 to 18:00 BJT. The average net horizontal transport fluxes for PM₂.₅ and O₃ during the episode are 68.2 g s⁻¹ and 68.5 g s⁻¹, respectively, showing important contributions of non-Beijing emissions to the air quality in Beijing.

3.2.2 Trans-boundary Transport Contributions to O₃ in Beijing

The FSA is used in the present study to evaluate the contributions and interactions of emissions from Beijing and outside of Beijing to the near-surface concentrations of O₃ and PM₂.₅ in Beijing. Four model simulations are performed, including $f_{BS}$ with both the anthropogenic emissions from Beijing and outside of Beijing, $f_B$ with the emission from Beijing alone, $f_S$ with only emissions outside of Beijing, and $f_0$ without both the emissions from Beijing and outside of Beijing, representing background concentrations. Apparently, the air pollutants levels in Beijing are determined by the contribution from local emissions ($f_B'$, $f_B - f_0$), the trans-boundary transport of non-Beijing emissions ($f_S'$, $f_S - f_0$), emission interactions between local and non-Beijing emissions ($f_{BS}'$, $f_{BS} - f_B - f_S$), $f_S + f_0$), and background ($f_0$).

Figure 10 provides the temporal variations of the average near-surface O₃ and PM₂.₅
concentrations from $f_{BS}$ with all the emissions, $f_B$ with Beijing emissions alone, and $f_S$ with non-Beijing emissions alone in Beijing from 5 to 14 July 2015. Apparently, non-Beijing emissions generally play a more important role in the O$_3$ level of Beijing than local emissions. Even when the Beijing local emissions are excluded, the O$_3$ concentration in Beijing still remains high level, with an average of 153 µg m$^{-3}$ and ranging from 130 to 180 µg m$^{-3}$ in the afternoon. When only considering the Beijing local emission in simulations, the afternoon average O$_3$ concentration in Beijing is approximately 126.6 µg m$^{-3}$, varying from 80 to 160 µg m$^{-3}$. On July 13, the contribution from Beijing local emissions exceeds that from non-Beijing emissions because north winds are prevailing, bringing clean air to Beijing (Figure 7f). Table 3 gives the average O$_3$ contributions from 12:00 to 18:00 BJT in Beijing from local emissions, non-Beijing emissions, emission interactions, and background. The local emissions contribute about 22.4% on average in the afternoon to the O$_3$ level in Beijing, varying from 15.5% to 35.4%. The non-Beijing emissions contribute more than local sources, with an average contribution of 36.6%, ranging from 15.2% to 48.0%. The emission interactions in Beijing decrease the O$_3$ level by 5.1% on average. O$_3$ formation is a nonlinear process, depending on not only the absolute levels of NO$_x$ and VOCs, but also the ratio of VOCs/NO$_x$ (Sillman et al., 1990; Lei et al., 2007, 2008). When the O$_3$ precursors emitted from outside of Beijing are transported to Beijing and mixed with local emissions, the concentrations of O$_3$ precursors are increased and the ratio of VOCs/NO$_x$ is also altered, causing the formed O$_3$ concentration unequal to the simple linear summation of O$_3$ contributions from the local and non-Beijing emissions. The background O$_3$ in Beijing plays an important role in the O$_3$ level in the afternoon, accounting for 46.1% of the O$_3$
concentration. The background O$_3$ contribution varies from 32.6% to 62.9% during the episode, which is primarily determined by the prevailing wind direction. When the northerly wind is prevalent, the clean airflow from the north affects Beijing, enhancing the background O$_3$ contribution, such as on 5, 13, and 14 July 2015. However, when the polluted airflow from the south impacts Beijing, the background O$_3$ contribution is decreased. The O$_3$ contributions in Beijing induced by the trans-boundary transport of emissions outside of Beijing is about 31.5% of the O$_3$ concentration during the study episodes, which is in agreement with previous studies (Streets et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2008), indicating that the trans-boundary transport constitutes the main reason for the elevated O$_3$ level in Beijing after implementation of the APPCAP.

Previous studies have proposed that the regional transport of O$_3$ precursors can play an important role in inducing the high O$_3$ concentrations level in Beijing (Wang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2014). SI-Table 2 provides the average NO$_2$ contributions in Beijing from local emissions, non-Beijing emissions, emission interactions, and background. Different from O$_3$, the local emissions dominate the level of NO$_2$ in Beijing area, with an average contribution of 70.3% during the study episode. The average contribution of non-Beijing emissions, emission interactions and background are 24.8%, 0.9% and 4.0%, respectively.

### 3.2.3 Trans-boundary Transport Contributions to PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing

When the Beijing local emissions are not considered in simulations, Beijing still experiences high PM$_{2.5}$ pollution, with an average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration of 48.3 µg m$^{-3}$ during the simulation episode, and the PM$_{2.5}$ level in Beijing still exceeds 75 µg m$^{-3}$ on several days. However, when only considering the Beijing local emissions, the average PM$_{2.5}$
Concentration in Beijing is 19.6 µg m\(^{-3}\) during the episode, showing that Beijing’s PM\(_{2.5}\) pollution is dominated by the trans-boundary transport (Figure 10b). Table 4 shows the average PM\(_{2.5}\) contribution in Beijing from local emissions, non-Beijing emissions, emission interactions, and background. During the study episode, the average PM\(_{2.5}\) contribution from local emissions is 13.7%, which is much lower than the contribution of 61.5% from non-Beijing emissions, further showing the dominant role of the trans-boundary transport in the Beijing PM\(_{2.5}\) pollution. The emission interactions enhance the PM\(_{2.5}\) level in Beijing on average, with a contribution of 5.9%. The background PM\(_{2.5}\) contribution to Beijing is 18.9% on average, lower than those for O\(_3\). The PM\(_{2.5}\) contribution caused by the trans-boundary transport is about 67.4% of PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations in Beijing, indicating that the cooperation with neighboring provinces to control the PM\(_{2.5}\) level is a key for Beijing to improve air quality. Previous studies have also demonstrated the dominant role of non-Beijing emissions in the PM\(_{2.5}\) level in Beijing. Based on CMAQ model, Streets et al. (2007) have reported that average contribution of regional transport to PM\(_{2.5}\) at the Olympic Stadium can be 34%, up to 50%—70% under prevailing south winds. Guo et al. (2010) have provided a rough estimation that the regional transport can contribute 69% of the PM\(_{10}\) and 87% of the PM\(_{1.5}\) in Beijing local area using the short and low time resolution data in the summer. Combining the PM\(_{2.5}\) observations and MM5-CMAQ model results, regional transport is estimated to contribute 54.6% of the PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration during the polluted period, with an annual average PM\(_{2.5}\) contribution of 42.4% (Lang et al., 2013). Using the long-term measurements of PM\(_{2.5}\) mass concentrations from 2005 to 2010 at urban Beijing, and trajectory cluster and receptor models, the average contribution of long-distance transport to Beijing’s PM\(_{2.5}\) level can be
approximately 75.2% in the summer (Wang et al., 2015).

3.2.4 Trans-boundary Transport Contributions to Aerosol Species in Beijing

Figure 11 shows the temporal variation of the averaged contributions to the near-surface aerosol constituents from total emissions ($f_{BS}$), local emissions ($f_B$), the trans-boundary transport of non-Beijing emissions ($f_S$), emission interactions ($f_{BS}$), and the background ($f_0$) during the simulation episode. The temporal variations of elemental carbon (EC) and POA from local emissions and trans-boundary transport exhibit obvious diurnal cycles, i.e., highest during nighttime and lowest in the afternoon, corresponding to the variations of PBL height and anthropogenic emissions. The SOA from local emissions reaches its peak in the afternoon when the O$_3$ concentration is high, but the trans-boundary transport causes the gradual accumulation process of SOA in Beijing from July 5 to 9 and from July 9 to 13. The sulfate temporal profile from the trans-boundary transport is similar to that of SOA, also showing the accumulation process. In addition, the sulfate aerosols from local emissions do not vary remarkably. The nitrate aerosols from local emissions and the trans-boundary transport generally attain peaks in the morning when the air temperature is not high and the HNO$_3$ concentrations are not low. The ammonium aerosol variations are generally determined by those of sulfate and nitrate aerosols. For example, the variations of ammonium aerosols from the trans-boundary include not only the morning peaks, but also the accumulation processes from July 5 to 9 and from July 9 to 13. Except the sulfate aerosol, the temporal variations of aerosol species from background are not large.

Table 5 presents the average aerosol constituents contributions from Beijing local emissions, non-Beijing emissions, emission interactions, and the background, and mass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Average Aerosol Constituents Contributions from Beijing Local Emissions, Non-Beijing Emissions, Emission Interactions, and the Background, and Mass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

21
fractions in the total PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing during the episode. Organic aerosols (POA+SOA) constitute the most important component of PM$_{2.5}$, accounting for 34.8% of PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration, which is consistent with the ACSM measurement in Beijing (Sun et al., 2014).

In addition, SOA contributes more than 70% of organic aerosol mass concentrations. Although the SO$_2$ concentrations have been decreased by more than 40% since implementation of the APPCAP, sulfate aerosols still play an important role in the PM$_{2.5}$ level in Beijing and make up 25.1% of the PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations, showing high sulfate contributions from the trans-boundary transport and background. The ammonium, nitrate, EC, and unspecified species account for 13.7%, 14.1%, 5.8%, and 6.5% of the PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations, respectively. Secondary aerosol species dominate the PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration in Beijing, with a contribution of 77.9%.

The local emissions contribute more than 20% of the mass concentrations for the primary aerosol species, but less than 15% for the secondary aerosol species in Beijing (Table 5). The trans-boundary transport of non-Beijing emissions dominates all the aerosol species levels in Beijing, with contributions exceeding 50%, particularly for SOA and nitrate. In addition, the POA and sulfate background contributions are also high, more than 20%. Although the primary aerosol species of EC and unspecified constituents are not involved in the chemical process and also do not participate in the gas-particle partitioning, the emission interactions still enhance EC and unspecified constituents concentrations, with contributions of around 1.5%, which is caused by the PBL-pollution interaction. It is clear that the PBL-pollution interaction plays an important role in the pollutant accumulation in Beijing (Wang et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2016). Mixing of Beijing local emissions with those outside
of Beijing increases the aerosol concentrations in the PBL and decreases the incoming solar radiation down to the surface, cooling the temperature of the low level atmosphere to suppress the development of PBL and hinder the aerosol dispersion in the vertical direction.

The emission interactions increase the POA and SOA concentrations, with a POA contribution of 5.3% and a SOA contribution of 5.9%. In the VBS modeling approach, primary organic components are assumed to be semi-volatile and photochemically reactive. Mixing of Beijing local emissions with non-Beijing emissions enhances the organic condensable gases, and considering that the saturation concentrations of the organic condensable gases do not change, more organic condensable gases partition into the particle phase, increasing the POA and SOA concentrations.

The contributions of emission interactions to inorganic aerosols, including sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium are more complicated, depending on their particle phase and precursors concentrations. In the present study, ISORROPIA (Version 1.7) is used to calculate the thermodynamic equilibrium between the sulfate-nitrate-ammonium-water aerosols and their gas phase precursors H$_2$SO$_4$-HNO$_3$-NH$_3$-water vapor. Although mixing of Beijing local emissions with non-Beijing emissions increases inorganic aerosols precursors, the inorganic aerosol contributions from emission interactions are still uncertain due to the thermodynamic equilibrium between inorganic aerosols and their precursors. The nitrate contributions from emission interactions are 18.1%, much more than those for other aerosol constituent. The sulfate contribution from emission interactions is not significant, only 3.4%. The ammonium contributions from emissions interactions are 1.5%, similar to those of primary aerosol species.
Summary and Conclusions

In the present study, a persistent air pollution episode with high concentrations of O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ is simulated using the WRF-CHEM model during the period from July 5 to 14, 2015 in BTH, to evaluate the contributions of trans-boundary transport to the air quality in Beijing. Although the APPCAP has been implemented since 2013 September, the average O$_3$ concentration in the afternoon has increased by 22.8% from 2013 to 2015 in Beijing, and Beijing still has experienced high O$_3$ and/or PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations frequently during summertime of 2015.

In general, the predicted temporal variations of PM$_{2.5}$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$ concentrations agree well with observations in Beijing and BTH, but the model biases still exist, which is perhaps caused by the uncertainties of simulated meteorological conditions and the emission inventory. The model also successfully reproduces the spatial distributions of PM$_{2.5}$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$ concentrations compared with measurements. The model performs reasonably well in modeling the variations of aerosol constituents compared with ACSM measurement at NCNST site in Beijing, but there are considerable biases in POA and sulfate simulations.

The FSA is used to investigate the contribution of trans-boundary transport of non-Beijing emissions to the air quality in Beijing. If the Beijing local emissions are not included in model simulations, the O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in Beijing still remain high, showing that the trans-boundary transport of emissions outside of Beijing plays a more important role in the air quality in Beijing than the Beijing local emissions. On average, the local emissions contribute 22.4% of O$_3$ in the afternoon and 13.7% of PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations in Beijing during the episode. The O$_3$ contribution in the afternoon and PM$_{2.5}$
contribution from the trans-boundary transport of non-Beijing emissions are 36.6% and 61.5%, respectively, far exceeding those from local emissions. The interactions between local and non-Beijing emissions generally decrease the O$_3$ level in the afternoon and increase the PM$_{2.5}$ level in Beijing during the episode, with contributions of -5.1% and +4.4%, respectively. In addition, the trans-boundary transport dominates all the aerosol species levels in Beijing, with contributions exceeding 50% on average, particularly for SOA and nitrate. The emission interactions in general increase all the aerosol species levels due to the PBL-pollution interaction and the enhancement of precursors of secondary aerosols. Hence, the air quality in Beijing during summertime is generally determined by the trans-boundary transport of emissions outside of Beijing.

However, it is still controversial on whether local or non-local emissions play a dominant role in the air quality in Beijing (Guo et al., 2010, 2014; Li et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015). When only considering the local emissions, the summertime PM$_{2.5}$ level in Beijing is comparable to that in Mexico City. Mexico City has once been one of the most polluted cities in the world, but the air quality has been greatly improved in recent years after taking emission control strategies (Molina et al., 2002, 2007, 2010). Therefore, a comprehensive model comparison of summertime pollution in Mexico City and Beijing would be illuminating for elucidation of the contributions of trans-boundary transport to the air quality in Beijing.

It is worth noting that, although the WRF-CHEM model well captures the spatial distributions and temporal variations of pollutants, the model biases still exist. The discrepancies between the predictions and observations are possibly caused by the
uncertainties in the emission inventory and the meteorological fields simulations (Zhang et al., 2015). BTH has been considered as a polluted air basin (Zhao et al., 2009; Parrish et al., 2015), which frequently experience O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ pollutions during summertime. Future studies need to be conducted to improve the WRF-CHEM model simulations, and further to assess the contributions of trans-boundary transport of emissions outside of Beijing to the air quality in Beijing, considering the rapid changes in anthropogenic emissions since implementation of the APPCAP. This study mainly aims at providing a quantification of the effect of trans-boundary transport on the air quality in Beijing. It demonstrates that the effective approach to improve air quality in Beijing is to reduce both local and non-Beijing emissions in BTH. Further sensitivity simulations of different emission reduction measures are needed to design the most efficient emission control strategies.
Data availability: The real-time $O_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ are accessible for the public on the website http://106.37.208.233:20035/. One can also access the historic profile of observed ambient pollutants through visiting http://www.aqistudy.cn/.
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Table 1 Emissions of major anthropogenic species in July 2013 (Unit: $10^6$ g month$^{-1}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>VOC</th>
<th>NO$_x$</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>SO$_2$</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beijing Municipality</td>
<td>29303</td>
<td>26272</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>8796</td>
<td>119254</td>
<td>5319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Municipality</td>
<td>29255</td>
<td>34534</td>
<td>1424</td>
<td>23204</td>
<td>181940</td>
<td>8831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebei Province</td>
<td>101710</td>
<td>190352</td>
<td>12732</td>
<td>136957</td>
<td>1239510</td>
<td>67877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanxi Province</td>
<td>35933</td>
<td>93069</td>
<td>6381</td>
<td>131758</td>
<td>355823</td>
<td>36473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shandong Province</td>
<td>246538</td>
<td>235485</td>
<td>12181</td>
<td>246538</td>
<td>937528</td>
<td>77681</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Hourly mass concentrations of pollutants averaged in the afternoon at 12 monitoring sites in Beijing during summertime of 2013 and 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>CO (mg m⁻³)</th>
<th>SO₂ (µg m⁻³)</th>
<th>NO₂ (µg m⁻³)</th>
<th>O₃ (µg m⁻³)</th>
<th>PM₂.₅ (µg m⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>163.2</td>
<td>61.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change (%)</td>
<td>-20.0</td>
<td>-42.0</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
<td>+22.8</td>
<td>-24.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Average O₃ contributions (%) from 12:00 to 18:00 BJT in Beijing from local emissions, non-Beijing emissions, the interactions of both emissions, and background from 5 to 14 July 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Emissions</th>
<th>Beijing</th>
<th>Surroundings</th>
<th>Interactions</th>
<th>Background</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>60.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>-5.9</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>46.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>46.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 Average PM$_{2.5}$ contributions (%) in Beijing from local emissions, non-Beijing emissions, the interactions of both emissions, and background from 5 to 14 July 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$f'_B$</th>
<th>$f'_S$</th>
<th>$f'_{BS}$</th>
<th>$f_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5 Aerosol species’ contributions (%) from local emissions, non-Beijing emissions, interactions of both emissions, and background, and mass fraction in the total PM$_{2.5}$ (%) in Beijing averaged during the period from 5 to 14 July 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emissions</th>
<th>Mass Fraction In Total PM$_{2.5}$</th>
<th>Beijing $f_B'$</th>
<th>Surroundings $f_S'$</th>
<th>Interactions $f_{BS}'$</th>
<th>Background $f_0'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POA</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOA</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Captions

Figure 1 WRF-CHEM simulation domain. The blue circles represent centers of cities with ambient monitoring sites and the red circle denotes the NCNST site. The size of the blue circle denotes the number of ambient monitoring sites of cities.

Figure 2 Spatial distribution of anthropogenic (a) NO\textsubscript{x} (b) VOC\textsubscript{x} (c) OC (d) SO\textsubscript{2} emission rates (g month\textsuperscript{-1}) in the simulation domain.

Figure 3 Comparison of measured (black dots) and predicted (blue line) diurnal profiles of near-surface hourly (a) PM\textsubscript{2.5}, (b) O\textsubscript{3}, and (c) NO\textsubscript{2} averaged over all ambient monitoring stations in Beijing from 5 to 14 July 2015.

Figure 4 Comparison of measured (black dots) and simulated (black line) diurnal profiles of submicron aerosol species of (a) POA, (b) SOA, (c) sulfate, (d) nitrate, and (e) ammonium at NCNST site in Beijing from 5 to 14 July 2015.

Figure 5 Comparison of measured (black dots) and predicted (blue line) diurnal profiles of near-surface hourly (a) PM\textsubscript{2.5}, (b) O\textsubscript{3}, and (c) NO\textsubscript{2} averaged over all ambient monitoring stations in BTH from 5 to 14 July 2015.

Figure 6 Pattern comparison of simulated vs. observed near-surface PM\textsubscript{2.5} at 10:00 BJT during the selected periods from 5 to 14 July 2015. Colored circles: PM\textsubscript{2.5} observations; color contour: PM\textsubscript{2.5} simulations; black arrows: simulated surface winds.

Figure 7 Same as Figure 6, but for O\textsubscript{3} at 15:00 BJT.

Figure 8 Same as Figure 6, but for NO\textsubscript{2} at 08:00 BJT.

Figure 9 Temporal variations of total net horizontal transport flux of PM\textsubscript{2.5}, O\textsubscript{3} and NO\textsubscript{2} over Beijing boundary (blue line) and the contribution of non-Beijing emission to the PM\textsubscript{2.5}, O\textsubscript{3} and NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations in Beijing (black line) during the study episode.

Figure 10 Temporal variations of the average near-surface O\textsubscript{3} and PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations from \( f_{BS} \) with all the emissions (black line), \( f_B \) with Beijing emissions alone (blue line), and \( f_S \) with non-Beijing emissions alone (red line) in Beijing from 5 to 14 July 2015.

Figure 11 Temporal variations of the average contributions to the near-surface aerosol species concentrations from total emissions (black line, defined as \( f_{BS} \)), local emissions (blue line, \( f_B' \), defined as \( f_B - f_0 \)), non-Beijing emissions (red line, \( f_S' \), defined as \( f_S - f_0 \)), the emission interactions (green line, \( f_{BS}' \), defined as \( f_{BS} - f_B - f_S + f_0 \)), and background (black dashed line, defined as \( f_0 \)) in
Beijing from 5 to 14 July 2015.
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(a) $MB = -3.6\, \mu g\, m^3$  \hspace{0.5cm} RMSE = 22.5\, \mu g\, m^3  \hspace{0.5cm} IOA = 0.86$

(b) $MB = -10.6\, \mu g\, m^3$  \hspace{0.5cm} RMSE = 41.0\, \mu g\, m^3  \hspace{0.5cm} IOA = 0.92$

(c) $MB = 3.9\, \mu g\, m^3$  \hspace{0.5cm} RMSE = 15.0\, \mu g\, m^3  \hspace{0.5cm} IOA = 0.79$
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(a) Average O₃ concentrations in Beijing

(b) Average PM₂.₅ concentrations in Beijing

Legend:
- Green: Excellent
- Yellow: Good
- Date & Time (BJT)
- Orange: Lightly polluted
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