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Figure 1a. Comparison of atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratios in winter 2008 – 2009 (left) and in summer 2009 (right). The error bars show the calculated observation uncertainty used in the inversions (observations = black, background = green, S1 prior = blue, S1 posterior = red). The full site names and details are provided in Table 1.
**Figure 1b.** Same as Fig. 1a but for a different set of sites.
Figure S1c. Same as Fig. 1a but for a different set of sites.
Figure 2. Calculated uncertainties by site and month (units of ppb) for the transport within the domain (a) and for the background mixing ratios (b).
Figure 3. Distribution of the observation-model CH₄ mixing ratio mismatches a priori (blue) and a posteriori (red). Also shown are the assumed observation uncertainty distributions (grey).
Figure 4. Temporal distribution of atmospheric observations (number of observations per month).
Figure 5. Wetland emissions estimates (units gCH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$) from LPX-Bern (a) and LPJ-DGVM (b).
Figure 6. Correlation of CH$_4$ fluxes with different environmental parameters for 2005 to 2013. Shown are the correlations with soil temperature (a), soil water volume (b), precipitation (c) and snow depth (d). (Note white means no significant correlation).