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The authors present an interesting and relevant analysis in their manuscript.

1) Reference to Grosvenor et al 2012 is incomplete.

2) This comment refers to the ice crystal numbers presented in Fig 7, and the authors’ interpretation. They attribute number peaks at about -5°C to the Hallet-Mossop process (H-M) (after Hallet and Mossop, 1974).

My question is, how sure are the authors that the observations are due to the H-M process and not due to one of the other secondary ice formation processes, such as collision fragmentation (splinters produced by ice-ice collision, e.g. Vardiman 1978, Takahashi 1995), droplet shattering (splinters produced during freezing of large droplets,
Leisner et al. 2014) or sublimation fragmentation (separation of ice particles from a parent ice particle when the connecting ice bridge sublimes, Bacon et al. 1998). Do any of their other measurements and observations (for example droplet diameter) support their assumption of the H-M process in preference to other secondary ice production processes?
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