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Abstract

Aerosols from open-land fires could significantly perturb the global radiation balance and induce the climate change. In this study, Community Atmospheric Model version 5 (CAM5) with prescribed daily fire aerosol emissions is used to investigate the spatial and seasonal characteristics of radiative effects (REs, relative to the case of no fires) of open fire aerosols including black carbon (BC) and particulate organic matter (POM) from 2003 to 2011. The global annual mean RE due to aerosol-radiation interactions (REari) of all fire aerosols is $0.16 \pm 0.01$ W m$^{-2}$ ($1\sigma$ uncertainty), mainly due to the absorption of fire BC ($0.25 \pm 0.01$ W m$^{-2}$), while fire POM induces a small overall effect ($-0.05$ W m$^{-2}$ and $0.04 \pm 0.01$ W m$^{-2}$, respectively based on two methods). Strong positive REari is found in the Arctic and in the oceanic regions west of southern Africa and South America as a result of amplified absorption of fire BC above low-level clouds, in general agreement with satellite observations. The global annual mean RE due to aerosol-cloud interactions (REaci) of all fire aerosols is $-0.70 \pm 0.05$ W m$^{-2}$, resulting mainly from the fire POM effect ($-0.59 \pm 0.03$ W m$^{-2}$). REari ($0.43 \pm 0.03$ W m$^{-2}$) and REaci ($-1.38 \pm 0.23$ W m$^{-2}$) in the Arctic are stronger than those in the tropics ($0.17 \pm 0.02$ and $-0.82 \pm 0.09$ W m$^{-2}$, respectively for REari and REaci), although the fire aerosol burden is higher in the tropics. The large cloud liquid water path over land areas and low solar zenith angle of the Arctic favor the strong fire aerosol REaci (up to $-15$ W m$^{-2}$) during the Arctic summer. Significant surface cooling, precipitation reduction and low-level cloud amount increase are also found in the Arctic summer as a result of the fire aerosol REaci based on the atmosphere-only simulations. The global annual mean RE due to
surface albedo changes (REsac) over land areas \((0.03 \pm 0.10 \text{ W m}^{-2})\) is small and statistically insignificant, and is mainly due to the fire BC-in-snow effect \((0.02 \text{ W m}^{-2})\) with the maximum albedo effect occurring in spring \((0.12 \text{ W m}^{-2})\) when snow starts to melt.

1. Introduction

Open fires or biomass burning of living and dead vegetation are an integral component of the Earth system, and have significant impacts on the carbon cycle \([\text{Ciais et al., 2013}]\) and the climate \([\text{Bowman et al., 2009; Keywood et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014; Sommers et al., 2014; Voulgarakis and Field, 2015}]\). On one hand, open fires can perturb the climate system by emitting greenhouse gases and aerosols \([\text{Kaiser et al., 2012; Wiedinmyer et al., 2011}]\). On the other hand, climate states and variabilities can play a critical role in determining the occurrence frequency and intensity of open fires \([\text{Marlon et al., 2009; van der Werf et al., 2008; Westerling et al., 2006; Bistinas et al., 2014}]\). However, there are still large unknowns regarding the feedback mechanisms between open fire and climate interactions \([\text{Carslaw et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014}]\). A qualification of radiative forcing of fire aerosols as conducted in this study is the first step to reduce these uncertainties.

Particles emitted from open fires can exert significant perturbations to the climate system by scattering and absorbing the solar radiation in the atmosphere (i.e., direct effect) \([\text{Carslaw et al., 2010}]\) and by changing the surface albedo when they are deposited on the snow and ice (i.e., surface albedo effect) \([\text{Flanner et al., 2007; Quinn et al., 2008; Randerson et al., 2006; Qian et al., 2011, 2015}]\). In addition, open fire or
smoke particles can modify the cloud properties, precipitation efficiency, and the hydrological cycle by changing the atmospheric thermal structure (i.e., semi-direct effect) \cite{KochDelGenio2010, Andreae2004b} or acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) (i.e., indirect effects) \cite{Andreae2008, Qian2009, Lu2013}.

The radiative effect (RE) \cite{Boucher2000} and radiative forcing (RF) \cite{Forster2007, Myhre2013a} are typical metrics used to assess and compare anthropogenic and natural drivers of climate change. The aerosol RE represents the instantaneous radiative impact of atmospheric particles on the Earth’s energy balance \cite{Heald2014}. RF is calculated as the change of RE between two different periods, e.g., the pre-industrial and the present-day times \cite{Heald2014, Liu2007}, based on the aerosol and precursor gas emissions in the two periods \cite{Dentener2006, Lamarque2010}.

RF due to aerosol and radiation interactions (RFari) of biomass burning aerosols has been estimated since the IPCC second Assessment Report (AR2). Based on the Aerosol Comparisons between Observations and Models (AeroCom) Phase II simulations \cite{Bond2013, Myhre2013b}, RFari of biomass burning aerosols in the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) is estimated to be 0.0 W m\(^{-2}\) (ranging from -0.20 to 0.20 W m\(^{-2}\)), and RFari of biomass burning black carbon (BC) and primary organic matter (POM) are of the opposite sign (i.e., 0.10 and -0.10 W m\(^{-2}\), respectively).

There are also some studies that estimated the RE due to aerosol and radiation
interactions (REari) of fire aerosols by comparing the simulation with fire emissions against the simulation with no fire emissions. For example, using the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model version 4 (CAM4) with a bulk aerosol module, Tosca et al. [2013] reported that the top-of-atmosphere (TOA) REari from global biomass burning aerosols is 0.18±0.10 W m⁻² averaged for the period of 1997-2009. Ward et al. [2012] estimated the REari from biomass burning aerosols in the pre-industrial (for the year 1850), present-day (for the year 2000), and future time periods (for the year 2100), and found that the biomass burning aerosol REari for the year 2000 is 0.13 W m⁻² and -0.27 W m⁻² in all-sky and clear-sky conditions, respectively.

RE due to aerosol and cloud interactions (REaci) of biomass burning aerosols can be comparable in magnitude to or even stronger than the REari [Liu et al., 2014]. With a global aerosol-climate model, the REaci of biomass burning aerosols was estimated to range from -1.74 to -1.00 W m⁻² for the year 2000 in Ward et al. [2012]. The semi-direct radiative effect of biomass burning aerosols is not independently assessed in IPCC reports. The magnitude was reported to be about 7.0 W m⁻² in the Southern American biomass burning regions by examining the radiative flux difference with and without the biomass burning aerosol effect on clouds [Liu, 2005].

The RF or RE due to surface albedo changes (RFsac or REsac) of BC from open fires and other sources has been estimated in previous studies. For biomass burning emissions with a strong (1998) and weak (2001) boreal fire year, RE of fire BC-in-snow was estimated to be 0.011 and 0.006 W m⁻², respectively [Flanner et al., 2007]. Randerson et al. [2006] reported that BC from a boreal forest fire deposited on
snow and sea ice introduced a global annual mean RE of 8±5 W per m² of burned area in the first year when the fire happened. A summary of BC-in-snow forcing/effect can be found in Bond et al. [2013]. They reported that the present-day RE of fire BC-in-snow ranges from 0.006 to 0.02 W m⁻² based on previous studies [Jacobson, 2004; Rypdal et al., 2009; Skeie et al., 2011; Hansen et al., 2005; Flanner et al., 2007, 2009; Koch et al., 2009].

Biomass burning aerosols can have significant impacts on global and regional precipitation and atmospheric circulation. With the change of fire emissions from year 1860 to 2000, Jones et al. [2007] found that biomass burning aerosols decrease the global near-surface air temperature by about 0.25°C, when considering the feedbacks of sea surface temperature (SST) in the model. As shown in Tosca et al. [2013], the direct and semi-direct effects of biomass burning aerosols reduce the precipitation near the equator and weaken the Hadley circulation. With a regional climate model, Zhang et al. [2009] found that biomass burning aerosols may warm and stabilize the lower troposphere and thus reinforce the dry season rainfall pattern in the Southern Amazonia. The absorption of shortwave radiation by biomass burning BC could increase the vertical stratification and inhibit both the cloud formation and precipitation [Ackerman et al., 2000; Tosca et al., 2014]. In contrast, biomass burning aerosols could invigorate the convective clouds [Andreae et al., 2004a; Koren et al., 2005] through suppressing warm rain processes in the convection, and enhance the latent heat release at higher levels [Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008].

Although there have been many studies quantifying the RE of fire aerosols, a
further investigation is still needed, as the current estimations of fire aerosol RE are still associated with large uncertainties [e.g., Myhre and Samset, 2015; Chakrabarty et al., 2014]. The REs of co-emitted fire POM versus BC are even less clear. In this study, we estimate the present day (from year 2003 to 2011) open fire aerosol REs (including REari, REaci and REsac) using the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model version 5.3 (CAM5) with the four-mode version of the modal aerosol module (MAM4). We use two methods to calculate the REari of fire aerosols (total, BC-only, and POM-only). One method estimates the REari based on different model simulations [Ghan, 2013], and the other one calculates the REari directly through multiple diagnostic radiation calls in a single simulation. The spatial and seasonal characteristics of fire aerosol REs, and the impacts on the global precipitation and temperature are discussed.

Compared to earlier studies of fire aerosol REs [Tosca et al., 2013; Ward et al., 2012], a number of improvements are made in this study. First, a higher model horizontal resolution at 0.9° by 1.25° is used versus 1.9° by 2.5°. The higher resolution allows more efficient transport of aerosols from the sources to remote regions [Ma et al., 2013; 2014]. Model resolution has also been shown to be important for aerosol REaci [Ma et al., 2015]. Second, the latest CAM5 model with MAM4 is used. MAM4 with an additional primary carbon mode explicitly treats the microphysical ageing of primary carbonaceous aerosols (POM/BC) in the atmosphere. MAM4 has higher BC and POM burdens over the earlier three-mode version of MAM (MAM3) in the remote regions by ~30% [Liu et al., 2016]. Third, daily instead
of monthly fire emissions are used, which allows the model to consider the effect of
fast changes in the fire emission flux on local atmospheric conditions. It is expected
that using the monthly mean emission flux the model can not consider the effect of
extremely strong fires, thus it might underestimate the fire aerosol REs for such cases.

Finally, a new methodology [Ghan, 2013] is used to more accurately diagnose the
REs of fire aerosols. Central to this method is that the REari must be calculated in the
presence of clouds (i.e., under the all-sky condition), and the REaci be calculated
under the condition of no aerosol effects on radiation. With the radiative forcing
decomposition of this method, REsac can also be quantified.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the model and
experiments. Section 3 describes the methods to diagnose the fire aerosol REs.
Section 4 presents the model results of fire aerosol REs, and impacts on global and
regional surface temperature and precipitation. Conclusions and discussion are given
in Section 5.

2. Model, Experiment Design and Aerosol Radiative Effect Method

2.1 Model

In our study, we use the Community Earth System Model (CESM) version 1.2,
with the Community Atmosphere Model version 5.3 (CAM5.3) [Neale et al., 2010]
coupled with the Community Land Model version 4 (CLM4) [Oleson et al., 2010].
The SNOW, ICE, and Aerosol Radiative model (SNICAR) [Flanner and Zender, 2005]
is turned on in the simulations to diagnose the biomass burning BC-in-snow effect.
CAM5 includes several major updates in its physics parameterizations compared to
previous CAM versions. A two-moment stratiform cloud microphysics scheme is included in CAM5 to predict both the mass and number mixing ratios of cloud liquid and cloud ice \cite{Morrison2008}. MAM4, which was updated from MAM3 \cite{Liu2012}, includes aerosol mass and number mixing ratios in four lognormal modes: Aitken, accumulation, coarse, and primary carbon mode \cite{Liu2016}. An additional primary carbon mode is included in MAM4 on the top of MAM3 to explicitly treat the microphysical ageing of primary carbonaceous aerosols (POM and BC) in the atmosphere. POM and BC in MAM4 are emitted in the primary carbon mode instead of directly in the accumulation mode as in MAM3. MAM4 significantly increases the BC and POM concentrations in the remote regions (e.g., over oceans and Arctic) due to reduced wet scavenging of POM and BC in the primary carbon mode with a lower hygroscopicity than that in the accumulation mode. The increase is relatively small in the land source regions \cite{Liu2016}.

2.2 Experiment design

CAM5 was run with the finite volume dynamics core in a resolution of 0.9° latitude by 1.25° longitude and 30 vertical levels. The model was run for the time period of year 2003 to 2011 (i.e., for 9 years) with prescribed monthly SST and sea ice. The year 2003 was run twice and the first year simulation was used as a model spin-up. Global Fire Emissions Database version 3.1 (GFED 3.1) daily emissions \cite{Giglio2013} for BC, POM and sulfur dioxide (SO2) from 2003 to 2011 are prescribed, and the vertical distribution of fire emissions is based on the AeroCom
protocol [Dentener et al., 2006]. Anthropogenic aerosol and precursor gas emissions are from the IPCC AR5 dataset [Lamarque et al., 2010]. We performed our control experiment (FIRE) with the GFED fire emissions turned on and a sensitivity experiment (NOFIRE) with the fire emissions turned off. Differences between FIRE and NOFIRE experiments are used to calculate the REs and atmospheric effects of biomass burning aerosols on temperature and precipitation. Two additional experiments (NOFIREBC and NOFIREPOM) were performed with fire BC and POM emissions turned off, respectively. Differences between the control (FIRE) and these two experiments represent the contribution from biomass burning BC and POM, respectively. Other forcings (e.g., SST, greenhouse gases) of all these experiments are kept the same. We performed ten ensemble members for each of these experiments. Furthermore, we performed the other experiment (FIRE_BBFFBF) using the modified CAM5 model that separately predicts the BC and POM from biomass burning (BB), fossil fuel (FF) and biofuel (BF) sources, while other model features are kept the same as the FIRE experiment. A summary of all the experiments in this study can be found in Table 1.

2.3 Methods of calculating fire aerosol radiative effects

The REs of all fire aerosols, fire BC, and fire POM are calculated from the differences of TOA shortwave fluxes ($\Delta F$) between the FIRE experiment and the three other experiments (NOFIRE, NOFIREBC and NOFIREPOM), respectively. All the atmospheric variables (including temperature, precipitation, and circulation) are
allowed to adjust in the experiments. However, with SST and sea ice prescribed in these experiments, only the rapid adjustments are taken into account. Thus the effective radiative effects are actually calculated in this study.

\[ \Delta F_{\text{fire aero}} = F_{\text{fire}} - F_{\text{nofire}} \]  

(1)

\[ \Delta F_{\text{fire bc}} = F_{\text{fire}} - F_{\text{nofirebc}} \]  

(2)

\[ \Delta F_{\text{fire pom}} = F_{\text{fire}} - F_{\text{nofirepom}} \]  

(3)

The total TOA shortwave flux change can be broken into the REari, REaci, and RESac. The aerosol REaci results from both the aerosol effect on clouds via acting as CCN and the aerosol semi-direct effect on clouds via affecting the atmospheric states due to absorbing aerosols. We adopt the method of Ghan [2013] to separate the REari, REaci, and RESac from the total effects of all fire aerosols, fire BC and fire POM, respectively. The method is summarized as follows. \( F_{\text{clean}} \) is the radiative flux at TOA calculated from a diagnostic radiation call in the same control simulations, but neglecting the scattering and absorption of solar radiation by aerosols. \( F_{\text{clean,clear}} \) is the clear-sky radiative flux at TOA calculated from the same diagnostic radiation call, but neglecting scattering and absorption by both clouds and aerosols.

\[ \Delta F = \Delta(F - F_{\text{clean}}) + \Delta(F_{\text{clean}} - F_{\text{clean,clear}}) + \Delta F_{\text{clean,clear}} \]  

(4)

(REari) (REaci) (RESac)

In the method above, REaci includes both aerosol indirect and semi-direct effects. The fire BC has a much weaker indirect effect due to its lower mass burden and lower hygroscopicity compared to fire POM [Koch et al., 2011]. Thus the fire aerosol semi-direct effect can be approximately represented by the REaci of fire BC. The fire
aerosol indirect effect can be estimated as the difference of fire aerosol \( \text{REaci} \) and semi-direct effect. With the sea ice prescribed in these experiments, the radiative effect of fire aerosols on sea ice albedo is not considered in \( \text{REsac} \).

We undertake another method to estimate the fire aerosol \( \text{REari} \) from the experiment (FIRE_BBFFBF). With explicit predictions of fire POM and fire BC in FIRE_BBFFBF, the \( \text{REari} \) of fire BC and fire POM are estimated by two diagnostic radiation calls, each time neglecting the scattering and absorption of solar radiation of fire BC and fire POM, respectively. This more direct method is named as BBFFBF, and the \( \text{REari} \) of fire BC and fire POM will be compared with those from the method of Ghan [2013]. The fire BC-in-snow effect is calculated from SNICAR, and compared with the \( \text{REsac} \) estimated from Ghan [2013].

3. Results

3.1 Simulation of biomass burning aerosols

The biomass burning BC and POM from forest, grass and agriculture fires are significant contributors to the total BC and POM emissions. Figure 1 shows the seasonal variation of GFED fire emissions (including forest, grass and agriculture fires) in the global, tropical (25°S to 25°N), and Arctic (60°N to 90°N) regions. Global fire emission is the largest during the boreal summer as well as in the boreal autumn (September/October), when it is the fire season in the tropical regions of the Southern Hemisphere (SH). The tropical fire emission contributes the most to the annual global fire emission (80% for BC and 85% for OC, respectively), compared to
other regions. Arctic is the other important fire region, where the emission maximum is found during the boreal summer. In the boreal summer, the OC emission in the Arctic regions is about 50% of that in the tropical region. The BC emission in the Arctic is much smaller than that of the tropical regions even in the boreal summer fire season. The dominant fire type in the SH tropics is deforestation, savanna and grassland fires, while that in the Arctic is the forest fires. The OC to BC ratio (OC/BC) of forest fires is almost three times higher than that of deforestation, savanna and grassland fires [van der Werf et al., 2010].

Figure S1 in the supplemental materials shows the latitudinal and longitudinal distributions of vertically integrated concentrations (column burdens) of BC and POM from BB, FF, and BF sources based on the FIRE_BBFFBF experiment. The BC and POM from BB source are mainly distributed in the tropical and sub-tropical regions (southern Africa, South America and Southeast Asia) and in the mid- to high latitudes (North of 45°N) of the Northern Hemisphere (NH) (Northeast Asia, Alaska and Canada). The largest column burdens of biomass burning aerosols are located in southern Africa and adjacent oceanic areas (1.5 and 20 mg m\(^{-2}\) for BC and POM, respectively). The biomass burning aerosols are important aerosol species in the Arctic regions, and contribute up to 53% and 86% to the total burden of BC and POM in the Arctic (from 60° N to 90°N), respectively. In comparison, the maximum column burdens of fossil fuel BC and POM are found in East Asia, South Asia, Western Europe and North America. The maximum column burdens of biofuel BC and POM occur in East Asia, South Asia and Central Africa. The biofuel and fossil
fuel sources are dominant contributors to BC and POM in East Asia and South Asia.

In other regions of the world, biomass burning is the primary source of BC and POM.

Globally, the biomass burning contributes 41% and 70% to the total burdens of BC and POM, respectively. Biomass burning can also emit SO2. However, it only contributes ~3% to the total global sulfate burden (figure not shown), so only radiative effects of biomass burning POM and BC are discussed in this study.

The simulated aerosol optical depth (AOD) and single scattering albedo (SSA) (including aerosols from all sources) are validated with observations from the AErosol RObotic NETwork (AERONET, http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov) at sites significantly affected by biomass burning activity in southern Africa, South America and the Arctic regions, as shown in Figures 2 and 3 (see Figure S2 in the supplemental materials for the site locations). The AERONET AOD and SSA data are averaged for the years from 2003 to 2011 to match the simulation period, although there are missing AERONET data for some periods. We note that Tosca et al. [2013] and Ward et al. [2012] applied scaling factors (from 1 to 3 varying by regions) to fire emissions to improve modeled AOD magnitudes, whereas here we do not apply any such scaling. In southern Africa, modeled monthly AOD agrees with observations within a factor of 2 for the three sites (Figure 2a-2c). The underestimation of AOD is found in the tropical site (Mongu) (Figure 2a) during the boreal autumn (the fire season). The simulated AOD in the two other sites (Skukuza and Ascension Island) is generally consistent with observations in both the magnitude and seasonal trend. The simulated SSA in southern Africa ranges between 0.75 and 0.95 and generally
matches the observed SSA magnitude and seasonal cycle in the two land sites (Mongu and Skukuza) (Figure 3a-3b). However, an overestimation of SSA is found in the oceanic site (Ascension Island) (Figure 3c). The reason for this overestimation of SSA and thus the underestimation of absorption AOD (AAOD) is unclear and could be due to that the model has not treated the absorption enhancement of aged fire BC during its transport.

The simulated AOD in South America is generally consistent with observations within a factor of 2 (Figure 2d-2f). The seasonal variation of simulated AOD generally matches the observations. The underestimation of AOD in Alta Floresta and Cuiaba-Miranda is most obvious in September and October (the fire season), which may be attributed to the underestimation of fire emissions. However, the modeled AOD is higher than observations before the fire season for Alta Floresta and Rio Branco, which could be due to the overestimation of fire emission in this period. The simulated SSA in South America ranges mostly between 0.87–0.95 and matches the observations reasonably well (Figure 3d-3f). The modeled SSA is too low during the fire season and exhibits too strong a seasonality. It implies that the model underestimation of scattering aerosols (e.g., POM) may be more severe than that of BC during the fire season.

In the Arctic, small AOD (less than 0.3) and large SSA (larger than 0.9) are observed for the three sites. The observed large SSA in the fire season (boreal summer) is consistent with the high OC/BC ratio of fire emissions in the Arctic (Figure 1). The model significantly underestimates the observed AOD in the Arctic in
both fire and non-fire seasons. The underestimation of AOD can be due to (1) the underestimation of fire emissions in the NH high latitudes [e.g., Stohl et al., 2013] and/or fossil fuel emissions in Asia [e.g., Cohen and Wang, 2014], (2) the excessive scavenging of aerosols during their transport from the NH mid-latitude industrial regions by liquid-phase clouds [Wang et al., 2013a], and (3) the coarse horizontal resolution (~100 km) of the model [Ma et al., 2014]. Although MAM4 increases the column burdens of POM and BC by up to 40% in many remote regions compared to MAM3, it still underestimates the surface BC concentrations in the Arctic [Liu et al., 2016]. The modeled SSA in the Arctic is lower than observations, which implies that the simulation of AAOD is better than that of AOD and the underestimation of non-absorbing aerosols (e.g., sulfate and POM) in the Arctic may be more severe than that of BC.

3.2 Radiative effect due to aerosol-radiation interactions

The annual mean REari of all fire aerosols (including BC, POM and sulfate) estimated with the method of BBFFBF and with the method of Ghan [2013] is shown in Figure 4a-4b. The fire sulfate is not included in the calculation of REari of all fire aerosols with the method of BBFFBF. Its effect is minor since the global annual mean burden of fire sulfate (0.09 mg m\(^{-2}\)) is much smaller than that of fire POM (1.25 mg m\(^{-2}\)), both of which are light-scattering. The statistical significance of REari estimated with the Ghan [2013] method over the interannual variability and ensemble member diversity is shown in Figure 4 (and also later figures). The REari of all fire aerosols
from the two methods agree with each other very well. Thus, we will report the REari of all fire aerosols with the Ghan [2013] method below. The global annual mean REari of all fire aerosols is positive ($0.16 \pm 0.01 \text{ W m}^{-2}$), which indicates a warming effect from all fire aerosols. The REari is positive on the globe except in some land areas (e.g., southern Africa, South America, Great Lakes, North Canada, and East Siberia). The maximum positive REari is located in ocean areas west of southern Africa (~5.0 W m$^{-2}$) and South America (~1.5 W m$^{-2}$). Positive REari up to 1 W m$^{-2}$ is found in the Arctic (60°N to 90°N). The different signs of REari between land and ocean areas of southern Africa and South America result from the differences in cloud fraction and cloud liquid water path (LWP) between land and ocean regions. In the fire season (August-September-October) of the SH tropical regions, cloud fraction and cloud LWP over the land areas (10% and 20 g m$^{-2}$, respectively) are much smaller than those over the adjacent ocean areas (70% and 70 g m$^{-2}$, respectively). The biomass burning aerosols are transported above the low-level stratocumulus clouds, and when biomass burning BC resides above clouds, its absorption of solar radiation is significantly enhanced due to the reflection of solar radiation by underlying clouds [Abel et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2016].

A comparison of modeled REari in the boreal autumn (September-October-November) over the South Atlantic Ocean with satellite observations is shown in Figure 5. The observed above-cloud aerosol REari is calculated with the method of Zhang et al. [2014] using the Aqua/MODIS and Terra/MODIS products, respectively. The observed above-cloud aerosol REari over
southeastern Atlantic Ocean is 3-12 W m\(^{-2}\), with higher values near the coasts. The simulated REari agrees better with Aqua/MODIS observed REari than with Terra/MODIS in both the magnitude and spatial pattern. REari estimated from Terra/MODIS (morning time) is stronger than the one estimated from Aqua/MODIS (afternoon time) due to the larger amount of underlying clouds in the morning [Min and Zhang, 2014]. Over South America during the fire season (August to September), the clear-sky fire aerosol REari is estimated to be -5.2 W m\(^{-2}\) by Sena and Artaxo [2015], which is larger than our model result (-2.1 W m\(^{-2}\)). This is consistent with the underestimation of modeled AOD in South America compared to the AERONET data (Figure 2).

The seasonal variation of REari of all fire aerosols with the Ghan [2013] method is shown in the supplemental Figure S3. The REari has a maximum (1.13 W m\(^{-2}\)) in the boreal summer (June-July-August, JJA) over the Arctic regions, partially due to the low solar zenith angles there. The maximum positive REari in the tropical regions occurs in the boreal summer and autumn (September, October and November, SON) during the fire season of southern Africa and South America. The REari reaches a positive maximum in Southeast Asia during the fire season in March, April and May (MAM).

The REari of fire BC is shown in Figure 4c-4d. The fire BC REari calculated from the two methods are similar in magnitudes and spatial patterns, and there is much less noise with the BBFFBF method. The global annual mean fire BC REari is about 0.25 ± 0.01 W m\(^{-2}\) and positive over the globe (the regions with negative values
in Figure 4d are in general not statistically significant). Unlike all fire aerosols, fire BC generates a positive forcing in the land regions of southern Africa and South America, and the amplification effect of low-level clouds on fire BC positive forcing can be clearly seen in southern Africa and adjacent Atlantic Ocean.

The global annual mean REari of fire POM from the two methods somewhat differs from each other (Figure 4e-4f). The BBFFBF method gives a small negative value (-0.05 W m\(^{-2}\)), while the Ghan [2013] method shows a small positive value (0.04 ± 0.01 W m\(^{-2}\)). The difference is mainly in the Arctic regions where the positive forcing from Ghan [2013] is larger than that from the BBFFBF method. This is because the removal of fire POM emissions in the NOFIREPOM experiment affects the properties of aerosol particles within which co-emitted fire BC is internally mixed with fire POM, causing a decrease of BC burden in the Arctic (by ~0.05 mg m\(^{-2}\)) compared to the FIRE experiment. Thus, one should be careful in using the Ghan [2013] method to diagnose the radiative forcing of a single component within co-emitted aerosols. The REari of fire POM is negative in most of the globe. However, positive forcing can be found over oceanic regions west of southern Africa and South America, North Pacific Ocean and the Polar regions where large amount of low-level clouds, sea ice or land ice exist. The multiple scatterings between the above-cloud fire POM and low-level clouds or between the fire POM and the Earth’s bright surface with high albedos could reduce the amount of solar radiation reflected by these low-level clouds and bright surface in the case without the fire POM [Zhang et al., 2016]. With the BBFFBF method the sum of REari from fire POM and fire BC (i.e.,
0.20 W m$^{-2}$) is larger than that of all fire aerosols (0.15 W m$^{-2}$). It reflects the nonlinear interactions among different aerosol components [Ghan et al., 2012]. The nonlinearity is stronger with the Ghan [2013] method.

3.3 Radiative effect due to aerosol-cloud interactions

The annual mean REaci due to all fire aerosols, fire BC, and fire POM are shown in Figure 6. The REaci diagnosed with the Ghan [2013] method includes both aerosol indirect and semi-direct effects. The fire aerosol semi-direct effect (to be discussed below) is much smaller (-0.04 ± 0.03 W m$^{-2}$ on the global mean) than the indirect effect, and the REaci is mostly from the fire aerosol indirect effect. The global annual mean REaci of all fire aerosols is -0.70 ± 0.05 W m$^{-2}$ (Figure 6a). In the tropical regions, the strong negative REaci is located in the adjacent ocean areas of southern Africa, South America and Australia, with the maximum REaci of -8.0 W m$^{-2}$ over the South Atlantic Ocean. The strong negative REaci also occurs in the Arctic (60°N to 90°N). The REaci in East Siberia, Alaska and Canada is as large as -6.0 W m$^{-2}$.

The fire BC has a weak indirect effect by acting as CCN, but can reduce the cloud amount through its semi-direct effect. The REaci of fire BC (Figure 6b) can approximate the fire BC semi-direct effect with a small global annual mean value of -0.04 ± 0.03 W m$^{-2}$. However, stronger positive effect can be found in the western Pacific (3.0 W m$^{-2}$) and Arctic regions (1.0 W m$^{-2}$). The global annual mean REaci of fire POM is -0.59 ± 0.03 W m$^{-2}$ (Figure 6c), and dominates the cloud effect of all fire aerosols. The sum of REaci from fire BC and POM (-0.62 ± 0.03 W m$^{-2}$) is smaller
than that of all fire aerosols (-0.70 ± 0.05 W m⁻²) due to the non-linear interactions of
fire BC and fire POM [Jiang et al., 2013] as well as the negative REaci of fire sulfate.

The seasonal variation of all fire aerosol REaci is shown in Figure 7. The
maximum of fire aerosol REaci is in the boreal summer (i.e., the fire season in NH)
located in the NH high latitudes (60°N to 90°N). The largest summer REaci is found
in the land areas and is as large as -15 W m⁻². The fire aerosol REaci in the tropical
regions is most significant in the boreal summer (up to -15 W m⁻²) and autumn (up to
-10 W m⁻²) over the ocean areas. The different spatial distributions of fire aerosol
REaci in the NH high latitudes and in the tropics result from the difference in cloud
distributions between the two regions. During the fire season the cloud LWP over the
land areas in the NH mid- and high latitudes is three times larger than that over the
ocean areas in the tropics. Larger cloud LWP favors the stronger REaci, because the
larger LWP associated with the warm cloud and rain processes favors the aerosol
indirect effect via slowing down the autoconversion of cloud water to rain [Ghan et
al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2015]. Meanwhile, in the NH high latitudes, the lower solar
zenith angle in the boreal summer favors the stronger REaci. Like the fire aerosol
REari, the smallest fire aerosol REaci occurs in the boreal spring.

Seasonal variations of zonal mean fire aerosol REari, REaci, cloud LWP,
low-level (from surface to 750 hPa) cloud amount, and vertically-integrated (burden)
concentrations of fire POM and fire BC are shown in Figure 8. The seasonal variation
of fire BC and fire POM burdens is largest in the SH low latitudes (from 30°S to 0°N)
and NH mid- and high latitudes (50°N to 90°N). Distinct features of these two areas
can also be noticed that the maximum fire BC burden in NH (0.3 mg m$^{-2}$) is much lower than that in SH (0.8 mg m$^{-2}$), while the maximum POM burdens in these two areas are comparable. Interestingly, the REari is larger in the boreal summer in NH than that in the boreal autumn in SH, although the fire BC burden is much lower in the NH summer. It is mainly due to the larger amount of low clouds in the NH high latitudes, which enhances the absorption of fire BC. The maximum REari in the NH summer is found near the North Pole (70 °N to 90 °N), and not around 60 °N where the fire aerosol burden is highest. The REaci of fire aerosols is about 3 times larger in the boreal summer in NH than that in the boreal autumn in SH, although the burden of fire POM in NH is comparable to that in SH. The larger cloud LWP in the NH summer around 40-70°N favors the stronger REaci there.

3.4 Surface albedo effect

Here we compare the modeled BC-in-snow (BCS) concentrations with observation data collected from multiple field campaigns over the Arctic [Doherty et al., 2010] and Northern China [Wang et al., 2013b; Qian et al., 2014]. Figure 9a shows the simulated (from FIRE and NOFIRE experiments) and observed BCS concentrations as a function of latitude. The range of observed BCS concentrations is between 1 and 200 ng g$^{-1}$ in the Arctic and between 50 and 2000 ng g$^{-1}$ in Northern China, respectively. Both FIRE and NOFIRE experiments capture the meridional gradient in BCS concentrations between the mid-latitudes (Northern China) and high latitudes (Arctic). The mean and median concentrations of BCS are both
overestimated in Northern China, implying the high biases from the anthropogenic emissions and/or model physics (Figure 9b). The mean and median BCS concentrations from the FIRE experiment agree slightly better with observations than those from the NOFIRE experiment in the Arctic (Figure 9b). This suggests that fire emissions are important for BCS concentrations in the Arctic.

The annual mean REsac of all fire aerosols estimated with Ghan [2013] and the fire BCS effect diagnosed from SNICAR are shown in Figure 10a. We note that the radiative effect due to BC deposition on sea ice is not considered since sea ice is prescribed in the simulations. The global annual mean REsac \(0.03 \pm 0.10 \, \text{W m}^{-2}\) is much smaller compared to the REari and REaci. The REsac over land is maximum in spring \(0.12 \pm 0.27 \, \text{W m}^{-2}\) and winter \(0.06 \pm 0.16 \, \text{W m}^{-2}\). The REsac over land in summer and autumn is very small (less than \(0.01 \, \text{W m}^{-2}\)). We note that the mean REsac calculated with Ghan [2013] is much smaller than the standard deviation resulted from the internal variability.

The annual mean fire BCS effect calculated from SNICAR is shown in Figure 10b and 10c. The spatial distribution of the fire BCS effect is similar to the fire REsac, implying that the fire REsac has a significant contribution from the fire BCS effect. Averaged when only snow is present, the fire BCS effect is larger \(0.048 \, \text{W m}^{-2}\). The global mean fire BCS effect (with the presence of snow) can be as large as \(0.06 \, \text{W m}^{-2}\) in spring. The maximum fire BCS effect (up to \(1 \, \text{W m}^{-2}\)) is located in Greenland and the very northern reaches of Canada, while that in the other Arctic regions and North China is smaller.
The positive REsac in Siberia, North America and Canada can be a result of BCS effect. However, the REsac in these regions is larger than the BCS effect especially in spring. The snow melting and snow depth change due to the BCS warming may induce a larger positive REsac than the albedo change due to BCS itself. The negative REsac over land can be a result of atmospheric feedbacks caused by fire aerosols [Ghan, 2013].

3.5 Fire aerosol effects on shortwave radiation, global temperature and precipitation

Here, we show the annual mean net shortwave flux change at TOA (i.e., total radiative effect), in the atmosphere and at surface, and changes in surface air temperature, convective and large-scale precipitation due to all fire aerosols in Figure 11 and Table 2. The global mean net shortwave flux change at TOA due to all fire aerosols is \(-0.55 \pm 0.07\) W m\(^{-2}\), which indicates that fire aerosols lead to the reduction of shortwave flux into the Earth’s system. The zonal mean TOA shortwave flux reduction in the Arctic regions \((-1.35 \pm 1.03\) W m\(^{-2}\)) is much larger than that in the tropical regions \((-0.66 \pm 0.09\) W m\(^{-2}\)). The cooling at TOA is mostly from fire aerosol REaci. The maximum negative RE is located in the land areas of the Arctic and ocean areas of the tropics. Although the global mean total radiative effect is negative, positive effect is found in some land areas (e.g., Africa, Greenland).

The shortwave atmospheric absorption change in the tropical regions is larger than that in the Arctic regions. It is because BC burden in the tropics \((0.17\) mg m\(^{-2}\)) is larger than that in the Arctic \((0.09\) mg m\(^{-2}\)). Strong absorption \((\sim 8\) W m\(^{-2}\)) in the
atmosphere is found in the land areas of southern Africa and South America and in the Southeast Atlantic. The surface shortwave flux change in the Arctic is mostly from the TOA shortwave flux reduction due to the fire aerosol REaci, while the surface shortwave flux change in the tropics is mostly due to the fire BC absorption in the atmosphere.

The fire aerosols lead to the reduction of the global mean surface air temperature ($T_s$) by $0.03 \pm 0.03$ K, consistent with the reduction of shortwave fluxes at TOA and at surface. The largest surface cooling is found in the Arctic and tropical regions by up to 0.6 K. The cooling of the Arctic is related to the strong fire aerosol REaci, while the cooling in the tropics is mainly from the surface shortwave flux reduction due to the fire BC absorption. The $T_s$ change in the ocean areas is very small since the SST is prescribed in our simulations.

The global mean total precipitation is reduced by $0.010 \pm 0.002$ mm day$^{-1}$ due to all fire aerosols (Table 2). Unlike the $T_s$ change, the precipitation reduction in the tropics ($0.016 \pm 0.01$ mm day$^{-1}$) is much larger than that in the Arctic ($0.001 \pm 0.02$ mm day$^{-1}$, not statistically significant). The reduction in the tropics is mainly from the large-scale precipitation decrease ($0.015 \pm 0.003$ mm day$^{-1}$). The net decrease in the convective precipitation is very small in the tropics ($0.001 \pm 0.009$ mm day$^{-1}$, not statistically significant), as the convective precipitation is significantly decreased near the equator and increased in the regions away from the equator, partly consistent with the results of Tosca et al. [2013]. The precipitation reduction in southern Africa is consistent with the recent findings of Hodnebrog et al. [2016]. The shortwave flux
reduction at surface leads to a stabilization of the atmospheric boundary layer and a suppression of the convection near the equator. The strong atmospheric absorption by fire BC leads to the reduction of low-level clouds and large-scale precipitation in the tropics. Both effects lead to a significant reduction of total precipitation near the equator. The precipitation decrease in the NH high latitudes is mainly from the reduction of convective precipitation. We note that the temperature and (especially) precipitation changes reported here do not represent the complete impact of fire aerosols, since the SSTs are fixed in our simulations. Fully-coupled atmosphere and ocean models will be used to further investigate the impact of fire aerosols.

Figure 12 shows the changes of $T_s$, total precipitation, cloud LWP, and low-level cloud cover in the summer due to all fire aerosols. The $T_s$ is reduced by more than 1 K in most of land areas around 60°N. The maximum cooling (larger than 1.5 K) is found in East Siberia, Alaska and Canada. A decrease of total precipitation (by about 0.2 mm day$^{-1}$) is found in these regions. Accompanying the surface cooling and precipitation reduction, a significant increase of cloud LWP and low-level cloud cover is found there. This is a result of the indirect effect of fire aerosols in the land areas of the Arctic (60°N to 90°N). The fire POM leads to the reduction of cloud droplet effective radius and the increase of cloud droplet number concentration, consistent with observed fire effects on clouds in Canada and the United States [Peng et al., 2002].

4. Discussion and Conclusions
Although many studies have been conducted on the fire aerosol RE and RF [e.g., Bond et al., 2013; Myhre et al., 2013b; Ward et al., 2012; Tosca et al., 2013], the current estimations are still associated with large uncertainties. In this study, the fire aerosol RE (including REari, REaci and REsac) is calculated based on a new method from Ghan [2013]. In addition, the fire aerosol REari and fire BC-in-snow effect are diagnosed from an experiment of CESM which tracks the open fire BC and POM separately from fossil fuel and biofuel sources and compared with the estimates from the Ghan [2013] method.

The BC and POM burdens from open fires are largest in the tropical regions (southern Africa, South America and Southeast Asia) and in the NH mid- to high latitudes (North of 45°N) (Northeast Asia, Alaska and Canada). Fire aerosols contribute 41% and 70% to the global burden of BC and POM, respectively. When comparing with the AERONET AOD and SSA data, modeled monthly AOD agrees with observations within a factor of 2 for most of the southern African and South American sites. The model underestimation of AOD is found in the South American sites near fire source regions, which is most obvious in the fire season (September and October). The model underestimates the observed AOD in the Arctic regions in both fire and non-fire seasons. The modeled SSA in southern Africa and South America is generally in agreement with observations, while the modeled SSA in the Arctic is lower.

The annual mean REari of all fire aerosols is $0.16 \pm 0.01$ W m$^{-2}$ and positive over most areas except in some land areas (e.g., southern Africa, North Canada, and East
Siberia). The annual maximum REari is found in the oceanic areas west of southern Africa (5 W m\(^{-2}\)) and South America (1.5 W m\(^{-2}\)). The positive REari over the land regions of southern Africa and South America is smaller, although the fire aerosol burdens are higher. The annual zonal mean REari in the Arctic regions can reach 0.43 ± 0.028 W m\(^{-2}\), and is larger than that in the tropical regions (0.17±0.017 W m\(^{-2}\)), although the fire aerosol burden is higher in the tropics. The annual mean REari of fire BC is about 0.25 ± 0.01 W m\(^{-2}\) and positive over the globe. Fire POM induces a weak negative REari globally (-0.05 W m\(^{-2}\)) with the BBFFBF method and a small positive value (0.04 ± 0.01 W m\(^{-2}\)) with the Ghan [2013] method. The positive REari of fire POM is found over oceanic areas west of southern Africa and South America, North Pacific, and polar regions where the low-level cloud coverage is large or the surface albedo is higher.

The global annual mean REaci of all fire aerosols is -0.70±0.05 W m\(^{-2}\) and the maximum effect is located in the ocean areas west of southern Africa and South America and land areas of the NH high latitudes. The maximum fire aerosol REaci occurs in the NH high latitudes in the boreal summer, which results from the large cloud LWP over the land areas and the low solar zenith angle. Associated with the strong indirect effects of fire aerosols in the Arctic summer, significant surface cooling, precipitation reduction, and low-level cloud cover increase are found in these regions.

Modeled BCS concentrations from the FIRE experiment are evaluated against observations in Northern China and in the Arctic, and generally agree with the
observations for the mean and median values in the Arctic regions. The high bias of modeled BCS concentrations in Northern China may not result from the fire BC because differences in BCS concentrations between FIRE and NOFIRE experiments are very small in North China. The global annual mean REsac is $0.03 \pm 0.10 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ (statistically insignificant) with the maximum effect in spring ($0.12 \text{ W m}^{-2}$). The REsac is mainly due to the effect of fire BC deposit on snow ($0.02 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) diagnosed from SNICAR with the maximum effect as large as $0.06 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ (when snow is present) in spring.

The fire aerosols reduce the global mean surface air temperature ($T_s$) by $0.03 \pm 0.03 \text{ K}$ and precipitation by $0.01 \pm 0.002 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$. The maximum cooling ($\sim 1 \text{ K}$) due to fire aerosols occurs around $60^\circ \text{N}$ in summer, and a suppression of precipitation ($\sim 0.1 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$) is also found there. The strong cooling is a result of the strong indirect effects ($-15 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) in the land areas of the Arctic regions ($60^\circ \text{N}$ to $90^\circ \text{N}$). A significant reduction of precipitation in southern Africa is also noticed. We note that these results are based on the simulations with fixed SSTs and may not represent the full climate responses.

In our study, the global radiative effect of fire aerosols is estimated from simulations performed with the 4-mode version Modal aerosol module (MAM4) [Liu et al., 2016], daily fire emissions with prescribed vertical emission profiles, and higher model resolution ($0.9^\circ$ by $1.25^\circ$) compared to earlier modeling studies of fire aerosols [Tosca et al., 2013; Ward et al., 2012]. In their studies, the GFED fire aerosol emissions were increased by a factor of 1-3 depending on regions to match the
observed AOD. In our study, we do not apply the scaling factor to the fire aerosol emissions. Our global annual mean REari of fire aerosols (0.16 ± 0.01 W m$^{-2}$) is, however, close to 0.18 W m$^{-2}$ in Tosca et al. [2013] and 0.13 W m$^{-2}$ in Ward et al. [2012]. The similar fire aerosol REari from our study but with smaller fire emissions than these previous studies can result from (1) the use of MAM4 in our study which more realistically represents the external/internal mixing of BC with other soluble aerosol species; (2) the more accurate estimation of REari of fire aerosols in the presence of low-level clouds with the method of Ghan [2013]; and (3) the inclusion of vertical emissions of fire aerosols, which allows more efficient transport of fire aerosols from sources. The REaci due to fire aerosols in our study (-0.70 ± 0.05 W m$^{-2}$) is smaller than -1.64 W m$^{-2}$ in Ward et al. [2012] due to the lower fire POM emissions used in this study compared to Ward et al. [2012].

We note that there are limitations and uncertainties with our study. The model still underestimates observed AODs (mostly within a factor of 2) at the sites predominantly influenced by biomass burning aerosols during the fire season, which implies that the fire aerosol radiative forcing can be stronger than estimated in this study. The RE estimates of fire POM and fire BC with the Ghan [2013] approach may not be accurate due to the internal mixing of co-emitted fire components (POM and BC). In our simulations, sea ice is prescribed, and thus the fire BC effect on sea ice albedo is not considered. The brown carbon component of POM [Feng et al., 2013] is not treated in the current CESM model, which may result in an underestimation of atmospheric absorption of fire aerosols.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Seasonal variation of GFED monthly fire (a) organic carbon (OC) and (b) black carbon (BC) emissions (Tg C month\(^{-1}\)) averaged for the period of year 2003 to 2011 in the global, tropical (25°S to 25°N) and Arctic (60°N to 90°N) regions.

Figure 2. Comparison of modeled seasonal variations of aerosol optical depth (AOD) for the period of 2003-2011 with observations for the same period from the AERONET sites. The upper, middle, and bottom panels represent the sites in southern Africa, South America, and the Arctic, respectively.

Figure 3. Same as Figure 2, but for the comparison of single scattering albedo (SSA) at 550 nm.

Figure 4. Annual mean radiative effect due to aerosol-radiation interactions (REari) (W m\(^{-2}\)) averaged over the period of 2003-2011 due to (a) all fire aerosols, (c) fire BC, and (e) fire POM estimated with the method of BBFFBF (left panels), and with the method of Ghan (2013) ((b), (d), and (f) in the right panels). The plus signs in Figure 4(b), (d) and (f) denote the regions where the radiative effect estimated with Ghan [2013] is statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

Figure 5. (a) September-October-November (SON) mean fire aerosol radiative effect due to aerosol-radiation interactions (REari) (W m\(^{-2}\)) for the period of 2003-2011 over the Southeast Atlantic Ocean due to all fire aerosols. (b) and (c) are the same as (a), but for the above-cloud aerosol REari for the period of 2007-2011 estimated using Aqua/MODIS and Terra/MODIS products [Zhang et al., 2014], respectively.

Figure 6. Annual mean radiative effect due to aerosol-cloud interactions (REaci) (W m\(^{-2}\)) averaged over the period of 2003-2011 due to (a) all fire aerosols, (b) fire BC, and (c) fire POM. The plus signs denote the regions where the radiative effect is statistically significant at the 0.1 level.

Figure 7. Seasonal variation of radiative effect of all fire aerosols due to aerosol-cloud interactions (REaci) (W m\(^{-2}\)) for the period of 2003-2011 for (a) December-January-February (DJF), (b) March-April-May (MAM), (c) June-July-August (JJA), and (d) September-October-November (SON). The plus signs denote the regions where the radiative effect is statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

Figure 8. Month-latitude cross sections of zonal mean and monthly (a) vertically-integrated concentrations (mg m\(^{-2}\)) of fire BC and (b) fire POM, (c) cloud liquid water path (LWP, in g m\(^{-2}\)), (d) low-level cloud cover (CLDLOW, in %), (e) radiative effect due to aerosol-radiation interactions (REari, in W m\(^{-2}\)), and (f) radiative effect due to aerosol-cloud interactions (REaci, in W m\(^{-2}\)) of all fire aerosols.
Figure 9. Evaluation of CAM5 simulated black carbon (BC) concentration for the period of 2003-2011 (in ng g$^{-1}$) in the top snow layer against observations in the Arctic [Doherty et al., 2010] and Northern China [Wang et al., 2013b]. The top snow layer ranges in thickness from 1 to 3 cm. Configuration of the two CAM5 simulations (FIRE and NOFIRE) is summarized in Table 1. Panel (a) shows the comparisons at different latitudes. The box and whisker plot in panel (b) shows the minimum and maximum value with the bar, the 25th and 75th percentiles with the box, the 50th percentile (i.e., median) by the bar within the box, and the mean value with the dot.

Figure 10. (a) Annual mean radiative effect due to surface albedo changes (REsac, W m$^{-2}$) averaged over the period of 2003-2011 of all fire aerosols over land regions, and annual mean surface effect of fire BC-in-snow calculated from SNICAR averaged (b) over all times and (c) only when snow is present. The plus signs in (a) denote the regions where the radiative effect is statistically significant at the 0.1 level.

Figure 11. Annual mean net shortwave flux changes (W m$^{-2}$) over the period of 2003-2011 (a) at top of the atmosphere, (b) in the atmosphere, (c) at surface, and changes of (d) surface air temperature (TS, K), (e) convective precipitation (mm d$^{-1}$), and (f) large-scale precipitation (mm d$^{-1}$) due to all fire aerosols. The plus signs denote the regions where the change is statistically significant at the 0.1 level.

Figure 12. Changes in (a) surface air temperature (K), (b) total precipitation (mm d$^{-1}$), (c) cloud liquid water path (g m$^{-2}$), and (d) low-level cloud cover (%) due to all fire aerosols in the boreal summer (JJA) averaged for the period of 2003-2011. The plus signs denote the regions where the change is statistically significant at the 0.1 level.
Table 1. Numerical experiments and associated fire aerosol emissions in each experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Ensembles</th>
<th>Fire BC</th>
<th>Fire POM</th>
<th>Fire SO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIRE</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>On</td>
<td>On</td>
<td>On</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOFIRE</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Off</td>
<td>Off</td>
<td>Off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOFIREBC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Off</td>
<td>On</td>
<td>On</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOFIREPOM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>On</td>
<td>Off</td>
<td>On</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE_BBFFBF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>On</td>
<td>On</td>
<td>On</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Global, tropics (25°S to 25°N) and Arctic (60°N to 90°N) annual mean fire aerosol (POM and BC) burdens, fire aerosol AOD, total fire aerosol radiative effect (RE) at TOA, radiative effects due to aerosol-radiation interactions (REari), due to aerosol-cloud interactions (REaci), and due to surface albedo changes (REsac), and changes in cloud liquid water path (LWP), low-level cloud cover, net solar fluxes at surface, shortwave atmospheric absorption, surface air temperature, and precipitation (total, convective, and large-scale) due to all fire aerosols. Standard deviations about the 10-ensemble means are included. The change shown in bold character is statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Global (25°S to 25°N)</th>
<th>Tropics (25°S to 25°N)</th>
<th>Arctic (60°N to 90°N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire POM burden (mg m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire BC burden (mg m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>0.106 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire aerosol optical depth</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total radiative effect (W m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>-0.55 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.66 ± 0.09</td>
<td>-1.35 ± 1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiative effect due to ARI (W m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.017</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiative effect due to ACI (W m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>-0.70 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-0.82 ± 0.09</td>
<td>-1.38 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiative effect due to surface albedo changes (over land, W m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.10</td>
<td>-0.04 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud liquid water path (g m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-level cloud cover (%)</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.06</td>
<td>-0.055 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net solar flux at surface (W m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>-1.38 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-1.91 ± 0.12</td>
<td>-2.27 ± 1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortwave atmospheric absorption (W m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface air temperature (K)</td>
<td>-0.03 ± 0.03</td>
<td>-0.024 ± 0.011</td>
<td>-0.15 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total precipitation rate (mm day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>-0.010 ± 0.002</td>
<td>-0.016 ± 0.01</td>
<td>-0.001 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convective precipitation rate (mm day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>-0.003 ± 0.002</td>
<td>-0.001 ± 0.009</td>
<td>-0.005 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large-scale precipitation rate (mm day(^{-1}))</td>
<td>-0.007 ± 0.002</td>
<td>-0.015 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Seasonal variation of GFED monthly fire (a) organic carbon (OC) and (b) black carbon (BC) emissions (Tg C month$^{-1}$) averaged for the period of year 2003 to 2011 in the global, tropical (25°S to 25°N) and Arctic (60°N to 90°N) regions.
Figure 2. Comparison of modeled seasonal variations of aerosol optical depth (AOD) for the period of 2003-2011 with observations for the same period from the AERONET sites. The upper, middle, and bottom panels represent the sites in southern Africa, South America, and the Arctic, respectively.
Figure 3. Same as Figure 2, but for the comparison of single scattering albedo (SSA) at 550 nm.
Figure 4. Annual mean radiative effect due to aerosol-radiation interactions (REari) (W m\(^{-2}\)) averaged over the period of 2003-2011 due to (a) all fire aerosols, (c) fire BC, and (e) fire POM estimated with the method of BBFFBF (left panels), and with the method of Ghan (2013) ((b), (d), and (f) in the right panels). The plus signs in Figure 4(b), (d) and (f) denote the regions where the radiative effect estimated with Ghan [2013] is statistically significant at the 0.05 level.
Figure 5. (a) September-October-November (SON) mean fire aerosol radiative effect due to aerosol-radiation interactions (REari) (W m\(^{-2}\)) for the period of 2003-2011 over the Southeast Atlantic Ocean due to all fire aerosols. (b) and (c) are the same as (a), but for the above-cloud aerosol REari for the period of 2007-2011 estimated using Aqua/MODIS and Terra/MODIS products [Zhang et al., 2014], respectively.
Figure 6. Annual mean radiative effect due to aerosol-cloud interactions (REaci) (W m$^{-2}$) averaged over the period of 2003-2011 due to (a) all fire aerosols, (b) fire BC, and (c) fire POM. The plus signs denote the regions where the radiative effect is statistically significant at the 0.1 level.
Figure 7. Seasonal variation of radiative effect of all fire aerosols due to aerosol-cloud interactions (REaci) (W m$^{-2}$) for the period of 2003-2011 for (a) December-January-February (DJF), (b) March-April-May (MAM), (c) June-July-August (JJA), and (d) September-October-November (SON). The plus signs denote the regions where the radiative effect is statistically significant at the 0.05 level.
Figure 8. Month-latitude cross sections of zonal mean and monthly (a) vertically-integrated concentrations (mg m$^{-2}$) of fire BC and (b) fire POM, (c) cloud liquid water path (LWP, in g m$^{-2}$), (d) low-level cloud cover (CLDLOW, in %), (e) radiative effect due to aerosol-radiation interactions (REari, in W m$^{-2}$), and (f) radiative effect due to aerosol-cloud interactions (REaci, in W m$^{-2}$) of all fire aerosols.
Figure 9. Evaluation of CAM5 simulated black carbon (BC) concentration for the period of 2003-2011 (in ng g$^{-1}$) in the top snow layer against observations in the Arctic [Doherty et al., 2010] and Northern China [Wang et al., 2013b]. The top snow layer ranges in thickness from 1 to 3 cm. Configuration of the two CAM5 simulations (FIRE and NOFIRE) is summarized in Table 1. Panel (a) shows the comparisons at different latitudes. The box and whisker plot in panel (b) shows the minimum and maximum value with the bar, the 25th and 75th percentiles with the box, the 50th percentile (i.e., median) by the bar within the box, and the mean value with the dot.
Figure 10. (a) Annual mean radiative effect due to surface albedo changes (REsac, W m$^{-2}$) averaged over the period of 2003-2011 of all fire aerosols over land regions, and annual mean surface effect of fire BC-in-snow calculated from SNICAR averaged (b) over all times and (c) only when snow is present. The plus signs in (a) denote the regions where the radiative effect is statistically significant at the 0.1 level.
Figure 11. Annual mean net shortwave flux changes (W m$^{-2}$) over the period of 2003-2011 (a) at top of the atmosphere, (b) in the atmosphere, (c) at surface, and changes of (d) surface air temperature (TS, in K), (e) convective precipitation (mm d$^{-1}$), and (f) large-scale precipitation (mm d$^{-1}$) due to all fire aerosols. The plus signs denote the regions where the change is statistically significant at the 0.1 level.
Figure 12. Changes in (a) surface air temperature (TS, in K), (b) total precipitation (mm d\(^{-1}\)), (c) cloud liquid water path (LWP, in g m\(^{-2}\)), and (d) low-level cloud cover (CLDLOW, in %) due to all fire aerosols in the boreal summer (JJA) averaged for the period of 2003-2011. The plus signs denote the regions where the change is statistically significant at the 0.1 level.