Power Plant Fuel Switching and Air Quality in a Tropical Forested Environment
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Abstract

How a changing energy matrix for electricity production affects air quality is considered for an urban region in a tropical, forested environment. Manaus, the largest city in the central Amazon basin of Brazil, is in the process of changing its energy matrix for electricity production from fuel oil and diesel to natural gas across an approximately ten-year period, with a minor contribution by hydropower. Three scenarios of urban air quality, specifically afternoon ozone concentrations, were simulated using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF-Chem) model. The first scenario used fuel oil and diesel for electricity production, which was the reality in 2008. The second scenario was based on the fuel mix from 2014, the most current year for which data were available. The third scenario considered nearly complete use of natural gas for electricity production, which is the anticipated future, possibly for 2018. For each case, inventories of anthropogenic emissions were based on electricity generation, refining operations, and transportation. Transportation and refinery operations were held constant across the three scenarios to focus on effects of power plant fuel switching in a tropical context. The simulated NO\textsubscript{x} and CO emissions for the urban region decrease by 89% and 55%, respectively, after the complete change in the energy matrix. The results of the simulations indicate that a change to natural gas significantly decreases maximum afternoon ozone concentrations over the population center, reducing ozone by >70% for the most polluted days. The sensitivity of ozone concentrations to the fuel switchover is consistent with a NO\textsubscript{x}-limited regime, as expected for a tropical forest having high emissions of biogenic volatile organic compounds, high water vapor concentrations, and abundant solar radiation. There are key differences in a shifting energy matrix in a tropical, forested environment compared to other world environments. Policies favoring the burning of natural gas in place of fuel oil and diesel have great potential for ozone reduction and improved air quality for growing urban regions located in tropical, forested environments around the world.
1. Introduction

The evolution of modern civilization is closely associated with obtaining and distributing energy at large scale (Price, 1995). Although electricity production for Brazil as a whole is obtained mostly by hydroelectric plants (ANEEL, 2008), in today’s Amazon region, constituting the largest tropical forest in the world (Behling et al., 2001), electricity is largely produced by fossil fuel power plants (ELETROBRAS, 2014a). Sulfur-laden oil and diesel are the historical fuels. The Amazon region is of vital importance for the functioning of both regional ecosystems and climate (Fisch et al., 1998; Nobre et al., 2016). Topics for research in recent years have included the relationship between the biosphere and the atmosphere in the Amazon (Fan et al., 1990; Stark et al., 2015), the impacts of land use change (Dickinson and Kennedy, 1992; Fearnside, 2003; Paula et al., 2014; Wertz-Kanounnikoff et al., 2016), and the consequences of urbanization, population growth, and increased anthropogenic emissions to the composition of the atmosphere (Shukla et al., 1990; Potter et al., 2001; Wright, 2005; Malhi et al., 2008; Martin et al., 2016b).

The population of northern Brazil has grown rapidly in recent decades. In the last 50 years (1960-2010), the urban population of the region increased from about 1 to 11 million, while the urban population of Brazil grew from 32 to 160 million in the same period (IBGE, 2010). This growth in the northern region is linked to public policies to increase development, exemplified by the establishment in 1967 of a free trade zone in Manaus in central Amazonia. In 2014, this concession was extended until 2073 (Queiroz, 2014), suggesting continued rapid population growth for the region in the coming decades. Continued growth related to electricity production can be expected in support of the population and industry.
In this context is Manaus, Amazonas, the financial, corporate, and economic center of northern Brazil. It has a population of two million and is the seventh largest city in Brazil (IBGE, 2015). The population in recent time has increased nearly every year by 50,000 persons due to internal migration motivated by the large industrial district, an area that receives tax exemption from the government. Population growth continually increases the demand for land, energy, and power, leading to the loss of adjacent forest and the degradation of air quality in the region (Cropper and Griffiths, 1994). The installed base for electricity production has increased by around 10% annually in Manaus over the last two decades.

In 2009, a 650-km natural gas pipeline was inaugurated, linking a region of natural gas production in Urucu, Amazonas, to Manaus (Soares et al., 2014). From an operational and cost point of view, an uninterrupted fuel supply and the direct distribution from the source to the end user, such as provided by the natural gas pipeline, significantly reduced both costs and related emissions for the transport of fuels on trucks and ships (Neiva and Gama, 2010). With the supply of natural gas, the power plants of Manaus have been adjusting to the economic conditions of the changed fuel mix, replacing fuel oil and diesel with natural gas across approximately a ten-year period. Although the historical change was not motivated at the policy level by environmental drivers, the change nonetheless represents a unique opportunity to evaluate how fuel switching can affect air quality, especially in regard to little-studied tropical forest environments.

Emission factors of pollutants and pollutant precursors differ greatly between fuel oil and diesel on the one hand and natural gas on the other, and these emissions affect regional air quality and human health (Vitousek et al., 1997; Holgate et al., 1999). Air pollution can lead to arterial vasoconstriction (Brook et al., 2002), cytogenetic damage in lymphocytes (Holland et al., 2015), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (Schikowski et al., 2014), and asthma
immunopathogenesis (Alexis and Carlsten, 2014). The World Health Organization (WHO) provides recommendations on the thresholds of pollutant concentrations, such as ozone, particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide, and sulfuric dioxide, above which human health is adversely affected (WHO, 2006).

Ozone is the criteria air quality pollutant considered herein. The interactions among oxides of nitrogen (NO\textsubscript{x}), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), water vapor, and sunlight combine to produce ozone (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). It is a secondary pollutant whose production depends on the prevailing chemistry and meteorological conditions. Daily surface concentrations are maximum in the afternoon because the production rate depends on sunlight. The ratio of NO\textsubscript{x} to VOC concentrations is of fundamental importance for the production rate of ozone. In tropical, forested Amazonia, biogenic volatile organic compounds are emitted in great quantities from the forest and are naturally abundant while NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are primarily from the soil and atmospheric concentrations remain low (Fehsenfeld et al., 1992; Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999; Karl et al., 2007; Jardine et al., 2015; Jokinen et al., 2015; Yáñez-Serrano et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016). The pristine forest environment produces maximum afternoon surface ozone concentrations of 10 to 20 ppb in the wet season (Kirchhoff, 1988). Human activities can significantly elevate NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations above background concentrations (Delmas et al., 1997; Lamarque et al., 2010; Daskalakis et al., 2016). For this reason, economic activities and policy decisions that affect NO\textsubscript{x} emissions deserve special attention in the context of Amazonia. A quantitative understanding of how an anthropogenically perturbed VOC: NO\textsubscript{x} ratio affects ozone production in this region is, however, not trivial. Compared to temperate urban regions that have been studied in greater detail for ozone production, the tropical region has more intense solar radiation and higher water vapor concentrations (Kuhn et al., 2010). Regional modeling is
an important approach for understanding the linked effects (Potter et al., 2001; Isaksen et al., 2009).

The study herein evaluates how a changing energy matrix in a tropical, forested environment affects urban pollutant concentrations. Ozone is chosen for detailed study because of the concern for human health and the susceptibility of its secondary production to factors at play in a forest environment. Manaus is chosen for study because of its location in the tropical forest, its size, and its shifting energy matrix. A large international experiment, Observations and Modeling of the Green Ocean Amazon (GoAmazon2014/5), was also carried out across two years in 2014 and 2015 in the Manaus region (Martin et al., 2016b), including aircraft flights (Martin et al., 2016a). A companion study by Rafee et al. (2017) compared simulated to measured pollutant concentrations for GoAmazon2014/5. The present study, which investigates how a shift in the energy matrix across a ten-year period affects regional air quality, provides interpretative context for the two-year experiment of GoAmazon2014/5. For Case A of the present study, fuel oil and diesel are used for electricity production, which was the reality in 2008. The Urucu pipeline began initial, albeit small, shipments of natural gas in 2010, with increasing amounts every year thereafter. By 2014, natural gas had increased from 0% to 65% of the energy matrix for electricity production. Case B corresponds to the energy matrix of 2014. Case C considers the nearly complete use of natural gas for electricity production, which is the planned future, possibly for 2018. For each case, inventories of anthropogenic emissions are based on electricity generation, refining operations, and transportation. Transportation and refinery operations are held constant across the three scenarios to focus on effects of power plant fuel switching in a tropical context. The study herein focuses on the wet season because regional anthropogenic activities of the urban environment are easily compared to background conditions.
The dry season is more complicated because of continental biomass burning that produce additional ozone precursors (Martin et al., 2010).

2. Model Description

2.1 WRF-Chem

Simulations were carried out using the Weather Research and Forecasting model fully coupled to a chemical module (WRF-Chem version 3.6.1) (Grell et al., 2005). The WRF configuration included the treatment of Lin et al. (1983) for cloud microphysics, MM5 for surface layer (Grell et al., 1994), Noah for land surface (Chen et al., 1997), Yonsei University for boundary layer (Hong et al., 2006), Goddard for short-wave radiation (Chou and Suarez, 1999), the Rapid Radiative Transfer Model for long-wave radiation (Mlawer et al., 1997), and Grell and Freitas (2013) for cumulus clouds. The modeling approach with these parametrizations has been studied (Ying et al., 2009; Misenis and Zhang, 2010; Gupta and Mohan, 2015), showing sensitivity to capture the effects of a changing emissions inventory.

Two nested domains were employed (Figure 1). An outer domain (denoted as “Domain 1” in inset figure) had a resolution of 10 km and a dimension of 1050 km × 800 km. This domain employed re-analysis data from the Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSv2). An inner domain (“Domain 2” represented in the full figure) had a resolution of 2 km and a dimension of 302 km × 232 km. This domain included the study area for which dynamic chemical transport modeling was simulated. The urban region of Manaus is seen in white in the land cover image of Domain 2. Domain 2 had initial and boundary conditions based on interpolation of Domain 1. The grid center was the same for both domains (2.908° S and 60.319° W). The model spin-up time was 24 h, followed by 72 h of simulation. In this way, ten simulations covered a one-month period. This approach balanced between computational time and numerical diffusion.
Meteorological fields were obtained from re-analysis data of the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) at a spatial resolution of 0.5° × 0.5° and a time resolution of 6 h from February 1 to 28, 2014. NCEP data are based on the Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (Saha et al., 2011). Land cover was based on data from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (Rafee et al., 2015). For this region, the climatological rainfall in February is 290 mm, which can be compared to a maximum of 335 mm in March and a minimum of 47 mm in August (Ramos et al., 2009). For February 2014, observed precipitation was 21.5% below the climatological value (Figure S1), as explained by the positioning of the Bolivian High to the west of its usual location (CPTEC-INPE, 2014). During the period of February in the wet season contributions by biomass burning to ozone production in central Amazonia are most often negligible (Martin et al., 2016b).

For the chemical part of the model, anthropogenic and biogenic emissions of gases were considered (described in section 2.2). For Domain 2, the widely used Regional Acid Deposition Model Version 2 (RADM2) served as the chemical mechanism. It included 63 chemical species, 21 photolysis reactions, and 124 chemical reactions (Stockwell et al., 1990; Chang, 1991). Initial and boundary conditions for trace gases in Domain 2 were obtained from MOZART-4, an offline chemical transport model that has 85 chemical species, 12 aerosol compounds, 39 photolysis reactions and 157 gas-phase reactions (Emmons et al., 2010).

2.2 Emissions

Forest, vehicle, power plant, and refinery emissions were considered. Biogenic emissions were based on the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN, version 2.1) (Guenther et al., 2012). MEGAN varies emissions taking into account the type of
vegetation, the seasonality based on temperature and leaf area index (LAI), the intensity of
incident light, and the soil moisture. It considers 150 different compounds. Approximate
biogenic emissions for Domain 2 are 50% as isoprene, 30% as methanol, ethanol, acetaldehyde,
acetone, α-pinene, β-pinene, t-β-ocimene, limonene, ethane, and propene, 17% as another twenty
compounds (mostly terpenoids), and 3% as another 100 compounds.

For vehicle emissions, a vehicle count for Manaus of 600,000 was considered
(DENATRAN, 2014). The breakdown of vehicle by type, daily travel distances, and emission
factors is listed in Table 1 (ANP, 2014). The Manaus fleet has an average age of 5 years. This
relatively young age can be attributed to the timing of rapid urban growth coupled to a vast
increase in vehicle ownership during an explosive economic expansion period from 2009 to
2015. The methodology of Martins et al. (2010) was used to distribute the vehicle emissions
spatially based on night-time light intensity observations of the Defense Meteorological Satellite
Program - Operational Linescan System (DMSP-OLS). These observations were assumed to
correlate with overall daily patterns of vehicle traffic. Additional information about this
methodology is described in Andrade et al. (2015)

For stationary sources related to electricity production, a survey of the locations of power
plants in Manaus region was conducted. Although the city of Manaus has a large industrial park,
these industries mostly produce electronic products and burn little fuel directly. Instead, power
plants and a large refinery are major emitters. The data of installed capacity, generated
electricity, and fuel used were obtained for each plant (Table 2). The locations of these power
plants are shown in Figure 1. The emission factors for electricity production by fuel type were
based on the database of the USA Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) using the median
value of the emission factors (Table 3). The fuel consumption factor for electricity production is
also listed in Table 3 (ELETROBRAS, 2014b). Another major source of pollution in the region is the refinery Isaac Sabbá, with the capacity to process $7.3 \times 10^6$ liters of oil per day (PETROBRAS, 2016). The emission factors of refinery operations are listed in Table 3 (DeLuchi, 1993).

2.3 Scenarios

Simulations were performed to evaluate ozone concentrations for three different scenarios. The first scenario (Case A) was based on emissions of historical Manaus before the gradual process of fuel switching began in 2010. It corresponded to an energy matrix of 100% oil or diesel for electricity production. Because a gradual change in the energy matrix took place, the second scenario (Case B) considered the mix of oil, diesel, and natural gas used in 2014 for electricity production. In 2014, 65% of the power was generated by natural gas and the remaining 35% by oil or diesel (ELETROBRAS, 2014b). The third scenario (Case C) used an energy matrix of 100% natural gas, removing all oil and diesel from electricity production in Manaus. This scenario represents the anticipated situation for the Manaus region within the next several years. Table 2 lists the fuel mix of each case. All three scenarios also include a baseline contribution of 24% by regional hydropower. For the Manaus region, the power plants generate energy uninterruptedly at full load throughout the year, with contractual arrangements with industry to idle when residential demand increases.

In order to compare only the effects of the change in the type of fuel used, the same matrix of power plants was used for the three scenarios. Although the combined capacity of electricity production increased in recent years following the population and energy demand growth, this change was omitted so the comparative study of the effects of fuel type on air quality could be isolated. Likewise, vehicle emissions were held constant for the three fuel
scenarios considered herein to focus on effects of power plant fuel switching in a tropical context. In this regard, the intent of the analysis herein was to represent the effects of power plant fuel switching on air quality in a tropical forested environment in a general yet realistic sense by selection of a representative urban environment. The intent was not an actual simulation of the city of Manaus, which would necessitate adjustment of transportation, industry, power, land use, and other aspects of urban growth corresponding to the year of each case. The study herein was also restricted to the wet season, again to focus on shifts in the energy matrix and avoid the complicating effects of biomass burning prevalent in the dry season.

The emissions of CO and NO\textsubscript{x} by source are listed in Table 4 for Cases A, B, and C. Power plant emissions constitute 84%, 78%, and 64% of urban CO emissions for Cases A, B, and C, respectively. For NO\textsubscript{x}, they constitute 98%, 95%, and 82%, respectively. The high percent contribution by power plant emissions arises from a combination of the (i) old technology used in the plants (i.e., no pollution controls) and low-end fuels and (ii) the young age of the modern vehicle fleet using high-end fuels. From Case A to B, total CO and NO\textsubscript{x} emissions decrease by 25% and 60%, respectively. From Case A to C, the respective reductions are 55% and 89%.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows a box-whisker plot for all days and afternoon times of the simulations for each case. The time period of 12:00 to 16:00 (local time) was selected for analysis because it represents the maximum ozone concentration, which is fundamentally linked to photochemistry. As a check on the model output, a comparison between aircraft measurements of ozone concentrations and model predictions for Case B is presented in Figure S2. For the statistical analysis of Figure 2, an area of 10 km × 10 km centered on Manaus was taken to assess ozone concentrations in the populated urban area. The black box in Figure 3 represents this region. The
analysis represented in Figure 2 shows that within a single case ozone concentrations had large
day-to-day differences throughout the simulated month. The differences among days was largely
due to variability in cloudiness and other meteorological components of the simulation. Some
days were sunny, favoring the photochemical process of ozone formation, whereas other days
were overcast or rainy.

The inter-case variability in ozone concentration across Cases A, B, and C in Figure 2
arose from differences in the energy matrix for electricity production. A partial shift from diesel
and oil to natural gas (i.e., Cases A and B) did not greatly shift ozone concentrations, on either
polluted or clean days. However, a complete shift to natural gas (i.e., Case C) considerably
reduced ozone concentrations in the urban region. Maximum afternoon ozone concentrations on
fair weather days decreased by >70% (e.g., 110 to 30 ppb) for the three most polluted days of the
simulated month, which occurred on fair weather days. On poor weather days, the additional
pollution from Manaus contributed to small or negligible additional ozone production.

Figure 3 shows examples of the spatial distribution of ozone concentration for each of
Cases A, B, and C for the single afternoon of February 1, 2014. Spatial distributions of the mean
of afternoon values and their standard deviation across the full month of simulation are shown in
Figure S3 of the Supplement. The ozone plume spreads downwind from Manaus carried by the
easterlies of the equatorial trade winds, in agreement with observations reported by Kuhn et al.
(2010) and Martin et al. (2016b). The map shows that the pollution associated with Manaus
emissions not only affects local air quality of the urban population but also reaches other
regional downwind population centers, such as Careiro, Iranduba, and Manacapuru. The
qualitative spatial pattern of the ozone plume is similar among Cases A, B, and C, as explained
by the use of identical meteorology. The concentrations, however, have strong differences. From
Case A to B, the concentrations inside the plume do not differ greatly, in agreement with the box-whisker representation in Figure 2. For Case C, the ozone footprint and concentrations decrease greatly, both for the Manaus urban region and even more so for downwind populations, reinforcing the important impact of the fuel switch.

Figure 4 presents a difference analysis between historical practices (i.e., Case A) and future plans (i.e., Case C) to finalize the foregoing points related to Figures 2 and 3. The difference analysis represents a shift in the entire energy matrix for electricity production from oil and diesel to that of natural gas. The left panel of Figure 4 shows the difference map for a single day corresponding to the plots of Figure 3. Ozone concentrations decrease by approximately 50 ppb in the center of the plume. The right panel shows a box-whisker plot of difference values in the afternoon period across the month, corresponding to the plots of Figure 2. Days 1, 5, 8, 12, 15, and 16 had the highest differences between the two scenarios, indicating that these days were the sunniest and most polluted. For the other days of the month, the median difference was very close to zero, indicating that these days were overcast or had high levels of convection that brought in clean air. The observed daily rain amounts (Figure S1) show that the days having the highest ozone concentrations corresponded to days of low or no precipitation (<5 mm). Conversely, the days of highest precipitation (>20 mm) and cloudiness had nearly background ozone concentrations.

For comparative studies, Collins et al. (1997) investigated the effects of NOx emissions decrease on ozone concentrations over Europe. They found that in summer, a decrease of 50% in NOx emissions result in a reduction on ozone over Europe by 10-20%, but that NOx emission reduction showed opposite effect in the winter, increasing the ozone in the same area by over 40%. Frost et al. (2006) performed a modelling study using WRF-Chem to evaluate the effects of
power plant NO\textsubscript{x} emissions on ozone concentrations in the eastern United states. They show that the relationship between NO\textsubscript{x} emission reduction and ozone concentrations is complex, and depends on previous levels of NO\textsubscript{x} in the air around the emissions site. At low NO\textsubscript{x} environment, a decrease in new NO\textsubscript{x} emissions reduces O\textsubscript{3}, while at higher NO\textsubscript{x} levels, the same NO\textsubscript{x} decreases results in a smaller O\textsubscript{3} decrease or even O\textsubscript{3} increase. Mena-Carrasco et al. (2012) studied the benefits of using natural gas instead of diesel with respect to air quality and human health. The study carried out in for Santiago, located in the central region of Chile with Mediterranean climate, showed that the use of natural gas instead of diesel in urban buses could reduce drastically the emissions and concentrations of particulate matter. In summary, the results show that the altered energy matrix significantly influences air quality, as gauged by the maximum afternoon ozone concentration. The relationship between the Manaus emissions and the vast biogenic emissions constitutes an important scenario to study the atmospheric chemistry feedbacks. The large differences between Cases A and C show that the burning of fuel oil and diesel have enormous potential for regional ozone production. Conversely, substitution with natural gas has an excellent effect for comparative air quality and human health. In this context, even though anthropogenic emissions in Amazon region are low compared to other regions of the world, such as Mexico City (Molina et al., 2010), São Paulo (Silva Junior and Andrade, 2013), and Los Angeles (Haagen-Smit, 1952), the study results of Figure 4 demonstrate the significant sensitivity of Amazonia to anthropogenic emissions. The results emphasize the high sensitivity over the tropical forest to even small amounts of pollution, as amplified by the high solar irradiance and water vapor concentrations in an environment of plentiful biogenic VOC emissions. Specifically, the significant decrease in NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from Case A to B resulted in no strong differences in ozone concentrations whereas, conversely, the
smaller increase from Case C to B resulted in large ozone production. This nonlinear behavior of ozone concentration with respect to pollution is linked to the chemical cycles of the ozone production, most specifically related to the NO$_x$ limitation or not (Lin et al., 1988). The results herein suggest that the anticipated coming complete conversion to natural gas for electricity production should significantly reduce ozone concentration in the Manaus urban region. The GoAmazon2014/5 experiment occurred during two years of this ten-year transition in the energy matrix. Smaller municipalities throughout the Amazon basin, which is two-thirds the size of the continental USA, continue to burn sulfur-laden oil and diesel for electricity production. Further changes in the energy matrix of Amazonia are dependent on continued development of infrastructure for use of natural gas or making connections to the national grid and continued developments in the use of hydropower, even as the population of Amazonia continues to grow rapidly (Domingues, 2003; Tundisi, 2007; ANEEL, 2008).
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List of Figures

Figure 1. Satellite image of the land cover of the study region. Manaus, located at 3.1° S and 60.0° W, is visible in the center. Power plants (red markers) and an oil refinery (blue marker) are indicated (cf. Table 2). The white box represents the region of 10 km × 10 km that is used for the analyses in Figures 2, 3 (black box), and 4. The Solimões River, running from the west to the east, is visible in brown. This river has turbid waters laden with sediment. The Rio Negro River is a main tributary. It is visible in black, running from the northwest to southeast. The named Amazon River (Portuguese) is the confluence of these two flows nearby Manaus. In the top left of the figure, the two grids used in the modeling are shown. The outer grid has corners of {5.10° S, 63.14° W} and {0.73° S, 57.48° W}. The inner grid has corners of {3.63° S, 61.26° W} and {2.16° S, 59.36° W}. The latitude-longitude corners of the main panel are the same as inner grid.

Figure 2. Box-whisker plot of near-surface ozone concentrations for historic emissions (Case A), present-day emissions (Case B), and planned future emissions (Case C). On each day of the simulated month, the time period for the statistical analysis corresponds to 12:00 and 16:00 of the afternoon. The region of areal averaging is 10 km × 10 km centered on 3.1° S and 60.0° W (boxed regions of Figures 1 and 3). The bottom and top of the blue boxes correspond to the first and third quartiles, respectively. The red line inside the box is the median. The whiskers represent the full range of values.
excluding outliers. The red crosses show outliers, as defined by more than 1.5 times
the interquartile range.

**Figure 3.** Maps of near-surface ozone concentrations for historic emissions (Case A), present-
day emissions (Case B), and planned future emissions (Case C). Ozone
concentrations correspond to 15:00 (local time) near the surface for the meteorology
of February 1, 2014. The local river system is shown in the background. The black
box, centered over the population center of Manaus, represents the averaging area
used in the box-whisker plots of Figures 2 and 4.

**Figure 4.** Difference analysis for historic compared to planned future emissions (i.e., Case A
minus Case C). (left) Difference map of ozone concentrations (cf. Figure 3). (right)
Box-whisker plot of differences in afternoon ozone concentrations during the one-
month time series (cf. Figure 2).
Table 1. Manaus transportation fleet. Percent composition, daily travel distance, and emission factors are listed for different vehicle types in 2014 (ANP, 2014).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type (fuel)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Daily travel distance (km)</th>
<th>CO (g km(^{-1}))</th>
<th>NO(_x) (g km(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light vehicles (gasoline)</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light vehicles (ethanol)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light vehicles (flex)</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban bus (diesel)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>208.3</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trucks (diesel)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>304.7</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickup trucks (diesel)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycles (gasoline)</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Power plant information in the study region in 2014. Numbered pins in Figure 1 correspond to the power plants listed here. Fuel types are abbreviated as fuel oil (F), diesel (D), and natural gas (G) (ELETROBRAS, 2014b). In addition to these plants, there is a hydroelectric power plant (Balbina) that is 140 km north of Manaus {1.91° S, 59.57° W}. The annual electricity generated was 2.19 × 10^9 kWh for a nameplate power capacity of 250 MW (Fearnside, 2005). In 2013, Manaus became linked to the Brazilian national grid (ANEEL, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Name</th>
<th>Case A Fuel Mix</th>
<th>Case B Fuel Mix</th>
<th>Case C Fuel Mix</th>
<th>Annual Electricity Generated (kWh)</th>
<th>Nameplate Power Capacity (MW)</th>
<th>Capacity Factor</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aparecida</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>3.13° S 59.96° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauá / Electron</td>
<td>F / D</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>728.9</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3.12° S 59.95° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flores</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3.07° S 59.94° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidade Nova</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3.03° S 59.97° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iranduba</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>3.20° S 59.95° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breitner Tambaqui</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>3.11° S 59.95° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breitner Jaraqui</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>3.03° S 59.97° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponta Negra</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3.06° S 59.95° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cristiano Rocha</td>
<td>F (10.9%)</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2.99° S 60.03° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinery</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3.06° S 59.95° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70.20</td>
<td>1,466.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The annual electricity generated was 2.19 × 10^9 kWh for a nameplate power capacity of 250 MW (Fearnside, 2005).
Table 3. Emission factors of CO and NO\textsubscript{x} for consumption of fuel oil, diesel, and natural gas in electricity production and refinery operations, obtained as median values from the US EPA (1998) (DeLuchi, 1993). The fuel consumption factor for electricity production is also listed (ELETROBRAS, 2014b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fuel oil (g L\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Diesel (g L\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Natural gas (g m\textsuperscript{3})</th>
<th>Refinery (g L\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>36.20</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Consumption</td>
<td>0.29 (L kWh\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>0.38 (L kWh\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>0.25 (m\textsuperscript{3} kWh\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Emissions of carbon monoxide (CO) and nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) by vehicles, power plants, and refinery. Values are shown for historic emissions (Case A), present-day emissions (Case B), and planned future emissions (Case C). Values are based on pre-processing chemistry emissions as described in methodology. The percent reduction in total emissions relative to Case A is shown in parentheses for Cases B and C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Case A (kg day$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Case B (kg day$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Case C (kg day$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO (vehicles)</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (diesel power plants)</td>
<td>11,600</td>
<td>6,100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (fuel oil power plants)</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (natural gas power plants)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>4,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (refinery)</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total CO</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,900</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,000 (-25%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,200 (-55%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$ (vehicles)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$ (diesel power plants)</td>
<td>115,500</td>
<td>40,700</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$ (fuel oil power plants)</td>
<td>11,400</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$ (natural gas power plants)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$ (refinery)</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total NO$_x$</strong></td>
<td><strong>129,400</strong></td>
<td><strong>52,200 (-60%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,500 (-89%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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