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This is a seemingly solid piece of work that has been carried out fairly carefully. The authors point out the shortcomings of the presented methodology and note some things could have been done differently to improve the results, but those shortcomings don’t take away from the main point of the paper. I therefore have no major criticisms in the approach or its conclusions. The following comments are minor in nature. I find the importance of H\textsubscript{2} in the atmosphere, particularly with respect to the ozone hole recovery rate, to be a bit overstated in the first part of the paper. The impact of H\textsubscript{2} on stratospheric O\textsubscript{3} (particularly in the Southern Hemisphere) is small in relation to the other important factors that determine catalytic O\textsubscript{3} loss. Why is the quoted uncertainty in the presented measurements identical to that published in Feilberg et al. (2007)?

Everything is plus/minus 0.03 per mil. This seems unlikely, especially considering the authors here used IRMS and Feilberg et al used FTIR. If indeed this is coincidental, it deserves some sort of brief explanation.
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