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General:

The manuscript describes an evaluation of differences between different setups for the same radiative transfer model. This evaluation is then applied to recent IASI data. The manuscript all in all is fairly well written, although at first I had serious problems in understanding what the main idea is.

There is a conceptual weakness in this manuscript (although I really treasure the work that has been invested): the application to the newly available data actually is the only obvious innovation in this manuscript because the presented method only uses already published material. Unfortunately this is not highlighted. What I completely miss in this manuscript is the link to the products, i.e. profiles, and what impact on them is to be expected from the different setups for the radiative transfer model.

Specific comments:

Section 1 and 2

At some points the authors assume too much specialized knowledge, i.e. â€œFor me it was not instantly clear what the difference between a LBL model and a radiative transfer model is. â€œWhat is a predictor in this context? â€œHow is the observation geometry of IASI taken into account? â€œError covariance matrices are not explained in formulas and I am not sure if error propagation happens by just adding covariance matrices.

Section 3

I have the feeling that the text could be shortened when it comes to the description of the different LBL codes, but I am not familiar enough with the details of these algorithms to judge. After section 3.3. the text should be structured by introducing additional sections i.e. p9500 beginning from 112, p9501 beginning from 15 and p9501 beginning from 117.

Section 4

I found it difficult to follow the discussion while the authors jump between microns and wavenumbers, e.g. I didn’t know where the ozone band is located on the scale given in the figures. I am not a spectroscopist therefore I am not sure if the length of the discussion is really necessary to work out all important features. I miss an assessment how the differences in the spectra translate to differences in actual atmospheric constituents’ profiles.

Table 1

I think the caption is misleading, because this table does actually not contain coefficients.
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