Thank you very much for your comments on our manuscript. We have carefully studied your comments and revised the manuscript accordingly.

Responses to your comments are as follows:

1) Atmospheric nitrate: The word “extraordinary” means the value (+34.5 permille) exceeded the 2 sigma variation range of the whole atmospheric nitrate observed in this study. We would like to clarify this in the revised MS to avoid misleading. All the reported Delta17O values of atmospheric nitrate around +35 permille or more are limited to the polar region, such as Alert (82 degreeN), Summit (73 degreeN), DDU (66 degree S), and South Pole (90 degree S), while Rishiri is located in mid latitude (44 degree N). Furthermore, the air-mass that had transported nitrate with such high Delta17O values in Rishiri had been derived from much lower latitude, as presented in figure 4. To justify our present Delta17O data of atmospheric nitrate with such high Delta17O value, it must be better to explain the possible reason of the enrichment. The global atmospheric model of nitrate Delta17O by Alexander et al. (2009) always exceeded the observed values in mid latitude, including Rishiri in this study. Correspondence with the model might be insufficient to justify the present Delta17O data of atmospheric nitrate.

We would like to include N2O5 hydrolysis as the source of nitrate with +34.5 permille in the revised MS, as suggested.

2) Definition of Delta17O: While you requested to present our Delta17O data based on the linear approximation to keep the entire dataset of the so far published results coherent between them, we don’t want to revise our definition based on the power law (eq.(1)) to keep our internal calculation coherence with the Delta17O data of N2O from which we calculated those of nitrate, otherwise we have to use different formula between natural N2O and nitrate derived N2O. As there have not been any international recommendations on this quantity, we would like to present the data based on the power law. Instead of changing the definition, however, we would like to add sentences to notify the difference in the definition in section 2.3 in the revised MS. Besides, we would like to present the approximate extent of differences in Delta17O when we change to the linear approximation. Furthermore, we would like to add one reference for the calculation.


3) 17O correction for d15N of N2O: Our routine calibration included 17O correction
process for N2O (Komatsu et al., 2008). We would like to clarify this in section 2.3 in
the revised MS.

4) The last sentence of section 3.2 (Page 23087 lines 2-3 in the original MS): We would
like to add a few sentences to discuss more concerning to the uniform concentration of
atmospheric nitrate in groundwater, in the last paragraph of section 3.2 in the revised
MS.

5) The last sentence of the first paragraph in section 3.3 (Page 23087 line 19 in the
original MS): The "extent" means the extent of the post-depositional alternation in d18O
of nitrate through assimilation and denitrification. We would like to clarify that in the
revised MS, in response to your question.

6) The amount of atmospheric nitrate to total nitrate (section 3.2): We would like to give
a range of the amount of atmospheric nitrate to total nitrate (instead of just 7%) in the
revised MS, as suggested.

We trust that the revision is satisfactory response to your comments. Please find the
revised pdf files of our manuscript attached. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Urumu

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/9/C10324/2010/acpd-9-C10324-2010-
supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 9, 23073, 2009.