Sample drying to improve HCHO measurements by PTR-MS instruments: laboratory and field measurements
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Abstract

A significant improvement in the PTR-MS instrument sensitivity to formaldehyde was obtained by drying the air sample to a dew point of −30°C using a cold trap to condense and freeze water vapor. At warmer trap temperatures there was significant uptake of formaldehyde and other water soluble organics, suggesting the presence of a quasi-liquid layer on the ice surface. By removing water vapor to a low constant dew point, the PTR-MS can be operated at low E/N ratios, significantly increasing normalized sensitivities for all organics and removing their humidity dependence due to reactions with H+(H2O)2. At an E/N ratio of 80 Td the formaldehyde normalized sensitivity was 25 Hz/ppbv per MHz H3O+ with an estimated detection limit of 78 pptv. Field testing demonstrated good agreement between HCHO measurements made at ambient humidity and corrected for water vapor effects compared to dehumidified sampling at −30°C. Field testing also revealed that at an E/N ratio of 100 Td or lower there was a significant ion signal at m/z=49, likely CH3OOH. Sampling drying and operation at low E/N ratios enables sensitive measurements of HCHO and potentially CH3OOH, both important tropospheric photoproducts.

1 Introduction

The proton transfer reaction mass spectrometer (PTR-MS) is a commercial instrument sold by Ionicon Analytik (Austria). Since its initial description (Lindinger et al., 1998) it has found wide use in atmospheric chemistry field and laboratory studies for measuring trace organic gases. A description of it’s operating principle and performance can be found in the recent review article of deGouw and Warneke (2007). One advantage of this instrument over gas-chromatography based determinations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) is the time resolution of the measurements and limited sample handling which makes determination of oxygenated species easier. However, formaldehyde has been proven difficult to measure with the PTR-MS. The sensitivity to
formaldehyde is much less than other organics due to a water vapor interference. The proton affinity of formaldehyde (713 kJ/mol) is only slightly greater than that of water (697 kJ/mol), so the exothermicity of the protonation Reaction (R1) is small. Within the drift tube where the protonation reaction takes place, the kinetic energies of the reacting reagent ions are large enough that the endothermicity of the reverse Reaction (R2) can be overcome, and the rate of the reverse reaction can be significant (Hansel et al., 1997).

\[
\text{HCHO} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ \rightarrow \text{HCHOH}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (\text{R1})
\]

\[
\text{HCHOH}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ \quad (\text{R2})
\]

The rate of this back reaction is dependent on the water vapor concentration and on the electric field \(E\) (V/cm) and number density \(N\) (molecules/cm\(^3\)) of the PTR-MS drift tube (Hansel et al., 1997). High drift pressures and low electric fields generally provide the best overall conditions to improve PTR-MS sensitivity. For HCHO measurements, the adverse consequence of operating the PTR-MS at higher drift pressures is the corresponding increase in water vapor concentration in the drift tube which increases the rate of the back Reaction (R2). Operating at a lower electric field strength can reduce the (R2) Reaction rate constant but low ratios of \(E/N\) cause excessive formation of water cluster ions (R3).

\[
\text{H}_3\text{O}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}^+(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 \quad (\text{R3})
\]

The formation of water cluster ions complicates the determination of PTR-MS instrument sensitivities to VOCs because water clusters can also react with organics to produce protonated product ions. This can occur in 2 ways, by direct protonation of the organic by the water cluster ion (R4), or through ligand switching Reactions (R5) and subsequent collisional dissociation of the organic water cluster (R6).

\[
\text{H}^+(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 + \text{R} \rightarrow \text{RH}^+ + (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 \quad (\text{R4})
\]

\[
\text{H}^+(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 + \text{R} \rightarrow \text{H}^+(\text{R})(\text{H}_2\text{O}) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (\text{R5})
\]
Species with proton affinities (PA) greater than the 808±6 kJ/mol proton affinity of the water dimer (Goebbert and Wenthold, 2004; Midley et al., 2002) can be directly protonated. For species of atmospheric importance these include isoprene (PA=826 kJ/mol), methylvinylketone (835 kJ/mol) styrene (840 kJ/mol), 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (836 kJ/mol), and acetone (812 kJ/mol) where the listed proton affinities were taken from Hunter and Lias (1998). Monoterpenes also appear to have PA’s greater than the water dimer. The PA of α-pinene and camphene was calculated to be 879 kJ/mol by Solouki and Szulejko (2007), and the PA of limonene was measured to be 875±5 kJ/mol by Fernandez et al. (1998). The ligand switching reactions are likely a more general mechanism for causing a water vapor dependent sensitivity (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007). Switching reactions are thought to be fast if exothermic and appear to require that the organic molecule have a significant dipole moment (Spanel and Smith, 1995). Midley et al. (2000) have measured the reaction kinetics of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde with H₃O⁺ and H⁺(H₂O)₂ using a SIFT. They observed that both species reacted with H⁺(H₂O)₂ in ligand switching reactions, with the formaldehyde reaction rate being 75% of the calculated collision rate. This suggests that ligand switching reactions between HCHO and H⁺(H₂O)₂ also likely occur in the drift tube of the PTR-MS.

Despite these complications there are several reports of PTR-MS based measurements of HCHO which try to account for the humidity dependence of the sensitivity (Holzinger et al., 1999; Karl et al., 2003, 2007; Steinbacher et al., 2004; Inomata et al., 2008; Seco et al., 2008; Taipale et al., 2008). In this paper we will show that the PTR-MS sensitivity to HCHO can be dramatically improved by removing water vapor from the sample stream. This has the advantages of decreasing the rate of (R2) and keeping the water vapor concentration in the drift tube constant so that HCHO and other VOC sensitivities are independent of ambient water vapor concentration. Sample drying also has the added advantage of allowing the drift tube to be operated at much lower E/N ratios. Reducing the ratio increases reaction times, further increasing sensitivities. The
reduction in the $E/N$ ratio also reduces the degree of fragmentation from dissociative protonation reactions and may allow for the determination of CH$_3$OOH.

2 Experimental

2.1 Impact of water vapor on HCHO normalized sensitivity

Following Warneke et al. (2001) the normalized sensitivity is defined here as the RH$^+$ count rate per ppbv per MHz H$_3$O$^+$ count rates (1 ppbv=1 nmol mol$^{-1}$). This definition of sensitivity follows from the ion-molecule reaction kinetics in the drift tube where the RH$^+$ count rate is given by

$$RH^+ = k \cdot t[R]H_3O^+ \varepsilon$$

with $k$ being the ion molecule rate coefficient, $t$ the reaction time determined by the H$_3$O$^+$ drift velocity, $[R]$ is the number density of the organic in the drift tube, RH$^+$ and H$_3$O$^+$ are the ion count rates (Hz), and $\varepsilon$ is the ion transmission efficiency of RH$^+$ relative to H$_3$O$^+$. The reaction time is determined by the H$_3$O$^+$ drift velocity and length of drift tube, so Eq. (1) can re-written to account for the drift tube parameters on the reaction time:

$$RH^+ = k \cdot \frac{LN}{\mu_0 N_0 E} [R]H_3O^+ \varepsilon$$

where $L$ is the drift length, $N$ is the number density of air in the drift tube, $N_0$ is the number density at 273K and 1 atmosphere, $\mu_0$ is the reduced mobility of H$_3$O$^+$ (2.76 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), and $E$ is the electric field. Assuming $\varepsilon=1$, and a calculated thermal reaction rate coefficient of $2.0 \times 10^{-9}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Su and Chesnavich, 1982; Su, 1989), a normalized sensitivity of 9.6 Hz/ppbv can be calculated for benzene using the typical experimental conditions described in this paper: 120 Td drift field intensity, 2.1 mbar pressure, 50°C drift temperature, 9.2 cm drift tube length. To increase the inherent sensitivity of the PTR-MS there are two strategies employed, increase the H$_3$O$^+$
count rate, or increase the reaction time by decreasing the \( E/N \) ratio. The ratio \( E/N \) is given the unit of Townsends (1 Td=1\( \times \)10\(^{-17} \) V/cm\(^2\)).

Figure 1 shows the impact of sample humidity on the reagent ion and first water cluster count rates, and the influence on normalized sensitivity for HCHO, acetaldehyde, and benzene for drift field intensities of 120 and 108 Td. The normalized sensitivities were determined from a 13 component VOC standard (Apel-Reimer Environmental) diluted to 19.8 ppbv with humidified zero air. The diluent air was humidified to a range of water vapor concentrations by blending a dry zero air flow with a flow of humid zero air. Humid air was generated by passing zero air over purified water (de-ionized and filtered for organics). Air flows were metered with mass flow controllers and the resulting humidity of the diluent air was measured by a relative humidity sensor (HumiCap, Vaisala). HCHO was added to this stream of air from a high emitting HCHO permeation source (KinTek).

Figure 1 clearly shows the problem with HCHO measurements by PTR-MS. HCHO normalized sensitivities decreased exponentially with water vapor mixing ratios, decreasing by about a factor of 5 from dry conditions at 0.1% water vapor to humid conditions of 2.4% water vapor for the 120 Td drift condition. A greater decrease was observed for the 108 Td drift condition. In contrast to HCHO, acetaldehyde normalized sensitivities increased with water vapor mixing ratios. If acetaldehyde was only protonated by reaction with \( \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ \) the normalized sensitivity would not change. The increase implies that acetaldehyde was reacting with protonated water clusters such as \( \text{H}^+(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 \). At the highest water vapor mixing ratios for the 108 Td drift condition, the measured \( \text{H}^+(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 \) signal was nearly equal to that of \( \text{H}_3\text{O}^+ \). Since the proton affinity of acetaldehyde is lower than that of the water dimer, the likely mechanism is ligand switching and subsequent dissociation to produce protonated acetaldehyde as described by Midey et al. (2000). The normalized sensitivities of other polar organics tested but not shown in the figure, such as acetonitrile, acetone, 2-butane, and methacrolein, also displayed significant water vapor dependence. Benzene on the other hand displayed only a very weak increase in normalized sensitivity, increasing by
only 4.4% at Td=120 and 15.5% at Td=108. Benzene does not have a dipole moment and its ligand switching reaction rate has been measured to be very slow if it occurs at all (Spanel and Smith, 1995). The increase in benzene normalized sensitivity may be due to changes in reaction time due to a decrease in H_3O^+ mobility with higher water vapor concentration in the drift. At lower E/N ratio (∼Td<100) Warneke et al. (2001) observed lower H_3O^+ mobility with increasing humidity, but at higher E/N ratios the humidity influence was not clear. Another explanation for our observed increase in benzene normalized sensitivity is the potential for loss of H_3O^+ to clustering in the vacuum interface after the drift (Hanson et al., 2009). The observed benzene normalized sensitivity of 6.2 Hz/ppbv at 120 Td for dry conditions was 35% less than the sensitivity calculated from Eq. (2). At 108 Td the difference was 31%. The lower observed sensitivities were likely due to differences in H_3O^+ and C_6H_7^+ ion transmission efficiencies through the quadrupole and differences in detector sensitivities to these ions. In these experiments and in the field test described later we used a ceramic based electron multiplier detector from ETP. A new multiplier installed for the field experiment resulted in better agreement between observed and calculated benzene sensitivity.

Other aromatics examined, toluene, p-xylene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, styrene, and 1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene displayed a slightly stronger dependence on humidity than benzene. Midey et al. (2002) have observed proton transfer reactions between H^+(H_2O)_2 and alkylbenzenes such as toluene in SIFT experiments. These reactions were fast, about half the collision rate, and were attributed to ligand switching reactions. It seems likely that toluene and the alkylbenzenes in our calibration mixture also undergo proton transfer reactions via ligand switching in the PTR-MS, resulting in the observed humidity dependent normalized sensitivities. Based on proton affinities, styrene could also be directly protonated by H^+(H_2O)_2. Table 1 lists the percent difference in VOC normalized sensitivity between the driest condition (1.9 mmol/mol) and the most humid condition used in these experiments (24.5 mmol/mol at Td=100 and 25.5 at Td=120) together with corresponding proton affinities and permanent dipole moments. In all cases except for formaldehyde there was a significant increase in
normalized sensitivity. The humidity dependent sensitivities for benzene and toluene have been examined in some detail by Warneke et al. (2001). In that study, conducted at 2.5 mbar drift pressure and a drift field intensity of 108 Td, the normalized sensitivity for benzene and toluene decreased nearly a factor of 2 from 0.5% to 2.7% water vapor mixing ratio. Their results are in direct contrast to the results shown here. Warneke et al. (2001) explained their decrease in sensitivity to be a consequence of dissociation of H\(^+\)(H\(_2\)O)\(_2\) in the vacuum interface between the drift tube and quadrupole causing higher measured H\(_3\)O\(^+\) than actually present in the drift tube. The higher drift pressure in that study results in a significantly greater relative abundance of H\(^+\)(H\(_2\)O)\(_2\) compared to H\(_3\)O\(^+\). Steinbacher et al. (2004) also reported that benzene normalized sensitivity decreased by 16% over a similar humidity range. This suggests to us that the humidity dependence of benzene normalized sensitivity could be used as an instrument performance test to determine the fidelity of the ion sampling from the drift by the mass spectrometer interface. If benzene does not react with H\(^+\)(H\(_2\)O)\(_2\) in the PTR-MS, any change in its normalized sensitivity would indicate ion sampling artifacts. The caveat is the potential influence of water vapor on H\(_3\)O\(^+\) mobility. The expected normalized benzene sensitivity can also be readily calculated from the drift operating parameters since benzene is only reacting with H\(_3\)O\(^+\). The normalized sensitivity for benzene and its humidity dependence is probably the best metric for comparing different PTR-MS instruments and new designs sold by Ionicon Analytik. We note that different versions of the normalized sensitivity metric are used in the PTR-MS literature to account for instrument various factors that influence ion count rates per ppbv of analyte (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007; Taipale et al., 2008) but we prefer the simpler metric originally proposed by Warneke et al. (2001).

### 2.2 Sample drying

The technical approach to a water removal system was based on cold trapping as is often done for VOC measurements by gas chromatography. The design of the...
dehumidifier was based on that used by Goldan et al. (1995). The dehumidifier assembly consisted of two 150 mm × 6.4 mm OD stainless steel tubes coated with amorphous silicon (Silonite coating, Restek Inc.) mounted inside a block of aluminum that was cooled by an immersion cooler probe (FTP Systems). The tubes were in loose thermal contact with the block, with an air gap of 1.5 mm between the tube wall and the block. The immersion cooler probe cooled the block to about −50°C, cooling the tubes as well. The temperature of the tubes was controlled by resistive heating using a polyamide coated nichrome wire with a resistance of ~95 ohms (California Fine Wire Corp.) that was coiled around the tubes. The temperature of the individual tubes was controlled by temperature controllers (Watlow) and tube temperature was monitored using an ungrounded thermocouple probe in contact with the inner tube wall. The thermocouple was inserted through a septum mounted on a Silonite coated tee on the upstream side of the tube. The tubes could therefore be held at a constant temperature ranging from the lower limit of the immersion cooler (~−50°C) to an upper limit of about 100°C. The tubes were thermally isolated from each other so that they could be operated independently; the temperature set point of one did not impact the ability to control the temperature of the other. Water vapor was removed by pulling the sample air through a cold tube with the PTR-MS inlet. The water vapor condenses and freezes onto the tube surface, effectively lowering the dew point temperature of the sample air flow to that of the tube surface temperature.

The dehumidifier was constructed with two tubes to ensure continuous measurements over long time periods. Each tube of the dehumidifier was operated in one of three states; sample, condition, and back flush. While one tube was removing water from the sample stream, the other tube was heated (+70°C) and back flushed with dry nitrogen to remove ice accumulated in the tube during the last sample cycle. It was then cooled and conditioned with ambient air prior to sampling to ensure a seamless transition between tube measurement cycles. The conditioning state ensures that the VOC’s have come to equilibrium with the ice covered tube wall before being switched over to the sample state as described below. All flows through the tubes in the dehumidifier...
were directed by six 3-way PFA solenoid valves. The valves were arranged so that one tube can be in the sample mode, while the other tube can be operated in the back flush or conditioning state. While a tube is in the sample state, flow through the tube is determined by the PTR-MS inlet flow, set to approximately 250 ml min\(^{-1}\). Back flush and conditioning flows were regulated by two separate rotameters. Data acquisition and control of the dehumidifier apparatus was done using a LabJack U3-LV USB data acquisition device (LabJack) and DaqFactory Standard software (Azeotech). The LabJack U3-LV provided control voltages for all valves and solenoids and inputs for digital and analog signals. DaqFactory provided the user interface and drivers to communicate with the LabJack U3-LV and temperature controllers. DaqFactory communicated with the temperature controllers through a serial 485 interface, allowing the high and low temperature sets points for each tube to be set by a software program that automated functioning of the water trap.

The water trap efficiently removed water vapor from the sample at flow rates less than 300 ml min\(^{-1}\). This could be monitored by the PTR-MS by measuring the abundance of the water cluster ion \(\text{H}^+\left(\text{H}_2\text{O}\right)\_2\). At flows greater than 300 ml/min the trap was less efficient as evidenced by an increase in \(\text{H}^+\left(\text{H}_2\text{O}\right)\_2\) with air flow. The use of a cold tube to remove water by condensation could potentially also remove organics by physical adsorption to the cold surfaces. We conducted a series of experiments testing the transmission of HCHO and a suite of VOCs through the dehumidifier at different temperatures which are described below.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Trap conditioning

Tests were performed to determine the optimum temperature and flow rates for operating the trap. The lower the water trap temperature the more water vapor will be removed improving sensitivity to HCHO. The concern with the trap was that HCHO...
would be lost to the ice accumulating in the water trap and that other VOCs, such as larger aromatics with lower vapor pressures, would also be removed to the cold ice surface.

Figure 2 illustrates the impact of the dehumidifier on a 19.8 ppbV calibration mixture at a relative humidity of 50% at 23°C. There are three identifiable ion signal regions that we label A, B, and C. Region A is the ion signal at an ambient tube temperature (23°C) corresponding to normal air sampling to serve as a reference point to compare to dehumidified sampling. In region A, water vapor in the sample causes a decrease in HCHO sensitivity. The sample was then redirected by solenoid valves through the water trap at a temperature of −40°C, as indicated by label B. This region is identified as a conditioning period as the tube becomes coated with ice and adsorbed VOCs. The HCHO signal increased due to the sharp decrease of water vapor in the drift tube. Methanol on the other hand displayed an abrupt decrease. After ~7 min, the HCHO signal is reasonably stable at ~3800 Hz. At this point the HCHO is in equilibrium with the cold ice surface, as indicated by label C. At this point to the methanol ion signal has recovered to values similar to region A. The sample drying is illustrated by the large change in water cluster count rates, from ~450 000 Hz at room temperature to ~3800 Hz at −40°C. The H$_3$O$^+$ ion count rate increased by 10% at −40°C while the HCHO count rate increased by a factor of 5. Removing water vapor by condensing it in a cold tube clearly improved the PTR-MS sensitivity to HCHO.

Figure 2 also highlights a clear need for a conditioning period in which the tube becomes passivated before efficient transmission through the water trap is possible. This practice of conditioning is part of the general lore of VOC analysis though not well quantified nor illustrated in the literature. We conducted several tests using dry and humidified test mixtures to determine losses of VOCs to cold tubing. Even for relatively volatile species conditioning effects were observed. For example, at the extreme end of absorption losses, tests with our HCHO+multicomponent VOC test mixture in dry air showed that ~10 ng of HCHO absorbed to the Silonite coated steel tubing at −65°C before the tube was passivated and allowed 100% transmission. Higher mass flows
led to quicker conditioning times. Conditioning is a complex surface adsorption process dependent on the nature of the sample including the VOC composition and abundance and the amount of water. For the water trap, the tubes become coated with ice so the interaction of the VOC with ice becomes an important process. For the less volatile, non-polar, aromatic compounds in our test mixture, 1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene and 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene, lower tube temperatures lead to greater losses as would be anticipated from surface losses due to physical adsorption. Interestingly, for water soluble species such as HCHO and methanol, colder trap temperatures lead to greater transmission efficiencies.

### 3.2 Loss of water soluble species to warm ice

We observed that the improvement in HCHO sensitivity was a non-linear function of the trap temperature. Figure 3 shows a plot of HCHO normalized sensitivity versus water trap temperature for a series of tests conducted at 2.4 mbar drift pressures and Td=120 drift field intensity. We found a steep increase in HCHO sensitivity at temperatures lower than −25°C. There appears to be a transition at this temperature. We believe this transition is due to soluble VOCs being taken up into a liquid like layer on the ice surface at temperatures greater than −25°C (Dash et al., 1995). This transition is seen clearly in Fig. 4. The figure shows results from a test comparing transmission of a 19.8 ppbV cal mixture through the water trap at −30 and −25°C. The bottom panel shows the first water cluster count rates. Initially the PTR-MS samples dry zero air, then the calibration mixture at 50% RH through the tube at 23°C, then the sample passes through the cold tube. The cycle was then repeated for the other trap temperature. Passage through the cold tube is indicated by the drop in the water cluster count rates. It can be seen there was no significant change in the aromatic counts rates (benzene, 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene) as the sample was switched from a tube at +25°C to −30°C. There was however a dip in methanol count rates which then recovered to those observed for the +25°C condition. Similarly, there was a small dip in the acetonitrile count rates which then recovered. HCHO count rates initially increased (due to lower water vapor
concentration), leveled out for \( \sim 300 \) s, then increased to a plateau. A much different behavior was observed for the trap temperature of \(-25^\circ\text{C}\). While the aromatics passed through unaffected, methanol and acetonitrile were persistently lower, indicating continual loss to the trap; the trap never conditioned. HCHO count rates increased initially then slowly decreased. This behavior is consistent with uptake into a liquid water surface that is constantly being replenished. Formaldehyde is very soluble with a Henry’s law coefficient at \(-25^\circ\text{C}\) of \(3.1 \times 10^5 \text{ M atm}^{-1}\) (Staudinger and Roberts, 1996). Partitioning into the liquid water layer would qualitatively explain loss of formaldehyde from the sample flow. At a trap temperature of \(-25^\circ\text{C}\), less formaldehyde makes it through the tube than the ambient RH condition, despite the lower water vapor concentration in the drift tube and the inherently greater normalized sensitivity. This absorption effect was also observed for methanol but not for other gases in the test mixture such as acetaldehyde, acetone, and methacrolein. At \(-25^\circ\text{C}\) these species have a Henry’s Law coefficient at least a factor of 9 less than that of methanol and partitioning into the liquid phase would not be as significant, consistent with our observations. Thus two effects determine the transmission efficiency through the water trap: physical adsorption of VOC’s to ice or the tubing surface and absorption of soluble VOC’s into a quasi liquid layer of water on the ice surface. From these tests we concluded that the optimum trap temperature was \(-30^\circ\text{C}\). At trap temperatures colder than this we observed losses of 1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene. At trap temperatures warmer than this there were significant losses of soluble species such as methanol. A conditioning flow rate of 200–300 ml/min for 6 min was adequate for conditioning the trap for HCHO and other VOCs.

### 3.3 Field test

The water trap was field tested in the city of Houston, TX as part of the Study of Houston Atmospheric Radical Precursor (SHARP) campaign from April–May 2009. The study was conducted on the roof of an 18-story building on the University of Houston campus. For the first two weeks of the campaign the PTR-MS alternated between...
sampling through the water trap at −30°C and through PFA tubing at ambient room temperatures (~25°C). The sampling duration was 25 min in each state. This allowed verification of potential losses of less volatile aromatic VOCs to the water trap and to verify the impact of ambient humidity on PTR-MS calibrations. Drift field intensity was 120 Td with 2.1 mbar drift pressure. After this test period the PTR-MS sampled continuously through the water trap at −30°C using drift field intensities of 120, 100 and 80 Td. The PTR-MS was calibrated and zeroed on an automated, regular schedule using a 13 VOC component compressed gas standard (Scott-Marrin). The standard was diluted to 19.8 ppbv with humid zero air produced by scrubbing ambient air with a Pt catalyst (1% Pt on alumina spheres) at 260°C. HCHO was calibrated using a permeation tube (KinTek) with a stated permeation rate accuracy of 2%. The permeation tube was placed in a permeation oven heated to 80°C and the permeation flow (100 ml/min) added to the diluted multicomponent standard flow to yield 43 ppbv HCHO. The permeation oven was not as well thermostated as we would have liked and observed that its temperature varied ±2°C as room temperature varied. The automated calibrations and zeroes were performed for both the dehumidified and ambient humidity sampling.

3.4 Field calibrations

Figure 5 shows the normalized sensitivities for HCHO, benzene, acetaldehyde, isoprene and acetonitrile as a function of the normalized water cluster count rates for the ambient humidity calibrations. The relative humidity of the sample air was measured with a sensor (HumiCap, Vaisala) and was well correlated with $H^+(H_2O)_2$ count rates. HCHO normalized sensitivity displayed an exponential dependence on the water cluster count rates. At the highest water cluster count rates, corresponding to a dew point of 22°C, the normalized sensitivity for HCHO was 2.7 Hz/ppbV. At the lowest water cluster count rates, corresponding to a dew point of 1°C, the normalized sensitivity was 5.9 Hz/ppbv. Most VOC species displayed a positive linear dependence on the cluster count rates, indicating humidity dependent sensitivities likely due to reactions with $H^+(H_2O)_2$. Benzene displayed the weakest dependence. The fits to these calibrations...
were used together with measured water cluster count rates to determine mixing ratios from the ambient ion signals. The averaged normalized sensitivities for ambient humidity calibrations compared to the dehumidified calibrations are shown in Table 2. On average reducing water vapor concentration to −30°C dew point increased the HCHO normalized sensitivity by a factor of 3.

Figure 6 illustrates the impact of the water trap on water cluster (m/z=37) and formaldehyde (m/z=31) count rates. When the trap switched to sampling through the −30°C water trap, count rates for m/z=37 decreased to ~21 000 Hz, while count rates for formaldehyde increased, producing a crenulated pattern. The HCHO mixing ratio could be determined from the m/z=31 count rate by applying the respective humidity dependent normalized sensitivity for the ambient humidity data, and the 11.3 Hz/ppbv sensitivity factor for the data collected at −30°C. Count rates for H₃O⁺ were reasonably steady at 5×10⁶ Hz during the entire field campaign. The resulting HCHO mixing ratios from the 2 sampling conditions were well matched, indicating the humidity dependent sensitivity was accounted for correctly and there were no significant losses of HCHO to the water trap. It is apparent in the figure that the worst discrepancy between −30°C sampling and ambient humidity sampling occurred when the air was dry, as indicated by a crenulated pattern in the HCHO mixing ratio. HCHO normalized sensitivity displayed a strong dependence on water vapor at low water vapor concentrations and the dependence may not have been adequately captured by the calibration curve in Fig. 5.

The reconstruction of the mixing ratio time series for several ions was complicated by significant differences in background count rates between dehumidified and ambient humidity sampling. The most notable differences were for m/z=45 (acetaldehyde) and m/z=47. In both instances the dehumidified backgrounds were much higher, by factors of 2.0 and 4.2 respectively. Contributions to the m/z=45 ion from CO₂H⁺ and at m/z=47 from the N₂×H₃O⁺ cluster ion have been noted (Hanson et al., 2008) as well as humidity dependent background count rates for m/z=45 (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007). Why more N₂×H₃O⁺ would form with less water vapor in the drift is somewhat puzzling, but suggests that it may be coming from the ion source rather than formed in
Table 2 shows a significant increase in normalized sensitivity was achieved when the PTR-MS was operated at lower $E/N$ ratios. For the 100 Td sampling period, the HCHO normalized sensitivity was 16.9 Hz/ppbv and for the 80 Td sampling period it was 25.0 Hz/ppbv. The $H_3O^+$ count rates were $5.0 \times 10^6$ Hz for 100 Td sampling and $4.6 \times 10^6$ Hz for 80 Td sampling, resulting in absolute sensitivities of 84.5 Hz/ppbv and 115 Hz/ppbv respectively. The measured ion signal of $H^+(H_2O)_2$ relative to $H_3O^+$ was 4% at 100 Td and 17% at 80 Td. Following the procedure described by de Gouw and Warneke (2007), the HCHO detection limit for the 100 Td sampling period was calculated from the average 36 Hz background signal and 5 s dwell time to be 95 pptv. At 80 Td, the detection limit was calculated to be 78 pptv. Karl et al. (2003) reported formaldehyde detection limits ($S/N=2$) of 1–2 ppbv using a PTR-MS operated at 123 Td, 2.5 mbar drift pressure and 4 MHz $H_3O^+$ for a field study conducted in Houston during summer. Inomata estimated a detection limit ($S/N=2$) of 0.2–0.5 ppbv depending on ambient humidity. Removing water vapor clearly improved the lower limit of formaldehyde detection by PTR-MS instruments.

3.5 Loss of compounds to water trap

Loss of compounds to the water trap was evaluated for low vapor pressure compounds that would be expected to be lost to cold surfaces such as $C_3$-alkylbenzenes and $C_4$-alkylbenzenes. No losses were apparent during calibrations at 19.8 and 5 ppbv respectively for 1,2,3-trimethbenzene and 1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene. The ambient data were also evaluated and a selected time series of $C_2$, $C_3$, and $C_4$-alkylbenzenes mixing ratios is shown in Fig. 7. The clear square wave oscillation in the $H^+(H_2O)_2$ ion signal indicates when the PTR-MS was sampling dehumidified air from the trap at $-30^\circ$C. The aromatics are a good test of water trap losses because their normalized sensitivity displayed a weak dependence on ambient humidity and there was no difference in their backgrounds between the 2 sampling conditions. No losses for $C_2$ and $C_3$-alkylbenzenes were observed in the data, as illustrated by the selected time series.
in Fig. 7. Losses of C₄-alkylbenzenes to the water trap were evident at low mixing ratios (<100 pptv), as evidenced by ion signals that were not significantly different from background count rates when sampling through the water trap. The C₄-alkylbenzenes were the only compounds from Table 1 that displayed any observable loss. We note that for the ions m/z=47 and m/z=61, attributed to formic and acetic acid, significant loss of ion signal was observed through the water trap.

### 3.6 HCHO interferences

Inomata et al. (2004) reported a positive interference on the formaldehyde ion due to methanol. This presumably arises from an O₂⁺+methanol reaction to create an ion at m/z=31 (CH₃O⁺). O₂⁺ arises from the PTR-MS ion source and its presence can be controlled by adjusting water vapor flow rates through the source and extraction voltages into the drift. For the SHARP experiment O₂⁺ ion signal was kept at ~1.2% of H₃O⁺ ion signal. The level of the interference was established in the field by determining the m/z=31 ion signal during automated instrument calibrations from 14–16 April. During this period the HCHO permeation tube was not yet integrated into the calibration system. The methanol calibration level was 19.8 ppbv. We found no significant difference in the normalized m/z=31 ion signal between the zero air period immediately before the calibration (7.0±0.6 Hz per MHz H₃O⁺) and the calibration period (7.2±0.9 Hz per MHz H₃O⁺) for the seven zero-cal period pairs. The average difference (cal–zero) was 0.33±0.49 Hz per million m⁻¹. We concluded that methanol interference was not significant for our HCHO data.

Another probable interference noted by Inomata et al. (2008) and Steinbacher et al. (2004) is methyl hydroperoxide (CH₃OOH) which likely undergoes dissociative proton transfer reaction with H₃O⁺ to produce CH₃O⁺ ions. In our experience with our instrument operating at 120 Td, there is no significant ion signal at m/z=49, the CH₃OOHH⁺ ion. For the SHARP field experiment the drift field intensity was reduced during the month of May to 100 Td, and then to 80 Td for the last week of May, conditions that produced a significant ion signal at m/z=49. A small fraction of this ion
signal was due to the protonated formaldehyde water cluster ion. The estimated interference was 0.09 Hz per ppbv of formaldehyde at 100 Td. Assuming CH$_3$OOH has a similar normalized sensitivity as methanol, this would amount to only $\sim$8 pptv CH$_3$OOH per ppbv of formaldehyde. It seems possible that operating the PTR-MS at lower field intensities would reduce interferences from CH$_3$OOH and allow CH$_3$OOH to be measured.

3.7 Dehumidified field sampling

Figure 8 shows a time series plot for a 2 day period for the 100 Td operating condition to illustrate the signal-to-noise of the HCHO data. Clear diel variations with amplitude of $\sim$1 ppbv could be observed with nighttime/early morning minima and maxima during the day. During this time air flow was from the south and relatively unpolluted. Clear diel variations in isoprene ($m/z=69$) and its photoproducts methacrolein and methylvinyl ketone ($m/z=71$) were observed so a likely mechanism of diel HCHO variation was variation in its production rates from isoprene oxidation (Sumner et al., 2001). Lowest observed HCHO mixing ratios were 480 pptv, well above the calculated detection limits. The minimum mixing ratios were consistent with minimums reported for Houston (Wert et al., 2003; Karl et al., 2003; Dasgupta et al., 2005; Eom et al., 2008). A small plume of HCHO was observed on 11 May that may indicate industrial emissions. A separate analysis of the HCHO and VOC data collected in this study will presented elsewhere.

Figure 9 illustrates the correspondence between HCHO mixing ratios and the ion signal at $m/z=49$ which we interpret as CH$_3$OOH. Mixing ratios of CH$_3$OOH were determined assuming it had the same normalized sensitivity as methanol. This is an assumption made only to evaluate the temporal behavior and potential merit of CH$_3$OOH determination by PTR-MS instruments. Shown are 5 min averages. CH$_3$OOH displayed a diel cycle similar to that of HCHO. The CH$_3$OOH maxima were sometimes displaced later in the afternoon, reflecting perhaps lower NO concentrations and hence greater production rates of CH$_3$OOH from the CH$_3$OO+HO$_2$ reaction. On 17 May a cold front passed through bringing rainy weather and a switch in wind direction from...
relatively clean southerly flow to polluted north easterly flow impacted by the heavily industrialized area known as the Houston Ship Channel. CH$_3$OOH decreased by a factor of 3 during the cold front passage and remained relatively low during the period of polluted northeasterly flow whereas HCHO mixing ratios were much higher. Plumes of HCHO were observed during this period with highest mixing ratio of 11.2 ppbv. The m/z=49 ion signal data are interesting and suggest the behavior of peroxide. This warrants further investigation to determine CH$_3$OOH transmission efficiency through the water trap and degree of fragmentation upon reaction with H$_3$O$^+$ as a function of E/N.

4 Conclusions

The humidity dependence of the normalized sensitivity (Hz/ppbv per MHz H$_3$O$^+$) for formaldehyde and other VOCs was investigated in both laboratory experiments and in a field study. Formaldehyde normalized sensitivity displayed an exponential dependence on water vapor concentration. Benzene displayed a weak positive dependence. In field tests, a factor of 7 increase in formaldehyde normalized sensitivity was demonstrated by drying the air sample to a dew point of −30°C. Laboratory tests showed that at temperatures warmer than −30°C there was significant uptake of formaldehyde and other soluble organics to the ice surface of the water trap, consistent with the presence of liquid water layer of the ice surface. Benzene, toluene, C$_2$-alkylbenzenes, and C$_3$-alkylbenzenes passed quantitatively through the trap at −30°C. Evidence of C$_4$-alkylbenzene losses were observed at mixing ratios less than 100 pptv. Drying the sample has four benefits: 1) elimination of water vapor dependent sensitivity for all species; 2) significant increase in HCHO normalized sensitivity 3) ability to operate drift tube at low E/N ratios to further increase sensitivity and reduce dissociative proton transfer reactions 4) reduced clustering due to low water vapor in the drift tube. Field testing at an E/N ratio of 100 Td demonstrated that 1 ppbv diel variation in HCHO mixing ratios could be easily resolved. Detection limits at 100 Td were calculated to be 95 pptv, and 78 pptv at an E/N ratio of 80 Td. The formaldehyde normalized sensitivity at 80 Td
was 25 Hz/ppbv, yielding an absolute sensitivity of 115 Hz/ppbv. During field testing a significant ion signal at $m/z=49$ was observed which was attributed to CH$_3$OOH. This species is potentially quantifiable by PTR-MS instruments if they are operated at low $E/N$ and low water vapor concentrations and warrants further investigation.
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Table 1. Percent difference in normalized sensitivities between dry air (1.9 mmol/mol water vapor) and humid air (>24 mmol/mol water vapor).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m/z</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Proton affinity (kJ/mol)²</th>
<th>Permanent dipole moment (Debye)³</th>
<th>%Difference in normalized sensitivity Td=120</th>
<th>%Difference in normalized sensitivity Td=100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td>712.9</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>−75.6</td>
<td>−246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>754.3</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>acetonitrile</td>
<td>779.2</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td>786.5</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>isoprene</td>
<td>826.4</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>methacrolein</td>
<td>808.7</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>2-butanone</td>
<td>827.3</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>benzene</td>
<td>750.4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>784.0</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>839.5</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td>794.4</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>1,2,4-trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>α-pinene</td>
<td>879³</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>41.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² Hunter and Lias, 1998.
³ Zhao and Zang, 2004.
³ normalized sensitivity defined as Hz/ppbv per MHz H₃O⁺.
Table 2. Averaged normalized sensitivities (Hz/ppbv per MHz H$_3$O$^+$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m/z$</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Ambient RH Td=120</th>
<th>Dehumidified at −30°C Td=120</th>
<th>Td=100</th>
<th>Td=80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td>3.4±0.8</td>
<td>11.3±1.1</td>
<td>16.9±1.1</td>
<td>25.0±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>10.5±0.6</td>
<td>10.4±0.6</td>
<td>13.4±0.5</td>
<td>19.1±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>acetonitrile</td>
<td>17.9±1.1</td>
<td>17.3±0.6</td>
<td>23.7±0.3</td>
<td>35.4±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td>14.2±0.9</td>
<td>13.5±0.5</td>
<td>18.5±0.2</td>
<td>26.4±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>15.2±0.9</td>
<td>14.6±0.5</td>
<td>19.9±0.5</td>
<td>29.7±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>isoprene</td>
<td>10.0±0.6</td>
<td>9.5±0.5</td>
<td>14.0±0.3</td>
<td>20.3±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>methacrolein (MACR)</td>
<td>8.6±0.5</td>
<td>8.1±0.4</td>
<td>11.9±0.3</td>
<td>17.6±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>2-butanone (MEK)</td>
<td>19.1±1.1</td>
<td>18.5±0.7</td>
<td>25.5±0.6</td>
<td>37.8±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>benzene</td>
<td>9.7±0.4</td>
<td>10.2±0.6</td>
<td>13.3±0.3</td>
<td>18.4±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>10.0±0.5</td>
<td>10.1±0.5</td>
<td>13.2±0.3</td>
<td>18.5±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td>10.5±0.5</td>
<td>10.6±0.6</td>
<td>13.6±0.4</td>
<td>19.4±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td>9.2±0.5</td>
<td>9.2±0.4</td>
<td>11.8±0.3</td>
<td>17.1±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>1,2,4-trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>8.5±0.5</td>
<td>8.6±0.5</td>
<td>10.8±0.4</td>
<td>15.7±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene</td>
<td>8.1±0.8</td>
<td>8.0±0.7</td>
<td>9.5±0.4</td>
<td>13.7±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>$\alpha$-pinene</td>
<td>3.2±0.2</td>
<td>3.1±0.2</td>
<td>4.5±0.2</td>
<td>7.5±0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Humidity dependence of ion counts rates and normalized sensitivity for 120 and 108 Td drift conditions at 2.1 mbar pressure. The Td=120 data are given by open symbols, Td=108 data by filled symbols. Panel (a) count rates for H$_3$O$^+$ (circles) and H$^+$(H$_2$O)$_2$ (squares). Panel (b) shows corresponding normalized sensitivity and fits to data for benzene (circles with linear fit), acetaldehyde (triangles with power law fit), and formaldehyde (squares with exponential fit).
Impact of water trap on formaldehyde (m31), methanol (m33), and acetaldehyde (m45) ion signals. PTR-MS switches from sampling at 50% RH at 23°C (region A) at about the 500 s mark to sampling through the water trap at −40°C as indicated by dashed line and abrupt decrease in H+(H₂O)₂ count rates. Formaldehyde initially increases to a level indicated by region B as trap accumulates ice and PTR-MS dries (conditioning period), then increases to a final value indicated by region C. In contrast methanol decreases then recovers to original count rate.
Fig. 3. Dependence of HCHO normalized sensitivity on trap temperature for 50% RH sample flow at 23°C. PTR-MS conditions were 120 Td, 2.4 mbar pressure, 50°C drift temperature. Results from 2 different experiments are shown. Trace is a sigmoid fit through the data to illustrate abrupt increase in sensitivity at trap temperatures below −25°C.
Fig. 4. Illustration of HCHO (m31), methanol (m33), and acetonitrile (m42) loss to warm ice. Panel (a) shows change in ion signal as PTR-MS switches from sampling at 50% RH at 23°C to sampling through water trap at −30°C. Abrupt decrease in H⁺(H₂O)₂ (m37) ion signal indicates when PTR-MS samples through the water trap. Methanol displayed an initial decrease in ion signal then recovered to the ambient RH level. Panel (b) shows the change in ion signal for sampling through a −25°C water trap temperature. In this case, methanol and acetonitrile count rates did not recover to those observed for ambient RH sampling. HCHO initially increased then decreased to a count rate slightly greater than ambient RH conditions. Benzene (m79) and 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene (m121) displayed no losses to water trap at either temperature.
Fig. 5. Dependence of normalized sensitivities on water cluster count rates. Water cluster counts rates were normalized to MHz of H$_3$O$^+$. Panel (A) shows acetonitrile, acetaldehyde, and isoprene as examples of the positive linear dependence most species displayed. Panel (B) illustrates a weaker dependence for benzene, and a negative exponential dependence for HCHO.
Fig. 6. Time series of $H^+(H_2O)_2$ count rates (m37) in upper panel, m31 count rates in middle panel, and HCHO mixing ratios in lower panel. The crenulations in the m37 and m31 count rates are due to switching between ambient humidity sampling and dehumidified sampling conditions. Calibration and zero periods are indicated. HCHO mixing ratios were determined from the measured m31 count rates using separate calibration factors for the 2 sampling conditions.
Fig. 7. Time series of alkylbenzene mixing ratios on left axes and H⁺(H₂O)₂ ion count rates (blue trace) on the right axis, illustrating loss of C₄-alkylbenznes through cold trap at low mixing ratios. Alkylbenzene data are given by symbols. Solid black line through the data is a 5 point box smooth to better display trends.
Fig. 8. Formaldehyde data illustrating signal-to-noise compared to amplitude of diel variation. There is a data point every 73 s and the formaldehyde dwell time was 5 s. The sample was dried to −30°C dew point and the PTR-MS operated at 100 Td and a pressure of 2.1 mbar.
Fig. 9. Time series of 5 min interval averages for HCHO (black) and the $m/z=49$ ion signal interpreted to be CH$_3$OOH (green). Both species display diel variation with night time minimums during a period with persistent southern air flow (5 May–17 May). Decrease in CH$_3$OOH on 17 May corresponds to passage of a cold front and rainy weather. Cold front passage also marked a change in air flow from southerly to northeasterly, bringing polluted air from a heavily industrialized region of Houston and higher HCHO mixing ratios.