Attribution of ozone radiative forcing trend to individual NO\textsubscript{x} sources
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Abstract

Decadal means of ozone fields from transient E39/C climate-chemistry simulations (1960–2019) are analysed and temporally developing ozone radiative forcings (RF) are investigated which result from individual ozone precursor sources like road traffic, industry, air traffic, etc. We study how effective NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from different sources produce ozone. This ozone production efficiency is mainly dependent on the altitude of NO\textsubscript{x} emission and on the amount of background NO\textsubscript{x}. For example, our study shows that the ozone production efficiency of lightning and air traffic have a five and two time higher ozone production efficiency than ground based sources. The radiative efficiency of ozone (i.e. the radiative forcing per molecule) is mainly dependent on the surface temperature, but also, to a lesser degree, on the altitude of added ozone. Lightning, for example, causes the highest specific RF due to the fact that lightning primarily enhances ozone in low latitudes in the mid-troposphere. Superimposed on these effects, is a saturation effect which causes a decreasing RF efficiency with increasing background ozone. A consequence of this saturation effect is an underestimation of total RF by about 10% if the component RFs of individual ozone sources are calculated separately and added up afterwards. The results show that the time development of emissions (1960–2019) control the RF changes for most sources. RF changes are slightly reduced due to a changing atmospheric composition (10–25%) for all but the aircraft sources, and due to RF saturation (2–5%).

1 Introduction

Ozone changes have a significant impact on climate (Ramanathan et al., 1976; Ramanathan and Dickinson, 1979; Fishman et al., 1979; Wang et al., 1980). Ozone is the third most important greenhouse gas perturbed by human activity, next to carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) and methane (CH\textsubscript{4}). The ozone effect is a combination of infrared green-
house warming and shortwave absorption, the latter contributing a negative radiative forcing at the tropopause for stratospheric ozone increase but a positive forcing for tropospheric ozone increase. Tropospheric ozone is produced primary through the reaction:

\[ \text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{OH} \]
\[ \text{NO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}^{(3}\text{P}) \]
\[ \text{O}^{(3}\text{P}) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_3. \]

An enhanced \( \text{NO}_x \) (=\( \text{NO}+\text{NO}_2 \)) emission leads to an enhanced tropospheric ozone production. The impact of \( \text{NO}_x \) emissions on the ozone budget has been discussed recently, e.g. for air traffic emissions (IPCC, 1999; Grewe et al., 2002; Köhler et al., 2008), ship emissions (Eyring et al., 2007) and emissions from road traffic (Granier and Brasseur, 2003; Matthes et al., 2007). Globally, there is an upward trend in ozone precursor (\( \text{NO}_x \)) of different sources, leading to a strong increasing trend in tropospheric ozone abundance. Industry and air traffic for example have shown a rapid increase in \( \text{NO}_x \) emissions since 1960 and an ongoing increase in the next decades and further ozone increase in the troposphere is expected. By contrast the ozone amount in the stratosphere has decreased from 1970 to 2000 due to emission of CFCs (e.g. WMO, 2003; Dameris et al., 2006).

In order to quantify how an ozone source perturbs the global climate we calculate the radiative forcing (RF). The concept of RF has been extensively used indicating the potential importance of climate change mechanisms (e.g. IPCC, 1990, 1992, 1995, 1999, 2007). It allows a first order estimate of the global-mean surface temperature change without the need for time-consuming and computationally expensive 3-D simulations of the climate system on the assumption of an approximately linear relationship between the global mean RF and the equilibrium response of global mean surface temperature \( \Delta T_{\text{surf}} \) (e.g. Dickinson, 1982; Hansen et al., 1997; Stuber et al., 2001). The climate sensitivity parameter \( \lambda \) relates the climate forcing to the climate response: \( \Delta T_{\text{surf}} = \lambda \text{RF} \). The RF concept enables to compare forcings from different mechanisms, even if these
are too small as to give a statistically significant climate response in 3-D simulations. Lacis et al. (1990) showed that the radiative forcing produced from a certain amount of ozone is dependent on the altitude of the perturbation. Ozone increases at altitudes near the tropopause produce the largest increases in RF because of the higher temperature difference between surface and emission altitude. The spatial distribution of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions and therewith ozone vertical profiles of several ozone sources are very different. Industry, road traffic and ships especially emit in the northern mid-latitudes at low levels, while lightning, for example, emits especially in the tropical mid to upper troposphere. Therefore the ozone RF per unit column change differs significantly between individual NO\textsubscript{x} sources.

Previous studies have concentrated on ozone changes between present-day and the preindustrial area or between a future time slice and the preindustrial area, in most cases for the total of all anthropogenic precursor emission sources (e.g. Gauss et al., 2006). In the present paper we address the development in time of ozone change contributions, including their radiative forcing, from individual NO\textsubscript{x} emission sources. Analysis is based on transient simulations with a coupled chemistry climate model (CCM) covering the period between 1960 and 2019. A detailed description of the model and experimental set up is given in Sect. 2. The ozone column of different ozone sources and the resulting RF are described in Sect. 3. Uncertainties are discussed in Sect. 4. Special emphasis is given to saturation and adding effects, which are discussed in Sects. 5.1 and 5.2, respectively.

2 Model description and experimental set up

The applied global climate-chemistry model E39/C (Hein et al., 2001) consists of the climate model ECHAM4.L39(DLR) (Land et al., 1999) coupled to the chemistry module CHEM (Steil et al., 1998). The climate model is a derivate of the standard ECHAM4 model (Roeckner et al., 1996), with a higher vertical resolution especially at tropopause levels to better represent processes and gradients in this region. ECHAM4 is a spectral
The atmosphere general circulation model, based on the primitive equations.

The chemistry module CHEM includes homogeneous and heterogeneous stratospheric reactions, as well as the tropospheric background chemistry \( \text{CH}_4 - \text{CO} - \text{NO}_x - \text{HO}_x - \text{O}_3 \). A total of 37 species and 107 reactions are applied, however, NMHC chemistry is not included. The model is running as a full interactive CCM, e.g. the hydrological cycle are directly coupled to the radiation and chemistry scheme, photolysis scheme, wet deposition and lightning. The model system has been intensively validated against measurements including a variety of international validation activities (e.g. Brunner et al., 2003, 2005; Austin et al., 2003; Shine et al., 2003) and has been applied for a variety of scientific questions with regard to the future development of the ozone layer (Schnadt et al., 2002; Dameris et al., 2006), air traffic impacts (Grewe et al., 2002, and references therein), etc.

An ensemble of transient simulations for the recent past (1960 to 1999) and for the future (2000 to 2019) are used to calculate the ozone fields of different sources. For a detailed description of the simulation of the past see Dameris et al. (2005) and for the future see Dameris et al. (2006).

Essential for the purpose addressed in this paper is the inclusion of a \( \text{NO}_x \)-ozone tracking diagnostic for individual sources in the E39/C simulations (Grewe, 2004) for which all emission sources for \( \text{NO}_x \) are specified (lightning, biomass burning, soils, industry, road traffic, ships, air traffic and stratospheric \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) degradation). To each of these 8 sources a \( \text{NO}_y \) tracer is assigned in addition to the chemical species in the module CHEM, which receives its specific emission and \( \text{NO}_y \) loss terms proportional to the total \( \text{NO}_y \) loss (Grewe, 2007). To each \( \text{NO}_y \) tracer an ozone tracer is assigned, which experiences an ozone production proportional to the ratio of specific \( \text{NO}_y \) to total \( \text{NO}_y \) concentration multiplied by the total ozone production via the reaction of \( \text{NO} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{OH} \). A further ozone tracer is included to account for ozone production by \( \text{O}_2 \) photolysis (mainly in the stratosphere). Grewe (2004) compared two approaches to calculate the contribution of \( \text{NO}_x \) emissions to ozone: the tagging method and calculation of individual ozone perturbations by dedicated simulations for...
individual NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. With latter, the ozone concentration response could not be fully explained, due to non-linearities in the chemistry. Hence, a downscaling of the emissions and subsequent upscaling of the ozone response derived was necessary in case of individual perturbation simulations. Differences occurred in the tropopause region. However, both approaches largely agreed if trends in ozone are attributed to trends in emissions, as trends are generally smaller than background distribution from some emission sector. Emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} are either prescribed (biomass burning, road traffic, ships, soils, industry, and air traffic) or calculated on-line (lightning, N\textsubscript{2}O degradation). Lighting NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are calculated based on the strength of the convective mass flux and depth of convective clouds (Grewe et al., 2001).

The development of individual NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from different tropospheric sources from 1960–2019 is presented in Fig. 1a. The growth of anthropogenic NO\textsubscript{x} emissions is based on economic scenarios of development of GDP (gross domestic product) in respective geographical regions. Industry has shown the highest NO\textsubscript{x} emissions ever since the 1960s and further rapid increase is expected until 2019. The second largest emitter of NO\textsubscript{x} is road traffic. The NO\textsubscript{x} emissions of road traffic have increased in the past (1960–2003) proportional with industry. Since 2004 the increase of road traffic NO\textsubscript{x} emissions continues to be proportional to industrial emissions only in developing regions like Latin America, Africa, China, Eastern Europe, CIS (Commonwealth of Independent States), Middle East, India and Southeast Asia (Fig. 1b), with highest growth rates in Southeast Asia, India, China and Africa. In industrial regions like USA, Canada, Japan, Oceania and Europe a slow decrease of NO\textsubscript{x} emission is assumed due to the use of reduction mechanism like catalytic converters (Matthes, 2003). Likewise, due to projected emission reductions by international regulations the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from shipping can be expected to decrease beyond 2005, too (Eyring et al., 2005; H. W. Köhler, personal communication). The increase of NO\textsubscript{x} emission from air traffic is similarly fast as that of industry but with a much lower absolute value. Emissions from air traffic are only about 2% of industry emissions (for a better visibility the emissions of air traffic are multiplied by a factor of 10 in Fig. 1a). Natural sources like lightning...
and soils as well as biomass burning display an almost constant value during the time period considered here.

An average annual cycle of each ozone field and for each decade of the transient simulations has been taken from the CCM as an input for a subsequent RF calculation. The decadal means are calculated from 1960 to 1969, 1970 to 1979, etc. The RF of an individual ozone source is calculated as difference between RF of the complete ozone field and the RF of a disturbed field (difference between complete field and ozone field of the corresponding source), thus an inverse RF calculation is used. The RFs are calculated using the ECHAM4 radiation scheme in an extra one year simulation with three month spinup.

The stratosphere adjusted RF at the tropopause is calculated, according to the fixed dynamic heating concept, as adopted for use in ECHAM4 by Stuber et al. (2001). This method has already been applied several times for idealised and realistic ozone perturbations (Stuber et al., 2001, 2005). The ECHAM4 radiation scheme performs well for tropospheric ozone but shows a tendency to underestimate the ozone RF for stratospheric perturbations (Forster et al., 2001).

3 Trends in ozone and ozone RF

3.1 Ozone

The global distribution of column ozone for the different sources of the 1990s is presented in Fig. 2. In order to enable use of the same colour set for all sources N₂O degradation and O₂ photolysis have been multiplied with 0.1 and 0.01, respectively. Anthropogenic sources like road traffic, air traffic, ships and industry show higher ozone columns in the Northern- than in the Southern-Hemisphere due to the higher NOₓ emissions in the Northern Hemisphere. Although the NOₓ emissions of road traffic and industry take place over land only, the ozone column over oceans is also affected because of NOₓ and ozone transport by midlatitude westerly winds. The ozone column
resulting for NO\textsubscript{x} emissions of soils shows a broad maximum between about 60° N and 30° S, NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from biomass burning cause an ozone maximum in South Africa due to natural and anthropogenic fires in the rainforest.

Lightning primary occurs in tropical regions with deep convection. In those regions the HNO\textsubscript{3} wash-out is rather high and hence the highest ozone columns value to lightning can be found rather in the outflow regions of main convective activity: Lightning NO\textsubscript{x} is transported downwind from regions with convective activity into regions with large-scale subsidence characterized by clear-sky conditions and UV radiance, which produce very high ozone columns. This finding is consistent with observations as high NO\textsubscript{x} levels in the outflow of mesoscale convection systems have been recently reported by Huntrieser et al. (2007).

The highest ozone column caused by N\textsubscript{2}O degradation and O\textsubscript{2} photolyses, respectively, are at higher latitudes especially in the north, although highest stratospheric ozone production rates takes place in the tropics. Since the lifetime is small in tropical regions, most of the ozone is destroyed when transported to higher latitudes via Brewer-Dobson-Circulation and mixed with ozone from extratropical high altitudes (Grewe, 2006). At higher latitudes the ozone lifetime is quite large and a combination of local ozone production and transported ozone from the tropics causes the higher ozone columns at these latitudes.

Figure 3a shows the trend in global mean column ozone of all tropospheric NO\textsubscript{x} sources between 1960 and 2019. Although lightning contributes relatively small global mean NO\textsubscript{x} emission, similar to biomass burning or soils, the total ozone column component is about three times larger than respective components from biomass burning or soils NO\textsubscript{x} emission. A similar behaviour can be seen in the ozone column of air traffic. While NO\textsubscript{x} emissions of air traffic is only 30% of ships NO\textsubscript{x} emission, the ozone column of air traffic and ships in the 2000s is just equal. The reason for these differences in production efficiency will be further discussed below.

Lightning causes a relative large ozone column (12 DU) in comparison with ground-based anthropogenic sources like road traffic and ships (1–5 DU). These column con-
tributions are located in the troposphere, while ozone column contributions from N₂O degradation and O₂-Photolyses are stratospheric, with contributions of around 40 and 210 DU, respectively. While soils, biomass burning and lightning show a decreasing ozone column since the 1960s, Industry and air traffic show an increasing ozone column because of the rapidly increasing NOₓ emission. Road traffic and ship emissions increase until 2005 and decrease afterwards due to the decreasing NOₓ emissions. The ozone column resulting from NOₓ emission through N₂O degradation (not shown) increases due to increasing N₂O. O₂ photolyses ozone column (not shown) decreases from 1970 to 2010 mainly resulting from emissions of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) leading to ozone destruction (e.g. WMO, 2003; Dameris et al., 2006).

To make it more clear why the relative ozone change from some sources is not given by the relative contribution to the total NOₓ emission, the ozone production efficiency is calculated, which shows how many ozone molecules are produced per molecule NOₓ. The ozone production efficiency of lightning and air traffic is much higher than that of groundbased sources like road traffic, ships, biomass burning, soils and industry (Fig. 3b). While lightning produces about 100 molecules O₃ per molecule NOₓ, air traffic produce about 50 and the other sources between 10 and 30 molecules O₃ per molecule NOₓ. The reason for a higher ozone production efficiency of lightning and air traffic is the higher amount of UV radiance at higher altitudes. The reason for the differences of ground based sources is the strong dependency of ozone production efficiency of the background NOₓ (Fig. 4). The NOₓ value with maximum ozone production is dependent of background O₃, H₂O and CO (Grooß et al., 1998) and lies normally between 0.2 and 0.4 ppbv, but can be up to 5 ppbv (Lin et al., 1988). The ozone production efficiency at a low background NOₓ levels is very small and increases very fast with increasing background. If a certain amount of NOₓ is exceeded, an increase of NOₓ causes a decrease of ozone production efficiency and at last an ozone depletion. Thus emissions in polluted areas cause lower ozone production efficiency than in remote areas. The strong increase of the ozone production efficiency of air traffic from 1960–1980 can be explained with the above mentioned relation between back-
ground NO\textsubscript{x} and ozone production efficiency. In the 1960s a very low background NO\textsubscript{x} of less than 0.02 ppbv NO\textsubscript{x} in higher altitudes causes only a small ozone production, while the increasing background in the 1990s in our simulation causes an increasing ozone production. The ozone production efficiency of industry is lower than that of other ground based sources because the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions take place only in already polluted areas with background values of about 1 ppbv where increasing NO\textsubscript{x} values causes a decreasing ozone production efficiency. Whereas soils and biomass burning emits mainly in remote areas with about 0.2 ppbv and ship, air traffic and road traffic emissions are placed in remote as well as polluted areas. The ozone production efficiency of soils NO\textsubscript{x} emissions is higher in comparison to emissions from biomass burning, because soil emissions peak in the northern midlatitudes summer when the ozone production is enhanced. The enhanced soils emissions in northern midlatitudes in summer are fertilizer induced emissions in agricultural regions (Steinkamp et al., 2009).

The ozone production efficiency of almost all surface sources show a decrease with time, consistent with what has been shown in Lamarque et al. (2005). The reason for this is the increasing overall NO\textsubscript{x} level in our simulation which causes the above mentioned saturation effect for each of the individual contributions. An exception is the ozone production efficiency of road traffic which shows a little increase since 2005. The reason for this is the assumed future scenario implying that NO\textsubscript{x} emissions of road traffic only increase in nonindustrial (remote) regions but decrease in industrial (polluted) regions beyond 2005 (see Fig. 1b). Uno et al. (2007) showed strong increase of NO\textsubscript{2} from 1996 to 2004 over Asia, while Konovalov et al. (2008) shows different development over Europe in this period. The NO\textsubscript{x} emissions over Germany and Great Britain e.g. decreases, but the emissions over Greece and Spain shows a slight increase.

### 3.2 Radiative forcing

The temporal development from 1960 to 2019 of ozone RF from tropospheric sources as derived from the concentration changes discussed in the last section is shown in
Fig. 5. Temporal correlation between RF change and ozone column change is rather close for each component. The highest RF (510–550 mW/m²) as well as the highest ozone column (12 DU) is provided by lightning. The lowest RF (30 mW/m²) as well as the lowest ozone column (1 DU) is contributed by ships and air traffic. However, the relative contribution of some components to the ozone column does not fully predict its relative importance for the ozone RF. This holds especially for stratospheric sources: Although the ozone columns of N₂O degradation and O₂ photolysis with 40 and 210 DU are very high, they produce a relative small RF of about 350 mW/m² (not shown).

In the purpose of studying how effectively an ozone source perturbs the radiation balance we display the specific RF per DU (RF efficiency) in Fig. 6. While tropospheric sources show a similar RF efficiency ranging between 38 and 46 mW/(m² DU), N₂O degradation and O₂ photolysis have smaller net RF efficiencies of 9 and 2 mW/(m² DU), respectively (not shown). The main reason for the low net RF efficiency of N₂O degradation and O₂ photolysis is the negative shortwave part which compensates almost the longwave part of RF. The shortwave RF is negative because the extra solar energy that is absorbed at UV and visible wavelengths by the added ozone within the stratosphere is no longer available for absorption by the troposphere-surface system (Lacis et al., 1990).

Except for the difference in sign, the shortwave RF efficiency of ozone from N₂O degradation and O₂ photolysis are almost in the same range than that of tropospheric sources (8–13 mW/(m² DU), not shown). The longwave RF efficiency of stratospheric sources (15–17 mW/(m² DU), not shown) are only half of the tropospheric values (27–36 mW/(m² DU), not shown). It is mainly the temperature contrast between the ground and the perturbed layer temperatures that accounts for the variation in the ozone vertical greenhouse efficiencies profile (Lacis et al., 1990). Above the tropopause the longwave RF decreases in strength (but remains positive) as the ozone increment is radiating with higher temperature. In addition, the reduced pressure broadening with increasing height also tends to decrease the greenhouse efficiency (Ramanathan et al., 1976).
The net RF efficiency of the tropospheric sources is shown in Fig. 6 as a function of time. The efficiency values vary more strongly between the individual effects than they do over time for each effect. Since 1980 the sources may grouped into three parts: high RF efficiency (lightning), intermediate RF efficiency (soils, biomass burning and air traffic) and low RF efficiency (ships, road traffic and industry). The difference in net RF efficiency is mainly controlled by the longwave forcing (greenhouse effect). Due to the dependency of the greenhouse effect on the temperature of the absorber (see above) the RF efficiency is largest for ozone changes near the tropopause (Lacis et al., 1990). Beside the altitude dependency there is a latitude dependency: Due to the fact that the longwave emission is proportional to $T_s^4$ (surface temperature), the same temperature difference at higher temperatures produces a higher greenhouse effect than at lower temperatures. Hence, the longwave RF efficiency in the tropics is higher than at high latitudes. The RF efficiency depending on the latitude is presented in Fig. 7. The RF efficiency at low latitudes (about 50 mW/(m$^2$ DU)) is almost three times higher than at high latitudes (about 15 mW/(m$^2$ DU)). This confirms earlier results (Berntsen et al., 1997) of the increase of ozone RF with decreasing latitude. The effect of emission at higher levels can still be noticed in Fig. 7. Lightning and air traffic have a somewhat higher RF efficiency than the ground-based sources for all latitudes. However, while the difference of RF efficiency between equator and pole is about 30 mW/(m$^2$ DU), the difference of RF efficiency between ground-based sources like soils and sources in higher altitudes like lightning is only up to 10 mW/(m$^2$ DU). The latitude effect is hence about three time larger than the altitude effect. The RF efficiency of lightning ozone is higher than that of other sources because the altitude effect as well as the latitude effect are working in the same direction, i.e. towards higher specific RF. Aircraft have an intermediate RF efficiency since the emissions take place in a higher altitudes but in mid and high latitudes. The RF efficiency of soils and biomass burning is higher than that of road traffic, ships and industry because the emission take place mainly in tropical regions.

Variation of ozone RF can be explained by a combination of NO$_x$ emission, ozone
production efficiency and RF efficiency as discussed in the previous sections. To summarise the reasons for the RF trend seen in Fig. 5 we show this three effects as fraction of 2010–2019 values to 1960–1969 values in Fig. 8. The product of those effects equates to the fraction of 10 s RF to 60 s RF. Trend in ozone RF of lightning emissions is negative due to decreasing emissions and RF efficiency (Grewe, 2009). Despite the decreasing ozone production and RF efficiency of industry, road traffic and shipping ozone RFs increase due to the increasing emissions of these sectors. The positive trend in ozone RF of air traffic is due to increasing emissions as well as increasing ozone production efficiency and RF efficiency. The trend in biomass burning RF is almost zero because the increasing emissions are compensated by chemical and radiational saturation effects. Although soil emissions are constant the RF decreases due to decreasing ozone production efficiency and RF efficiency.

4 Uncertainties and discussion

Our analysis is based on the calculation of ozone distributions with an online tagging mechanism (Grewe, 2004), representing an accounting system for NO\textsubscript{y} and O\textsubscript{3} contributions from individual NO\textsubscript{x} sources in addition to stratospheric ozone production. This methodology has a large advantage: It is strictly additive and completely explains the simulated total NO\textsubscript{x} and ozone fields. I.e. the ozone field is completely partitioned, except for numerical diffusion caused by the transport scheme. Additivity and complete partition in this respect means that the sum of tagged ozone fields from two sources equals the ozone due to the sum of both emissions and that the sum of all tagged ozone fields equals the ozone field, respectively. Other approaches (Hoor et al., 2008) use small changes in the emissions, e.g. by 5%, in order to calculate the impact of emissions on atmospheric concentrations. This ensures additivity, but not necessarily complete partitioning. As mentioned in Sect. 2 the tagging method may lead to larger changes in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (Grewe, 2004), though the excess remains small compared to the background field. The impact on radiative forc-
ing can be significant, however.

The total anthropogenic ozone forcing is calculated in this paper to be 0.52 mW/m², which compares well with the IPCC estimate of 0.35 (0.25 to 0.65) W/m². The contribution from individual sectors is within the range of other modelling studies with respect to lightning (Toumi et al., 1996), aircraft (Sausen et al., 2005; Fuglestvedt et al., 2008) and ship emissions (Fuglestvedt et al., 2008). With regard to biomass burning emissions, the emission strength varies largely among other studies. Scaling of the results by Unger et al. (2008) to the same emission strength leads to an agreement in the range of 25%. Road traffic emissions are assumed to be larger in our study compared to Hoor et al. (2008); Granier and Brasseur (2003); Fuglestvedt et al. (2008). The response to ozone and RF are similar to Fuglestvedt et al. (2008): They estimated (see their supporting materials) 25 molecules ozone per emitted NOx molecule and 45 mW/(m² DU), which compares well with our values (Figs. 3b and 6).

Some of the remaining discrepancies occur due to the consideration of the NOx-HOx-CO-CH₄ chemistry and emissions, only. Road traffic NMHC emissions (which are not considered in E39/C) enhance the long-range transport of nitrogen compounds via formation of additional PAN (Matthes et al., 2007). However, the less confined change pattern expected due to PAN formation and the shorter ozone lifetime caused by an increase in HO₂ due to NMHC chemistry are counteracting processes. NMHC chemistry is not included in the present simulation and both processes somehow compensate, but for the wrong reason.

5 Nonlinearities

5.1 Saturation effects

The analysis of the trend of the RF produced per DU ozone (RF efficiency, Fig. 6) showed that this efficiency decreases with time. Since 1980 the RF efficiency of almost all sources decreases. By the 2010s it is about 2–3% lower than during the 1980s,
except for the aviation and road traffic contributions. In this section we present and
discuss results of dedicated radiative forcing calculations in order to quantify a potential
saturation effect in the radiative forcing dependent on the background ozone level.
To this end, the radiative forcing of the tropospheric ozone distribution of the 1990s
was calculated with the background ozone gradually increasing. As RF calculation
is inverse (see Sect. 2) the same ozone distribution is subtracted of differing back-
ground ozone fields. Six different radiative forcings were performed using an ozone
background amplified by factors one, two, three, four, five and six. The results are dis-
played in Fig. 9. The higher the background ozone the lower the resulting RF efficiency.
This effect is stronger for the longwave forcing than for the shortwave forcing, because
ozone absorbs longwave radiation only in a small band which is faster saturated than
the wide band of ozone absorption of shortwave radiation. The nonlinear behaviour of
the net RF is dominated by the longwave component.

5.2 Additivity
In this section we study whether the nonlinear saturation effect discussed above limits
the additivity of the RF of a number of different components. Hence, we did an ad-
ditional RF calculation for the sum of all tropospheric sources and for the sum of the
two stratospheric sources. This forcing of the sum of contributions is compared with
the sum of all separate forcings as displayed in Fig. 5. The comparison employs the
1990s conditions as an example. As RF is calculated inverse, the RF of the sum of the
stratospheric sources is calculated with double background ozone, because otherwise
spurious negative ozone residuals might have caused a calculation crash.
In Fig. 10 the longwave, shortwave and net RF for both calculation methods are
shown. While the shortwave forcing of tropospheric sources (Fig. 10a) doesn’t show
any substantial deviation from perfect additivity, the longwave forcing of the sum of
separately calculated RF is about 0.15 W/m², i.e. 10%, lower than the radiative forcing
of the sum of ozone changes. For the stratospheric sources (Fig. 10b) the separately
calculated net RF is almost equal to the RF of the sum of both sources, due to the
fact that both forcings are about 0.1 W/m² higher but shortwave forcings are negative. The main reason for the nonlinearity in the tropospheric case is the saturation effect described in Sect. 5.1: In case of an individual calculation for each component, the background ozone levels is always higher than it is in case of one calculation for the sum of components. As in Sect. 5.1 the higher background ozone causes a saturation effect and therewith smaller RF. As a result, the RF of anthropogenic sources are smaller if the RFs of different sources are totalised than the RF of one calculation for the sum of components.

6 Conclusions

In this paper the temporal development of atmospheric ozone and its radiative forcing has been analysed with respect to the contributions of individual NOₓ emission sources. The ozone production efficiency (ozone production per molecule NOₓ) and therewith the produced ozone column is strongly dependent on the emission level and to a lower extent to the background NOₓ level. For example, one NOₓ molecule emitted by lightning in relatively high altitudes can produce about 100 molecules O₃, while one NOₓ molecule emitted by industry can only produce about 10 molecules O₃. The dependency of background NOₓ can also be seen, e.g., in the different ozone production efficiency of industry and soils. Soils emissions occur in remote areas of relatively low background concentration and produce about three time more ozone than industry which emits in polluted areas.

The RF of tropospheric sources show a strong correlation with ozone column: a high ozone column causes a high RF. Different to the tropospheric sources, the stratospheric sources cause a very low RF relative to their high ozone column. The reason is the negative shortwave part of the RF (if solar absorption occurs above the tropopause) which almost compensates the positive longwave forcing. Another reason for the low RF values of stratospheric sources in the mid stratosphere is the relative low longwave part caused by the temperature increase above the tropopause.
The longwave RF is dependent on the difference between the surface temperature and the temperature of the absorption altitude, respectively. So the longwave RF is dependent on the emission altitude because of the higher temperature difference of emission and absorption level as well as on the emission latitude due to the higher emission temperature of the surface. The latitude effect is about three times larger than the altitude effect.

Additional to the modulating impact of latitude and altitude of the added ozone on the induced RF there is a saturation effect which causes a decreasing RF efficiency with increasing background ozone due to saturation of absorption bands. This saturation effect is a reason for the occurrence of a nonlinearity effect. The nonlinearity effect causes differences of about 10% in RF between calculating several disturbances separately or together due to the different background NO\textsubscript{x}.

The results show that changes in NO\textsubscript{x} emissions (1960–2019) control the RF changes for most sources. RF changes are slightly decreasing due to a changing atmospheric composition (10–25%) for all but the aircraft sources and due to RF saturation (2–5%).
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Fig. 1. Trends in NO$_x$-emission (a) of road traffic (solid black), air traffic (dashed red, scaled by a factor 10), ships (dashed blue), industry (dashed green), soils (dashed-dotted purple), biomass burning (dotted light blue) and lightning (dotted grey) in Tg(N)/a. And (b) road traffic growth rates of between 2000 and 2020 in relation to 1990 emissions for industrial regions (solid black), Latin America (solid red), Africa and China (solid blue), Eastern Europe (dashed green), CIS (Commonwealth of Independent States, dashed-dotted purple), Middle Eastern (dotted light blue), India (dotted grey) and Southeast Asia (dashed red).
Fig. 2. Decadal mean ozone column of the 1990s in DU according to their sources: road traffic, air traffic, ships, industry, soils, biomass burning, lightning, NO₂-Degradation and O₂-photolysis. For a better visibility NO₂-Degradation is multiplied with a factor of 0.1 and O₂-photolysis with a factor of 0.01.
Fig. 3. Trends between 1960 and 2019 of ozone column (a) in DU and ozone production efficiency (b) in molecules (O₃)/molecule (NOₓ) for road traffic (black solid), air traffic (dashed red), ships (dashed blue), industry (dashed green), soils (dashed-dotted purple), biomass burning (dotted light blue) and lightning (dotted grey).
Fig. 4. Net O$_3$-production rate in relation to background NO$_x$ with some examples of typical NO$_x$ values (adapted from Grooß et al., 1998).
Fig. 5. Trends in RF of road traffic (black solid), air traffic (dashed red), ships (dashed blue), industry (dashed green), soils (dashed-dotted purple), biomass burning (dotted light blue) and lightning (dotted grey) in mW/m².
Fig. 6. Trends in RF efficiency of road traffic (black solid), air traffic (dashed red), ships (dashed blue), industry (dashed green), soils (dashed-dotted purple), biomass burning (dotted light blue) and lightning (dotted grey) in mW/(m² DU).
Fig. 7. Zonal mean of RF efficiency of road traffic (solid black), air traffic (dashed red), ships (dashed blue), industry (dashed green), soils (dashed-dotted purple), biomass burning (dotted light blue), lightning (dotted grey), stratospheric production (dotted orange) and O$_2$-photolysis (dashed-dotted dark green) for 2010–2019 in mW/(m$^2$ DU).
Fig. 8. Trends of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions (red), ozone production efficiency (blue) and RF efficiency (green) from 1960 to 2019 for lightning, industry, road traffic, ships, air traffic, biomass burning and soil emissions. The chemistry effect of lightning and the radiation effect of road traffic are too small to be seen in this kind of picture.
Fig. 9. Dependancy of RF of tropospheric sources in W/m² on background ozone in units of absolute ozone fields of the 1990s. Lw RF (solid black), sw RF (solid red) and net RF (solid blue) are presented separately.
Fig. 10. Nonlinearity of RF of tropospheric sources (a) and stratospheric sources (b). Lw RF (black), sw RF (red) and net RF (blue) for the RF calculation of the sum of disturbance (solid) and the sum of separately calculated RF (dashed).