The comprehensive model system COSMO-ART – radiative impact of aerosol on the state of the atmosphere on the regional scale
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Abstract

A new fully online coupled model system developed for the evaluation of the interaction of aerosol particles with the atmosphere on the regional scale is described. The model system is based on the operational weather forecast model of the Deutscher Wetterdienst. Physical processes like transport, turbulent diffusion, and dry and wet deposition are treated together with photochemistry and aerosol dynamics using the modal approach. Based on detailed calculations we have developed parameterizations to examine the impact of aerosol particles on photolysis and on radiation. Currently the model allows feedback between natural and anthropogenic aerosol particles and the atmospheric variables that are initialized by the modification of the radiative fluxes. The model system is applied to two summer episodes, each lasting five days, with a model domain covering Western Europe and adjacent regions. The first episode is characterized by almost cloud free conditions and the second one by cloudy conditions. The simulated aerosol concentrations are compared to observations made at 700 stations distributed over Western Europe.

For each episode two model runs are performed; one where the feedback between the aerosol particles and the atmosphere is taken into account and a second one where the feedback is neglected. Comparing these two sets of model runs, the radiative feedback on temperature and other variables is evaluated.

In the cloud free case a clear correlation between the aerosol optical depth and changes in global radiation and temperature is found. In the case of cloudy conditions the pure radiative effects are superposed by changes in the liquid water content of the clouds due to changes in the thermodynamics of the atmosphere. In this case the correlation between the aerosol optical depth and its effects on temperature is low. However, on average a decrease in the 2 m temperature is still found. In both cases a reduction in the daily temperature range, due to the aerosol optical depth, can be seen with an average value of -0.13 K over Germany.
1 Introduction

Aerosol particles modify atmospheric radiative fluxes and interact with clouds. As documented in the IPCC 2007 report the global influence of natural and anthropogenic aerosols on the atmosphere is not well understood. As shown by recently published and contradictive findings the state of knowledge is even worse concerning the effects of aerosol particles on radiation, temperature, and cloud formation on the regional scale (Bäumer and Vogel, 2007, 2008; Franssen, 2008; Bell et al., 2008). Beside observations, numerical models are important tools to improve our current understanding of the role of natural and anthropogenic aerosol particles for the state of the atmosphere. On the global scale there exist a large number of model systems and corresponding applications of models addressing the quantification of the effect of anthropogenic aerosol particles on climate change (e.g. Lohmann, 2008; Hoose et al., 2008; Bäumer et al., 2007). Due to a lack of computer capacity global climate models however often include simplifications and approximations (Lohmann and Schwartz, 2009). For example, Stier et al. (2005) prescribe the spatial distribution of important gaseous compounds that are involved in the formation and the dynamics of the aerosol particles.

On the cloud resolving scale several studies concerning the influence of aerosols and cloud formation can be found also (Khain et al., 2004; Levin et al., 2005; Cui et al., 2006). These model systems do not account for the radiative interaction of aerosol particles, cloud droplets and other hydrometeors. Most often the aerosols are treated in a very general manner within these models. One example is to categorize the aerosols as continental or maritime respectively, with prescribed size distributions that are kept constant during the simulation (Noppel et al., 2007).

On the continental to the regional scale there also exist several model systems which treat atmospheric processes, chemistry and aerosol dynamics. However, most of these model systems focus on air quality problems (Zhang, 2008 and references therein; Stern et al., 2008).

When studying feedback processes between aerosol particles and the atmosphere...
it is necessary to use online coupled model systems. Here, online coupled means that only one grid and identical physical parameterizations are used. In such a fully online coupled model system all variables are available at the same time step without spatial or temporal interpolation. It allows studying feedback processes between meteorology, emissions and chemical composition. Those online coupled models have to treat the relevant physical, chemical, and aerosol dynamical processes at a comparable level of complexity. Meteorological pre- or postprocessors are not needed.

Currently only a limited number of model systems exist fulfilling these requirements (Zhang, 2008). Studying atmospheric processes with grid sizes down to a few kilometres requires a non-hydrostatic formulation of the model equations on the regional scale where phenomena such as mountain and valley winds, land-see breezes or lee waves become important (Wippermann, 1980). This again reduces the number of available model systems. One example of such a fully online coupled model system is the WRF/Chem model (Grell et al., 2005).

We have developed a new online coupled model system which is based on the operational weather forecast model COSMO developed at the Deutscher Wetterdienst. Processes such as gas-phase chemistry, aerosol dynamics, and the impact of natural and anthropogenic aerosol particles on the state of the atmosphere are taken into account. As the radiative fluxes are modified based on the currently simulated aerosol distribution a quantification of several feedback processes is possible. In the first part of the paper we will give an outline of the current status of the model system. In the second part we address the effect of soot and secondary aerosols on radiation and temperature over Europe by applying the model system to two episodes in August 2005. In order to quantify the feedback mechanisms caused by the interaction of aerosol particles and radiation separately, the interactions of the aerosol particle with cloud microphysics are neglected.
2 Model description

Based on the mesoscale model system KAMM/DRAIS/MADEsoot/dust (Riemer et al., 2003a; Vogel et al., 2006) we have developed an enhanced model system to simulate the spatial and temporal distribution of reactive gaseous and particulate matter. The meteorological module of the former model system was replaced by the operational weather forecast model COSMO (Consortium for Small-scale Modelling) (Steppeler et al., 2002) of the Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD). The name of the new model system is COSMO-ART (ART stands for aerosols and reactive trace gases). Gas phase chemistry and aerosol dynamics are online coupled with the operational version of the COSMO model. That means that in addition to transport of a non reactive tracer, the dispersion of chemical reactive species and aerosols can be calculated. Secondary aerosols formed from the gas phase and directly emitted components like soot, mineral dust, sea salt and biological material are all represented by log normal distributions. Processes as coagulation, condensation and sedimentation are taken into account. The emissions of biogenic VOCs (volatile organic compounds), dust particles, sea salt and pollen are also calculated online at each time step as functions of meteorological variables. To calculate the photolysis frequencies a new efficient method was developed using the GRAALS (general radiative algorithm adapted to linear-type solutions) radiation scheme (Ritter and Geleyn, 1992), which is already implemented in the COSMO model. With this regional scale model system we want to quantify feedback processes between aerosols and the state of the atmosphere together with the interaction between trace gases and aerosols. The model system can be embedded by one way nesting into individual global scale models as the GME model (global model of the DWD) or the IFS (integrated forecast system) model of ECMWF. Figure 1 gives an overview of the new model system.

When developing COSMO-ART we applied the concept of using identical methods to calculate the transport of all scalars, i.e. temperature, humidity, and concentrations of gases and aerosols. This also includes the treatment of deep convection with the
Tiedtke scheme (Tiedtke, 1989). As COSMO-ART has a modular structure specific processes as chemistry or aerosol dynamics can be easily substituted by alternative parameterizations.

2.1 The extended version of MADEsoot

In MADEsoot (Modal Aerosol Dynamics Model for Europe extended by Soot), several overlapping modes represent the aerosol population, which are approximated by lognormal functions. Currently, we use five modes for the sub-micron particles. Two modes (if andjf) represent secondary particles consisting of sulphate, ammonium, nitrate, organic compounds (SOA), and water, one mode (s) represents pure soot and two more modes (ic andjc) represent aged soot particles consisting of sulphate, ammonium, nitrate, organic compounds, water, and soot. The modes if, jf, ic and jc are assumed to be internally mixed. All modes are subject to condensation and coagulation. The growth rate of the particles due to condensation is calculated following Binkowski and Shankar (1995) depending on the available mass of the condensable species and the size distribution of the particles. In case of coagulation, the assignment to the individual modes follows the method of Whitby et al. (1991): (1) Particles formed by intramodal coagulation stay in their original modes. (2) Particles formed by intermodal coagulation are assigned to the mode with the larger median diameter. Furthermore, a thermodynamic equilibrium of gas phase and aerosol phase is applied to calculate the concentrations of sulphate, ammonium, nitrate and water (Kim et al., 1993).

The source of the secondary inorganic particles in mode if is the binary nucleation of sulphuric acid and water. The secondary organic compounds are treated according to Schell et al. (2001). The soot particles in mode s are directly emitted into the atmosphere. The particles in mode ic and jc are formed due to the aging process. Two processes can impact the transfer of soot from external into internal mixture, namely coagulation and condensation. Coagulation of soot particles in mode s with particles in modes if, jf, ic or jc transfers the mass of mode s into the modes ic or jc. As a sec-
ond process, condensation of sulphuric acid on the surface of the soot particles and
the subsequent formation of ammonium sulphate and the condensation of organic ma-
terial can transfer soot into an internal mixture as well. Following Weingartner et al.
(1997) we define that all material of mode $s$ is moved to modes $ic$ and $jc$ if the solu-
ble mass fraction of mode $s$ rises above the threshold value $\varepsilon=5\%$. Thus, the ageing
of the soot particles is treated explicitly (Riemer et al., 2004) which is of great impor-
tance with respect to their radiative effects (Jacobson, 2000; Riemer et al., 2003a).
Additionally, sedimentation, advection and turbulent diffusion can modify the aerosol
distributions. The coarse mode $c$ contains additional anthropogenic emitted particles.
Table 1 gives an overview of the standard deviation and the chemical composition of
each mode. Furthermore, COSMO-ART also treats mineral dust (Stanelle, 2008), sea
salt (Lundgren, 2006), and pollen (Vogel et al., 2008).

For each mode prognostics equations for the number density and the mass density
are solved. The standard deviations are kept constant. The zeroth moment $M_{0,l}$ gives
the total number density of mode $l$. To be consistent with the treatment of tempera-
ture and humidity within COSMO-ART the number density and the mass density are
normalized with the total number density of air molecules $N$ and with the total mass
density of humid air $\rho$, respectively:

$$\Psi_{0,l} = \frac{M_{0,l}}{N}$$

and

$$\Psi_{n,l} = \frac{m_{n,l}}{\rho}$$

$m_{n,l}$ denotes the mass density of the chemical compound $n$ of the aerosol.

In COSMO-ART the following equations are solved numerically for the normalized
number density of each mode.
\[
\frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,if}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{0,if} - \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},0,if} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,if}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\Psi,0,if} - \overline{W}_{0,if}
\]
\[
- \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,if l} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,if jf} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,if ic} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,if jc} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,if s} + \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{\text{u},0},
\]
(3)

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,ic}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{0,ic} - \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},0,ic} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,ic}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\Psi,0,ic} - \overline{W}_{0,ic}
\]
\[
- \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,ic i} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,ic j} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,ic ic} + \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,ic s},
\]
(4)

- for modes \(j\) and \(ic\):
\[
\frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,jf}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{0,jf} - \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},0,jf} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,jf}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\Psi,0,jf} - \overline{W}_{0,jf}
\]
\[
- \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,jf j} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,jf ic} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,jf jc} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,jf s},
\]
(5)

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,jc}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{0,jc} - \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},0,jc} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,jc}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\Psi,0,jc} - \overline{W}_{0,jc}
\]
\[
- \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,jc j} + \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,jc ic} + \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,jc s},
\]
(6)

- for the soot mode \(s\):
\[
\frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,s}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{0,s} - \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},0,s} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,s}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\Psi,0,s} - \overline{W}_{0,s}
\]
\[
- \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,s s} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,if s} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,jf s} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,ic s} - \overline{\mathbf{C}}_{\text{a},0,jc s},
\]
(7)

- and for the coarse mode \(c\):
\[
\frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,c}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{0,c} - \overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},0,c} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{0,c}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\Psi,0,c} - \overline{W}_{0,c}.
\]
(8)

\(\hat{\mathbf{v}}\) is the wind vector, \(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},0,}\) the sedimentation velocity for the zeroth moment and \(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\Psi,0,}\) the turbulent flux for the zeroth moment of the mode \(l\). \(\overline{W}_{0,}\) describes the loss of particles due to precipitation scavenging and is parameterized according to Rinke (2008).
The term $\text{Ca}_{0,l_{1/2}}$ describes the changes of the zeroth moment due to coagulation, and $\text{Nu}_{0,l}$ describes the increase of the zeroth moment due to nucleation. The hat denotes the density weighted Reynolds average that is given by:

$$
\hat{\Psi} = \frac{\rho \Psi}{\rho}
$$

In addition to the balance equations for the normalized number density given above, the balance equations for the normalized mass density are solved. Since a thermodynamical equilibrium is assumed for the system of sulphate, nitrate, ammonium and water, balance equations are only solved for sulphate, soot, SOA and the coarse mode. This leads to the Reynolds-averaged balance equations for the respective modes as follows:

- For the modes $if$ and $ic$:

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},if}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},if} - \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},\text{sulf},if} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},if}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot F_{\text{sulf},if} - \hat{W}_{\text{sulf},if}
$$

$$
- \left( \text{Ca}_{3,if,jf} + \text{Ca}_{3,if,ic} + \text{Ca}_{3,if,jc} + \text{Ca}_{3,if,s} \right) \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},if} M_{3,if}^{-1} + \text{Co}_{\text{sulf},if} + \text{Nu}_{\text{sulf}}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},ic}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},ic} - \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},\text{sulf},ic} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},ic}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot F_{\text{sulf},ic} - \hat{W}_{\text{sulf},ic}
$$

$$
- \left( \text{Ca}_{3,ic,jc} + \text{Ca}_{3,ic,ic} \right) \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},ic} M_{3,ic}^{-1} + \left( \text{Ca}_{3,if,ic} + \text{Ca}_{3,ic,if} \right) \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},if} M_{3,if}^{-1} + \text{Co}_{\text{sulf},ic}
$$

- for the modes $jf$ and $jc$:

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},jf}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},jf} - \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},\text{sulf},jf} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},jf}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot F_{\text{sulf},jf} - \hat{W}_{\text{sulf},jf}
$$

$$
- \left( \text{Ca}_{3,jf,jc} + \text{Ca}_{3,jf,ic} + \text{Ca}_{3,jf,s} \right) \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},jf} M_{3,jf}^{-1} + \text{Co}_{\text{sulf},jf}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},jc}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},jc} - \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{sed},\text{sulf},jc} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},jc}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot F_{\text{sulf},jc} - \hat{W}_{\text{sulf},jc}
$$

$$
+ \left( \text{Ca}_{3,ic,jc} + \text{Ca}_{3,ic,jf} \right) \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},ic} M_{3,ic}^{-1} + \left( \text{Ca}_{3,jf,jc} + \text{Ca}_{3,jf,s} + \text{Ca}_{3,jf,ic} \right) \hat{\Psi}_{\text{sulf},jf} M_{3,jf}^{-1} + \text{Co}_{\text{sulf},jc}
$$
\[ \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{s,ic}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{s,ic} - \bar{\nabla}_{\text{sed},s,ic} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{s,ic}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot F \hat{\Psi}_{s,ic} - \bar{W}_{s,ic} \\
\quad + \left( \overline{Ca^{3,s,if}} + \overline{Ca^{3,s,ic}} \right) \frac{\Psi_{\text{sulf},s}}{M^{3,s}} - \left( \overline{Ca^{3,ic,jc}} + \overline{Ca^{3,ic,jf}} \right) \frac{\Psi_{\text{sulf},ic}}{M^{3,ic}} , \tag{14} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{s,ic}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{s,ic} - \bar{\nabla}_{\text{sed},s,ic} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{s,ic}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot F \hat{\Psi}_{s,ic} - \bar{W}_{s,ic} \\
\quad + \left( \overline{Ca^{3,s,jf}} + \overline{Ca^{3,s,jc}} \right) \frac{\Psi_{\text{sulf},s}}{M^{3,s}} + \left( \overline{Ca^{3,ic,jc}} + \overline{Ca^{3,ic,jf}} \right) \frac{\Psi_{\text{sulf},ic}}{M^{3,ic}} , \tag{15} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{s,s}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{s,s} - \bar{\nabla}_{\text{sed},s} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{s,s}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot F \hat{\Psi}_{s,s} - \bar{W}_{s,s} \\
\quad - \left( \overline{Ca^{3,s,if}} + \overline{Ca^{3,s,jf}} + \overline{Ca^{3,s,ic}} + \overline{Ca^{3,s,jc}} \right) \frac{\Psi_{s,s}}{M^{3,s}} , \tag{16} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{c,c}}{\partial t} = -\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{c,c} - \bar{\nabla}_{\text{sed},c,c} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_{c,c}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot F \hat{\Psi}_{c,c} - \bar{W}_{c,c} . \tag{17} \]

\( \bar{\nabla}_{\text{sed,3,l}} \) is the sedimentation velocity with respect to mass in mode \( l \) and \( F^{\Psi_{3,l}} \) is the turbulent flux for the normalized mass density of mode \( l \). In addition, no intramodal coagulation terms appear in the equations for the normalized mass densities, as they do not change this quantity.

\( M_{3,l} \) is the third moment of mode \( l \). Similar equations as Eqs. (10) to (13) are solved for the organic compounds of the aerosol particles and similar equations as Eqs. (8) and (17) are solved for mineral dust and sea salt.

The turbulent fluxes \( F^{\Psi_{n,l}} \) in Eqs. (10)–(17) are parameterized as:

\[ F^{\Psi_{n,l}} = \rho \bar{v}^{n} \Psi^{n,l} . \tag{18} \]
in analogy to the turbulent fluxes in the diffusion equation and in the balance equation for water vapour. $\overline{W_{n,l}}$ describes the loss of particles due to precipitation scavenging and is parameterized according to Rinke (2008). The term $\overline{Ca_{3,1/2}}$ describes the transfer rate of the third moment of mode $l_1$ due to coagulation. $\overline{Co_{sulf,l}}$ is the condensational loss or gain of mass and $\overline{Nu_{sulf}}$ is the increase of mass due to nucleation.

A detailed description of the treatment of the sedimentation and dry deposition, coagulation, condensation, nucleation and the thermodynamical equilibrium of the aerosol species is given by Riemer (2002).

2.2 Chemistry of RADMKA

The chemical reactions of the gaseous species are calculated using the chemical mechanism RADMKA (Regional Acid Deposition Model Version Karlsruhe). This mechanism is based on RADM2 (Regional Acid Deposition Model; Stockwell et al., 1990) and includes several series of improvements. We have updated the reaction rates for $\text{NO}_2^+\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3$ (Donahue et al., 1997) and $\text{HO}_2^+\text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH}^+\text{NO}_2$ (Bohn and Zetsch, 1997). The very simple treatment of the heterogeneous hydrolysis of $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ was also replaced by a more complete one that takes into account the actual aerosol concentration and its chemical composition (Riemer et al., 2003b). Furthermore, the rate constants for $\text{NO}^+\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HONO}$ have been updated and a heterogeneous reaction that leads to the formation of HONO at surfaces has been included (Vogel et al., 2003). As was shown in Vogel et al. (2003) and Sarwar et al. (2008) HONO is an important source for OH under certain conditions. The very simple isoprene scheme of RADM2 has been replaced by the more sophisticated one of Geiger et al. (2003). Additional biogenic and anthropogenic hydrocarbons that may serve as precursors for secondary organic components of the aerosol were added to RADMKA according to the SORGAM (Secondary Organic Aerosol Model) module by Schell et al. (2001). Currently, RADMKA does not take into account wet phase chemistry.
2.3 Treatment of radiative fluxes

Several processes in the atmosphere are affected by shortwave and longwave radiation. The divergence of the radiative fluxes contributes to the diabatic heating. The incoming and outgoing shortwave and longwave fluxes at the earth surface are major components of the energy balance and therefore drive the temporal variation of the surface temperature.

The vertical profiles of the radiative fluxes depend on those of temperature, pressure, the concentrations of water, CO\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{3}, and the size distributions of the aerosol particles. The GRAALS radiation scheme (Ritter and Geleyn, 1992) is used within the COSMO model to calculate vertical profiles of the short- and longwave radiative fluxes. To perform fully coupled simulations with COSMO-ART the aerosol optical properties for each of the eight spectral bands of the GRAALS radiation scheme (Table 2) are required at every grid point and at every time step when the radiative fluxes are calculated. Those optical properties are the extinction coefficient, the single scattering albedo and the asymmetry factor. They depend on the size distributions of the aerosol particles, their chemical composition, as well as the soot and water content of the particles. The necessary Mie calculations can be performed with the detailed code of Bohren and Huffman (1983). However, the enormous amount of computer time inhibits the calculation of the optical properties at each grid point and at each time step. For this reason we have developed a parameterization scheme for the optical properties based on a priori calculations using the scheme of Bohren and Huffman and pre-calculated aerosol distributions. Since these calculations deliver the optical properties at single wavelengths numerous calculations are performed to determine the optical properties for the spectral wavelength bands required by GRAALS. The method we used for the weighting of the individual wavelength is described in Bäumer et al. (2007). Finally, we ended up with the following parameterization for the optical properties. The extinction
Coefficient $b_k$ for a specific wavelength interval is calculated by:

$$b_k = \sum_l \tilde{b}_{k,l} \cdot m_l$$

(19)

$k$ denotes the wavelength interval, and $l$ denotes the modes $if$, $jf$, $ic$, $jc$, and $s$. The coefficients $\tilde{b}_{k,l}$ that were derived from a priori calculations are given in Table 3. $m_l$ is the total wet aerosol mass of mode $l$ in $\mu g m^{-3}$.

The single scattering albedo $\omega_k$ is calculated differently for the long wave range and the short wave range. For wavelength intervals 1–3 $\omega_k$ is given by:

$$\omega_k = \tilde{\omega}_{k,i} \cdot f_{if} + \tilde{\omega}_{k,j} \cdot f_{jf} + \tilde{\omega}_{k,s} \cdot f_s + (2.6278 \cdot sf_{ic} + 1)^{-1.8048} \cdot f_{ic}$$

$$+ (2.0611 \cdot sf_{jc} + 1)^{-1.4309} \cdot f_{jc},$$

(20)

and for wavelength intervals 4–8 by:

$$\omega_k = \sum_l \tilde{\omega}_{k,l} \cdot f_l.$$  

(21)

The asymmetry factors $g_k$ of the individual wavelength intervals are calculated by:

$$g_k = \sum_l \tilde{g}_{k,l} \cdot f_l.$$  

(22)

$sf_{ic}$ and $sf_{jc}$ are the soot fractions of modes $ic$ and $jc$, respectively. The factor $f_l$ gives the mass fraction of the respective mode $l$ related to the total aerosol mass including water. In Table 4 the $\tilde{b}_{k,l}$, $\tilde{\omega}_{k,l}$, and $\tilde{g}_{k,l}$ are given for each wavelength interval and respective mode.

### 2.4 Photolysis frequencies

Photochemistry is influenced by the radiative fluxes in the atmosphere. The photolysis frequencies are required at each grid point due to the highly variable spatial and...
temporal distribution of clouds and aerosols. As the detailed calculation of the photolysis frequencies for the individual species is very time consuming, we have developed a new parameterization combining a detailed radiation scheme with an efficient two-stream scheme. In contrast to existing procedures, which on one hand cannot account for changes in e.g. cloud cover and on the other hand need additional time consuming radiation calculations (e.g. Wild et al., 2000; Landgraf and Crutzen, 1998), this parameterization uses the existing efficient radiation calculations as described above. The parameterization consists of two steps. Step one is an a priori calculation of vertical profiles for the shortwave actinic flux $I_A^*$ for wavelength band 3 and the photolysis frequencies $J_i^*$. $J_i^*$ is achieved with the detailed radiation scheme STAR (System for Transfer of Atmospheric Radiation; Ruggaber et al., 1994) and the shortwave actinic flux $I_A^*$ is calculated with the radiation code GRAALS. These calculations are carried out for a set of solar zenith angles for cloud free conditions and for standard profiles of the aerosol optical depth. Since GRAALS is a two-stream scheme the actinic flux is calculated by:

$$I_A = \frac{1}{\mu_0} E_{\text{dir}} + 2E_{\text{diff}}. \quad (23)$$

$E_{\text{dir}}$ is the direct solar irradiance, $E_{\text{diff}}$ is the diffuse irradiance, and $\mu_0$ is the cosine of the zenith angle. In the second step the online calculation of the actual profiles of the shortwave actinic flux $I_A$ is carried out. By dividing the actual actinic flux by the pre-calculated one, the relative change is determined. The most important factor for the vertical profile of the actinic flux are clouds. For overcast situations the impact of clouds on the actinic flux is nearly wavelength independent in the desired wavelength band (Crawford et al., 2003). Hence, the pre-calculated vertical profiles of the individual photolysis frequencies are used to calculate $J_i(z)$ as:

$$J_i(z) = J_i^*(z) \left( \frac{I_A(z)}{I_A^*(z)} \right). \quad (24)$$
2.5 Emissions

2.5.1 Anthropogenic and biogenic emissions

The anthropogenic emissions were pre-calculated with a spatial resolution of $14 \times 14$ km$^2$ and a temporal resolution of one hour. The anthropogenic emission data account for traffic emissions, emissions by large point sources and area sources such as households and industrial areas. The method used to determine these emissions is described in Pregger et al. (2007). Emissions of the gases SO$_2$, CO, NO$_x$, NH$_3$, and 32 individual classes of VOC, and the particle classes PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{1}$, EC$_{10}$, EC$_{2.5}$, and EC$_{1}$ are treated. PM denotes particulate matter and EC elemental carbon. While the anthropogenic emissions are pre-calculated the biogenic VOC emissions are calculated as functions of the land use data, the modelled temperatures and the modelled radiative fluxes (Vogel et al., 1995). For the parameterization of the NO emissions from the surface a modified scheme of Yienger and Levy (1995) is used (Ludwig et al., 2001).

2.5.2 Sea salt emissions

The emission of sea salt depends on the wind speed and on the sea water temperature and is calculated using a combination of three individual parameterizations for three individual size ranges (Lundgren, 2006). The parameterization of Mårtensson et al. (2003) is chosen for particles with a dry particle diameter of $0.02 \mu$m < $D_p$ < $1 \mu$m. For $1 \mu$m < $D_p$ < $9 \mu$m, the parameterization of Monahan et al. (1986) is used and the parameterization of Smith et al. (1993) is used to describe the flux of particles with a dry particle diameter of $9 \mu$m < $D_p$ < $28 \mu$m. This is illustrated in Fig. 2.

For describing the initial lognormal distribution of sea salt the mode diameter and standard deviation given in Table 4 are implemented. The values are taken from measurements by O’Dowd et al. (1997), except for the standard deviation of the third mode which has been modified with the assumption that large particles have a short resi-
dence time in the atmosphere.

### 2.5.3 Mineral dust emissions

The emissions of mineral dust depend on the friction velocity and on the surface conditions. Mineral dust particles are represented by three modes with initial diameters of 1.5, 6.7 and 14.2 µm. A detailed description of the dust emissions module is given in Vogel et al. (2006).

### 2.6 Sedimentation and deposition

The sedimentation and dry deposition of the aerosol species is treated according to Binkowski and Shankar (1995) and Ackermann et al. (1998, and references therein). The washout of the aerosol particles depends on their size distribution and the size distribution of the rain droplets. A detailed description of the parameterization that is used in COSMO-ART is given in Rinke (2008).

### 3 Results

COSMO-ART is applied to quantify the impact of soot and secondary aerosols via the modification of atmospheric radiation on the state of the atmosphere over Europe for two episodes. Direct interactions of the particles with cloud microphysics are currently excluded. The modification of the radiative fluxes caused by the aerosol particles initialises a number of feedback mechanisms starting with changes in temperature (Fig. 3). Thereafter, the wind, turbulence, humidity, and cloud distributions are modified. Additionally there is an impact on the precipitation which leads to a modification of the washout resulting in another feedback mechanism. The chemical composition of the gaseous and particulate components is modified by these changes. Changes in radiation fluxes due to the aerosol particles also influence the photolysis frequencies and thus, the concentrations of the gases and the chemical composition of the aerosol
are modified. An overview describing the feedback mechanisms that are treated in this application of COSMO-ART is given in Fig. 3.

The simulation domain includes a large part of Europe and northern Africa (Fig. 6). We used a horizontal grid size of 0.125° (~14 km) in both horizontal directions and 40 vertical layers up to a height of 20 km. The meteorological initial and boundary conditions are achieved from the IFS model of ECMWF. The analyses are used to update the boundary conditions every six hours. For the calculation of the biogenic VOC emissions we used land use data provided by the Joint Research Center at Ispra (http://bioval.jrc.ec.europa.eu/products/glc2000/glc2000.php).

We simulated two situations which especially differed in average cloud cover. The first episode (LC) lasted from 28 August until 1 September 2005 and was characterized by nearly cloud free conditions, i.e. a low amount of clouds. Figure 4 shows the surface pressure and the 500 hPa geopotential for the last three days of the episode. A stable surface near high-pressure system with weak gradients over Germany and a ridge over Central Europe prevailed in the period from 29 August until 1 September 2005. Temperatures over Germany reached values above 30°C. In Fig. 5 (left) the average cloud cover for the corresponding period simulated with COSMO-ART is illustrated. The mean cloud cover was less than 20% over Germany, Poland, the Czech Republic, Austria, France, and Southern Spain during this episode.

The second episode (HC) lasted from 16 August until 20 August 2005. During that episode rather cloudy conditions prevailed. In the beginning of the episode the meteorological situation was characterized by a ridge over France and Great Britain, with less clouds and easterly winds. During the following days a high-level trough approached Europe and intensified. On the front side of the trough a depression developed over France and moved on in a north-eastern direction during the next days. This development yielded cloudy conditions and westerly winds for large parts of Europe from the 18th until the end of the episode. During the first four days the daily maximum temperature mostly exceeded 25°C. On the last day of the episode a change in air mass from warm polluted air to cooler maritime air occurred over France and Germany due
to a frontal system passage.

For each case we carried out two sets of simulations. First a reference run (R) was carried out neglecting the feedback mechanisms between the aerosol particles and the atmosphere. In the second model run (F) the feedback mechanisms initialized by the modification of the radiative fluxes by the aerosol particles were enabled. Two days of simulation time were used for the spin up of the model before the feedback processes were switched on.

3.1 Comparison with observations

The results of simulation F were compared with observations for each of the episodes. Daily mean PM$_{10}$ concentration data was used from more than 731 stations classified as rural. Their respective locations are given in Fig. 6 (EEA, Copenhagen, 2008). We excluded the measurements of the stations in Spain since the measured concentrations were much higher than the simulated ones, even for remote stations. A possible reason could be large contributions of mineral dust not only from the Saharan desert but also from local sources in Spain, or from local biomass burning. Neither of these emission sources was taken into account in our model runs and these stations were thus excluded in the comparisons. Figure 7 shows simulated and observed daily mean concentrations for the stations that are depicted in Fig. 6. During episode LC we found a correlation coefficient of 0.57 between measured and simulated concentrations. The average PM$_{10}$ concentration of all stations is 23 µg m$^{-3}$ and the simulated one is 13 µg m$^{-3}$. That means that the simulated concentrations were on average 40% lower than the observed ones. For episode HC we found a correlation coefficient of 0.50. The average PM$_{10}$ concentration of all stations is 21 µg m$^{-3}$ and the simulated one is 12 µg m$^{-3}$. The simulated concentrations were again on average 40% lower than the observed concentrations. The correlation and the underestimation of the observations are comparable to the results of Grell et al. (2005). The correlation is lower than those documented by Stern et al. (2008) and Sartelet et al. (2007) but in those cases
model simulations were performed for longer time periods. Reasons for the underestimation could be lacking emissions of PM$_{10}$ and an underestimation of the organic particle fraction. Furthermore we prescribed clean air at the boundaries of our model domain which may also have contributed to the underestimated simulated concentrations compared to the observed concentrations.

For episode HC we carried out additional simulations with the nested version of COSMO-ART. The model domain for this simulation is shown in Fig. 6. The horizontal grid size for the smaller domain was 0.0625° (~7 km). Figure 8 shows diurnal cycles of NO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$ for the monitoring station Eggenstein (Landesanstalt für Umwelt, Messungen und Naturschutz Baden-Württemberg, Germany). This station is located close to a four lane radial highway with high traffic during the morning and the evening hours. In case of NO$_x$ the model system is able to reproduce the observations with the exception of individual peaks. The simulated and measured NO$_x$ concentrations averaged over four days are almost identical (29 µg m$^{-3}$). Again, the model underestimates the PM$_{10}$ concentrations by a factor of two (measured: 23.8 µg m$^{-3}$, simulated: 10.4 µg m$^{-3}$).

3.2 Feedback of aerosols and the state of the atmosphere

Bäumer and Vogel (2007) have detected weekly cycles for atmospheric variables such as temperature and cloud cover over Germany. They also found distinct weekly cycles in the aerosol optical depth for several stations located in Germany and other European countries (Bäumer et al., 2008). This raises the question if the observed weekly cycles of the atmospheric variables can be attributed to the weekly cycles of the aerosol concentrations. The model results that we present here are a first step to answer this question. We used COSMO-ART to quantify the effect of the soot and natural and anthropogenic secondary aerosol particles on the state of the atmosphere. This is done by comparing the results of model runs R and F. The aerosol concentrations show a high spatial and temporal variation for both episodes (see supplemental material).
Consequently the changes in the radiative fluxes caused by the aerosol particles are also highly variable. In order to get a more general picture we averaged the model results over the last three days of each episode (LC, HC).

In Fig. 9a the horizontal distribution of the averages over three days of the dry aerosol mass density is shown for the lowest model layer for episode LC (left) and HC (right). For the episode LC high aerosol concentrations are simulated over the Po valley, the southern part of the North Sea, the northern part of France, Belgium, Luxemburg and the Netherlands. The aerosol concentrations show no spatial correlation with the emissions. This is caused by the nonlinearity of the chemical and physical processes that are involved in the formation of the secondary aerosol.

Also for episode HC high dry aerosol mass density is simulated for the southern part of the North Sea, Belgium, the Netherlands and the north-western part of Germany. As in episode LC high aerosol concentrations are simulated in the Po valley. For the HC episode high mean aerosol concentrations are also simulated in the south-eastern part of Germany.

The modification of the radiative fluxes depends on the water content of the aerosol (see Sect. 2.4). Figure 9b illustrates the spatial distribution of the wet aerosol mass density. Again averages over three days are shown for the LC (left) and HC (right) episodes. The highest values are approximately 370 µg m$^{-3}$ in case LC and 450 µg m$^{-3}$ in case HC. A comparison of the dry mass density with the wet mass density shows differences in the pattern of the spatial distributions. For the LC episode, especially in the part of the Po valley, where high dry aerosol mass density was simulated, we observe a modified distribution in the wet mass density with two maxima in the north and the south. This is caused by higher relative humidity in the mountainous regions (see supplemental material). Larger differences in the spatial pattern are also found over England. While the highest wet mass density concentrations are found over land, the highest dry mass occurs over the North Sea. The reason for this is a frontal passage during episode LC which is connected to a band of high relative humidity. This band passes over Great Britain during the episode and reaches France at 1 September.
Germany, where low relative humidity prevails between 30 August and 1 September, the spatial distributions of the dry and the wet aerosol concentrations are similar. The aerosol water content is influenced by the nitrate content, which is strongly dependent on the temperature. As the temperature was only changing slightly the spatial distribution of the wet and the dry aerosol mass density looks similar over Germany. This is not the case in the western part of the model domain e.g. above Great Britain due to temperature changes.

Figure 9c shows the difference in global radiation ($\Delta E_G = E_G(\text{run F}) - E_G(\text{run R})$) at the surface, averaged over the last three days of each episode (LC left, HC right). For the episode LC the spatial patterns of the wet aerosol concentration (Fig. 9b) and $\Delta E_G$ (Fig. 9c) are similar. In general, the aerosol leads to a reduction in the global radiation in the range of 10 W m$^{-2}$. In some areas the reduction is even larger with maximum values of 50 W m$^{-2}$. Although averages over three days are presented here there are areas where $\Delta E_G$ shows a spotty behaviour e.g. in the south-eastern part of the model domain. The increase in global radiation in such cases is due to changes in cloud properties (e.g. cloud water content) that are initialized by the radiative effect of the aerosol particles on the thermodynamics of the atmosphere. These changes in cloud properties superpose the effects of the aerosol. The radiative impact of the aerosol is modified by feedback processes of clouds although effects of aerosol particles on cloud microphysics are neglected in this study.

In the south-eastern part the spotty pattern can be explained by a spatial shift of clouds. When no clouds are present, as over Germany, only negative values of $\Delta E_G$ occur (Fig. 9c). Furthermore, temperature and other meteorological variables are modified through the feedback mechanism (Fig. 3).

During episode HC larger areas of the model domain are covered by clouds. Consequently, the correlation between the aerosol concentration (Fig. 9b) and the $\Delta E_G$ (Fig. 9c) decreases. In the eastern part of Germany where high aerosol concentrations are simulated $\Delta E_G$ is very low and in some areas even positive. However, in areas with fewer clouds as the North Sea and the Netherlands negative values of $\Delta E_G$
and high aerosol concentrations coincide.

Figure 9d shows the corresponding averages over three days of the temperature change ($\Delta T = T(\text{run F}) - T(\text{run R})$) in the lowest model layer for both episodes. For episode LC (left) a decrease in temperature is obvious at those grid points where the global radiation is reduced by the aerosol particles. This is not the case in the areas where clouds are present. $\Delta T$ is found in the range between $-1$ K and $+1$ K.

As could already be expected from the changes in global radiation (Fig. 9c) the correlation for episode HC of the aerosol concentration and the changes in temperature is small (Fig. 9d). A temperature increase is simulated in the south-eastern part of Germany where high aerosol concentrations are simulated but the changes in global radiation are small. This behaviour is a result of several nonlinear feedback mechanisms and cannot be addressed to a single process. $\Delta T$ is seen between $-1$ K and $+1.5$ K for this episode.

### 3.3 Aerosol effects on the 2 m temperature above Germany

Several previous studies based on observations have focused on the weekly cycles of meteorological variables. Bäumer and Vogel (2007) found a weekly cycle of the mean temperature anomaly of about 0.2 K for an average over 15 years and 12 stations distributed over Germany. Other studies focused on the weekly cycle of the daily temperature range (Forster and Solomon, 2003; Gong et al., 2006). The daily temperature range is the difference between the maximum and the minimum temperature at a certain day. It has been speculated that these weekly cycles are caused by the weekly cycle of the aerosol concentration. A weekly cycle of the aerosol optical depth was found for stations distributed over Europe by Bäumer et al. (2008).

As our simulations were only carried out for two episodes and we neglected the feedback of the aerosol particles with the cloud microphysics it is not possible to compare our temperature difference with those that were determined from observations for a period of 15 yr. Nevertheless it is quite interesting to compare the magnitude of our simulated differences in temperature and daily temperature range with the observed
weekly cycles.

We evaluate the model results in detail for a sub domain that covers Germany. The results of this evaluation are summarized in Table 5. The averages over three days of the aerosol optical depth (AOD) for the model runs F and R is 0.17 for the case LC and 0.59 for the case HC. The higher value in case HC is caused by the high relative humidity and the high aerosol concentrations, especially on 20 August. The average reduction of the global radiation (run F−run R) is −5.3 W m⁻² in case LC and −6.0 W m⁻² in case HC. Although the aerosol optical depth is almost three times greater than in case LC the average global radiation change does not differ too much between cases HC and LC as the global radiation in case HC is much lower than in case LC. The average 2 m temperature differences between runs F and R are −0.10 K (LC) and −0.08 K (HC). This means that over Germany the simulated aerosols induce a temperature reduction.

We have calculated the mean daily temperature range for Germany for each day of the two episodes. The mean daily temperature ranges and the differences (run F−run R) are given in Table 6. The strong decrease of the daily temperature range at the third day of episode HC is due to a frontal system that passes over Germany (see supplemental material). The radiative feedback caused by the aerosol particles produces a reduction in the daily temperature range of about 0.13 K. This decrease is in the same order as the observed weekly cycle of the temperature range (Bäumer and Vogel, 2007).

The results of our model runs cannot be used to prove or to explain the observed weekly cycles since we have carried out our model simulations with emissions that were constant from day to day. Moreover, we have simulated only two episodes and the interaction with microphysics has been neglected. However, the results serve as a rough estimation of the effects of aerosol particles that can be expected on the regional scale. As COSMO-ART is currently being improved to treat the interaction of aerosols with clouds, a real simulation of the effects of changing emissions during a week will be carried out in future applications of the model system.
4 Summary and conclusions

We have built up the new model system COSMO-ART for studying the interaction of aerosol particles with the atmosphere on the regional scale. The model system is based on the operational weather forecast model COSMO of the Deutscher Wetterdienst. It is fully online coupled with detailed photochemistry and aerosol dynamics of natural and anthropogenic aerosol particles. The photochemistry includes the reactions that lead to the formation of precursors of aerosol particles. Within the model primary particles such as mineral dust, sea salt and soot are treated together with secondary particles consisting of sulphate, ammonia, nitrate, organic compounds and water. The size distribution of the particles and their chemical composition vary in space and time depending on transport processes, emissions, and the chemical processes. Based on very detailed calculations we have developed parameterizations to treat the impact of the aerosol particles on the atmospheric short and long wave radiation. Applying these parameterizations within COSMO-ART we are able to quantify the feedback processes, between the aerosols and the state of the atmosphere, that are initialized by changes in radiation on the regional scale in a fully coupled way. We have applied the model system to two episodes in August 2005 that differed in cloud cover over Western Europe. The simulated PM$_{10}$ concentrations have been compared to the observed ones and an underestimation of the observations in the order of 40% was found. This is comparable with the results of other model studies. Reasons for the underestimation are missing emissions and probably an underestimation of the organic fraction of the particles.

For each episode two series of model runs were carried out, one where the interaction of the aerosol particles with radiation was switched off and one where it was taken into account. This approach allows the estimation of the direct radiative aerosol effect on the atmosphere at short timescales on the regional scale. For the case with a low amount of clouds we found a good correlation between the aerosol optical depth and the changes in 2 m temperature. Locally, the reduction of the averages over three days
of the temperature reached values of 0.3 K. For cloud free conditions the atmosphere responds quite rapidly to the aerosol optical depth. In the case of cloudy conditions this correlation is weaker. When clouds are present the aerosols cause changes in the cloud cover or in the cloud water content which amplify the pure radiative effect due to the aerosols. In most parts of the model domain this leads to a cooling effect, but under certain conditions also an increase of the averaged temperature is simulated.

We calculated the changes in the daily temperature range over Germany. For both episodes the aerosol leads to a reduction of the daily temperature range in the order of magnitude of 0.1 K. This value is in the same order of magnitude as was found from observation of weekly cycles of this parameter. As we underestimated the observed aerosol concentration our findings represent a lower limit of the aerosol effect.
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Table 1. Mixing state, chemical composition, and standard deviation of the individual modes of the aerosol particles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Chemical composition and mixing state</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>if</td>
<td>SO$_2^-$, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, H$_2$O, SOA (internally mixed)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ic</td>
<td>SO$_2^-$, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, H$_2$O, SOA, soot (internally mixed)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jf</td>
<td>SO$_2^-$, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, H$_2$O, SOA (internally mixed)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jc</td>
<td>SO$_2^-$, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, H$_2$O, SOA, soot (internally mixed)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>Soot</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Direct PM$_{10}$ emissions</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. List of the used spectral range with the considered components of the atmosphere in the radiation model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spectral range [µm]</td>
<td>1.53–4.64</td>
<td>0.7–1.53</td>
<td>0.25–0.7</td>
<td>20.0–104.5</td>
<td>12.5–20.0</td>
<td>8.33–9.01</td>
<td>9.01–10.31</td>
<td>4.64–8.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Parameters derived from detailed Mie-calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(k)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\bar{b}_{k,l})</td>
<td>if</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>1.5000</td>
<td>3.0000</td>
<td>0.0522</td>
<td>0.1195</td>
<td>0.1704</td>
<td>0.3803</td>
<td>0.2160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>jf</td>
<td>0.8000</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>4.0000</td>
<td>0.0638</td>
<td>0.1156</td>
<td>0.2254</td>
<td>0.2970</td>
<td>0.2453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
<td>9.0000</td>
<td>0.6750</td>
<td>0.1142</td>
<td>0.2269</td>
<td>0.2669</td>
<td>0.2423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ic</td>
<td>0.8000</td>
<td>2.5000</td>
<td>5.0000</td>
<td>0.0638</td>
<td>0.1156</td>
<td>0.2254</td>
<td>0.2970</td>
<td>0.2453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>jc</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>4.0000</td>
<td>0.6750</td>
<td>0.1142</td>
<td>0.2269</td>
<td>0.2669</td>
<td>0.2423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tilde{\omega}_{k,l})</td>
<td>if</td>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>0.9800</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.0751</td>
<td>0.0937</td>
<td>0.4130</td>
<td>0.3444</td>
<td>0.5442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>jf</td>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>0.9800</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
<td>0.1671</td>
<td>0.2095</td>
<td>0.5736</td>
<td>0.4751</td>
<td>0.6318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s</td>
<td>0.1834</td>
<td>0.1834</td>
<td>0.1834</td>
<td>0.1932</td>
<td>0.2406</td>
<td>0.5876</td>
<td>0.4751</td>
<td>0.6389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ic</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.1671</td>
<td>0.2095</td>
<td>0.5736</td>
<td>0.4751</td>
<td>0.6318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>jc</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0.1932</td>
<td>0.2406</td>
<td>0.5876</td>
<td>0.4751</td>
<td>0.6389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tilde{g}_{k,l})</td>
<td>if</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>0.6500</td>
<td>0.0815</td>
<td>0.1228</td>
<td>0.3952</td>
<td>0.3156</td>
<td>0.6574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>jf</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>0.6500</td>
<td>0.1132</td>
<td>0.1909</td>
<td>0.4894</td>
<td>0.4442</td>
<td>0.7683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>0.6500</td>
<td>0.1239</td>
<td>0.2158</td>
<td>0.5112</td>
<td>0.4897</td>
<td>0.7848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ic</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>0.6500</td>
<td>0.1132</td>
<td>0.1909</td>
<td>0.4894</td>
<td>0.4442</td>
<td>0.7683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>jc</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
<td>0.6500</td>
<td>0.1239</td>
<td>0.2158</td>
<td>0.5112</td>
<td>0.4897</td>
<td>0.7848</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Initial median diameter and standard deviation for the three sea salt modes, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size mode</th>
<th>Mode diameter [µm]</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Film</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spume</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Averages over three days of AOD, $\Delta E_G$ and $\Delta T$ for the sub domain that covers Germany for cases LC and HC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LC</th>
<th>HC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AOD</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_G$ in W m$^{-2}$</td>
<td>$-5.43$</td>
<td>$-6.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T$ in K</td>
<td>$-0.10$</td>
<td>$-0.08$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 6.

Mean daily values of TR and $\Delta$TR for the sub domain that covers Germany for cases LC and HC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Episode</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>TR in K (run R)</th>
<th>TR in K (run F)</th>
<th>$\Delta$TR in K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LC</td>
<td>30 Aug 2005</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>$-0.10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 Aug 2005</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>$-0.15$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Sep 2005</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>$-0.17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>18 Aug 2005</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>$-0.09$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 Aug 2005</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>$-0.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 Aug 2005</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>$-0.18$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. The model system COSMO-ART.
Fig. 2. The three parameterizations describing flux of particles per area of bubbles and second for chosen intervals for a sea surface temperature of 25°C and a wind speed of 9 m s⁻¹.
Fig. 3. Feedback processes that were taken into account. The dashed lines indicate interactions that are not taken into account.
Fig. 4. Synoptic maps for episodes LC (left) and HC (right).
Fig. 5. Mean cloud cover for episodes LC (left) and HC (right).
Fig. 6. Location of the surface based stations that were used for comparison of measured and simulated PM$_{10}$. 
Fig. 7. Simulated and observed concentrations for episodes LC (top), HC (bottom).
Fig. 8. Simulated (black) and observed (red) daily cycles of NO$_x$ and PM$_{10}$ at Eggenstein.
Fig. 9. Simulated averages over three days of aerosol dry mass density (a), wet mass density (b), difference in global radiation (c), and difference in 2 m temperature (d). Results are shown for episodes LC (left) and HC (right).
Fig. 9. Continued.