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This outstanding paper clarifies many aspects of the dependence of CCN concentration and aerosol indirect forcing on new particle formation. By running a variety of simulations using different combinations of treatments of primary sulfate emissions and of particle nucleation in the boundary layer and free troposphere, the authors are able to isolate the dependencies on each combination of processes. The emerging picture of competition for sulfuric acid vapor provides much needed understanding of the complexities of the dependencies. Although the study is limited in that it considers only the first aerosol indirect effect, the authors have turned this limitation into a strength by using it to permit a wide range of sensitivity experiments that might not have been feasible had the second aerosol indirect effect been treated.

My only significant comment is that the nomenclature for the experiment names could
be better. The term 1st is not readily identified with the empirical nucleation treatment, and the term PAR is not readily identified with primary sulfate emissions. I would suggest the terms EMP and PRM, respectively.

Minor comments.
1. page 13950, line 17. Change "capable" to "able".
3. Page 13955, line 6. Eqn (1) should be Eqn (3)? Using this method to determine c, shouldn’t the value of c depend on the amount of accumulation mode aerosol?
7. Page 13969, line 24, change STAT to STRAT.
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