GEM/POPs: a global 3-D dynamic model for semi-volatile persistent organic pollutants – 1. Model description and evaluations
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Abstract

GEM/POPs was developed to simulate the transport, deposition and partitioning of semi-volatile persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in the atmosphere within the framework of Canadian weather forecasting model GEM. In addition to the general processes such as anthropogenic emissions, atmosphere/water and atmosphere/soil exchanges, GEM/POPs incorporates a dynamic aerosol module to provide the aerosol surface areas for the semi-volatile POPs to partition between gaseous and particle phases and a mechanism for particle-bound POPs to be removed. Simulation results of three PCBs (28, 153 and 180) for year 2000 indicate that the model captured the main features of global atmospheric PCBs when compared with observations from EMEP, IADN and Alert stations. The annual averaged concentrations and the fractionation of the three PCBs as a function of latitudes are agreed reasonably well with observations. The impacts of atmospheric aerosols on the transports and partitioning of the three PCBs are reasonably simulated. The ratio of particulate to gaseous PCBs ranges from less than 0.1 for PCB28 to as high as 100 for PCB180, increasing from the warm lower latitudes to the cold high latitudes. Application of GEM/POPs in a study of the global transports and budgets of various PCBs accompanies this paper.

1 Introduction

Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) are organic chemical compounds and mixtures that include industrial chemicals like PCBs, pesticides like DDT and wastes like dioxins. Due to their resistance to degradation in the environment, POPs have long half-lives. Successive releases of these chemicals over time have resulted in continued accumulation in the global environment and posed a risk of causing adverse effects to human health and the environment. POPs with various physical/chemical properties have substantial difference in their behaviors in the environment. As a result of the tendency of POPs to move from warmer to colder environment even the Arctic ecosystem...
is exposed to some POPs at levels of concern (Halsall, 2004; Hung et al., 2002). Due to POPs’ ability to accumulate in various natural media, an evaluation of contamination by POPs requires a multi-compartment approach that includes atmosphere, soil and water.

Early POPs models divided the globe or a specific region into few climate zones (MacLeod, 2001; Toose et al., 2004; Wania and Mackay, 1995) with environmental compartments described in each zone. These models could be used to explore rates of global migration of POPs released in certain zonal bands to other latitudes and have been proven extremely useful as a heuristic and policy tool in demonstrating the “grasshopper” and “cold condensation” effects. However, these models failed to yield the detailed spatial and temporal distribution of a compound. To overcome the limitation of box-type models, dynamical 3-D models have been developed to describe the atmospheric transport of POPs on both regional (Ma et al., 2003; van Jaarsveld et al., 1997), hemispheric (Hansen et al., 2004) and global scales (Koziol and Pudykiewicz, 2001; Semeena and Lammel, 2005). These models include meteorological parameters such as wind speed, temperature and precipitation rate in finer spatial and temporal resolutions for more accurate transport, chemical reactions and removal processes of POPs.

Phase partitioning strongly influences the transformation, transport and fate of PCBs in the environment (Sahsuvar et al., 2003). The removal of a PCB from the atmosphere is very different if it is bound to particles than if it is in the gas phase. Thus it is essential to model PCB pathways in the atmosphere using a model that realistically simulates aerosols. Previous studies of POPs either deal with more volatile compounds such as HCH (Hexachlorocyclohexane)(Hansen et al., 2004; Koziol and Pudykiewicz, 2001) or use prescribed aerosol distributions for parameterizing partitioning for semi-volatile POPs (Gusev et al., 2005). The dynamic features of atmospheric aerosols were not realistically provided to properly simulate the semi-volatile POPs in the atmosphere.

GEM/POPs is a 3-D global POPs transport model composed of two major components: (1) a multiscale air quality model (GEM-AQ) which includes a weather forecast
model with on-line gas phase chemistry, an aerosol module CAM (Canadian Aerosol Module) and (2) a POPs exchange and partition module for atmosphere/water, atmosphere/soil exchanges and partitioning of POPs between gas and aerosols. This paper describes the modelling system and evaluates the performance with available observations of global PCBs. As a further application of GEM/POPs, the global transports and budgets of PCBs are simulated for three typical congeners that range from volatile (PCB28) to semi-volatile (PCB153 and PCB180) species, which is presented in the companion paper (Huang et al., 2007).

2 Model description

2.1 GEM-AQ

GEM (Global Environmental Multiscale model)(Côté et al., 1998) was developed at MSC (Meteorological Service of Canada) for operational weather forecasting applications. GEM-AQ adds on a gas phase chemistry module (ADOM)(Venkatram et al., 1988) and an aerosol module (CAM)(Gong et al., 2003), which produces a 3-D global OH distribution for POP gas phase chemistry and a global aerosol surface area distribution for semi-volatile POP partitioning, respectively. Because of the inclusion of major atmospheric processes and types of aerosols in the CAM (Gong et al., 2003), the particle-bound removal rate of any semi-volatile POPs is treated as same as the particles.

2.2 Atmospheric processes of POPs

In addition to the large scale transport of POPs by general circulation and turbulent mixing provided by GEM, GEM/POPs implemented the atmospheric processes of gas phase oxidation, exchanges between water/soil and atmosphere, and partition between particle and gas. A description of these processes is demonstrated with PCBs. To apply GEM/POPs to other POPs, the physiochemical properties and chemical reaction rate constants of a specific POPs are needed.

2.2.1 Oxidation

It has been experimentally shown by several groups that the gas phase PCBs undergo homogeneous degradation by photolysis and reaction in the atmosphere dominantly with the hydroxyl (OH) radicals but also with NO₃ radicals and O₃ (Anderson and Hites, 1996; Atkinson and Aschmann, 1985; Kwok et al., 1995). The OH reactions occur via addition to the biphenyl ring to replace chlorine atoms and to form various isomers of (PCB-OH):

$$\text{PCB} + \cdot\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{PCB} \cdot \text{OH}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

and  \[ \frac{\partial C_{\text{PCB}}}{\partial t} = -k_{\text{OH}}[\cdot\text{OH}]C_{\text{PCB}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Assuming [OH] is constant, the integration gives

$$\ln\left( \frac{C_{\text{PCB}}}{C_{\text{PCB},o}} \right) = -k_{\text{OH}}[\cdot\text{OH}].t = -k'_{\text{OH}}.t$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

with  \[ \log k_{\text{OH}} = -0.22 \ast (#\text{Cl}) - 11.25 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where,  \( C_{\text{PCB},o} \) is the initial PCB concentration,  \( t(s) \) is time,  \( k_{\text{OH}} \) (cm³/molecule.s) is the rate constant for PCB-OH reaction,  \( k'_{\text{OH}} \) (s⁻¹) is the pseudo-first order rate constant, and  \#Cl is the number of chlorine atoms on the PCB. Equation (4) is a linear regression fitted to the experimental data (Anderson and Hites, 1996).
2.2.2 Atmosphere-water exchange

Atmosphere-water exchange of PCBs was treated with a method by Liss and Slater (1974) characterized by mass transfer coefficients of \( k_W \) (water side) and \( k_A \) (air side). The dimensionless Henry’s Law constant, \( K_{AW} \), gives the ratio of the concentrations across the atmosphere-water interface with a flux:

\[
F = K_{TW}(C_W - C_G/K_{AW}) = K_{TA}(C_W.K_{AW} - C_G)
\]  

(5)

where overall mass transfer coefficients \( K_{TW} \) (water-side, s m\(^{-1}\)) and \( K_{TA} \) (air-side, s m\(^{-1}\)) are:

\[
1/K_{TW} = 1/k_W + 1/(k_A.K_{AW}) = 1/k_W + RT/(H.k_A)
\]  

(6)

\[
1/K_{TA} = 1/k_A + K_{AW}/k_W = 1/k_A + H/(RT.k_W)
\]  

(7)

Assuming unsteady state, the Eq. (5) is solved to give the gas phase concentration of first model level as:

\[
C_G(t) = C_W.K_{AW} + (C_{GO} - C_W.K_{AW}) \exp(-K_{TA}.\Delta t/h_0)
\]  

(8)

where \( C_{GO} \) is the initial atmosphere concentration and \( h_0 \) is the thickness of the first model layer. The water PCB concentration, \( C_W \), is assumed constant for the integration time step \( \Delta t \) but will change after receiving deposition from the atmosphere and transports in oceans. A detailed description of the dimensionless Henry’s Law constant \( (K_{AW}) \) and mass transfer coefficients \( (k_A \text{ and } k_W) \) is given by Sahsuvar et al. (2003).

2.2.3 Atmosphere-soil exchange

An atmosphere-soil exchange model by Jury (Jury, 1989; Jury et al., 1983) was used for calculating the soil PCB fluxes to the atmosphere:

\[
F_{\text{net}}(0, t) = (C_{G,\text{soil}} - C_{G,\text{air}} \cdot K_{SA}) \sqrt{\frac{D_{ES}}{\pi \cdot t}} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( \frac{-L^2}{4D_{ES} \cdot t} \right) \right]
\]  

(9)
where $C_{G,\text{soil}}$ and $C_{G,\text{air}}$ are the gaseous phase concentrations in soil and atmosphere, respectively, $K_{SA}$ (dimensionless) is the soil-atmosphere equilibrium coefficient, and $D_{ES}$ ($\text{m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$) is the effective diffusivity of the chemical (PCBs). The $D_{ES}$ term is derived from a series of equations and partition coefficients: $K_{SA}$ and $K_{AW}$ (Sahsuvar et al., 2003). In the current GEM/POPs, the soil column with a depth of $L$ is divided into three superposed layers: 1 cm surface soil ($z_1$), 3 cm second layer ($z_2$) and 7 cm bottom layer ($z_3$).

### 2.2.4 Gas-aerosol partition

The PCB amount partitioned between gas and aerosol phase depends on the aerosol surface area available for adsorption ($\text{m}^2\text{aerosol}/\text{m}^3\text{air}$) and the liquid-phase saturation vapour pressure of pure compound (Pa). PCB/aerosol partition was simulated with the Junge-Pankow partition (Junge, 1977; Pankow, 1987) as:

$$
\Phi = \frac{c \cdot \Theta}{P_L^0 + c \cdot \Theta} = \frac{C_P}{C_P + C_G}
$$

where,

- $\Phi = \text{fraction of semi-volatile organic compound adsorbed on aerosol particles}$
- $\Theta = \text{aerosol surface area available for adsorption (m}^2\text{aerosol/m}^3\text{air)}$ 
- $P_L^0 = \text{liquid-phase saturation vapour pressure of pure compound (Pa)}$
- $c = \text{parameter that depends on the thermodynamics of the adsorption process and surface properties of the aerosol (Pa.cm)}$

Junge’s proposed value of the parameter $c$ is 17.2 Pa.cm (Bidleman et al., 1998; Pankow, 1987). Within the GEM/POPs framework, the CAM provides aerosol surface areas ($\Theta$) dynamically.
2.2.5 Removal processes

The particulate phase PCBs are removed along with the aerosols by wet and dry deposition which are given in details by Gong et al. (2003). The gas phase PCBs are destroyed by OH radical attack (see Sect. 2.2.1) and removed by precipitation scavenging, assuming to be in quasi-steady equilibrium with the rain drop. The net wet deposition flux, $F_w$, is then written as

$$F_w = (-p/K_{AW})C_G$$

(11)

where $p$ is the precipitation rate, usually reported in mm/h and $C_G$ is the gas phase PCB concentration.

2.3 Ocean/lake transport module

As previously discussed, an atmosphere-water exchange module needs the concentrations of POPs in the water provided by either an ocean/lake module or global observations. In GEM/POPs, an ocean tracer transport module is developed with prescribed global ocean currents from the UK Ocean Circulation and Advanced Modelling Project (OCCAM)(de Cuevas, 1999) and with the French OPA tracer model (Foujols et al., 2000). With the 5-day mean oceanic currents from OCCAM as an input, the OPA tracer model computes the evolution of passive tracers and yields the 3-D distributions of POPs in world oceans. For regional simulation of POPs such as for the Great Lakes region in North America, a lake module is also needed to study the deposition and re-emission from lakes. Since this study deals with largely global transport features of PCBs, no lake module is included.
3 Input conditions

3.1 Metrological data

Simulation for year 2000 was done with the re-analyzed meteorology from CMC (Canadian Meteorological Centre) updated every 24 h to drive the GEM. The model resolution was set at 2°×2° with an integration time step of 15 min. The results shown in this paper were obtained after 2 years of spin-up runs prior to year 2000.

3.2 Emission data

The historical production of PCBs and chemical composition of various technical mixtures data for 22 PCB congeners from 1930 to 2000 have been compiled from the literature (Breivik et al., 2002a). These data, along with assumptions on the trade between countries and regions, have been utilized to derive an estimate of the global historical consumption pattern. With a mass balance approach (Breivik et al., 2002b), estimates of the annual emissions of each of the 22 PCB congeners by country and year were obtained. For current simulation, using population density (Li, 1996) as a surrogate, the national consumption or emission data were converted into globe 1°×1° emissions where the minimum, mean and maximum values were given, respectively. According to Breivik et al. (personal communication, 2006), the maximum emission data may be slightly better to reflect the reality than mean and minimum emissions and is therefore used in this study.

3.3 Initial soil and water concentrations

Current systematic measurements of oceanic and soil PCBs are very limited to form an accurate picture of global distributions even though there exist some data of global soil concentrations (Meijer et al., 2003). Consequently, the model simulation results of both soil and oceanic concentrations of PCBs from the MSC-East hemispheric POP model
(Gusev et al., 2005) were used to provide the required spatial distributions but the magnitudes of soil PCB concentrations differ substantially from the observations (Meijer et al., 2003). GEM/POPs combines the modeling and observational soil PCB concentrations by assimilating the modeled results with observations (Meijer et al., 2003) and soil concentration data in China (Table 1). Figure 1 shows the new assimilated PCB soil distributions that are used as the initial conditions for GEM/POPs. Superimposed on the figures are the measurement data. Compared to observations, these distributions are more realistic than the outputs from the original MSC-East model.

4 Simulation results of global PCBs

4.1 Comparisons with observations

Three major observational data sets of PCB atmosphere/particular concentrations were used in the comparison study: EMEP, IADN and Alert/Arctic, representing the European, the Great Lakes region (North America) and Arctic environments, respectively (Fig. 2a). The EMEP stations use very different sampling frequencies at various locations raging from one day/two days a week, one week a month, biweekly to monthly without differentiating gas and aerosol PCBs. A monthly mean value of total PCBs for each station was given based on the sampling data by a high volume sampler. In IADN stations (Sun et al., 2006), the sampling frequency was 1 in 6 days before April 1994 and then switched to 1 in 12 days. Except for 1995, only gaseous PCBs were analyzed. The Alert station uses a high volume sampler with weekly integrated sampling and results in four weekly averaged data per month. Because of the extreme low particle PCB concentrations, only gaseous PCBs were statistically significant for the comparisons. Consequently, for the annual and monthly concentration comparisons, simulation results for total PCBs will be used at the EMEP stations while only gaseous PCBs at the IADN and Alert stations.

http://www.nilu.no/projects/CCC/onlinedata/pops/index.html
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Figure 2b shows the comparisons of model and observations in selected stations with annual averaged concentrations of both total and gaseous PCBs. A general agreement between modelled and observed PCBs is achieved with respect to the relative magnitudes of three different PCBs with the highest air concentration of PCB28 from 5 to 30 pg m\(^{-3}\) while the less volatile PCB153 and PCB180 have a smaller concentration ranging from around 1 to 0.1–0.5 pg m\(^{-3}\), respectively.

Total PCB28 simulated by GEM/POPs at EMEP stations agreed reasonably well with observations except at two Swedish sites: Aspvreten and Rörvik, where some overestimates were made. Two stations at IADN network also showed large over-estimates of gaseous PCB28: Burnt Island and Egbert. It is also noted that for the same station of Point Petre, the analysis done separately by the Canadian and American laboratories yielded very different results with the modeling results closer to the American analysis.

No PCB153 was analyzed at the American IADN sites. For EMEP and Canadian IADN sites, the comparison of both PCB153 and PCB180 is reasonable with two exceptions: Kosetice in Czech Republic and Burnt Island in Canada. The extreme high concentrations of PCB153 and 180 observed at the Kosetice site over the entire monitoring period (Fig. 3a) were not simulated. Compared to other European stations, this may imply the existence of some local PCB sources that were not accounted for in our emission inventories. On the contrary, the GEM/POPs predicted a large gaseous PCB153 and PCB180 at Burnt Island, which was not supported by the IADN observations.

For the Arctic Alert, long records of PCBs (Hung et al., 2005a) have been obtained through the NCP (Northern Contaminant Program), indicating a decline trend for various PCBs (Hung et al., 2005b). The modeling results show a good agreement of the magnitudes of three modeled PCBs for year 2000 (Fig. 2b) with slightly overestimate of PCB28 and under-estimates of PCB153 and 180.

To further illustrate the seasonal variations of PCBs, monthly averaged PCB concentrations from GEM/POPs were also compared with observations for selected EMEP stations (Fig. 3a) and North American stations (Fig. 3b) for year 2000. Both total (solid
black line) and gaseous (light gray lines) monthly mean PCBs from the GEM/POPs simulations are shown in the plots. For PCB28, the gaseous phase accounts for almost all of the mass with the overlap of gray and solid lines. Wherever possible, the measurement data for several years around 2000 have been used to generate a vertical box to show the variation range of measured PCBs (gray box with whiskers).

It can be seen from Fig. 3a that for EMEP stations, most of the total predicted PCB153 and 180 are approaching the 10th percentile with some of them even within the 25th and 75th percentile range, indicating a reasonable agreement with the measured PCBs. It can also be inferred from the comparisons that there exists a trend of underestimates of heavy PCBs and a trend of over-estimate of lighter PCB28.

For IADN stations where gaseous PCBs were measured, overestimates of gaseous PCB28 concentrations at Point Petre, Burnt Island and Egbert stations were observed. However, a better agreement of PCB28 with American IADN sites was achieved (Fig. 3b). For PCB153 and 180, the comparison of gaseous phase concentrations reveals a very good agreement for most stations. The magnitudes and summer highs are well simulated except for the Burnt Island site. The PCBs at the Alert station were also reasonably simulated (Fig. 3c). The three PCBs simulated are in the right range of measured values with a summer peak from GEM/POPs at Alert for PCB28 that has not been observed.

There are many factors that influence model performance of PCBs when compared with observations. Initial soil concentrations used in the model constitute a large uncertainty to the modeling results. As legacy chemicals, PCBs have been used for more than a half century (Breivik et al., 2002a) and accumulations in soil have reached to such levels for some PCBs that may now serve as a dominant source (Huang et al., 2007) compared to the current anthropogenic emissions reported in the literatures (Breivik et al., 2002b) for year 2000. Nevertheless, measurements of global PCB soil concentrations are very limited (Meijer et al., 2003), mostly in Europe (Fig. 1), resulting in large uncertainties in computing the soil-atmosphere exchange fluxes of PCBs over large part of the globe. The other dominant factor that affects the model performance
is the uncertainty associated with the anthropogenic emission data. Besides, the PCB emissions have no seasonal variations and the grid values of each PCB are distributed from the total emission in a country by the population density. For large countries like Canada and US, this assumption may be subject to very large uncertainties.

4.2 Fractionation of PCBs

Though the global emissions of PCBs used in this study represent only order-of-magnitude estimates and the resulting model results should be treated with great caution (Breivik et al., 2002b), the congener compositions of PCB consumptions and emissions are more realistic. Comparison of the congener compositions from model with observations will further validate the model performance. Figure 4 shows the fractions of three atmospheric PCBs as a function of latitude for both model simulation and observations in Europe (Fig. 4a) and the fractions of two PCBs in North America (Fig. 4b). PCB28 shows a slightly increasing trend from 50° N to 80° N in Europe for both model and observations with a slope of 0.015 and 0.007, respectively while the heavier PCBs of 153 and 180 exhibit an opposite trend with latitude in Europe. The slopes for PCB153 and 180 are $-0.005$ and $-0.001$ for observations and $-0.011$ and $-0.003$ for model simulations. Similar trends of PCBs in soil have also been reported (Meijer et al., 2003).

For North America, only two measured PCBs (28 and 180) are available whose fractions among them are compared between observations and model simulations at IADN stations (Fig. 4b) with a very good agreement. Since the latitude span is only 6.5 degree for the IADN data, there are no distinct trends for both PCBs.

The relative abundance of three simulated atmosphere PCBs is well agreed with the observational data with a dominant fraction of PCB28 up to 95% and very small fractions of PCB153 and 180. This reflects that the ability of the modeling system to address the relative importance of various congeners in the atmosphere is rather robust.
4.3 Impact of aerosols on PCBs

Figure 5 shows the simulation results of global atmospheric loadings (filled contours) of total PCB28 and 180 for spring of 2000 superimposed with the ratios (contour lines) of particulate to gas phase PCBs. Both PCBs follow the global distributions of their respective emission patterns with obvious inter-continental and polar transports while the absolute magnitude of atmospheric loading for PCB28 is much larger than that of PCB180. It can be seen from the plots that the impact of aerosols on the PCB global distribution becomes significant for heavier PCBs such as 180. The ratio ranges around 0.1 for the volatile PCB28 and reaches as high as 100 for PCB180.

This is consistent with both theory and observations governing the behaviours of PCBs in the atmosphere. Due to their high vapour pressures, lighter PCBs (e.g. 28) are mostly found in the atmosphere without attaching to any particles. The transport and deposition processes are governed by the principles of gaseous molecules. This makes them easily be engaged in long range transport. On the contrary, semi-volatile PCBs are partitioned between atmosphere and particulates depending on the chemical/physical properties of the PCBs and the environmental conditions. The dry and wet depositions of these particle-bound PCBs are much larger than those of gaseous phase PCBs. Consequently, heavier PCBs deposit back to the ground close to the source regions. The portion that engages in the long range transport is largely associated with particulate matters. For PCB180, the ratio of particulate to gaseous loading for spring varies from around 1.0 between 0°–30° N, to around 10 between 30°–60° N and to around 100 between 60°–90° N, reflecting the impact of temperature on the partitioning of PCB180 to the aerosol particles. The seasonal variations of the ratios also reflect the impact of temperatures with smaller portions in the particulate phase in boreal summers than in winters.

Observations have also shown the similar behaviours of PCBs. For IADN stations where particle and gas phase PCBs were analyzed separately in 1995 using high-volume samplers, the ratios in winter and summer are 0.02 and 0.32 for PCB28, and
0.06 and 4.17 for PCB180 (Table 2). It is usually very difficult to accurately determine the ratios of particulate to gaseous phase PCBs. Mandalakis et al. (2002) pointed out that high amounts of PCBs may volatilize from fine particles during aerosols sampling using conventional high-volume samplers and found that average volatilization losses, determined by the diffusion denuder system, varied between 54 and 97%, showing a strong dependence on partial pressure of individual PCB congeners and air temperature. Compared with IADN particulate fraction of PCBs (Table 2), GEM/POPs modeling results agreed with the general trends but over-estimated the ratios, which is consistent with the shortcoming for measuring particulate PCBs using high-volume samplers.
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Table 1. Soil concentrations of three PCBs in China (ng/g dry weight).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>PCB28</th>
<th>PCB153</th>
<th>PCB180</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chongqing</td>
<td>N 29° 33 E 106° 38</td>
<td>24.322</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wuhan</td>
<td>N 30° 42 E 114° 36</td>
<td>18.372</td>
<td>7.024</td>
<td>7.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yichang</td>
<td>N 30° 34 E 111° 27</td>
<td>19.418</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xian</td>
<td>N 33° 84 E 109° 00</td>
<td>23.061</td>
<td>4.731</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>N 40° 10 E 117° 18</td>
<td>21.85</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>22.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner Mongolia</td>
<td>N 43° 14 E 122° 14</td>
<td>14.696</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: Not detectable
Table 2. Ratios of Particulate to Gaseous PCBs at Three IADN Stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>PCB28</th>
<th>PCB180</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BBD Sleeping Bear Dunes</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>0.3224</td>
<td>4.1782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>MAM</td>
<td>0.0570</td>
<td>0.2240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>0.0963</td>
<td>0.0785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>0.0568</td>
<td>0.0423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGH Eagle Harbor</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>0.1817</td>
<td>0.7596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>MAM</td>
<td>0.1034</td>
<td>0.2802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>0.0581</td>
<td>0.1835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>0.0523</td>
<td>0.0154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP Sturgeon Point</td>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>DJF</td>
<td>0.1730</td>
<td>1.1782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>MAM</td>
<td>0.0439</td>
<td>0.5043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>0.0695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>SON</td>
<td>0.0330</td>
<td>0.1220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Assimilated three PCB soil concentrations from MSC-East hemispheric POP model outputs, soil concentrations (Meijer et al., 2003) and data from China (Table 1). The observed locations and concentrations are expressed as a lined circle filled with the same color scale as the contour plots.
Fig. 2. (a) Geographic locations of EMEP and IADN master stations. (b) Comparisons of observed and simulated annual averaged PCB28, 153 and 180 for 2000.
Fig. 3. Comparisons of modelled and observed three monthly PCBs for 2000 with the measurement range at (a) EMEP; (b) IADN; (c) Alert. The boundary of the box closest to zero indicates the 25th percentile, a line within the box marks the median, and the boundary of the box farthest from zero indicates the 75th percentile. Whiskers (error bars) above and below the box indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles.
Fig. 3. Continued.
Fig. 4. Comparisons of PCB fractionations at (a) Europe and (b) North American stations.
Fig. 5. Column loadings of the total atmospheric PCB28 and PCB180 (filled contours) and ratios of particulate to gaseous PCB28 and PCB180 (contour lines) in the atmosphere for spring of 2000.