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The authors are grateful to both the referees for the thoughtful and insightful reviews. All technical corrections have been made in accordance with both the referee’s suggestions.

Reply to anonymous referee 1

We recognise the sentiments expressed by the referee with respect to the conclusive-ness of the evidence for the night time sources. The paper points to an interesting observation that is need of further more extensive observation and we hope that tone
is struck in the paper.

The referee is correct that HCHO cannot, nor is it stated, account for the apparent behaviour observed in Figure 7. The discussion on page 7249 refers to the apparent shoulder or asymmetry in the peroxy radical diurnal cycle with respect to primary production \((j(O^1D))\) that has been ascribed to HCHO photolysis. With respect to the potential for transport this has not been considered and a line has been added to reflect the potential influence of local meteorology. One of the advantages of working at heavily marine influenced sites is that it damps the effects of changing boundary layer heights.

With respect to the calculation of the net ozone tendency, the assumptions with respect to the calculation of \(f\) and the estimation of \(HO_2\) and \(OH\) for the calculation of \(L(O_3)\) are detailed in Salisbury et al. (2002). This reference has been added.

The tables have been corrected with respect to NOx, NO and NO\(_2\). There was a glitch in the averaging software.
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