Aerosol distribution over the western Mediterranean basin during a Tramontane/Mistral event
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Abstract

This paper investigates experimentally and numerically the time evolution of the spatial distribution of aerosols over the Western Mediterranean basin during 24 March 1998 Mistral event documented during the FETCH experiment. Mistral and Tramontane are very frequent northerly winds (5–15 days per month) accelerated along the Rhône and Aude valley (France) that can transport natural and anthropogenic aerosols offshore as far as a few hundreds of kilometers which can in turn have an impact on the radiation budget over the Mediterranean Sea and on precipitation.

The spatial distribution of aerosols was documented by means of the airborne lidar LEANDRE-2 and spaceborne radiometer SeaWIFS, and a validated mesoscale chemical simulation using the chemistry-transport model CHIMERE with an aerosol module, forced by the non-hydrostatic model MM5.

This study shows that: (1) the Mistral contributes to the offshore exportation of a large amount of aerosols originally emitted over continental Europe (in particular ammonium nitrate in the particulate phase and sulfates) and along the shore from the industrialized and urban areas of Fos-Berre/Marseille. The Genoa surface low contributes to advect the aerosols along a cyclonic trajectory that skirts the North African coast and reaches Italy; (2) the aerosol concentration pattern is very unsteady as a result of the time evolution of the two winds (or Genoa cyclone position): The Tramontane wind prevails in the morning hours of 24 March, leaving room for the Mistral wind and an unusually strong Ligurian outflow in the afternoon. The wakes trailing downstream the Massif Central and the Alps prevent any horizontal diffusion of the aerosols and can, at times, contribute to aerosol stagnation.

1 Introduction

The Mediterranean basin is featured by an almost-closed ocean basin surrounded by mountain ranges in which numerous rivers rise, a contrasted climate and vegetation
from south to north, numerous and rapidly growing built-up areas along the coast with several major cities having industrial activities emitting a large number of gas substances and aerosols. Highly aerosol loaded air masses are found from the surface up to the upper troposphere and contribute to decrease air quality on a large scale and reduce precipitation in the region (Lelieveld et al., 2002). The aerosols found below 4 km height originate from regional sources whereas above 4 km height they are usually linked to transport due to global-scale motions and teleconnections, for instance with the Indian monsoon and the North Atlantic Oscillation (Moulin et al., 1997). High aerosol loads in the mid troposphere may also originate from wind-blown dust in the Saharan regions.

Near the surface, most of the aerosols originate from western and eastern Europe (e.g. Sciare et al., 2003; Traub et al., 2003; Schneider et al., 2004), and from the Saharan desert (e.g. Bergametti et al., 1992; Moulin et al., 1998; Guieu et al., 2002). Industrial activity, traffic, forest fires, agricultural and domestic burning are the main source of pollution in Europe whereas the close vicinity of the Saharan desert provides a source for considerable amounts of dust.

Aerosols are harmful for ecosystems and human health, and they affect the Mediterranean climate and water cycle. Indeed, the microscopic particles affect the atmospheric energy budget by scattering and absorbing solar radiation, reducing solar radiation absorption by the sea by approximately 10% and altering the heating profile of the lower troposphere (Lelieveld et al., 2002). As a consequence, evaporation and moisture transport, in particular to North Africa and the Middle East, are suppressed. This aerosol effect is substantial today, although the period with highest aerosol concentrations over the Mediterranean Sea was around 1980 and contributed to the drought in the eastern Sahel (Lelieveld et al., 2002). Aerosols also affect the Mediterranean biogeochemistry by deposition (wet and dry) of dissolved inorganic phosphorus (Bergametti et al., 1992; Bartoli et al., 2005), silicon (Bartoli et al., 2005) and iron (Guieu et al., 2002) from soil-derived dust from desert areas of north Africa and anthropogenic emissions from European countries. Apart from internal sources of nutrients, the at-
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mospheric inputs may constitute an important pathway for nutrients to the photic zone of the open sea where there is little riverine input (Migon et al., 1989; Bergametti et al., 1992; Prospero et al., 1996; Benitez-Nelson, 2000). This particularly applies to the Mediterranean Sea because of its reduced dimensions and because surrounding continental emission sources of nutrients are intense and continuously increasing (Béthoux, 1989; Guerzoni et al., 1999; Béthoux et al., 2002).

In the context of climate change in which the Mediterranean basin appears quite vulnerable due to hydric stress and ever increasing pollution levels, it is crucial that knowledge be improved concerning the mechanisms linking the dynamics of the main flow regimes and the existing pollution sources scattered around the basin in order to provide insight into future trends at the regional scale.

The western Mediterranean climate is frequently affected by the Mistral and its companion wind, the Tramontane (Georgelin and Richard, 1996; Drobinski et al., 2001a). The Mistral is a severe northerly wind that develops along the Rhône valley (while the Tramontane blows in the Aude valley) (Fig. 1), and occurs between 5 and 15 days per month. The development of the Mistral is preconditioned by cyclogenesis over the Gulf of Genoa and the passage of a trough through France. The Mistral occurs all year long but exhibits a seasonal variability either in terms of its strength and direction, or in terms of its spatial distribution (Mayencón, 1982; Orieux and Pouget, 1984). At the regional scale, the Mistral is frequently observed to extend as far as a few hundreds of kilometres from the coast (Jansa, 1987) and is thus associated with low continental pollution levels near the coastline as it advects the pollutants away from their sources of emission over the Mediterranean Sea (Bastin et al., 2006; Drobinski et al., 2006).

Due to favourable dispersion conditions, air quality studies generally do not focus on Mistral events. However the transport and resulting concentration distribution of chemical compounds and aerosols over the Mediterranean Sea has never been documented. The FETCH (Flux, Etat de mer et Teledetection en Condition de fetch variable) experiment (Hauser et al., 2003) offers an ideal framework for such a study. The FETCH experiment took place from 12 March to 15 April 1998 and was dedicated to improve...
the knowledge of the interactions between the ocean and the atmosphere in a coastal environment under strong wind conditions (e.g. Drennan et al., 2003; Eymard et al., 2003; Flamant et al., 2003). The 24 March 1998 Mistral case is a strong episode, typical of intermediate season Mistral events compared to weaker summer Mistral events (e.g. Drobinski et al., 2005; Bastin et al., 2006). Flamant (2003) analyzed the complex structure of atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) observed using an airborne lidar over the Gulf of Lion at the exit of the Rhône valley during the 24 March 1998 Mistral event. Even though the study by Flamant (2003) suggested the existence of a marked east-west aerosol concentration gradient offshore to be related to larger concentrations of pollution aerosol from the city of Marseille and the industrial petrochemical complex of Fos/Berre, the highly spatially resolved aerosol measurements needed to (un)validate this hypothesis simply did not exist. By combining lidar observations and simulations we better address this question in the present article. Therefore this article is designed to analyze:

- the dynamic processes driving the small-scale structure of the Mistral flow at the exit of the Rhône valley, and their relation with the aerosol distribution observed by the airborne lidar and the satellite imagery,

- the aerosol sources, composition and distribution over the whole western Mediterranean basin.

The instrument set-up (i.e. FETCH-related sea-borne, airborne and space-borne observations) and the numerical models used in this study are described in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, the meteorological environment leading to the Mistral episode is analyzed as well as the fine-scale structure of the Mistral flow. In Sect. 4, the aerosol distribution over the western Mediterranean basin is discussed, before conclusions are drawn in Sect. 5 and suggestions for future work are presented.
2 Measurements and models

2.1 Numerical models

2.1.1 Dynamical model

The dynamical numerical simulations presented in this study have been conducted using the fifth generation Penn State-National Center for Atmospheric Research MM5 model, version 3.6 (Dudhia, 1993; Grell et al., 1995). The model solves the non-hydrostatic equations of motion in a terrain-following sigma coordinates. Three interactively nested model domains are used, the horizontal mesh size being 27 km, 9 km and 3 km, respectively. Domains 1 (coarse domain), 2 (medium domain) and 3 (fine domain) are centered at 43.7° N, 4.6° E and cover an area of 1350 km × 1350 km, 738 km × 738 km and 120 km × 174 km, respectively (see Fig. 1). Domain 1 covers half of France and the western Mediterranean Sea. Domain 2 covers the Rhône valley, the western Alps, the Massif Central and Corsica. Domain 3 covers the Rhône valley delta. The model orography of the three domains is interpolated from terrain data with 30″ resolution. It is filtered by a two-pass smoother-desmoother (Guo and Chen, 1994) in order to remove two-grid interval waves that would induce numerical noise. Information on land use was obtained from USGS (United States Geological Survey) data with the same horizontal resolution as for orography. In the vertical, 43 unevenly spaced full sigma-levels are used, corresponding to 42 half-sigma levels where most of the variables are computed. The lowermost half-sigma level (σ=0.999) is about 12 m above ground. The vertical distance between the model levels is about 50 m close to the ground and increases up to 1200 m near the upper boundary which is located at 100 hPa.

A complete set of physics parameterizations is used. Cloud microphysics is treated with a sophisticated scheme having prognostic equations for cloud water, cloud ice, cloud ice particle number concentration, rain, snow and graupel (Reisner et al., 1998), using modifications proposed by Chiriacco et al. (2005). The Grell cumulus
parametrization (Grell, 1993) is used in model domains 1 and 2. In model domain 3, a cumulus parametrization is not needed because convection is resolved explicitly at such high resolution. The radiation scheme accounts for the interaction with moisture and clouds (Grell et al., 1995; Mlawer et al., 1997). The ABL is parameterized using the Hong and Pan scheme (Hong and Pan, 1996). It is an efficient scheme based on Troen and Mahrt representation of countergradient term and eddy viscosity profile in the well mixed ABL, and is suitable for high resolution in ABL.

The initial and boundary conditions are taken from the ECMWF (European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecast) reanalyses ERA-40. These reanalysis data are available every six hours on a 1°×1° latitude-longitude grid. Since the interpolation routine of the MM5 modeling system needs pressure level data, the standard-level-pressure version of the ECMWF data is used. In order for the synoptic flow to stay close to meteorological analyzes, the meteorological simulation uses nudging for all variables with a coefficient of $10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$. The initialization date is 23 March 1998 at 12:00 UTC and the simulation ends on 25 March 1998 at 18:00 UTC.

In the following, MM5 simulations are used to provide the three-dimensional environment necessary for the interpretation of the measurements, and are also the meteorological forcing for the regional chemistry-transport model CHIMERE (see next section).

2.1.2 Chemistry-transport model

The chemistry-transport model forced by the MM5 meteorology is CHIMERE. It is a 3-D chemistry-transport model, developed cooperatively by the French Institute Pierre-Simon Laplace (IPSL), Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques (LISA) and Institut National de l’Environnement industriel et des RISques (INERIS). CHIMERE is a 3-D chemistry transport model that simulates gas-phase and aerosol-phase chemistry and its transport at the scale of the continent (Schmidt et al., 2001) or at regional scale (Vautard et al., 2001, 2003). It simulates the concentration of 44 gaseous species and 6 aerosol chemical compounds. Sea salt is not accounted for in our simulations. In CHIMERE aerosol version (Bessagnet et al., 2004; Hodzic et
al., 2004), the population of aerosol particles is represented using a sectional formulation, assuming discrete aerosol size sections and considering the particles of a given section as homogeneous in composition (internally mixed). Like in the work of Bessagnet et al. (2004), we use six diameter bins ranging between 10 nm and 40 µm, with a geometric increase of bin bounds. The aerosol module accounts for both inorganic and organic species, of primary or secondary origin, such as, primary particulate matter (PPM), sulfates, nitrates, ammonium, secondary organic species (SOA) and water. PPM is composed of primary anthropogenic species such as elemental and organic carbon and crustal materials. In the model, ammonia, nitrate, and sulfate are considered in aqueous, gaseous, and particulate phases.

In the present application, the model is run at mesoscale over a domain covering the western Mediterranean basin (Fig. 1). The model domains match the MM5 domains with a vertical resolution of 12 sigma-pressure levels extending up to 500 hPa that covers the ABL and the lower part of the free troposphere. The meteorological variables used as input are wind, temperature, mixing ratio for water vapor and liquid water in clouds, 2 m temperature, surface heat and moisture fluxes and precipitation. Boundary conditions of CHIMERE simulations are taken from climatologies of LMDZ-INCA chemistry transport model for the gaseous species, and from GOCART (The Georgia Tech/Goddard Global Ozone Chemistry Aerosol Radiation Transport) aerosol forecasting model for the aerosols. Emissions of primary particulate matter PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ (particulate matter of diameter less than 10 µm and 2.5 µm) and the anthropogenic emissions for NO$_x$, CO, SO$_2$, NMVOC (Non Methane Volatile Organic Compounds) and NH$_3$ gas-phase species for 10 anthropogenic activity sectors (as defined by the SNAP categories) are provided by EMEP (available at http://www.emep.int) with spatial resolution of 50 km (Vestreng 2003).

The CHIMERE simulation covers the same period as the MM5 simulation. However, for aerosol-related spin-up needs, a three-day simulation was ran from 20 March 12:00 UTC to 23 March 12:00 UTC to account for some of the aerosol distribution build-up prior to the event under scrutiny.
2.2 Observations

Over the continent, we mainly use the measurements from the operational radiosoundings and synoptic meteorological stations of Météo-France. On 24 March 1998, operational radiosondes were released every twelve hours from Lyon and Nîmes. At the surface, the operational meteorological surface station network of Météo France gave access to the surface thermodynamical fields (precipitation, wind speed and direction, temperature, humidity, pressure). The locations of the operational meteorological surface stations used in this study are shown in Fig. 1b.

Over the Mediterranean Sea, we use the measurements of meteorological and aerosols variables collected on board the research vessel (R/V) Atalante, the ASIS (Air-Sea Interaction Spar) buoy and the Avion de Recherche Atmosphérique et Télédétection (ARAT), as well as from satellites (the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor – SeaWIFS – and the Active Microwave Instrumentation-Wind Scatterometer AMI/Wind on board the European Remote Sensing Satellite ERS). The complementarity of the data provided by these various instruments is an essential aspect of this study. The locations of the measurement sites used in this study are shown in Fig. 1.

The R/V Atalante had balloon launching capability and carried an instrumented mast for mean and turbulent measurements at a height of 17 m above the sea surface. The ASIS buoy made measurements of mean and turbulent atmospheric variables 7 m above the air-sea interface as well as wave directional spectra. The ARAT was equipped with standard in situ sensors as well as sensors dedicated to the analysis of aerosol properties (nephelometer, particle and cloud condensation nuclei counters). The ARAT also embarked the differential absorption lidar LEANDRE-2 (Flamant, 2003; Flamant et al., 2003).

The ARAT afternoon mission on 24 March 1998 (between 16:20 and 18:50 UTC) was designed in such a manner that long levelled legs be flown along and across the mean ABL wind direction. The structure of the flow and its evolution with time has been analyzed using high resolution measurements (15 m in the vertical and 160 m...
in the horizontal) of atmospheric reflectivity at 730 nm, made with the airborne lidar LEANDRE-2. Because it is sensitive to relative humidity as well as aerosol properties and concentration, lidar-derived reflectivity is extremely useful to investigate ABL structural properties (e.g. Flamant and Pelon, 1996; Drobinski et al., 2001a; Flamant, 2003). Here we shall discuss reflectivity measurements made with a nadir pointing system from an altitude of 4 km above mean sea level (MSL).

The high spatial and temporal documentation of the 150 km \times 200 km FETCH target area (i.e. Gulf of Lion) allows a very detailed insight on the dynamics of the Mistral event as well as on the aerosol distribution. However, this dataset was complemented by satellite data from AMI-Wind/ERS scatterometer providing daily mean surface wind speed and wind stress over the whole Mediterranean Sea at 1° resolution, and from SeaWIFS providing the aerosol optical depth at 865 nm while passing over the western Mediterranean at 11:32 UTC.

3 The 24 March 1998 Mistral event

3.1 Synoptic environment

The 24 March 1998 Mistral event was featured by the existence of an upper level trough associated with a cold front progressing toward the Alps and a shallow vortex (1014 hPa) over the Tyrrhenian Sea between Sardinia and continental Italy, at 06:00 UTC. As the day progressed, the low over the Tyrrhenian deepened (from 1014 to 1008 hPa between 06:00 and 15:00 UTC) while remaining relatively still. From 15:00 UTC on, the low continued to deepen (from 1008 to 1002 hPa) while moving to the southeast. It was located over Sicily on 25 March 1998 at 06:00 UTC.

This two-phase evolution of Alpine lee cyclogenesis has been observed before (Egger, 1972; Buzzi and Tibaldi, 1978). Alpert et al. (1996) have shown that topographical blocking is the dominant factor in the first and most rapid phase of the cyclone deepening. During the second phase, the growth rate drops to baroclinic values and the
structure of the cyclone approaches that of typical extratropical systems. Convection as well as sensible and latent heat fluxes play an important role in the second phase of Alpine lee cyclogenesis development (Alpert et al., 1996; Grotjahn and Wang, 1989). These authors have also shown that convection has a tendency to move the cyclone to the eastnortheast while topography has a tendency to tie the cyclone to the lee of the Alps. The sea moisture fluxes tend to move the cyclone toward the warm bodies of water (i.e., toward Sicily in our case).

The multistage evolution of the Alpine lee cyclone over the Tyrrhenian Sea induced a very nonstationary wind regime over the Gulf of Lion (also see Flamant, 2003). The diurnal evolution of the Mistral and the Tramontane on 24 March 1998 are evidenced on the wind field simulated in the ABL (at 950 hPa) by the MM5 model at 06:00, 09:00, 12:00, 15:00, 18:00 and 21:00 UTC (Fig. 2). In the early stage (low at 1014 hPa, 06:00 UTC), the Tramontane flow prevailed over the Gulf of Lion. The large westerly flow component (leading to prevailing Tramontane conditions) resulted from the rather high position (in terms of latitude) of the depression. The Mistral extended all the way to Southern Corsica, wrapping around the depression. To the north, a weak easterly outflow was observed over the Gulf of Genoa. As the low deepened (1010 hPa), the prevailing wind regime shifted to a well-established Mistral peaking around 12:00 UTC. The Mistral was observed to reach Southern Sardinia where it wrapped around the depression. At this time, the outflow from the Ligurian Sea (i.e., Gulf of Genoa) had become stronger. In the afternoon, the Mistral was progressively disrupted by the strengthening outflow coming from the Ligurian Sea in response to the deepening low over the Tyrrhenian Sea (1008 hPa, 15:00 UTC) and the channelling induced by the presence of the Apennine range (Italy) and the Alps. In the evening, the Mistral was again well established over the Gulf of Lion as the depression continued to deepen (1002 hPa, 21:00 UTC), but moved to the southeast reducing the influence of outflow from the Ligurian Sea on the flow over the Gulf of Lion. During this period, the Tramontane flow appeared to be much steadier than the Mistral and less disrupted by the return flow associated with the depression. The cold air outbreak episode over
the Gulf of Lion ended on 25 March at 06:00 UTC and anticyclonic conditions then prevailed over the Gulf of Lion.

An important feature of the cold air outbreak over the Gulf of Lion is also observed in the form of banners of weaker winds (sheltered region) separating (i) the Mistral and the Tramontane (in the lee of the Massif Central) and (ii) the Mistral and the Ligurian Sea outflow (in the lee of the western Alps, see Fig. 2). Such sheltered regions are a common feature over the Mediterranean because of the presence of numerous mountain ranges and are related to potential vorticity banners (i.e., regions of important wind shear) generated downstream of the mountain ranges (e.g. Aebischer and Schär, 1998; Drobinski et al., 2005; Guénard et al., 2006), which contribute to the deepening of the Alpine lee cyclones. The unsteady nature of the western Alps wake (as opposed to the steadier Massif Central wake) is caused by the complex topography of the Alps which amplifies any variation of the wind speed and direction, or ABL depth upstream of the Alps (Guénard et al., 2006).

3.2 Structure of the Mistral over the continent

The radiosoundings launched from Lyon on 24 March 1998 at 00:00 and 12:00 UTC show the synoptic northwesterly flow blowing above 1 km a.s.l. (Fig. 3, top row). Below 1 km height, a low-level jet blows at about 5–8 m s$^{-1}$ from the north and is aligned with the Rhône valley axis displaying channelling in the valley. Between 1 and 3 km a.s.l., the wind direction veers to the northeast and the wind speed increases from about 5 m s$^{-1}$ to about 15 m s$^{-1}$ at 3 km a.s.l. The Mistral jet blows within the ABL. The radiosoundings launched from Nîmes (Fig. 3, middle row) shows that the Mistral experiences channelling in the Rhône valley and accelerates with the wind speed increasing from 5–8 m s$^{-1}$ in Lyon to 15–18 m s$^{-1}$ in Nîmes. The daytime cooling between the entrance and exit of the Rhône valley is reversed during nighttime because of the large continental diurnal cycle in Lyon and the smoothed diurnal cycle near the sea shore. The MM5 model (solid line) accurately reproduces the vertical profiles of wind speed and direction, and potential temperature with at most 2 m s$^{-1}$ difference, less than 20°
and 1 K on average, respectively. Except for near surface temperature, the radiosoundings launched from Lyon and Nîmes on 24 March 1998 at 00:00 and 12:00 UTC show that the Mistral characteristics are fairly persistent with time.

The simulated surface wind and temperature fields are evaluated by comparing the measured 10-m horizontal wind components and 2-m temperature to their simulated counterparts interpolated at the location of the meteorological surface stations in southern France (see dots in Fig. 1b). Quantitatively, Fig. 4 shows the histograms of the difference between the three-hourly simulated and measured 10-m zonal and meridional wind components and 2-m temperature during the 24 h on 24 March 1998. The measured 10-m wind speed and 2-m temperature accuracies are 1 m s\(^{-1}\) and about 0.1° K, respectively. The bias between MM5 and the measured wind speed is about –1 m s\(^{-1}\) for the two horizontal components which is thus non significant. The standard deviation is also small considering the accuracy of the wind observations. As for the temperature, the largest discrepancies are found in the steep orography regions where the height of the topography is not accurately represented in the model and at night when numerical diffusion slightly deteriorates the simulation of the near surface temperature and the katabatic flows.

3.3 Structure of the Mistral over the Mediterranean Sea

Over the Mediterranean Sea, the data are generally sparse, and available from satellite-borne sensors thus restricted to the surface and reliable few hundreds of kilometers away from the shore. Figure 5 shows the daily mean values of the sea surface wind speed provided by the satellite-borne AMI-Wind/ERS scatterometer over the Mediterranean Sea at 1° resolution (no wind vectors are available for year 1998). It shows three regions of sea surface wind speed exceeding 20 m s\(^{-1}\): to the west, the Tramontane merge with the Spanish Cierzo; at around 7° E longitude, the Mistral blows around the Genoa cyclone and immediately to the east of Sicily and Sardinia, the Ligurian outflow. The MM5 models reproduces the same structure but with lower wind speed which can reach 5 m s\(^{-1}\) in the regions of strong flows. From an observational
point of view, this can be attributed to the fact that the largest AMI-Wind/ERS errors are found near the shore, whereas from a modelling point of view, the absence of coupling between the sea and the atmosphere for winter Mistral events which generate at this period of the year intense air-sea heat exchanges (Flamant, 2003) and sea surface cooling (Millot, 1979) can also be a source of error. The ASIS buoy data allows a more detailed comparison at a single point. Figure 6 shows the good agreement between the surface wind speed and direction, temperature, relative humidity and surface stress from the ASIS buoy measurements and from the MM5 simulations (better than 2 m s\(^{-1}\), 10\(^\circ\), 1\(^\circ\)C, 20% and 0.1 N m\(^{-2}\) for wind speed and direction, temperature, relative humidity and wind stress, respectively, with some intermittent exceptions). This figure illustrates the unsteady aspect of the Mistral/Tramontane episode with the Tramontane blowing over the buoy between 04:00 and 08:00 UTC, followed by a calm period until the Mistral breaks through after 12:00 UTC.

The radiosoundings launched at 09:00 UTC and 11:00 UTC from the research vessel Atalante at (42.75\(^\circ\) N; 4.37\(^\circ\) E longitude) and (42.95\(^\circ\) N; 4.27\(^\circ\) E), respectively show a northerly wind flow from the surface up to 5 km and more (Figs. 3g, h and i). The vertical profiles of potential temperature at 09:00 and 11:00 UTC show a remarkable persistence of the thermodynamical characteristics of the Mistral with a potential temperature inversion at about 2 km height which corresponds to the ABL depth. At 09:00 UTC, the wind blows at approximately 15 m s\(^{-1}\) within the ABL and increases up to 28 m s\(^{-1}\) above (Fig. 3g). The wind speed is stronger at 11:00 UTC than at 09:00 UTC (20–22 m s\(^{-1}\) below 3 km) since the research vessel Atalante moves closer to the Mistral core. The MM5 model reproduces the vertical profiles of wind speed and direction, and potential temperature with at most 2 m s\(^{-1}\) (09:00 UTC) to 5 m s\(^{-1}\) (11:00 UTC) difference and less than 30\(^\circ\) and 2 K on average for the wind speed and direction and potential temperature, respectively. The deepening of the ABL between Nîmes and the Atalante can be attributed to the occurrence of a hydraulic jump associated with enhanced turbulence and mixing (e.g. Drobinski et al., 2001b) as previously observed at the exit of the Rhône valley for many other Mistral events by Pettré (1982), Corsmeier
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et al. (2005) and Drobinski et al. (2005).

4 Aerosol distribution at the scale of the western Mediterranean basin

4.1 Sources of atmospheric pollutants

The western Mediterranean basin is characterized by a meridional gradient of surface land types, mainly associated with vegetation and aerosol sources. South of the basin, the desert is a primary source of mineral dust. West and north of the basin, some aerosol sources are large industrial zones (refineries, power plants, . . .) surrounded by residential areas with important traffic, such as Barcelona, Marseille, Lyon, Milano and Rome. Rural areas prone to agriculture or covered by Mediterranean natural landscape are the main sources of biogenic emissions. Finally emissions related to ship traffic also impact Mediterranean pollution levels and climate (Marmer and Langmann, 2005).

Some anthropogenic species such as nitrogen oxides (Fig. 7a) are mainly emitted via traffic, industries and domestic combustion. For instance, over the continent, maxima of NO emissions are seen in the main cities Toulouse, Lyon, Marseille as well as Milano. Over the Sea, evidence of emissions associated with maritime traffic is also seen along the northern African coastline (i.e. ship routes between Gibraltar and the Suez canal), as well as along the Spanish coastline between Marseille and Gibraltar or along the Thyrrennean coast. SO2 (Fig. 7c) is mainly emitted via industrial activities and domestic combustion, as shown by the more scattered emission pattern. The emissions associated with maritime traffic are significant. CO and coarse primary particulate matter (PPM, of size less than 10 µm and more than 2.5 µm) emissions are shared by traffic and various sources of combustion. These sources are significant in the main western Mediterranean cities as shown in Figs. 7b and d.
4.2 Analysis at the scale of the Gulf of Lion

On the shore of the Gulf of Lion, the area around the city of Marseille and the Berre pond, in southeastern France is a source region of large urban and industrial emission with high occurrence of photochemical pollution events (Drobinski et al., 2006). The aerosol optical depth (AOD) at 865 nm derived from the SeaWIFS pass over the Gulf of Lion at 11:32 UTC on 24 March 1998 (obtained from the SeaDAS software) is shown in Fig. 8c. Figure 8 evidences that most of the western Mediterranean was covered by clouds, with the notable exception of Gulf of Lion, which was partly cloud-free, on account of the strong Mistral jet blowing in the region. AODs at 865 nm could only be retrieved in the cloud-free part of the Gulf of Lion, but displays a minimum south of the city of Montpellier (0.11 around 4° E) and a maximum south of the Fos/Berre industrial area (0.18 around 4.7° E) along leg AF of the ARAT aircraft (Fig. 1). In the Mistral region (leg FC), the AOD at 865 nm varied from over 0.2 near the coast (Fos/Berre region and further east) to 0.17 around way-point F (Fig. 1). The aerosol distribution simulated by CHIMERE using the recently developed methodology by Hodzic et al. (2004), can be compared to the aerosol optical depth retrieved from SeaWIFS in the cloud-free regions. Figure 8b shows the AOD at 865 nm simulated with CHIMERE over the domain documented by SeaWIFS. The location of the Marseille/Fos-Berre aerosol plume is reproduced by CHIMERE (shifted by about 20 km to the east) with an AOD of about 0.15, as well as the aerosol plume from the industrial city of Toulon. The plume emerging from the Aude valley channelling the Tramontane as well as the plume skirting the eastern Spanish coast (Fig. 8a) are not visible in the SeaWIFS data because these regions are covered by clouds preventing from reliable retrieval of aerosol products (Fig. 8b, c). Despite the global good agreement, the AOD simulated in some plumes can be half smaller than the observed AOD.

In the afternoon 24 March 1998, the vertical structure of aerosols as well as the ABL were documented by the lidar LEANDRE-2 along legs AF, FC and CE (Fig. 9a) as detailed by Flamant (2003). Lidar measurements on leg AF (Fig. 9b) show an internal
boundary layer developing from the coast (within the advected continental ABL) and reaching a depth of 1200 m. Close to way-point F, at approximately 42.6° N, the marine ABL structure characteristics over the sea changed: it is observed to be shallower (700 m in Fig. 9b) and is characterized by larger values of atmospheric reflectivity. This is confirmed by measurements made on leg FC (Fig. 9c), along which the marine ABL is characterized by values of atmospheric reflectivity similar to those observed near way-point F. Also, the marine ABL is observed to remain shallow, its depth gradually decreasing from 700 to 500 m with the distance to the coast. As discussed in Flamant (2003), the larger atmospheric reflectivity values in the eastern part of the sampled region is thought to be related to larger concentrations of pollution aerosol from the city of Marseille and the industrial petrochemical complex of Fos/Berre. On leg CE (Fig. 9d), close to the coast, the ABL structure is similar to that observed along FC (i.e., shallow). The depth of the ABL increases westward from 500 to 1200 m (between 43.25 and 43° N) with reflectivity values on the order of those observed on leg CE. Further to the west, a continental ABL is observed in the sheltered region in the lee of the Massif Central, reflectivity values being significantly smaller than to the east of 43° N. In this region, lidar measurements evidence the presence of gravity waves inside the continental ABL and above, which are common features during Mistral events (Caccia et al., 2004; Drobinski et al., 2005). The vertical structure of the aerosol distribution in the atmosphere derived from LEANDRE-2 reflectivity is used to validate the CHIMERE simulations along the ARAT legs. To do that, we use the methodology by Hodzic et al. (2004) that involves simulating the lidar backscattering profiles from the model relative humidity and concentration outputs (optical properties varying with chemical composition and mass vertical distribution). Figures 9 and 10 show the simulated lidar reflectivity and relative humidity along legs AF, CE and CF. To obtain a quantitative comparison between the measured and simulated reflectivities, the simulated lidar profiles are normalized by the measured values in the aerosol-free layer (at about 3.8 km in the troposphere). Figure 10 shows a fairly horizontally homogeneous relative humidity field meaning that the lidar reflectivity can be directly related
to the aerosol concentration without any modulation of the aerosol size by hygroscopic effect. Despite some differences in the lidar reflectivity field, the simulation displays many similar features. The main difference is the thin free-aerosol layer (reflectivity values of about 50 a.u.) just above the ABL (reflectivity values larger than 150 a.u.) which is not reproduced by CHIMERE. Otherwise, the second layer above the ABL (the advected continental ABL with reflectivity values of about 100–120 a.u.) is accurately simulated for all legs. The transition between the marine ABL and the advected continental ABL is thus too smooth in the simulation probably because of too large diffusivity which prevents the model to predict this aerosol-free layer between the marine ABL and the advected continental ABL. Along leg CF, the aerosol backscatter is homogeneous in the ABL both in the observations and the simulation since the aircraft flies along the aerosol plume (Fig. 8), with a deepening of the ABL from east to west (the CHIMERE simulation, however, overpredicts by about 500 m the ABL depth west of leg CF). Along legs CE and AF, the aerosol backscatter in the ABL varies along the legs, with larger reflectivity on the eastern part of the leg. On leg CE, the CHIMERE model produces large lidar reflectivity east of 3.9° E. The location of the aerosol plume is accurately reproduced with however a more diffuse plume edge between 3.8 and 4.3° E in the LEANDRE-2 observations and smaller simulated reflectivity east of 4.3° E. West of 3.8° E, the aerosol content decreases. Along leg AF, the model accurately reproduces the heavily loaded aerosol plumes east of 4.2° E and west of 3.8° E. Between 3.8 and 4.2° E, CHIMERE model simulates the incursion of a low aerosol streak within the ABL, but is not able to reproduce its fine-scale structure and the simulated reflectivity is larger than the measured reflectivity.

To assess the origin air masses responsible for the aerosol variability in ABL in the Gulf of Lion area, we computed back trajectories ending at various locations in the aerosol plume documented by SeaWIFS (Fig. 11) and LEANDRE-2 (Figs. 12 and 13), using a lagrangian particle dispersion model (Menut et al., 2000). The trajectories use the MM5 wind fields. During the convective period, air parcels are mixed within the ABL. Hence neither constant-altitude trajectories nor trajectories following the mean
three-dimensional wind would be realistic. In order to overcome this problem, air trajectories are assumed to undergo a random altitude change every hour during the convective period. They were nevertheless bounded to stay between 0 and 1000 m (tests with the upper boundary of 2000 and 3000 m have been conducted without any impact). Fifty back trajectories are calculated in this way (due to the convective processes, an ending point does not correspond to the same origin, In order to take these various origins into account, 50 trajectories are studied). Figures 11a and b show that the air masses following similar trajectories and ending on the western edge of the aerosol plume at 11:00 UTC can be clustered in two groups: one cluster follows the Aude valley channelling the Tramontane flow, the other follows the western boundary of the Mistral flow. There is no major urban and industrial aerosol sources along the two trajectories, except maybe the cities of Toulouse and Montpellier (Figs. 1b and 7). Figures 11c and d show that the center and eastern regions of the aerosol plume originate from the Marseille/Fos-Berre area but also from further sources: To the north, emissions from Lyon are transported long range by the Mistral (see also Corsmeier et al., 2005, for a summer Mistral) and to the east, a cross-Alpine flow carries the emissions from the heavily industrialized regions of the Po Valley in Italy. The regions of Marseille/Fos-Berre, Lyon and Torino are major emission sources compared to Toulouse or Montpellier, thus explaining why the western region of the plume is optically thinner than the middle and eastern regions of the plume.

The back plumes ending along the ARAT legs at two different altitudes (50 m within the ABL, 2000 m within the advected aerosol-rich continental ABL above the marine ABL) also explain the differences in aerosol concentration observed by LEANDRE-2. At 50 m (and higher within the ABL, not shown), large-scale transport from Lyon is visible on the four clusters of back trajectories. However, at ending points A and E, the air masses do not flow over the Marseille/Fos-Berre area or other major cities, explaining the lower aerosol content observed by LEANDRE-2 within the ABL. On the contrary, the air masses ending at points C and F are enriched in aerosols as they pass over the Marseille/Fos-Berre area explaining the higher reflectivity values in the ABL. Along
leg CF, the ARAT follows the Marseille/Fos-Berre plume explaining the homogeneous concentration of aerosols along the leg.

At 2000 m, the aerosols of the layer just above the marine ABL (reflectivity values of about 100 a.u.) originate from remote sources (e.g. Lyon) which are then transported over a long range (Corsemeier et al., 2005) (Fig. 13). One exception is at ending point C which is just above this aerosol-rich layer, in the aerosol-free layer (Fig. 9). Figure 13c shows that the air mass flows over the advected continental ABL above the western Alps and originate from the northern Alps. The absence of significant aerosol sources in this area explains the very low reflectivity values at 2000 m at ending point C. One can finally notice the absence of trans-alpine transport between 16:00 and 17:00 UTC contrary to the situation at 11:00 UTC. This is the result of an evolution of the regime of the flow impinging the Alpine ridge: at 11:00 UTC, part of the upstream air mass flows over the mountain whereas at 16:00 and 17:00 UTC, the air mass is mostly blocked by the Alps and flows around. In Fig. 2, it is illustrated by the larger extension of the western Alpine wake in the afternoon.

4.3 Aerosol distribution over the western Mediterranean from the MM5-CHIMERE model

Insight into the aerosol distribution, origin and composition over the western Mediterranean can be obtained from the CHIMERE aerosol products, in close relationship with the mesoscale dynamical processes accessible from the validated MM5 meteorological fields. In the following, the aerosol distribution, origin and composition will be discussed as a function of the position and intensity of the “eye” of the Genoa cyclone on 24 March.

During the morning period, the Genoa cyclone is located immediately to the east of Corsica, the surface pressure low gradually decreasing from 1014 to 1010 hPa. Over the southwestern region of the Mediterranean basin, large aerosol loading can be attributed to ship emissions (see Figs. 7 and 14a and b). South of Sardinia, the air circulating around the Genoa surface low brings ship emissions to southern Italy.
North of this band, most of the aerosol loading over the Mediterranean Sea is of local origin (inter-continental ship tracks and coastal urban and industrialized area such as Barcelona, Marseille/Fos-Berre, Toulon, see Figs. 7 and 14a and b), with one exception along the Aude valley where the Tramontane transports offshore continental aerosols. The plume over Toulon stagnates in the western Alps wake trailing downstream across the Alps, an area of very weak wind. Finally, the close location of the Genoa cyclone from Corsica and the absence of strong winds during the morning period lead to the fact that the region north and northwest of Corsica is only influenced by marine clean air.

During the afternoon period, the Genoa cyclone intensifies (from 1010 to 1004 hPa) and moves southeastward, about 300 km east of Sardinia over the Tyrrhenian Sea. The Tramontane has nearly vanished so that the polluted air of the morning stagnates in the Aude valley. Conversely, the Mistral has intensified and most of the aerosols exported offshore originates from central France and the Marseille/Fos-Berre plumes. Moreover, the Ligurian outflow brings in the aerosols emitted from the major industrialized Italian cities (Milano and Torino) over the western part of the Mediterranean basin. This is consistent with aerosol optical depth values of 0.1 and 0.3 at 870 and 440 nm, respectively, measured at Ispra (Italy) with the photometer of the AERONET network and with spectral distribution revealing high accumulation mode aerosol concentration. The CHIMERE AOD at 865 nm at Ispra is about 0.09. A narrow band of clean air separates the two major plumes extending more than 300 km over the sea. This cleaner air corresponds to the western Alps wake and, associated with large horizontal shear of wind, acts as a dynamical barrier, preventing any lateral exchanges between the Mistral and the Ligurian outflow. The southeastward displacement and intensification of the Genoa cyclone increases the meridian extension of the Mistral that sweeps away the band of aerosols emitted from the ships that skirt the North African coast in the morning (Figs. 14c and d).

Despite the difficulty in validating the simulated composition of the aerosol loading over the basin, measurements of nitrates available in Netherlands and Italy clearly
show the development of an ammonium nitrate episode over the western Europe from 22 to 31 March (see measured and simulated values in Table 1, NL09, IT04). These episodes are often observed in the late winter during such meteorological conditions with high pressure over Great Britain and central Europe (Bessagnet et al., 2005). The large nitrogen oxides emissions in the Netherlands, Belgium, Germany and northern France produce by oxidation a large amount of nitric acid which is then neutralized by ammonia emitted in the same regions and form ammonium nitrate in the particulate phase. Moreover, the coarse simulation displays the formation of a sulfate bubble in Great Britain on 22 March going to southern France on 23 and 24 March (not shown). In the same time, the sulfate concentration increases when crossing western France (Normandy) due to high sulfur oxide emissions. This behavior is supported by sulfate observations in three EMEP background sites in Great Britain and France (see Table 1, GB02, GB14 and FR03). During the development of this episode, in particular on 24 March, according to the model results, the Genoa cyclone drives the pollution plume towards southern and western France. Afterwards, the ammonium nitrate is advected through the Aude and Rhône valleys to the Mediterranean basin. A similar ammonium nitrate plume is also transported from the Po valley (Fig. 14). The formation of ammonium nitrate is favored by low temperatures in southern France which reach a maximum of about 10°C near the surface on 24 March at 12:00 UTC and avoid the evaporation of ammonium nitrate.

5 Conclusions

Finally, this article presents a first attempt to characterize the aerosol distribution over the western Mediterranean basin during a Mistral event from the combination of innovative instrumentation (passive and active remote sensors) and the aerosol products of the fine-scale chemistry transport model CHIMERE forced with the non-hydrostatic mesoscale model MM5. This issue is motivated by (1) the potential impact of aerosols on the Mediterranean radiate budget, the water cycle (through the modulation of precip-
(1) the very frequent occurrence of Mistral-type weather regimes (5 to 15 days per month all year long). After validating the dynamical and chemical simulations, this paper shows that:

1. the Mistral contributes to export far offshore a large amount of aerosols emitted over continental Europe (in particular ammonium nitrate in the particulate phase and sulfates) and along the shore from the industrialized and urban areas of Fos-Berre/Marseille, as well as from the Po valley. The Genoa surface low contributes to advect the aerosols along a cyclonic trajectory which skirts the North African coast and reach Italy.

2. due to the time evolution of the Genoa cyclone position, the aerosol concentration pattern is very unsteady, as the Tramontane prevails in the morning hours of 24 March, leaving place to the Mistral and an unusually strong Ligurian outflow in the afternoon. The wakes trailing downstream the Massif Central and the Alps prevent any horizontal diffusion of the aerosols and can, in some occasions, contribute to aerosol stagnation.

Future work will be dedicated to assess the representativity of the aerosol distribution during the very numerous Mistral events.
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Table 1. Nitrate concentrations measured/simulated in the Netherlands (NL09) and Italy (IT03) and sulfate concentrations measured/simulated in Great Britain (GB02 and GB14) and France (FR03) between 22 and 31 March. The stations are from the EMEP measurement network and the concentrations are daily averaged. The simulated values are given between brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nitrate (µg m⁻³)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>NL09 (6.28° E; 53.33° N)</th>
<th>IT04 (8.62° E; 45.82° N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22 March 1998</td>
<td>1.28 (0.79)</td>
<td>4.43 (7.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 March 1998</td>
<td>3.23 (1.96)</td>
<td>5.00 (9.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 March 1998</td>
<td>5.49 (4.41)</td>
<td>4.21 (3.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26 March 1998</td>
<td>7.26 (8.22)</td>
<td>17.80 (22.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 March 1998</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>24.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28 March 1998</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>27.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29 March 1998</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>21.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 March 1998</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>23.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 March 1998</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>18.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sulfate (µg m⁻³)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>GB02 (−3.20° E; 55.32° N)</th>
<th>GB14 (−0.80° E; 54.33° N)</th>
<th>FR03 (1.38° E; 46.13° N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22 March 1998</td>
<td>8.34 (3.62)</td>
<td>4.95 (3.16)</td>
<td>0.30 (1.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 March 1998</td>
<td>8.97 (8.58)</td>
<td>5.82 (4.61)</td>
<td>5.61 (2.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 March 1998</td>
<td>1.05 (1.33)</td>
<td>6.30 (3.57)</td>
<td>13.20 (3.18) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 March 1998</td>
<td>1.14 (0.80)</td>
<td>2.76 (2.02)</td>
<td>1.83 (2.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26 March 1998</td>
<td>0.81 (0.63)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.04)</td>
<td>3.00 (2.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 March 1998</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28 March 1998</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29 March 1998</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 March 1998</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31 March 1998</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: the simulated sulfate plume with concentrations of about 8–9 µg m⁻³ is about 50 km to the west of the measurement station, thus explaining the large difference.
**Fig. 1.** Panel (a): Map of France with the topography shaded in grey when higher than 500 m above sea level. The two rectangles in dashed line display the large and medium domains (hereafter called domain 1 and domain 2, respectively) of the MM5 simulations. Panel (b): Domain 2 of the MM5 simulation with its nested smaller domain (hereafter called domain 3) in the rectangle in dashed line. The acronyms BAR, GEN, MIL, LYO, TLN, TLS, TOR and VAL stand for the city names Barcelona, Genoa, Milano, Nîmes, Lyon, Toulon, Toulouse, Torino and Valence, respectively. The dots indicate the locations of the operational meteorological surface stations. Panel (c): Zoom on the Gulf du Lyon area. The rectangle in dashed line displays the small domain (domain 3) of the MM5 simulations. The dotted line corresponds to the flight track of the ARAT carrying the water vapor lidar LEANDRE-2 on 24 March 1998 in the afternoon and the thick solid line to the route of R/V ATALANTE. The diamond marker shows the location of the ASIS buoy. The acronyms AIX, FOS, MON, MRS and NIM correspond to the city names Aix en Provence, Fos/Berre, Montpellier, Marseille and Nîmes, respectively.
Fig. 2. Horizontal wind fields simulated with MM5 (at 950 hPa) and extracted from domain 2 on 24 March 1998 at 06:00 (a), 09:00 (b), 12:00 (c), 15:00 (d), 18:00 (e) and 21:00 UTC (f), respectively. The isocontours represent the isotachs. Contour interval is 2 m s$^{-1}$ from 10 to 20 m s$^{-1}$. The black solid line represent the coastline.
Fig. 3. Vertical profiles of wind speed, wind direction and potential temperature (left, middle and right columns, respectively) over Lyon, Nimes and on the location of the R/V ATALANTE (top, middle and bottom rows, respectively). The measured and simulated profiles are displayed with dashed and solid lines, respectively. On the first and the second rows, thin lines represent measurements at 09:00 UTC and bold lines represent measurements at 12:00 UTC. On the third row, thin lines are for measurements at 09:00 UTC and bold lines are for measurements at 12:00 UTC. The location of the R/V ATALANTE was 42.75° N/4.37° E at 09:00 UTC and 42.95° N/4.27° E at 11:00 UTC.
Fig. 4. Histograms of the difference between the three-hourly simulated and measured 10-m zonal (a) and meridional (b) wind components and 2-m temperature (c) during the 24 h period of 24 March 1998 in southern France (see dots in Fig. 1b). The measured 10-m wind speed and 2-m temperature accuracies are 1 m s⁻¹ and about 0.1 °K, respectively.
Fig. 5. (a), (b) and (c) are the daily mean values of the wind speeds measured on 24 March 1998 by the AMI-Wind/ERS satellite over sea surface, simulated by MM5 (domain 3) and the difference between the measured and simulated wind speeds, respectively. (a) and (b) are with the same color scale.
Fig. 6. Surface wind speed (a) and direction (b), temperature (c), relative humidity (d) and surface stress (e) as a function of time from the ASIS buoy measurements (dashed line) (see diamond in Fig. 1c) and from the MM5 simulations (solid line).
Fig. 7. EMEP inventory emissions in Mg/(50 km × 50 km)/year, adjusted on the grid of the domain 3 at 12:00 UTC. Panels (a), (b), (c) and (d) represent the concentrations of NO (a), PPM coarse particles (≥2.5 µm and ≤10 µm) (b), SO$_2$ (c) and CO (d) during a labor day of March 1998.
Fig. 8. Panel (a): simulated aerosol optical depth (AOD) at 865 nm derived from CHIMERE simulation (domain 2). The rectangle indicates the zoomed area shown in panels (b) and (c); Panel (b): simulated AOD at 865 nm over the Gulf of Lions multiplied by a factor of 2 with the cloud mask derived from the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWIFS) observations; Panel (c): AOD at 865 nm derived from SeaWIFS measurements.
Fig. 9. Atmospheric reflectivity at 0.73 nm as obtained from LEANDRE-2 (right column) and CHIMERE (left column) along leg CE (a and b), leg CF (c and d), and leg AF (e and f) on the afternoon of 24 March 1998. Way points A, C, and E are shown in Fig. 1c. The aerosol-ladden marine ABL is characterized by high reflectivity values, while the advected continental ABL exhibits reflectivity values comprised between 80 and 1200 a.u. The free troposphere above the ABL, is characterized by a reflectivity values of 80 a.u. or less.
Fig. 10. Vertical profiles of relative humidity simulated with MM5 and extracted from domain 2 along legs AF (a), CE (b) and CF (c).
Fig. 11. Back trajectories ending at 3.3°E/42.5°N (a), 3.39°E/43°N (b), 3.95°E/43.16°N (c) and 4.75°E/42.6°N (d) (shown in Fig. 8). The altitude of the ending points is 50 m. The ending time of the back trajectories is 11:00 UTC which is the time of the passage of SeaWIFS over the western Mediterranean. The wind fields used to compute these back trajectories are from the 9-km mesh size domain. Filled black dots indicate the cities of Barcelona, Toulouse, Montpellier, Marseille/Fos-Berre, Lyon, Torino and Milano.

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 0000, 0001–25, 2006
During the afternoon period, the Genoa cyclone intensifies (from 1010 to 1004 hPa) and moves southeastward, about 300 km east of Sardinia over the Tyrrhenian Sea. The Tramontane has nearly vanished so that the polluted air of the morning stagnates in the Aude valley. Conversely, the Mistral has intensified and most of the aerosols exported offshore originates from central France and the Marseille/Fos-Berre plumes. Moreover, the Ligurian outflow brings in the aerosols emitted from the major industrialized Italian cities (Milano and Torino) over the western part of the Mediterranean basin. This is consistent with aerosol optical depth values of 0.1 and 0.3 at 870 and 440 nm, respectively, measured at Ispra (Italy) with the photometer of the AERONET network and with spectral distribution revealing high accumulation mode aerosol concentration. The CHIMERE AOD at 865 nm at Ispra is about 0.09. A narrow band of clean air separates the two major plumes extending more than 300 km over the sea. This cleaner air corresponds to the western Alps wake and, associated with large horizontal shear of wind, acts as a dynamical barrier, preventing any lateral exchanges between the Mistral and the Ligurian outflow. The southeastward displacement and intensification of the Genoa cyclone led to the weak mistral/western Alps precipitation over the eastern part of France during the following days. The absence of precipitation over central and northern France during the Tramontane/Mistral episode is consistent with the absence of any low-pressure system over this region.

**Fig. 12.** Back trajectories ending at 3.9° E/43.4° N (way-point A) (a), 4.65° E/42.5° N (way-point F) (b), 4.7° E/43.2° N (way-point C) (c) and 3.88° E/42.75° N (way-point E) (d). The back trajectories ending points correspond to the starting and ending points of the ARAT legs and the aerosol plumes detected by the lidar LEANDRE-2 on board the ARAT. The altitude of the ending points is 50 m. The ending times of the back trajectories are 16:00 and 17:00 UTC for the first and second row, respectively. Filled black dots indicate the cities of Barcelona, Toulouse, Montpellier, Marseille/Fos-Berre, Lyon, Torino and Milano.
increases the meridian extension of the Mistral that sweeps away the band of aerosols emitted from the ships that skirt the North African coast in the morning (Fig. 14c and d).

Despite the difficulty in validating the simulated composition of the aerosol loading over the basin, measurements of nitrates available in Netherlands and Italy clearly show the development of an ammonium nitrate episode over the western Europe from March 22 to 31 (see measured and simulated values in Table 1, NL09, IT04). These episodes are often observed in the late winter during such meteorological conditions with high pressure over Great Britain and central Europe (Bessagnet et al., 2005). The large nitrogen oxides emissions in the Netherlands, Belgium, Germany and northern France produce by oxidation a large amount of nitric acid which is then neutralized by ammonia emitted in the same regions and form ammonium nitrate in the particulate phase. Moreover, the coarse simulation displays the formation of a sulfate bubble in Great Britain on March 22 going to southern France on March 23 and 24 (not shown). In the same time, the sulfate concentration increases when crossing western France (Normandy) due to high sulfur oxide emissions. This behavior is supported by sulfate observations in three EMEP background sites in Great Britain and France (see Table 1, GB02, GB14 and FR03). During the development of this episode, in particular on March 24, according to the model results, the Genoa cyclone drives the pollution plume towards southern and western France. Afterwards, the ammonium nitrate is advected through the Aude and Rhône valleys to the Mediterranean basin. A similar ammonium nitrate plume is also transported from the Po valley (Fig. 14). The formation of ammonium nitrate is favored by low temperatures in southern France which reach a maximum of about 10°C near the surface on March 24 at 1200 UTC and avoid the evaporation of ammonium nitrate.

Fig. 13. Same as Fig. 12 for back trajectories ending at 2000 m m.s.l.
Fig. 14. Aerosol loading with respect to the layer height, over the western Mediterranean basin. Panels (a), (b), (c) and (d) display the particulate concentrations fields modelled with CHIMERE on domain 2 at 06:00, 09:00, 12:00, 15:00, 18:00 and 21:00 UTC, respectively. Solid lines represent the coastlines and elevations beyond 500 m.