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Abstract

We use satellite observations from IASI (Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer) on board the MetOp-A satellite to evaluate the springtime daily variations in lower tropospheric ozone over East Asia. The availability of semi-independent columns of ozone from the surface up to 12 km simultaneously with CO columns provides a powerful observational dataset to diagnose the processes controlling tropospheric ozone enhancement at synoptic scales. By combining IASI observations with meteorological reanalyses from ERA-Interim, we elaborate an analysis method based only on IASI ozone and CO observations to identify the respective roles of the stratospheric source and the photochemical source on ozone distribution and variations over East Asia. The succession of low- and high-pressure systems drives the day-to-day variations in lower tropospheric ozone. A case study analysis of one frontal system and one cut-off low system in May 2008 shows that reversible subsiding and ascending ozone transfers in the upper troposphere lower stratosphere (UTLS) region due to the tropopause perturbations occurring in the vicinity of low-pressure systems impact free and lower tropospheric ozone over large regions, especially north of 40°N, and largely explain the ozone enhancement observed with IASI for these latitudes. Irreversible stratosphere-troposphere exchanges of ozone-rich air masses occur more locally in the southern and southeastern flanks of the trough. The contribution to the lower-tropospheric ozone column is difficult to dissociate from the tropopause perturbations generated by weather systems. For regions south of 40°N, a significant correlation has been found between lower-tropospheric ozone and carbon monoxide (CO) observations from IASI, especially over the North China Plain (NCP). Considering carbon monoxide observations as a pollutant tracer, the O₃-CO correlation indicates that the photochemical production of ozone from primary pollutants emitted over such large polluted regions significantly contributes to the ozone enhancements observed in the lower troposphere via IASI. When low-pressure systems circulate over the NCP, stratospheric and pollution sources play a concomitant role in the ozone enhancement. IASI’s 3D observational capability allows the areas in which each source dominates to be
determined. Moreover, the studied cut-off low system has enough potential convective capacity to uplift pollutants (ozone and CO) and to transport them to Japan. The increase of the enhancement ratio of ozone to CO from 0.16 on 12 May over the North China Plain to 0.28 over the Sea of Japan on 14 May indicates photochemical processing during the plume transport.

1 Introduction

In addition to being an important greenhouse gas (Stevenson et al., 2013), tropospheric ozone (O₃) plays a central role in atmospheric chemistry and air quality, by controlling the oxidation processes through the formation of hydroxyl radicals (OH) (Monks, 2005; Monks et al., 2014). Ozone at high concentrations near the surface is a pernicious pollutant, harmful both to human health and to vegetation (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1997, World Health Organization, 2013). Enhancements of ozone in the mid and lower troposphere result from photochemical production from precursors (NOx and hydrocarbons) and from stratosphere-troposphere exchanges (STE) (Lelieveld and Dentener, 2000). The relative contributions made by these sources depend on the season. It is well established that the peak activity of STE occurs during winter and spring (Monks, 2000) whereas photochemical production is more active during the summer period. The crucial role played by weather systems (cyclonic activity) in determining tropospheric ozone variation has also been well established (e.g. Carmichael et al., 1998; Cooper et al., 1998; Cooper et al., 2002a; Ding et al., 2009). These weather systems are associated with tropopause perturbation, especially low tropopauses, and then with subsiding and ascending ozone transfer in the upper troposphere – lower stratosphere (UTLS) region. In addition, irreversible transfers of ozone can be expected, such as stratosphere-troposphere exchanges that would take place preferentially on the western and southern flanks of the trough (e.g. Ancellet et al., 1994; Holton et al., 1995; Liu et al., 2013), and downward transport from the UTLS to the lower troposphere (e.g. Cooper et al., 2002a). Conceptual models have been proposed to describe airstreams related to traveling low-pressure systems at the midlatitudes (e.g. Cooper et al., 2002b). Two main mechanisms are responsible for part of the ozone temporal and spatial variations observed in the troposphere. The dry airstream (DA) occurring behind cold fronts is responsible for a strong downward transport of ozone from the ULTS down to the middle troposphere. It is often linked to tropopause folding. This downward transport can affect ozone concentrations down to the surface, especially at high
altitude sites (e.g. Carmichael et al., 1998; Schuepbach et al., 1999; Dempsey, 2014). In contrast, air masses and then pollutants can be uplifted from the surface to the free troposphere by different processes such as deep convection, orographic lifting and frontal lifting (e.g. Bethan et al., 1998; Hannan et al., 2003; Miyazaki et al., 2003; Cooper et al., 2004, Ding et al., 2009; Foret et al., 2014; Ding et al., 2015, and references therein). One part of these processes, the warm conveyor belts (WCBs) associated with frontal activity and lifting have been studied mainly in the scope of their role in the long-range transport of pollutants, because they lift pollutants to levels where horizontal transport is more efficient.

Several studies focusing on the trans-Pacific transport of pollutants from East Asia towards the United States have shown the importance of the frontal systems in this transport process during springtime using both model simulations (e.g. Bey et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2003; Mari et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2010) and dedicated field campaigns (e.g. Jaffe et al., 1999; Cooper et al., 2004; Liang et al., 2004; Oshima et al., 2004). Very recently, Ding et al. (2015) have shown that the topography of East Asia, as well as inducing orographic lifting, assists frontal lifting and facilitates convection, thereby amplifying the possibility of pollutant uplifting.

In recent decades, East Asia and in particular China has experienced rapid economic growth. The related increasing anthropogenic emissions of pollutants (Richter et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2013) lead to regional ozone concentrations amongst the highest in the world (e.g. Chan and Yao, 2008; Zhao et al., 2009; Lelieveld and Dentener, 2000; Wang et al., 2012; Safieddine et al., 2013). Due to the rapidly changing emissions in China, the respective contribution made by anthropogenic and natural perturbations to tropospheric ozone in China and its variability constitutes a crucial issue to be documented and better understood. Seasonal variations in ozone levels in East Asia and especially the role of the summer Asian monsoon leading to a summer minimum have been extensively studied from model simulations, in situ and satellite observations (e.g. Mauzerall et al., 2000; Tanimoto et al., 2005; Yamaji et al., 2006; Li et al., 2007; Ding et al., 2008; Dufour et al., 2010). However, at the synoptic scale, the direct impact of weather systems on tropospheric ozone distribution above China and its daily variations has been less extensively considered or, if so, mainly in the scope of the long-range transport of pollutants and export to the Pacific Ocean. A recent study investigates the dynamic and chemical features induced in the upper troposphere by cut-off lows over northeast China using limb and nadir satellite sounders (Liu et al., 2013).
The progress made in satellite observations of tropospheric ozone during the last decade (e.g. Worden et al., 2007; Eremenko et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010, Nakatani et al., 2012) offers a new opportunity to evaluate ozone distribution and its daily variation including the role of transport at the synoptic scale (e.g. Doche et al., 2014). The satellite provides an unprecedented spatial coverage that allows new insight into how synoptic processes impact ozone distributions. The first satellite measurements of tropospheric ozone were obtained using ultraviolet-visible (UV) sounders (e.g. Fishmann et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2007). Later on, the development of thermal infrared nadir sounders allowed accurate measurements of partial tropospheric ozone columns to be obtained (Coeur et al., 2005; Worden et al., 2007; Dufour et al., 2012; Safieddine et al., 2013). Using GOME and OMI UV sounders, Nakatani et al. (2012) show a persistent belt of enhanced tropospheric columns of ozone at mid-latitudes over East Asia throughout the year, partly attributed to stratospheric intrusion near the subtropical jet. The tropospheric contribution to the enhanced ozone column has been assessed using model simulations. Nakatani et al. (2012) underlined the difficulty in differentiating the stratospheric and tropospheric origins of ozone in the tropospheric columns observed by satellite. This difficulty has already been stated by de Laat et al. (2005). However, it has been demonstrated that thermal infrared sounders like IASI on board MetOp (Clerbaux et al., 2009) allow the retrieval of semi-independent partial columns of ozone within the troposphere (Eremenko et al., 2008; Dufour et al., 2010; Dufour et al., 2012; Safieddine et al., 2013; Barret et al., 2011). Dufour et al. (2010) show the ability of IASI to provide independent information on the seasonal variation in lower- and upper-tropospheric ozone over East Asia. Over shorter-term periods of the order of several days, the retrieved ozone profile with IASI allows the identification of the origin of the observed tropospheric ozone in specific cases. Very recently, Hayashida et al. (2015) show ozone enhancement in the lower troposphere over East Asia using the OMI space-borne ultraviolet spectrometer. They attribute the enhancement mainly to emissions of ozone precursors from open crop residue burning after the winter wheat harvest.

In this paper, we use the IASI observation of lower-tropospheric ozone to investigate the influence of synoptic scale weather systems on the distribution of ozone over East Asia. In a previous study, Dufour et al. (2010) show that IASI lower-tropospheric ozone columns reach a maximum in late spring and early summer (May, June) in Beijing, Shanghai and Hong Kong. We then decided to focus our study on late spring (May), period during which high ozone concentrations and frequent frontal activities occur over East Asia. We focus on May
2008, as this was the first period available with the new version of the IASI ozone product used for this study. Two case studies associated with travelling low-pressure systems and presenting enhanced ozone in the lower troposphere are analyzed. The first case is used to elaborate the analysis method based on IASI observations of ozone (O$_3$) and carbon monoxide (CO). We demonstrate that semi-independent ozone columns between the surface and 12 km from IASI associated with simultaneous CO measurements provide a powerful observational dataset to identify, at least partly, the stratospheric and anthropogenic origin of lower free tropospheric ozone. The contributions made by descending air from the UTLS in the vicinity of the weather systems and by the photochemical production of ozone to the enhanced lower tropospheric ozone columns are then investigated for the two case studies.

The paper is structured as follow. In Section 2, the different satellite and meteorological datasets are described. As a new version of the IASI ozone product is used for this study, we provide a summary of the validation of the product with a specific focus on East Asia in Section 3. The analysis method based on ozone and CO columns is detailed in Section 4. Section 5 presents the consequences of a cut-off low travelling over a highly polluted region (North China Plain) in terms of ozone vertical distribution and pollutant transport. A general discussion is given in Section 6 as well as a conclusion in Section 7.

### 2 Datasets description

#### 2.1 The IASI instrument

The IASI (Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer) (Clerbaux et al., 2009) instrument, on board the MetOp-A platform since October 2006, is a nadir-viewing Fourier transform spectrometer. It operates in the thermal infrared between 645 and 2760 cm$^{-1}$ with an apodized resolution of 0.5 cm$^{-1}$. The field of view of the instrument is composed of a 2 x 2 matrix of pixels with a diameter at nadir of 12 km each. IASI scans the atmosphere with a swath width of 2200 km and crosses the equator at two fixed local solar times 9:30 am (descending mode) and 9:30 pm (ascending mode), allowing the monitoring of atmospheric composition twice a day at any location. The large spectral coverage, high radiometric sensitivity and accuracy, and rather high spectral resolution of the instrument allow this instrument to measure the global distribution of several important atmospheric species (eg. Boynard et al., 2009; George et al., 2009; Clarisse et al., 2011).
2.2 Lower-tropospheric ozone from IASI

The IASI ozone profiles and partial columns considered in this paper have been retrieved using the method described in Eremenko et al. (2008). The retrieval is performed using the radiative transfer model KOPRA (Karlsruhe Optimised and Precise Radiative transfer Algorithm) and its inversion module KOPRAFIT (Stiller et al., 2000; Höpfner et al., 2001), both adapted to the nadir-viewing geometry. A constrained least squares fit method with an analytical altitude-dependent regularization is used (Kulawik et al., 2006). The applied regularization method is detailed in Eremenko et al. (2008). To summarize, the regularization matrix is a combination of first order Tikhonov constraints (Tikhonov, 1963) with altitude-dependent coefficients. The coefficients are optimized both to maximize the degrees of freedom (DOF) of the retrieval and to minimize the total error on the retrieved profile.

Compared to previous studies using this algorithm (Eremenko et al., 2008; Dufour et al., 2010, 2012), several changes have been made. The emissivity of the surface is now taken into account based on a global monthly IASI-derived climatology (Zhou et al., 2011) allowing a better retrieval above arid regions. Different a priori and constraints are used depending on the tropopause height. This new scheme was introduced to reduce possible compensation effects during the retrieval procedure. An automatic detection of the tropopause height (calculated from the temperature profile retrieved from IASI using the definition based on the lapse rate criterion (WMO, 1957)) has been introduced to discriminate between polar, midlatitudes, and tropical situations. If the tropopause is lower than 10 km, the polar constraint and a priori profile are used. If the tropopause is between 10 and 14 km, the midlatitude constraint and a priori profile are used. If the tropopause is higher than 14 km, the tropical constraint and a priori are used. The midlatitude and tropical regularization matrices are those already used in Eremenko et al. (2008) and Dufour et al. (2010, 2012) respectively.

The polar constraint has been specifically developed following the same method as in Eremenko et al. (2008). The a priori profiles are compiled from the ozonesonde climatology of McPeters et al. (2007). The midlatitude a priori profile is set to the climatological profile of the 30-60°N latitude band for summer. The tropical a priori profile is set to the climatological profile of the 10-30°N latitude band over the year. The polar profile is set to the climatological profile of the 60-90°N latitude band for summer. As the version of the ozone product used in this study differs significantly from the version extensively validated in Dufour et al. (2012), a new validation against ozonesondes has been conducted and the results are presented in Section 3. The modifications of the algorithm do not influence the vertical
sensitivity of IASI. As shown in Dufour et al. (2010, 2012), two semi-independent partial columns of ozone between the surface and 12 km can be considered: the lower tropospheric column integrating the ozone profile from the surface to 6 km altitude – above sea level (asl) – and the upper-tropospheric column integrating the ozone profile from 6 to 12 km altitude. Note that the latter column can include stratospheric air masses depending on the tropopause height. The averaging kernels give information on the vertical sensitivity and resolution of the retrieval. The lower-tropospheric column shows a maximum sensitivity typically between 3 and 4 km with a limited sensitivity to the surface (Dufour et al., 2012). This implies that the ozone concentration profile in the lower troposphere is preferentially incremented at these altitudes during the retrieval process, independently if the true ozone profile is perturbed at other altitudes, especially at the surface. Moreover, it is worth noting that the partial columns are only semi-independent which means that they may include partial information from altitudes outside their altitude range. For example, the lower-tropospheric column includes information from altitudes higher than its upper limit (6 km). In order to estimate the fraction of contamination of the lower-tropospheric column by higher altitudes, we calculated the ratio between the integral of the averaging kernel of the lower-tropospheric column from 6 km to 60 km and the integral from the surface to 60 km. Higher atmospheric layers contribute to about 20 to 30 % of the lower-tropospheric column in the midlatitude air masses (not shown). Note that only the morning overpasses of IASI are considered for this study in order to remain in thermal conditions with a better sensitivity to the lower troposphere.

2.3 Carbon monoxide from IASI

The CO data used here are retrieved from the IASI spectra within the 2143-2181.25 cm\(^{-1}\) spectral range using the FORLI-CO retrieval code from the Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB). FORLI-CO retrievals give CO concentration profiles using the optimal estimation method (Rodgers, 2000) and a single a priori profile. More details are given in Hurtmans et al. (2012). The IASI FORLI-CO product used in this study is the total column, publicly available from the Ether website (http://www.pole-ether.fr). Note that only half of the pixels are available for the year 2008. This explains the difference in measurement density between O\(_3\) and CO observations in the different figures. Carbon monoxide is often used as an indicator of biomass burning and anthropogenic pollution (e.g. Edwards et al., 2004; McMillan et al., 2010). In this study, we use the IASI CO columns as an anthropogenic pollution tracer.
2.4 Meteorological dataset

Meteorological data from the ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis are used in our analyses. The reanalysis is based on a 4D-Var assimilation system with a 12-hour analysis window. The spatial resolution of the data set is approximately 80 km on 60 vertical levels from the surface up to 0.1 hPa (Dee et al., 2011). In our analyses, the meteorological parameters are taken at 0:00 UTC, corresponding roughly to the morning overpass time of IASI. The main variables considered in this study are the geopotential height, the potential vorticity (PV), and the horizontal wind field (u and v components), as well as the equivalent potential temperature, the vertical velocity and the convective available potential energy. The geopotential height associated with horizontal wind at 850 hPa give a proxy for describing the weather situation and horizontal transport in the lower troposphere, whereas the same parameters at 300 hPa describe the situation in the UTLS. We also calculate the equivalent potential temperature at 850 hPa and 300 hPa from temperature, relative humidity and specific humidity fields (Bolton, 1980) as an indicator of air masses origin (Holton, 2004). Potential vorticity (PV) is often used as a tracer of tropopause height and of air masses origin (e.g. Bethan et al., 1996). PV values between 1 and 1.6 PVU are representative of the upper troposphere whereas PV values larger than 1.6 PVU are indicators of air mass origin above the dynamical tropopause.

In this study, we consider mainly PV averaged at between 300 and 500 hPa with a 50 hPa interval as we are above all interested in the impact of stratospheric air masses on the free troposphere. In order to investigate the ascending motion of air masses, especially from the boundary layer towards the free troposphere within weather systems, we examine the vertical velocity at different pressure levels as well as the convective available potential energy (CAPE), which informs on the capability of the low-pressure system to vertically transport air masses by convection.

3 Validation of IASI lower tropospheric ozone

Significant changes in the ozone retrieval procedure compared to the validation exercise reported in Dufour et al. (2012) have been made as described in Section 2.2. A new validation exercise was done to evaluate the new version of the ozone product. We use a database of ozonesonde measurements from 2007 to 2012 including 27 stations in the midlatitudinal band (30°-60°) in both hemispheres and 16 stations in the tropical band (30°S-30°N). Most of the ozonesonde measurements are from the WOUDC (http://woudc.org/) and SHADOZ...
A list of stations and related information is provided in Table 1. The coincidence criteria used for the validation are 1° around the station, a time difference smaller than 6 hours and a minimum of 10 clear-sky pixels matching these criteria. The results of the comparison between IASI ozone retrievals and ozonesonde measurements are summarized in Table 2. We focus on the lower troposphere and then no correction factor has been applied on ozonesonde measurements. The results for other partial columns are not significantly different compared to the previous version of the product, extensively discussed by Dufour et al. (2012). The bias for the lower tropospheric column (surface to 6 km asl) is small -0.6 DU (-2.8%) and comparable to the bias estimated at the midlatitudes with the previous version of the product (Dufour et al., 2012). The estimated error is about 2.8 DU (14%) with a correlation coefficient of 0.70. Table 2 also summarizes the results for East Asian ozonesonde stations only (Beijing, Hong Kong, Sapporo and Tateno). A significant bias of 2.2 DU (9.5%) with IASI underestimating ozone partial columns is determined. The bias is similar for Beijing, Hong Kong, and Tateno (-2.6 DU) and different for Sapporo (+0.8 DU). Most of the ozonesonde measurements are performed in the early afternoon. The ozone build-up is then maximal in polluted urban or suburban sites like Beijing, Hong Kong, and Tateno. IASI observations are performed in the morning, about 5 hours earlier on average. The time difference between IASI and ozonesonde observations in polluted suburban sites may partly explain the larger bias in this case. Indeed, the bias for the Sapporo region, where the diurnal cycle of ozone is limited, is reduced. However, the small number of coincidences does not allow any firm conclusion to be reached on the origin of the observed bias over East Asia.

4 Case study of 4-6 May 2008: on the use of IASI O₃ and CO to diagnose the processes influencing the ozone distribution affected by weather systems

An episode of high ozone is observed in the lower troposphere with IASI in North East Asia from 4 to 6 May 2008. This episode is associated with a low-pressure system travelling from Mongolia through North China to the extreme north of Japan. In this section, we investigate how to use the ozone partial columns and profiles and the CO total columns from IASI to diagnose which processes contribute to the ozone enhancement.
4.1 Low-pressure system and associated IASI ozone distribution

Figure 1 describes the meteorological situation of this episode of high ozone. A large cold front extending from Mongolia to South China on 4 May 2008, from North China to the Southern Japanese Islands on 5 May 2008, and moving eastward from Japan on 6 May 2008 characterizes the low-pressure system (Figs. 1a-c). The regions behind the frontal area and north to the polar jet, situated between 35°N and 40°N on these dates (Figs. 1d-f), are strongly influenced by polar air masses with tropopause heights below 9 km (Figs. 1g-i). The 300-500 hPa mean PV values are larger than 1.6 PVU for the same regions, indicating that the upper troposphere is under the influence of lower stratospheric air masses (Figs. 2j-l). The spatial correlation of low tropopauses and large PV values indicates that reversible subsiding ozone transfer affects the upper troposphere in this case. We then expect an ozone enhancement in the upper troposphere for these regions and we will see in the following how IASI describes this ozone enhancement induced by ozone subsidence. The analysis of the upper-tropospheric columns shows that IASI observes columns larger than 40 DU in the regions affected by low tropopauses and large PV (Figs 2g-i). A step gradient between 30 and 40 DU is observed in the upper-tropospheric ozone distribution reflecting the step gradient in the PV distribution. The very good spatial correlation of the high UT ozone structures with those of high PV leads us to consider that the upper-tropospheric columns of ozone retrieved from IASI can be used as a proxy to determine the regions affected by subsiding ozone from the lower stratosphere. The threshold of 40 DU seems to be relevant for this identification.

The question now is to determine what extent IASI is able to inform about the low-pressure system’s influence on the lower-tropospheric ozone distribution. The physical processes, which may affect the lower-tropospheric ozone distribution, are (i) the reversible ozone subsidence associated with low tropopause heights, which induces an enhancement of ozone in the upper and free troposphere, and then partly in the lower troposphere; (ii) irreversible stratosphere-troposphere exchanges, which also lead to ozone enhancement. The first process is expected to affect ozone distribution at a synoptic scale whereas the second process is more localized. Figures 2a-c show that lower-tropospheric ozone columns larger than 28 DU are observed with IASI in the vicinity of the low with similar spatial patterns to the UT columns and the PV distribution. The observed enhancement in the lower-tropospheric column (surface-6km) arises from 1) the actual (reversible) transfer of ozone to the free troposphere, 2) the definition of the LT columns by itself, 3) the limited vertical resolution of the retrieval.
and the associated smoothing of the vertical profile. Indeed, the LT columns are defined as the columns from the surface up to 6 km. Consequently, when the tropopause is low (below 9 km), the LT column arithmetically includes layers with upper-tropospheric characteristics. Moreover, due to the limited vertical resolution of the retrieval and the associated smoothing of the vertical profile, the lower-tropospheric column is partly contaminated by ozone outside the column altitude boundaries, as discussed in Section 2.2. This may contribute to an overestimation of the lower-tropospheric column. However, it is difficult to estimate this overestimation in our case because no ozonesonde observations were available along the path of the low.

We show with this case study that having the IASI UT and LT ozone columns allows us to determine those regions affected by the subsiding transfer of ozone occurring behind the frontal area and if the lower troposphere is affected. Now, we will examine if and how IASI can be used to characterize irreversible stratosphere-troposphere exchanges (STE). The analysis of the PV distribution at different pressure levels allows the identification of the region in the vicinity of the low where STE occurs. In the case study from 4 to 6 May 2008, we identify two regions with high PV values down to 600 or 500 hPa on the path of the low (not shown): one on the east coast of Korea on 5 May (~39°N, 128°E) and one offshore to the northeast of Tokyo (~39°N, 142.5°E). The STE is situated in the southeast flank of the low-pressure system, behind and in the southern part of the cold front in the two cases. Figure 3 displays the longitudinal and latitudinal vertical section of ozone at 128°E and 39°N for 5 May (top) and at 142.5°E and 39°N for 6 May (bottom). On 5 May, strong stratospheric intrusion of ozone is observed between 38°N and 39°N, and between 125°E and 130°E. The vertical section at 128°E shows that the free and lower troposphere are still connected to the polar UTLS reservoir that day. On 6 May, a stratospheric intrusion is observed between 36°N and 38°N, and between 140°E and 143°E. The vertical section along 142°E shows that the enhanced ozone in the lower troposphere (below 7 km) is partly disconnected from the polar UTLS reservoir. Backtrajectories performed with the HYSPLIT trajectory model (Draxler and Rolph; Rolph) show that the 3km-altitude air masses located in this area originate from altitudes between 5 and 7 km the day before from North China and Inner Mongolia (Fig. S1).

The tropopause height was around 7-8 km on 5 May 2008 for these regions (Fig. 1h). This means that the air masses reaching northeast of Tokyo at 3 km on 6 May have a UTLS origin and transport ozone-rich air into the lower troposphere. Thus, we show that the downward transport from the UTLS affects ozone concentrations in the lower troposphere for specific
regions on the southeastern flank of the weather system, whereas the perturbation of the
tropopause associated with this system influences upper and lower tropospheric ozone over
larger areas in the vicinity of the low.

4.2 Influence of the high-pressure system on tropospheric ozone distribution
over the NCP

On 5 May 2008, an anticyclone is forming over Central East China and the North China Plain.
The northwesterly winds reaching the NCP change progressively to southwesterly winds from
4 to 6 May with low winds and then a stagnant situation on 5 May (Figs. 1a-c). This,
associated with low cloud coverage and then increasing radiation, is a situation favorable to
the accumulation of primary pollutants over the NCP and to the photochemical production of
ozone due to both local emissions and regional transport of pollutants. The question here is
how IASI is able to describe this situation. The CO columns observed with IASI show
enhanced values over the NCP on 5 and 6 May (Figs. 2e and 2f). Considering CO as a
pollution tracer, enhanced IASI CO columns can be used to evaluate the build-up of
pollutants. Concomitantly, lower-tropospheric ozone columns as large as 30 DU are observed
(Figs. 2b and 2c). A significant spatial correlation (r=0.6) is calculated between CO and
lower-tropospheric ozone columns for a square region including the NCP (35-41°N, 114-
122°E) on 5 May. In addition, the upper-tropospheric ozone column does not show enhanced
values over the NCP for these 2 days (Figs. 2h and 2i). The analysis of the vertical section of
ozone distribution on 5 May shows that the large ozone concentrations in the Beijing region
(Fig. 3, ~39°N and ~116°E) and across the NCP are retrieved below 6 km and are
disconnected from the UTLS region. The maximal values of ozone are retrieved between 2
and 3 km over Beijing (Fig. 3) in agreement, considering the vertical sensitivity and
resolution of IASI, with in situ measurements, which frequently report high ozone
concentrations at an altitude of 1.5-2km above Beijing during April-May (Huang et al., 2014).
This associated with the correlation with CO suggests that the enhanced ozone observed with
IASI is mainly due to the photochemical transformation of primary pollutants emitted over
the NCP. To evaluate the degree of photochemical production of ozone, we calculate the
equivalent or mean mixing ratio corresponding to the CO and LT O₃ columns. This allows us
to estimate a relative enhancement ratio of O₃ to CO of 0.14 and 0.08 on 5 and 6 May
respectively. These values are in agreement with the typical values ranging between 0 and 0.3
reported over East Asia by Tanimoto et al. (2008). The estimated enhancement ratio remains quite low suggesting an early stage of ozone production.

5 Case study of 11-16 May 2008: combined contributions of anthropogenic and stratospheric sources over the NCP and pollution transport

A second episode of high ozone is observed in the lower troposphere over the North China Plain (NCP) from 11 to 16 May 2008. This episode is associated with a cut-off low-pressure system forming on 11 May over Inner Mongolia and moving east on subsequent days. From 14 May, the meteorological regime changes over the NCP with warmer air masses settling within an anticyclonic situation. In this section, we examine the influence of the meteorological situation on the distribution of lower and upper tropospheric ozone with a particular focus on the NCP. Figure 4 describes the meteorological situation for the entire period. Figures 5 and 6 display the lower and upper tropospheric ozone columns and the total CO columns observed with IASI.

5.1 11-13 May: NCP under the direct influence of the cut-off low

On 11 May 2008, a cut-off low is forming over Inner Mongolia (Fig. 4a). The cut-off low is not yet completely dissociated from the polar reservoir. A band of upper tropospheric columns larger than 40 DU is observed by IASI between 35°N and 45°N (Fig. 5g). As seen in Section 4, it indicates that the region is under the influence of subsiding ozone. The lower tropospheric ozone columns do not show a clear enhancement for the same latitude band. On that day, subsiding ozone affects only moderately the lower tropospheric ozone.

On 12 May 2008, the cut-off low is well dissociated from the western current and its center reaches the Bohai Sea (Fig. 4b). Upper tropospheric ozone columns larger than 45 DU are retrieved all around the cut-off low. Lower tropospheric ozone columns larger than 32 DU are observed especially in the southwestern part of the low, just above the NCP (Fig. 5b). The analysis of the vertical section of ozone at 117°E (Fig. 7a) shows that the subsiding transfer of ozone due to the tropopause perturbation strongly affects lower tropospheric ozone north of 33°N. At 32°N, the ozone enhancement observed in the lower troposphere is not connected to the UTLS reservoir, suggesting a possible photochemical origin for this enhancement. IASI CO columns are also enhanced in the NCP region and partly correlated with the enhanced
ozone columns (Fig. 5e). This indicates that pollution likely plays a concomitant role in the ozone enhancement in that case.

On 13 May 2008, the centre of the cut-off low moves slightly to the East and reaches the Yellow Sea (Fig. 4c). As for the previous day, large upper and lower-tropospheric ozone columns are observed with IASI in the vicinity of the low (Figs. 5c and 5i). The two columns are slightly smaller than the day before over the NCP. The analysis of the vertical section of ozone at 115°E (Fig. 7b) shows that the subsiding transfer of ozone due to the tropopause perturbation is less effective than the previous day. Even if the lower-tropospheric ozone remains partly connected to the UTLS reservoir north of 33°N, secondary maxima are observed at ~4 km of altitude, suggesting that an additional source of ozone may contribute to the LT ozone enhancement. South of 33°N the ozone enhancement is clearly located in the lower troposphere. The good spatial correlation of LT ozone enhancement and the strong CO enhancement observed all over the NCP (Fig. 5f) confirms that pollution plays a concomitant role in explaining the ozone distribution in the lower troposphere over the NCP for this day.

5.2 14 May: transition from a cyclonic to an anticyclonic situation

On 14 May 2008, the cut-off low shifts to the Sea of Japan (Fig. 4d). A large area including North China, Korea, and reaching Japan shows upper-tropospheric columns larger than 40 DU (Fig. 6g), which indicates the region is under the influence of subsiding ozone. Within this area, the largest LT ozone columns are observed in an area less extended and situated on the southeastern flank of the low, mainly over the Sea of Japan (Fig. 6a). The lower troposphere is then certainly under the influence of the UTLS.

Over China, an anticyclonic situation starts to develop south of the NCP inducing a change in the wind regime and warmer conditions from 14 May (Fig. 4d). Enhanced CO columns and lower-tropospheric ozone columns are retrieved with IASI over the NCP (Figs. 6a and 6d) with moderate UT ozone columns. The analysis of the vertical section of ozone concentrations at 35°N shows that very large ozone concentrations are retrieved for the entire free and upper troposphere in the eastern part of the section (Fig. 7c). This corresponds to the region over the Sea of Japan under the direct influence of the cut-off low and then greatly influenced by the UTLS. The situation is different over the NCP: ozone concentrations in the upper troposphere are moderate and a distinct maximum in the lower troposphere is clearly visible. This, associated with CO enhancement over the NCP in good spatial correlation with
LT ozone, indicates that the ozone enhancement observed with IASI over the NCP is of anthropogenic origin and related to the photochemical production of ozone. On that day, the estimated enhancement ratio of O₃ to CO is 0.11 in agreement with the enhancement ratio calculated for the previous case study.

### 5.3 15-16 May: NCP under anticyclonic influence

On 15 and 16 May 2008, strong enhancements of CO and lower tropospheric ozone are observed with IASI over the entire NCP (Figs. 6b-c and 6e-f). Both CO and O₃ increase compared to the previous day. The anticyclone is firmly settled over China, leading to a stagnant situation with low winds all over the NCP (Fig. 4e). This situation is favorable to the accumulation of pollutant and then to the photochemical production of ozone. Figure 7d shows the vertical section of ozone concentrations retrieved with IASI at 37°N. The ozone enhancement is located below 4 km, especially between 115°E and 116°E, in agreement with the findings of Section 4.2. This, with CO enhancement, indicates that the ozone enhancement is due to photochemical production from pollutants emitted in the NCP. In this case of stronger CO enhancement, the enhancement ratio of O₃ to CO (0.09 on 15 May and 0.06 on 16 May) decreases compared to the previous days.

### 5.4 Evidence of transboundary transport within the cut-off low

On 13 and 14 May, large CO and O₃ columns are retrieved from IASI over the Yellow Sea and over the Sea of Japan on the southern flank of the cut-off low-pressure system (Figs. 5c and 6a). Fairly strong westerly winds are present at 850 hPa in the same region, suggesting a possible advection of air masses from the NCP towards Japan associated with the weather system (Figs. 4c and 4d). In order to assess whether the weather system may have contributed to transporting the pollutants (O₃ and CO), we perform backtrajectories on 13 May for an area south to Korea (Fig. S2). The 3-km air masses originate from the boundary layer over NCP on 11 May. They have been uplifted and transported at an altitude of between 3 and 4 km on subsequent days (Fig. S2). In order to investigate if the pollutant uplifting on 11 May occurs over a region more extended than those shown on Fig. S2, we examined two meteorological variables that indicate possible ascending motion of air masses: the convective available potential energy (CAPE) and the vertical velocity. Figure 8 shows that CAPE is significant on the inside eastern flank of the cut-off low and that negative vertical velocities, i.e., ascending winds, are present from the surface up to 300 hPa (Fig. 8 shows only the vertical velocity at
700 hPa as an example). In addition, backtrajectories performed on 11 May indicate that most of the air masses between 38-40°N and 116-117°E at 3 km originate from the atmospheric layers below 1 km and circulate over the NCP during the previous 24 hours (Fig. S3). This evidences that pollutants (CO and O$_3$) have been uplifted from the boundary layer into the free troposphere over NCP and then exported towards Japan by the cut-off low. This transport pathway is relatively well known. Very recently, Ding et al. (2015) studied in detail the uplifting and transport of CO in East Asia. They show that the vertical transport of anthropogenic CO originating from the NCP is mainly carried out by frontal lifting associated or not with WCB. They also pointed out the additional topography’s role in the CO lifting over the NCP.

To complete the study, we calculate the enhancement ratio of O$_3$ to CO over NCP on 12 May, over the Yellow Sea and Korea (32-36°N, 122-130°E) on 13 May, and over the Sea of Japan (30-38°N, 128-140°E) on 14 May. The ratios are respectively 0.16, 0.21 and 0.28. The increase of the ratio indicates possible photochemical processing during the transport. Part of the large lower-tropospheric ozone is then due to the transport of ozone produced over the NCP but also to ozone produced during the transport.

6 Role of weather systems and photochemical production at the monthly timescale

The succession of low- and high-pressure systems plays a key role in explaining the day-to-day variations of lower-tropospheric ozone over North East Asia. In May 2008, 5 events covering 2-3 days each and leading to significant ozone enhancement in the lower troposphere have been identified. In order to evaluate the regions of influence of the frontal and cyclonic activity on the ozone distribution, we calculated monthly means of lower and upper-tropospheric ozone columns (Fig. 9). The monthly means are given with a 0.25°x0.25° horizontal resolution. The upper-tropospheric ozone columns are the most affected by the ozone subsiding transfer induced by the tropopause perturbations associated with frontal activity. Looking at UT ozone columns larger than 40 DU provides a view of the region of influence of the frontal and cyclonic activity in terms of ozone enhancement. This region is located north of 40°N and extends from Inner Mongolia to North China and the North of Japan. South of 40°N, the influence of the frontal and cyclonic activity on lower-tropospheric ozone decreases.
In order to investigate the role of pollution in enhanced lower-tropospheric ozone columns observed with IASI, we compare monthly distribution of lower-tropospheric ozone columns with the distribution of total CO columns and tropospheric NO$_2$ columns, often used as anthropogenic sources tracers (Fig.9). The NO$_2$ tropospheric columns are those observed by the GOME-2 instrument operating on the same satellite platform than the IASI instrument (Boersma et al., 2004) (http://www.temis.nl/airpollution/no2.html). All the regions of continental East Asia (NCP, Sichuan Basin, North China…) showing large NO$_2$ tropospheric columns and then indicating large anthropogenic sources present large total CO columns and also large lower-tropospheric ozone columns (Fig. 9). A correlation of 0.62 over the entire domain between IASI lower-tropospheric ozone and IASI total CO suggests that anthropogenic sources significantly contribute to the ozone observed in the lower troposphere with IASI. The North China Plain, Yangtze River Delta (near Shanghai) and Hubei province (Wuhan region) are the regions most impacted by pollution according to the satellite observations. Large lower-tropospheric ozone columns are observed over North China corresponding to the industrialised Shenyang-Harbin axis also visible in CO and NO$_2$ observations (Fig. 9). However, the ozone plume extends more to the west compared to the CO and NO$_2$ plumes. This may be explained by the influence of the UTLS, which is larger all over the northern part of the domain. Lower-tropospheric columns of ozone might also be overestimated during the retrieval because the region is partly arid. Indeed, the ozone retrieval can be partly impacted in regions of low emissivity. In the southern part of the domain, enhanced lower-tropospheric ozone columns are observed in the Sichuan Basin and Guangdong province in coincidence with enhanced CO and NO$_2$ columns. In this latter region, closer to the equator, the distance between two successive swaths of IASI increases. Then, the spatial and temporal coverage of IASI decreases and it is then less easy to follow the daily variations of ozone. Moreover, the maximum of sensitivity of IASI ozone retrievals in the tropics is usually higher in altitude around 5 km (Dufour et al., 2012). IASI observations are then less suitable to efficiently monitor pollution in such cases.

7 Conclusion

Based on ozone and CO retrieval from IASI, we elaborate an analysis method to diagnose which processes contribute to ozone enhancement in the lower troposphere. We demonstrate that ozone profiles and semi-independent ozone columns between the surface and 12 km
associated with simultaneous CO measurements from IASI provide a powerful observational
dataset to identify the stratospheric and anthropogenic origin of the lower-tropospheric ozone.
We show that UT ozone columns larger than 40 DU are a proxy to identify the region of
subsiding ozone associated with the tropopause perturbation induced by low-pressure weather
systems. Combined with LT ozone columns larger of ~30 DU, it identifies the areas in the
lower troposphere affected by the UTLS reservoir of ozone. We show that the ozone
subsiding transfer due to the tropopause perturbations associated with the low-pressure
systems affect the free and lower-tropospheric ozone over large regions. We determine the
region of influence of such systems, located mainly above 40°N but with some particular
intense events (e.g. cut-off low from 11 to 13 May 2008) impacting southern regions such as
the NCP for few days. The vertical dimension provided by IASI allows the identification of
the STE areas, which are located in the southern part behind the cold front in the case of the
frontal system and on the southern or southeastern flanks of the low in the case of a cut-off
low. Note that the STE are expected to occur preferentially on the western and southern flanks
of the trough.

Based on the case of a cut-off low travelling over the NCP from 11 to 14 May 2008, we show
that such systems, with potential convective capacity, when they travel over highly polluted
regions, play a key role in the transboundary transport of pollutants. We identify from the
O$_3$/CO enhancement ratio estimated from IASI observations that significant ozone
photochemical production occurs during the transport from the NCP on 12 May to the Sea of
Japan on 14 May.

On the contrary, we show that large LT ozone columns when not associated with large UT
ozone columns but with enhanced CO total columns – used as a pollution tracer – indicate the
areas where the photochemical production of ozone forms part of the observed ozone
enhancement in the lower troposphere. Most of the enhanced lower-tropospheric ozone
columns are observed in regions mainly impacted by strong pollution level. Significant
correlations between CO (used as a pollution tracer) and ozone in the lower troposphere have
been found as well as enhancement ratio of O$_3$ to CO, consistent with those from literature.

Moreover, the analysis of vertical sections of ozone concentrations over NCP indicates that
ozone concentrations are enhanced only in the lower troposphere in such regions, indicating
the anthropogenic origin of the observed ozone enhancements. The maximal values of ozone
are observed between 2 and 4 km in cases where an anticyclonic situation is well settled over
the NCP (e.g. 5 and 15 May 2008). This is in agreement with in situ measurements (Huang et al., 2014), considering the limited vertical resolution of IASI and its limited sensitivity to surface ozone. Because of these limitations, it is not possible to determine more precisely the altitude of the ozone enhancements in the troposphere. This is all the more penalizing when stratospheric and photochemical events occur at the same time. The lack of vertical resolution does not allow the various contributions to be differentiated. Combined with modelling studies, advanced satellite products coupling UV and IR information such as the recent IASI+GOME-2 product (Cuesta et al., 2013) as well as the next generation of satellite instruments (Crevoisier et al., 2014, Veefkind et al., 2012) should help assessing this issue.
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Table 1. Ozonesonde stations used for the validation. “N days” represents the number of measurements matching the coincidence criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>N days</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>N days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ankara</td>
<td>39.97°N 32.86°E</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Tateno</td>
<td>36.10°N 140.10°E</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila</td>
<td>42.38°N 13.31°E</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Uccle</td>
<td>50.80°N 4.35°E</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barajas</td>
<td>40.47°N 3.58°W</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>Ushuaia</td>
<td>54.85°S 68.31°W</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>39.54°N 117.12°E</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Valeria</td>
<td>51.93°N 10.25°W</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bratts Lake</td>
<td>50.20°N 104.70°W</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Wallops Island</td>
<td>37.90°N 75.70°W</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadmeadows</td>
<td>37.69°S 144.94°E</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churchill</td>
<td>58.74°N 94.07°W</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Hanoi</td>
<td>21.02°N 105.80°E</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Bilt</td>
<td>52.10°N 5.18°E</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Hilo</td>
<td>19.43°N 155.04°W</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmonton</td>
<td>53.55°N 114.11°W</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>22.31°N 114.17°E</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egbert</td>
<td>44.23°N 79.78°W</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Irene</td>
<td>25.90°S 28.22°W</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Bay</td>
<td>53.31°N 60.36°W</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>7.50°S 112.60°E</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hohenpeissenberg</td>
<td>47.80°N 11.00°W</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>Kuala Lumpur</td>
<td>2.73°N 101.70°E</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntsville</td>
<td>34.72°N 86.64°W</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Nairobi</td>
<td>1.27°S 36.80°E</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelowna</td>
<td>49.93°N 119.40°W</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Naha</td>
<td>26.20°N 127.70°E</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauder</td>
<td>45.04°S 169.68°E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Natal</td>
<td>5.49°S 35.80°W</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legionowo</td>
<td>52.40°N 20.97°E</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>Pago</td>
<td>14.23°S 170.56°W</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindenberg</td>
<td>52.21°N 14.12°E</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>7.75°N 80.25°W</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie Island</td>
<td>54.50°S 158.94°E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reunion</td>
<td>21.06°S 55.48°E</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payerne</td>
<td>46.49°N 6.57°E</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>14.23°S 170.56°W</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>50.01°N 14.45°E</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>San Cristobal</td>
<td>0.92°S 89.60°W</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapporo</td>
<td>43.10°N 141.30°E</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>28.46°N 16.26°W</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stony Plain</td>
<td>53.55°N 114.11°W</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Watukosek</td>
<td>7.50°S 112.60°E</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>RMS</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All stations</td>
<td>-0.6 (2.8)</td>
<td>2.8 (13.7)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia</td>
<td>-2.2 (-9.5)</td>
<td>2.7 (11.6)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>-2.6 (-9.0)</td>
<td>2.6 (9.0)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Meteorological situation given at (a-c) 850 hPa and (d-f) 300 hPa from 4 to 6 May 2008 as well as (g-i) the dynamical tropopause. All the meteorological variables are derived from the ERA-Interim reanalysis. The color filled contours in (a-f) represent the equivalent potential temperature and the white contour the geopotential height. The “L” and “H” symbols represent the centre of lows and highs respectively. Horizontal winds are also plotted. The cold and warm fronts are displayed in blue and red respectively on the top panel.
Figure 2. (a-c) Lower tropospheric ozone columns (surface to 6 km asl) retrieved from IASI from 4 to 6 May 2008; (d-f) Total CO columns retrieved from IASI; (g-i) Upper tropospheric ozone columns (6 to 12 km asl) retrieved from IASI; (j-l) Potential Vorticity (PV) from ERA-Interim reanalysis averaged between 300 and 500 hPa.
Figure 3. Vertical section of ozone concentration (in molecule/cm$^3$) retrieved from IASI along specific longitudes – (a) 128°E on 5 May 2008, (b) 142.5°E on 6 May 2008 – and along specific latitudes – 39°N on 5 May 2008 (c) and 6 May 2008 (d). The longitudinal (latitudinal) sections are computed over 1° around the specific longitude (latitude) with a 0.25° resolution in latitude (longitude).
Figure 4. Meteorological situation given at 850 hPa from 11 to 16 May 2008. The color filled contours represent the equivalent potential temperature and the white contour the geopotential height. The “L” and “H” symbols represent the centre of lows and highs respectively. Horizontal winds are also plotted.

Figure 5. (a-c) Lower-tropospheric ozone columns (surface to 6 km a.s.l) retrieved from IASI from 11 to 13 May 2008. (d-f) Total CO columns retrieved from IASI. (g-i) Upper-tropospheric ozone columns (6 to 12 km asl) retrieved from IASI.
Figure 6. Same as Fig. 6 for 14 to 16 May 2008.

Figure 7. Vertical section of ozone concentration (in molecule/cm$^3$) retrieved from IASI along specific longitudes – (a) 117°E on 12 May 2008, (b) 115°E on 13 May 2008 – and along specific latitudes – (c) 35°N on 14 May 2008, (d) 37°N on 15 May 2008. The longitudinal
(latitudinal) sections are computed over 1° around the specific longitude (latitude) with a 0.25° resolution in latitude (longitude).

Figure 8. Convective available potential energy (a) and vertical velocity at 700 hPa (b) from ERA-Interim reanalysis.

Figure 9. Monthly lower (upper left) and upper (upper right) tropospheric ozone columns observed by IASI in May 2008 as well as monthly IASI total CO columns (lower left) and GOME-2 NO2 tropospheric columns (lower right) observed in May 2008. The average is calculated for a 0.25°x0.25° resolution grid.
Figure S1. 36-hours backward trajectories ending at 3km on 6 May 2008 in the region of Tokyo.

Figure S2. 48-hours backward trajectories ending at 3km on 13 May 2008 in the region of South Korea.
Figure S3. 24-hours backward trajectories ending at 3km on 11 May 2008 in the region of Beijing.