Marine Boundary Layer Structure as Observed by A-train Satellites
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Abstract
The marine boundary layer (MBL) structure is important to the marine low cloud processes, and the exchange of heat, momentum, and moisture between oceans and the low atmosphere. This study examines the MBL structure over the eastern Pacific region and further explores the controlling factors of MBL structure over the global oceans with a new 4-year satellite-based dataset. The MBL top (BLH) and the mixing layer height (MLH) were identified using the MBL aerosol lidar backscatter from the CALIPSO (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations). Results showed that the MBL is generally decoupled with MLH/BLH ratio ranging from ~0.5 to ~0.8 over the eastern Pacific Ocean region. The MBL decoupling magnitude is mainly controlled by estimated inversion strength (EIS), which in turn controls the cloud top entrainment process. The systematic differences between drizzling and non-drizzling stratocumulus tops also show dependence on EIS. This may be related to the meso-scale circulations or gravity wave in the MBL. Further analysis indicates that the MBL shows a similar decoupled structure for clear sky and cumulus cloud-topped conditions, but is better mixed under stratiform cloud breakup and overcast conditions.

1 Introduction
The planetary boundary layer is the lowest part of the troposphere that is directly influenced by the Earth’s surface. It is considered to be important for the exchange of heat, momentum, and moisture between the surface and the upper troposphere (Stull, 1988). Over oceans, the marine boundary layer (MBL) clouds are frequently present within the MBL, making
significant contributions to the energy and moisture budgets of the earth because of their high albedo (Klein and Hartmann, 1993; Norris and Leovy, 1994; Norris, 1998; Wood and Bretherton, 2004). Despite decades of research efforts, the MBL clouds are still one of the primary contributors to the uncertainty in the model predictions of climate change (Bony and Dufresne, 2005; Randall et al., 2007; Wyant et al., 2015). Because of the close interactions of MBL clouds with the vertical structure and turbulence of the MBL, the representation of convection and MBL processes is critical to the successful climate simulations (Randall et al. 1985; Albrecht et al., 1995; Bony and Dufresne, 2005; Wyant et al., 2010; Zhang, et al., 2011).

The decoupling of the MBL is frequently observed at the downwind of the subtropical stratocumulus regions when the turbulence is not strong enough to maintain a well-mixed MBL, especially when the MBL is higher than 1km (Bretherton and Wyant, 1997; Wood and Bretherton, 2004; Jone et al., 2011; Caldwell et al., 2012). A wide range of factors controls the MBL decoupling. Bretherton and Wyant (1997) suggested that the decoupling structure is mainly driven by an increasing ratio of the surface latent heat flux to the net radiative cooling in the cloud and that other factors such as drizzle, the vertical distribution of radiative cooling in the cloud, and sensible heat fluxes, only play less important roles. Meanwhile, Zhou et al. (2015) showed that the entrainment of the dry warm air above the inversion could be the dominant factor triggering the systematic decoupling, while surface latent heat flux, precipitation, and diurnal circulation did not play major roles.

The MBL structure and processes are still not well understood with observations mainly limited to specific case studies in early studies (Wood and Bretherton, 2004). The boundary layer structure can be derived from ground-based observations such as sounding (Seidel et al., 2010) or lidar (Emeis et al. 2008). However, ground-based observations of the MBL over the global oceans are sparse and may be not representative. Wood and Bretherton (2004) were the first to attempt a combination of MODIS and reanalysis data to study the MBL decoupling, though this passive remote sensing cannot produce direct measurements of MBL structures.

New satellite-based observations allow innovative ways to observe the boundary layer structure. The global boundary layer height (BLH) climatology has been derived by using Global Positioning System radio occultation (GPS-RO) measurements (Ratnam and Basha, 2010; Guo et al, 2011; Ao et al, 2012), the Lidar In-space Technology Experiment (LITE) (Randall et al., 1998), the Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) (Palm et al., 2005),
and the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) (Jordan et al., 2010, McGrath-Spangler and Denning, 2012, 2013). GPS-RO provides a valuable global view of height-resolved refractivity or moisture structure of boundary layer, but suffers with very coarse spatial resolutions (200 m in vertical and ~200 km horizontal) and has limited penetration into the lowest 500 m of the atmosphere (Xie et al, 2012). Satellite-based lidar is sensitive to boundary layer aerosols and clouds, providing global measurements of aerosol properties and their vertical distributions. As the aerosol vertical distribution in the boundary layer is heavily influenced by the boundary layer thermal structure, aerosol structures were used as a good proxy to study the MBL structures (Stull and Eloranta, 1984; Boers et al., 1984; Melfi et al., 1985; Boers and Eloranta, 1986; Leventidou et al., 2013; Luo, et al., 2014a; Kong and Fan, 2015).

Early studies have shown that satellite-based lidar is effective at deriving global BLH distributions (Randall et al., 1998; Palm et al., 2005; Jordan et al., 2010; McGrath-Spangler and Denning, 2012, 2013). This is especially true when using CALIOP observations, because of their much finer vertical (30m) and horizontal resolution (333m) in the lower troposphere. The aforementioned studies used gradient or variance methods over land and ocean under all-sky or no-optically-thick-cloud conditions. Over land, the gradient or variance methods could identify the BLH, which is usually lower than the aerosol layer (Luo et al., 2014a). However, over oceans, the BLH is associated with the aerosol layer top (clear sky) or stratiform cloud top (cloudy sky). Under decoupled MBL conditions, a well-mixed layer usually exists below the BLH with a stronger gradient in aerosol loading near the mixed layer height (MLH) than near the BLH (Luo, et al., 2014a). Thus, the aforementioned studies have the potential to report MLH as BLH as they did not fully consider the MBL decoupled structure in choosing lidar methodologies. In the MBL, difficulties in differentiating between the stratiform clouds and cumulus clouds could lead to BLH uncertainties, as the cumulus cloud top heights are often higher than the BLH. Those issues could result in statistical biases in marine BLH distributions differences in reported values and spatial distributions of the BLH over ocean among early studies.

After considering the MBL decoupling structure, a new CALIOP based approach was developed to reliably determine BLH and MLH in order to investigate the clear-sky MBL decouple structure (Luo, et al., 2014a). This study uses this new method to investigate the MBL decouple structure over the eastern Pacific Ocean region using CALIOP observations,
and combining CloudSat observations with reliable cloud type identification to provide BLH information under stratiform-cloud-topped conditions. The authors also present an examination of the dependence of the MBL decoupled structure on environmental parameters over global oceans. Section 2 describes the data used in this study. Section 3 introduces and evaluates the lidar MBL structure identification methodology with the ship-base observations. Section 4 presents the results and discussions, and brief conclusions are in section 5.

2 Data

2.1 Satellite Observations and Data Collocation

This study uses multiple remotely sensed and operational meteorological datasets over global oceans during the period from June 2006 to December 2010.

Clear-sky MBL structure was determined from the cloud-free CALIOP measured aerosol backscattering with the cloud-free condition defined as no cloud below 8km, although cases with optically thin high clouds above 8km are included. CALIOP is a dual-wavelength (532 and 1064 nm) backscatter lidar, which is carried on the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations (CALIPSO) (Winker et al., 2007; Winker et al., 2009). At 532 nm, the CALIOP provides both the parallel and perpendicular polarization components of attenuated backscatter. The along-track footprint of CALIOP is 333m with the vertical resolution of 30m below 8.2 km. CALIOP level 1B data provide three calibrated and geo-located lidar profiles of 532nm and 1064nm total attenuated backscatter (TAB) and 532nm perpendicular polarization component. The molecular backscattering was estimated using the temperature and pressure profiles from the ECMWF-AUX (European Center for Medium range Weather Forecasting AUX-algorithm, Partain, 2004).

CloudSat carries a 94 GHZ cloud profiling radar (CPR) (Stephens et al., 2002). The CloudSat antenna pattern provides an instantaneous footprint at mean sea level of approximately 1.3 km, while vertically it has 125 bins with a bin size of about 240m. Cloud top height (CTH) and cloud type were obtained from the 2B-CLDCLASS-LIDAR product (Wang et al., 2012; Sassen and Wang 2012). This product combines CloudSat and CALIOP observations to better identify the cloud boundaries. In order to produce clear-sky aerosol information, cloudy CALIOP profiles were removed from further averaging. And the cloudy BLH was estimated from the CTH of marine stratiform clouds, which was a good proxy for estimating the marine BLH under cloudy conditions and has been widely used in the previous studies (Minnis et al.
1992; Wood and Bretherton 2004; Ahlgrimm and Randall 2006; Zuidema et al. 2009; Karlsson et al., 2010). Classification of drizzle within the Cloudy MBL was performed by applying a threshold of -20 dB (Leon et al., 2008) to the CloudSat CPR measured reflectivity factor in CloudSat 1B-CPR product (Tanelli et al., 2008).

The atmospheric large-scale stability parameters used in this study include lower tropospheric stability (LTS) (Klein and Hartmann 1993), and estimated inversion strength (EIS) (Wood and Bretherton 2006). LTS is calculated using the difference in potential temperature between 700 hPa and the surface ($\theta_{700} - \theta_{\text{surface}}$), whereas EIS is the difference between LTS and $\Gamma_{850}^*$ ($Z_{700} - \text{LCL}$), where, $\Gamma_{850}$ is the moist adiabatic lapse rate at 850 hPa, LCL is lifting condensation level, and $Z_{700}$ is the height at 700 hPa. EIS is considered a more precise measure of the strength of a possible inversion than the LTS. EIS and LTS were estimated from AIRS (the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder) level 2 version 5 products (Jason, 2008). AIRS is a grating spectrometer carried on Aqua. It has a spectral resolution of $\nu/\Delta\nu \approx 1200$, a total of 2378 channels in the range of 3.7–15.4 µm with a few spectral gaps, and provides well-calibrated level 1B radiances (Overuye, 1999). AIRS is co-registered with AMSU (Pagano et al., 2003; Lambrigtsen and Lee, 2003), and the combined measurements are used to retrieve temperature, humidity and numerous other surface and atmospheric parameters. Geophysical retrievals are obtained in clear sky and broken cloud cover through the use of a cloud-clearing methodology (Susskind et al., 2003). Though there is no retrieval under overcast conditions, AIRS can provide a reasonable measure of the seasonal mean EIS as compared to model simulations (Yue et al., 2001). Additionally, the AIRS-derived EIS has strong connection with low cloud (Yue et al., 2001), making the, seasonal-mean EIS appropriate for the analysis of the MBL cloud behaviors in this paper.

The sea surface temperature (SST) and surface wind speed at 10 m ($U_{10m}$) were obtained from AMSR-E Level 3 daily Ocean Products version-7 (Wentz et al., 2014). The Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer - Earth Observing System (AMSR-E) is a twelve-channel, six-frequency, passive-microwave radiometer system (Kawanishi et al, 2003). It measures horizontally and vertically polarized brightness temperatures at 6.9, 10.7, 18.7, 23.8, 36.5, and 89.0 GHz. Spatial resolution of the individual measurements varies from 5.4 km at 89 GHz to 56 km at 6.9 GHz. AMSR-E is co-located with AIRS and AMSU onboard Aqua and in the A-train with CALIPSO; thus, the instruments are sampling similar conditions and the same time of day. The daily AMSR-E Ocean Products are produced by Remote Sensing Systems (RSS,
The orbital data is mapped to 0.25° grid box and is divided into 2 maps based on ascending and descending passes for daytime and nighttime orbits. Error in the data was estimated using the root mean square (RMS) difference between AMSR-E $U_{10m}$ and $U_{10m}$ coming from four other satellite microwave radiometers (three SSM/Is and TRMM TMI) and with $U_{10m}$ from the satellite microwave scatterometer QuikScat (Wentz et al., 2003). This calculation gave an RMS difference of 0.92 m/s with a bias of 0.57 m/s in AMSR-E $U_{10m}$. The RMS difference between AMSR-E SST retrievals and the Reynolds SST is 0.76 K (Wentz et al., 2003). Validation using data from a buoy (National Data Buoy Center, NDBC) $U_{10m}$ (mean value of 6.61 m/s) gave an RMS difference with AMSR-E $U_{10m}$ (mean value of 6.46 m/s) is 1.63 m/s with a bias of −0.15 m/s (Luo et al., 2015). Validation with NDBC buoy SST (mean value of 299.49 K) in this study showed that the RMS difference in AMSR-E SST (mean value of 299.26 K) is 0.99 K with a bias of −0.23 K.

All the related datasets were collocated into AMSR-E 0.25° grid-box and cloud-free CALIOP backscattering profiles are then averaged. CALIOP backscattering profiles with no cloud below 8 km (including cases with clouds above 8 km) were averaged. Thus, within each 0.25° grid-box, there are three general conditions of the MBL: 100% cloud cover, partial cloud cover, and cloud-free. For the 100% cloud cover the BLH is determined from stratiform CTH. For the partial cloud cover, and cloud-free conditions the daily day- or night- averaged cloud-free CALIOP measurements are used to determine BLH and MLH. The following analyses only present data taken over the oceans (within 50°N and 50°S, and at least 200 km away from continental boundaries), but include both daytime and nighttime observations. The MBL aerosol identifications are the same as in Luo et al. (2014a).

### 2.2 MAGIC and Collocated Satellite Observations

The Marine ARM GPCI (GCSS Pacific Cross-section Intercomparison, a working group of GCSS; GCSS is GEWEX Cloud Systems Study) Investigation of Clouds (MAGIC) field campaign (http://www.arm.gov/sites/amf/mag/) deployed the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program Mobile Facility 2 (AMF2) on the commercial cargo container ship Horizon Spirit from October 2012 through September 2013 with 20 round trips (Lewis et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2015). The MAGIC transect is the line from the coast of California to Hawaii (35.8°N, 125.8°W to 18°S, 173.8°W) and was undertaken to provide unprecedented, intra-seasonal, high-resolution ship-based observations in order to improve the understanding of the Sc-to-Cu (Stratocumulus-to-Cumulus) transition.
along this transect. The AMF2 contained a state-of-the-art instrumentation suite and was designed to operate in a wide range of climate conditions and locations, including shipboard deployments.

This study used atmospheric soundings and MARMETX (marine meteorological measurements) datasets to characterize MBL structure. Standard radiosondes (Vaisala model MW-31, SNE50401) were launched every 6-hour to measure vertical profiles of the thermodynamic state of the atmosphere (temperature, pressure, relative humidity, and wind speed and direction). The MARMETX dataset (http://www.arm.gov/campaigns/amf2012magic/) contains standard surface meteorological parameters measured by the MARMET: temperature (T), pressure (P), relative humidity (RH), and apparent and true wind speed and direction; and the sea surface skin temperature measured by the Infrared Sea surface Temperature Autonomous Radiometer (ISAR) with an accuracy of better than 0.18°C.

The high spectral resolution lidar (HSRL, Shipley et al., 1983; Piironen and Eloranta, 1994) measuring total attenuated backscattering was also used to document the aerosol and cloud distributions. Because of the high occurrence of the cloud along the MAGIC transect, the lidar-based MBL structure identification method was not applied to the HSRL observations.

To evaluate the satellite-retrieved MBL structure with results from MAGIC soundings, the cloud-free CALIOP observations within a 2.5° grid-box and within 1 day of MAGIC soundings during October 2012 through September 2013 were collocated. The loose restriction was applied in the collocation, because limited MAGIC soundings, poor spatial coverage of CALIOP measurements, and high occurrence of clouds in the region (only ~2% of the total soundings are under the cloud-free condition). The cloud-free CALIOP profiles were firstly averaged into 0.25° grid-box to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. Then the MBL structure were identified and averaged into the 2.5° grid-box.

3 MBL Structure Identification Methodology

3.1 MBL structure identification methodology for radiosonde

For radiosonde, the BLH was determined by the Richardson number (RI) method (with the Eq. (2) in Vogeleganz and Holtslag, 1996). This method determines the BLH as the height at
where RI is larger than the critical value (= 0.25). The RI method is suitable for both stable and convective boundary layers. This method gives the BLH more physical meaning as it relates the derived BLH to boundary layer processes - surface heating, wind shear and capping inversion. Also, RI method does not produce a negative BLH, as it does not depend strongly on the sounding vertical resolution of the sounding. Therefore, the RI method is often considered as the best estimation against which to evaluate lidar based BLH estimations (Hennemuth and Lammert, 2006; Seidel et al, 2010).

Figure 1 presents one transect HSRL and potential temperature from MAGIC measurements. It is clear that the BLHs from the RI method correspond well with the aerosol layer tops, or stratiform clouds tops over the stratiform cloud region (eastern than longitude of ~137°). There is also some correspondence of BLHs with the highest cumulus clouds tops over the cumulus cloud region (western than longitude of ~137°). Over the cumulus cloud region the MBL becomes obviously decoupled, and there is usually one or more weak inversion layers below the RI determined BLH. The lowest inversion layer usually limits the upward transportation of the aerosols to form a layer, forming a layer with more concentrated aerosols than that above (this can also be seen in Figure 4 in Luo et al. (2014a)). This inversion can also limit the vertical developments of the small cumulus clouds that may form in the mixing layer. This characteristic allows the identification of MLH height as the base of the lowest inversion layer with inversion strength larger than 0.05K/100m in radiosonde potential temperature profiles. This threshold was chosen based on visual check of all MAGIC transects.

### 3.2 MBL structure identification methodology for CALIOP

As detailed in Luo et al. (2014b), the BLH can be determined with an improved threshold method using a threshold $\beta_{thr} = \beta_m + 2 \cdot MBV$ applied to the marine aerosol backscattering coefficient profile retrieved from collocated CALIOP level 1B data. In this equation, $\beta_m$ is the molecular backscattering coefficient, estimated by temperature and pressure profiles from ECMWF-AUX products; MBV is the measured backscatter variation, estimated as the standard deviation of measured attenuated backscatter coefficients from 30 to 40 km.

The MLH was identified by the gradient method (Luo et al., 2014a). The gradient of aerosol backscattering coefficient is calculated after three points moving average smoothing. After
smoothing, the MLH is determined to be the lowest point with an aerosol backscattering coefficient gradient larger than 2 times of the molecular backscattering gradient.

The evaluation of lidar methodology with radiosonde soundings were performed with 2-year (2007-2008) clear-sky Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program (ARM) Climate Research Facility (ACRF) radiosonde and micro pulse lidar (MPL) observations (Xie et al., 2010, Mather and Voyles, 2013) collected from Nauru (marine site). Detailed data process can be found in Luo et al. (2014a). When compared to radiosonde-derived BLH, the bias and root mean square error (RMSE) of MPL derived BLH is -0.12 ± 0.24 km with a correlation coefficient of 0.75. When compared to radiosonde-derived MLH, the bias and RMSE of MPL derived MLH is -0.06 ± 0.16 km with a correlation coefficient of 0.66. An overall comparison of radiosonde-derived to MPL derived MLH/BLH produces a bias and RMSE of -0.02 ± 0.1 and a correlation coefficient of 0.61. All the correlation coefficients are reported at confidence level of 0.01. These values of mean bias indicate that our lidar-based BLH and MLH determinations for clear-sky MBL can be considered accurate.

Further evaluations were performed with loosely collocated CALIOP and MAGIC observations. While only cloud-free CALIOP profiles can be used to derive the MBL structure, the soundings were measured in all-sky conditions (mostly cloudy conditions) (Fig. 1). Figure 2 shows the comparisons of MBL structure between radiosonde and CALIOP measurements. The mean MBL structure by CALIOP and radiosonde along the MAGIC transect is shown in Fig. 2 (a). Both results show a similar trend in the MBL structure, being less decoupled near the coast and more decoupled over the far ocean. The heights of the CALIOP-derived BLH and MLH are lower than those derived from the radiosonde. Over the stratiform cloud regions, the CALIOP-derived MBL structure appears more decoupled than in the radiosonde results, which could be resulted from different cloud conditions sampled by these two observation datasets. However, the CALIOP-derived BLH shows good agreement with those from radiosonde as shown in Fig. 2 (b). The bias and RMSE in CALIOP-derived BLH were calculated to be -0.14 ± 0.37 km, with a correlation coefficient of 0.56 at the confidence level of 0.01. For CALIOP-derived MLH, the bias and RMSE is -0.1 ± 0.45 km with a correlation coefficient of 0.34 at the confidence level of 0.01. Although the biases are small, the RMSE differences are large, mainly as a result of limited sampling and large spatial mismatch, and different cloud conditions. This is especially true over the stratiform cloud region where the cloud fraction in the MBL is very high (Fig. 1). In this area the collocated
cloud-free CALIOP profiles are often too far from the sounding observations to produce a strong correlation. However, Fig. 2 clearly shows that the CALIOP-observed clear-sky MBL structure captures a similar spatial trend as those from the nearby cloudy-sky MBL.

Additionally, the radiosonde-derived MLH agrees well with the LCL (Fig. 2(b)), with the bias and RMSE of -0.13 ± 0.21 km, and with a correlation coefficient of 0.73 at the confidence level of 0.01. Figure 2(d) shows the comparison between the CALIOP-derived BLH and stratiform CTHs (CTHsc) within the same AMSR-E grid box over the eastern Pacific Ocean region. The bias and RMSE of the CALIOP-derived BLH is -0.06 ± 0.52 km with a correlation coefficient of 0.66 at the confidence level of 0.01. The large RMSE may relate to cloud top detrainment or cloud edge local circulations. However, the mean bias of 0.06 km corresponds to a uncertainty of ~5% mean BLH. Good agreement between the CALIOP-derived BLH and CTHsc can also be found over the global oceans (Luo et al., 2014a).

4 Results and Discussions

4.1 MBL Structure over the Eastern Pacific Ocean

This section uses the 4-year new MBL and marine boundary layer cloud (MBLC) dataset described in the previous section to investigate the MBL structure over the eastern Pacific. The MBLC dataset includes cloud type and stratiform-cloud (Sc) top and drizzle information based on the CloudSat products. Figure 3 shows the 4-year mean MBL structure (BLH, MLH and MLH/BLH), CTHsc (with or without drizzle), EIS and U10m over the eastern Pacific Ocean. Hereafter, the MBL structure (BLH, MLH and MLH/BLH) is referred to the clear-sky condition with aerosols as a proxy, while the CTHsc is used as the proxy of BLH under cloudy conditions.

The 4-year mean BLH over the eastern Pacific Ocean is shown in Fig. 3 (a). Fig. 3 (a) shows that the marine BLH is lower than ~1 km near the coast region at latitude of ~±30°. This is assumed to due to the strong subsidence and low SST. When moving away from the strong subsidence region, the BLH increases. The BLH is highest over the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) attributed to large-scale convergence and the high SST causing strong buoyancy-driven vertical turbulence mixing. This is especially prevalent over the eastern Pacific near the Central America. However, the BLH is low along the equator with a tendency to rise heading westward. The 4-year mean MLH (Fig. 3(b)) shows a similar spatial pattern as the BLH, with a correlation coefficient of 0.6 at confidence level of 0.01. The rising trend of
BLH when away from the coast was also illustrated in former satellite-based studies (Ratnam and Basha, 2010; Guo et al, 2011; Ao et al, 2012; Randall et al., 1998; Palm et al., 2005; Jordan et al., 2010; McGrath-Spangler and Denning, 2012, 2013). However, due to different methodologies associated with different definition of BLH and the filtering of cloud conditions, this study shows a significant magnitude of differences in BLH form former studies. As an example, the BLH reported in McGrath-Spangler and Denning (2013) is much lower than the BLH seen in our results, but there is similarity in pattern and value of our MLH and the McGrath-Spangler and Denning BLH over the eastern Pacific Ocean.

The 4-year mean MBL coupling status in terms of averaged ratio of MLH/BLH is shown in Fig. 3 (c). The better mixed the MBL, the larger the ratio of MLH/BLH. This is shown in the stratiform cloud dominated region (where Sc Fraction > ~0.4 with stronger EIS and lower BLH) where there is higher MLH/BLH than in the cumulus cloud dominated region (where Sc Fraction<~0.4 with weaker EIS and higher BLH). The MBL is obviously decoupled over the ITCZ. The MBL shows better mixing from 100°W to 80°W of the equator, but weak mixing from 160°W to 100°W of the equator. And the decoupling trend of the MBL is present westward along the equator.

Sc occurs more frequently (Sc fraction > ~0.6) when EIS >~ 1K, with a decreasing fraction towards the far ocean, as shown in Fig. 3 (d). Sc occurrence depends on the EIS (Fig. 3 (g)), with a correlation coefficient of 0.78 at confidence level of 0.01 in their spatial patterns. Figures 3 (e) and (f) show Sc tops with and without drizzle. The Sc case is defined as the case where there are only Sc (and clear-sky if it has) profiles in the collocated 0.25° grid-box (the Sc fraction > 0). These cases are then broken into the Sc case with and without drizzle. The Sc case with drizzle is the Sc case where at least one Sc profile in the collocated 0.25° grid-box has drizzle, while the remaining Sc cases are non-drizzled Sc case. The drizzled Sc tops are lower than ~1.5 km when near the coast where the stratus cloud is dominant, and the drizzled Sc tops rise up to ~2.5 km as distance away from the coast increases. The non-drizzled Sc tops show a similar pattern to the drizzled Sc top (with a correlation coefficient of 0.53 at confidence level of 0.01 in their spatial pattern), except that the non-drizzled Sc top are lower when approaching the tropical Pacific near longitude of ~180°W. Generally, the drizzled Sc top is ~0.2 to 1 km higher than the non-drizzle Sc top, which suggests the important role of the mesoscale circulations in MBL. Precipitation more commonly occurs in updraft regions and the breakup of Sc usually happens in downdrafts areas, which was also observed in the
rift area of Sc (Sharon et al., 2006) and in MAGIC (Zhou et al., 2015). Furthermore, the occurrence of drizzled Sc case is ~6.2% (the number of Sc profiles with drizzle / the number of Sc profiles) among MBL cases where a 0.25° grid-box contains both Sc and clear-sky, comparing to ~32% of all MBL cases being stratiform cloud with drizzle cases. The Sc case containing clear-sky profiles are where broken Sc clouds or a cloud edge enter a 0.25° grid-box. This relationship indicates that heterogeneous cloudy conditions within a grid-box (i.e., broken Sc clouds or near the cloud edge) are less likely to produce precipitation than where the conditions are more homogeneously cloudy.

The detailed assessments of the seasonal MBL and MBLC structures in the two selected transects over the northeastern and southeastern Pacific Ocean (NPO and SPO) are presented in Figs. 4 and 5. Figs. 4 and 5 (a1-a4) show the seasonal mean MBL structure in terms of MBL aerosol loading, overlain with seasonal mean BLH and MLH. The mean BLH, MLH and their standard deviations, show that the MBL tends to be more frequently well mixed near the coast region and be more frequently decoupled over the far ocean. This corresponds to a stronger EIS near the coast and weaker EIS over the far ocean (the black diamond-solid lines in Figs. 4 and 5 (b1-b4)). The EIS over the NPO shows negative correlation with the $U_{10m}$, with a correlation coefficient of -0.64 at confidence level of 0.01, but there is a positive correlation with the $U_{10m}$ when EIS < 3 K over the SPO, with the correlation coefficient of 0.6 at confidence level of 0.01. The seasonal variations in the MBL structure are small over both the NPO and SPO regions, except that the MBL tends to be lower and better mixed near the coast region during March, April and May (MAM) and June, July and August (JJA) over the NPO, and in JJA and September, October and November (SON) over the SPO. This is likely associated with the stronger EIS (> 5 K) in these seasons than EIS (< 5 K) in the other seasons.

Surface wind speed is the main factor controlling the loading of sea salt aerosols near the surface, while its vertical distribution is closely related to the boundary layer processes (Luo et al., 2014b). When moving away from the coast, the aerosol loading (Figs. 4 and 5 (a1-a4)) in the well-mixed layer shows strong positive correlation with the $U_{10m}$ in NPO with a correlation coefficient of 0.64 at the confidence level of 0.01. However, there is almost no correlation between them in the SPO (correlation coefficient of -0.08 at the confidence level of 0.39). In the SPO, when further east than longitude of ~100°, the aerosol loading in the lower well-mixed layer increases with decreasing of the $U_{10m}$. This is attributed to lowering
MLH limiting the vertical transportation. When near the coast region, the aerosol loading in
the well-mixed layer has weak correlation with the $U_{10m}$ over both regions, possibly due to the
aerosol transported from the continent.

Figures 4 and 5 (c1-c4) show the mean Sc occurrences over the two regions. Over the NPO
region (Fig. 4 (c1-c4)), the Sc occurrence is small near the coast and increases to a maximum
of ~0.6 near the longitude of ~-130° to -135°. It then decreases west southward towards the
tropic. Over the NPO, the Sc occurrence increases with decreasing of EIS when moving away
from the coast to the maximum occurrence point (at longitude of ~-135°), with the correlation
coefficient of -0.51 at the confidence level of 0.01. And there shows a positive correlation
with EIS from the maximum occurrence point down to the equator, with the correlation
coefficient of 0.92 at the confidence level of 0.01. Over the SPO region (Fig. 5 (c1-c4)), the
maximum Sc occurrence point is close to the coast. Therefore, the Sc occurrence and the EIS
both decrease when far away from the coast and correlate well with each other when further
west than longitude of ~-80°, with the correlation coefficient of 0.91 at the confidence level of
0.01. In the near-coast region, other processes such as sea-land breeze and cold current
producing cold SST could affect the relationship between EIS and Sc occurrence. The drizzle
occurrence showed a weak correlation with EIS in both regions (not shown here).

Figures 4 and 5 (d1-d4) show the seasonal mean $\text{CTH}_{\text{drizzle}}$ (blue diamond line) and $\text{CTH}_{\text{no drizzle}}$
(drizzle) (green diamond line) along with the seasonal mean BLH and MLH over the NPO and
SPO. The $\text{CTH}_{\text{no drizzle}}$ is lower than the $\text{CTH}_{\text{drizzle}}$, but is close to the BLH. Over the NPO
region, the $\text{CTH}_{\text{drizzle}}$ shows strong negative correlation with the EIS, with the correlation
coefficients of < -0.82 at the confidence level of 0.01. Over the NPO region, in MAM, JJA
and SON, the $\text{CTH}_{\text{drizzle}}$ shows strong negative correlation with the EIS, with the correlation
coefficients of < -0.77 at the confidence level of 0.01, while very weak correlation in
December, January and February (DJF), with the correlation coefficients < -0.33 at the
confidence level of 0.08. The $\text{CTH}_{\text{no drizzle}}$ generally shows a weak correlation with the EIS,
although there is a positive correlation with the EIS for sub-regions, such as over the SPO
when west of longitude of ~-90° in DJF and MAM and when west of longitude of ~-100° in
JJA and SON, with the correlation coefficients of > 0.64 at the confidence level of 0.01. The
difference between $\text{CTH}_{\text{drizzle}}$ and $\text{CTH}_{\text{no drizzle}}$ shows strong dependence on the EIS, i.e., there
is a smaller difference associated with stronger EIS and larger difference associated with
weaker EIS. This is attributed to a stronger EIS indicating a more stable MBL, which allows
for small depth variations associated with several possible vertical displacement forces in MBL. Thus, a small difference between $\text{CTH}_{\text{drizzle}}$ and $\text{CTH}_{\text{no drizzle}}$ is expected under stronger EIS.

The MBL activities are strongly connected with the large-scale stabilities. Figure 6 shows the relationships between EIS and MBL coupling structure. In Fig. 6 (a), MAGIC observations and CALIOP observations over the extended MAGIC region were sorted and averaged into different bins of EIS. Both observations from MAGIC radiosonde and CALIOP show that the MBL tends to be better mixed as EIS increases. One of the main parameters controlling the entrainment process is the inversion strength near the mixing layer top (Venzenten et al., 1999). According to the definition of EIS, it implies that a stronger EIS leads to a stronger the inversion near the mixing layer top, and a weaker the entrainment of the dry warm air above the inversion. Therefore, the relationship between EIS and MBL structure suggests that the entrainment of the dry warm air above the inversion could be an important factor controlling the MBL decoupling. It could also be expected that the SST, wind shear and surface heat flux may also affect MBL decoupling as these parameters or processes can also affect the entrainment process (Venzenten et al., 1999). However, analyses of $U_{10m}$ and SST show only very weak correlations with MBL coupling structure. This is possibly due to the uncertainties in satellite retrievals of these parameters or that the role of other factors was partially included in the EIS.

After further investigation, we concluded that the MBL coupling structure is controlled by both LTS and EIS when EIS < ~3 K, i.e., there is greater mixing in the MBL with increasing EIS and decreasing of LTS. Fig. 6(b) shows the mean CALIOP-derived MBL coupling structure over global oceans under binned EIS and LTS values. As shown in Fig. 6(b), the mean MBL coupling structure in terms of MLH/BLH shows good correlation with EIS under different bins of LTS when LTS is between 2.5K and 17.5K (correlation coefficient of > 0.88 at confidence level of 0.01). And the MBL coupling structure in term of MLH/BLH shows a very strong negative correlation with LTS when binned EIS < 2K (correlation coefficient < -0.95 at confidence level of 0.01). Under the same EIS, our observation shows that weaker LTS cases usually correspond to cases with larger sea-air temperature difference (corresponding to larger latent heat flux) and higher $U_{10m}$, which prompt stronger turbulence mixing and result in deeper mixing layers. Therefore, weaker LTS under the same EIS implies less decoupling. However, further investigation is needed to understand the essential
connection between the large-scale stability and near surface turbulence parameters by combining observations and model simulations.

The differences between drizzling and non-drizzling Sc tops are also controlled by the EIS. Figure 6(c) shows the seasonal mean relationship over the global oceans between EIS and CTH\textsubscript{no,drizzle}/CTH\textsubscript{drizzle} binned by SST. The SST, EIS and CTH\textsubscript{no,drizzle}/CTH\textsubscript{drizzle} was averaged across a 2.5° x 2.5° grid box and different seasons. After this, the seasonal-mean CTH\textsubscript{no,drizzle}/CTH\textsubscript{drizzle} was sorted and averaged into different bins of EIS and SST. This binning showed that with cold SST (SST< =20°C) in the middle to high latitude regions, mean CTH\textsubscript{no,drizzle}/CTH\textsubscript{drizzle} does not vary with EIS, whereas in the Sc-to-Cu transition regions where there is warm SST (SST> 20°C), the mean CTH\textsubscript{no,drizzle}/CTH\textsubscript{drizzle} shows good dependence on EIS (a correlation coefficient >0.89 at confidence level of 0.01). The relative difference between CTH\textsubscript{drizzle} and CTH\textsubscript{no,drizzle} becomes larger with decreasing EIS and increasing SST, indicating more vigorous the subsidence and uplifting in the MBL under weak EIS conditions and warmer SST. This result suggests that the subsidence and uplifting may relate to meso-scale processes, such as gravity waves, which can be generated from the geostrophic adjustment, jet break or other sources, affecting the morphology of clouds (Jiang and Wang, 2012; Allen et al., 2013) over the Sc-to-Cu transition regions. The different roles of SST and EIS in controlling Sc top and precipitation generation in different regions will be further investigated in future studies.

4.2 Discussion

The MBL decoupling was suggested to play an important role in Sc-to-Cu transition (Bretherton and Wyant, 1997; Wood and Bretherton, 2004). The MBL structure is shown in Fig. 7 as the mean of aerosol backscattering from the cases with both clear-sky and stratiform/Cu cloud in the same 0.25° grid box over the eastern Pacific Ocean where the Sc-to-Cu transition frequently happens. The clear condition is defined as totally cloud-free in the 0.25° AMSR-E footprint (named as clear MBL). This condition is expected to be less affected by the local circulation associated with the cloud development. Aerosols under the stratiform cloud condition are derived from cases with partially stratiform cloud and partially clear sky in a 0.25° AMSR-E footprint (named as stratiform MBL). Aerosols under the Cu cloud condition are derived from cases with partially Cu cloud and partially clear sky in a 0.25° AMSR-E footprint (named as Cu MBL). According to the comparison of CALIOP-derived clear-sky MBL structure with near-by cloudy-sky MBL structure from MAGIC radiosonde
and with the nearby stratiform cloud top from 2B-CLDCLASS-LIDAR in section 3.2, it is reasonable to assume that the cloud-topped MBL can have the similar structure to the nearby clear-sky MBL within a 0.25° footprint for the Sc and Cu MBL cases. Figure 7 shows that the clear MBL and Cu MBL become more decoupled with increasing BLH and decreasing EIS as indicated by large vertical gradients between mixing layer aerosols and near MBL top aerosols. The Stratiform MBL shares similar characteristics to the Cu MBL, but are better mixed than clear MBL and Cu MBL when EIS > 0. According to Fig. 3, the region with EIS < 0 K is the Cu cloud dominated region (where the fraction of Sc cloud is smaller than 0.2), and the Sc MBL cases here are more likely to associated with the clear-sky MBL adjacent to the small Sc. The region of 0 K< EIS < 2.5 K is considered a transition region where the Sc clouds are broken down and transit to Cu clouds. The Stratiform MBL cases with 0 K< EIS <2.5 K are more likely associated to the clear-sky MBL adjacent to broken Sc. The stratiform MBL cases with EIS> 2.5 K are more likely associated with the clear-sky MBL near the edge of overcast Sc in the region where Sc fraction >~0.6. When EIS < 0K, the stratiform MBL showed no major difference between clear MBL and Cu MBL. With increasing EIS, corresponding to increasing amount of stratiform clouds, the presence of large-scale subsidence prompts a well-mixed MBL, or more occasionally a decoupled MBL with two well-mixed sub-layers (Fig. 7(c2)).

5 Conclusions

This paper used 4-year satellite observations to investigate the MBL decoupled structure and its spatial distribution over the eastern Pacific region and its dependence on environmental parameters over global oceans (within latitude of ±50°). The aerosol information in CALIOP-measured backscattering data is considered to be a good proxy for the MBL decoupled structure. The aerosol layer top is a good indicator for BLH and was able be identified by the threshold method, whereas the MLH could be identified by the gradient methods. The lidar determined BLH showed good agreements with BLH determined by the RI method using radiosonde measurements and with the stratiform cloud top from CloudSat product. The lidar determined MLH showed good agreement with the base of lowest inversion layer in radiosonde temperature profiles.

The lidar methodology was then applied to the 4-year satellite observations over the eastern Pacific Ocean. Clear-sky MBL structure characteristics were analyzed together with the cloudy MBL top (inferred from the stratiform cloud top). For the first time, the climatology
and seasonal variations of the MBL structure in the eastern Pacific Ocean region were presented and analyzed. This analysis showed that MBL is generally decoupled, with MLH/BLH ratio ranging from ~0.5 to ~0.8 over the eastern Pacific Ocean region. The MBL decoupling magnitude is mainly controlled by EIS that affects the cloud top entrainment process, with correlation coefficient of > 0.88 at confidence level of 0.01 between the mean MBL coupling structure in terms of MLH/BLH and EIS when binned LTS is between 2.5K and 17.5K. The systematic differences between drizzling and non-drizzling Sc tops over the Sc-to-Cu transition region also show dependence on EIS and may relate to the meso-scale circulations driven by gravity wave in MBL. Further analysis showed that the MBL shows similar decoupled structure under clear sky and cumulus cloud-topped conditions, but is better mixed under Sc breakup and overcast conditions.

This study demonstrated that satellite lidar measurements offer a unique opportunity to characterize MBL over global oceans, something no possible using other techniques. Multisatellite measurements also offer a chance to further study related MBL processes. Using observational results presented here, it will be possible to evaluate and improve model MBL simulations under different dynamical and thermodynamical conditions.
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Figure 1. Potential temperature profiles and retrieved MBL structure (black diamonds for BLH and black circles for MLH) for a MAGIC leg from 2013/07/21 - 2013/07/24, overlaid with total attenuated backscattering from HSRL.

Figure 2. (a) Mean MBL structure along longitude from MAGIC radiosonde and collocated CALIOP observations; (b) comparisons of radiosonde and CALIOP derived BLH and MLH; (c) comparison of radiosonde derived MLH and LCL; (d) comparison of CALIOP derived BLH and stratiform cloud top (CTH_sc).
Figure 3. The spatial distribution of (a) CALIOP derived BLH, (b) CALIOP derived MLH, (c) CALIOP derived MBL decoupling structure in term of MLH/BLH, (d) Marine low clouds fraction, (e) drizzled stratiform CTH (CTH_{drizzle}), (f) non-drizzled stratiform CTH (CTH_{no\ drizzle}), (g) EIS. The solid and dashed boxes in (g) denote the selected transects on the northeastern and southeastern Pacific Ocean (NPO and SPO) used in Figs. 4 and 5 respectively.
Figure 4: The satellite MBL observations along the transect region on the northeastern Pacific Ocean (NPO, solid box in fig 3e) in different seasons: (a1-a4) the mean BLH (solid line) and MLH (dashed line) overlaid with TAB, and corresponding standard deviations (thin solid and dashed lines); (b1-b4) EIS (black diamond line) and U_{10m} (red dot line); (c1-c4) stratocumulus (Sc) occurrence; (d1-d4) comparisons of BLH, MLH, CTH_{drizzle}, and CTH_{no_drizzle}.

Figure 5: Same as Fig. 4 but for the transect region on the southeastern Pacific Ocean (SPO, dashed box in fig 3e) in different seasons.
Figure 6. (a) Relationship with EIS and MLH/BLH in MAGIC and Satellite observations over extended MAGIC region; (b) relationship between EIS and CALIOP-derived MLH/BLH under different LTS over the global oceans; (c) seasonal mean relationship between EIS with CTH\textsubscript{no drizzle}/CTH\textsubscript{drizzle} under different SST over the global oceans. The standard deviations (not shown in figures (b) and (c)) for data in the figures (a) and (b) are ~0.2, and ~0.1 in (c).

Figure 7. Mean MBL CALIOP TAB structure under different conditions from 4-year climatology over the eastern Pacific Ocean: 0.6 km < BLH < 0.8 km (a1, a2, a3), 1 km < BLH < 1.2 km (b1, b2, b3), and 1.4 km < BLH < 1.6 km (c1, c2, c3). (a1, b1, c1) are under the clear conditions that is defined as totally cloud-free over a 0.25° AMSR-E footprint; (a2, b2, c2) are under the stratiform cloud conditions that is defined as with only stratiform cloud and
clear sky in each 0.25° AMSR-E footprint; (a3, b3, c3) are under the Cu cloud conditions that is defined as with only Cu cloud and clear sky in each 0.25° AMSR-E footprint. Only results with 5 m/s < $U_{10m}$ < 8 m/s were included.