Modeling of the anthropogenic heat flux and its effect on air quality over the Yangtze River Delta region, China
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Abstract

Anthropogenic heat (AH) emissions from human activities caused by urbanization can affect the city environment. Based on the energy consumption and the gridded demographic data, the spatial distribution of AH emission over the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region is estimated. Meanwhile, a new method for the AH parameterization is developed in the WRF/Chem model, which incorporates the gridded AH emission data with the seasonal and the diurnal variations into the simulations. By running this upgraded WRF/Chem for two typical months in 2010, the impacts of AH on the meteorology and air quality over the YRD region are studied. The results show that the AH fluxes over YRD have been growing in recent decades. In 2010, the annual mean values of AH over Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang are 14.46, 2.61 and 1.63 W m\(^{-2}\) respectively, with the high values of 113.5 W m\(^{-2}\) occurring in the urban areas of Shanghai. These AH emissions can significantly change the urban heat island and urban-breeze circulations in the cities of the YRD region. In Shanghai, 2 m air temperature increases by 1.6 °C in January and 1.4 °C in July, the planetary boundary layer height rises up by 140 m in January and 160 m in July, and 10 m wind speed is enhanced by 0.7 ms\(^{-1}\) in January and 0.5 ms\(^{-1}\) in July, with higher increment at night. And the enhanced vertical movement can transport more moisture to higher levels, which causes the decrease of water vapor at the ground level and the increase in the upper PBL, and thereby induces the accumulative precipitation to increase by 15–30 % over the megacities in July. The adding AH can impact the spatial and vertical distributions of the simulated pollutants as well. The concentrations of primary air pollutants decrease near surface and increase at the upper levels, due mainly to the increases of PBLH, surface wind speed and upward air vertical movement. But surface O\(_3\) concentrations increase in the urban areas, with maximum changes of 2.5 ppb in January and 4 ppb in July. Chemical direct (the rising up of air temperature directly accelerate surface O\(_3\) formation) and indirect (the decrease in NO\(_x\) at the ground results in the increase of surface O\(_3\)) effects can play a significant role in O\(_3\) changes over this region. The meteorology and air pollution
predictions in and around large urban areas are highly sensitive to the anthropogenic heat inputs, suggesting that AH should be considered in any climate and air quality assessment.

1 Introduction

Nearly all energy used for human purposes can eventually turn into anthropogenic heat (AH) within Earth’s land–atmosphere system (Flanner, 2009; Chen et al., 2012). According to the distinctive human activities all over the world, this heat flux might vary spatially and temporally. On the global scale, the averaged value of AH flux has been estimated to be only 0.028 W m⁻². But it can reach to 0.39, 0.68 and 0.22 W m⁻² respectively over the continental United States, Western Europe and China (Flanner, 2009). In the densely populated and economically vibrant urban areas, the AH fluxes have been reported to typically range from 20 to 70 W m⁻² (Crutzen, 2004; Sailor and Lu, 2004; Fan and Sailor, 2005; Pigeon et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2009), whereas the fluxes might occasionally exceed the value of 100 W m⁻² as well (Quah and Roth, 2012; Xie et al., 2015). Under some extreme conditions, the magnitude of AH fluxes in cities can be a substantial heat source equivalent to the daily mean solar forcing (Ichinose et al., 1999; Hamilton et al., 2009; Iamarino et al., 2012), with a high value of 1590 W m⁻² reported in the densest part of Tokyo at the peak of air-conditioning demand (Ichinose et al., 1999). Consequently, accurate prediction of AH emissions is always a key issue that can improve our understanding of human impacts on urban climate and environment.

Anthropogenic heat can increase turbulent fluxes in sensible and latent heat, which might result in the atmosphere reserving more energy (Oke, 1988). Thus, the above-mentioned heat fluxes exhausted from human activities in cities can exert a significant influence on the dynamics and thermodynamics of urban boundary layer (Ichinose et al., 1999; Block et al., 2004; Fan and Sailor, 2005; Chen et al., 2009, 2012; Bohnenstengel et al., 2014), and thereby change the surface meteorological conditions (Khan
and Simpson, 2001; Block et al., 2004; Fan and Sailor, 2005; Ferguson and Woodbury, 2007; Chen et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2010; Menberg et al., 2013; Wu and Yang, 2013; Feng et al., 2014; Bohnenstengel et al., 2014). Most previous studies of AH have focused on these effects. For instance, some researchers have found that AH strengthens the vertical movement of urban surface air flow, change the urban heat island circulation, and make the urban boundary layer more turbulent and unstable (Ichinose et al., 1999; Block et al., 2004; Fan and Sailor, 2005; Chen et al., 2009; Bohnenstengel et al., 2014). Others showed that AH in cities can result in significant and extensive warming, and tend to cause urban air temperatures to increase by several degrees (Fan and Sailor, 2005; Ferguson and Woodbury, 2007; Chen et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2010; Menberg et al., 2013; Wu and Yang, 2013; Feng et al., 2014; Bohnenstengel et al., 2014). Moreover, Feng et al. (2014) reported that AH enhances the convergence of water vapor and rainfall amounts over urbanized areas, and changes the regional precipitation patterns to some extent. Urban air quality and local meteorological condition are inextricably linked. Therefore, all the findings above are likely to have important implications for air quality in urban areas as well. However, in the past, few researchers paid attention to this issue, and only a couple of studies have estimated the effects of AH on air pollutants (Ryu et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014).

Over the past decades, along with the accelerated urbanization process and rapid economic development, many cities in China have been suffering the successive deterioration of air quality (Xie et al., 2014). Located in the coastal region in East China, the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region also experienced a rapid urban expansion with the urbanization rate as high as 70% and suffered from air pollutions (Liao et al., 2015). Consequently, several previous studies have tried to figure out the effects of urbanization on the severe atmospheric environmental problems in this region. For example, by using WRF/Chem model, Wang et al. (2009) quantified that the urban sprawl in YRD region has caused surface $O_3$ to increase by 2.9–4.2% during the daytime and 4.7–8.5% at night. And employing the WRF/CMAQ model, Li et al. (2011) showed that ozone ($O_3$) and haze problem had become an important issue due to the increasing of
Urban land-use over the YRD region. Liao et al. (2015) further quantified the increase of O\textsubscript{3} and the decrease of PM\textsubscript{10} or NO\textsubscript{x} that can be attributed to the urban expansion. However, the above studies only took the expansion of urban land-use into account. We still need to know how the excessive anthropogenic heat from urban expansion impacts on urban climate and air quality. Among previous studies, a couple of researchers have tried to fill the knowledge gap. For instance, He et al. (2007) incorporated AH into a PBL (planetary boundary layer) model for Nanjing 2002 and found a temperature increase (0.5–1 °C) at night. Wang et al. (2015) reported that AH can cause notable warming in almost the whole YRD, which is more significant in winter than in summer. Unfortunately, these studies only focused on the effects of AH on local meteorological fields. Till now, none studies have evaluated the influence of AH on air quality over the YRD region.

The main purpose of this study is to improve our understanding about the influence mechanism of anthropogenic heat on atmospheric environment, especially in the typical polluted areas of China such as the YRD region. Consequently, in this paper, we focus on (1) quantifying the spatial and temporal distribution of AH emissions in the YRD region, (2) implementing of the gridded AH data into the modified WRF/Chem model with improved AH flux parameterization, and (3) evaluating the impacts of AH fluxes on meteorological condition and air quality over the YRD region. Detailed descriptions about the estimating method for anthropogenic heat flux over the YRD region, the adopted air quality model with configuration, and the observation data for model evolution are given in Sect. 2. Main results, including the spatial and temporal distribution of AH, the performance of WRF/Chem, and the exact impacts of AH on urban climate and air quality are presented in Sect. 3. In the end, a summary is given in Sect. 4.
2 Methodology

2.1 Anthropogenic heat flux modeling

We estimate the AH fluxes during the period from 1990 to 2010 over the area between (117° E, 28° N) and (123° E, 34° N), which covers the YRD region including Shanghai, southern Jiangsu province and northern Zhejiang province (shown in Fig. 1). In order to get the spatial distribution, this study area is also gridded as 144 rows and 144 columns with the grid spacing of 2.5 arcmin (approximately 4 km).

The anthropogenic heat flux \( Q_F \) (W m\(^{-2}\)) is the rate at which waste energy is discharged by human activities to the surroundings (Iamarino et al., 2012). In urban areas, it usually consists of the heat flux deriving from energy consumption in buildings \( (Q_{F,B}) \), from the transportation sector \( (Q_{F,T}) \) and from human metabolism \( (Q_{F,M}) \) (Grimmond, 1992; Sailor and Lu, 2004; Allen et al., 2010; Iamarino et al., 2012; Quah and Roth, 2012). Three general approaches have been recognized to estimate these terms (Sailor, 2011), including the building energy modeling approach for the building sector (Kikegawa et al., 2003), the closure of the energy budget (Offerle et al., 2005), and the use of statistics on energy consumption (Sailor and Lu, 2004; Flanner, 2009; Hamilton et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2009; Allen et al., 2010; Iamarino et al., 2012; Quah and Roth, 2012). The third method, which is also called the top-down energy inventory method, was the most common approach and widely applied in AH flux predictions in China (Chen et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2015). Based on these previous investigations, \( Q_F \) in this study is calculated by the following equation:

\[
Q_F = Q_{F,I} + Q_{F,B} + Q_{F,T} + Q_{F,M}
\]  

(1)

where \( Q_{F,I} \) represents the heat emitted from the industry sector (W m\(^{-2}\)).

According to the second law of thermodynamics, most energy used for human economy is immediately dissipated as heat, other energy temporarily stored as electrical, mechanical, chemical or gravitational potential energy can finally transform to high entropy thermal energy as well, and only a neglectful portion (\( \ll 1 \)% ) might convert to
radiation and escape to space (Flanner, 2009). So, it is reasonable to assume that all non-renewable primary energy consumption is dissipated thermally in Earth’s atmosphere. From another perspective, in this study, the gridded AH data is finally incorporated into the single layer urban canopy model SLUCM (Kusaka and Kimura, 2004; Chen et al., 2011), in which we do not need to strictly distinguish different sources of AH. In a consequent, \( Q_{FI} + Q_{FB} + Q_{FT} \) at each grid can be estimated on the basis of energy consumption from non-renewable sources (coal, petroleum, natural gas, and electricity etc.) by using the following equation:

\[
Q_{FI} + Q_{FB} + Q_{FT} = \eta \cdot \varepsilon_s \cdot C_s / (t \cdot A)
\] (2)

where, \( C_s \) is the primary energy consumption that has been converted to standard coal (t) at a grid. \( \varepsilon_s \) is the calorific value of standard coal (the conversion factor from primary energy consumption to heat), which is recommended to be 29 271 kJ kg\(^{-1}\) in many previous studies (Chen et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2015). \( \eta \) is the efficiency of heat release in different sectors, with the typical value of 60% for electricity or heat-supply sector and 100% for other sectors (Lu et al., 2014). \( t \) is the time duration of used statistic data, and is set to be 365 (days in a year) \( \cdot 24 \cdot 3600 = 31\,536\,000 \) s in this study. \( A \) represents the area of a grid, which is about 4 km \( \times \) 4 km. To quantify the values of \( C_s \), the authoritative statistics of annual standard coal consumption from 1990 to 2010 in provincial level are firstly obtained from China Statistical Yearbooks and the Yearbooks in Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang. Then, the total provincial energy consumption is apportioned to each grid according to population density and converted to annual-mean gridded energy flux. The population density with the resolution of 2.5 \( \times \) 2.5 arcmin in 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2010 can be downloaded from Columbia University’s Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/gpw). That for 2010 is shown in Fig. 1b for example.

With respect to the heat flux generated by the human metabolism \( (Q_{FM}) \), the grid value is computed as:

\[
Q_{FM} = P_g \cdot (M_d \cdot 16 + M_n \cdot 8) / 24
\] (3)
where $P_g$ is the population at a grid. $M_d$ and $M_n$ represent the average human metabolic rate (W person$^{-1}$) during the daytime and nighttime. 16, 8 and 24 are the hours of daytime, nighttime and a whole day, respectively. Following the previous research work (Sailor and Lu, 2004; Chen et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2015), we assume that the sleeping metabolic rate $M_d$ for a typical man is 75 W, and the average daytime metabolic rate $M_n$ in urban areas is 175 W.

### 2.2 Air quality model and configuration

The WRF/Chem version 3.5 is applied to investigate the impacts of AH fluxes on climate and air quality over the YRD region. WRF/Chem is a new generation of air quality modeling system developed at National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), in which the meteorological component (WRF) and the air quality component (Chem) are fully coupled using the same coordinates and physical parameterizations. The feedbacks between meteorology and air pollutants are included in the model. It has been proved to be a reliable tool in simulating air quality from city-scale to meso-scale in China (Liu et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2014; Liao et al., 2014, 2015).

As shown in Fig. 2a, three nested domains are used in this study, with the grid spacing of 81, 27 and 9 km, respectively. The outermost domain (Domain 1, D01) covers most of the East Asia and South Asia, the second domain (Domain 2, D02) covers central-east part of China, and the finest domain (Domain 3, D03) centered at Nanjing covers the entire YRD region (Fig. 2b). For all domains, from the ground level to the top pressure of 50 hPa, there are 36 vertical sigma layers with about 10 in the PBL. And the height of the lowest level is about 25 m.

Two simulation cases are conducted. One incorporates the urban canopy model with the gridded AH fluxes that are estimated in Sect. 2.1 (referred to as ADDAH case hereafter). The other only applies the same model but ignores the contribution of AH (referred to as NONAH case hereafter). To exclude the uncertainty conceivably caused by different configurations, all the physical schemes, chemical schemes and emission
inventory are the same in both NONAH and ADDAH simulations. Thus, the difference between the modeling results of NONAH and ADDAH can demonstrate the impacts of anthropogenic heat. In the YRD region, January and July can be representative of dry and wet season, respectively (Liao et al., 2015). Consequently, two time periods are chosen for simulations and analysis. One is from 00:00 UTC, 1 January to 00:00 UTC, 1 February 2010, and the other is from 00:00 UTC, 1 July to 00:00 UTC, 1 August 2010, which also match the time when observation data are available.

The detailed options for the physical and chemical parameterization schemes used in this study are shown in Table 1. The major selected physical options include Purdue Lin microphysics scheme, RRTM (Rapid Radiative Transfer Model) long-wave radiation scheme, Goddard short-wave radiation scheme, Kain–Fritsch cumulus parameterization scheme, Noah/LSM (Land Surface Model) scheme and MYJ (Mellor–Yamada–Janjic) PBL scheme. Specially, SLUCM (coupled with Noah/LSM) is adopted for better simulating the urban effect on meteorological conditions and pollutant distribution. The 30 s MODIS 20 category land datasets (Fig. 2b) are used to replace the default USGS (U.S. Geological Survey) land-use data, because USGS data are too outdated to illustrate the intensive land cover change over the YRD region. And the default values for urban canopy parameters in SLUCM, such as building morphometry, urban fraction and roughness length etc., are replaced by the typical values in the YRD region as well, following the work of He et al. (2007) and Liao et al. (2015). The initial meteorological fields and boundary conditions (forced every 6 h) are from NCEP global reanalysis data with 1° × 1° resolution.

With respect to the major chemical options, the CBM-Z gas-phase chemistry scheme and the MOSAIC aerosol scheme are chosen. CBM-Z (Carbon-Bond Mechanism version Z) contains 55 prognostic species and 134 reactions (Zaveri and Peters, 1999). In MOSAIC (Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry), the aerosol size distribution is divided into eight discrete size bins (Zaveri et al., 2008). Besides, aerosol direct and indirect effects through interaction with atmospheric radiation, photolysis, and microphysics routines are also taken into account in our simulations. The model-
ing results from the global chemistry transport model MOZART-4 are used to provide the initial chemical state and boundary conditions as described by Liao et al. (2015). The anthropogenic emissions are mainly from the inventory developed for the NASA INTEX-B mission (Zhang et al., 2009), and modified for simulations in the YRD region (Liao et al., 2014; 2105). The ammonia emission and biomass burning emissions, which are not contained in the INTEX-B inventory, are obtained from the inventory developed for TRACE-P (Streets et al., 2003). For Shanghai area, we use the additional 1 km × 1 km source emission compiled by Shanghai Environmental Monitoring Center during EXPO 2010 (Wang et al., 2012). And the biogenic emissions are estimated by using MEGAN2.04 (Guenther et al., 2006).

2.3 Methodology for incorporating gridded AH emission data

Within the Single Layer Urban Canopy Model SLUCM, the AH for each grid is determined by the fixed AH value for the urban land-use category, the fixed temporal diurnal pattern and the urban fraction value on each grid (Chen et al., 2011). AH with its diurnal variation is generally considered by adding them to the sensible heat flux from the urban canopy layer by the following equation:

\[ Q_H = F_V \cdot Q_{HV} + F_U \cdot (Q_{HU} + \text{Fix}_{AH}) \]  

(4)

where \( Q_H \) is the total sensible heat flux. \( F_V \) and \( F_U \) are the fractional coverage of natural and urban surfaces, respectively. \( Q_{HV} \) is the sensible heat flux from Noah LSM for natural surfaces, and \( Q_{HU} \) is that from SLUCM for artificial surfaces. \( \text{Fix}_{AH} \) represents the fixed AH value for all urban areas (Chen et al., 2011). In ADDAH simulation case of this study, we basically follow the Eq. (4), but incorporate the gridded AH data estimated in Sect. 2.1 \( (Q_F) \) to replace the fixed AH value \( (\text{Fix}_{AH}) \) in order to considering the spatial distribution of AH fluxes. To account for temporal variability, the annual-mean AH fluxes in 2010 over the modeling area are further scaled with weighting functions dependent on local time of day \( (t_d) \) and time of year \( (m_y) \):

\[ Q_F(t_d, m_y) = Q_F \cdot w_d(t_d) \cdot w_y(m_y) \]  

(5)
where the diurnal cycles of $w_d$ are obtained from the work of He et al. (2007) for the YRD region (shown in Fig. 3). And according to the findings of Sailor and Lu (2004) and Flanner (2009), the values of $w_y$ for January and July are set to be 1.2 and 0.8, respectively.

2.4 Evaluation method and relevant observation data

Meteorological and chemical observation records are used to evaluate the model performance in this study. The mean bias (MB), root mean square error (RMSE) and correlation coefficient (CORR) between observation and the ADDAH model results are used to verify model performance. In statistics, they are usually defined as:

\[
MB = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (S_i - O_i) 
\]

(6)

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (S_i - O_i)^2} 
\]

(7)

\[
CORR = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (S_i - S_m)(O_i - O_m)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (S_i - S_m)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i - O_m)^2}} 
\]

(8)

where $S_i$ is the simulation and $O_i$ is the observation. $S_m$ and $O_m$ are average value of simulations and observations, respectively. In general, the model performance is acceptable if the values of MB and RMSE are close to zero and those of CORR are close to 1.

With respect to observed meteorological data, four observation sites are selected, which are NJ (32.00° N, 118.80° E) located in Nanjing, HF (31.87° N, 117.23° E) in
Hefei, HZ (30.23° N, 120.16° E) in Hangzhou, and SH (31.40° N, 121.46° E) in Shanghai, respectively (marked in Fig. 2b). Their time series of 2 m temperature, 10 m wind speed and 2 m relative humidity in January and July of 2010 can be obtained from hourly records of atmospheric sounding dataset compiled by University of Wyoming (http://weather.uwyo.edu). In order to evaluate model performance of chemical fields, hourly chemical series of PM$_{10}$ and O$_3$ during the modeling period are acquired from Caochangmen (CCM) site. CCM is located in the central and highly residential area of Nanjing (32.06° N, 118.74° E), and is running by the Nanjing Environmental Monitoring Center. The assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures at CCM strictly follow the national standards.

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Spatial and temporal distribution of anthropogenic heat flux in the YRD region

Using the methodology outlined above in Sect. 2.1, we construct the spatial distribution of anthropogenic heat fluxes over the YRD region from 1990 to 2010 with a 5-year interval. Figure 4 illustrates the gridded distribution in 1995, 2000, 2005 and 2010. (The magnitude and spatial distribution pattern in 1990 are similar to 1995). Obviously, big cities, such as Shanghai, Nanjing, Hangzhou etc., have the largest values among neighboring areas from the early 1990s till now. Before 2000, except for some megacities, AH fluxes are generally less than 2.5 W m$^{-2}$ in most parts of the YRD region. However after 2000, the AH fluxes are more than 5 W m$^{-2}$ in many areas, with the high values over 25 W m$^{-2}$ centrally appearing along the Yangtze River, around Lake Taihu and beside Hangzhou Bay. The temporal variation of the spatial pattern fits in well with the economic boom in the YRD region over the past decades.

Being the largest city, Shanghai always has the highest anthropogenic heat emissions in the YRD region. As shown in Table 2, the annual mean value over the whole
administrative district is 5.47 W m$^{-2}$ in 1990 and 14.45 W m$^{-2}$ in 2010, with the annual growth of 0.45 W m$^{-2}$. While the annual mean values in the downtown area are much higher than the regional ones. And in recent years, the AH fluxes in the city center of Shanghai have exceeded 100 W m$^{-2}$, which is comparable to those in the most crowded megacities, such as Tokyo (Ichinose et al., 1999), Hong Kong (Flanner, 2009), London (Hamilton et al., 2009; Iamarino et al., 2012) and Singapore (Quah and Roth, 2012). In regard to Jiangsu Province and Zhejiang Province, the AH fluxes there also increase from 0.68 and 0.33 W m$^{-2}$ in 1990 to 2.61 and 1.63 W m$^{-2}$ in 2010. The regional annual mean values in Jiangsu higher than those in Zhejiang can be attributed to the facts that there are more large state-own enterprises (including petrochemical companies and power plants) in Jiangsu. Furthermore, the AH fluxes in the urban areas of Jiangsu and Zhejiang range from 20 to 50 W m$^{-2}$ in recent decade. These high values are close to those in Toulouse of France (Pigeon et al., 2007), Seoul of Korea (Lee et al., 2009), and some large US cities (Sailor and Lu, 2004; Fan and Sailor, 2005).

In 2010, nearly all areas of the YRD region have the AH fluxes more than 2.5 W m$^{-2}$ (shown in Fig. 4d). And high fluxes generally occur in and around the cities, such as Shanghai, Nanjing, Hangzhou, Yangzhou, Zhenjiang, Taizhou, Changzhou, Wuxi, Suzhou, Nantong, Huzhou, Jiaxing, Shaoxing, and Ningbo etc., with the typical values of 113.5, 50.2 and 39.3 W m$^{-2}$ in the urban areas of Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang, respectively (shown in Table 2). Comparing Fig. 4d with Fig. 1, we can easily find that the spatial distribution of AH based on the population reflects the economic activities in the YRD region as well, suggesting that our method is effective and the results are reasonable. Moreover, as shown in Table 2, parts of our conclusion can be supported by some other previous studies (He et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2015). Therefore, the gridded AH fluxes can be used in meso-scale meteorological and environmental modeling to investigate their impacts on urban climate and air quality.
3.2 Model evaluation for WRF/Chem

Table 3 shows the statistical comparisons between meteorological observations and the model results from both January and July simulations in ADDAH case. Mean values, MB, RMSE and CORR are all quantified for 2 m temperature ($T_2$), 2 m relative humidity ($RH_2$) and 10 m wind speed ($WS_{10}$) at four grids where NJ, HF, HZ and SH are located. As shown in Table 3, the correlation coefficients between observations and simulations (CORR) are over 0.9 in January and about 0.8 in July for $T_2$, more than 0.7 for $RH_2$ at most sites in both months, and close to 0.7 for $WS_{10}$ in January. So WRF/Chem simulates the urban meteorological conditions over the YRD region quite well. With respect to $T_2$, the modeling results are slightly overvalued at all sites, which might be attributed to the uncertainty caused by urban canopy and surface parameters (Kusaka and Kimura, 2004; Chen et al., 2011; Liao et al., 2015). But the level of over-estimation is acceptable, because the MB values of $T_2$ are only 1.1–1.7°C in January and 0.7–2.0°C in July with the RMSE of $T_2$ are 1.6–2.2°C. The lowest value 0.7°C for MB and the highest value 0.94 for CORR illustrate the best $T_2$ estimation at SH. For $RH_2$, compared with the observations, the simulation results are underestimated at all sites. Though worst simulation of $RH_2$ occurs at HF, the results are reasonable at other three sites. We find that the land-use dataset cannot well describe waters around HF. In view that HF is not in the center area of the YRD region, the deviation at HF cannot introduce crucial uncertainty into our main conclusion. In regard to $WS_{10}$, the modeling values from the ADDAH case are slightly overestimated at NJ, HF and HZ, whereas underestimated at SH. The MB for $WS_{10}$ is generally less than 0.5 m s$^{-1}$, and the RMSE is less than 1.3 m s$^{-1}$. These over- or under-estimates are attributable to near-surface wind speed being influenced by local underlying surface characteristics more than other meteorological parameters. Further improvement of urban canopy parameters might improve the simulations (Zhang et al., 2010; Liao et al., 2015).

Figure 5 presents time series comparisons between the observation data of $O_3$ and $PM_{10}$ at CCM and their modeling results from the ADDAH simulation case. Obviously,
WRF/Chem with gridded AH fluxes can capture diurnal variations and magnitude of these pollutants. For $O_3$, the correlation coefficient between observations and simulations (CORR) is 0.60 in January and 0.71 in July (statistically significant at 95% confident level). And the value of MB is $-0.8$ ppb in January and $7.0$ ppb in July, which can be explained that more solar radiation reaches to urban surface in July causing positive biases in $T_2$, and thereby produces more $O_3$ within PBL (Zhang et al., 2010; Liao et al., 2015). In regard to PM$_{10}$, the model prediction underestimates the concentration with MB being $-19.9$ $\mu$gm$^{-3}$ in January and $-10.8$ $\mu$gm$^{-3}$ in July respectively. This under-estimate can be partially ascribed to positive biases of $T_2$, which induce an increase of PBL height and cause PM$_{10}$ diluting within PBL (Liao et al., 2015). Furthermore, uncertainties in emissions may also cause these biases.

Liao et al. (2014) also simulated the same time periods in the YRD region by running WRF/Chem with a fixed AH flux in SLUCM. They found that the default SLUCM scheme tends to underestimate 2 m temperature in January but overestimate it in July, and overestimate the wind speed in both months. In a consequence, their chemical predictions are not so perfect as well, with the CORR of 0.44–0.52 for $O_3$ and 0.19–0.33 for PM$_{10}$. Compared with their results, our simulations accounting for the temporal and spatial distribution of AH improve the accuracy of the model results, and well predict the urban climate and air quality.

Generally, the WRF/Chem with gridded AH fluxes has relatively good capability on simulating urban climate and air quality over the YRD region in this study. Though the biases are still found, the difference between the modeling results from NONAH and ADDAH can still quantify the impacts of anthropogenic heat on meteorology and pollution, because all other conditions are the same in both simulations.
3.3 Impacts of AH on meteorological conditions

3.3.1 Horizontal meteorology changes

Figure 6 presents the monthly-averaged differences of main meteorological factors between ADDAH and NONAH (ADDAH-NONAH) over the modeling domain 3 (D03). Differences that are non-significant under the 95% confidence level using student t test have been masked out. Obviously, the emissions of anthropogenic heat increase the sensible heat fluxes from the urban canopy layer over the YRD region. As shown in Fig. 6a and b, the spatial patterns of sensible heat changes in both January and July are similar to the spatial distribution of AH fluxes (Fig. 4d). High values of variation (> 10 W m⁻²) generally occur around mega-cities with a positive magnitude. For instance, in Shanghai, due to the maximum AH fluxes in the city center, the biggest increase of sensible heat flux for January can be 82 W m⁻², and the value is 75 W m⁻² in July. And in other cities, such as Hangzhou, Changzhou and Nantong etc., high values over 20 W m⁻² can be found in both months as well. In order to better understand the different behavior during the daytime and at night, the monthly-averaged diurnal variations of these modeled meteorological factors over the urban area of Shanghai in January and July are also calculated. As illustrated in Fig. 7, the adding AH fluxes lead to an increase of sensible heat flux (SHF) in both daytime and nighttime, with the daily mean increase of 22 W m⁻² for January and 20.5 W m⁻² for July. And the daytime increases are larger than those at night. On account that AH and its diurnal variation are only added to the sensible heat item, there are no significant differences between the ADDAH and the NONAH simulation for heat flux (GRDFLX) and latent heat flux (LH).

By adding more surface sensible heat into the atmosphere, the AH flux changes can influence the 2 m air temperature ($T_2$) as well. The patterns of the monthly-averaged $T_2$ changes (Fig. 6c and d) are similar to those of SHF (Fig. 6a and b). For city centers like Shanghai, Hangzhou and Nanjing, adding AH can lead to the increase of $T_2$ over 1 °C in January and over 0.5 °C in July, generating an enhanced Urban Heat Island.
And the maximum $T_2$ changes usually occur in the city center of Shanghai, with the typical value of 1.6°C in January and 1.4°C in July. These findings are comparable to the values estimated in megacities all over the world (Fan and Sailor, 2005; Ferguson and Woodbury, 2007; Chen et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2010; Menberg et al., 2013; Wu and Yang, 2013; Bohnenstengel et al., 2014; Feng et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2014). Moreover, the mean increase of $T_2$ at night in January (1.2°C) is larger than that in the daytime (1.0°C), whereas the increase during the daytime and nighttime is all equal to 0.6°C in July, suggesting that AH can help to form a weakened diurnal $T_2$ variation in winter.

The vertical air movement in PBL can be enhanced by the warming up of surface air temperature, which might increase the height of PBL (PBLH). Consequently, the adding AH fluxes make the PBLH rise up to over 50 m in January and more than 70 m in July over the YRD urban areas, with the maximum changes (140 m for January and 160 m for July) occurring in Shanghai (shown in Fig. 6e and f). And for both months, as shown in Fig. 7, the daytime relative increase of PBLH (10–15%) is smaller than that at night (23–33%), which can be attributed to the facts that the absolute PBLH values are lower and the air temperature increases more during the nighttime.

Figure 6g and h shows the changes in wind components over the YRD region, and demonstrate that AH can enhance the 10 m wind speed ($WS_{10}$) in the urban areas. The maximum increase is located in Shanghai, with the increment of 0.7 m s$^{-1}$ (19%) in January and 0.5 m s$^{-1}$ (17%) in July. In other cities like Hangzhou and Nanjing, the added value is only about 0.3 m s$^{-1}$. Over the YRD region, increase of $WS_{10}$ is more obvious in January (Fig. 6g) than in July (Fig. 6h), and is slightly higher at night than in daytime (Fig. 7). As mentioned in previous studies, the above increase of wind speed can be ascribed to the strengthened urban-breeze circulation caused by the adding AH fluxes (Chen et al., 2009; Ryu et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2014), which can be further clarified by the surface stronger convergence wind patterns occurring around the megacities shown in Fig. 6g and h. The simulated divergence at the surface near cities decreases 0.07–0.23 s$^{-1}$ in January and 0.08–0.31 s$^{-1}$ in July (not shown), also providing further evidence that the convergence is enhanced in these areas.
The strengthened urban-breeze circulation caused by adding AH can also enhance the vertical movement of atmosphere. As shown in Fig. 8a, the simulated vertical velocity above the megacities on 850 hPa layer increases about 2 cm s\(^{-1}\) in July, suggesting that the convection movements that can transport moisture and pollutants from surface to upper layer are strengthened in the urban areas. Thus, the spatial and vertical distributions of moisture are re-established. Figure 8c and d illustrates the spatial plots for monthly-averaged differences of 2 m relative humidity (RH\(_2\)) caused by adding AH (ADDAH-NONAH). The negative centers over the cities (the AH centers) can be seen in both January (−2 to −8 %) and July (−2 to −6 %), meaning the air near the surface became dryer. More moisture transported into the mid-troposphere (the vertical profile is discussed in Fig. 9g and h in details) might enhance rainfall inside urban areas as well. As shown in Fig. 8b, the increase of rainfall in July can be 72.4, 84.6 and 63.2 mm in Shanghai, Hangzhou and Ningbo, respectively. However, because of the negligible accumulative precipitation in winter, the increment of rainfall over the YRD region in January is ignorable (not shown).

### 3.3.2 Vertical meteorology changes

To better understand how AH change the vertical and spatial distribution of meteorology in the YRD region, we present changes (ADDAH-NONAH) of air temperature (\(T\)), vertical wind velocity (\(w\)), divergence (DIV) and water vapor mixing ratio (QVAPOR) along a cross-section from (28.9°N, 118.1°E) to (31.8°N, 122.6°E) as shown by the solid line AB in Fig. 2b. The vertical cross sections for \(T\) changes (Fig. 9a and b) illustrate that adding AH leads to an significant increase in air temperature near the surface around the cities (Shanghai and Hangzhou), while the changes are close to 0 in the rural areas and free troposphere. The monthly mean increment of \(T\) over Shanghai and Hangzhou at ground level in January (0.7 °C) is bigger than that in July (0.4 °C), which can be attributed to the facts that the relative increase of heat is higher in January due to background heat fluxes are much lower in winter.
The warming of air temperature near surface in cities, as well as the rising of PBLH in these areas (Fig. 6e and f), can generate an enhanced urban heat island. As shown in Fig. 9c and d, the vertical wind velocities above Shanghai and Hangzhou increase with added values of 0.3–0.7 cm s$^{-1}$ in both months, whereas $w$ in the rural areas decreases about −0.3 m s$^{-1}$ in January and −0.5 cm s$^{-1}$ in July, suggesting that there are an enhanced upward movement in cities and an enhanced downward movement in countryside. We also analyze the divergence changes along the cross-section including Shanghai and Hangzhou (Fig. 9e and f). It can be seen that adding AH decreases DIV from surface to 750 m and increases DIV at higher levels, which means that there is a stronger convergence wind pattern in lower PBL and a more divergent wind pattern in higher PBL. This changing implies that the atmosphere is more unstable, and intends to promote the development of deep convection in troposphere. Consequently, impacted by the strengthened urban-breeze circulation, more moisture is transported from surface to the upper levels (over 1 km), with 0.6 g kg$^{-1}$ decrease of QVAPOR at the ground level and 0.1 g kg$^{-1}$ increase for the upper PBL in July as presented in Fig. 9g and h. Furthermore, the abovementioned vertical changes of $w$, DIV and QVAPOR are only restricted to the air column over the AH emission centers (Shanghai and Hangzhou) in January, while the changes distribute widely (the adding AH fluxes can impact wider areas) in July. This seasonal difference can be ascribed to the facts that the atmosphere is more stagnant in winter and more convective in summer.

### 3.4 Impacts of AH on air pollutants

#### 3.4.1 Horizontal changes of O$_3$ and PM$_{10}$

Adding AH changes spatial and vertical meteorology conditions, and thereby undoubtedly affects the venting of air pollution. Due to PM$_{10}$ is the main pollutant in YRD region (Wang et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2014; Liao et al., 2015), it is chosen as an indicator to show the changes of primary air pollutant transport and dispersion in this study. Figure 10 illustrates the influence of AH on PM$_{10}$ spatial distribution in typical months of
winter and summer (differences that are non-significant at 95 % confidence level using \( t \) test are masked out). Results show that PM\(_{10} \) is reduced at all times around the cities, especially in Shanghai, Nanjing and Hangzhou. And the maximum decrease usually appears in Shanghai, with the monthly mean reduction of 29.3 µg m\(^{-3} \) (24.5 %) in January and 26.6 µg m\(^{-3} \) (18.8 %) in July. Compared with the distribution of AH emissions (Fig. 4) and meteorology changes (Fig. 6), the reduction in surface PM\(_{10} \) should be mainly related with the increase in PBLH, the rising up of surface wind speed and the enhanced upward movement of air, because these modifications of meteorological conditions caused by adding AH over the urban areas can facilitate PM\(_{10} \) transport and dispersion within the urban boundary layer. Furthermore, on account that the precipitation around the cities increases by 15–30 %, the wet scavenging can contribute to the reductions of the surface PM\(_{10} \) concentrations as well.

Spatial distribution of O\(_3 \) concentration can also be influenced by the changes of meteorological conditions due to adding AH. It should be noted that the increase of wind speed might facilitate O\(_3 \) transport, and the rising up of PBLH can lead to O\(_3 \) dilution within planetary boundary layer. Thus, the surface O\(_3 \) concentrations are seemingly reduced. However, unlike PM\(_{10} \), O\(_3 \) is a secondary air pollutant formed by a series of complex chemical reactions involving oxides of nitrogen (NO\(_x = \) NO + NO\(_2 \)) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), so only considering the factors affecting O\(_3 \) transport and dispersion is not sufficient. In fact, O\(_3 \) changes are different from those of PM\(_{10} \). As illustrated in Fig. 11a and b, the increases of surface O\(_3 \) level can be seen in both January and July over the YRD region, with large increase centers occurring in megacities. In January (Fig. 11a), the maximum O\(_3 \) difference appears in Shanghai, with the monthly mean increment of 2.5 ppb (18 %). In July (Fig. 11b), the highest O\(_3 \) change occurs in Hangzhou, with the added value of 4 ppb (15 %). And in the surrounding areas of these high value centers, increase of O\(_3 \) causing by AH can be over 0.5 ppb in January and more than 1 ppb in July. This change pattern and the magnitude are consistent with the findings reported in Beijing (Yu et al., 2014) and Seoul (Ryu et al., 2013).
Chemical direct and indirect effects should play a more important role in O₃ changes than other physical influencing factors. On the one hand, the rising up of air temperature (Fig. 6c and d) can directly accelerate O₃ formation by increasing the chemical reaction rates, and thereby straightly increase the O₃ level at surface. On the other hand, O₃ changes are inextricably influenced by the changes of NOₓ (indirect chemical effects). Similar to other primary air pollutant (such as PM₁₀), NOₓ at ground level are reduced in both January and July due mainly to the increase in PBLH, surface wind speed and upward air movement caused by adding AH (Fig. 11c and d). It was reported that the O₃ formation over the cities in the YRD region is sensitive to VOC (Xie et al., 2014), which means that a decrease in surface NOₓ might lead to a slight increase of O₃ during the daytime. And at night, when the process of NOₓ titration (O₃ + NO → O₂ + NO₂) supersedes the O₃ sensitivity to be the governing factor of O₃ chemistry, less NOₓ can only consume less O₃ as well. Consequently, the decrease in NOₓ at the ground can result in the increase in O₃. This indirect function might be clearly illustrated in vertical distribution of O₃ changes in Sect. 3.4.2.

### 3.4.2 Vertical changes of O₃ and PM₁₀

Figure 12 shows the vertical plots on the cross-sectional line AB (presented in Fig. 2b) for the changes of chemical species impacted by adding AH (ADDAH-NONAH). Differences that are non-significant at 95% confidence level using t test have been masked out. For the primary air pollutants such as PM₁₀ and NOₓ, the AH fluxes can decrease their concentrations near surface. As shown in Fig. 12a and b, in the atmosphere below 300 m above Shanghai and Hangzhou, the concentrations of PM₁₀ decrease 2.3–16.2 µg m⁻³ in January and 2.1–15.8 µg m⁻³ in July, respectively. And surface NOₓ concentrations near Shanghai and Hangzhou can be reduced over 15 ppb in both month as well (Fig. 12c and d). Meanwhile, it can be also found that there are increases in PM₁₀ and NOₓ concentrations at the upper levels over the cities. For instance, the added values of PM₁₀ and NOₓ can be more than 3 µg m⁻³ and 3 ppb at about 1 km
above surface in January, respectively. This vertical changing pattern for primary chemical species is quite similar to that for water vapor (Fig. 9g and h), indicating that this is a reflection of the change in vertical transport patterns in the region due to AH (Yu et al., 2014). It should be noted that the maximum vertical changes of air pollutants in Hangzhou usually occur at about 1 km above surface, whereas those in Shanghai generally appear at higher levels (> 1 km), implying that more surface air pollutants in Shanghai might be transported into higher levels due to higher AH emissions in this biggest city in the YRD region. Furthermore, Fig. 13 shows the vertical profiles of the changes for PM$_{10}$, NO$_x$ and O$_3$ caused by adding AH over Shanghai. In winter, the large increases of PM$_{10}$ and NO$_x$ appear at 500 to 1500 m above surface. But the maximum increases usually occur at more than 1.5 km above surface in summer. This phenomenon can be attributed to the facts that the atmosphere is more convective in summer than in winter.

On the contrary to the primary air pollutants, O$_3$ changes show increases near surface and decreases at the upper levels over the urban areas. Figure 12e and f illustrates that the increases of O$_3$ concentrations are limited within 400 m above the surface over the cities, with the high values of 2.6 ppb in January and 4.2 ppb in July. As mention in Sect. 3.4.1, this may be the result of both the increase in O$_3$ production caused by higher surface temperature and the decrease in O$_3$ depletion resulting from less surface NO. With respect to O$_3$ concentrations from 400 m to 1.5 km above surface, they generally decrease with the reduction values of more than 1 ppb in both January and July. Comparing Fig. 12e and f with Fig. 12c and d, we believe that the increases of NO$_x$ concentrations at these upper levels can lead to the depletion of O$_3$, because of the VOC-sensitive O$_3$ chemistry in the daytime and NO$_x$ titration at night in this region.

### 4 Conclusions

Urbanization impacts the atmospheric environment in many ways. Increases in pollution emissions, changes in land-use and excess anthropogenic heat (AH) emissions
from human activities caused by urbanization all can affect the city environment. In this paper, we specially address the impacts of AH on meteorological conditions and air pollution over the cities in the YRD region. Firstly, based on the energy consumption and the gridded population data, we estimate the spatial distribution of AH fluxes over the YRD region by a top-down energy inventory method. Secondly, the gridded AH data over the YRD region with the seasonal and the diurnal variation are added to the sensible heat flux from the urban canopy layer in the modified air quality model system WRF/Chem. Finally, the WRF/Chem is applied to investigate the impacts of AH on climate and air quality over the YRD region. Two simulation cases are conducted. One incorporates the single layer urban canopy model (SLUCM) with the gridded AH fluxes, while the other ignores the contribution of AH.

The results show that the AH flux in YRD region has been increased continually since 1990, especially after 2000. During the period between 1990 and 2010, the annual mean values of AH fluxes over Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang have been increased from 5.47 to 14.45, 0.68 to 2.61, and 0.33 to 1.63 W m\(^{-2}\), respectively. High AH fluxes generally occur in and around the cities. And the typical values of AH in 2010 over the urban areas of Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang can reach 113.5, 50.2 and 39.3 W m\(^{-2}\), respectively.

The model results of WRF/Chem fit the observational meteorological conditions and air quality very well. Inclusion of the AH can enhance the urban heat island in the cities over the YRD region, by increasing 2 m air temperature by more than 1 °C in January and over 0.5 °C in July with higher increment at night. It also increases the PBL heights, with the maximum changes of 140 m for January and 160 m for July in Shanghai. The strengthened urban-breeze circulation resulted from adding AH can enhance the 10 m wind speed and the vertical air movement as well. Thus, more moisture is transported from surface to the upper levels, with 0.6 g kg\(^{-1}\) decrease at the ground level and 0.1 g kg\(^{-1}\) increase for the upper PBL in July, which might induce the accumulative precipitation to increase by 15–30 % in Shanghai, Nanjing and Hangzhou.
Influenced by the modifications of meteorological conditions, the spatial and vertical distribution of air pollutants is re-established. With respect to the primary air pollutants (PM$_{10}$ and NO$_x$), their transport and dispersion in PBL can be facilitated by the increases of PBLH, surface wind speed and upward air movement, which causes the decreases of concentrations near surface and the increases at the upper levels. Usually, PM$_{10}$ can be reduced by 2–16 µgm$^{-3}$ within 300 m above the surface of the cities, and added over 3 µgm$^{-3}$ in upper PBL. However, surface O$_3$ concentrations increase in the urban areas, with maximum changes of 2.5 ppb in January and 4 ppb in July. Besides the rising up of air temperature directly accelerating the surface O$_3$ formation, the decrease in NO$_x$ at the ground can also result in the increase of surface O$_3$ due to the VOC-sensitive O$_3$ chemistry in the daytime and NO$_x$ titration at night in this region. Furthermore, O$_3$ concentrations at higher levels are reduced by about 1 ppb due mainly to the increase of NO, and the impacts of AH are not only limited to the urban centers but also extended regionally.

Influence of anthropogenic heat emission due to urbanization on urban climate and air quality is undoubtedly an important and complex scientific issue. Our results show that the meteorology and air pollution predictions in and around large urban areas are highly sensitive to the anthropogenic heat inputs. In a consequent, for further understanding of urban atmospheric environment issues, good information on land use, detailed urban structure of the cities and more studies of the anthropogenic heat release should be better considered.
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Table 1. The grid settings, physics and chemistry options used in this study for WRF/Chem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions ((x, y))</td>
<td>((85,75), (76,70), (76,70))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid size (km)</td>
<td>81, 27, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time step (s)</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microphysics</td>
<td>Purdue Lin microphysics scheme (Lin et al., 1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-wave radiation</td>
<td>RRTM scheme (Mlawer et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-wave radiation</td>
<td>Goddard scheme (Kim and Wang, 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulus parameterization</td>
<td>Kain–Fritsch scheme, only for D01 and D02 (Kain, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land surface</td>
<td>Noah land surface model (Chen and Dudhia, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planetary boundary layer</td>
<td>Mellor–Yamada–Janjic scheme (Janjic, 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban canopy model</td>
<td>SLUCM (Kusaka and Kimura, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas-phase chemistry</td>
<td>CBM-Z (Zaveri and Peters, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol module</td>
<td>MOSAIC using 8 sectional aerosol bins (Zaveri et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The statistics of annual average anthropogenic heat flux in different administrative district over the YRD region (Wm$^{-2}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province or Municipality</th>
<th>This study</th>
<th>Previous results (year)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Downtown</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>60.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangsu</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Downtown</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Downtown</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regional represents the average value over the whole area of an administrative district, while Downtown represents the high value in the city center.
### Table 3. The statistics of meteorological conditions from the ADDAH simulation at four sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vars(^{a})</th>
<th>Sites(^{b})</th>
<th>January Mean(^{c})</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>CORR(^{d})</th>
<th>July Mean(^{c})</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>CORR(^{d})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OBS(^{e})</td>
<td>SIM(^{f})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OBS(^{e})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_2) (°C)</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HZ</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH(_2) (%)</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>−9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HZ</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>−19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS(_{10}) (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HZ</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Vars represents variables, including temperature at 2 m (\(T_2\)), relative humidity at 2 m (RH\(_2\)) and wind speed at 10 m (WS\(_{10}\)).

\(^{b}\) Sites indicates the observation meteorological sites used in this study, including NJ in Nanjing, HF in Hefei, HZ in Hangzhou and SH in Shanghai.

\(^{c}\) Mean indicates the average value.

\(^{d}\) Statistically significant at 95 % confident level.

\(^{e}\) OBS presents observation data.

\(^{f}\) SIM represents simulation results from WRF/Chem.
Figure 1. Spatial distribution of Gross Domestic Product (a) and population (b) in 2010 over the region between (117° E, 28° N) and (123° E, 34° N) with the resolution of 2.5 arcmin. Data are obtained from the website http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/gpw.
Figure 2. The three nested modeling domains (a) and MODIS urban land-use category dataset used in D03, with the locations of the four meteorology observation sites (b). SH, HZ, NJ and HF in (b) represent Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing and Hefei, respectively. Line AB denotes the location of the vertical cross section used in Figs. 9 and 12.
Figure 3. Diurnal variation of anthropogenic heat flux based on He et al. (2007), applied as weights to the annual-mean flux.
Figure 4. Estimates of annual-mean anthropogenic heat fluxes resulting from the consumption of non-renewable energy sources (coal, petroleum, natural gas, and electricity) and human metabolism between (117° E, 28° N) and (123° E, 34° N) with the resolution of 2.5 arcmin for 1995 (a), 2000 (b), 2005 (c) and 2010 (d), respectively.
Figure 5. Hourly variations of PM$_{10}$ ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$) and O$_3$ (ppb) from the observation data and the ADDAH simulation results at CCM monitoring site in Nanjing for January (a) and July (b).
Figure 6.
Figure 6. The spatial distributions of monthly-averaged differences for sensible heat flux (SHF), air temperature at 2 m ($T_2$), the height of planetary boundary layer (PBLH), and wind speed (WS$_{10}$) at 10 m between ADDAH and NONAH (ADDAH-NONAH). (a, c, e, g) show changes in January. (b, d, f, h) illustrate variations in July. The arrows in (g) and (h) are the differences of wind fields. Differences that are non-significant under the 95 % confidence level (student $t$ test) are masked out.
Figure 7. The monthly-averaged diurnal variations of modeled meteorological factors in January (a) and July (b) over the urban area of Shanghai. NONAH and ADDAH represent the simulation cases with and without AH fluxes, respectively. LH means latent heat. SHF indicates sensible heat flux. GRDFLX represents heat flux from ground level. $T_2$, $RH_2$, $WS_{10}$, and PBLH indicate 2 m air temperature (°C), 2 m relative humidity (%), 10 m wind speed (m s$^{-1}$) and the height of planetary boundary layer (m), respectively.
Figure 8. The spatial distributions of monthly-averaged differences for 2m relative humidity (RH$_2$), surface accumulative precipitation and vertical wind velocity on 850 hPa layer ($w$) between ADDAH and NONAH (ADDAH-NONAH). Differences that are non-significant under the 95% confidence level (student $t$ test) are masked out.
Figure 9. The vertical distribution of monthly-averaged differences for air temperature ($T$), vertical wind velocity ($w$), divergence (DIV), and water vapor mixing ratio (QVAPOR) between AD-DAH and NONAH (ADDAH-NONAH) from surface to 1.5 km altitude along the line AB (shown in Fig. 2b). (a, c, e, g) show changes in January. (b, d, f, h) illustrate variations in July. Differences that are non-significant under the 95% confidence level (student $t$ test) are masked out.
Figure 10. The spatial distributions of monthly-averaged differences for PM$_{10}$ between ADDAH and NONAH (ADDAH-NONAH). Differences that are non-significant under the 95% confidence level (student t test) are masked out.
Figure 11. The spatial distributions of monthly-averaged differences for O$_3$ and its precursor NO$_x$ between ADDAH and NONAH (ADDAH-NONAH). Differences that are non-significant under the 95% confidence level (student $t$ test) are masked out.
Figure 12. The vertical distribution of monthly-averaged differences for PM$_{10}$, NO$_x$ and O$_3$ between ADDAH and NONAH (ADDAH-NONAH) from surface to 1.5 km altitude along the line AB (shown in Fig. 2b). (a, c, e) show changes in January. (b, d, f) illustrate variations in July. Differences that are non-significant under the 95% confidence level (student $t$ test) are masked out.
**Figure 13.** The vertical profiles of monthly-averaged differences for PM$_{10}$, NO$_x$ and O$_3$ between ADDAH and NONAH (ADDAH-NONAH) over Shanghai.