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Abstract

Isoprene emitted by vegetation is an important precursor of secondary organic aerosol (SOA), but the mechanism and yields are uncertain. Aerosol is prevalently aqueous under the humid conditions typical of isoprene-emitting regions. Here we develop an aqueous-phase mechanism for isoprene SOA formation coupled to a detailed gas-phase isoprene oxidation scheme. The mechanism is based on aerosol reactive uptake probabilities ($\gamma$) for water-soluble isoprene oxidation products, including sensitivity to aerosol acidity and nucleophile concentrations. We apply this mechanism to simulation of aircraft (SEAC$^4$RS) and ground-based (SOAS) observations over the Southeast US in summer 2013 using the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model. Emissions of nitrogen oxides ($\text{NO}_x \equiv \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$) over the Southeast US are such that the peroxy radicals produced from isoprene oxidation (ISOPO$_2$) react significantly with both NO (high-NO$_x$ pathway) and HO$_2$ (low-NO$_x$ pathway), leading to different suites of isoprene SOA precursors. We find a mean SOA mass yield of 3.3% from isoprene oxidation, consistent with the observed relationship of OA and formaldehyde (a product of isoprene oxidation). The yield is mainly contributed by two immediate gas-phase precursors, isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX, 58% of isoprene SOA) from the low-NO$_x$ pathway and glyoxal (28%) from both low- and high-NO$_x$ pathways. This speciation is consistent with observations of IEPOX SOA from SOAS and SEAC$^4$RS. Observations show a strong relationship between IEPOX SOA and sulfate aerosol that we explain as due to the indirect effect of sulfate on aerosol acidity and volume, rather than a direct mechanistic role for sulfate. Isoprene SOA concentrations increase as NO$_x$ emissions decrease (favoring the low-NO$_x$ pathway for isoprene oxidation), but decrease as SO$_2$ emissions decrease (due to the effect of sulfate on aerosol acidity and volume). The US EPA projects 2013–2025 decreases in anthropogenic emissions of 34% for NO$_x$ (leading to 7% increase in isoprene SOA) and 48% for SO$_2$ (35% decrease in isoprene SOA). The combined projected decreases in NO$_x$ and SO$_2$ emissions reduce isoprene SOA yields from 3.3 to 2.3%. Reducing SO$_2$ emissions decreases sulfate and isoprene...
SOA by a similar magnitude, representing a factor of 2 co-benefit for PM$_{2.5}$ from SO$_2$ emission controls.

1 Introduction

Isoprene emitted by vegetation is a major source of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) (Carlton et al., 2009 and references therein) with effects on human health, visibility, and climate. There is large uncertainty in the yield and composition of isoprene SOA (Scott et al., 2014; McNeill et al., 2014), involving a cascade of species produced in the gas-phase oxidation of isoprene and their interaction with pre-existing aerosol (Hallquist et al., 2009). We develop here a new aqueous-phase mechanism for isoprene SOA formation coupled to gas-phase chemistry, implement it in the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model (CTM) to simulate observations in the Southeast US, and from there derive new constraints on isoprene SOA yields and the contributing pathways.

Organic aerosol is ubiquitous in the atmosphere, often dominating fine aerosol mass (Zhang et al., 2007), including in the Southeast US where it accounts for more than 60% in summer (Attwood et al., 2014). It may be directly emitted by combustion as primary organic aerosol (POA), or produced within the atmosphere as SOA by oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Isoprene (C$_5$H$_8$) from vegetation is the dominant VOC emitted globally, and the Southeast US in summer is one of the largest isoprene-emitting regions in the world (Guenther et al., 2006). SOA yields from isoprene are low compared with larger VOCs (Pye et al., 2010), but isoprene emissions are much higher. Kim et al. (2015) estimated that isoprene accounts for 40% of total organic aerosol in the Southeast US in summer.

Formation of OA from oxidation of isoprene depends on local concentrations of nitrogen oxide radicals (NO$_x$ ≡ NO + NO$_2$) and pre-existing aerosol. NO$_x$ concentrations determine the fate of organic peroxy radicals originating from isoprene oxidation (ISOPO$_2$), leading to different cascades of oxidation products in the low-NO$_x$ and high-NO$_x$ pathways (Paulot et al., 2009a, b). Uptake of isoprene oxidation products to the
aerosol phase depends on their vapor pressure (Donahue et al., 2006), solubility in aqueous media (Saxena and Hildeman, 1996), and subsequent condensed-phase reactions (Volkamer et al., 2007). Aqueous aerosol provides a medium for reactive uptake (Eddingsaas et al., 2010; Surratt et al., 2010) with dependences on acidity (Surratt et al., 2007b), concentration of nucleophiles such as sulfate (Surratt et al., 2007a), aerosol water (Carlton and Turpin, 2013), and organic coatings (Gaston et al., 2014). We compile in Fig. 1 the published laboratory yields of isoprene SOA as a function of initial NO concentration and relative humidity (RH). Here and elsewhere, the isoprene SOA yield is defined as the mass of SOA produced per unit mass of isoprene oxidized. Isoprene SOA yields span a wide range, from < 0.1% to > 10%, with no systematic difference between low-NO\textsubscript{x} and high-NO\textsubscript{x} pathways. Yields tend to be higher in dry chambers (RH < 10%). Under such dry conditions, aerosol formation likely involves reversible partitioning of semivolatile isoprene oxidation products into the organic aerosol phase (Virtanen et al., 2010; Song et al., 2015). At humid conditions more representative of the atmosphere, aerosols are aqueous and the mechanisms for uptake may be different (Virtanen et al., 2010). Standard isoprene SOA mechanisms used in atmospheric models assume reversible partitioning onto pre-existing organic aerosol, fitting the dry chamber data (Odum et al., 1996). However, this may not be appropriate for actual atmospheric conditions where aqueous-phase chemistry with irreversible reactive uptake of water-soluble gases is likely the dominant mechanism (Ervens et al., 2011; Carlton and Turpin, 2013).

Here we present a mechanism for irreversible aqueous-phase isoprene SOA formation integrated within a detailed chemical mechanism for isoprene gas-phase oxidation, thus linking isoprene SOA formation to gas-phase chemistry and avoiding more generic volatility-based parameterizations that assume dry organic aerosol (Odum et al., 1996; Donahue et al., 2006). We use this mechanism in the GEOS-Chem CTM to simulate observations from the SOAS (surface) and SEAC\textsuperscript{4}RS (aircraft) field campaigns over the Southeast US in summer 2013, with focus on isoprene SOA components and on the relationship between OA and formaldehyde (HCHO). HCHO is a high-yield oxida-
tion product of isoprene (Palmer et al., 2003) and we use the OA-HCHO relationship as a constraint on isoprene SOA yields. SOAS measurements were made at a ground site in rural Centreville, Alabama (Hu et al., 2015; http://soas2013.rutgers.edu/). SEAC4RS measurements were made from the NASA DC-8 aircraft with extensive boundary layer coverage across the Southeast (Toon et al., 2015; SEAC4RS Archive).

2 Chemical mechanism for isoprene SOA formation

The previous default treatment of isoprene SOA in GEOS-Chem (v9-02; http://geos-chem.org) follows a standard parameterization that operates independently from the gas-phase chemistry mechanism and is based on reversible partitioning onto pre-existing OA of generic semivolatile products of isoprene oxidation by OH and NO3 radicals (Pye et al., 2010). Here we implement a new mechanism for irreversible uptake by aqueous aerosols of species produced in the isoprene oxidation cascade of the GEOS-Chem gas-phase mechanism. This couples SOA formation to the gas-phase chemistry and is in accord with increased evidence for a major role of aqueous aerosols in isoprene SOA formation (Ervens et al., 2011).

The standard gas-phase isoprene oxidation mechanism in GEOS-Chem v9-02 is described in Mao et al. (2013) and is based on best knowledge at the time building on mechanisms for the oxidation of isoprene by OH (Paulot et al., 2009a, b) and NO3 (Rollins et al., 2009). Updates implemented in this work are described below and in companion papers applying GEOS-Chem to simulation of observed gas-phase isoprene oxidation products over the Southeast US in summer 2013 (Fisher et al., 2015; Travis et al., 2015). Most gas-phase products of the isoprene oxidation cascade in GEOS-Chem have high dry deposition velocity, competing in some cases with removal by oxidation and aerosol formation (Nguyen et al., 2015b; Travis et al., 2015).

Figure 2 shows the isoprene oxidation cascade in GEOS-Chem leading to SOA formation. Reaction pathways leading to isoprene SOA precursors are described below. Yields are in mass percent, unless stated otherwise. Reactive ISOPO2 isomers formed
in the first OH oxidation step react with NO, the hydroperoxyl radical (HO$_2$), other peroxy radicals (RO$_2$), or undergo isomerization (Peeters et al., 2009). The NO reaction pathway (high-NO$_x$ pathway) yields C$_5$ hydroxy carbonyls, methyl vinyl ketone, methacrolein, and first-generation isoprene nitrates (ISOPN). The first three products go on to produce glyoxal and methylglyoxal, which serve as SOA precursors. The overall yield of glyoxal from the high-NO$_x$ pathway is 7 mol%. Oxidation of ISOPN by OH and O$_3$ is as described by Lee et al. (2014). Reaction of ISOPN with OH produces saturated dihydroxy dinitrates (DHDN), 21 and 27 mol% from the beta and delta channels respectively (Lee et al., 2014), and 10 mol% isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX) from each channel (Jacobs et al., 2014). We also adopt the mechanism of Lin et al. (2013) to generate C$_4$ hydroxyepoxides (methacrylic acid epoxide and hydroxymethylmethyl-$\alpha$-lactone, both denoted MEPOX) from OH oxidation of a peroxyaclylnitrate formed when methacrolein reacts with OH followed by NO$_2$. Only hydroxymethylmethyl-$\alpha$-lactone is shown in Fig. 2.

The HO$_2$ reaction pathway for ISOPO$_2$ leads to formation of hydroxyhydroperoxides (ISOPOOH) that are oxidized to IEPOX (Paulot et al., 2009b) and several low-volatility products, represented here as C$_5$-LVOC (Krechmer et al., 2015). The kinetics of IEPOX oxidation by OH is uncertain, and experimentally determined IEPOX lifetimes vary from 8 to 28 h for an OH concentration of 1 $\times$ 10$^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ (Jacobs et al., 2013; Bates et al., 2014). In GEOS-Chem we apply the fast kinetics of Jacobs et al. (2013) and reduce the yield of IEPOX from ISOPOOH from 100 to 75%, within the range observed by St. Clair et al. (2015), to address a factor of 4 overestimate in simulated IEPOX (Nguyen et al., 2015b). IEPOX oxidizes to form glyoxal and methylglyoxal (Bates et al., 2014). The overall glyoxal yield from the ISOPO$_2$ + HO$_2$ pathway is 6 mol%. Krechmer et al. (2015) report a 2.5 mol% yield of C$_5$-LVOC from ISOPOOH but we reduce this to 0.5 mol% to reproduce surface observations of the corresponding aerosol products (Sect. 4). Methyl vinyl ketone and methacrolein yields from the ISOPO$_2$ + HO$_2$ pathway are 2.5 and 3.8 mol%, respectively (Liu et al., 2013), sufficiently low that they do not lead to significant SOA formation.
Minor channels for ISOPO$_2$ are isomerization and reaction with RO$_2$. Isomerization forms hydroperoxycarboxylic acids (HPALD) that go on to photolyze, but products are uncertain (Peeters and Müller, 2010). We assume 25 mol% yield each of glyoxal and methylglyoxal from HPALD photolysis in GEOS-Chem following Stavrakou et al. (2010). Reaction of ISOPO$_2$ with RO$_2$ leads to the same suite of C$_4$-C$_5$ carbonyls as reaction with NO (C$_5$ hydroxy carbonyls, methacrolein, and methyl vinyl ketone) and from there to glyoxal and methylglyoxal.

Immediate aerosol precursors from the isoprene +OH oxidation cascade are identified in Fig. 2. For the high-NO$_x$ pathway (ISOPO$_2$ + NO channel) precursors include glyoxal and methylglyoxal (McNeill et al., 2012), ISOPN (Darer et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2011), DHDN (Lee et al., 2014), MEPOX (Lin et al., 2013), and IEPOX (Jacobs et al., 2014). For the low-NO$_x$ pathway (ISOPO$_2$ + HO$_2$ channel) they include IEPOX (Eddingsaas et al., 2010), C$_5$-LVOC (Krechmer et al., 2015, in which the aerosol-phase species is denoted ISOPOOH-SOA), glyoxal, and methylglyoxal. Glyoxal and methylglyoxal are also produced from the ISOPO$_2$+RO$_2$ and ISOPO$_2$ isomerization channels.

Ozonolysis and oxidation by NO$_3$ are additional minor isoprene reaction pathways (Fig. 2). The NO$_3$ oxidation pathway is a potentially important source of isoprene SOA at night (Brown et al., 2009) from the irreversible uptake of low-volatility second-generation hydroxynitrates (NT-ISOPN) (Ng et al., 2008; Rollins et al., 2009). We update the gas-phase chemistry of Rollins et al. (2009), implemented by Mao et al. (2013), to include formation of 4 mol% of the aerosol-phase precursor NT-ISOPN from first-generation alkylnitrates (Rollins et al., 2009). Ozonolysis products are volatile and observed SOA yields in chamber studies are low (< 1%; Kleindienst et al., 2007). In GEOS-Chem only methylglyoxal is an aerosol precursor from isoprene ozonolysis.

We implement irreversible uptake of isoprene oxidation products to aqueous aerosols using laboratory-derived reactive uptake probabilities ($\gamma$) as given by Anttila
et al. (2006) and Gaston et al. (2014):

\[
\gamma = \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{3\omega}{4rRTH^*k_{aq}} \right]^{-1}.
\] (1)

Here \( \alpha \) is the mass accommodation coefficient (taken as 0.1 for all immediate SOA precursors in Fig. 2), \( \omega \) is the mean gas-phase molecular speed (cms\(^{-1}\)), \( r \) is the aqueous particle radius (cm), \( R \) is the universal gas constant (0.08206 LatmK\(^{-1}\)mol\(^{-1}\)), \( T \) is temperature (K), \( H^* \) is the effective Henry’s Law constant (Matm\(^{-1}\)) accounting for any fast dissociation equilibria in the aqueous phase, and \( k_{aq} \) is the pseudo first-order aqueous-phase reaction rate constant (s\(^{-1}\)) for conversion to non-volatile products.

Precursors with epoxide functionality, IEPOX and MEPOX, undergo acid-catalyzed epoxide ring opening and nucleophilic addition in the aqueous phase. The aqueous-phase reaction rate constant is from Eddingsaas et al. (2010),

\[
k_{aq} = k_{H^+}[H^+] + k_{nuc}[nuc][H^+] + k_{HSO_4^-}[HSO_4^-],
\] (2)

and includes three channels: acid-catalyzed ring opening followed by nucleophilic addition of \( H_2O \) (\( k_{H^+} \) in M\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\)), acid-catalyzed ring opening followed by nucleophilic addition of sulfate and nitrate ions (\( nuc \equiv SO_4^{2-} + NO_3^- \), \( k_{nuc} \) in M\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\)), and concerted protonation and nucleophilic addition by bisulfate, \( HSO_4^- \) (\( k_{HSO_4^-} \) in M\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\)). Reaction rate constants in the literature are from experiments in concentrated media, representative of aqueous aerosols, so no activity correction factors are used in our work.

Precursors with nitrate functionality (-ONO\(_2\)), ISOPN and DHDN, hydrolyze to form low-volatility polyols and nitric acid (Hu et al., 2011; Jacobs et al., 2014), so \( k_{aq} \) in Eq. (1) is the hydrolysis rate constant.

Glyoxal and methylglyoxal form SOA irreversibly by surface uptake followed by aqueous-phase oxidation and oligomerization to yield non-volatile products (Liggio et al., 2005; Volkamer et al., 2009; Nozière et al., 2009; Ervens et al., 2011; Knote 2013).
Glyoxal forms SOA with higher yields during the day than at night due to OH aqueous-phase chemistry (Tan et al., 2009; Volkamer et al., 2009; Summer et al., 2014). We use a daytime $\gamma$ of $2.9 \times 10^{-3}$ for glyoxal from Liggio et al. (2005) and a nighttime $\gamma$ of $5 \times 10^{-6}$ (Waxman et al., 2013; Sumner et al., 2014). The SOA yield of methylglyoxal is small compared with that of glyoxal (McNeill et al., 2012). A previous GEOS-Chem study by Fu et al. (2008) used the same $\gamma$ ($2.9 \times 10^{-3}$) for glyoxal and methylglyoxal. Reaction rate constants are similar for aqueous-phase processing of glyoxal and methylglyoxal (Buxton et al., 1997; Ervens et al., 2003), but $H^*$ of glyoxal is about 4 orders of magnitude higher. Here we scale the $\gamma$ for methylglyoxal to the ratio of effective Henry’s law constants: $H^* = 3.7 \times 10^3 \text{Matm}^{-1}$ for methylglyoxal (Tan et al., 2010) and $H^* = 2.7 \times 10^7 \text{Matm}^{-1}$ for glyoxal (Sumner et al., 2014). The resulting uptake of methylglyoxal is very slow and makes a negligible contribution to isoprene SOA.

The aerosol precursors C$_5$-LVOC from ISOPOOH oxidation and NT-ISOPN from isoprene reaction with NO$_3$ have low volatility and are assumed to condense to aerosols with a $\gamma$ of 0.1 limited by mass accommodation.

Table 1 gives input variables used to calculate $\gamma$ for IEPOX, ISOPN, and DHDN by Eqs. (1) and (2). Table 2 lists average values of $\gamma$ for all immediate aerosol precursors in the Southeast US boundary layer in summer as simulated by GEOS-Chem (Sect. 3). $\gamma$ for IEPOX is a strong function of pH and increases linearly from $1 \times 10^{-4}$ to $1 \times 10^{-2}$ as pH decreases from 3 to 0. We obtain values of $\gamma$ for MEPOX by reducing IEPOX $\gamma$ by a factor of 30 when the aerosol is acidic (pH < 4), due to slower acid-catalyzed ring opening (Piletic et al., 2013; Riedel et al., 2015). At pH > 4 we assume that $\gamma$ for IEPOX and MEPOX are the same (Riedel et al., 2015), but they are then very low.

We neglect isoprene SOA formation in clouds because the aqueous-phase concentrations and acidities are too low for most of the above aqueous-phase mechanisms to take place at a significant rate. Observations show that the isoprene SOA yield in the presence of laboratory-generated clouds is very low (0.2–0.4 %; Brégonzio-Rozier et al., 2015). Wagner et al. (2015) found no significant production of SOA in the shal-
low convective cloud layer (1–2 km altitude) over the Southeast US during SEAC\textsuperscript{4}RS, supporting our assumption that this pathway is minor.

### 3 GEOS-Chem simulation and isoprene SOA yields

Several companion papers apply GEOS-Chem to interpret SEAC\textsuperscript{4}RS and surface data over the Southeast US in summer 2013 including Kim et al. (2015) for aerosols, Fisher et al. (2015) for organic nitrates, Travis et al. (2015) for ozone and NO\textsubscript{x}, and Zhu et al. (2015) for validation of satellite HCHO data. These studies use a model version with $0.25^\circ \times 0.3125^\circ$ horizontal resolution over North America, nested within a $4^\circ \times 5^\circ$ global simulation. Here we use a $2^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ global GEOS-Chem simulation with no nesting. Yu et al. (2015) found little difference between $0.25^\circ \times 0.3125^\circ$ and $2^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ resolutions in simulated regional statistics for isoprene chemistry.

The reader is referred to Kim et al. (2015) for a general presentation of the model including evaluation with Southeast US aerosol observations, and to Travis et al. (2015) and Fisher et al. (2015) for presentation of gas-phase chemistry and comparisons with observed gas-phase isoprene oxidation products. Isoprene emission is from the MEGAN v2.1 inventory (Guenther et al., 2012). The companion papers decrease isoprene emission by 15% from the MEGAN v2.1 values to fit the HCHO data (Zhu et al., 2015), but this is not applied here.

Our OA simulation differs in several ways from that of Kim et al. (2015). They assumed a fixed 3% yield of isoprene SOA. The aqueous-phase mechanism coupled to gas-phase isoprene chemistry described in Sect. 2, replacing the semivolatile reversible partitioning scheme of Pye et al. (2010), is original to this work. Our resulting mean isoprene SOA yield for the Southeast US (3.3%, discussed below) turns out to be consistent with that assumed by Kim et al. (2015). Kim et al. (2015) included parameterizations for formation of anthropogenic and open fire SOA (Hodzic and Jimenez, 2011) but these are not included here. They presented detailed comparisons to total OA measurements from SEAC\textsuperscript{4}RS and from surface networks, finding no systematic
bias. Our omission of anthropogenic and open fire SOA leads to some underestimation of total OA, as will be discussed below.

Organic aerosol and sulfate contribute most of the aerosol mass over the Southeast US in summer, while nitrate is much lower (Kim et al., 2015). GEOS-Chem uses the ISORROPIA thermodynamic model (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007) to simulate sulfate-nitrate-ammonium (SNA) aerosol composition, water content, and acidity as a function of local conditions. Simulated aerosol pH along the SEAC4RS flight tracks in the Southeast US boundary layer averages 1.3 (interquartiles 0.92 and 1.8). The aerosol pH remains below 3 even when sulfate aerosol is fully neutralized by ammonia (Guo et al., 2015).

The rate of gas uptake by the aqueous aerosol is computed with the pseudo-first order reaction rate constant $k_{\text{het}}$ ($s^{-1}$) (Schwartz, 1986; Jacob, 2000):

$$k_{\text{het}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} 4\pi r^2 \left( \frac{r}{D_g} + \frac{4}{\gamma \omega} \right)^{-1} n(r)dr,$$

(3)

where $D_g$ is the gas-phase diffusion constant (taken to be 0.1 cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) and $n(r)$ is the number size distribution of aqueous aerosol (cm$^{-4}$). We take $n(r)$ to be the size distribution of sulfate aerosol, making the assumption that all aqueous particles would contain some sulfate. Clear-sky RH in the Southeast US boundary layer during SEAC4RS averaged 72 ± 17%, sufficiently high that sulfate aerosol is expected to be aqueous under all conditions (Wang et al., 2008).

The sulfate aerosol size distribution including RH-dependent hygroscopic growth factors is from the Global Aerosol Data Set (GADS) of Koepke et al. (1997), as originally implemented in GEOS-Chem by Martin et al. (2003) and updated by Drury et al. (2010). The GADS size distribution compares well with observations over the eastern US in summer (Drury et al., 2010), including for SEAC4RS (Kim et al., 2015). We compute $n(r)$ locally in GEOS-Chem by taking the dry SNA mass concentration, converting from mass to volume with a dry aerosol mass density of 1700 kg m$^{-3}$ (Hess et al., 2016).
1998), applying the aerosol volume to the dry sulfate size distribution in GADS, and then applying the GADS hygroscopic growth factors. We verified that the hygroscopic growth factors from GADS agree within 10 % with those computed locally from ISOR-ROPIA.

Figure 2 shows the mean branching ratios for isoprene oxidation in the Southeast US boundary layer as calculated by GEOS-Chem. 87 % of isoprene reacts with OH, 8 % with ozone, and 5 % with NO₃. Oxidation of isoprene by OH produces ISOPO₂ of which 51 % react with NO (high-NOₓ pathway), 35 % react with HO₂, 8 % isomerize, and 6 % react with other RO₂ radicals.

Glyoxal is an aerosol precursor common to all isoprene + OH pathways in our mechanism with yields of 7 mol% from the ISOPO₂ + NO pathway, 6 mol% from ISOPO₂ + HO₂, 11 mol% from ISOPO₂ + RO₂, and 25 mol% from ISOPO₂ isomerization. For the Southeast US conditions we thus find that 44 % of glyoxal is from the ISOPO₂ + NO pathway, 24 % from ISOPO₂ + HO₂, 8 % from ISOPO₂ + RO₂, and 24 % from ISOPO₂ isomerization.

The mean total yield of isoprene SOA computed in GEOS-Chem for the Southeast US boundary layer is 3.3 %, as shown in Fig. 2. IEPOX contributes 1.9 % and glyoxal 0.9 %. The low-NOₓ pathway involving ISOPO₂ reaction with HO₂ contributes 73 % of the total isoprene SOA yield, mostly from IEPOX, even though this pathway is only 35 % of the fate of ISOPO₂. The high-NOₓ pathway contributes 16 % of isoprene SOA, mostly from glyoxal. MEPOX contribution to isoprene SOA is small (2 %) and consistent with a recent laboratory study that finds low SOA yields from this pathway under humid conditions (Nguyen et al., 2015a). The minor low-NOₓ pathways from ISOPO₂ isomerization and reaction with RO₂ contribute 8 % of isoprene SOA through glyoxal. The remainder of isoprene SOA formation (3 %) is from nighttime oxidation by NO₃.

The dominance of IEPOX and glyoxal as precursors for isoprene SOA was previously reported by McNeill et al. (2012) using a photochemical box model. Both of these precursors are produced photochemically, and both are also removed photochemically in the gas phase by reaction with OH (and photolysis for glyoxal). The mean lifetimes
of IEPOX and glyoxal against gas-phase photochemical loss average 1.6 and 2.3 h respectively for SEAC$^4$RS daytime conditions; mean lifetimes against reactive uptake by aerosol are 31 and 20 h, respectively. For both species, aerosol uptake is a minor sink competing with gas-phase photochemical loss. The model boundary-layer yield of IEPOX SOA from IEPOX is 5%, consistent with average yields from chamber experiments (4–10%) for aerosols with similar acidity to aerosols in the Southeast US (Riedel et al., 2015).

The dominance of gas-phase loss over aerosol uptake for both IEPOX and glyoxal implies that isoprene SOA formation is highly sensitive to their reactive uptake probabilities $\gamma$ and to the aqueous aerosol mass concentration (in both cases, $\gamma$ is small enough that uptake is controlled by bulk aqueous-phase rather than surface reactions). We find under SEAC$^4$RS conditions that $\gamma$ for IEPOX is mainly controlled by aerosol free acidity ($k_{H^+}[H^+]$ in Eq. 2), with little contribution from nucleophile-driven and $\text{HSO}_4^-$-driven channels. This will be discussed below in comparing to SOAS and SEAC$^4$RS observations.

The 3.3% mean yield of isoprene SOA from our mechanism is consistent with the fixed yield of 3% assumed by Kim et al. (2015) in their GEOS-Chem simulation of the SEAC$^4$RS period, including extensive comparisons to OA observations that showed a 40% mean contribution of isoprene to total OA. We conducted a sensitivity simulation using the default isoprene SOA mechanism in GEOS-Chem based on reversible partitioning of semivolatile oxidation products onto pre-existing OA (Pye et al., 2010). The isoprene SOA yield in that simulation was only 1.1%. The observed correlation of OA with HCHO in SEAC$^4$RS supports our higher yield, as shown below.

### 4 Evaluation with observational constraints on isoprene SOA

Isoprene is the largest source of HCHO in the Southeast US (Millet et al., 2006), and we use the observed relationship between OA and HCHO to evaluate the GEOS-Chem isoprene SOA yields. The SEAC$^4$RS aircraft payload included measurements of OA
from an Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS; DeCarlo et al., 2006; Canagaratna et al., 2007) concurrent with HCHO from a laser-induced fluorescence instrument (ISAF; Cazorla et al., 2015). Column HCHO was also measured during SEAC\textsuperscript{4}RS from the OMI satellite instrument (Abad et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015), providing a proxy for isoprene emission (Palmer et al., 2003, 2006).

Figure 3 (left) shows the observed and simulated relationships between OA and HCHO mixing ratios in the boundary layer. There is a strong correlation in the observations and in the model ($R = 0.79$ and $R = 0.82$, respectively). OA simulated with our aqueous-phase isoprene SOA mechanism reproduces the observed slope ($2.8 \pm 0.3 \mu g s^{-3} ppbv^{-1}$, vs. $3.0 \pm 0.4 \mu g s^{-3} ppbv^{-1}$ in the observations). Similarly strong correlations and consistency between model and observations are found with column HCHO measured from OMI (Fig. 3, right).

Also shown in Fig. 3 is a sensitivity simulation with the default GEOS-Chem mechanism based on reversible partitioning with pre-existing organic aerosol (Pye et al., 2010) and producing a 1.1 % mean isoprene SOA yield, as compared to 3.3 % in our simulation with the aqueous-phase mechanism. That sensitivity simulation shows the same OA-HCHO correlation ($R = 0.82$) but underestimates the slope ($2.0 \pm 0.3 \mu g s^{-3} ppbv^{-1}$). The factor of 3 increase in our isoprene SOA yield does not induce an equivalent response in the slope, as isoprene contributes only $\sim 40\%$ of OA in the Southeast US. But the slope is sensitive to the isoprene SOA yield, and the good agreement between our simulation and observations supports our estimate of a mean 3.3 % yield for the Southeast US.

Figure 3 shows an offset between the model and observations illustrated by the regression lines. We overestimate HCHO by 0.4 ppbv on average because we did not apply the 15 % downward correction to MEGAN v2.1 isoprene emissions (Zhu et al., 2015). We also underestimate total OA measured by the AMS in the boundary layer by $1.1 \mu g s^{-3}$ (mean AMS OA is $5.8 \pm 4.3 \mu g s^{-3}$; model OA is $4.7 \pm 4.4 \mu g s^{-3}$). The bias can be explained by our omission of anthropogenic and open fire SOA, found by Kim et al. (2015) to account on average for 18 % of OA in SEAC\textsuperscript{4}RS.
Figure 4 shows time series of the isoprene SOA components IEPOX SOA and C$_5$-LVOC SOA at Centerville, Alabama during SOAS. AMS observations from Hu et al. (2015) and Krechmer et al. (2015) are compared to model values. IEPOX SOA and C$_5$-LVOC SOA are on average 17 and 2% of total AMS OA, respectively (Hu et al., 2015; Krechmer et al., 2015). The model reproduces the observations without bias, supporting the conclusion that IEPOX is the dominant contributor to isoprene SOA in the Southeast US (Fig. 2). Low values on 2–7 July, both in the observations and the model, are due to low temperatures suppressing isoprene emission.

Figure 5 shows the relationships of daily mean IEPOX SOA and sulfate concentrations at Centerville and in the SEAC$^4$RS boundary layer. The same factor analysis method was used to derive IEPOX SOA in SEAC$^4$RS as in SOAS, however the uncertainty is larger for the aircraft observations due to the much wider range of conditions encountered. There is a strong correlation between IEPOX SOA and sulfate, both in observations and the model, with similar slopes. Correlation between IEPOX SOA and sulfate has similarly been observed at numerous Southeast US monitoring sites (Budisulistiorini et al., 2013, 2015; Xu et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2015). Xu et al. (2015) concluded that IEPOX SOA must form by acid-catalyzed nucleophilic addition of sulfate (sulfate channels in Eq. 2) leading to organosulfates. However, we find in our model that the H$^+$-catalyzed channel (\(k_{H^+}[H^+]\) term in Eq. 2) contributes on average 90% of IEPOX SOA formation, and that sulfate channels play only a minor role. Thus the correlation of IEPOX SOA and sulfate is not an indication of organosulfate formation but rather reflects the correlation of sulfate with aqueous aerosol volume and acidity. Liao et al. (2015) similarly observed that aerosol acidity and aerosol volume, rather than aerosol sulfate, modulate formation of IEPOX organosulfates in the Southeast US.

Measurements from the PALMS laser mass spectrometer during SEAC$^4$RS (Liao et al., 2015) show a mean IEPOX organosulfate concentration of 0.13 µg s$^{-3}$, amounting to at most 9% of total IEPOX SOA. The organosulfate should be a marker of the sulfate channels because its hydrolysis is negligibly slow (Hu et al., 2011).
Formation of IEPOX SOA is nearly linear with $k_{\text{het}}$ in Eq. (3) as aqueous aerosol is only a minor sink for IEPOX. IEPOX $\gamma$ is sufficiently small (Table 2) that gas-phase diffusion and mass accommodation are not limiting processes. $k_{\text{aq}}$ in Eq. (2) is dominated by the $k_{\text{H}^+}[\text{H}^+]$ term as discussed above. It follows from combination of Eq. (1), (2), and (3) that IEPOX SOA formation is proportional to $V[\text{H}^+]$, where $V$ is the volume concentration of aqueous aerosol. Increasing sulfate increases both $V$ and $[\text{H}^+]$, explaining the correlation between IEPOX SOA and sulfate.

Correlation between IEPOX SOA and sulfate is also apparent in the spatial distribution of IEPOX SOA, as observed by the SEAC$^4$RS aircraft below 2 km and simulated by GEOS-Chem along the aircraft flight tracks (Fig. 6). The correlation between simulated and observed IEPOX SOA in Fig. 6 is $R = 0.70$. Average (mean) IEPOX SOA is $1.4 \pm 1.4\, \mu g\, m^{-3}$ in the observations and $1.3 \pm 1.2\, \mu g\, m^{-3}$ in the model. The correlation between IEPOX SOA and sulfate is 0.66 in the observations and 0.77 in the model. IEPOX SOA concentrations are highest in the industrial Midwest and Kentucky, and in Louisiana-Mississippi, coincident with the highest sulfate concentrations sampled on the flights. We also see in Fig. 6 frequent observations of very low IEPOX SOA (less than $0.4\, \mu g\, m^{-3}$) that are well captured by the model. These are associated with very low sulfate (less than $1\, \mu g\, m^{-3}$) leading to high pH and low aerosol volume concentration that have a compounding effect on suppressing IEPOX SOA formation.

The mean IEPOX SOA concentration simulated by the model for the SEAC$^4$RS period (background contours in Fig. 6) is far more uniform than IEPOX SOA simulated along the flight tracks, illustrating the importance of day-to-day variations in sulfate in driving IEPOX SOA variability. IEPOX SOA contributed on average 24% of total OA in the SEAC$^4$RS observations, 28% in GEOS-Chem sampled along the flight tracks, and as a regional mean. With IEPOX SOA accounting for 58% of isoprene SOA in the model (Fig. 2), this amounts to a 41–48% contribution of isoprene to total OA. Kim et al. (2015) previously estimated a 40% contribution of isoprene to total OA.
5 Effect of anthropogenic emission reductions

The EPA projects that US anthropogenic emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{2} will decrease respectively by 34 and 48\% from 2013 to 2025 (EPA, 2014). We conducted a GEOS-Chem sensitivity simulation to examine the effect of these changes on isoprene SOA, assuming no other changes and further assuming that the emission decreases are uniform across the US.

Figure 7 shows the individual and combined effects of NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{2} emission reductions on the branching pathways for isoprene oxidation, sulfate mass concentration, aerosol pH, and isoprene SOA in the Southeast US boundary layer in summer. Reducing NO\textsubscript{x} emission by 34\% decreases the mean NO concentration by only 23\%, in part because decreasing OH increases the NO\textsubscript{x} lifetime and in part because decreasing ozone increases the NO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratio. There is no change in HO\textsubscript{2}. We find a 10\% decrease in the high-NO\textsubscript{x} pathway and a 6\% increase in the low-NO\textsubscript{x} pathway involving ISOPO\textsubscript{2} + HO\textsubscript{2}. Aerosol sulfate decreases by 2\% and there is no change in [H\textsuperscript{+}]. The net effect is a 7\% increase in isoprene SOA, as the major individual components IEPOX SOA and glyoxal SOA increase by 17\% and decrease by 8\%, respectively.

A 48\% decrease in SO\textsubscript{2} emissions drives a 36\% reduction in sulfate mass concentration, leading to a decline in aerosol volume (31\%) that reduces uptake of all isoprene SOA precursors. The decrease in aerosol [H\textsuperscript{+}] (26\%) further reduces IEPOX uptake. Isoprene SOA mass concentration decreases by 35\% as IEPOX SOA and glyoxal SOA decrease by 45 and 26\%, respectively. Pye et al. (2013) included uptake of IEPOX to aqueous aerosols in a regional chemical transport model and similarly found that SO\textsubscript{2} emissions are more effective than NO\textsubscript{x} emissions at reducing IEPOX SOA in the Southeast US. Remarkably, we find that reducing SO\textsubscript{2} emissions decreases sulfate and isoprene SOA with similar effectiveness (Fig. 7). With sulfate contributing \sim 30\% of present-day PM\textsubscript{2.5} in the Southeast US and isoprene SOA contributing \sim 25\% (Kim et al., 2015), this represents a factor of 2 co-benefit on PM\textsubscript{2.5} from reducing SO\textsubscript{2} emissions.
Summertime OA and sulfate concentrations in the Southeast declined from 2003 to 2013 at rates of 3.9 % a\(^{-1}\) and 7.4 % a\(^{-1}\), respectively, while wintertime OA showed no significant decrease (Kim et al., 2015). With isoprene accounting for 40 % of OA in summer (Kim et al., 2015), and assuming no trend in other OA components on the basis of the wintertime data, we would infer a rate of isoprene SOA decrease of 9.8 % a\(^{-1}\). The observed trends thus seem to support a similar relative rate of decrease of sulfate and isoprene SOA over the past decade.

6 Conclusions

Standard mechanisms for formation of isoprene secondary organic aerosol (SOA) in chemical transport models assume reversible partitioning of isoprene oxidation products to pre-existing dry OA. This may be appropriate for dry conditions in experimental chambers but not for typical atmospheric conditions where the aerosol is mostly aqueous. Here we developed an aqueous-phase reactive uptake mechanism coupled to a detailed gas-phase isoprene chemistry mechanism to describe the irreversible uptake of water-soluble isoprene oxidation products to aqueous aerosol. We applied this mechanism in the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model to simulate surface (SOAS) and aircraft (SEAC\(^4\)RS) observations over the Southeast US in summer 2013.

Our mechanism includes different channels for isoprene SOA formation by the high-NO\(_x\) pathway, when the isoprene peroxy radicals (ISOPO\(_2\)) react with NO, and in the low-NO\(_x\) pathway where they react mostly with HO\(_2\). The main SOA precursors are found to be isoprene epoxide (IEPOX) in the low-NO\(_x\) pathway and glyoxal in the high- and low-NO\(_x\) pathways. Both of these precursors have dominant gas-phase photochemical sinks, and so their uptake by aqueous aerosol is nearly proportional to the reactive uptake coefficient \(\gamma\) and to the aqueous aerosol mass concentration. The \(\gamma\) for IEPOX is mostly determined by the rate of H\(^+\)-catalyzed ring opening in the aqueous phase.
Application of our mechanism to the Southeast US indicates a mean isoprene SOA yield of 3.3% on a mass basis. By contrast, a conventional mechanism based on reversible uptake of semivolatile isoprene oxidation products yields only 1.1%. Simulation of the observed relationship of OA with formaldehyde (HCHO) provides support for our higher yield. We find that the low-NO$_x$ pathway is 5 times more efficient than the high-NO$_x$ pathway for isoprene SOA production. Under Southeast US conditions, IEPOX and glyoxal account respectively for 58 and 28% of isoprene SOA.

Our model simulates well the observations and variability of IEPOX SOA at the surface and from aircraft. The observations show a strong correlation with sulfate that we reproduce in the model. This correlation was previously attributed to acid-catalyzed nucleophilic addition of sulfate as mechanism for IEPOX SOA formation but we find in the model that this pathway is minor. We find instead that the correlation of IEPOX SOA with sulfate is due to the effect of sulfate on aerosol pH and volume concentration, increasing IEPOX uptake by the H$^+$-catalyzed ring-opening mechanism. Low concentrations of sulfate are associated with very low IEPOX SOA, both in the observations and the model, and we attribute this to the compounding effects of low sulfate on aerosol [H$^+$] and on aerosol volume.

The US EPA has projected that US NO$_x$ and SO$_2$ emissions will decrease by 34 and 48% respectively from 2013 to 2025. We find in our model that the NO$_x$ reduction will increase isoprene SOA by 7%, reflecting greater importance of the low-NO$_x$ pathway. The SO$_2$ reduction will decrease isoprene SOA by 35%, due to decreases in both aerosol [H$^+$] and volume concentration. The combined effect of these two changes is to decrease isoprene SOA by 32%, corresponding to a decrease in the isoprene SOA mass yield from 3.3 to 2.3%. Decreasing SO$_2$ emissions by 48% has similar relative effects on sulfate (36%) and isoprene SOA (35%). Considering that sulfate presently accounts for about 30% of PM$_{2.5}$ in the Southeast US in summer, while isoprene SOA contributes 25%, we conclude that decreasing isoprene SOA represents a factor of 2 co-benefit when reducing SO$_2$ emissions.
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### Table 1. Constants for reactive uptake of isoprene SOA precursors\(^a\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species(^b)</th>
<th>(H^*) [M atm(^{-1})]</th>
<th>(k_{H^*}) [M(^{-1}) s(^{-1})]</th>
<th>(k_{\text{nuc}}) [M(^{-2}) s(^{-1})]</th>
<th>(k_{\text{HSO}_4}) [M(^{-1}) s(^{-1})]</th>
<th>(k_{\text{aq}}) [s(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IEPOX</td>
<td>(3.3 \times 10^{7}),(^c)</td>
<td>(3.6 \times 10^{-2}),(^d)</td>
<td>(2.0 \times 10^{-4}),(^e)</td>
<td>(7.3 \times 10^{-4}),(^e)</td>
<td>Eq. (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISOPN(_{\beta})</td>
<td>(3.3 \times 10^{5}),(^g)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1.6 \times 10^{-5}),(^h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISOPN(_{\delta})</td>
<td>(3.3 \times 10^{5}),(^g)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(6.8 \times 10^{-3}),(^h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHDN</td>
<td>(3.3 \times 10^{5}),(^g)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(6.8 \times 10^{-3}),(^i)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Effective Henry’s law constants \(H^*\) and aqueous-phase rate constants used to calculate reactive uptake probabilities \(\gamma\) for isoprene SOA precursors IEPOX, ISOPN\(_{\beta}\), ISOPN\(_{\delta}\), and DHDN following Eqs. (1) and (2). Calculation of \(\gamma\) for other isoprene SOA precursors in Fig. 2 is described in the text.

\(^b\) See Fig. 2 for definition of acronyms.

\(^c\) Nguyen et al. (2014).

\(^d\) Cole–Filipiak et al. (2010).

\(^e\) Eddingsaas et al. (2010).

\(^f\) ISOPN species formed from the beta and delta isoprene oxidation channels (Paulot et al., 2009a) are treated separately in GEOS-Chem.

\(^g\) By analogy with 4-nitrooxy-3-methyl-2-butanol (Rollins et al., 2009).

\(^h\) Jacobs et al. (2014).

\(^i\) Assumed same as for ISOPN\(_{\delta}\) (Hu et al., 2011).
Table 2. Mean reactive uptake probabilities $\gamma$ of isoprene SOA precursors$^a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species$^b$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>pH dependence$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH &gt; 3</td>
<td>2 &lt; pH &lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEPOX</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$8.6 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEPOX</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISOPN$_{\beta}$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISOPN$_{\delta}$</td>
<td>$5.2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHDN</td>
<td>$6.5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLYX</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^{-3,d}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGLY</td>
<td>$4.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_5$-LVOC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-ISOPN</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Mean values computed in GEOS-Chem for the Southeast US in summer as sampled along the boundary-layer (<2 km) SEAC4RS aircraft tracks and applied to aqueous aerosol. The reactive uptake probability $\gamma$ is defined as the probability that a gas molecule colliding with an aqueous aerosol particle will be taken up and react in the aqueous phase to form non-volatile products.

$^b$ See Fig. 2 for definition of acronyms.

$^c$ $\gamma$ for IEPOX and MEPOX are continuous functions of pH (Eq. 2). Values shown here are averages for different pH ranges sampled along the SEAC4RS flight tracks. Aqueous aerosol pH is calculated locally in GEOS-Chem using the ISORROPIA thermodynamic model (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007).

$^d$ Daytime value. Nighttime value is $5 \times 10^{-6}$. 
Figure 1. Yields of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) from isoprene oxidation as reported by chamber studies in the literature and plotted as a function of the initial NO concentration and relative humidity (RH). Yields are defined as the mass of SOA produced per unit mass of isoprene oxidized. For studies with no detectable NO we plot the NO concentration as half the reported instrument detection limit, and stagger points as needed for clarity. Data are colored by relative humidity (RH). The thick grey line divides the low-NO$_x$ and high-NO$_x$ pathways as determined by the fate of the ISOPO$_2$ radical (HO$_2$ dominant for the low-NO$_x$ pathway, NO dominant for the high-NO$_x$ pathway). The transition between the two pathways occurs at a higher NO concentration than in the atmosphere because HO$_2$ concentrations in the chambers are usually much higher. Also shown as dashed line is the mean atmospheric yield of 3.3% for the Southeast US determined in our study.
Figure 2. Gas-phase isoprene oxidation cascade in GEOS-Chem leading to secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation by irreversible aqueous-phase chemistry. Only selected species relevant to SOA formation are shown. Immediate aerosol precursors are indicated by dashed boxes. Branching ratios and SOA yields (aerosol mass produced per unit mass isoprene reacted) are mean values from our GEOS-Chem simulation for the Southeast US boundary layer in summer. The total SOA yield from isoprene oxidation is 3.3 % and the values shown below the dashed boxes indicate the contributions from the different immediate precursors adding up to 3.3 %. Contributions of high- and low-NOx isoprene oxidation pathways to glyoxal are indicated.
Figure 3. Relationship of organic aerosol (OA) and formaldehyde (HCHO) concentrations over the Southeast US in summer. The figure shows scatterplots of SEAC4RS aircraft observations of OA mixing ratios in the boundary layer (<2 km) vs. HCHO mixing ratios measured from the aircraft (left), and column HCHO (Ω_{HCHO}) retrieved from OMI satellite observations (right). Individual points are data from individual SEAC4RS flight days (8 August–10 September), averaged on the GEOS-Chem grid. OMI data are for SEAC4RS flight days and coincident with the flight tracks. GEOS-Chem is sampled for the corresponding locations and times. Results from our simulation with aqueous-phase isoprene SOA chemistry are shown in red, and results from a simulation with the Pye et al. (2010) semivolatile reversible partitioning scheme are shown in blue. Aerosol concentrations are per m^3 at standard conditions of temperature and pressure (STP: 273 K; 1 atm), denoted sm^{-3}. Reduced major axis (RMA) regressions are also shown with regression parameters and Pearson’s correlation coefficients given inset. 1σ standard deviations on the regression slopes are obtained with jackknife resampling.
Figure 4. Time series of the concentrations of isoprene SOA components at the SOAS site in Centreville, Alabama (32.94° N; 87.18° W) in June–July 2013: measured (black) and modeled (red) IEPOX SOA (top) and C$_5$-LVOC SOA (bottom) mass concentrations. Means and 1σ standard deviations are given for the observations and the model.
Figure 5. Relationship of IEPOX SOA and sulfate mass concentrations over the Southeast US in summer. Observed (black) and simulated (red) data are for each campaign day during SOAS (left) and in the boundary layer (< 2 km) during SEAC4RS for individual flight days averaged on the GEOS-Chem grid (right). RMA regression slopes and Pearson’s correlation coefficients are shown. 1σ standard deviations on the regression slopes are obtained with jackknife resampling.
Figure 6. Spatial distributions of IEPOX SOA and sulfate concentrations in the boundary layer (<2 km) over the Southeast US during SEAC4RS (August–September 2013). Aircraft AMS observations of IEPOX SOA (top left) and sulfate (bottom left) are compared to model values sampled at the time and location of the aircraft observations (individual points) and averaged during the SEAC4RS period (background contours). Data are on a logarithmic scale.
Figure 7. Effect of projected 2013–2025 reductions in US anthropogenic emissions on the formation of isoprene secondary organic aerosol (SOA). Emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{2} are projected to decrease by 34 and 48 \%, respectively. Panels show the resulting percentage changes in the branching of ISOPO\textsubscript{2} between the NO and HO\textsubscript{2} oxidation channels, sulfate mass concentration in \( \mu g m^{-3} \), aerosol pH, and isoprene SOA mass concentration in \( \mu g m^{-3} \). Values are summer means for the Southeast US boundary layer.