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Abstract

Measurements of the radical species OH and HO$_2$ were made using the Fluorescence Assay by Gas Expansion (FAGE) technique during a series of nighttime and daytime flights over the UK in summer 2010 and winter 2011. OH was not detected above the instrument’s 1σ limit of detection during any of the nighttime flights or during the winter daytime flights, placing upper limits on [OH] of 1.8 × 10$^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ and 6.4 × 10$^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ for the summer and winter flights, respectively. HO$_2$ reached a maximum concentration of 3.2 × 10$^8$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ (13.6 pptv) during a nighttime flight on 20 July 2010, when the highest concentrations of NO$_3$ and O$_3$ were also recorded. Analysis of the rates of reaction of OH, O$_3$, and the NO$_3$ radical with measured alkenes indicates that the summer nighttime troposphere can be as important for the processing of VOCs as the winter daytime troposphere. Analysis of the instantaneous rate of production of HO$_2$ from the reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes has shown that, on average, reactions of NO$_3$ dominated nighttime production of HO$_2$ during summer, and reactions of O$_3$ dominated nighttime HO$_2$ production during winter.

1 Introduction

Trace gases emitted into the atmosphere, including pollutants and greenhouse gases, are removed primarily by oxidation. The hydroxyl radical, OH, is the most important oxidising species in the daytime troposphere, reacting with numerous species including volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO, SO$_2$, and long-lived anthropogenic halogenated compounds. The oxidising capacity of the atmosphere, that is its ability to remove trace gases, is determined by the concentration of OH. During the day, primary production of OH (i.e. initialisation of the radical chain) occurs predominantly via photolysis of ozone at $\lambda \leq 340$ nm followed by reaction of the resulting electronically excited oxygen atom, O($^1$D), with water vapour. The OH-initiated oxidation of VOCs leads to the production of the hydroperoxy radical, HO$_2$, and together the two radicals form the
HO\textsubscript{x} family. A key reaction in the conversion of OH to HO\textsubscript{2} is the reaction with CO:
\[ \text{OH} + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{CO}_2 \] (R1)
\[ \text{H} + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{M} \] (R2)

Reaction of OH with VOCs results in the production of organic peroxy radicals, RO\textsubscript{2}:
\[ \text{OH} + \text{RH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{R} \] (R3)
\[ \text{R} + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{RO}_2 + \text{M} \] (R4)

Reactions of HO\textsubscript{2} and RO\textsubscript{2} with NO propagate the HO\textsubscript{x} radical chain, regenerating OH:
\[ \text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{NO}_2 \] (R5)
\[ \text{RO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{R'}\text{O} + \text{HO}_2 \] (R6)
\[ \text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \] (R7)

The production of OH through photolysis of ozone (and other species at longer wavelengths) is limited to daylight hours, and oxidation of trace gases at night proceeds through alternative mechanisms. Two mechanisms are known to initiate HO\textsubscript{x} radical chemistry and oxidation chemistry at night: ozonolysis of alkenes, and reactions of the nitrate radical, NO\textsubscript{3}, with alkenes.

Reactions of ozone with alkenes occur via addition of ozone to the double bond to form a five-membered ring called a primary ozonide. The primary ozonide decomposes to form one of two possible pairs of products, each pair consisting of a carbonyl compound and a vibrationally- and rotationally-excited carbonyl oxide termed a Criegee intermediate (CI). The simplest gas-phase CI, CH\textsubscript{2}OO, and the alkyl-substituted CH\textsubscript{3}CHOO, have been observed directly by photoionisation mass spectrometry (Taatjes et al., 2008, 2012, 2013; Beames et al., 2012, 2013; Welz et al., 2012; Stone et al., 2014a), by infrared absorption spectroscopy (Su et al., 2013), and by microwave spectroscopy (Nakajima and Endo, 2013, 2014). Excited CIs may be stabilised by collision with surrounding molecules (Donahue et al., 2011; Drozd and Donahue, 2011), or may undergo isomerisation or decomposition to yield products including OH, H, and subsequently HO\textsubscript{2} (Paulson and Orlando, 1996; Kroll et al., 2001a, b, 2000).
Stabilised Cls (SCIs) are known to react with a variety of compounds, including H₂O, NO₂, SO₂, and a variety of organic compounds (e.g. Mauldin III et al., 2012; Taatjes et al., 2012, 2013, 2014; Ouyang et al., 2013; Stone et al., 2014a). There is experimental evidence for the formation of OH from thermal decomposition of SCIs, on a much longer timescale than the decomposition or isomerisation of excited Cls (Kroll et al., 2001a, b). The OH produced through these ozonolysis mechanisms will proceed to oxidise other VOC species. Criegee intermediates formed in the ozonolysis of alkenes are known to be an important source of HOₓ during the day and at night (Paulson and Orlando, 1996; Donahue et al., 1998; Kanaya et al., 1999; Salisbury et al., 2001; Geyer et al., 2003; Ren et al., 2003a, 2006; Heard et al., 2004; Harrison et al., 2006; Sommariva et al., 2007). The gas-phase ozonolysis of unsaturated VOCs, and in particular the role and subsequent chemistry of the Criegee intermediate, have been reviewed in detail by Johnson and Marston (2008), Donahue et al. (2011), Vereecken and Francisco (2012), and Taatjes et al. (2014).

Another key nighttime oxidant, NO₃, is formed primarily by reaction of NO₂ with ozone. NO₃ reacts with a range of species in the troposphere, and its reaction with alkenes is known to be an important nighttime oxidation mechanism (Salisbury et al., 2001; Geyer et al., 2003; Sommariva et al., 2007; Emmerson and Carslaw, 2009; Brown et al., 2011). The reaction between NO₃ and an alkene proceeds primarily via addition to a double bond, to form a nitrooxyalkyl radical, R−ONO₂. At atmospheric pressure, the main fate of the nitrooxyalkyl radical is reaction with O₂ (Berndt and Böge, 1994) to produce a nitrooxyalkyl peroxy radical, O₂−R−ONO₂. The nitrooxyalkyl peroxy radical can react with NO₂, HO₂, RO₂, NO and NO₃, of which the latter two reactions lead to formation of the nitrooxyalkoxy radical, O−R−ONO₂. The nitrooxyalkoxy radical can undergo isomerisation, decomposition, or reaction with O₂. Reaction with O₂, analogous to the reaction of organic alkoxy radicals, yields HO₂:

\[ O−R−ONO₂ + O₂ → O−R′−ONO₂ + HO₂ \]  \hspace{1cm} (R8)
Thus, nighttime oxidation of hydrocarbons by NO$_3$ leads to production of HO$_2$. Reaction of HO$_2$ with NO (Reaction R7), O$_3$ and NO$_3$ can generate OH:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_3 & \rightarrow \text{OH} + 2\text{O}_2 \\
\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}_3 & \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2
\end{align*}
\]

(R9) (R10)

Atkinson and Arey (2003) published a detailed review of tropospheric degradation of VOCs, including reaction with O$_3$ and NO$_3$. A comprehensive review of nighttime radical chemistry is given by Brown and Stutz (2012).

The oxidising capacity of the nocturnal troposphere is thought to be controlled by the reactions described above, with a limited role for OH and HO$_2$ due to the absence of their photolytic sources. Oxidation of VOCs at night can have significant effects on daytime air quality and tropospheric ozone production (Brown et al., 2004, 2006, 2011; Wong and Stutz, 2010). Several field measurement campaigns have involved nighttime measurements of OH, HO$_2$, RO$_2$, and NO$_3$ (see Table 1), and have highlighted the importance of the vertical profile of nighttime radical concentrations and chemistry (Geyer and Stutz, 2004a, b; Stutz et al., 2004; Volkamer et al., 2010), but prior to the current work there had been no aircraft-based studies of nighttime chemistry involving measurements of both NO$_3$ and HO$_2$, to enable vertical profiling of the lower atmosphere and full evaluation of the nocturnal radical budget. Table 1 gives details of some previous measurements and modelling of nighttime HO$_x$ concentrations in polluted or semi-polluted environments. Highlights from these studies are discussed here, with particular attention paid to those involving measurements of HO$_x$, NO$_3$, and O$_3$, and in which the contributions made by O$_3$ and NO$_3$ to nighttime radical chemistry have been considered.

Geyer et al. (2003) report radical measurements and modelling from the 1998 Berliner Ozone Experiment (BERLIOZ). Measurements of NO$_3$, RO$_2$, HO$_2$ and OH were made by matrix isolation electron spin resonance (MIESR), chemical amplification (CA), and laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) spectroscopy at a site approximately 50 km from Berlin. HO$_2$ was detected at night with concentrations frequently...
as high as $5 \times 10^7$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ (approximately 2 pptv), and an average concentration of $1 \times 10^8$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ over one hour (02:00 to 03:00) of nocturnal measurements during an intensive period of the study (Holland et al., 2003). OH was usually below the limit of detection of the LIF instrument ($3.5 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$). Modelling revealed that nitrate radical reactions with terpenes were responsible for producing 53 % of HO$_2$ and 36 % of OH radicals in the night, with ozonolysis accounting for production of the remaining 47 % of HO$_2$ and 64 % of OH radicals. A positive linear correlation between RO$_2$ and NO$_3$ was observed and was reproduced by the model.

Reactions of O$_3$ with alkenes were found to be responsible for the majority of formation of OH during the winter PUMA (Pollution of the Urban Midlands Atmosphere) campaign (a low photolysis urban environment) (Heard et al., 2004; Emmerson et al., 2005; Harrison et al., 2006). Measurements of OH, HO$_2$ and RO$_2$ were unavailable at night, but model-predicted values of these radicals were used to calculate that 90 % of nighttime initiation via HO$_2$ was from O$_3$ reactions. Without measurements of NO$_3$ during the campaign, there was no estimate of its contribution to radical initiation.

Modelling results from the MCMA-2003 (Mexico City) field campaign (Volkamer et al., 2010) indicate that nighttime radical production at roof-top level (approximately 16 m above the ground) was dominated by ozonolysis of alkenes, and that reactions of NO$_3$ with alkenes played only a minor role. The measurement site was located in a polluted urban environment, with high levels of NO, NO$_2$ and O$_3$. NO$_3$ was observed at a maximum concentration of 50 pptv during the night at a mean height above the ground of 70 m. Roof-top level concentrations of NO$_3$ were estimated using a linear scaling factor calculated from the observed O$_3$ vertical gradient, and were found to be, on average, 3 times lower than the concentrations measured at 70 m. This predicted vertical gradient accounts for the relative unimportance of NO$_3$ reactions in radical initiation at roof-top level. Propagation of RO$_2$ radicals to HO$_2$ and OH, by reaction with NO$_3$, was found to be negligible.

The 2006 Texas Air Quality Study (TexAQS) involved a series of nighttime flights onboard the NOAA P-3 aircraft over Houston, Texas, and along the Gulf Coast (Brown
et al., 2011). Loss rates and budgets of NO$_3$ and highly reactive VOCs were calculated, but there were no measurements of OH, HO$_2$ and RO$_2$ during the flights. Budgets for NO$_3$ show that it was lost primarily through reactions with unsaturated VOCs, but the contribution to NO$_3$ loss through reaction with peroxy radicals was uncertain because of the lack of direct measurements of RO$_2$ during the flights. NO$_3$ dominated VOC oxidation, being 3 to 5 times more important than O$_3$.

In summary, NO$_3$ and O$_3$ have both been found to dominate radical initiation in the nighttime troposphere, and in some situations the two mechanisms were found to be equally important. The relative importance of O$_3$- and NO$_3$-initiated oxidation depends on the availability of NO$_3$, which is determined by the amount of NO$_x$ present in the atmosphere and the ratio of NO to NO$_2$, and the concentration and species distribution of VOCs (Bey et al., 2001; Geyer et al., 2003). A modelling study by Bey et al. (2001) suggests that nocturnal radical initiation is driven by alkene ozonolysis in urban environments or in environments with low NO$_x$ concentrations, while both O$_3$ and NO$_3$ contribute to radical initiation in rural environments with moderate NO$_x$ levels. It is expected that NO$_3$ dominates nocturnal radical initiation in air masses containing sufficient NO$_2$ and O$_3$ for NO$_3$ production while being deprived of NO (e.g. air masses downwind of urban areas). Geyer and Stutz (2004b) have found that the effects of suppressed mixing in the nocturnal boundary layer can also control whether NO$_3$ or O$_3$ dominates nighttime radical chemistry.

In this paper we report airborne measurements of OH and HO$_2$ made during the RONOCO (ROle of Nighttime chemistry in controlling the Oxidising Capacity of the atmosphere) and SeptEx (September Experiment) projects in 2010 and 2011. The rates of reaction between O$_3$, NO$_3$, and OH with the alkenes measured during the flights are investigated. Analysis of radical production from the nighttime reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes is also given. Comparisons are made between the daytime and nighttime chemistry studied, and between the summer and winter measurement periods. Details and results of a box modelling study, and comparison to the observations, are given by Stone et al. (2014b).
2 Details of the RONOCO and SeptEx fieldwork

RONOCO is a NERC-funded consortium project aimed at improving our understanding of the mechanisms and impact of nocturnal oxidation chemistry over the UK. The RONOCO fieldwork consisted of two measurement campaigns, in July 2010 and January 2011. An additional fieldwork period, SeptEx, was conducted in September 2010. The RONOCO and SeptEx flights were conducted onboard the BAe-146 research aircraft operated by the Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements (FAAM). Both field measurement campaigns were based at East Midlands Airport ($52.8^\circ$ N, $1.3^\circ$ W) in the UK. During RONOCO the majority of the flying took place at night, with occasional flights beginning or ending in daylight hours to study chemical behaviour at dusk and dawn. Flights during SeptEx were mainly during the day, providing a useful comparison to the nocturnal chemistry.

Flights were conducted between altitudes of 50 and 6400 m, above the UK and the North Sea. Figure 1 shows the flight tracks during the summer, SeptEx, and winter measurements coloured by altitude. Measurements of OH and HO$_2$ were made using the University of Leeds aircraft-based Fluorescence Assay by Gas Expansion (FAGE) instrument. A suite of supporting measurements, including CO, O$_3$, NO, H$_2$O, VOCs, NO$_3$, and HCHO, were made during the flights and have been used in the current work. Table 2 summarises the techniques used to measure these species.

Air mass histories for each flight have been calculated using the UK Met Office Numerical Atmospheric-dispersion Modelling Environment (NAME). NAME is a three-dimensional Lagrangian particle dispersion model (Jones et al., 2007) which is run here using the UK Meteorological Office’s Unified Model meteorological fields. Model “particles”, restricted to a 300 m deep layer from the surface, were released along the flight path and were tracked backwards through the modelled atmosphere. Model particle densities were integrated over 24 h periods, beginning at 24, 48, 72, and 96 h before each flight. The resulting “footprint” maps show the regions where the measured air has been in contact with the surface over the 4 days preceding a flight. An example is shown...
in Fig. 2, which shows model particle densities integrated over the 24 h period beginning 48 h prior to flight B535. The majority of the summer flights were characterised by air masses originating from the west and south west of the UK, having Atlantic or continental European influences. The SeptEx flights were predominantly influenced by air masses from the north-east, east, and south-east of the UK, with northern European influences. The winter flights were mainly characterised by air masses arriving from the west of the UK, bringing Atlantic influences.

Table 3 gives mean and maximum mixing ratios of CO, O₃, NO, and NO₂ measured during RONOCO and SeptEx. The mean mixing ratios of NO measured during the summer RONOCO flights are much lower than ground-based nighttime measurements (e.g. 1.0 ppbv during TORCH (Emmerson and Carslaw, 2009), 0–20 ppbv during PMTACS-NY, Ren et al., 2006), but are comparable with previous airborne nighttime measurements (e.g. < 30 pptv during TexAQS, Brown et al., 2011). Mean values of NO up to 14 pptv were reported by Salisbury et al. (2001) for semi-polluted air masses sampled at Mace Head. These comparisons indicate that the RONOCO and SeptEx flights enabled sampling of air masses generally removed from the influence of NO in fresh surface emissions. Table 3 also highlights the unusual chemical conditions encountered during flight B537 on 20 July 2010, discussed further in Sect. 4.1.

3 Experimental

3.1 The Leeds FAGE aircraft instrument

The University of Leeds Aircraft FAGE instrument has been described in detail by Commane et al. (2010). A brief description is given here. The instrument, which was designed specifically for use onboard the FAAM BAe-146 research aircraft (Floquet, 2006), is housed in two double-width 19 inch aircraft racks, with the inlet, detection cells, and pump set being separate to the two racks. Ambient air is sampled through
a 0.7 mm diameter “pinhole” into a cylindrical inlet (length = 50 cm, diameter = 5 cm) which extends through a window blank on the starboard side of the aircraft.

Downstream of the inlet are two low pressure fluorescence cells positioned in series, the first for detection of OH and the second for detection of HO$_2$. During the RONOCO and SeptEx flights the pressure inside the cells ranged from 1.9 Torr at ground level to 1.2 Torr at 6 km.

Laser light at $\lambda \sim 308$ nm is generated by a diode-pumped Nd:YAG-pumped tunable Ti:Sapphire laser (Photonics Industries DS-532-10 and TU-UV-308nm) and delivered to the fluorescence cells via optical fibres, on an axis perpendicular to the gas flow. A small fraction of the Ti:Sapphire second harmonic ($\lambda = 462$ nm) is directed to the probe of a wavemeter to enable measurement of the laser wavelength to within 0.001 nm. A UV photodiode is positioned opposite the laser input arm on each fluorescence cell to measure laser power.

The sampled air forms a supersonic gas expansion beam in which the rate of collision between OH radicals and ambient air molecules is reduced. The OH fluorescence lifetime is therefore extended to several hundred nanoseconds, significantly longer than the laser pulse, so that the measured signal can be temporally discriminated from laser scattered light. OH is excited from its ground state, $X^2\Pi_i(v'' = 0)$, to its first electronically excited state, $A^2\Sigma^+ (v' = 0)$, at $\lambda \sim 308$ nm. The resulting on-resonance fluorescence is detected by a UV-sensitive channel photomultiplier tube on an axis perpendicular to both the gas flow and the laser light. HO$_2$ is detected by titration with an excess of NO (Reaction R7), the resulting OH being detected as described.

The FAGE instrument was calibrated prior to and following each field measurement period, using a well-established method (Edwards et al., 2003; Faloona et al., 2004; Commane et al., 2010). Light at $\lambda = 184.9$ nm from a mercury pen-ray lamp photolyses water vapour in a flow of synthetic air inside an aluminium flow tube, generating OH and HO$_2$ at known concentrations. The aircraft FAGE instrument’s limit of detection (LOD) for OH and HO$_2$ is determined by the instrument’s sensitivity and the standard deviation of the background signal. During the RONOCO and SeptEx fieldwork the 1$\sigma$ LOD for
a 5 min averaging period ranged between 0.64 and $1.8 \times 10^6 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$ for OH and between 5.9 and $6.9 \times 10^5 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$ for HO$_2$.

### 3.2 RO$_2$-based interference in FAGE measurements of HO$_2$

It has recently been shown that reaction of alkene-derived $\beta$-hydroxyalkyl peroxy radicals, RO$_2$, with NO inside the HO$_2$ detection cell, can lead to interference in FAGE HO$_2$ measurements (Fuchs et al., 2011; Whalley et al., 2013). The magnitude of the interference depends on the parent alkene, the residence time and mean temperature inside the cell, and the amount of NO injected. The interference therefore depends on the chemical environment and differs between FAGE instruments. In view of this, the University of Leeds ground-based and aircraft FAGE instruments have been tested for RO$_2$ interference. A thorough description of the ground-based experimental method and results, and the results of a modelling study, are given by Whalley et al. (2013). The strongest interference in the aircraft instrument measurements was observed for ethene-derived RO$_2$, amounting to an increase of $39.7 \pm 4.8\%$ in the observed HO$_2$ signal, with a cell pressure of 1.8 Torr, an estimated detection cell temperature of 255 K (obtained from rotational excitation spectra performed previously), and $[\text{NO}]_{\text{cell}} = 10^{14} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$.

Stone et al. (2014b) have applied the results of the ethene-derived RO$_2$ interference testing in a modelling study to assess the effect of the interference on the HO$_2$ measurements made during the RONOCO and SeptEx campaigns. A box model using a detailed Master Chemical Mechanism scheme was used to calculate a total potential interference in the RONOCO HO$_2$ measurements. The model was constrained to the conditions in the detection cell (1.8 Torr, 255 K, $[\text{NO}] \sim 10^{14} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$). Equal concentrations of HO$_2$ and $\sum$RO$_2$ (sum of all peroxo radicals in the MCM generated from the parent hydrocarbon) were used to initialise the model. The model was run until the modelled interference (i.e. concentration of OH produced) from ethene-derived RO$_2$ radicals matched the interference measured in the interference experiments described.
above (~ 40%). An interference factor, \( f \), was calculated for each \( \text{RO}_2 \) in the MCM as follows:

\[
f = \frac{[\text{OH}]_{\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2} - [\text{OH}]_{\text{HO}_2}}{[\text{OH}]_{\text{HO}_2}}
\]  

(1)

where \([\text{OH}]_{\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2}\) and \([\text{OH}]_{\text{HO}_2}\) are the modelled concentrations of OH produced from the reactions of \( \text{RO}_2 \) and \( \text{HO}_2 \), and the concentration from \( \text{HO}_2 \) alone, respectively. The greatest interference was calculated to come from isoprene-derived peroxy radicals, followed by aromatic compounds and \( \text{C}_2 \) to \( \text{C}_5 \) alkenes. The smallest modelled interference is from the \( \text{C}_1 \) to \( \text{C}_3 \) alkanes. A modelled value of \( \text{HO}_2 \) including the total potential interference, \([\text{HO}_2]^*\), was calculated using:

\[
[\text{HO}_2]^* = [\text{HO}_2]_{\text{mod}} + f[\text{RO}_2]_{\text{mod}}
\]  

(2)

Direct comparison between modelled values of \([\text{HO}_2]^*\) and the FAGE-measured values of \([\text{HO}_2]\) was therefore made possible. The model-predicted interference during the RONOCO campaign can be described by \([\text{HO}_2]^* = 1.15[\text{HO}_2] + 2 \times 10^5 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}\). The average model-predicted interference in the \( \text{HO}_2 \) measurements is 14%. The \( \text{HO}_2 \) measurements made during RONOCO and SeptEx were not adjusted.

The magnitude of the \( \text{RO}_2 \) interference can be reduced by reducing the concentration of NO in the detection cell. This also reduces the instrument sensitivity to \( \text{HO}_2 \). Since conversion of \( \text{RO}_2 \) to OH requires at least two NO molecules, while conversion of \( \text{HO}_2 \) requires only one molecule, the ratio of \( \text{HO}_2 \) signal to \( \text{RO}_2 \) signal can be made favourable by reducing \([\text{NO}]\) (Whalley et al., 2013). This effect has been investigated for the ground-based instrument, and will be investigated for the aircraft instrument prior to future \( \text{HO}_x \) measurement campaigns. An overview of the laboratory and computational studies of the interference in different FAGE instruments is given in a recent review by Stone et al. (2012).
3.3 BBCEAS measurements of NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$

NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$ were measured by the University of Cambridge broadband cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy (BBCEAS) instrument. The instrument was designed and built specifically for the RONOCO project and is described in detail in Kennedy et al. (2011). A brief description is given here.

The instrument consists of three 94 cm long high finesse optical cavities formed by pairs of highly reflecting mirrors. The cavities are irradiated by incoherent broadband continuous wave light sources. Two of the cavities, for the detection of N$_2$O$_5$ and NO$_3$, are irradiated by red light emitting diodes (LEDs) centred at 660 nm. The third cavity, for the detection of NO$_2$, is irradiated by a blue LED centred at 460 nm. The light from the LEDs is collimated using optical fibres and a focusing lens at the input of each cavity. A spectrometer, consisting of a spectrograph and charge couple device (CCD), is positioned at the end of each cavity to measure the wavelength-dependent intensity of transmitted light.

Ambient air is sampled through a rear-facing inlet on the aircraft fuselage, positioned approximately 4 m from the aircraft nose and 10 cm from the aircraft body. The air from the inlet is divided into two flows. The flow directed to the N$_2$O$_5$ cavity is heated to 120$^\circ$C to ensure near complete (> 99.6%) thermal dissociation of N$_2$O$_5$ to NO$_2$ and NO$_3$. The cavity itself is heated to 80$^\circ$C and is used to measure the sum of the concentrations of ambient NO$_3$ plus NO$_3$ from thermal decomposition of N$_2$O$_5$. The second flow is unheated and is directed first through the NO$_3$ cavity and then through the NO$_2$ cavity. Background spectra are recorded at half hour intervals during flights by halting the flow of ambient air and purging the cavities with nitrogen.

NO$_3$ is detected by its strong $B^2E'$ $X^2A_2'$ electronic transition centred at 662 nm. The concentration of NO$_3$ is determined by separating the finely structured NO$_3$ absorption features from the broad features caused by Rayleigh and Mie scattering using a fitting technique analogous to that employed in differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS). A strong water vapour absorption feature that spectrally overlaps with NO$_3$
absorption around 662 nm is simulated for the pressure and temperature measured in the cavity and is removed from the measured absorption spectrum. The concentration of N$_2$O$_5$ is determined by subtracting the concentration of ambient NO$_3$ measured in the unheated cavity from the sum of the concentrations of ambient and dissociated NO$_3$ measured in the heated cavity.

Contributions to uncertainties in ambient measurements of NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$, including wall losses of NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$, temperature- and pressure-dependent absorption cross sections of NO$_3$ and H$_2$O, and the length of the cavity occupied by the sample, have been thoroughly investigated in laboratory experiments or addressed in the data analysis routine. In addition, wall losses of NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$ were determined before and after each flight to account for changes in the surface properties of the inlet and detection cell walls. The total uncertainty in the measured concentration of ambient NO$_3$ was 11%. The uncertainty in the measured concentration of ambient N$_2$O$_5$ is determined for each individual ambient measurement, being dependent on the NO$_3$/N$_2$O$_5$ ratio, and was on the order of 15%. During RONOCO flights the 1σ limits of detection for NO$_3$ and the sum of NO$_3$ + N$_2$O$_5$ were 1.1 and 2.4 pptv, respectively, for a 1 s integration time.

4 Overview of OH and HO$_2$ measurements

FAGE measurements were made on 16 flights during RONOCO and 9 flights during SeptEx. There was insufficient laser power during flights B534 to B536 in the summer campaign to measure both OH and HO$_2$ by dividing the laser light between the two cells. OH was therefore not measured during these flights. Low laser power throughout the summer fieldwork caused relatively high fluctuations in laser power and therefore higher background variability. This resulted in higher limits of detection for OH ($1.8 \times 10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$) and HO$_2$ ($6.9 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$).

Table 4 summarises the OH and HO$_2$ measurements during RONOCO and SeptEx and gives the instrument’s average 1σ limit of detection for one minute of data. OH
was not detected above the limit of detection during the summer or winter RONOCO flights, resulting in upper limits of $1.8 \times 10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ and $6.4 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ for mean summer and winter concentrations, respectively. These upper limit values are similar to previously reported nighttime OH measurements (Geyer et al., 2003; Holland et al., 2003; Ren et al., 2003b; Emmerson and Carslaw, 2009). The mean daytime OH concentration during SeptEx was $1.8 \times 10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, which was above the limit of detection. The mean HO$_2$ mixing ratio was highest during SeptEx (2.9 pptv), and was higher during summer (1.6 pptv) than during winter (0.7 pptv). The OH and HO$_2$ datasets for RONOCO and SeptEx are shown as altitude profiles in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.

Table 5 gives the mean and maximum HO$_2$ mixing ratios at different times of day during summer, SeptEx and winter. Dawn, day, dusk and night are defined by the solar zenith angle as follows: dawn and dusk are between 90 and 102° and are distinguished by the time of day; day is between 0 and 90°; night is between 102 and 180°. The mean dusk HO$_2$ mixing ratio in summer was higher than the mean nighttime mixing ratio, suggesting that photochemical production was still active at dusk in summer. The reverse was true for the winter data, with the highest mean HO$_2$ mixing ratio being at night. This suggests that when photochemical production was suppressed in the winter daytime due to low photolysis rates, production via reactions of NO$_3$ and O$_3$ with alkenes was an important route to radical initiation. The RONOCO HO$_2$ measurements are similar to nighttime, ground-based, urban measurements. For example, during the TORCH campaign, [HO$_2$] peaked at $1 \times 10^8$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ at night (Emmerson et al., 2007), and during the PMTACS-NY 2001 field campaign, nighttime HO$_2$ concentrations of $8 \times 10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ were measured (Ren et al., 2003b).

4.1 Case study flight B537: high nighttime HO$_2$ concentrations

The highest HO$_2$ concentration ($3.2 \times 10^7$ molecule cm$^{-3}$; 13.7 pptv) was measured during nighttime flight B537 on 20 July 2010. Take-off from East Midlands Airport was at 22:00 LT (21:00 UTC, sunset at 20:18 UTC). The flight track, coloured by altitude, is...
shown in Fig. 5. The flight involved a profile descent from 3350 to 460 m down the Norfolk coast and a missed approach at Southend Airport (51.6° N, 0.70° E). Plumes from European continental outflow (see Fig. 6) were intersected by a series of runs at altitudes between 460 m and the upper boundary of the polluted layer.

Flight B537 is an unusual flight within the RONOCO dataset, with high concentrations of CO, O₃, NO₃, and high temperatures compared to the values measured during other nighttime flights (see Table 3). The ambient aerosol surface area was significantly higher during B537 (nearly 800 µm² cm⁻³) than during other flights (between 100 and 400 µm² cm⁻³), and the organic aerosol concentration was significantly enhanced (Morgan et al., 2014). Footprint maps for flight B537, indicating regions where the sampled air was in contact with the surface prior to the flight, are shown in Fig. 6. The air sampled during the flight originated primarily over northern France, Belgium and Germany.

A region of high surface pressure was positioned over the UK on the 20 July, with a mean air pressure of 1012.6 hPa over the 24 h prior to the flight. The mean air temperature 24 h prior to the flight (22:00 19 July 2010 to 22:00 20 July 2010), measured at a number of Met Office weather stations in Greater London, was 22.6 °C, and reached a maximum value of 28.6 °C. Wind speeds prior to the flight were low, with an average value of 4.7 kn (2.4 m s⁻¹). No rainfall was recorded at any of the Greater London weather stations during the 24 h prior to the flight. 12.4 h of sunshine were recorded on 20 July at Heathrow Airport (51.5° N, 0.45° W). High temperatures, combined with low wind speed, exposure to solar radiation, and little precipitation promote the formation of ozone as a result of photochemical processing of VOCs emitted at the surface (e.g. Lee et al., 2006), and offer an explanation for the high ozone mixing ratios measured during flight B537. Peak surface daytime ozone concentrations measured in Teddington, London, on 20 July were on the order of 2.0 × 10¹² molecule cm⁻³ (~ 78 ppbv) (data available at www.airquality.co.uk). Similar levels were recorded at a number of locations within Greater London.
Figure 7 shows a time series of altitude, HO$_2$, O$_3$, and NO$_3$ mixing ratios during the flight, demonstrating very similar behaviour between the two radical species. During the missed approach at Southend Airport the mixing ratios of HO$_2$ and NO$_3$ increased with decreasing altitude, to reach values of 4.5 and 35 pptv, respectively, at 50 m above the ground. The maximum HO$_2$ and NO$_3$ mixing ratios were measured over the North Sea east of Ipswich (52.16° N, 2.34° E) at an altitude of 509 m, in the outflow of the London plume. Figure 8 shows scatter plots of HO$_2$ against NO$_3$ and O$_3$ during flight B537 and during the other nighttime flights during RONOCO. Strong positive correlation is evident between HO$_2$ and NO$_3$ during B537 ($r = 0.97$), while during the remaining night flights there is still a significant, though weaker, correlation ($r = 0.58$). Moderate negative correlation is evident between HO$_2$ and O$_3$ during B537 ($r = -0.46$), with weak positive correlation existing for the other nighttime flights ($r = 0.19$). The data suggest that NO$_3$ was an important initiator of HO$_x$ radicals during flight B537, and that O$_3$ played a limited role overall during the nighttime flights. Further investigation of the roles of NO$_3$ and O$_3$ in alkene oxidation and radical initiation at night is described in Sect. 5.

5 Oxidation of alkenes and production of HO$_2$: method of analysis

Following the work of Salisbury et al. (2001), the total rates of reaction, $\Phi$, of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with the alkenes measured during RONOCO and SeptEx have been calculated:

$$\Phi_{O_3} = \sum_{i} k_{O_3+alk} [O_3][alkene_i]$$

(3)

$$\Phi_{NO_3} = \sum_{i} k_{NO_3+alk} [NO_3][alkene_i]$$

(4)

The reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes yield OH, HO$_2$, and RO$_2$ radicals. Consideration of the reaction mechanisms of NO$_3$ and O$_3$ enables calculation of the rate of
instantaneous production of HO$_2$ ($P_{HO_2}$) from the reactions of NO$_3$ and O$_3$ with the alkenes measured during RONOCO, using the chemistry scheme, rate constants and branching ratios in the MCM (Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003).

Figure 9 shows a generalized reaction scheme for the reaction of NO$_3$ with an alkene. The reaction between NO$_3$ and an alkene proceeds via addition of NO$_3$ to the double bond to form a nitrooxylalkyl radical, followed by rapid reaction with oxygen to yield a nitrooxylalkyl peroxy radical, RO$_2$ (shown as a single step in Fig. 9). The RO$_2$ radical can react with a number of species, of which NO, NO$_3$ and RO$_2$ lead to production of an alkoxy radical (RO). Radical termination occurs via reaction of RO$_2$ with HO$_2$ to yield a peroxide (ROOH) or with RO$_2$ to yield carbonyl (RC(O)CH$_3$) and alcohol (RCH$_2$OH) products. Reaction of RO with oxygen proceeds via abstraction of a hydrogen atom to yield HO$_2$ or an aldehyde (RCHO). This generalised scheme can be applied to the reactions of NO$_3$ with all the alkenes measured. The rate of instantaneous production of HO$_2$ is found by first calculating the fraction of RO$_2$ that reacts to produce RO ($F_{RO}$), and the fraction of RO that reacts to produce HO$_2$ ($F_{HO_2}$):

$$F_{RO} = \frac{k_3[NO] + k_4[NO_3] + 0.6k_5[RO_2]}{k_2[HO_2] + k_3[NO] + k_4[NO_3] + k_5[RO_2]}$$

$$F_{HO_2} = \frac{k_6[O_2]}{k_7 + k_6[O_2]}$$

where RO$_2$ represents all peroxy radicals. $F_{HO_2}$ varies between 0 and 1 for the alkenes studied. Overall, the rate of production of HO$_2$ ($P_{HO_2}$) from reactions of NO$_3$ with alkenes is then given by:

$$P_{HO_2} = k_i[NO_3][\text{alkene}_i] \times F_{RO} \times F_{HO_2}$$

The reaction scheme for the reaction of O$_3$ with alkenes is more complicated because the number and type of radicals produced in the O$_3$ + alkene reaction depends on the structure of the alkene. The simplest case is the reaction of ozone with ethene.
Ozone adds to the double bond to form a five-membered ring called a primary ozonide. Decomposition of the ozonide yields an excited Criegee intermediate (CH$_2$OO$^*$) and a carbonyl compound (in this case formaldehyde, HCHO). The energy-rich Criegee intermediate can be stabilised by collision with a third body or undergo decomposition to yield products including OH, CO, and HO$_2$. The primary ozonide produced in the O$_3$ + propene reaction (see Fig. 10) can decompose via two channels, yielding carbonyls and Criegee intermediates with different structures and different products, including RO$_2$. Reaction of RO$_2$ with NO, NO$_3$ and RO$_2$ (all peroxy radicals) yields RO, which in turn yields HO$_2$.

The rates of production of HO$_2$ from reactions of O$_3$ with alkenes ($P_{\text{HO}_2}$) have been calculated as follows:

$$P_{\text{HO}_2,\text{Direct}} = k_i [O_3][\text{alkene}] \times \alpha_{\text{HO}_2}$$

$$P_{\text{HO}_2,\text{RO}_2} = k_i [O_3][\text{alkene}] \times \alpha_{\text{RO}_2} \times F_{\text{RO}} \times F_{\text{HO}_2}$$

$$P_{\text{HO}_2} = P_{\text{HO}_2,\text{Direct}} + P_{\text{HO}_2,\text{RO}_2}$$

where $P_{\text{HO}_2,\text{Direct}}$ is the rate of direct HO$_2$ production from Criegee intermediate decomposition, $\alpha_{\text{HO}_2}$ is the branching ratio to HO$_2$ producing channels from the Criegee intermediate, $P_{\text{HO}_2,\text{RO}_2}$ is the rate of HO$_2$ production from RO$_2$ radicals produced in the O$_3$ + alkene reaction, $\alpha_{\text{RO}_2}$ is the branching ratio to RO$_2$ producing channels from the Criegee intermediate, $F_{\text{RO}}$ is the fraction of RO$_2$ radicals that react to produce RO radicals, and $F_{\text{HO}_2}$ is the fraction of RO radicals that react to produce HO$_2$ radicals, which is equal to 1 for all the alkenes studied.

The reactions of RO$_2$ with NO to form RONO$_2$ have been omitted from the calculations because the branching ratio is small (0.001 to 0.02) for the radicals studied (Carter and Atkinson, 1989; Lightfoot et al., 1992). The reaction of CH$_3$O$_2$ with NO$_2$ to form CH$_3$O$_2$NO$_2$ has been omitted from the calculations, since the reverse reaction is much faster than the forward direction ($k_f = 6.4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s}^{-1}$; $k_{\text{rev}} = 1.08 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at a mean temperature of 286.5 K during RONOCO).
The primary aims of the analysis presented here are threefold: (1) to calculate the total rate of initiation through reactions of NO₃ and O₃ with alkenes; (2) to determine the relative importance of NO₃ and O₃ in nighttime HO₂ production; (3) to investigate differences in radical production between different seasons and different times of day. The correlation between [HO₂] and [NO₃], especially during flight B537, will be investigated.

P₉₀₂ has been calculated for each alkene measured for every 60 s data point where all the requisite data were available and where HO₂ was above the limit of detection of the FAGE instrument. Concentrations of RO₂ were calculated by scaling the observed HO₂ concentrations with the RO₂/HO₂ ratio calculated using a box model constrained to the concentrations of long-lived species measured during the flights (Stone et al., 2014b), i.e. RO₂,obs = HO₂,obs × RO₂,mod/HO₂,mod.

6 Results

6.1 Nighttime oxidation of alkenes

Figure 11 shows histograms of the rate of reaction between O₃ and NO₃ with individual alkenes during summer and winter, for the nighttime data only. The reactivity of measured alkenes (ΦO₃ + ΦNO₃) was greater during summer flights than during winter flights by a factor of 2.2. The reactions of NO₃ are largely responsible for this seasonal difference, since the contribution from O₃ + alkene reactions varies little between summer (4.1 × 10⁴ molecule cm⁻³ s⁻¹) and winter (3.9 × 10⁴ molecule cm⁻³ s⁻¹). The factor of 4.1 difference between the rate of NO₃ reactions in summer (9.8 × 10⁴ molecule cm⁻³ s⁻¹) and winter (2.4 × 10⁴ molecule cm⁻³ s⁻¹) can be attributed to the higher mean concentration of NO₃ in summer (5.8 × 10⁸ molecule cm⁻³) compared to winter (2.0 × 10⁸ molecule cm⁻³). This seasonal difference in NO₃ concentrations may have been the result of the lower mean nighttime temperature in winter (277.7 K) compared to summer (286.7 K) which disfavours NO₃ in its thermal equilibrium with
N$_2$O$_5$. At night in summer, $\Phi_{NO_3}$ was greater than $\Phi_{O_3}$ by a factor of 2.4, but in winter $\Phi_{O_3}$ was a factor of 1.6 greater than $\Phi_{NO_3}$. Figure 11 illustrates the importance of the butene isomers (within the VOCs measured) in the reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$, and therefore radical initiation and propagation. Reactions with iso-butene dominated NO$_3$ reactivity in summer (42%) and winter (53%), with trans-2-butene also contributing significantly (28% in summer and 32% in winter). Reactions of O$_3$ were dominated by trans-2-butene (42% in summer and 34% in winter) and propene (26% in summer and 38% in winter). The importance of these alkenes is attributed to their relatively high abundances compared to the other alkenes measured, during both summer and winter, combined with their fast rates of reaction with O$_3$ and NO$_3$.

For comparison with the reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$, the total rate of reaction of measured alkenes with OH has been calculated using upper limits on OH concentrations of $1.8 \times 10^6$ and $6.4 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ for the summer and winter flights, respectively, based on the FAGE instrument’s limit of detection. The high upper limits make the total rate of reaction of OH with alkenes, $\Phi_{OH}$, unrealistically high for both summer ($1.6 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) and winter ($7.8 \times 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$). However, the OH reactivity will likely be considerably lower than the values calculated using the OH upper limits. A box model constrained to concentrations of long-lived species measured during the flights (Stone et al., 2014b) predicts a mean OH concentration of 2.4 $\times 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, significantly lower than the upper limits given by the instrument’s limit of detection. Using the mean modelled value for OH gives $\Phi_{OH} = 2.1 \times 10^3$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ for summer, and $\Phi_{OH} = 2.9 \times 10^3$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ for winter, indicating a diminished role for OH in alkene oxidation at night in agreement with previous studies (e.g. Geyer et al., 2003; Emmerson et al., 2005).

6.2 Daytime oxidation of alkenes

Figure 12 shows histograms of rates of reaction of O$_3$ and OH with alkenes during SeptEx, and O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes during winter RONOCO flights, for daytime data.
only. OH was detected above the limit of detection \((1.2 \times 10^6 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3})\) during the SeptEx flights, so the FAGE OH data were included in the calculations, using a reaction scheme analogous to the one shown in Fig. 9. NO\(_3\) was not detected during the day in SeptEx. NO\(_3\) is not expected to be present at measurable concentrations during daylight hours due to photolysis, but a mean concentration of \(8.3 \times 10^7 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}\) (3.3 pptv) was measured during the day in the winter RONOCO flights. These measurements of low mixing ratios of NO\(_3\) may be partly caused by interference from other daytime species as observed by Brown et al. (2005), or by the variability of the instrument baseline, which can be on the order of 1–2 pptv during vertical profiles on the aircraft (Kennedy et al., 2011). This variability is small compared to the range of NO\(_3\) values typically observed during RONOCO flights (0–50 pptv during summer; 0–10 pptv during winter). During SeptEx, \(\Phi_{\text{OH}}\) exceeded \(\Phi_{\text{O}_3}\) by a factor of 8.

Ethene and propene were the two most abundant alkenes measured during SeptEx and contributed significantly to OH reactivity. \(\text{O}_3\) reactivity with alkenes was dominated by propene and \textit{trans}-2-butene (6th most abundant alke ne measured during SeptEx). NO\(_3\) reactivity with alkenes was dominated by \textit{trans}-2-butene and isobutene (3rd most abundant alkene measured during winter daytime flights). The total rate of reaction of \(\text{O}_3\) and OH with alkenes during daytime SeptEx flights \((3.7 \times 10^5 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1})\) exceeded the total rate of reaction of \(\text{O}_3\) and NO\(_3\) during daytime winter RONOCO flights \((6.6 \times 10^4 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1})\) by a factor of 6, and was more than double the total rate of reaction of \(\text{O}_3\) and NO\(_3\) with alkenes during nighttime summer flights \((1.4 \times 10^5 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1})\). In winter daytime flights, \(\Phi_{\text{O}_3}\) was greater than \(\Phi_{\text{NO}_3}\) by a factor of 2.4.

Figures 11b and 12b reveal that reactions of \(\text{O}_3\) dominated alkene reactivity during both daytime and nighttime winter RONOCO flights. The concentrations of alkenes were generally higher at night, with the total alkene concentration (sum of concentrations of alkenes measured) being \(2.1 \times 10^9 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}\) in the day, and \(3.4 \times 10^9 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}\) at night. The total measured alkene reactivity \((\Phi_{\text{O}_3} + \Phi_{\text{NO}_3})\) was 3019.
marginally higher during the day, by a factor of 1.04. This difference is attributable mainly to the change in $\Phi_{O_3}$.

Comparison of Figs. 11a and 12b reveals that the total measured alkene reactivity ($\Phi_{O_3} + \Phi_{NO_3}$) was higher during the summer nighttime flights ($1.4 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) than during the winter daytime flights ($6.6 \times 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$), indicating a low oxidising environment during winter daytime. The additional contribution to measured alkene reactivity from reactions with OH has been calculated using the OH upper limits as described in Sect. 6.1. Even with this additional, upper limit OH reactivity ($1.6 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ and $1.1 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ for summer nighttime and winter daytime, respectively) the total summer nighttime alkene reactivity remains higher than that during winter daytime, confirming the importance of the summer nocturnal troposphere for the oxidation of the measured alkenes.

6.3 Nighttime production of HO$_2$ from reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes

Table 6 gives total rates ($\sum P_{HO_2}$) of instantaneous production of HO$_2$ from the reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes. NO$_3$ was not detected during the dawn summer RONOCO flights and there were no daytime RONOCO flights during summer. NO$_3$ dominated HO$_2$ production during dusk and night (68%), in agreement with Geyer et al. (2003) who found that NO$_3$ was responsible for 53% of HO$_2$ production at night in the BERLIOZ campaign. During winter, O$_3$ dominated HO$_2$ production at all times, with a nighttime contribution of 70%. This is in agreement with the results from the winter PMTACS-NY 2004 field campaign (Ren et al., 2006).

The total rate of instantaneous production of HO$_2$ at night was 3.3 times greater in summer than in winter, with production from O$_3$ decreasing by a factor of 1.5, and production from NO$_3$ decreasing by a factor of 7.8, between summer and winter. The mean temperature difference between summer and winter of 9 K is thought to be responsible for the lower NO$_3$ concentrations in winter ($2.0 \times 10^8$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, 8.2 pptv,
compared to $5.8 \times 10^8$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, 24.5 pptv in summer), owing to the increased thermal stability of $N_2O_5$, and for the reduced rate of temperature-dependent reactions between NO$_3$ and alkenes, and subsequent reactions. There was very little difference between summer and winter mean O$_3$ mixing concentrations ($9.6 \times 10^{11}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, 39.6 ppbv, and $9.4 \times 10^{11}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, 38.6 ppbv, respectively).

Production of HO$_2$ via reactions of NO$_3$ and O$_3$ with alkenes is now examined in more detail. The rate of production from individual alkenes was calculated, and plotted in a histogram, as shown in Fig. 13 for the summer and winter nighttime data. During both summer and winter, reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with trans-2-butene were important sources of HO$_2$, contributing on average 62% to O$_3$-initiated HO$_2$ production and 36% to NO$_3$-initiated production during the summer and winter flights. Reactions of NO$_3$ with isoprene were important during summer, contributing 28% to NO$_3$-initiated production. The importance of trans-2-butene, despite its relatively low abundance during summer and winter nighttime RONOCO flights (1.8 and 1.7 pptv, respectively, compared to ethene mixing ratios of 55.0 and 104.5 pptv), is attributed to its fast rates of reaction with both O$_3$ and NO$_3$ compared to the other alkenes measured. The importance of the isoprene + NO$_3$ reactions during the summer RONOCO flights is similarly attributed to its fast rate of reaction with NO$_3$ compared to the other alkenes measured. In addition there is no aldehyde-forming channel from the isoprene-derived RO radical ($k_7$ in Fig. 9), so that the yield of HO$_2$ from RO is equal to 1. The reaction of isobutene with NO$_3$ can proceed via one of two channels to produce two different RO$_2$ radicals but only one channel, with a branching ratio of 0.2, produces HO$_2$. Isobutene is therefore not a dominant contributor to HO$_2$ production, despite being the single largest contributor to NO$_3$ reactivity during daytime and nighttime RONOCO flights (Figs. 11 and 12). Figure 13 highlights the small change in total production from O$_3$ between summer and winter, and the dramatic change in total production from NO$_3$ between summer and winter.

Reactions of formaldehyde with NO$_3$ were included in the analysis where formaldehyde data were available (mean HCHO = 955 pptv). The NO$_3$ + HCHO reaction con-
tributed a further $5.5 \times 10^3$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (15%) to HO$_2$ production from NO$_3$ reactions, so that production from NO$_3$ contributed 79% of the total production.

### 6.4 Production of HO$_2$ during flight B537

Flight B537, on 20 July 2010, has been identified as an interesting flight, with high concentrations of HO$_2$ ($3.2 \times 10^8$ molecule cm$^{-3}$; 13.6 pptv), ozone (peaking at $1.8 \times 10^{12}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, 89.9 ppbv) and NO$_3$ (peaking at $4.1 \times 10^9$ molecule cm$^{-3}$; 176.9 pptv), and a strong positive correlation between HO$_2$ and NO$_3$ ($r = 0.97$, see Fig. 8). NO, NO$_2$, and aerosol surface area were also elevated during the flight during flight B537 compared to their mean summer values. The highest concentration of ethene ($1.43 \times 10^{10}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$; 0.61 ppbv) during the summer RONOCO flights was measured during B537. $\sum P_{HO_2}$ from O$_3$ + alkene reactions ($2.6 \times 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) was higher in flight B537 than in all the other summer flights, contributing 42% of HO$_2$ production, with NO$_3$ + alkene reactions contributing $3.6 \times 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ (58%). The total rate of HO$_2$ production from O$_3$ and NO$_3$ reactions during flight B537 was $6.2 \times 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. While this is higher than the average value of $\sum P_{HO_2}$ for the summer flights ($5.4 \times 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) it is not the highest rate of production during the summer flights. During B534 unusually high concentrations of isoprene, cis-2-butene, and 1,3-butadiene contributed to a total rate of HO$_2$ production of $7.9 \times 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, which is the highest calculated value.

Figure 14 shows that the reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with trans-2-butene are once again important, contributing 74% of $\sum P_{HO_2,O_3}$, and 45% of $\sum P_{HO_2,NO_3}$. The correlation between HO$_2$ and NO$_3$ is attributed to production of HO$_2$ by reactions of NO$_3$ with alkenes, especially trans-2-butene. Figure 15 shows HO$_2$ vs. the total instantaneous rate of production from the reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes during flight B537, at each 60 s data point during the flight for which the requisite data were available. Note that the rates plotted in Fig. 15 are higher than those shown in Fig. 14, where the rates of production of HO$_2$ from each alkene have been averaged across the whole
A strong positive correlation exists between HO$_2$ and both $\sum P_{\text{HO}_2,\text{O}_3}$ ($r = 0.6$) and $\sum P_{\text{HO}_2,\text{NO}_3}$ ($r = 0.8$), indicating the importance of these reactions for production of HO$_2$ during this flight.

7 Comparison with model results

The observations of OH, HO$_2$, NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$ have been interpreted in the context of nighttime oxidation chemistry using a box model constrained to observations of VOCs, NO$_x$, O$_3$, CO and other long-lived species measured during the RONOCO flights (Stone et al., 2014b). The Dynamically Simple Model of Atmospheric Chemical Complexity (DSMACC) (Emmerson and Evans, 2009; Stone et al., 2010, 2014b) was initiated with concentrations of measured species, using a chemistry scheme based on the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM, version 3.2: Jenkin et al., 1997, 2003; Saunders et al., 2003; Bloss et al., 2005, via http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM) and was allowed to run to diurnal steady state. The model output includes concentrations of OH, HO$_2$, NO$_3$, RO$_2$, and other species. Data from daytime flights, or during dawn or dusk periods, were not included in the model analysis. Data from flight B537 were also excluded, owing to the atypical observations of HO$_2$, NO$_3$, O$_3$ and other chemical species made during this flight. The modelling study and results are described in more detail by Stone et al. (2014b).

The model predicts a mean OH concentration of $2.4 \times 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ for the summer flights, which is consistent with the measured OH concentrations for which the instrument’s limit of detection is an upper limit only. The base model underpredicts HO$_2^*$ by around 200 %, and overpredicts NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$ by 80 and 50 %, respectively. These discrepancies were investigated by determining the processes controlling radical production and loss in the model, and using those results to improve model performance.

Model production of HO$_2$ is dominated by reactions of RO + O$_2$ (42 %), with a significant contribution from OH + CO (31 %) despite low OH concentrations at night. RO$_x$ (= RO + RO$_2$ + OH + HO$_2$) radical initiation in the model is dominated by reactions...
of NO$_3$ with unsaturated VOCs (80%), with a much smaller contribution (18%) from alkene ozonolysis. Modelled HO$_2$ loss is dominated by its reactions with NO$_3$ (45%) and O$_3$ (27%), both of which are radical propagating routes, and which are the dominant routes to OH production in the model. In fact NO$_3$ was found to control both radical initiation and propagation in the model.

These results are in general agreement with the results of the analysis presented in Sect. 6.1, though the model predicts a more important role for NO$_3$ (80% of RO$_x$ radical production) than is predicted by the analysis based on the observations alone (69% of HO$_2$ radical production during summer), and predicts a relatively small role for O$_3$ in radical initiation (18% of RO$_x$ radical production compared to a minimum value of 31% calculated using the observations). The model is constrained to measured values of O$_3$, but overpredicts NO$_3$. The mean measured NO$_3$ nighttime mixing ratio was 24.5 pptv in the summer and 8.2 pptv in the winter. The mean modelled summer and winter values are 37.4 and 20.7 pptv, respectively. This discrepancy between modelled and measured NO$_3$ helps to explain the model overprediction of the role of NO$_3$ in HO$_x$ radical initiation during the RONOCO flights. Modelled NO$_3$ reactivity was dominated by iso-butene (36%) and trans-2-butene (27%), and modelled O$_3$ reactivity was dominated by trans-2-butene (51%), in agreement with the nighttime alkene reactivities presented in Sect. 6.1.

Improvement to the model predictions of NO$_3$, N$_2$O$_5$ and HO$_2^*$ was made by increasing the concentration of unsaturated VOCs in the model. Increasing the total observed alkene concentration by 4 times resulted in a modelled to observed ratio of 1.0 for HO$_2^*$ and of ~1.2 for NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$. Two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC × GC) analysis of the whole air samples taken during RONOCO has revealed a large number of VOCs extra to those routinely measured (Lidster et al., 2014). Calibration standards for the majority of these species are not yet available, and so quantification of their concentrations is not possible, but their detection confirms that the model overprediction of NO$_3$ and underprediction of HO$_2^*$ are attributable to reactions of NO$_3$ with unquantified unsaturated hydrocarbons.
The presence of unquantified unsaturated VOCs during the RONOCO campaign, suggested by the model and confirmed by the two-dimensional GC analysis, has implications for the conclusions drawn from the analysis based on the observations. The relative contributions of NO$_3$ and O$_3$ to nighttime radical initiation will change with the composition of unsaturated VOCs in the sampled air, due to the different rates of reaction of NO$_3$ and O$_3$ with different VOC species, and the rates of production of HO$_2$ following these reactions. The model results indicate that reaction of NO$_3$ with the unquantified VOCs leads to increased production of HO$_2$. The role of NO$_3$ in nighttime radical production would therefore be enhanced by the inclusion of the unquantified VOCs in the observational analysis.

8 Conclusions and future work

Nighttime radical chemistry has been studied as part of the RONOCO and SeptEx campaigns onboard the BAe-146 research aircraft during summer 2010 and winter 2011. NO$_3$, N$_2$O$_5$, OH and HO$_2$ were measured simultaneously for the first time from an aircraft, with OH and HO$_2$ being measured by the University of Leeds aircraft FAGE instrument. OH was detected above the limit of detection during the daytime SeptEx flights only, with a mean concentration of $1.8 \times 10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$. Upper limits of $1.8 \times 10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ and $6.4 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ are placed on mean OH concentrations for the summer and winter RONOCO (night, dawn, and dusk) measurement campaigns, respectively. HO$_2$ was detected above the limit of detection during the summer and winter RONOCO flights and during SeptEx, with a maximum mixing ratio of 13.6 pptv measured during nighttime flight B537 on 20 July 2010. Mean nighttime HO$_2$ mixing ratios were significantly higher in summer than in winter. Significant concentrations (up to 176.9 pptv) of NO$_3$ were measured during nighttime flights, since the air masses sampled were sufficiently removed from the surface that the loss of NO$_3$ by reaction with NO was minimised. The RONOCO flights were therefore an excellent opportunity to study the role of NO$_3$ in nocturnal oxidation and radical initiation.
The rates of reaction of O₃ and NO₃ with the alkenes measured have been calculated. At night during summer, NO₃ dominated alkene reactivity. Several previous nighttime studies have also found NO₃ to be the dominant nocturnal oxidant (e.g. Geyer et al., 2003; Brown et al., 2011). During nighttime winter RONOCO flights the total rate of reaction of NO₃ with alkenes was much reduced, but the rate of reaction of O₃ with alkenes was similar to that in summer. During day and night in winter, O₃ + alkene reactions were faster than NO₃ + alkene reactions. Overall, during RONOCO, the combined rate of alkene oxidation by O₃ and NO₃ was highest at night during summer.

Calculation of rates of instantaneous production of HO₂ from reactions of O₃ and NO₃ with alkenes, using measurements made during the flights, has revealed that nighttime production was dominated by NO₃ in summer and by O₃ in winter. The rate of instantaneous production of HO₂ from reactions of NO₃ with alkenes decreased significantly from summer to winter (87 %), whereas production from O₃ + alkene reactions was similar in summer and winter, decreasing by just 31 %. Strong positive correlation between HO₂ and NO₃, especially during flight B537, is attributed to the production of HO₂ from reactions of NO₃ with alkenes, particularly trans-2-butene and other isomers of butene.

Significant concentrations of HO₂ were detected at night, with the highest HO₂ concentration (13.6 pptv) being measured during a summer nighttime flight, indicating that HOₓ radical chemistry remains active at night under the right conditions. The role of HOₓ is diminished in the low photolysis winter daytime atmosphere, with alkene ozonolysis being primarily responsible for oxidation and radical initiation, in agreement with previous studies (e.g. Heard et al., 2004; Emmerson et al., 2005). Both the analysis presented here and the results of the box modelling study by Stone et al. (2014b) indicate that in air masses removed from sources of NO, NO₃ plays an important role in the oxidation of alkenes and radical initiation at night, in agreement with previous studies (e.g. Brown et al., 2011). Alkene ozonolysis also plays a significant role in nocturnal oxidation in agreement with Salisbury et al. (2001), Geyer et al. (2003), Ren et al. (2006), and others. The balance between the roles of NO₃ and O₃ was controlled
in part by [NO$_3$], with colder winter temperatures forcing the NO$_3$–N$_2$O$_5$ equilibrium towards N$_2$O$_5$.

The total rate of reaction of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes during nighttime summer flights ($1.4 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) was higher than during daytime winter flights ($6.6 \times 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) by a factor of 2.1. Whilst it should be remembered that measurements at different times of day and in different seasons reflect composition changes in air masses (such as the abundance of reactive alkenes) this result supports the hypothesis that oxidation of certain VOCs, in particular the reactive alkenes, in the nocturnal summer atmosphere can be as rapid as in the winter daytime atmosphere.

A box model of nighttime chemistry constrained to measurements of long lived species has been used to investigate the nighttime chemistry sampled during RONOCO (Stone et al., 2014b). The base model underpredicts HO$_2$ and overpredicts NO$_3$. These discrepancies were minimised by increasing the concentration of alkenes in the model, thereby increasing reaction of NO$_3$ with alkenes, and production of HO$_2$. The presence of unquantified unsaturated VOCs has been confirmed by 2D-GC analysis, though the exact nature and concentrations of the “missing” species is unclear. The inclusion of these species in the analysis presented in this paper would likely increase the role of NO$_3$ for oxidation of alkenes and production of HO$_2$ at night.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acpd-15-2997-2015-supplement.
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Table 1. Examples of modelling studies and observations of HOₓ radicals and VOC oxidation at night. PERCA = Peroxy Radical Chemical Amplification; LIF = Laser Induced Fluorescence; DOAS = Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy; MCM = Master Chemical Mechanism; MIESR = Matrix Isolation Electron Spin Resonance; RACM = Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism; CRDS = Cavity Ring Down Spectroscopy; CIMS = Chemical Ionisation Mass Spectrometry; GC = Gas Chromatography; PTR-MS = Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry; FTIR = Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy; DUALER (DUAL channel peroxy radical chemical amplification); OA-CRD = Off Axis Cavity Ring Down Spectroscopy; CRM-PTR-MS = Comparative Reactivity Method Proton Transfer Mass Spectrometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location, Campaign, Date</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mace Head, Ireland, EASE97, 1997</td>
<td>Measurements: [HOₓ + RO₂] measured by PERCA; HOₓ measured by LIF; NO₃ measured by DOAS. Modelling: Campaign-tailored box model constrained to measurements, based on MCM.</td>
<td>2 nights of HOₓ measurements: HOₓ = 1–2 and 0.5–0.7 pptv; OH not detected above limit of detection (~2.5 × 10^3 cm⁻³). NO₃ dominated radical production in westerly (clean) air masses; O₃ dominated in NE, SE, and SW air masses and dominated radical production overall during the campaign. Nighttime OH = 1.85 × 10^5 cm⁻³, compared to modelled value of 4.1 × 10^5 cm⁻³. Nighttime HOₓ = 3 × 10^5 cm⁻³, model results in agreement. NO₃ chemistry responsible for 53% of HOₓ and 36% of OH during the night. O₃ + alkene responsible for 47% of HOₓ and 64% of OH during the night.</td>
<td>Salisbury et al. (2001); Creasey et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pabstthum, Germany, BERLIOZ 1998,</td>
<td>Measurements: HOₓ measured by LIF; NO₃ measured by DOAS and MIESR. Modelling: Zero-dimensional model using lumped VOC reactivity, constrained to measured species.</td>
<td>Measurements: HOₓ measured by LIF. Modelling: Photochemical box model constrained to measurements, based on MCM.</td>
<td>Geyer et al. (2003); Holland et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York, PMTACS-NY, 2001</td>
<td>Measurements: HOₓ measured by LIF.</td>
<td>Measurements: HOₓ measured by LIF; NO₃ measured by DOAS. Modelling: Zero-dimensional box models constrained to measured species, based on MCM.</td>
<td>Ren et al. (2003a, b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mace Head, NAMBLEX, 2002</td>
<td>Measurements: HOₓ measured by LIF; NO₃ measured by DOAS. Modelling: Zero-dimensional box models constrained to measured species, based on MCM.</td>
<td>Measurements: HOₓ measured by LIF; NO₃ measured by DOAS. Modelling: Zero-dimensional box models constrained to measured species, based on MCM.</td>
<td>Fleming et al. (2006); Smith et al. (2006); Sommariva et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nighttime OH initiation dominated by O₃ + alkene, HONO photolysis, and O(1)D + H₂O during summer. O₃ + alkene dominated in winter. O₃ + alkene main radical source at night. Nighttime OH ~ 7 × 10^5 cm⁻³ and nighttime HOₓ ~ 8 × 10^5 cm⁻³. Increase in HOₓ after midnight attributed to increase in O₃ due to transport. O₃ + alkene equilibrated with nighttime HOₓ. Nighttime HOₓ = 2–3 × 10^4 cm⁻³; OH below detection limit (6 × 10^4 cm⁻³). Model overestimated HOₓ. On average, O₃ + alkene reactions contributed 59% and NO₃ + alkene reactions contributed 41% to RO₂ production at night, but NO₃ and RO₂ concentrations were always higher in semi-polluted air masses than in clean marine air masses and NO₃ reactions dominated in these conditions.
### Table 1. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location, Campaign, Date</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Writtle, London, TORCH, 2003</td>
<td>Measurements: HO\textsubscript{x} measured by LIF, RO\textsubscript{2} measured by PERCA, during a heatwave/pollution episode. Modelling: zero-dimensional box model constrained to measured species.</td>
<td>OH and HO\textsubscript{2} observed above the limit of detection on several nights. OH peaked at 8.5 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cm\textsuperscript{-3}; HO\textsubscript{2} peaked at 1 × 10\textsuperscript{6} cm\textsuperscript{-3}. Model overpredicted nighttime OH and HO\textsubscript{2} on average by 24 and 7 %; underpredicted [HO\textsubscript{2} + \sum RO\textsubscript{2}] by 22 %.</td>
<td>Lee et al. (2006); Emmerson et al. (2007); Emmerson and Carslaw (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico City, MCMA 2003</td>
<td>Measurements: HO\textsubscript{x} measured by LIF, NO\textsubscript{3} measured by DOAS. Modelling: Zero-dimensional model based on MCM v3.1, constrained to measured species.</td>
<td>Polluted city location characterized by high levels of NO, NO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{3}. Maximum nighttime OH ≈ 1 × 10\textsuperscript{6} cm\textsuperscript{-3}; maximum nighttime HO\textsubscript{2} ≈ 6 pptv. Nighttime production of radicals dominated by O\textsubscript{3} + alkene reactions (76–92 %); NO\textsubscript{3} + alkene plays a minor role. Daytime radical production ≈ 25 times higher than night. Mean maximum OH = 0.05 pptv; mean maximum HO\textsubscript{2} = 0.7 pptv. Model underprediction of HO\textsubscript{2} was pronounced when NO was high. O\textsubscript{3} + alkene reactions were dominant nighttime source.</td>
<td>Shirley et al. (2006); Sheehy et al. (2010); Volkamer et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York City, PMTACS-NY winter 2004</td>
<td>Measurements: HO\textsubscript{x} measured by LIF. Modelling: Zero-dimensional model based on RACM and constrained by measurements. Measurements: NO\textsubscript{3} and N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} measured by CRDS. Modelling: Zero-dimensional model based on MCM v3.1, constrained to measured species. No measurements of OH, HO\textsubscript{2}, or RO\textsubscript{2}.</td>
<td>Loss rates and budgets of NO\textsubscript{3} and highly reactive VOCs calculated. NO\textsubscript{3} primarily lost through reaction with VOCs. VOC oxidation dominated by NO\textsubscript{3}, which was 3–5 times more important than O\textsubscript{3}.</td>
<td>Ren et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Maine, Northeast United States, NEAQS, 2004</td>
<td>Ship-based measurements onboard RV Ronald H. Brown in the Gulf of Maine, influenced by unpolluted marine air masses and polluted air masses from USA and Canada. Maximum modelled nighttime HO\textsubscript{2} = 7.0 × 10\textsuperscript{8} cm\textsuperscript{-3}. Base model overestimated NO\textsubscript{3} and NO\textsubscript{2} observations by 30–50 %. In anthropogenic air masses reaction with VOCs and RO\textsubscript{2} each accounted for 40 % of modelled NO\textsubscript{3} loss.</td>
<td>Sommariva et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston, Texas, TexAQS, 2006</td>
<td>Measurements: NO\textsubscript{3} and N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} measured by CRDS, VOCs measured by CIMS, GC, and PTRMS. No direct measurements of OH, HO\textsubscript{2}, or RO\textsubscript{2}.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brown et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location, Campaign, Date</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pearl River Delta, China, PRIDE-PRD, 2006</strong></td>
<td>Measurements: ( \text{HO}_x ) measured by LIF; OH reactivity measured by laser-flash photolysis and LIF; VOCs measured by FTIR and GC. Modelling: Box model based on RACM and the Mainz Isoprene Mechanism, and constrained by measurements.</td>
<td>Rural site 60 km downwind of large urban region (Guangzhou), with low local wind speeds favouring accumulation of air pollutants. Maximum nighttime OH (hourly average) = ( 5 \times 10^6 ) cm(^{-3}); maximum nighttime HO(_2) (hourly average) = ( 1 \times 10^5 ) cm(^{-3}). Unknown recycling mechanism required for the model to reproduce measured nighttime values. OH reactivity peaked at night. Missing nighttime reactivity attributed to unmeasured secondary organic compounds.</td>
<td>Lou et al. (2010, 2012, 2013a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beijing, CAREBEI-JING2006, 2006</strong></td>
<td>Measurements: ( \text{HO}_x ) measured by LIF; OH lifetime measured by laser flash photolysis and LIF; VOCs measured by GC. Modelling: Box model based on RACM and the Mainz Isoprene Mechanism, and constrained by measurements.</td>
<td>Suburban rural site south of Beijing, under the influence of slowly moving, aged polluted air from the south. OH reactivity peaked at night. Model generally underestimated observed nighttime OH concentrations.</td>
<td>Lu et al. (2013a, b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cape Verde, RHaMBLe, 2007</strong></td>
<td>Measurements: ( \text{HO}_x ) measured by LIF. Modelling: Box model based on MCM with added halogen chemistry scheme, constrained to measurements of long-lived species.</td>
<td>Clean tropical Atlantic measurement site with occasional continental influence. OH was not measured at night. HO(_2) was detected on two nights, up to ( 2.5 \times 10^7 ) cm(^{-3}). Model underprediction of HO(_2) was significantly reduced by constraining the model to 100 pptv of peroxy acetyl nitrate (PAN) at night. Coastal forested site with strong urban-industrial and weak biogenic influences. Maxima in [HO(_2) + RO(_2)] and [HO(_2)] were observed around noon and midnight. Enhanced nighttime [HO(_2) + RO(_2)] (up to 80 pptv) was observed in air masses from the urban-industrial region. Maximum nighttime HO(_2) = 8 pptv. Measured NO(_3) was generally below LOD; calculated NO(_3) up to 20 pptv. Calculated production of RO(_2) from NO(_3) + alkenes accounts for 47–54% of observed [HO(_2) + RO(_2)]. Ozonolysis of unmeasured alkenes could account for remaining [HO(_2) + RO(_2)].</td>
<td>Whalley et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Huelva, Spain, DOMINO, 2008</strong></td>
<td>Measurements: [HO(_2) + RO(_2)] measured by DUALER; ( \text{HO}_x ) measured by LIF; NO(_3) and N(_2)O(_5) measured by OA-CRD; OH reactivity measured by CRM-PTR-MS. No measurements of anthropogenic VOCs.</td>
<td>Coastal forested site with strong urban-industrial and weak biogenic influences. Maxima in [HO(_2) + RO(_2)] and [HO(_2)] were observed around noon and midnight. Enhanced nighttime [HO(_2) + RO(_2)] (up to 80 pptv) was observed in air masses from the urban-industrial region. Maximum nighttime HO(_2) = 8 pptv. Measured NO(_3) was generally below LOD; calculated NO(_3) up to 20 pptv. Calculated production of RO(_2) from NO(_3) + alkenes accounts for 47–54% of observed [HO(_2) + RO(_2)]. Ozonolysis of unmeasured alkenes could account for remaining [HO(_2) + RO(_2)].</td>
<td>Andrés-Hernández et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Details of supporting measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Instrument, Technique</th>
<th>Time resolution; Limit of detection (LOD)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Aero Laser AL5002 Fast Carbon Monoxide Monitor. Excitation and fast response fluorescence at $\lambda = 254$ nm.</td>
<td>1 s; 3.5 ppbv</td>
<td>Gerbig et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_3$</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific TEi49C Ozone analyser. Absorption spectroscopy at $\lambda = 254$ nm.</td>
<td>1 s; 0.6 ppbv</td>
<td>Hewitt et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO, NO$_2$, NO$_x$</td>
<td>Air Quality Design dual channel fast-response NO$_x$ instrument. Chemical luminescence from NO + O$_3$ reaction. Conversion of NO$_2$ to NO by photolysis.</td>
<td>10 s; 3 pptv for NO, 15 pptv for NO$_2$</td>
<td>Stewart et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$, $\Sigma$ ANs,</td>
<td>TD-LIF (thermal dissociation laser induced fluorescence). Detection of NO$_2$ by laser-induced fluorescence. Thermal decomposition of $\Sigma$ ANs (total alkyl nitrate) and $\Sigma$ PNs (total peroxy nitrate) to NO$_2$.</td>
<td>1 s; 9.8 pptv for NO$_2$, 28.1 pptv for $\Sigma$ ANs, 18.4 pptv for $\Sigma$ PNs</td>
<td>Dari-Salisburgo et al. (2009); Di Carlo et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma$ PNs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkenes</td>
<td>Whole air samples (WAS) analysed by laboratory-based gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (GC-FID).</td>
<td>Typically 30 s; variable limits of detection</td>
<td>Hopkins et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$, N$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>BBCEAS (broadband cavity-enhanced absorption spectroscopy) of NO$_3$ at $\lambda = 642–672$ nm. N$_2$O$_5$ measured following thermal dissociation to NO$_3$ + NO$_2$.</td>
<td>1 s; 1.1 pptv for NO$_3$, 2.4 pptv for NO$_3$ + N$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>Kennedy et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCHO</td>
<td>Hantzsch technique: Liquid-phase reaction of formaldehyde followed by excitation, and fluorescence of resulting adduct at $\lambda = 510$ nm.</td>
<td>60 s; 81 pptv</td>
<td>Still et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Mean mixing ratios of selected gas phase species, and air temperature, measured during RONOCO and SeptEx. The flight and season during which the maximum values were measured are given in parentheses. NO₂ data are from the TD-LIF instrument. Zero values indicate measurements below the limit of detection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Summer RONOCO</th>
<th>SeptEx</th>
<th>Winter RONOCO</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO/ppbv</td>
<td>102.3</td>
<td>117.1</td>
<td>139.3</td>
<td>256.0 (B537, summer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₃/ppbv</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>89.8 (B537, summer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃/pptv</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>176.9 (B537, summer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO/ppbv</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.9 (B539, summer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂/ppbv</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>18.6 (B568, winter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature/K</td>
<td>286.5</td>
<td>286.2</td>
<td>276.4</td>
<td>297.5 (B537, summer)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Combined daytime and nighttime mean concentrations of OH and mean mixing ratios of HO\textsubscript{2} with the FAGE instrument’s average 1σ limits of detection during the RONOCO and SeptEx fieldwork.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>OH/molecule cm\textsuperscript{-3}</th>
<th>HO\textsubscript{2}/pptv</th>
<th>Limit of detection</th>
<th>Mean mixing ratio</th>
<th>Limit of detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>(1.8 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(1.6)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeptEx</td>
<td>(1.8 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>(1.2 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>(6.4 \times 10^5)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Mean and, in parentheses, maximum HO\textsubscript{2} mixing ratios measured during RONOCO and SeptEx.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (maximum) HO\textsubscript{2} mixing ratio/pptv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawn</td>
<td>0.74 (1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dusk</td>
<td>2.73 (9.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night</td>
<td>1.86 (13.58)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Rates of instantaneous production of HO$_2$ from reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>HO$<em>2$ production rate ($\sum P</em>{HO_2}$) $/ 10^4$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Flight paths for: (a) Summer RONOCO, (b) SeptEx, and (c) winter RONOCO measurement campaigns, coloured by altitude.
Figure 2. Footprint map for flight B535 on 17 July 2010, showing model particle densities (g s m\(^{-3}\)) in a 300 m deep layer from the surface, integrated over a 24 h period beginning 48 h prior to the flight.
Figure 3. Altitude profile of OH measured during SeptEx showing 60 s data (grey points) and mean values in 500 m altitude bins (solid black lines). Error bars are 1σ.
Figure 4. Altitude profiles of HO₂ measured in RONOCO and SeptEx during: (a) dawn; (b) day; (c) dusk; (d) night, showing 60 s data (grey points) and mean values in 500 m altitude bins (solid black lines). Error bars are 1σ.
Figure 5. Flight track of flight B537 on 20 July 2010, coloured by altitude.
Figure 6. Footprint maps for flight B537 on 20 July 2010, showing model particle densities (g s m$^{-3}$) in a 300 m deep layer from the surface, integrated over 24 h periods beginning (a) 24 h, (b) 48 h, (c) 72 h, and (d) 96 h prior to the flight.
Figure 7. Time series of altitude (top panel, blue), HO₂ (middle panel, black, with grey shading representing the uncertainty in the measurements), O₃ (bottom panel, red) and NO₃ (bottom panel, green) during nighttime flight B537 on 20 July 2010.
Figure 8. HO$_2$ vs. (a) NO$_3$ and (b) O$_3$ during flight B537 (blue, filled circles) and during all other nighttime flights (black, open circles). The solid lines are lines of best fit to the data.
Figure 9. Generalised reaction scheme for production of RO₂ and HO₂ following reaction of NO₃ with an alkene.
Figure 10. Reaction scheme for $O_3 +$ propene, showing production of $HO_2$ and the methyl peroxy radical, $CH_3O_2$. 
Figure 11. Nighttime rates of reaction between $O_3$, and $NO_3$ with alkenes during: (a) summer; and (b) winter RONOCO flights. Error bars represent the combined uncertainty in the measurements.
Figure 12. Daytime rates of reaction of (a) O₃ and OH with alkenes during SeptEx; and (b) O₃ and NO₃ with alkenes during winter. Note the different scales. NO₃ was not detected during daytime SeptEx flights (LOD = 1.1 pptv); OH was not detected during daytime winter flights (LOD = 6.4 × 10⁵ molecule cm⁻³). Error bars represent the combined uncertainties in the measurements.
Figure 13. Averaged rates of instantaneous production of HO$_2$ from reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes during: (a) summer; and (b) winter RONOCO flights. Error bars represent the combined uncertainty in the measurements.
Figure 14. Rates of instantaneous production of HO$_2$ ($P_{\text{HO}_2}$) from reactions of O$_3$ and NO$_3$ with alkenes during flight B537. Error bars represent the combined uncertainty in the measurements.
**Figure 15.** [HO$_2$] vs. total rate of instantaneous production of HO$_2$ from reactions of: (a) O$_3$; and (b) NO$_3$ during flight B537. Correlation coefficients ($r$) are given in each plot. Solid lines are lines of best fit to the data.