Carbon Monoxide Climatology derived from the Trajectory Mapping of Global MOZAIC-IAGOS Data
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Abstract

A three-dimensional gridded climatology of carbon monoxide (CO) has been developed by trajectory mapping of global MOZAIC-IAGOS in situ measurements from commercial aircraft data. CO measurements made during aircraft ascent and descent, comprising nearly 41,200 profiles at 148 airports worldwide from December 2001 to December 2012 are used. Forward and backward trajectories are calculated from meteorological reanalysis data in order to map the CO measurements to other locations, and so to fill in the spatial domain. This domain-filling technique employs 15,800,000 calculated trajectories to map otherwise sparse MOZAIC-IAGOS data into a quasi-global field. The resulting trajectory-mapped CO dataset is archived monthly from 2001-2012 on a grid of 5° longitude×5° latitude×1 km altitude, from the surface to 14 km altitude.

The mapping product has been carefully evaluated, firstly by comparing maps constructed using only forward trajectories and using only backward trajectories. The two methods show similar global CO distribution patterns. The magnitude of their differences is most commonly 10% or less, and found to be less than 30% for almost all cases. Secondly, the method has also been validated by comparing profiles for individual airports with those produced by the mapping method when data from that site are excluded. While there are larger differences below 2 km, the two methods agree very well between 2 and 10 km with the magnitude of biases within 20%. Finally, the mapping product is compared with global MOZAIC-IAGOS cruise-level data, which
Maps are also compared with Version 6 data from the Measurements Of Pollut ion In The Troposphere (MOPITT) satellite instrument. Both data sets clearly show major regional CO sources such as biomass burning in central and southern Africa and anthropogenic emissions in eastern China. While the maps show similar features and patterns, and relative biases are small in the lowermost troposphere, we find differences of ~20% in CO volume mixing ratios between 500 hPa and 300 hPa. These upper troposphere biases are not related to the mapping procedure, as almost identical differences are found with the original in situ MOZAIC-IAGOS data. The total CO trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS column is also higher than the MOPITT CO total column, by 12-16%.

The dataset shows the seasonal CO cycle over different latitude bands and altitude ranges as well as long-term trends over different latitude bands. We observe a decline in CO over the northern hemisphere extratropics and the tropics consistent with that reported by previous studies using other data sources.

We anticipate use of the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO dataset as an a priori climatology for satellite retrieval, and for air quality model validation and initialization.

1 Introduction

Atmospheric carbon monoxide (CO) is an important global air pollutant and trace gas. Due to its relatively long lifetime of 1-4 months (Hubler et al., 1992; Law and Pyle, 1993), it is an ideal tracer for long range atmospheric transport (Logan et al., 1981; Lelieveld et al., 2001; Shindell et al., 2006). Moreover, in the tropics, it is an important tracer of upward transport during convective events (e.g., Pommrich et al., 2014). Consequently, it has been employed to facilitate interpretations of chemical measurements (Jaffe et al., 1996; Parrish et al., 1991, 1998; Wang et al., 1996, 1997) and in validating chemical transport models (Carmichael et al, 2003; Liu et al., 2003; Tan et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004). The main sources of atmospheric CO are relatively well understood (Galanter et al., 2000; Granier et al., 2011; Holloway et al., 2000); however, the magnitude of individual sources and their seasonal variability, especially of biomass burning, are not well quantified. Stein et al. (2014) also reported that models are also generally biased low due to either an underestimation of CO sources or an overestimation of its sinks. There are differences in the emission densities of anthropogenic and natural sources, despite the fact that the anthropogenic and natural sources are of similar magnitude on a global scale (Granier et al., 2011; Logan et al., 1981). The anthropogenic sources are primarily associated with large industrial centers or major biomass burning regions while the natural sources, such as oxidation of methane (CH₄) and non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) are much more diffuse. This makes
CO a good atmospheric tracer gas for anthropogenic emissions as its lifetime allows it to be used as an indicator of how large-scale atmospheric transport redistributes pollutants on a global scale.

CO plays a vital role in the chemistry of the atmosphere. This significance mainly comes from the influence of CO on the concentrations and distributions of the atmospheric oxidants, ozone ($O_3$), the hydroperoxy ($HO_2$) and hydroxyl radicals (OH) (e.g. Novelli et al., 1994, 1998).

Reaction (R1) between CO and OH represents 90-95% of the CO sink (Logan et al., 1981), and about 75% of the removal of OH (Thompson, 1992) in the troposphere:

$$CO + OH \rightarrow CO_2 + H \hspace{1cm} (R1)$$

$$H + O_2 \rightarrow HO_2 + M \hspace{1cm} (R2)$$

In areas with sufficient NOx (=NO + NO₂), HO₂ formed in reaction (R2) leads to photochemical reactions (R3)-(R5) which bring about net $O_3$ production. In urban areas and regions of biomass burning, large amounts of these $O_3$ precursors will be produced, and $O_3$ can be formed in, and downwind of, the source region (Crutzen, 1973; Fishman and Seiler, 1983):

$$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow OH + NO_2 \hspace{1cm} (R3)$$

$$NO_2 + h\nu \rightarrow NO + O \hspace{0.5cm} (<425 \text{ nm}) \hspace{1cm} (R4)$$

$$O_2 + O + M \rightarrow O_3 \hspace{1cm} (R5)$$

$O_3$ is associated with respiratory problems and decreased crop yields (e.g., McKee, 1993; Chameides et al., 1994). Since CO and OH are principal reaction partners, CO concentrations in the atmosphere have important climatological implications. OH is also responsible for the removal of greenhouse gases such as CH₄, and other volatile organic compounds in the atmosphere. Via these interactions with OH, $O_3$ and CH₄, CO has an indirect radiative forcing of about 0.25 W m⁻² (IPCC AR5).

Global atmospheric chemistry models require accurate CO concentrations on a global scale in order to define spatial and temporal variations of atmospheric oxidants and CO. For this reason measurements of CO are made by different kinds of remote sensing and in situ instruments, in ground-based networks, aircraft programmes and from space (Novelli et al., 1994, 1998; Rinsland and Levine, 1985; Zander et al., 1989; Brook et al., 2014; Reichle et al., 1990; 1999; Worden et al., 2013; Petzold et al., 2015). Long range atmospheric transport redistributes CO widely due to its relatively long lifetime. Typical tropospheric background CO levels range between 50 and 120 ppbv (WHO, 2000). Mixing ratios much higher than 250 ppb have been observed in the upper troposphere over Asia (Nédélec et al., 2005) or over the Pacific (Clark et al., 2015) in biomass burning plumes. CO values as high as 1800 ppbv have been reported over Beijing (Zbinden, et al., 2013).
Early studies of ground-based observations showed increasing trends in global CO before 1980 (Khalil and Rasmussen, 1988; Rinsland and Levine, 1985; Zander et al., 1989), followed by a modest decline in the 1990s (Novelli et al. 1994; 2003; Khalil and Rasmussen, 1994). More recently satellite observations have shown that the decline has continued: Worden et al. (2013) report a global trend from 2000-2011 of ~10% per decade on column CO in the northern hemisphere. Petetin et al. (2015) show a similar decrease of about 2 ppb per year over Frankfurt throughout the troposphere from 2002 to 2012. The decrease is at least partly due to a decrease in global anthropogenic CO emissions (Granier et al., 2011).

In-service Aircraft for a Global Observing System (IAGOS), and its predecessor Measurement of Ozone and water vapor by Airbus in-service airCraft (MOZAIC), have been making automatic and regular measurements of O₃, water vapour and standard meteorological parameters onboard long-range commercial Airbus A340 aircraft since August 1994 (Marenco et al., 1998, Petzold et al., 2015). Measurements of CO (Nédélec et al., 2003) and NOy (the sum of NOx plus its atmospheric oxidation products) (Volz-Thomas et al., 2005) were added in late 2001. The MOZAIC database currently contains data from more than 41,200 vertical profiles of CO, measured during takeoff and landing from 148 airports around the world. MOZAIC measurements show the general features of the atmospheric CO distribution (Zbinden et al., 2013; Petzold et al., 2015 and references therein), capturing major regional features (e.g., strong CO emissions from biomass burning or anthropogenic sources).

The objective of this paper is to present a three-dimensional (i.e., latitude, longitude, altitude) gridded climatology of carbon monoxide that has been developed by trajectory mapping of global MOZAIC-IAGOS CO data from 2001-2012. We employ a domain-filling technique, using approximately 15,800,000 calculated trajectories to map otherwise sparse MOZAIC-IAGOS CO data into a global field.

This is a technique that has been used successfully with tropospheric and stratospheric ozonesonde data (G. Liu et al., 2013; J. Liu et al., 2013). Stohl et al. (2001) used trajectory statistics to extend one year of MOZAIC O₃ measurements into a 4-season O₃ climatology at 10° longitude by 6° latitude and three vertical heights. Tarasick et al. (2010) developed high resolution (1°×1°×1 km in latitude, longitude, and altitude) tropospheric O₃ fields for North America from ozonesonde data from the INTEX (Intercontinental Transport Experiment) and ARCTAS (Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites) campaigns, and this was extended to global tropospheric ozonesonde data by G. Liu et al. (2013). It is possible to apply this technique to CO because the lifetime of CO in the troposphere, as noted above, is generally of the order of weeks or months. This physically-based method, using the reanalysis meteorological data from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) (Kahnay et al., 1996) to, in effect, interpolate data based on knowledge of atmospheric transport, offers obvious advantages over typical statistical interpolation methods.
2 Measurements of CO

2.1 MOZAIC-IAGOS

CO measurements were made by an improved version of a commercial Model 48CTL CO Analyzer from Thermo Environmental Instruments employing the Gas Filter Correlation technique. The Model 48CTL is based on the principle that CO absorbs infrared radiation at a wavelength of 4.67 microns. For 30 s integration time (the response time of the instrument) the precision achieved is 5 ppb (noise) or 5% (calibration) CO, with minimum detection limit of 10 ppb. The analyzer samples at a horizontal resolution of about 7 km (since the maximum cruise speed of the Airbus A340 aircraft is nearly 250 m/s) and the vertical resolution during ascents and descents is nearly 300 m. Nedelec et al. (2003 for MOZAIC, 2015 for IAGOS) give detailed descriptions of the CO analyzer, measurement technique, instrument validation and quality testing.

The airports visited by aircraft equipped with MOZAIC-IAGOS instrumentation are shown in Fig. 1. Further details are available at http://www.iagos.fr.

Fig. 1. Airports visited by MOZAIC-IAGOS aircraft from 2001-2012. The color bar indicates the number of profiles available from each airport. The squares show the locations of the selected airports used for the validation in this study.

The sampled data from these airports are unevenly distributed spatially, and also temporally because the frequency of visits to airports by aircraft that take part in MOZAIC-IAGOS varies considerably depending on commercial airlines’ operational constraints. Thus at Frankfurt, Germany we find 12,324 CO profiles while from Dammam, Saudi Arabia we have only 2 during the period 2001-2012. The trajectory-mapping method is valuable for filling the sparse and variable spatial domain.

2.2 MOPITT

MOPITT is a nadir-viewing gas correlation radiometer which provides global atmospheric profiles of CO volume mixing ratio (VMR) and CO total column values using near-infrared radiation at 2.3 μm and thermal-infrared radiation at 4.7 μm (Drummond and Mand, 1996). CO columns and profiles are retrieved from the IR emission channels (4.6 μm) for all cloud-free scenes. The MOPITT measurement technique relies on a temperature gradient within the atmosphere, leading to a retrieval dependence on surface temperature, and little sensitivity to CO in the boundary layer. The retrieval uses a priori profiles that vary geographically and temporally. MOPITT-derived CO VMR profiles reflect the vertical sensitivity of the measurement as defined by the retrieval averaging kernel (e.g. Fig. 3) and a priori profile.
study, we have used Level 3, Version 6 monthly CO mixing ratio profile data, reported on 10 pressure levels, as well as CO total column. Nighttime CO observations of MOPITT have not been validated and appear subject to larger bias (Heald et al., 2004). Hence, we use the daytime data for comparison. MOPITT data are publicly available at the NASA Langley Research Center Atmospheric Science Data Center: https://eosweb.larc.nasa.gov/project/mopitt/mopitt_table.

MOPITT was launched in 1999 into sun-synchronous polar orbit with a 1030 local time (LT) northward or southward equator cross-over time. The instrument field of view is 22x22 km². Cross-track scanning with a 612 km swath provides near complete coverage of the surface of the Earth approximately every 3 days. MOPITT retrievals have gone through intensive validation against in situ measurements from aircraft on a regular basis since the start of the mission (Worden et al., 2010; Deeter et al., 2012, 2013, 2014; Emmons et al., 2004, 2007, 2009; Jacob et al., 2003). MOPITT CO retrievals have also been validated by comparing to ground-based and TES satellite measurements (Jacob et al., 2003 and Luo et al., 2007). Deeter et al. (2014) employ the MOPITT L3 V6 product and show biases to vary from -5.2% at 400 hPa to 8.9% at the surface. Previous studies used earlier versions of the MOPITT product.

2.3 Trajectory calculation and global CO mapping via HYSPLIT

For each CO profile of the MOZAIC-IAGOS data set presented here, the mean CO VMR was calculated for 1-km intervals from sea level up to 12 km (the maximum altitude of the aircraft). Cruise data were not used. The HYSPLIT (Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) model version 4.9 (Draxler and Hess, 1998, Draxler, 1999) was employed to calculate trajectories for each level of each profile. The exact location of the aircraft was used to start the trajectories. HYSPLIT, publicly accessible at http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php, uses the reanalysis meteorological wind fields from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) (Kalnay et al., 1996) as an input to describe the transport of CO in the atmosphere. The reanalysis data are available from 1948 until the present. Both forward and backward trajectories for 4 days at 6-hour intervals (32 positions for each level) were calculated for 41,200 CO profiles, and the mean CO mixing ratios from each level (i.e., tropospheric and lower stratospheric air masses) of each profile were assigned to the corresponding trajectory positions along the forward and backward paths. Trajectories only move upward and downward with the meteorological vertical velocity fields since the HYSPLIT kinematic trajectory model employs vertical motions supplied with the NCEP reanalysis meteorological data set. Numerous studies show that the choice of vertical wind velocity has significant impact on the transport of tracers (e.g., Schoeberl et al. 2003; Ploeger et al., 2010, 2012). Kinematic models show excessive dispersion for tracers with strong gradients (e.g., O₃ in the vicinity of the tropopause), particularly for trajectories of 7 days or more. Here trajectories were limited to a maximum of 4 days in length. Moreover, unlike O₃, CO does not have a strong vertical gradient in the upper troposphere. Trajectories that reach the ground continue at the surface where trajectory robustness is more uncertain. Trajectories that reach the top height of the model (20,000 m above sea level) terminate. Although HYSPLIT is
capable of generating a trajectory every hour (i.e., 24 trajectories per day), the typical maximum
frequency of CO measurements is around 2 profiles per day (with the exception of Frankfurt
where we can get up to 6 profiles per day). In this version, no attempt was made to identify
individual CO sources; however, the climatology could in principle be refined by excluding back
trajectories from sources identified via emission inventories. We note, however, that if major
anthropogenic sources were a significant source of error, we would see differences between the
CO mapping produced using only backward and only forward trajectories (see Sect. 3.1).

This mapping implicitly assumes that CO chemistry may be neglected over a timescale of 4 days.
Except near major sources, this assumption should be valid, as the lifetime of CO is much
longer. However, trajectories have significant errors over such timescales. Stohl (1998) in a
comprehensive review, quotes typical errors of about 100-200 km/day in the troposphere. This
can be combined with an estimate of the correlation length in the troposphere to yield an estimate
for the information value of a mapped measurement. Liu et al. (2009) find that O₃ measurements
in the troposphere correlate with an exponential dependence of approximately \( e^{-(r/R)^{3/2}} \), where \( r \)
is distance and \( R \) is a correlation length of 500-1000 km in the troposphere, and 1000-2000 km in
the stratosphere. As the CO lifetime is even longer than the ozone lifetime, the correlation length
for CO should be at least as large. Therefore, the trajectory-mapped data were binned at intervals
of 5° latitude and 5° longitude, at every 1-km altitude, and averaged with a weighting, \( w \),
assigned according to the formula:

\[
W = e^{-(150t/R)^{3.5}}
\]

where \( R \) is the correlation length (taken as 700 km in the troposphere and 1500 km in the
stratosphere), and \( t \) is the age of the trajectory in days.

The trajectory mapping greatly spreads out the in situ CO information along the trajectory paths,
increasing the spatial domain to include much of the globe. Two different vertical coordinate
systems were utilized for the binning, and hence the maps were generated for elevations above
sea level and above ground level. Data are available publicly at ftp://es-
.ee.tor.ec.gc.ca/pub/ftpdt/MOZAIC_output_CO/. In this work, we present global CO maps generated
for elevations above sea level. Global maps of monthly, annual, seasonal and decadal means are
presented, for each altitude, from 2001-2012.

2.4 Distribution of data and uncertainties associated with trajectory mapping

Figure 2 shows typical standard errors of the mapping product and the number of samples per
grid cell, for typical monthly, annual and decadal maps at 4.5 km altitude above sea level.
Similar figures for other levels are included with the climatology on the FTP site. As can be
seen, the largest number of samples per grid cell and the lowest standard errors are found over
North America and Europe as there are more frequent MOZAIC-IAGOS aircraft flights in this
region. Higher standard errors are found at NH high latitudes and much of the SH, where airports
visits by MOZAIC-IAGOS-equipped aircraft are much fewer. The standard error is computed
using all data points found inside a grid cell. This is probably biased low, since some grid cells may contain more than one value from a particular trajectory. This bias is likely not more than a factor of 2, based on typical trajectory lengths. These maps present a visual interpretation that distinguishes regions where the CO climatology is 'statistically robust' (for example, North America and Europe) from those regions where the uncertainty is larger. The average number of samples is approximately 20, 90, and 140 per grid cell for the monthly, annual and decadal maps, and this number does not vary greatly among layers. The average standard error is generally between 3 and 4% of the mean at 4.5 km for all three averaging periods. The monthly mean shows the highest error and the lowest number of samples per grid cell.

Fig. 2 The standard error of the mean (left panels) and number of samples (right panels) for monthly (July 2012), annual (2005), seasonal (DJF 2001-2012) means at 4.5 km altitude above sea level. The month and year shown are chosen as typical; other months and years show similar patterns. The data are binned on a 5°×5° latitude and longitude grid.

2.5 MOZAI–IAGOS Comparison with MOPITT

When comparing the MOPITT retrievals with in situ data, it is necessary to take into account the sensitivity of the retrievals to the true profiles. The method used by MOPITT to retrieve tropospheric CO profiles follows that of Rodgers (2000). In order to perform the most meaningful and accurate comparison, the in situ data to be compared must be transformed using the averaging kernel matrix, \( \mathbf{A} \), and a priori profile, \( \mathbf{x}_a \), as shown by Eq. (2). A “retrieved” comparison profile, \( \mathbf{x}_{\text{ret}} \), is calculated by using the in situ profile, \( \mathbf{x} \), as the ‘true’ profile in Eq. (2) which is interpolated to the lower resolution of MOPITT. As described by Emmons et al. (2004), the in situ profile (\( \mathbf{x} \)) is transformed with averaging kernel matrix \( \mathbf{A} \) and the a priori CO profile (\( \mathbf{x}_a \)) to get a profile (\( \mathbf{x}_{\text{ret}} \)), the appropriate quantity to compare with the MOPITT retrievals:

\[
\mathbf{x}_{\text{ret}} = \mathbf{x}_a + \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) + \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{x}_a + \mathbf{e}
\]

where \( \mathbf{I} \) is the identity matrix and \( \mathbf{e} \) is the retrieval error due to random errors in the measurement and systematic errors in the forward model (e.g., the error in the atmospheric temperature retrieval). \( \mathbf{x}_{\text{ret}} \), \( \mathbf{x} \), and \( \mathbf{x}_a \) are expressed in terms of the logarithm of the VMR. The averaging kernels provide the relative weighting between the true and a priori profiles and reflect the sensitivity of the retrieval to the measurement (Worden et al., 2013). They are very sensitive to the surface temperature and will be different for each point on the globe. The matrix \( \mathbf{A} \) describes the sensitivity of the retrieved CO log(VMR) profile to perturbations applied at each level of the “true” log(VMR) profile. The quantity \( \mathbf{x}_{\text{ret}} \), the transformed in situ profile, represents the result of applying a linear transformation to the in-situ profile in the same way that the remote sensing retrieval process is believed to transform the true profile. Thus, \( \mathbf{x}_{\text{ret}} \) can be directly compared against the MOPITT retrieved CO profile in a manner that is not affected by varying vertical resolution or a priori dependence. The vertical resolution of the retrieved profile...
is described by the shapes of the averaging kernels. Figure 3 shows that the kernels are broad except at pressure levels between 400-300 hPa and exhibit a large degree of overlap. The overlap of the averaging kernels peaking in the boundary layer and those at the top of the atmosphere indicates a significant correlation for the retrieved values at these levels. Typical full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of these curves is approximately 5-8 km. The retrieved CO values at both top and bottom are also influenced by CO at mid levels, and by the a priori CO profile at all pressure levels. The averaging kernels also describe the relative contributions, to the CO VMR retrieved at a given level, of the true and a priori (via I - A) CO profiles at all pressure levels (Eq. (2)). Where the area under the averaging kernel is smaller, the a priori information in the retrieved CO profile is relatively larger. MOPITT CO averaging kernels exhibit variability from month to month, season to season as well as nighttime to daytime, depending on the atmospheric temperature profile, surface pressure and the CO profile itself.

The vertical coordinate of the MOZAIC-IAGOS climatology profile is kilometers above sea level, while the MOPITT a priori profile and averaging kernels are on pressure levels in hPa. Therefore, before applying the MOPITT averaging kernels the climatology data were interpolated using NCEP global pressure profiles that vary as a function of time (month) and latitude, to the 10 vertical pressure grid levels (1000, 900, 800, 700, 600, 500, 400, 300, 200, and 100 hPa) used by MOPITT. The interpolated profile was then convolved with the a priori profile and the averaging kernels following Eq. (2) (Emmons et al., 2004). For the atmospheric residual above the maximum MOZAIC-IAGOS profile altitude, the MOPITT a priori profiles were used.

In order to compare with these transformed CO profiles, the MOPITT CO profiles, averaging kernels, and a priori profiles were mapped down from the original horizontal resolution of 1°x1° in latitude and longitude to a reduced 5°x5° grid. Two examples of comparisons of trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO profiles with individual (reduced) 5°x5° MOPITT CO profiles are shown in Fig. 3. The application of the averaging kernels to the MOZAIC-IAGOS CO profile results in a vertical transformation which can shift mixing ratios significantly at some levels. The averaging kernel, for example, identified as "1000" (i.e., surface) shows how changes to the true CO mixing ratio at all ten retrieval levels would each contribute to a change in the retrieved value at the surface at 1000 mbar. The original trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS climatology profile is quite different from the transformed climatology profile and the departures of the transformed CO mixing ratio from the true mixing ratios can be as large as 60 ppb at some pressure levels.

CO total column amounts are retrieved from the MOPITT observations in addition to the profile retrievals. The retrieved CO total column $c_{ret}$ (a scalar) is related to the retrieved profile $x_{ret}$ (a vector) through the linear relation

$$c_{ret} = t^T x_{ret}$$

(3)
where T indicates the transpose operation and t is the total column vectors. The CO total column averaging kernel can be calculated from the profile averaging kernels by

\[ a = t^T A \]  

(4)

The column operator simply converts the mixing ratio for each retrieval level to a partial column amount. Using the hydrostatic relation, the operator \( \mathcal{I} \) is expressed as

\[ t = 2.120 \cdot 10^{13} \Delta \rho \]  

(5)

Equation (5) is expressed in molecules/cm\(^2\)/ppbv and \( \Delta \rho \) is the vector of the thicknesses of the retrieval pressure levels (in hPa).

**Fig. 3.** Examples of comparisons of monthly means of the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO profiles, with the corresponding MOPITT averaging kernels, a priori and retrievals. The left panels of each subplot show the original trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS climatology profile (green, i.e. unsmoothed), the a priori profile, the transformed trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS climatology profile (red, i.e. smoothed), and the MOPIT retrieved CO profile. The right panel show the mean averaging kernels, for different pressure levels, obtained by averaging all daytime averaging kernels in the 5°x5° latitude-longitude box centered on the coordinates indicated.

3 Validation

Validation of the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO dataset product has been performed by (1) comparing maps constructed using only forward trajectories against those constructed using only backward trajectories; (2) comparing profiles for individual airports against those produced by the mapping method when data from that site are excluded; (3) comparing with global MOZAIC-IAGOS cruise-level data, which were not included in the trajectory-mapped dataset, and (4) comparing with independent data from the NOAA aircraft flask sampling program.

3.1 Comparison of trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO profiles

As a first step in validation of the trajectory-mapped climatology, Figs. 4 and S1 assess the differences between the CO mapping produced using only backward and only forward trajectories for different seasons using the 7.5 km level as an example. If chemistry (i.e. local sources or sinks) were a significant source of error then one would expect to see differences between these maps. In fact, the CO distribution patterns are very similar (Fig. 4). Differences are most commonly 10% or less, and found to be less than 30% for almost all cases. They are typically less than 10% at northern mid-latitudes and less than 20% in the tropics between ±30° latitude, except in the Pacific and Atlantic oceans where they can be as large as 30%. Differences (Fig. S1) also show no distinct pattern, except for some clustering in areas where the trajectories
are longest, and therefore least reliable. As differences between the two distributions are comparable with the uncertainties of the mean value estimates and not systematic, it is reasonable to combine forward and backward mapped values to produce an averaged CO map.

Fig. 4. Examples of the global distribution, 2001-2012, of trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO (ppbv) produced using only backward and only forward trajectories at 7.5 km a.s.l. Panels correspond to different seasons: (a, b) December-February, (c, d) March-May, (e, f) June-August and (g, h) September-November.

3.2 Comparison between trajectory-mapped and in situ profiles

A good test of an interpolation model is to examine how it performs in areas where no data are available. Figure 5 compares the trajectory-mapped climatology profiles at three airport sites (Frankfurt, Germany; Houston, USA; and Tokyo, Japan) with the average of the MOZAIC-IAGOS data from each of these sites for May of 2001-2012. Houston and Tokyo are not as well sampled as Frankfurt (Figure 1). The climatology profiles for each location were produced by excluding data from that location, but using all other MOZAIC-IAGOS data.

Generally, the profiles from the two methods agree very well and the agreement is especially good in the free troposphere, at altitudes between 2 and 10 km. Referring to the bottom panels of Fig. 5, the magnitude of the differences for most altitudes is well under 20%.

Fig. 5. Comparisons of trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology and MOZAIC-IAGOS profiles at three sites. The climatology profiles for each location were produced by excluding data from that location, but using all other MOZAIC-IAGOS data. The horizontal error bar half-length is twice the standard error of the mean (equivalent to 95% confidence limits on the averages when the number of data points is large).

Fig. 6 shows seasonally-averaged differences, using this method, for a number of airports with different characteristics. The airport stations that have been selected in this validation study represent tropical and northern hemisphere midlatitude locations that are subject to different meteorological and CO source conditions. Agreement is generally good in the free troposphere. There are larger differences below 2 km where trajectories have larger errors predominantly due to complex dispersion and turbulence in the planetary boundary layer [Stohl and Seibert, 1998]. The largest differences are seen where other sources of data are distant. The smallest overall bias is seen at Frankfurt, even though the exclusion of Frankfurt data removes nearly 1/3 of the total
number of profiles. Apparently data from nearby airports such as Munich (Germany) and Brussels (Belgium) map accurately to the Frankfurt location. The consistency of these validation tests suggests that the trajectory-mapped dataset provides a reliable picture of the tropospheric CO distribution.

Fig. 6. Seasonal mean relative biases \[\frac{2(\text{Clim-MOZAIC})}{\text{Clim+MOZAIC}}\], expressed in %, between trajectory-mapped and MOZAIC-IAGOS in situ profiles for the period from 2001 to 2012. The selected airports are representative of different meteorological and source conditions across the globe. N, lat and lon are the number of profiles, latitude and longitude of each airport.

3.3 Comparison with the MOZAIC-IAGOS in-situ for Upper Troposphere

We can also compare the trajectory-mapped profile data and MOZAIC-IAGOS in situ global CO data at cruise altitudes between 8 and 12 km. The right panels of Fig. 7 show the global seasonal mean (December-February, March-May, June-August and September-November) distribution of CO in the upper troposphere (within 60 hPa below the tropopause) for the period from 2003 to 2011. Elevated CO levels in the upper troposphere are generally seen over the areas where there is strong biomass burning (central Africa, southern Africa and South America). High CO emissions are observed over eastern China in MAM primarily due to a rise in coal use (Boden et al., 2009; Gregg et al., 2008; Tie et al., 2006) and an increasing number of vehicles (Cai and Xie, 2007).

The left panels of Fig. 7 show the trajectory-mapped climatology 2001-2012 at altitudes between 7 and 9 km above sea level. The trajectory-mapping yields more data over the oceans and NH high latitudes. However, both figures show high CO values in spring in both hemispheres and elevated CO levels over regions where there are strong sources. Comparable CO values are noticeable from the figures over the Northern Atlantic Ocean, although the trajectory-mapped data appear high over high-elevation areas like Greenland and the Himalayas. This may be due to over-correction of trajectories for terrain differences. Overall, the qualitative agreement between the trajectory-mapped CO and MOZAIC-IAGOS in situ CO cruise data appears very good, even in remote areas.

Fig. 7. Global distribution of seasonal mean trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO between 7-9 km altitudes above sea level for the period from 2001 to 2012. Left: MOZAIC-IAGOS trajectory. Right: MOZAIC-IAGOS cruise altitude.

3.4 NOAA CO vertical profiles
The vertical in situ CO profiles acquired through NOAA’s flask sampling program have been extensively utilized previously for validation of CO measurements of MOPITT [Emmons et al., 2004; Emmons et al., 2009; Deeter et al., 2010; Deeter et al., 2013]. Typically 12–15 flask samples are utilized to derive an in situ profile and a single flask is used to sample air at a unique altitude, providing in situ measurements from near the ground up to about 300–350 hPa. The flasks are shipped to the Global Monitoring Division of NOAA’s Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL) for trace gas analysis. Details on procedures of sample collection are found in Novelli et al. [1992], Lang et al. [1992], and Conway et al. [1994].

Figure 8 shows comparisons between NOAA in-situ data and the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology, for altitude ranges of 0-2, 2-4, 4-6 and 6-8 km. The comparison uses all available flask data (1940 profiles for the period from 2001-2012). NOAA CO data points are matched with the corresponding grid cell (5° × 5° × 1 km) of the monthly climatology, for the same year and month. If the monthly CO value for a particular grid cell is missing, the seasonal mean (if it exists) of the trajectory-mapped CO climatology (2001-2012) is used for the comparison. Above 2 km agreement is fairly good, considering that the comparison is between point measurements and monthly averages over a large volume. The positive bias below 2 km is probably due to the effect of urban sources of CO since airports are located close to cities. In general, MOZAIC-IAGOS CO measurements at takeoff and landing are above background. This “airport effect” decreases rapidly as can be from the figure for higher altitudes. This decrease is not only because the aircraft ascends above the boundary layer, but also samples over 150-400 km in distance as the aircraft ascends to, or descends from, cruise altitude.

Fig. 8 CO mixing ratio comparison between trajectory-derived and NOAA flask data for the period from 2001-2012, for four altitude ranges. Bias is calculated as the mean of the differences in %, [2(NoAA-Clim)/(Clim+NOAA)], of all data points. The blue line is the line of best fit, the red line is the 1:1 line, N is number of data pairs, and R is the correlation coefficient. Monthly trajectory mapped CO data are used for the comparison, or seasonal mean values if the monthly mean value for a particular grid cell is not available.

4 Trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS Versus MOPITT

This section is devoted to comparing the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO dataset with the extensively validated product from the MOPITT instrument onboard the NASA Terra satellite, which has been operating continuously since March 2000 (Drummond and Mand, 1996; Edwards et al., 1999). Global comparison is made for both CO profiles and CO total column for different time periods.

4.1 Comparison with MOPITT CO profiles
As described in Section 2.4, in order to make a rigorous comparison with MOPITT data, the climatology profiles are first transformed using the corresponding MOPITT a priori profiles and averaging kernels via Eq. (2). Figure 3 shows examples of retrieved CO profiles ($x_{r}$), together with the original climatology ($x_{C}$) and the a priori profiles ($x_{a}$).

When comparing MOPITT CO retrievals and the trajectory-mapped CO profile it is useful to keep in mind the shapes and magnitudes of the averaging kernels. For example, the generally broad and weak averaging kernels for the 100 and 1000 mbar levels indicate that a significant fraction of the information in the retrieval is from the a priori profile and from other altitudes. Figure 3 also cautions that the transformed trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO is closer to both the MOPITT CO retrievals and a priori profiles when there is less information from the measurement. In the lower troposphere the MOPITT CO retrieval profile is positively biased (Deeter et al., 2014), whereas the bias is negative in the upper troposphere. In Fig. 3, we have used only the dayside retrievals from MOPITT as the dayside retrievals have the maximum information content (Deeter et al., 2004).

Figure 9 shows comparisons between MOPITT retrievals and the MOZAIC-IAGOS climatology for global CO data at pressure levels 900 hPa, 700 hPa, 500 hPa, and 300 hPa. The biases and correlations between MOPITT CO VMR and the CO climatology (after applying the averaging kernels and the a priori profiles) are indicated in each plot. There are clearly two distinct clusters of dots in Fig. 9a and 9b, and the high CO VMRs values seen here are from the tropics, with a very small number from the NH extratropics. Recent work by Ding et al. (2015) shows the association of enhanced CO in the free troposphere with the uplifting of CO from biomass burning and anthropogenic sources.

MOPITT and trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology mixing ratios are well-correlated with correlation coefficients of 0.7 or higher, for daytime data over both land and ocean. However, Fig. 9 also reveals significant biases between MOPITT retrievals and the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology (geometric) altitudes above the 700 hPa pressure level. Although in Fig. 9 we have chosen to show biases for winter 2001-2012, the same analysis for other seasons yields similar results.

Fig. 9. Comparison results for DJF (December, January, February) 2001-2012. MOPITT CO retrievals at 900, 700, 500 and 300 hPa are plotted against trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology profiles that have been transformed using the MOPITT averaging kernels and a priori data. The red line is the 1:1 line, $N$ denotes the total number of data points, $R$ is the correlation coefficient, $RMS$ is root mean square error in ppbv and $Bias$ is the relative bias.
between them in %. In each panel, the different color dots group different latitude bands: 23.5-66.5° S (SH extratropics), 23.5° S - 23.5° N (tropics) and 23.5-66.5° N (NH extratropics).

These large differences are surprising, since Deeter et al. (2014), who also use the MOPITT L3 V6 product and NOAA flask data (among other sources), report biases varying from -5.2% at 400 hPa to 8.9% at the surface. These results are not dissimilar to our comparison in Figure 8, and would suggest a difference of about 5% between MOPITT and the trajectory-mapped climatology, with the climatology being higher primarily due to the airport effect. Although the validation data sets are not identical (owing primarily to incomplete global coverage of the MOZAIC-IAGOS product), the relative bias of 22% at 500 hPa seems excessive. In order to eliminate the possibility that trajectory errors might be contributing to this bias, we have also compared MOZAIC-IAGOS in situ CO profiles against MOPITT retrievals. As an example in Fig. 10, we display the comparison between MOZAIC-IAGOS in situ CO profiles at Frankfurt (Germany) and MOPITT CO retrievals, which have been regridded to 5° resolution, over Frankfurt from MOPITT overpasses. The MOZAIC-IAGOS in situ aircraft CO values have been transformed using the MOPITT averaging kernels and a priori data, for the period from December 2001-December 2012. MOPITT and MOZAIC-IAGOS are again strongly correlated, and biases at 500 hPa and 300 hPa are large, and in fact very similar in magnitude to those with respect to the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO dataset. This implies that the differences at 500 and 300 hPa are not a result of the trajectory mapping.

Fig. 10. Same as Fig. 9 but MOPITT CO retrievals are plotted against MOZAIC-IAGOS CO in situ profiles that have been transformed using the MOPITT averaging kernels and a priori data. The in situ profiles are monthly means from 2001-2012 (Frankfurt, Germany). Outliers (CO mixing ratios more than 1.5 standard deviations from the mean at each pressure level) have been removed, which improves the correlation coefficient at 300 hPa but makes no significant change in other derived parameters.

A global comparison between the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS climatology and MOPITT at 600 hPa is displayed in Fig. 11. As can be seen, both datasets capture major features of the CO distribution, particularly anthropogenically polluted (i.e., northeast China) and biomass burning (i.e., west Africa, central Africa, South Africa and central America) regions. The CO-rich air in the lower troposphere over west Africa, where biomass burning fires are active, is convectively lifted to the upper troposphere where it disperses over the African tropics towards the east coast of South America (Edwards et al., 2003). Over southern Africa and southeast Asia, where there are strong sources, and in general at 600 hPa, higher CO VMRs are found by the MOZAIC-IAGOS mapping than by MOPITT.
Fig. 11. Global distribution of the seasonal mean trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology (left panels), after transformation with the MOPITT a priori profiles and averaging kernels matrix, and MOPITT CO retrievals (right panels). CO mixing ratio (ppbv) as a function of latitude and longitude at 800 (a-d) and 600 (e-h) hPa pressure levels. Data are binned at 5°x5° in latitude and longitude for the period from 2001-2012.

Figure S2 shows global maps of percentage differences between MOPITT and the transformed trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology at 800 and 600 hPa pressure levels for DJF and SON 2001-2012. Differences are generally less than ±20% at 800 hPa, with a negligible overall bias, but larger at 600 hPa, with MOPITT on average 10-20% lower. Generally, the comparisons of the CO profiles of the transformed trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS and MOPITT for both grid cells as well as zonal mean for different latitude bands show a consistent, significant bias: MOPITT is lower from about 700 hPa to 300 hPa, but shows a negligible bias in the lowermost troposphere. Above 300 hPa, they seem to agree better, although this may be partly due to the fact that the retrieved CO values in this region are highly influenced by the MOPITT a priori data for both cases.

4.2 Comparison with MOPITT CO total column values

In the same manner as we have done for the retrieved CO profiles, the retrievals of CO total column $c_{\text{reco}}$ may be compared against total column values derived from in situ profiles $x$. Utilizing Eq. (2), the retrievals of the total CO column $c_{\text{reco}}$ found in Eq. (3) can be rewritten alternatively as

$$c_{\text{reco}} = c_a + \alpha(x - x_a)$$

where $c_a = t^T x_a$ is the a priori total column value corresponding to the a priori profile $x_a$, $\alpha$ is the CO total column averaging kernel and $x$ is the in situ profile.

We have calculated the global total CO columns for both the MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology (using the MOPITT a priori and averaging kernels by applying Eq. (6)) and for MOPITT CO retrievals and compared different regions of the globe and different time intervals from 2001-2012. For most regions the MOPITT CO total columns are 10-20% lower than the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology total columns, with larger differences in high CO source regions. The SH shows a distinct latitude gradient, which is not evident in the NH. This is likely related to the existence of major CO sources in the NH and the absence of large sources of emission in the SH. Figure 12 shows global total column CO for four seasons. It is clear that MOPITT and the climatology are similarly able to capture the CO spatial variability. In NH autumn, elevated total column CO is seen over South America, southeast Asia and west African which is due primarily to agricultural biomass burning in the regions. High total column CO is seen in all seasons over eastern China, which is one of the major emission regions in the world.
Northern hemispheric total columns are much higher than those in the southern hemisphere, and CO is somewhat more abundant in the NH winter, which is expected due to the lower amounts of hydroxyl radical (OH) that are present in the troposphere in that season. Difference plots for the CO maps shown Fig. 12 are shown in Figure S3.

**Fig. 12.** Global total column CO from the transformed trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS climatology and MOPITT data for December-February, March-May, June-August and September-November 2001-2012. Data are averaged in 5°x5° latitude-longitude bins.

Fig. 13 shows scatter plots of retrieved MOPITT CO total columns against the transformed trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS climatology for the same periods shown in Fig. 12. Correlations are strong except in SON 2001-2012, and average biases 12-16%, with the trajectory MOZAIC-IAGOS higher. The high bias might be in part associated with the airport effect; however the averaging kernels (Fig. 3) are not very sensitive to CO in the boundary layer.

**Fig. 13.** Global MOPITT CO column retrievals versus transformed trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology column for four seasons. The bias is calculated as the difference for each grid cell, \( \frac{2(MOPITT-Clim)}{(Clim+MOPITT)} \), averaged over all grid cells. The blue line is the line of best fit, the red line is the 1:1 line and the correlation coefficient (\( R \)), total number of data points (\( N \)) and root mean square error (RMS) are indicated.

**5 Results**

**5.1 Global distribution of MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology**

Figure 14 shows the monthly mean CO VMR between 4 and 8 km altitude above sea level for 2001-2012. The climatology is able to capture the CO spatial variability fairly well: the northern hemispheric concentrations are much higher, and the biomass burning peaks are clearly visible for the NH winter and spring seasons. The climatology shows more abundant CO in the NH during these seasons. This is due primarily to lower OH levels during the cold season which permits a longer lifetime for CO, although there also appears to be an additional source in eastern Asia. Enhanced CO concentration is observed in the tropical regions where wildfire burning is typical during January–April, like west Africa and a large part of central Africa (Sauvage et al., 2005, 2007). At southern mid-latitudes between South America, southern Africa and Australia, we observe high CO from September to November, during the agricultural burning season. Although Fig. 14 shows a 12-year global map, the strong enhanced CO over these regions (west...
Africa, South America, and southeast Asia) is clearly observable as an annual feature with significant interannual variability.

Fig. 14. Global monthly mean CO distribution from the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO VMR as a function of latitude and longitude for January-December 2001-2012 and altitudes between 4-8 km a.s.l. The data are averaged with a bin size of 5°x5°.

5.2 Zonal distribution of MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology

5.2.1 Seasonal variation

Fig. 15. Zonally averaged monthly variation of CO for the latitude bands 45°S-45°N, 23.5°S-23.5°N, 23.5-66.5°N and 23.5-66.5°S, for the period 2001-2012. CO mixing ratios are shown for altitude ranges 0-2 km, 2-4 km, 4-8 km and 8-12 km, as well as total column (TC).

As can be seen from Fig. 15, CO shows distinct seasonal cycles in both hemispheres. In the NH extratropics (Fig. 15c), maximum CO VMR is observed in February-April following a steady increase during fall and winter. This is followed by a rapid decrease to the lowest CO levels in July-September. The decline in summer shows the typical seasonal pattern of CO in the NH driven by OH increase during this time (Yurganov et al., 2008; Novelli et al., 1998). In the SH extratropics (Fig. 15d), CO levels peak in September-October. This is consistent with previous studies by Novelli et al. (1998). In the SH, the annual CO maximum is earlier at lower altitudes. Rinsland et al. (2002) suggested this phenomenon to be associated with the vertical and horizontal CO dispersion away from the biomass burning region in the tropics. Moreover, CO shows greater seasonal variability, particularly at higher altitudes, in the SH than in the NH. The seasonal CO cycle in the tropics (Fig. 15b) and for latitude band 45°S-45°N (Fig. 15a) both display a July minimum, and a secondary maximum in October while the primary maximum is in late NH winter/early spring. The CO cycle in both hemispheres is controlled by seasonal variations of OH (Logan et al., 1981; Bergamaschi et al., 2000; Novelli et al., 1998) and biomass burning in the tropics and to a lesser degree at boreal latitudes.

Figure 16 shows zonal mean latitude-time cross-section plots of CO VMR at 2.5 km, 4.5 km, 6.5 km, 8.5 km, 10.5 km and 12.5 km altitudes for the period 2001-2012. The latitude-time cross-section shows the seasonal cycle of zonal mean CO for different altitudes, as seen in the previous figures, and also the variation of the interhemispheric CO VMR gradient throughout the year. The strongest interhemispheric gradient occurs in March, at low altitude, and the smallest
gradients are seen in northern summer. The gradient in NH spring reverses at higher altitudes, and in NH fall where it is especially strong at higher altitudes. Plots 14e, f also clearly show the weak seasonal cycle in the NH upper troposphere compared to that in the SH.

Fig. 16. Seasonal variation of zonal monthly mean trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology at 2.5, 4.5, 6.5, 8.5, 10.5 and 12.5 km altitudes for the period 2001-2012. The zonal mean data are averaged in 5° latitude intervals.

5.3.2 Vertical distribution

Figure 17 illustrates the variation of CO with altitude for the seasons in which we observe maximum CO levels in both the SH and NH (i.e., MAM and SON). The greatest CO VMRs are found at lower altitudes in both hemispheres, although CO declines with altitude faster in the NH than the SH. This results in a decrease in the strength of the interhemispheric gradient (SH to NH) with altitude. This result is consistent with Edwards et al. (2006) who suggested that in the absence of continued CO input from the source regions (i.e., biomass burning in southern Africa and South America), the aged CO is gradually distributed vertically throughout the troposphere in the SH. In fact, in regions where there is deep convection this leads to an enhanced CO concentration in the upper troposphere, as can be seen on the right-hand side of Fig. 17 and in Fig. 18. Moreover, Liu et al. (2006) showed large horizontal CO gradients in association with vertical and horizontal transport of air with different chemical signatures of origin.

Zonal CO mean vertical profiles for February, April, July and September, averaged for 2001-2012 over the latitude bands 23.5-66.5° N (NH extratropics), 23.5-66.5° S (SH extratropics) and 23.5° S-23.5° N (tropics), are shown in Fig. 18. The CO profiles show seasonal and latitudinal variability primarily in the NH extratropics. The largest VMRs of CO occur at lower altitudes in the NH extratropics in February and April but the strong decline with altitude causes CO VMRs to be higher in the SH at high altitudes than in the NH. The trajectory-mapped CO in the SH extratropics is mainly representative of the tropics, while in the NH extratropics there are many CO measurements poleward of 40°. This implies that sampling of the lowermost stratosphere will be more frequent in the NH than in the SH. In the tropics, CO VMRs show a rapid decrease with altitude in the lower troposphere but above approximately 4-5 km changes with altitude are minor.
**Fig. 17.** Global distribution of seasonal (the NH spring and fall) mean trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology as a function of latitude and longitude for altitudes 1.5, 3.5, 5.5 km, 7.5 km and 9.5 km a.s.l. The left and right columns show average CO VMRs for March-April-May and September-October-November, 2001-2012. The data are averaged with a bin size of 5°x5° latitude and longitude.

**Fig. 18.** Monthly mean profiles of CO from the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO climatology for February, April, July and September, averaged for 2001-2012. The different colors represent CO mean VMR for the latitude bands 23.5-66.5°N, 23.5-66.5°S and 23.5°S-23.5°N.

### 6 Applications

#### 6.1 Global variation and trends of CO

The smoothed time series of the NH extratropical zonal mean CO VMR at 900, 700, 500, and 300 hPa for the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS dataset 2001-2012 is shown in Fig. 19. For purposes of comparison we also show data from MOPITT and from the mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS dataset transformed with the MOPITT averaging kernels. Gaps in the figure occur whenever one data source is missing. The gaps in June-July 2001 and August-September 2009 were due to a cooler failure of the MOPITT instrument. MOZAIC-IAGOS began CO measurement in December 2001 and there were only partial data available in 2010 and 2011. The observations show an annual late winter or springtime peak in the NH extratropical zonal CO loading each year, in conjunction with low wintertime OH levels. The same interannual cycle of CO is captured by both trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS (transformed and untransformed) and MOPITT. They appear to track short-term changes equally well. However, while all show a modest decline in the lower troposphere until about 2008-2009 (after which CO VMR seems to level off), in accordance with the trends found by Worden et al. (2013), in the upper troposphere MOPITT shows a modest increase. It also shows a significant bias with respect to the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS data that decreases with time. Although the untransformed trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO values show a significant difference against the transformed data in the lower troposphere, they seem to agree well at higher levels. The untransformed trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS data show higher CO levels than MOPITT CO retrievals at all levels.

**Fig. 19.** Zonally averaged time series of monthly mean CO VMR, at individual levels and total column, as retrieved by MOPITT and from the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS CO
climatology (untransformed, and transformed using MOPITT’s averaging kernels) for the latitude band 23.5°-66.5° N.

Laken and Shahbaz (2014) found increasing CO trends over widespread regions of South America, Mexico, central Africa, Greenland, the eastern Antarctic, and the entire region of India and China from MOPITT data. The SH extratropics also shows time series similar to those in Fig. 19, but the negative trend is not as clear as that in the NH due to limited data. The annual springtime peak in the SH zonal CO loading is visible in all of the time series. This is predominantly associated with dry season biomass burning emissions in South America, southern Africa, southeast Asia, and northwestern Australia. In later months, the CO resulting from these emissions is generally destroyed by more active photochemistry during the SH summer. At these times, the retrieved zonal CO falls to background levels (around 40-50 ppbv) which are representative of the remote ocean regions where CO production by methane oxidation is the dominant source (Edwards et al., 2006). We looked at the time series of the zonal monthly mean of CO VMR for the tropics. The biases between the MOPITT retrievals and the trajectory-mapped MOZAIC-IAGOS in general show the same features as for the extratropics, while the seasonal patterns combine those of the NH and SH seen in Fig. 19.

In Fig. S4, we display the monthly mean time series for Frankfurt from December 2001-December 2012. These also show significant biases, declining with time, between MOPITT and the transformed MOZAIC-IAGOS in situ above 700 hPa, in good agreement with the result shown in Fig. 19. Furthermore, MOPITT shows a modest increase in CO levels in the upper troposphere while MOZAIC-IAGOS in situ (transformed and untransformed) shows a modest decline, consistent with Petetin et al. (2015), who report a similar decrease over Frankfurt. The MOPITT and MOZAIC-IAGOS (transformed and untransformed) CO values for Frankfurt show the same seasonal patterns as the NH extratropics (Fig. 19). This comparison suggests that a prominent bias, declining with time, exists between MOZAIC-IAGOS and MOPITT L3 V6 TIR/NIR products.

7 Conclusions

We have presented a three-dimensional (i.e., latitude, longitude, altitude) gridded climatology of CO developed by trajectory mapping of global MOZAIC-IAGOS data. This quasi-global climatology dataset offers a complement to global satellite measurements, at significantly higher vertical resolution, that facilitates visualization and comparison of different years and seasons, and offers insight into the global variation and trends of CO. Even though the MOZAIC-IAGOS aircraft data are unevenly distributed both in time and space across the globe, the trajectory-mapped dataset is uniformly distributed on a 5°×5°×1 km grid. Major regional features of the
The trajectory-mapped CO dataset has been validated by comparing maps constructed using only forward trajectories and using only backward trajectories. The two methods show similar global CO distribution patterns. Differences are most commonly 10% or less, and found to be less than 30% for almost all cases. They are typically less than 10% at northern mid-latitudes and less than 20% in the tropics between ±30° latitude, except in the Pacific and Atlantic oceans where it can reach as large as 30%. The dataset has also been validated by comparison against in-situ MOZAIC-IAGOS aircraft measurements, where the data from the validation site are excluded from the trajectory-mapped data. Although the comparison shows larger differences below 2 km, the profiles from the two methods agree very well between 2 and 10 km with the magnitude of differences within 20%. A further comparison between the trajectory-mapped result and MOZAIC-IAGOS in situ CO cruise data, which were not included in the trajectory-mapping, shows that major regional features of the global CO distribution for different seasons are clearly evident in both maps and they agree well in regions of overlap. This suggests that the trajectory-mapped CO data performs well not only near airports but also in remote areas. Validation was also performed against independent data from the NOAA aircraft flask sampling program. The results suggest small or insignificant biases in the upper troposphere, but positive biases as large as 12% for MOZAIC-IAGOS in the lower troposphere. This is probably due to the “airport effect”, a sampling bias that occurs because commercial aircraft operate from large airports near large cities, with typically elevated CO levels in the boundary layer.

The trajectory-mapped CO dataset has also been extensively compared with MOPITT retrievals. Between 700 and 300 hPa, a prominent bias, declining with time, exists between MOZAIC-IAGOS and MOPITT L3 V6 TIR/NIR products.

This study demonstrates one aspect of the value of the MOZAIC-IAGOS continuous, long-term, global, vertically resolved in situ measurements. Such routine commercial aircraft observations...
provide valuable information on atmospheric composition that can improve our understanding of
global and regional air quality and the potential impact of greenhouse gases on climate change.

The dataset. The unique 3D CO climatology dataset presented here has the potential to be used
for time series and trend analysis, and provides a quasi-global view of CO changes and transport
as well as interannual variability. It will also be useful as model initial fields, and background
and boundary fields. It will be especially useful as an improved a priori climatology for satellite
data retrieval. The global picture it presents is also expected to be valuable for comparison and
validation of model results. The data are publically available at ftp://es-
eetor.ec.gc.ca/pub/ftpdt/MOZAIC_output_CO/.
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