Fossil and non-fossil source contributions to atmospheric carbonaceous aerosols during extreme spring grassland fires in Eastern Europe
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Abstract

In early spring the Baltic region is frequently affected by high pollution events due to biomass burning in that area. Here we present a comprehensive study to investigate the impact of biomass/grass burning (BB) on the evolution and composition of aerosol in Preila, Lithuania, during springtime open fires. Non-refractory submicron particulate matter (NR-PM$_1$) was measured by an Aerodyne aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM) and a source apportionment with the multilinear engine (ME-2) running the positive matrix factorization (PMF) model was applied to the organic aerosol fraction to investigate the impact of biomass/grass burning. Satellite observations over regions of biomass burning activity supported the results and identification of air mass transport to the area of investigation. Sharp increases in biomass burning tracers, such as levoglucosan up to 683 ng m$^{-3}$ and black carbon (BC) up to 17 µg m$^{-3}$ were observed during this period. A further separation between fossil and non-fossil primary and secondary contributions was obtained by coupling ACSM PMF results and radiocarbon ($^{14}$C) measurements of the elemental (EC) and organic (OC) carbon fractions. Non-fossil organic carbon (OC$_{nf}$) was the dominant fraction of PM$_1$, with the primary (POC$_{nf}$) and secondary (SOC$_{nf}$) fractions contributing 26–44 % and 13–23 % to the TC, respectively. 5–8 % of the TC had a primary fossil origin (POC$_{f}$), whereas the contribution of fossil secondary organic carbon (SOC$_{f}$) was 4–13 %). Non-fossil EC (EC$_{nf}$) and fossil EC (EC$_{f}$) ranged from 13–24 % and 7–12 %, respectively. Isotope ratio of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes were used to distinguish aerosol particles associated with solid and liquid fossil fuel burning.

1 Introduction

On global scale wood or grass burning is a major source of organic aerosol (Crutzen et al., 1979; Levine, 1996). Approximately 90 % of vegetation burning is caused by human-induced fires (Baldini et al., 2002) and only a minor fraction derives from nat-
ural processes such as lightning. The composition of biomass smoke depends on the type of wood, combustion conditions (flaming vs. smoldering), and ambient weather conditions (Weimer et al., 2008; Grieshop et al., 2009; Hawkins and Russell, 2010; Akagi et al., 2012). Fine particles emitted from biomass burning include directly emitted primary particles (POA) and secondary organic aerosols (SOA), formed in the atmosphere as the plume ages through photochemical processes driven by sunlight (Capes et al., 2008; Heringa et al., 2011).

Many studies have revealed that organic matter (OM) is the largest fraction of ambient fine particles, typically comprising 20–90% of the submicron particulate mass (Jimenez et al., 2009). Factor analysis of aerosol mass spectra from Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer enables the deconvolution of OM into different factors based on their mass spectral fingerprints (Lanz et al., 2007; Aiken et al., 2009; Ulbrich et al., 2009). Such results provided valuable insights into the source and transformation processes of organic aerosols (OA) in the atmosphere (Lanz et al., 2010; Ng et al., 2011; Hildebrandt et al., 2011; Canonaco et al., 2013; Bougiatioti et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2014).

The main type of biomass burning in Lithuania and surrounding countries in early spring during the last years is illegal grass burning for land clearing (Ulevicius et al., 2010b; Byčenkienė et al., 2013). The north-east European countries are considered to influence significantly the microphysical, chemical and optical properties of the aerosol in the Baltic Sea region (Kikas et al., 2008; Zawadzka et al., 2013; Mann et al., 2014; Beddows et al., 2014). Long-term measurements of carbonaceous aerosols performed in this area by Ulevicius et al. (2010a, b) and Byčenkienė et al. (2011, 2013) reported a yearly occurrence of high biomass burning organic aerosol (BBOA) levels during March–April related to regional transport from the Kaliningrad region, Ukraine and southwestern part of Russia surrounding the Black Sea, but information on the nature and chemical composition of the biomass burning aerosol in Lithuania is still limited. There has been no systematic investigation of the impact of biomass burning on ambient organic aerosol levels in this region, and a quantitative estimate is needed to
understand the possible impacts of BBOA on air quality in the south-eastern Baltic Sea region.

In many studies levoglucosan was used to assess the contribution of biomass-burning smoke to the aerosol mass concentrations (Puxbaum et al., 2007). A number of source emission studies reported that levoglucosan is not a useful tracer after long-range transport due to its transformation (Hoffmann et al., 2010; Hennigan et al., 2010; Mochida et al., 2010). In contrast to levoglucosan, determination of radiocarbon ($^{14}$C) offers a unique possibility for source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosol particles, as it unambiguously distinguishes fossil from non-fossil emissions (e.g. Currie, 2000; Ceburnis et al., 2011).

For this study, in the framework of the Lithuanian–Swiss Cooperation Programme joint research project (AEROLIT), an ACSM was deployed in a background area of the South Baltic Sea to measure airborne submicron particles for one month during a period of frequent grass burning pollution. The main findings include investigation of OA components (Sects. 3.1–3.2), molecular markers (Sect. 3.2), source apportionment of EC and OC using $^{14}$C data and positive matrix factorization (PMF) of the ACSM organic mass spectra (Sect. 3.3).

2 Methods

2.1 Site description and filter sampling

Continuous air monitoring and time integrated particulate matter sampling were carried out in March 2014 in Preila, Lithuania (55°55′ N, 21°04′ E 5 m a.s.l.) (Fig. 1). Preila is a representative coastal background site, an ideal location for studying the long-range transport of air pollutants in the South-eastern Baltic region due to the absence of significant local sources (Fig. 1, Table 1). It served as a “super site” for the EUSAAR-EU-funded I3 (Integrated Infrastructures Initiatives) project. During the measurement period, strong biomass burning activities were observed on 9–10 March 2014. A high-
volume sampler (500 L min\(^{-1}\)) was used to collect PM\(_1\) aerosol particles onto 150 mm diameter Pallflex quartz fibre filters (pre-baked for 24 h at 550 °C). Filters were stored in a freezer (at −20 °C) immediately after sampling.

2.2 Instrumentation

2.2.1 Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor and data analysis

An ACSM (Aerodyne Research, Inc., Billerica, MA, USA) was deployed to measure PM\(_1\) components in Preila (Fig. 1, Sect. 2.1). A PM\(_{10}\) impactor-type inlet was utilized to remove coarse particles from the sample stream. The sampling air (1.6 L min\(^{-1}\)) passed through ∼2.5 m long stainless steel tube with a 6 mm i.d. and a Nafion dryer (MD-110-48S-4, PermaPure LLC, Toms River, NJ, USA) before reaching the device. Aerosol particle losses in sampling lines were less than 2% and the relative humidity lower 50%. The resulting aerosol flow was split and directed to a scanning mobility particle sizer TSI 3936 (TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN, USA) and to the ACSM. In the ACSM particles were directed onto a resistively heated surface at ∼600 °C where NR-PM\(_1\) components are flash vaporized and the resulting gases are subsequently ionized by 70 eV electron impact. ACSM was operated with a time resolution of ∼28 min (for typical aerosol loadings, i.e. several µg m\(^{-3}\)) and a scan rate of 220 ms amu\(^{-1}\) from \(m/z\) 10 to 140 (approximately 31.9 s per scan and 1.126 s pause), 56 scans and data interval 30 min. The data acquisition software used was DAQ 1.4.4.4. The mass concentrations and mass spectra were processed using ACSM standard data analysis software (v 1.5.3.0).

The instrument was calibrated using ammonium sulphate and ammonium nitrate. The determined calibration parameters were response factor (RF) \(RF_{NO_3} = 2.75 \times 10^{-11}\) and relative ionization efficiency (RIE) \(RIE_{NH_4} = 6.16, RIE_{SO_4} = 0.92\). The \(RIE_{Org} = 1.4, RIE_{Chl} = 1.3\) were set as default. The particle counting efficiency depends on the aerosol particle transport efficiency in the sampling line and on the collection efficiency of ACSM. The transport efficiency of the
used sampling line was 0.96 in the aerosol particle size range from 30 nm to 5 µm. However, the ACSM collection efficiency varies depending on the acidity of aerosol particles, aerosol composition, and particle phase water (Matthew et al., 2008). Many atmospheric aerosol studies reported reasonable agreement and linear correlations were obtained with other measurements by using a collection efficiency of 0.5 (Aiken et al., 2009; Timonen et al., 2010). Also, Middlebrook et al. (2012) had proposed the collection efficiency calculation algorithm. The average of collection coefficient of 0.52 was calculated, which is very close to other studies. That is understandable because the sampled aerosol was dried lower 50 % and the nitrate fraction was quite low. Thus, the resulting instrument particle counting efficiency was 0.52. The time series of organic aerosol mass spectra were processed using PMF analysis.

### 2.2.2 PMF analysis

The ACSM measured data were averaged to 1 h time resolution. A graphical user interface SoFi (Source Finder) (Canonaco et al., 2013), developed at Paul Scherrer Institute was used to perform PMF for the source apportionment of the non-refractory OA mass spectra collected during March 2014. Only signals at $m/z < 120$ were used for PMF analysis (Paatero and Tapper, 1994; Paatero, 1997) due to the following reasons: (1) the signals above $m/z > 120$ account for a minor fraction of total signal, (2) the $m/z$'s > 120 have larger uncertainties because of poor ion transmission and the large interferences of naphthalene signals on some $m/z$’s (e.g., $m/z$ 127, 128, and 129) (Sun et al., 2012). A 2-factor solution including a Primary Organic Aerosol factor (POA), and a Secondary Organic Aerosol factor (SOA) was selected for this study. 20 different PMF runs were performed using a bootstrapping approach (Davison and Hinkley, 1997). The bootstrap creates new input data matrices by randomly resampling measured mass spectra from the original input matrices. Moreover each PMF bootstrap run is initiated from a different pseudorandom starting-point of the algorithm (seed). The bootstrapping approach, together with the seed approach allows a reasonable exploration of the PMF solution space (Paatero et al., 2014). Higher order solutions...
factors) were explored yielding additional primary profiles, without a significant modification of the secondary contributions. Moreover the retrieved additional profiles showed very high time correlation \( R^2 = 0.98 \) with the POA factor, suggesting a splitting of the same aerosol source. As the additional primary factors could not be associated to specific primary emissions, those solutions are not shown. Medium-long range transport of polluted air masses resulted in a co-variability of the sources at the sampling site, hampering a further separation of the primary organic aerosols.

2.2.3 7-wavelength aethalometer

An aethalometer, Model AE31 Spectrum (Manufactured by Aerosol d.o.o., Ljubljana, Slovenia) provided continuous measurements of the BC mass concentrations. The aethalometer was equipped with a PM\(_{2.5}\) impactor. The aethalometer data were recorded with a 5 min time resolution. The optical transmission of light absorbing carbonaceous aerosol particles was measured at seven wavelengths (370, 450, 520, 590, 660, 880, and 950 nm). Measurements at 880 nm wavelength were used to determine BC mass concentration (Lavanchy et al., 1999). The aethalometer converts light attenuation measurements to BC mass using specific attenuation absorption cross-section \( \sigma \) of 16.6 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) (at 880 nm) (Aethalometer Operations manual). The default value for a near-infrared wavelength of 880 nm was set by the manufacturer. An empirical algorithm for loading effects compensation was used (Collaud Coen et al., 2010).

2.2.4 OC/EC, \(^{14}\)C, \(\delta^{13}\)C and \(\delta^{15}\)N analysis

Filter measurements were performed to determine OC, EC and TC concentrations with a thermo-optical OC/EC analyser (Sunset Laboratory Inc, USA) equipped with a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) detector. A 1.5 cm\(^2\) filter punch was analysed according to the EUSAAR2 protocol (Cavalli et al., 2010). The blank filter was subtracted only from the measured OC and TC concentrations, as for the EC the corresponding blank was below the detection limits of the instruments.
\(^{14}\)C in EC and TC was measured using the accelerator mass spectrometer MI-CADAS, equipped with a gas-capable ion source (Szidat et al., 2014). \(^{14}\)C analysis of TC was determined after combustion of filter punches in an elemental analyser, directly coupled to the MICADAS (Salazar et al., 2015). The TC \(^{14}\)C raw data were corrected for a representative field blank. For \(^{14}\)C analysis of EC, the filters were first water extracted in order to minimize charring by removing the water-soluble OC (WSOC). Then the Swiss_4S protocol (Zhang et al., 2012) was used to remove the water-insoluble OC (WINSOC) and measure the EC \(^{14}\)C, by coupling of the Sunset instrument to the MICADAS (Agrios et al., 2015). \(^{14}\)C in OC was determined from the TC \(^{14}\)C and the EC \(^{14}\)C results with an isotope mass balance calculation. All the data from the \(^{14}\)C analysis were corrected for the decay of the \(^{14}\)C from 1950 until present. The reported uncertainty for the non-fossil fraction of EC includes both charring of OC (overestimation of EC) and EC loss (underestimation of EC) during the WINSOC removal process (Zhang et al., 2012). Non-fossil fractions of TC, EC and OC (i.e., TC\(_{nf}\), EC\(_{nf}\) and OC\(_{nf}\)) were determined from the individual \(^{14}\)C analyses and \(^{14}\)C reference values. These reference values represent emissions from purely non-fossil sources and amount 1.06 ± 0.03 for TC and OC and 1.10 ± 0.03 for EC based on the calculation of Mohn et al. (2008). The fossil fractions of TC, EC and OC (i.e., TC\(_{f}\), EC\(_{f}\) and OC\(_{f}\)) were determined by subtraction of the respective non-fossil fractions.

Bulk δ\(^{13}\)C and δ\(^{15}\)N values were derived by measuring filter pieces (1.4 cm\(^2\)) wrapped in tin capsules (8 × 5 mm, Elemental Microanalysis) using an elemental analyser accompanying an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS, Flash EA1112 – Thermo V Advantage) via a ConFlo III interface. The autosampler of the EA was continuously flushed with He (180 mL min\(^{-1}\)) to remove all atmospheric gases. Helium flow on the oxidation column was 80 mL min\(^{-1}\). Flash combustion occurred in the oxidation column with the presence of O\(_2\) (the O\(_2\) flow was 180 mL min\(^{-1}\) for 4 s). Formed gases were taken to the reduction column in which molecular nitrogen was obtained from any nitrogen oxides followed by a water trap (magnesium perchlorate). The nitrogen and the carbon dioxide were separated on a packed gas chromatographic (GC) column (Po-
raPlot, 3 cm × 2 cm, 35°C) and delivered to the isotope ratio mass spectrometer (via the ConFlo interface) where the measurement of carbon and nitrogen isotope ratio was made. The amount of nitrogen and carbon in the sample was determined by a thermal conductivity detector which is a part of the elemental analyser. These measurements were used in the isotope mass balance calculations (Eq. 1).

The total carbon and total nitrogen fractions of the aerosol particles were used for the isotopic ratio measurements. Stable carbon and nitrogen isotopic ratio measurements were expressed relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) standard using the formula:

\[
\delta^{13}C = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 (\%),
\]

where \(R_{\text{sample}}\) and \(R_{\text{standard}}\) are the ratios of \(^{13}\)C to \(^{12}\)C (or \(^{15}\)N to \(^{14}\)N) in the sample and the standard (referred to as VPDB), respectively.

Repeated analysis of certified reference material (caffeine IAEA-600) and oil (NBS 22) gave an average \(\delta^{13}C\) value: mean \(\pm \sigma = -27.77 \pm 0.08\%\) (certified value: mean \(\pm \sigma = -27.771 \pm 0.043\%_{\text{VPDB}}\)) and \(\delta^{13}C = -30.031 \pm 0.043\%_{\text{VPDB}}\) respectively. These values were used for \(\delta^{13}C\) measurements in order to evaluate an analytical precision and calibration of a reference gas (CO\(_2\)) to VPDB. Meanwhile, the IAEA-600 standard gave an average \(\delta^{15}N\) value: mean \(\pm \sigma = 1 \pm 0.2\%\) which was used for calibration of a reference gas (N\(_2\)) to air (for \(\delta^{15}N\) measurements).

Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios were measured in the samples with the signal intensity reaching 1000 mV or more, due to analytical restrictions (the isotope values measurements below 1000 mV did not fulfil linearity requirements of 0.07 \(\%\) V\(^{-1}\) for the internal standard).

The mass balance equation was used to calculate the real \(\delta\) values of carbon or nitrogen of the aerosol samples (blank correction):

\[
m_{\text{measured}} \times \delta X_{\text{measured}} = m_1 \times m_{\text{blank}} \times \delta X_{\text{blank}},
\]
where \( m_{\text{measured}} \) was the mass of measured material (carbon or nitrogen) in the measured sample, \( \delta X_{\text{measured}} \) was the measured (aerosol + filter) \( \delta \) value (carbon or nitrogen), \( m_1 \) was the mass of pure material (carbon or nitrogen), and \( m_{\text{blank}} \) and \( \delta X_{\text{blank}} \) were the mass and isotope ratio (of carbon or nitrogen) of the blank filter, respectively.

2.2.5 Radiocarbon-based source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosols

An estimate of fossil and non-fossil primary and secondary organic carbon (POC\(_f\), POC\(_{nf}\), SOC\(_f\), SOC\(_{nf}\)) was achieved by coupling ACSM-PMF results, \(^{14}\)C data, and organic marker measurements using a chemical mass balance-like approach. The sensitivity of POC\(_f\), POC\(_{nf}\), SOC\(_f\), and SOC\(_{nf}\) contributions to the assumed parameters and measurement errors are described in details in this section. The approach is based on the POC\(_{nf}\) estimate, for a subsequent determination of SOC\(_{nf}\), SOC\(_f\), and POC\(_f\) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SOC}_{nf} &= \text{OC}_{nf} - \text{POC}_{nf} \quad (3) \\
\text{SOC}_f &= \text{SOC} - \text{SOC}_{nf} \quad (4) \\
\text{POC}_f &= \text{OC}_f - \text{SOC}_f \quad (5)
\end{align*}
\]

\(^{14}\)C measurements and ACSM-PMF results were coupled as follows for the estimate of POC\(_{nf}\) contribution: OC\(_{nf}\) results provide an upper boundary for the total POC\(_{nf}\) contribution, while POA from ACSM-PMF results provide another upper boundary for POC\(_{nf}\). By combining \(^{14}\)C and PMF results a possible range of POC\(_{nf}\) contributions can be obtained. For the sensitivity analysis we considered a uniform distribution of possible POC\(_{nf}\) contributions in this range, meaning that each POC\(_{nf}\) value in this range was considered as equally probable. For the statistical analysis we retained only the solutions matching the selected acceptance criteria described in the following. From the acceptable solutions we then derived the probability distribution function of the different POC\(_f\), SOC\(_{nf}\), SOC\(_f\), POC\(_f\) fractions.
Each POC_{nf} value is associated to a specific levoglucosan/POC_{nf} and EC_{nf}/POC_{nf} ratio. In this manner we determined a probability distribution function of the levoglucosan/POC_{nf} and EC_{nf}/POC_{nf} ratios relative to our acceptable solutions. The assumption that each input POC_{nf} contribution in the selected possible range is equally probable (hereafter referred to as “uniform distribution approach”) has advantages and drawbacks: while this assumption does not consider any a priori information about levoglucosan/POC_{nf} and EC_{nf}/POC_{nf}, it considers those ratios as equally possible. To explore the influence of this assumption on our results we performed the same sensitivity analysis assuming an input levoglucosan/POC_{nf} distribution derived from 33 profiles for combustion of hard or softwoods in domestic fireplaces or woodstoves (Fine et al., 2001, 2002, 2004a, b; Schmidl et al., 2008, the approach is hereafter referred to as “non-uniform distribution approach”). We eventually derived the probability distribution functions of the levoglucosan/POC_{nf} and EC_{nf}/POC_{nf} ratios relative to the acceptable solutions. The two approaches provided similar results. From the uniform distribution approach, a median levoglucosan/POC_{nf} ratio of 0.18 (1st quartile = 0.14; 3rd quartile = 0.23) and a median EC_{nf}/POC_{nf} ratio of 0.32 (1st quartile = 0.28; 3rd quartile = 0.36) were retrieved, whilst from the non-uniform distribution approach a median levoglucosan/POC_{nf} ratio of 0.15 (1st quartile = 0.13; 3rd quartile = 0.18) and a median EC_{nf}/POC_{nf} ratio of 0.33 (1st quartile = 0.28; 3rd quartile = 0.36) were obtained.

In the following section a technical description of the sensitivity analysis implementation is reported. For each filter sample \(i\), 10 000 random combinations \((r)\) of input data, \([TC]_{i,r}\), \([EC]_{i,r}\), \([EC_{nf}]_{i,r}\), \([OC]_{i,r}\), and \([Levoglucosan]_{i,r}\), were generated. In this process, we assume a normal distribution of the errors around the average \([X]_{i}\) value (\(X\) being one of the input values mentioned above), and a distribution width equal to the standard deviation \(\sigma[X]_{i}\):

For each random combination of input data, the corresponding \([OC]_{i,r}\), \([EC_{nf}]_{i,r}\), and \([OC_{nf}]_{i,r}\) values were determined as:

\[
[OC]_{i,r} = [TC]_{i,r} - [EC]_{i,r},
\]

(6)
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10 000 random \([\text{SOC}]_s\) values were generated by randomly selecting a daily average \([\text{SOA}]_s\) value from one of the 20 ACSM-PMF runs \((s)\). The corresponding \([\text{SOC}]_s\) values were derived as:

\[
[\text{SOC}]_s = [\text{SOA}]_s / (\text{OM}/\text{OC})_{\text{SOA}(s)}
\]  

\((\text{OM}/\text{OC})_{\text{SOA}(s)}\) and \(\sigma (\text{OM}/\text{OC})_{\text{SOA}(s)}\) were calculated according to Aiken et al. (2009) as function of the fractional contribution of the \(m/z\) 44 \((f44)\) to the \([\text{SOA}]_s\) mass spectra. Fröhlich et al. (2015) showed a systematic difference between \(f44\) measured from ACSM and AMS, therefore an empirical correction factor was accordingly applied to rescale \(f44\) from ACSM \((f44_{\text{ACSM}})\) data to the corresponding AMS \(f44\) value \((f44_{\text{AMS}})\). The uncertainty relative to the \(f44\) correction factor was propagated into \(\sigma (\text{OM}/\text{OC})_{\text{SOA}(s)}\) which includes the \(O/C_s\) uncertainty as well. Each \([\text{SOC}]_s\) value was obtained by randomly varying \([\text{SOC}]_s\) assuming a normal distribution of errors around the average value \([\text{SOC}]_s\) and a distribution width equals \(\sigma (\text{OM}/\text{OC})_{\text{SOA}(s)}\). \([\text{BBOC}]_i,r\) contributions for each sample \(i\) were derived as follows:

\[
[\text{BBOC}]_i,r = [\text{levoglucosan}]_i,r / \alpha, \quad (10)
\]

\[
[\text{BBOC}]_i,r = [\text{EC}_n]_i,r / \beta, \quad (11)
\]

where \(\alpha\) represents the levoglucosan/BBOC ratio. This ratio was systematically varied between 0.01 and 0.31 according to Huang et al. (2014) and references therein (scan step equals 0.01). \(\beta\) corresponds to the EC/BBOC ratio. Values of \(\beta\) were systematically varied between 0.1 and 0.4 according to Zhang et al. (2015) and references therein (scan step equals 0.01). 10 000 \([\text{BBOC}]_i,r,\alpha\) and 10 000 \([\text{BBOC}]_i,r,\beta\) were determined as in Eqs. (8) and (9). Only acceptable \([\text{BBOC}]_i,r,\alpha/\beta\) \(= [\text{POC}_n]_i,r,\alpha/\beta\) values
were considered for the sensitivity analysis. The criteria to consider a $[\text{BBOC}]_{i,r,\alpha/\beta}$ value as acceptable were:

(a) $[\text{BBOC}]_{i,r,\alpha/\beta} \leq [\text{POC}]_{i,r}$ and (b) $[\text{BBOC}]_{i,r,\alpha/\beta} \leq [\text{OC}_{nf}]_{i,r}$

$[\text{POC}]_{i,r}$ was determined as follows:

$$[\text{POC}]_{i,r} = [\text{OC}]_{i,r} - [\text{SOC}]_{i,r},$$

(13)

Only acceptable $[\text{POC}]_{i,r}$ values were considered. The criterion to consider a $[\text{POC}]_{i,r}$ value as acceptable was:

(c) $[\text{POA}]_{s}/[\text{POC}]_{i,r} \geq 1.3$ according to Mohr et al. (2009), Aiken et al. (2009).

$[\text{SOC}_{nf}]_{i,r}$ values were then derived as:

$$[\text{SOC}_{nf}]_{i,r} = [\text{OC}_{nf}]_{i,r} - [\text{POC}_{nf}]_{i,r}$$

(14)

Only acceptable $[\text{SOC}_{nf}]_{i,r}$ values were considered, where

(d) $[\text{SOC}_{nf}]_{i,r} \leq [\text{SOC}]_{i,r}$.  

(15)

Only solutions where all 4 criteria (a), (b), (c), and (d) held were considered acceptable and retained.

Finally, $[\text{SOC}]_{f,i,r}$ and $[\text{POC}]_{f,i,r}$ were calculated as:

$$[\text{SOC}]_{f,i,r} = [\text{SOC}]_{i,r} - [\text{SOC}_{nf}]_{i,r},$$

(16)

$$[\text{POC}]_{f,i,r} = [\text{OC}]_{i,r} - [\text{SOC}]_{i,r}.$$  

(17)

### 2.2.6 Satellite products and organic markers

Determination of organic marker concentrations were performed using a recently developed in-situ derivatization thermal desorption gas chromatography time of flight mass spectrometry (IDTD-GC-MS) method (Orasche et al., 2011).
Biomass burning episodes were explored using a variety of remote sensing datasets and their derived properties. Satellite data and ground based observations of aerosol properties from the MODIS, HYSPLIT and SILAM were coupled to analyse the variability of carbonaceous aerosols in Lithuania (Fig. 2).

The MODIS sensors on-board NASA’s Terra and Aqua satellites provides multiple thermal observations of the Earth on 9–10 March 2014 at a spatial resolution of 1 km using the latest version of the MODIS Active Fire Product (MOD14/MYD14) algorithm (MODIS, 2011). To identify the influence of air masses from different transport pathways on the large BB event occurring at Preila, 72 h back trajectories at an arrival height of 100, 200 and 500 m were calculated by Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) Model Version 4.8. All air mass back trajectories were generated using Gridded Meteorological Data archives of the Air Resource Laboratory (ARL), National Ocean and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (Fig. 2a).

The Navy Aerosol Analysis and Prediction System (NAAPS) model results were used to define the distribution of BB aerosols from wildfires area (model description and results are available from the web pages of the Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, CA, USA; http://www.nrlmry.navy.mil/aerosol/) (Fig. 2b). The NAAPS model has been adapted to combine real-time observations of biomass burning based on the joint Navy/NASA/NOAA Fire Locating and Modelling of Burning Emissions system (FLAMBE, http://www.nrlmry.navy.mil/flambe/) (Reid et al., 2004). The method has proven helpful in previous studies of long-range and regional transport of smoke (Horrath et al., 2004). The resolution of 2.5° longitude × 2.5° latitude National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) reanalysis data (Kanamitsu et al., 2002) during grass burning episode were analysed to illustrate the sub synoptic-scale weather feature among the biomass burning events over Lithuania issued every 6 h for March 2014 (Fig. 2c). SILAM is an air quality and emergency open code system (http://silam.fmi.fi/) providing PM$_{2.5}$ emission maps by Eulerian dynamics and a combination of basic acid and ozone chemistry with inert particles for fire and anthropogenic primary PM emission to account for the fire induced aerosol contribution (Fig. 2d).
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Identification of grass burning event

Massive active fires occurred throughout the Kaliningrad region (Russia), Belorussia and Ukraine (Fig. 2a) when a high atmospheric pressure system was situated over the study area, as illustrated in the weather map of Fig. 2c. The plumes from those fires covered a large area south of the Baltic region and were transported thousands of kilometres downwind affecting the background air in Lithuania (Fig. 2). Although the number of fires was similar to that one of previous years, the impact of the fire events on the Lithuanian air quality was enhanced in March 2014 due to air mass transport of smoke entrained in deep convection by the large scale circulation around the pressure maximum of the anticyclonic system (Fig. 2c). This is consistent with the relatively high concentrations of smoke reaching Preila as predicted by NAAPS (Fig. 2b).

The weather maps showed that the high concentration of pollutants during this BB event was caused by the anticyclonic large-scale movement, which persisted throughout the lower troposphere causing stagnant conditions and extended aerosol residence time.

3.2 Investigation of PM$_1$ composition and ambient concentrations of organic tracers

The climatic conditions in West Europe as well as in west part of Lithuania are particular, as the moderate warm climate dominating by air mass transport from Atlantic Ocean, leading to higher humidity. Annual mean temperature increases in west–east direction. The average temperature of March was $\sim$ 3–4 °C. During the BB event (9–11 March) combustion products were spread over the study region by the large-scale atmospheric circulation processes. At the beginning of the BB episode, the wind speed was up to 3 m s$^{-1}$ on average in the daytime of 9 March, causing weaker dilution of the pollutants while the BC concentration were higher than 12 µg m$^{-3}$. The average com-
position of NR-PM$_1$ show similar dominance of organics to previous observations in Europe (e.g. Crippa et al., 2014).

Organic aerosol (46 %, 6.4 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ ($\sigma = 9.6$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$)) constituted the major fraction of the NR-PM$_1$ aerosol concentration composition measured by ACSM during the campaign with lower contributions of sulfate (17 %, 2.4 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ ($\sigma = 2.2$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$)), nitrate (20 %, 2.8 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ ($\sigma = 3.6$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$)), ammonium (15 %, 2.0 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ ($\sigma = 1.8$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$)), and chloride (2 %, 0.2 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ ($\sigma = 0.6$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$)). OA contribution to NR-PM$_1$ was found to be much higher during the grass burning period (61 %).

Quantification of monosaccharide anhydrides together with OC and EC from 5–14 March are presented in Fig. 3. It is evident that during the event, when grass burning was most intense, the levoglucosan concentration increased up to 680 ng m$^{-3}$. That was significantly lower than values reported during extreme event of August 2010 in Moscow – 3100 ng m$^{-3}$ (Popovicheva et al., 2014) and are higher than values (220–290 ng m$^{-3}$) reported during a major biomass burning episode over northern Europe in Helsinki (Saarikoski et al., 2007), while background values in Nordic rural background sites were found to be 2.1–9.8 ng m$^{-3}$ (Yttri et al., 2011). Concentrations of mannosan varied from 3.1 to 68.0 ng m$^{-3}$, with a mean value of 19.0 ng m$^{-3}$, and concentrations of galactosan varied from 1.0 to 12.0 ng m$^{-3}$. Levoglucosan was more weakly correlated with mannosan ($R^2 = 0.73$) throughout the episode and the levoglucosan to mannosan ratio ranged from 7.5 to 28.5, whereas levoglucosan and galactosan exhibited relatively stronger correlation ($R^2 = 0.89$). The levoglucosan to mannosan (L/M), levoglucosan to galactosan (L/G) and levoglucosan to OC (L/OC) ratios have been used before to separate different BB sources (Fabbri et al., 2009; Oanh et al., 2011; Harrison et al., 2012). The average L/M and L/G ratios during BB event were 15.7 and 51.9, respectively. These values are in the order of those L/M ratios reported (2.0–33.3) for grass fires by Oros et al. (2006). It was observed in previous studies, that the L/OC ratio depends on biomass-burning type (Mochida et al., 2010). The observed L to OC ratio in this study was on average 0.08, consistent with values (0.04–0.08) obtained from leaf and grass burnings reported by Sullivan et al. (2008). The OC/EC ratio ranged
from 1.5 to 6.2 being lower on event days (2.4–3.0) indicating an aerosol composition dominated by organic aerosol.

The measured $\delta^{13}C$ values varied from $-28.2$ to $-26.7\%o$. The lowest stable carbon isotope ratio values ($-28.5\%o$) were detected during the period with the highest total carbon concentration of $12.2\,\mu g\,m^{-3}$ (10 March 2014) and $8.5\,\mu g\,m^{-3}$ (9 March 2014). The highest concentration $14.0\,\mu g\,m^{-3}$ of nitrogen was detected on 10 March 2014. The nitrogen isotope ratio values varied from +1.0 to +13.0\%o (Fig. 4).

Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios values of aerosol particles derived from biomass burning (C3 plants) and liquid fossil fuel are overlapping (Garbaras et al., 2015; Masalaite et al., 2015; Turekian et al., 1998). Coal derived aerosol particles are characterised by higher $\delta^{13}C$ and lower $\delta^{15}N$ values (Fig. 4, solid lines). $\delta^{13}C$ values of aerosol particles during wild grass burning events distinguish in low $\delta^{13}C$ values (Garbaras et al., 2008; Ulevicius et. al. 2010b). The above mentioned distribution of $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values allowed excluding coal burning as main source for aerosol particles at Preila during the investigated event. Aerosol particles with the $\delta^{13}C$ values equal $-28\%o$ and below originated mainly from grass burning events. This interpretation of the data is consistent with the the radiocarbon analysis shown below.

### 3.3 Source apportionment of EC and OC using $^{14}C$ data

Relative fossil and non-fossil contributions to OC and EC were evaluated using $^{14}C$ analysis (Szidat et al., 2014) to enable a more detailed source attribution of the carbonaceous aerosol mass. Widely used, two-source simple models (Currie, 2000; Lemire et al., 2002; Lewis et al., 2004; Szidat et al., 2004) can only distinguish fossil from non-fossil TC emissions. Here, carbonaceous aerosol was described to be composed of the following 4 categories: $OC_f$ and $EC_f$ attributed to primary and secondary fossil fuel combustion; and $OC_{nf}$, and $EC_{nf}$ typically emitted by to primary and secondary biomass burning, cooking, biogenic emissions and non-fossil OC combustion (Table 2, Fig. 5). There was day-to-day variation in the fractional contributions to TC throughout the BB event. The fraction of elemental carbon from biomass burning $EC_{bb}$
EC<sub>nt</sub>) to total EC was found to be on average 67±3%. Such high values are unusual and have only been found in wood burning dominated places like villages in Alpine valleys (Zotter et al., 2014). This shows, together with high levels of levoglucosan, that biomass burning contributed to a large extent to OC<sub>nt</sub> during this event.

PMF analysis of OA spectra resolved two OA components, which are attributed to POA and SOA, whose mass spectra and time series are presented in Fig. 5b, c. Combining these results with the <sup>14</sup>C measurements as described in Sect. 2.2.4 shows that the high grass burning pollution event is characterized by a high non-fossil organic compound fraction, which accounts for up to ~90% of total carbon mass.

SOA showed reasonable correlation (<i>R</i><sup>2</sup> = 0.62) with average NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> mass concentration during the BB event. NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is in this case a good tracer for secondary aerosol, as it correlates well with the sum of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (<i>R</i><sup>2</sup> = 0.96; linear fit: <i>y</i> = 0.816 + 0.005). There was day-to-day variation throughout the study period with the non-fossil contribution to organic carbon between 67–86%. OC<sub>nt</sub> was estimated to be ~65% primary, while the primary fraction of the OC<sub>f</sub> in Preila was estimated to be ~93%. Conversely, when EC<sub>f</sub> showed a lower contribution (7 and 10 March 2014; 26 and 24%, respectively), OC<sub>f</sub> was also lower (15%) (Table 3). The high fraction of biomass burning was corroborated by measurements of levoglucosan. The combination of both techniques allowed a better characterization of the carbonaceous aerosol sources. POA determined with the ACSM is mostly non-fossil and originates from grass burning. The lines in Fig. 6 represent the absolute contribution of each source during 5–10 March 2014. It is shown that POC<sub>nt</sub> and SOC<sub>nt</sub> concentrations increase drastically (from 1.1 to 5.4 µg m<sup>-3</sup> for POC<sub>nt</sub>; from 0.9 to 3.1 µg m<sup>-3</sup> for SOC<sub>nt</sub>) with increasing influence of biomass burning, whereas the concentrations of the respective fossil fractions show a smaller increase during this episode. From the acceptable solutions obtained from the sensitivity test described in Sect. 2.2.7, we derived the probability distribution functions of the different daily contributions for POC<sub>f</sub>, SOC<sub>nt</sub>, SOC<sub>f</sub>, POC<sub>f</sub> fractions (Fig. 6). The median Levoglucosan/BBOC and EC/BBOC ratios obtained from the sensitivity
tests is consistent with values reported in Zhang et al. (2015) and Huang et al. (2014) (Fig. 7).

In Zhang et al. (2015) agricultural waste combustion is considered to be the main contributor to the total biomass burning. Note that on 5 March a different Levoglucosan/BBOC ratio was found (0.31) compared to the rest of the event (\(\sim 0.15\)). This may mean that a different type of wood burning took place. Also, this is consistent with different wind back-trajectories, associated to air masses originating in the Southern and Central Russian Federal districts, i.e. air masses with a different geographical origin and associated to potentially different types of biomass burning. During the intensive grass burning episode, consecutive new particle formation (NPF) episodes were observed. Observed NPF could be attributed to the grass burning and secondary biomass burning product transformation as was evaluated in earlier studies over same area (Ulevicius et al., 2010b). Similarly, at the same site Ulevicius et al. (2002) observed nucleation events on days when the average daily concentrations of \(\text{SO}_2\) or \(\text{NO}_2\) were two to three times higher than the average monthly concentrations.

4 Conclusions

In March 2014, an intensive field campaign was conducted in the marine background of South Eastern Baltic region during a period of intensive grass burning. This paper provides the biomass burning related aerosol concentrations during grass burning estimated by data that stem from a synthesis of various techniques including surface online/offline and satellite based measurements. Lidar vertical profiles allowed confirming smoke plume from wild fire regions. Levels of source specific tracers, i.e. levoglucosan as well as \(^{14}\text{C}\) of TC, EC and OC have been used as input for source apportionment of the carbonaceous aerosol approach. Overall, EC and OC were dominated by non-fossil sources. The total POC fraction was separated into POC\(_f\) and POC\(_{nf}\). In term of OC mass, POC\(_{nf}\) contributes on average 56\%, while relative contribution to TC was found to be on average 39\%. In case of SOC, the contribution of OC\(_f\) reached on average...
10.3 % (non-fossil – 25 %). The $\delta^{13}C$ value of $-28.5\%$ indicated the dominance of the aerosol derived from the vegetation burning as no significant carbon isotope fractionation occurs between the aerosol particles from biomass burning and the raw biomass material.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Lithuanian–Swiss Cooperation Programme “Research and Development” project AEROLIT (Nr. CH-3-ŠMM-01/08).

References


Fossil and non-fossil source contribution

V. Ulevicius et al.


26337


Fossil and non-fossil source contribution

V. Ulevicius et al.


Table 1. Preila site surroundings 10 km.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site altitude</td>
<td>5 (m) a.s.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median altitude</td>
<td>0 (m) a.s.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>6831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean population density</td>
<td>20 (km$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local population density</td>
<td>29.5 (km$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominating land cover types (based on GLC2000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water bodies (natural and artificial) (20)</td>
<td>84.9 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree cover, needle-leaved, evergreen (4)</td>
<td>13.2 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree cover, mixed leaf type (6)</td>
<td>1.1 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbaceous cover, closed-open (13)</td>
<td>0.4 (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Variation of the fractions of EC₉, EC₉, OC₉, OC₉ and TC, EC and OC values during the study periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>µg m⁻³/date of collection</th>
<th>EC₉</th>
<th>EC₉</th>
<th>OC₉</th>
<th>OC₉</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>OC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Mar 2014</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.34 ± 0.18</td>
<td>3.39 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.17</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Mar 2014</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.20</td>
<td>4.01 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.24</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Mar 2014</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.18</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Mar 2014</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.18</td>
<td>4.98 ± 0.36</td>
<td>7.28 ± 0.43</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.63</td>
<td>6.32 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Mar 2014</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.28</td>
<td>7.77 ± 0.50</td>
<td>11.72 ± 0.64</td>
<td>2.31 ± 0.75</td>
<td>9.47 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Average percentage contributions of different sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>POC(_f)</th>
<th>POC(_{nf})</th>
<th>SOC(_f)</th>
<th>SOC(_{nf})</th>
<th>EC(_f)</th>
<th>EC(_{nf})</th>
<th>TC to PM(_1) [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Mar 2014</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>43.23</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>28.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Mar 2014</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>43.58</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>19.14</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>18.79</td>
<td>37.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Mar 2014</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>26.28</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>21.38</td>
<td>24.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Mar 2014</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>41.30</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>12.48</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>51.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Mar 2014</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>43.02</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>43.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>POC(_f)</th>
<th>POC(_{nf})</th>
<th>SOC(_f)</th>
<th>SOC(_{nf})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Mar 2014</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>55.78</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>29.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Mar 2014</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>58.38</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>25.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Mar 2014</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>39.80</td>
<td>20.24</td>
<td>28.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Mar 2014</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>65.20</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>20.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Mar 2014</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>60.96</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>21.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. (a) Map of the observation site, Preila (indicated by the red marker). Nearest major cities are Klaipeda (40 km north) and Kaliningrad (90 km south), (b) Environmental pollution research station Preila and site surroundings (c).
Figure 2. (a) Combined MODIS images observed from the Aqua satellite on 10 March 2014, showing numerous fires due to seasonal grass burning and 72 h air mass backward trajectories from the fire regions arriving at Preila at 100 (red), 200 (blue) and 500 (green) m a.g.l. (AGL). (b) NAAPS model results showing surface smoke concentrations for the strongest stage (10 March 2014) (the color scale (from blue to purple) corresponds to the 7 levels of the contours that indicate the smoke mass mixing ratio (µg m⁻³) at the surface). Smoke optical depth at a wavelength of 0.55 microns. The contouring begins at 1 µg m⁻³ and doubles in magnitude for each successive contour. (c) Pressure level at surface at 2.5° latitude × 2.5° longitude global grids in Pa (NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis 1, 10 March 2014). (d) PM₂.₅ concentration (µg m⁻³) forecast utilized by the SILAM chemical transport model during the event of grass fires, (e and f) ACSM organic concentration ACSM organics concentration (µg m⁻³) (measured in Preila) weighted air mass back trajectories of 48 h (an arrival on 8 (left) and 10 (right) March 2014) with an altitude endpoint of 500 m a.g.l.
Figure 3. Averaged concentrations between daily values from 5 to 14 March 2014 for levoglucosan, galactosan, mannosan (in ng m$^{-3}$) and for elemental carbon (EC) and organic carbon (OC) in $\mu$gm$^{-3}$. 
Figure 4. Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope ratio values of PM$_1$ in Preila station. Vertical and horizontal lines represent carbon and nitrogen, respectively, isotope ratio characteristic values for the sources of aerosol particles (Garbaras et al., 2008, 2015; Ulevicius et al., 2010a; Widory, 2007).
**Figure 5.** Average chemical composition and time series of NR-PM$_1$, OA for the entire study (a), (b) Time series of the POA factor and percent contribution of the corresponding tracer species (levoglucosan, picene and hopanes) to total OA, (c) Time series of the SOA factor, (d) Relative source apportionment of TC during BB event. Numbers indicate the total carbon absolute concentrations in µg m$^{-3}$, variations of the mass concentrations of the SOC$_f$ and SOC$_{nf}$ (the whiskers above and below the boxes indicate the 1st and 3rd quartiles, e) Mass spectra of SOA and POA, error bars represent the standard deviation of 20 PMF runs, (f–g) The scatter plots illustrate the relationship between SOA and NH$_4^+$ (f) and sum of SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ with NH$_4^+$ (g).
Figure 6. Probability distribution functions of the absolute daily contribution of POC$_f$ (a), POC$_{nf}$ (b), SOC$_{nf}$ (c), SOC$_f$ (d).
Figure 7. Probability distribution functions of Levoglucosan/BBOC (a) and EC_{nf}/BBOC (b).